MicroRNA biogenesis and variability

Jesús García-López, Miguel A. Brieño-Enríquez and Jesús del Mazo*

Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas (CIB). Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC). Madrid, Spain.

*Corresponding author: Jesús del Mazo. Dep. Cellular and Molecular Biology. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas. CSIC. Ramiro de Maeztu, 9. 28040 Madrid. Spain. Telephone number: 34 918373112 #4324. Fax. 34 915360432. Email: jdelmazo@cib.csic.es

Running title: MicroRNA biogenesis

Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are cell-endogenous small non-codingRNAs, which by mechanisms of RNA interference are involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of mRNAs. The biogenesis and function of miRNAs entail multiple elements with different alternative pathways. These, confer a high versatility of regulation and a high variability to generate different miRNAs and hence possess a broad potential to regulate gene expression. Here, we review the multiple, both canonical and non-canonical, mechanisms prevalent to generate miRNAs in animals. The “miRNome” panorama is enhancing our knowledge regarding the fine regulation of gene expression and is providing new insights concerning normal as opposed to pathological cell differentiation and development.

Key words: microRNAs, canonical biogenesis, non-canonical biogenesis, isomirs, editing

List of abbreviations:

(ADAR) Adenosine Deaminases Acting on RNA; (AGO) Argonaute; (circRNAs) circular RNAs; (DGCR8) microprocessor complex subunit DiGeorge syndrome Critical Region 8; (DICER) cytoplasmic endoribonuclease with dsRNA "dicing" activity; (dsRNA) double-stranded RNA; (DROSHA) double-stranded RNA-specific endoribonuclease nuclear type III, also known as RNASEN; (endo-siRNAs) endogenous-small-interfering RNAs; (miRNAs) microRNAs; (PAZ-domain) protein domain contained in the PIWI, ARGONAUTE and ZWILLE proteins, involved in post-transcriptional gene silencing; (PTC) Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma; (piRNAs) piwi-

interacting RNAs; (pri-miRNAs) primary miRNAs; (pre-RNAs) precursor-miRNAs; (RISC) RNA-Induced Silencing Complex; (SNPs) Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms; (snoRNAs) small nucleolar RNAs; (TUDOR) Tudor-staphylococcal nuclease.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs ($\approx 21\text{-}23$ nt) that act by RNA interference as post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression and play important roles in many developmental and cellular processes of eukaryotic organisms. Although the miRNA biogenesis pathways are similar in different phyla, there exist some differences between plants and animals that require a different study with respect to either biogenesis pathway [1]. We will focus in this review on the biogenesis of the miRNAs associated to the animal kingdom.

MicroRNAs regulate negatively gene expression via base-pairing with complementary mRNA sequences in a mechanism named RNA interference. The interaction between miRNAs and their mRNA targets blocks the regular translation or induces the degradation of targeted mRNAs. MicroRNAs might regulate about 60% of mammalian coding genes and show specific profiles of expression at the level of cell types and developmental stages. Genes coding for miRNAs are scattered throughout mammalian genomes in intra- and intergenic positions. At present, 1,600 miRNA precursor molecules that generate 2,042 mature forms have been identified in the human genome (as to miRBase release 19) [2, 3]. Mouse and rat genomes encode 1,281 and 723 mature miRNAs respectively [2, 3]. However, it is possible that the existing difference

with respect to the size of the human and rodent “miRNomes” results from a so far more exhaustive quest for human miRNAs. In fact, miRNAs are well conserved in eukaryotic organisms [4] and are thought to be the result of a vital and evolutionarily ancient component of genetic regulation.

The binding of mature miRNAs to their target mRNAs occurs through a specific miRNA region of about 6-8 nucleotides in length. This region is termed the ‘seed region’ and allows for each of these small RNAs to regulate the expression of hundreds of genes, generally by binding to the mRNA 3’ UTR region. MicroRNAs that share a similar ‘seed region’ belong to the same miRNA family. In general, members of a miRNA family regulate related genes or are involved in the regulation of similar biological events. Several families of miRNAs in mammals have been described, some of which are related to mechanisms of differentiation and self renewal and thus have been suggested as possible biomarkers for these types of processes. For example, the *let-7* or *miR-30* families promote differentiated cell fates while *miR-290* family members are associated to self-renewal events.

Despite of the fact that miRNAs have been for the best characterized small non-coding RNAs, some aspects of their biogenesis still remain to be uncovered. Recent studies have revealed alternative mechanisms of biogenesis and recycling of miRNAs [5-11]. This review will mainly focus on the mechanisms of miRNA biogenesis generated by both canonical and non-canonical pathways. Furthermore, we will take in hand the study of miRNA recycling mechanisms and posttranscriptional modifications, due to the alternative processing of precursors and editing processes. Recycling mechanisms

allow the production of new mature miRNA molecules without a need to generate new miRNA precursors.

The canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway

Typically, miRNA biogenesis is directed through a specific promoter or as part of a host gene in which miRNA is usually enclosed within intronic regions. Some miRNAs are closely located in a genome and are transcribed as part of a common transcript (cluster of miRNAs), similar to polycistronic units [12, 13]. RNA polymerase II transcribes miRNA genes as large polyadenilated RNA molecules named primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) [14-16] (Fig. 1). These pri-miRNAs contain sequence complementary regions capable of materializing stem loops which include bulges and mismatches. Primary miRNAs are processed in the nucleus by a protein complex containing the RNase III enzyme DROSHA and DGCR8 [17, 18]. As result of this processing, pri-miRNAs are cleaved into smaller double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) molecules known as pre-microRNAs. In mammals and other vertebrates, together with flies pre-miRNAs are exported to the cytoplasm by exportin-5 (XPO5) [19-21]. In the cytoplasm, pre-microRNAs are cleaved and despoiled of their loops by the RNase III enzyme DICER, which through interaction with the protein TRBP produces double stranded RNAs characterized by overhangs of 2-3 nucleotides at both ends [22, 23]. These processed products are known as miRNA duplexes, as functional mature miRNAs are single stranded. The last processing step requires the active participation of a ribonucleoprotein complex known as RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) which is

able to unwind both strands. Although either strand of the miRNA duplex could potentially act as a mature miRNA, usually only one of the strands is incorporated into the RISC complex to induce the mRNA silencing [24, 25]. The strand selection process is still a subject of study full of recurrent controversies [24-29]. Specificity of either strand has been associated to different cell types and developmental stages [30]. Once loaded, RISC mediates in the recognition of the mRNA to be targeted.

Key components of the RISC complex are the Argonaute (AGO) family proteins [31-34]. Argonaute proteins bind to the different types of small non-coding interference RNAs such as: miRNAs, endogenous-small-interfering RNAs (endo-siRNAs) and piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs). In mammals, seven Argonaute family proteins have been described. These proteins have been classified in two subfamilies known as the AGO subfamily and the PIWI subfamily [31-34]. The proteins encompassed in the AGO subfamily (AGO1-4) are involved in the miRNA and the endo-siRNA pathways, nonetheless, only AGO2 displays endonuclease activity [35-37]. On the contrary, PIWI proteins are only involved in the piRNA pathway.

The non-canonical biogenesis of miRNAs

In the past few years alternative sources of miRNAs have emerged. The biogenesis of such miRNAs entails some elements belonging to the canonical pathway but the genomic origin differs from that of the classical miRNAs (Fig. 1). Although most miRNAs are located in intergenic regions, some are derived from intragenic segments. A particular miRNA type derived from intra-transcribed loci is that known as mirtron

together with its variant called a sintrom. Mirtrons, localized in the intronic regions of mRNAs, can generate double stranded loop structures as do the regular miRNAs but are processed to pre-miRNAs by the spliceosome machinery (Fig 1). Their biogenesis pathway is DROSHA/DGCR8-independent as was demonstrated in *Drosophila* mutants [38] and *DGCR8* knockout cells [39, 40]. The existence of mirtrons, initially discovered in *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster* [41, 42], has been demonstrated in different organisms from plants to mammals [43-45]. Following resolution of the intron lariat, the RNA product of splicing adopts a pre-miRNA like form, which can be further processed as a canonical pre-miRNA bound to XPO5, to then be transferred to the cytoplasm to continue with the canonical pathways (Fig. 1). The usual concept regarding a mirtron entails that the pre-miRNA-like mirtron is generated by the direct cleavage and excision within the splice donor and acceptor sites of the mRNA. That is, the spliceosome machinery generates both prime ends of the pre-mirtron. However, not in all pre-mirtrons do the ends of the double stranded regions coincide with the ends of the intron. Some pre-mirtrons retain at the 3' or 5' end a single stranded tail [39, 41, 46] which has to be processed before proceeding to the cytoplasmic export and cleavage by DICER. Such trimming is carried out by the action of the RNA exosome components, such as the Rrp6 nuclear exonuclease at the 3' tail which stops its action at the secondary structure of the double-stranded stem of the pre-mirtron [46]. In vertebrates, 5' end tailed mirtrons have been identified [39, 47, 48], while 3' tailed pre-mirtrons have only been detected in *Drosophila*. Specific exonuclease trimming of the 5' end tailed pre-mirtrons has not been identified yet. Identification by deep sequencing of mirtrons in

mammals [44] and the differences detected from those found in insects suggest a relatively late evolutionary diversification of mirtrons.

A recently discovered mirtron variants are the splicing-independent mirtron-like miRNAs, termed simtrons [49]. The main characteristics of this new variant of non-canonical miRNA biogenesis are the spliceosome-independent but DROSHA-dependent processing of the pre-simtrons together with an XPO5 lack of dependence for transport to the cytoplasm. Replacement of DICER processing by AGO2 slicing of miRNAs was reported as a non-canonical alternative to the miRNA biogenesis of particular miRNA [36, 50-52] However, simtron processing are independent through DICER or AGO2. This novel type of a mirtron-like microRNA enhances the mechanisms that control the regulation of miRNA biogenesis and as a consequence the functional role of specific miRNA originated from such alternative mechanisms.

Other sources of miRNAs bypassing canonical biogenesis have also been described. Some small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) can render miRNAs [53, 54], which are similar to mirtrons substrates for processing in a DICER-dependent and DROSHA/DGCR-independent pathway [55]. Transfer RNAs can also generate miRNAs which have to be sliced by DICER [56]. We have also recently identified some piRNAs in oocyte and sperm mouse cells with potential mRNA targets, in other words, with functional roles similar to miRNAs (manuscript submitted) [57].

Regulatory mechanisms involved in the miRNA biogenesis pathway

The main regulatory mechanisms that operate in the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway act on three levels: first, during the transcription of pri-microRNAs, second, by means of the editing mechanisms that can disrupt the processing of precursors and finally through the regulation of the processing machineries such as the DROSHA/DGCR8 complex or DICER.

With regard to the pri-microRNA regulation of transcription, in a manner similar to that of coding genes, it is mediated by transcription factors that are specific to cell types, respond to environmental stimuli or are necessary to trigger developmental pathways. For example, as to the transcription factors OCT4 and SOX2, which are involved in stem cell maintenance, these in turn also regulate the transcription of the *mmu-miR-302* miRNA cluster [58-60]. Both OCT4 and SOX2 proteins bind to the conserved promoter region of *miR-302* in a manner that *miR-302* and their cluster partners are expressed in the same cells or tissues and at the same time as *Oct4* and *Sox2* [61-63]. Another example regards the regulation of *pri-miRNA-34* transcription by the P53 protein [64, 65]. In response to DNA damage, P53 is activated and acts on the promoter of *miR-34* thus activating expression. It is thought that *miR-34* induces the stop signals of the cell cycle pathway. Additionally, similar as occurs in protein-coding genes, the methylation of promoter regions can affect miRNA expression. For example, miRNAs involved in tumor suppression such as *miR-148*, *miR-34b/c* or *miR-9* undergo aberrant hypermethylation patterns that have been associated to cancer disease [66-68]. The fact that the methylation of regulatory genome regions can also operate on the regulation of miRNA expression implies that the epigenetic modifications of the genome

at miRNA loci can induce additional check points with reference to epigenome regulatory mechanisms.

Precursor miRNAs can suffer sequence modifications by ‘editing’ before they are processed. The elicitors of the editing mechanisms are the protein family of the Adenosine Deaminases Acting on RNA (ADAR) [69-72]. The members of this family act on dsRNAs, including miRNAs, by modifying their nucleotide sequences. The editing process involves the specific deamination of one or more adenosine nucleotides by transforming adenosine into inosine (Fig. 1 and 2) [69-72]. Inosine is recognized by the translation machinery and in the RNA-RNA binding as nucleotide guanosine. However, it has been reported that the edition can disrupt the recognition of pri- and pre-miRNA by the DROSHA/DGCR8 and DICER processing machineries [73, 74]. Alternatively, when edition affects the seed sequence of mature miRNA it can cause a phenomenon known as retargeting [75, 76]. The editing mechanism will be discussed in detail further on.

Finally, the activity of DROSHA/DGCR8 and DICER can be regulated during the biogenesis of some miRNAs. For example, the ribonucleoprotein hnRNPA1 binds to the loop region of *pri-microRNA-18a* to facilitate its processing by DROSHA/DGCR8 well ahead of their miRNA cluster partners (*miR-17-92* cluster) [77, 78]. On the other hand, the activation of the ERK protein mediates the phosphorylation of TRBP by stabilizing the binding of pre-microRNAs with DICER [79]. As a result of this phosphorylation, the precursor molecule processing efficiencies are increased. Another example is the regulation of the *let-7* biogenesis. The protein LIN28 represses both the processing of pri-microRNA to pre-microRNA of the *let-7* microRNA as well as the

pre-microRNA-let-7 processing by DICER [80-82]. By a feedback mechanism, *let-7* also regulates the translation of *Lin28* mRNA [83].

Bioavailability and miRNA recycling

The global bioavailability of miRNAs is presumably related to the availability of the different elements of the miRNA biogenesis machinery. Changes in the levels of expression of the genes encoding for the biogenesis and function of miRNAs can be modulated during development or cell type differentiation [84]. Key element depletion in the biogenesis pathway could condition the production stop of new mature miRNAs. Such switch-off mechanisms can comprise functional roles during cell differentiation and development which drive the reprogramming of the miRNA-mediated gene expression. For example, the suppression of the maternal program to initiate the zygotic activation program is one of the biological processes that occur during the early stages of embryo development after fertilization. The suppression of the miRNA activity during these stages in mammals was suggested by studies in *Dgcr8*^{-/-} mutant mice. Following this line, we have also reported on the global decay of expression of genes involved in the canonical biogenesis pathway from fertilization to blastocyst mouse embryos [5]. However, a global lack of new miRNA biogenesis does not necessarily imply an absence of specific miRNA availability. We have previously demonstrated, that in early embryo stages in which expression of genes encoding proteins involved in the biogenesis of miRNAs was dramatically down-regulated, specific miRNAs such as *mmu-miR-292-3p* and *mmu-miR-292-5p* could be preserved as double stranded molecules by a ‘protection’

through the binding to mRNA targets, pseudogenes, duplex passenger strands or other types of RNA-specific reservoirs [5] (Fig. 1). A similar miRNA protection by their heteroduplex cognate mRNA targets has also been reported in *C. elegans* [85]. Recent articles corroborate on the existence of such mature miRNA reservoirs in cells [7, 8, 86]. These reservoirs have the capacity to capture mature miRNA molecules to inhibit the miRNA silencing activities (Fig. 1). Some of these reservoirs are in fact RNA molecules that have a circular shape and can act as miRNA 'sponges' in which the miRNAs could be stored until their hypothetical recycling [6]. This new type of RNA molecule has been given the name of circular RNAs (circRNAs) [7, 8].

Functional bioavailability of specific miRNAs can also be modulated by the regulation of endogenous elements able to 'sequester' miRNA molecules. This hypothesis has been demonstrated by the regulated expression of pseudogenes which share the 3' UTR of their corresponding coding genes allowing the binding of miRNAs that are naturally involved in the post-transcriptional negative regulation of the coding genes. This fact has been reported in the up-regulation of *PTEN* caused by the over expression of *PTENPI*, a known *PTEN* pseudogene, resulting in the suppression of cell growth. In turn, *PTEN* mRNA can act as a decoy for miRNAs that would down-regulate *PTENPI* transcripts [87].

A yet poorly characterized aspect of miRNA activity is the fate of these small RNA molecules after eliciting the mRNA silencing. Furthermore, how the miRNA-induced suppression finalizes, remains still an enigma. MicroRNAs have an unexpected long half-life and can reach high cell concentrations (Baccarini et al. 2011), even when key miRNA biogenesis elements such as DROSHA/DGCR8 or DICER are absent [88]

or show very low expression levels [89]. The existence of miRNA recycling pathways following the regulation of their targets facilitates their turnover.

One of the main features of miRNAs consists in their capacity to regulate multiple mRNA targets. However, the number of target transcripts in a cell often exceeds the number of miRNAs capable of regulating their transcripts [90]. It remains extremely difficult to explain how a relative low number of miRNAs are able to down-regulate a large number of transcripts. The constant recycling of miRNAs derived from loci where mRNA target degradation is actively being carried out could explain the efficiency of miRNAs with regard to their gene silencing activities. Recycling exponentially increases the capacity of each mature miRNA molecule to regulate even hundreds of mRNA molecules without requiring a new processing of the miRNA precursor molecule. Nevertheless, where and how these miRNAs are stored until use remains poorly understood. The existence of molecular miRNA reservoirs, as mentioned above, could explain some of the peculiarities of the functional miRNA dynamics. Previous to the discovery of circRNAs, the possibility had already been suggested that mRNA targets could act as active reservoirs of the miRNAs that regulate them [89].

In general, recent data has suggested that the interaction between miRNAs and their mRNA targets could be driven by dynamic ways. If the miRNA/mRNA interaction is the cause of target degradation, the miRNA could bind again to another, similar or different mRNA target molecule. Nonetheless, if the binding between the miRNA and its target inhibits translation without an mRNA cleavage, then the miRNA could keep on attached to the mRNA target and the mRNA would act as a reservoir of miRNAs. Finally, other types of RNAs such as pseudogene-coded mRNAs or circRNAs could

capture itinerant miRNAs, thus avoiding the interaction between miRNAs and their potential mRNA targets, which in response to demand could directly provide many functional and mature miRNAs.

“One for all and all for one”: enhancing versatility

Based on the principles of miRNA-target recognition, on average each miRNA can recognize about 100-200 potential target sites of the transcriptome [91-93], considering only the seed region of the miRNAs and the 3'UTRs of the mRNAs, although additional interaction sites occur [94]. In turn, each transcript has various predicted and functional miRNA sites [91, 95, 96]. More than 50% of the human protein-coding genes contain conserved miRNA targeting sites [97]. This implies that the number of combinatorial miRNA-mRNA interactions enormously enhance regulatory possibilities. Moreover, this potential variability is further expanded if we consider the alternative transcription of genes coding for mRNA targets (such as SNPs, alternative polyadenylation sites and alternative splicing products) along with all the different alternative modifications that result from the miRNA alternative biogenesis mechanisms.

MicroRNA editing

As dsRNAs, miRNA precursor molecules are targets of ADAR proteins. Recent evidences indicate that A-to-I editing of miRNA precursors (pri- and pre-miRNAs) affects both the miRNA function and biogenesis [98-100]. The editing of miRNA precursor molecules can alter the DROSHA/DGCR8 and DICER/TRBP recognition and

processing. Initially, evidence on miRNA editing was first observed during the processing of *miR-22* [101] and was later also detected during the biogenesis of other miRNAs. Although, in the beginning it was suggested that miRNA precursor editing acted as a negative modulator of miRNA biogenesis, recently it has been demonstrated that the edited mature miRNAs can coexist with canonic mature miRNA molecules in the cell [74, 75, 102]. Actually, it has not been well established how the editing mechanisms trigger the elimination of miRNAs via TUDOR [99, 103] or, alternatively, modify miRNA precursors by changing their nucleotide sequence, to generate the alternative mature miRNA isoforms [75]. It seems that both routes act simultaneously. For example, research on miRNAs by deep sequencing in oocytes and zygotes revealed that mature miRNAs such as *miR-376a*, *let7-g*, *miR-27a* and *miR-411*, contained a considerable number of A-to-I sequence editions. In contrast, the edition levels of other miRNAs as for instance *miR-151*, *miR-379* or *miR-376b* (-5p form) were low [75].

In general, the outcome of ADAR editing varies according to where the edited nucleotides are located. It has been established that the editing can impinge on the processing by DROSHA/DGCR8 and DICER/TRBP [100, 103]. However, is not yet clear whether edition results in a change of any recognition sequence into miRNA precursor molecules or if on the contrary the spatial conformation of miRNA molecules is changed. As dsRNA molecules, the pri- and pre-microRNA adopt tridimensional structures that could determine the accessibility of proteins involved in miRNA biogenesis. Secondary structures are also determined by the nucleotide sequence. In this manner, A-to-I editions can modify the secondary structure of precursor molecules,

hampering DROSHA/DGCR8 and DICER/TRBP recognition and in consequence hindering miRNA precursor processing.

The fate of the edited precursor molecules which are not processed has so far not been firmly established. Hypothetically, edited miRNA precursors could be maintained into cell reservoirs until needed for use as occurs with mature miRNAs. Nevertheless, the degradation of the edited-non-processed miRNA precursor molecules is so far the only mode reported [103]. For example, the editing of *miR-142* precursor molecules blocks the DROSHA/DGCR8 pri-microRNA cleavage [100]. It has been observed that *pri-miRNA-142* sequences displayed multiple A-to-I changes. These hyper-edited miRNA precursors were degraded via TUDOR-SN. This endonuclease recognizes inosine residues in dsRNAs and induces their cleavage [100, 103, 104]. Consequently, ADAR proteins could mark the miRNA precursor molecules that must be cleaved by hyper-editing their adenosines. It has not been well established how many A-to-I changes are necessary to promote the degradation of precursor miRNA molecules by TUDOR-SN. However, recently it was suggested that this degradation could occur for specific cytoplasm domains that have been named T-bodies (TUDOR-SN bodies) [75].

Nevertheless, editing can also modify the mature sequence of miRNAs. Such editions probably alter the function instead of the miRNA biogenesis. Due to the precise recognition requirement between the miRNA seed region and its specific target, A-to-I editions which affect the seed region can generate miRNA retargeting. However, both in mice and humans, editing and other polymorphisms are not promiscuously detected in the seed regions [75, 105], suggesting a strong selective constraint on the miRNA/mRNA recognition site. Finally, ADAR proteins can act directly on mRNAs

by modifying the recognition-site where the miRNA potentially bind [106]. This could also have an effect on the binding between the miRNA and their targets with regard to mRNA.

IsomiRs

Usually, miRNAs are annotated as a single defined sequence [107]. Using RNA-sequencing, it has been observed that a pre-miRNA very often gives rise to more than one mature miRNA sequence [107-109]. These variants are named isomiRs and almost all of these molecules were initially considered to be artifacts [107, 110-112]. Nowadays, the capacity of isomiRs to be associated to RISC and to the translational machinery of polysomes has been demonstrated, further indicating that they could also interact with mRNAs [110, 113]. These miRNA variants can encompass substitutions, insertions or deletions (polymorphic isomiRs), 3'-isomirs and 5'-isomirs. 5' and 3' isomiRs include non-template additions and 5' - 3' cleavage variations [107, 110] (Fig. 2).

In terms of the number of miRNAs and their overall abundance, the most common type of isomiR in animals and plants consist of the 3' isomiRs [113-116]. As in regular miRNAs, isomiRs vary among different cells or tissues and according to specific biological stimuli [114-117]. This suggests that the presence of some isomiRs could be regulated according to different cell functions.

5' and 3' template modifications

It has been assumed that DICER needs a defined pre-miRNA distance of 22nt from the 5' to the 3' end in order to be able to cleave both strands to produce a miRNA

duplex [118-121]. However, a high proportion of the identified isomiRs containing 5' and 3' modifications were derived from processing variations in the cleavage position of the precursor molecules by the DROSHA or DICER enzymes [107, 122, 123]. The most abundant isomiRs have been found to differ only by 1 or 2 nt at the 5' -or 3'-end of their sequences [123]. These results have indicated that DROSHA is more specific than DICER during the cleavage process. In consequence, more variation with regard to cleavage sites occurs near to the loop as compared to the base of the stem in pre-miRNA hairpins. DICER's cleavage imprecision can be explained by the simultaneous DICER protein recognition of the RNA-recognition PAZ domain and the RNase III domain. DICER's dual recognition permits to adopt a relatively flexible structure that accommodates pre-miRNA substrates, whereas the RNA recognition associated to DROSHA cleavage is provided only by protein DGCR8 [124, 125].

Changes of miRNA templates could also be associated to exoribonucleases. MicroRNAs bound to AGO proteins could be modified by nucleolytic trimming at the 3' ends to generate isomiRs. For example, in the *Drosophila* miRNA biogenesis pathway, Liu et al., (2011) [126] found that *mir-34* displays multiple isoforms that differ at the 3' end. These isoforms are produced by the action of the 3' → 5' exoribonuclease CG9247/NIBBLER. Trimming of miRNA at the 3' ends occurs after removal of the passenger miRNA strand from the pre-RISC and may be the final step of the RISC assembly, ultimately enhancing the target [127].

5' and 3' non-template modifications

The 3' ends of mature miRNAs are highly heterogeneous, whereas the 5' ends which correspond to the seed regions are relatively invariable. The patterns and sources of heterogeneity seem to vary depending on the miRNA species and according to the cell type. The 3' ends often contain 1 – 3 extra nucleotides that do not match with the genomic DNA sequences. Sequence alterations of miRNAs can occur by the addition of non-template nucleotides to the miRNA termini [111, 128]. The first description of a 3' end modification of small RNA was rendered in the *hen1* mutant of *Arabidopsis* [129]. HEN1 is a methyl transferase that adds a methyl group to the 2'-OH at the 3' end of the RNA [130]. The addition of nucleotides is performed by a group of nucleotidyl transferases [131]. In humans there are twelve nucleotidyl transferases, seven of them are implicated in isomiR generation (reviewed by [107]). In both humans and mice, these enzymes have uridylyltransferase and/or adenylyltransferase activity which explain the most frequent non-template modifications such as insertions of single or multiple U (uridylation) or A (adenylation) [132]. Uridylation plays a significant role in the control of miRNA biogenesis. In mammalian embryonic stem cells, *let-7* biogenesis is suppressed by the LIN28 protein which binds to the terminal loop of *let-7* precursors [133, 134]. Of special interest remains the fact that LIN28 induces the 3' uridylation of *pre-let-7* by recruiting the terminal nucleotidyl transferase TUT4 [135]. The oligo U-tail added by TUT4 blocks the DICER processing mechanism and facilitates the decay of *pre-let-7*. In the case of the mammalian *miR-122*, which is adenylated by cytoplasmic poly (A) polymerase GLD-2 (or also TUTase2), 3' end adenylation is also implicated in its stabilization [136]. Deep sequencing of the AGO-associated small RNAs has shown

that adenylated miRNAs are relatively depleted in the AGO2 and AGO3 complexes, whereby suggesting that adenylation may interfere with AGO loading.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

Genetic variations range from large chromosomal anomalies to single nucleotide changes. SNPs are the most frequently identified variants of DNA sequences. SNPs affecting miRNAs could potentially affect the maturation process of miRNAs, the silencing machinery, the structure or the expression level of mature miRNA and the base pairing to target sites. Polymorphisms at a level of single nucleotides may also have functional roles in relation to miRNA-mediated gene regulation [137, 138]. Screening by numerous bioinformatics analyses has so far demonstrated only a very low density of SNPs in miRNA profiles [105, 139]. Polymorphisms in pre-miRNA may have an effect on miRNA maturation and thereby modulate miRNA expression. Several groups have tried to identify SNPs within or flanking pre-miRNA sequences using experimental or bioinformatics approaches. In one study, 173 human pre-miRNAs pertaining to 96 Japanese individuals were sequenced, identifying 10 SNPs in 10 pre-miRNA hairpins [140]. Yet another study, identified 12 SNPs located within 227 human pre-miRNA sequences [141]. Borel et al. (2012) [142] detected sixty-five SNPs in 49 pre-miRNAs but only in the case of 3 pre-miRNAs (*hsa-miR-125a*, *hsa-miR-627* and *hsa-miR-662*) were the SNPs located within the seed region [142], thus demonstrating that SNPs within miRNA seed regions are very rare (~6%). Furthermore, a G/U polymorphism, located at the eighth nucleotide within the mature sequence of *miR-125a*, has been functionally characterized to block the processing of pri-miRNA into pre-miRNA

altering the translation suppression of the mRNA target: *Lin28* [141]. All of the above comprise good examples concerning the importance of the miRNA related SNP.

Recently, the role of SNPs has been analyzed with reference to miRNA processing of cancer cells. For example, the *hsa-miR-146a* SNP (rs2910164) within the *pre-miR146a* sequence consists of one of the most thoroughly studied cases [137]. This SNP reduces both the amount of *pre-miR146a* and mature *hsa-miR-146a* affecting ultimately the DROSHA/DGCR8 cropping step, with consequent multiple associations to papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC), familial/sporadic breast cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma [143-148]. In addition, SNPs of mRNA located in the 3' UTR region of the specific binding site of miRNAs induce regulation pattern changes of the corresponding transcript. An SNP located in the *KRAS* 3' UTR induces the over expression of *KRAS*, which has been correlated to an increased risk to acquire non-small cell lung cancer due to the lack of miRNA *let-7* binding [149]. All of these studies have further contributed to the knowledge about miRNA binding site SNPs and cancer susceptibility; nonetheless, the need remains to carry out systematic studies to understand the role that SNPs play in connection to biological processes.

Expert opinion

The continuous progress in the quest to understand gene expression regulation mediated by small non-coding RNA, including miRNAs, is deeply revolutionizing our concepts in relation to the dynamics of functional genomics. The ubiquitous presence of these actors in the panorama of most biological processes, including pathologies, is

offering new visions, new experimental approaches and new perspectives with regard to the study of cellular and developmental biology. The multiple variants of the 'miRNome' are enhancing the world of fine regulation, the diversity, as well as the response to environmental changes experienced by biological systems. However, both the biogenesis and the real functionality of some of the described and annotated miRNAs deposited in the miRNA databases (miRBase) will need a further re-definition as recently has already been proposed [150]. Comprehension of the functional roles and possibilities of gene regulation by miRNAs has only just initiated.

Acknowledgements

We thank R.M. Fratini for the English revision of the manuscript. Research on microRNAs carried out in del Mazo's laboratory was supported by the following grants:, CEFIC-LRi; MEDDTL (11-MRES-PNRPE-9-CVS-072), France; and CSIC (PIE 201020E016), Spain.

References:

1. Axtell MJ, Westholm JO, Lai EC. Vive la difference: biogenesis and evolution of microRNAs in plants and animals. *Genome Biol* 2011; 12:221.
2. Griffiths-Jones S. The microRNA Registry. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; 32:D109-111.
3. Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: integrating microRNA annotation and deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39:D152-157.

4. Zhang R, Su B. Small but influential: the role of microRNAs on gene regulatory network and 3'UTR evolution. *J Genet Genomics* 2009; 36:1-6.
5. Garcia-Lopez J, del Mazo J. Expression dynamics of microRNA biogenesis during preimplantation mouse development. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2012; 1819:847-854.
6. Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, Bramsen JB, Finsen B, Damgaard CK, Kjems J. Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 2013; 495:384-388.
7. Ledford H. Circular RNAs throw genetics for a loop. *Nature* 2013; 494:415.
8. Memczak S, Jens M, Elefsinioti A, Torti F, Krueger J, Rybak A, Maier L, Mackowiak SD, Gregersen LH, Munschauer M, Loewer A, Ziebold U, et al. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. *Nature* 2013; 495:333-338.
9. Baccarini A, Chauhan H, Gardner TJ, Jayaprakash AD, Sachidanandam R, Brown BD. Kinetic Analysis Reveals the Fate of a MicroRNA following Target Regulation in Mammalian Cells. *Curr Biol* 2011; 21:369-376.
10. Kosik KS. Molecular biology: Circles reshape the RNA world. *Nature* 2013; 495:322-324.
11. Loinger A, Shemla Y, Simon I, Margalit H, Biham O. Competition between small RNAs: a quantitative view. *Biophys J* 2012; 102:1712-1721.
12. Chua JH, Armugam A, Jeyaseelan K. MicroRNAs: biogenesis, function and applications. *Curr Opin Mol Ther* 2009; 11:189-199.
13. Faller M, Guo F. MicroRNA biogenesis: there's more than one way to skin a cat. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008; 1779:663-667.

14. Cai Y, Yu X, Hu S, Yu J. A brief review on the mechanisms of miRNA regulation. *Genom Proteom Bioinformat* 2009; 7:147-154.
15. Chu CY, Rana TM. Small RNAs: regulators and guardians of the genome. *J Cell Physiol* 2007; 213:412-419.
16. Davis BN, Hata A. Mechanisms of control of microRNA biogenesis. *J Biochem* 2010.
17. Denli AM, Tops BB, Plasterk RH, Ketting RF, Hannon GJ. Processing of primary microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. *Nature* 2004; 432:231-235.
18. Gregory RI, Chendrimada TP, Shiekhattar R. MicroRNA biogenesis: isolation and characterization of the microprocessor complex. *Methods Mol Biol* 2006; 342:33-47.
19. Bohnsack MT, Czaplinski K, Gorlich D. Exportin 5 is a RanGTP-dependent dsRNA-binding protein that mediates nuclear export of pre-miRNAs. *RNA* 2004; 10:185-191.
20. Lund E, Dahlberg JE. Substrate selectivity of exportin 5 and Dicer in the biogenesis of microRNAs. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2006; 71:59-66.
21. Ohrt T, Merkle D, Birkenfeld K, Echeverri CJ, Schwille P. In situ fluorescence analysis demonstrates active siRNA exclusion from the nucleus by Exportin 5. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006; 34:1369-1380.
22. Chendrimada TP, Gregory RI, Kumaraswamy E, Norman J, Cooch N, Nishikura K, Shiekhattar R. TRBP recruits the Dicer complex to Ago2 for microRNA processing and gene silencing. *Nature* 2005; 436:740-744.
23. Daniels SM, Melendez-Pena CE, Scarborough RJ, Daher A, Christensen HS, El Far M, Purcell DF, Laine S, Gatignol A. Characterization of the TRBP domain

- required for dicer interaction and function in RNA interference. *BMC Mol Biol* 2009; 10:38.
24. Okamura K, Liu N, Lai EC. Distinct mechanisms for microRNA strand selection by *Drosophila* Argonautes. *Mol Cell* 2009; 36:431-444.
 25. Shin C. Cleavage of the star strand facilitates assembly of some microRNAs into Ago2-containing silencing complexes in mammals. *Mol Cell* 2008; 26:308-313.
 26. Chatterjee S, Grosshans H. Active turnover modulates mature microRNA activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 2009; 461:546-549.
 27. Eamens AL, Smith NA, Curtin SJ, Wang MB, Waterhouse PM. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* double-stranded RNA binding protein DRB1 directs guide strand selection from microRNA duplexes. *RNA* 2009.
 28. Miller S, Jones LE, Giovannitti K, Piper D, Serra MJ. Thermodynamic analysis of 5' and 3' single- and 3' double-nucleotide overhangs neighboring wobble terminal base pairs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008; 36:5652-5659.
 29. O'Toole AS, Miller S, Haines N, Zink MC, Serra MJ. Comprehensive thermodynamic analysis of 3' double-nucleotide overhangs neighboring Watson-Crick terminal base pairs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006; 34:3338-3344.
 30. Biasiolo M, Sales G, Lionetti M, Agnelli L, Todoerti K, Bisognin A, Coppe A, Romualdi C, Neri A, Bortoluzzi S. Impact of host genes and strand selection on miRNA and miRNA* expression. *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6:e23854.
 31. Joshua-Tor L. The Argonautes. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2006; 71:67-72.
 32. Mallory AC, Elmayan T, Vaucheret H. MicroRNA maturation and action--the expanding roles of ARGONAUTES. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2008; 11:560-566.

33. Mourelatos Z, Dostie J, Paushkin S, Sharma A, Charroux B, Abel L, Rappsilber J, Mann M, Dreyfuss G. miRNPs: a novel class of ribonucleoproteins containing numerous microRNAs. *Genes Dev* 2002; 16:720-728.
34. Peters L, Meister G. Argonaute proteins: mediators of RNA silencing. *Mol Cell* 2007; 26:611-623.
35. Choe J, Cho H, Lee HC, Kim YK. microRNA/Argonaute 2 regulates nonsense-mediated messenger RNA decay. *EMBO Rep* 2010; 11:380-386.
36. Cifuentes D, Xue H, Taylor DW, Patnode H, Mishima Y, Cheloufi S, Ma E, Mane S, Hannon GJ, Lawson ND, Wolfe SA, Giraldez AJ. A novel miRNA processing pathway independent of Dicer requires Argonaute2 catalytic activity. *Science* 2010; 328:1694-1698.
37. Meister G, Landthaler M, Patkaniowska A, Dorsett Y, Teng G, Tuschl T. Human Argonaute2 mediates RNA cleavage targeted by miRNAs and siRNAs. *Mol Cell* 2004; 15:185-197.
38. Martin R, Smibert P, Yalcin A, Tyler DM, Schafer U, Tuschl T, Lai EC. A *Drosophila* pasha mutant distinguishes the canonical microRNA and mirtron pathways. *Mol Cell Biol* 2009; 29:861-870.
39. Babiarz JE, Ruby JG, Wang Y, Bartel DP, Blelloch R. Mouse ES cells express endogenous shRNAs, siRNAs, and other Microprocessor-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs. *Genes Dev* 2008; 22:2773-2785.
40. Chong MM, Zhang G, Cheloufi S, Neubert TA, Hannon GJ, Littman DR. Canonical and alternate functions of the microRNA biogenesis machinery. *Genes Dev* 2010; 24:1951-1960.
41. Ruby JG, Jan CH, Bartel DP. Intronic microRNA precursors that bypass Drosha processing. *Nature* 2007; 448:83-86.

42. Okamura K, Hagen JW, Duan H, Tyler DM, Lai EC. The mirtron pathway generates microRNA-class regulatory RNAs in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 2007; 130:89-100.
43. Curtis HJ, Sibley CR, Wood MJ. Mirtrons, an emerging class of atypical miRNA. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* 2012; 3:617-632.
44. Berezikov E, Chung WJ, Willis J, Cuppen E, Lai EC. Mammalian mirtron genes. *Mol Cell* 2007; 28:328-336.
45. Sibley CR, Seow Y, Saayman S, Dijkstra KK, El Andaloussi S, Weinberg MS, Wood MJ. The biogenesis and characterization of mammalian microRNAs of mirtron origin. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40:438-448.
46. Flynt AS, Greimann JC, Chung WJ, Lima CD, Lai EC. MicroRNA biogenesis via splicing and exosome-mediated trimming in *Drosophila*. *Mol Cell* 2010; 38:900-907.
47. Glazov EA, Kongsuwan K, Assavalapsakul W, Horwood PF, Mitter N, Mahony TJ. Repertoire of bovine miRNA and miRNA-like small regulatory RNAs expressed upon viral infection. *PLoS ONE* 2009; 4:e6349.
48. Chiang HR, Schoenfeld LW, Ruby JG, Auyeung VC, Spies N, Baek D, Johnston WK, Russ C, Luo S, Babiarz JE, Blelloch R, Schroth GP, et al. Mammalian microRNAs: experimental evaluation of novel and previously annotated genes. *Genes Dev* 2010; 24:992-1009.
49. Havens MA, Reich AA, Duelli DM, Hastings ML. Biogenesis of mammalian microRNAs by a non-canonical processing pathway. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40:4626-4640.

50. Cheloufi S, Dos Santos CO, Chong MM, Hannon GJ. A dicer-independent miRNA biogenesis pathway that requires Ago catalysis. *Nature* 2010; 465:584-589.
51. Yang JS, Lai EC. Dicer-independent, Ago2-mediated microRNA biogenesis in vertebrates. *Cell Cycle* 2010; 9:4455-4460.
52. Yang JS, Maurin T, Robine N, Rasmussen KD, Jeffrey KL, Chandwani R, Papapetrou EP, Sadelain M, O'Carroll D, Lai EC. Conserved vertebrate mir-451 provides a platform for Dicer-independent, Ago2-mediated microRNA biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010; 107:15163-15168.
53. Brameier M, Herwig A, Reinhardt R, Walter L, Gruber J. Human box C/D snoRNAs with miRNA like functions: expanding the range of regulatory RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39:675-686.
54. Ono M, Scott MS, Yamada K, Avolio F, Barton GJ, Lamond AI. Identification of human miRNA precursors that resemble box C/D snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39:3879-3891.
55. Ender C, Krek A, Friedlander MR, Beitzinger M, Weinmann L, Chen W, Pfeffer S, Rajewsky N, Meister G. A human snoRNA with microRNA-like functions. *Mol Cell* 2008; 32:519-528.
56. Cole C, Sobala A, Lu C, Thatcher SR, Bowman A, Brown JW, Green PJ, Barton GJ, Hutvagner G. Filtering of deep sequencing data reveals the existence of abundant Dicer-dependent small RNAs derived from tRNAs. *RNA* 2009; 15:2147-2160.
57. García-López J, Hourcade J, Alonso L, Cárdenas DB, del Mazo J. Characterization and parental contribution of piRNAs and endo-siRNAs to mouse zygotes. (manuscript submitted) 2013.

58. Gunaratne PH. Embryonic stem cell microRNAs: defining factors in induced pluripotent (iPS) and cancer (CSC) stem cells? *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 2009; 4:168-177.
59. Keramari M, Razavi J, Ingman KA, Patsch C, Edenhofer F, Ward CM, Kimber SJ. Sox2 is essential for formation of trophectoderm in the preimplantation embryo. *PLoS ONE* 2010; 5:e13952.
60. Rosa A, Brivanlou AH. A regulatory circuitry comprised of miR-302 and the transcription factors OCT4 and NR2F2 regulates human embryonic stem cell differentiation. *EMBO J* 2011; 30:237-248.
61. Liu H, Deng S, Zhao Z, Zhang H, Xiao J, Song W, Gao F, Guan Y. Oct4 regulates the miR-302 cluster in P19 mouse embryonic carcinoma cells. *Mol Biol Rep* 2011; 38:2155-2160.
62. Card DA, Hebbar PB, Li L, Trotter KW, Komatsu Y, Mishina Y, Archer TK. Oct4/Sox2-regulated miR-302 targets cyclin D1 in human embryonic stem cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008; 28:6426-6438.
63. Lin SL, Chang DC, Lin CH, Ying SY, Leu D, Wu DT. Regulation of somatic cell reprogramming through inducible mir-302 expression. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; 39:1054-1065.
64. Hermeking H. p53 enters the microRNA world. *Cancer Cell* 2007; 12:414-418.
65. Ji Q, Hao X, Meng Y, Zhang M, Desano J, Fan D, Xu L. Restoration of tumor suppressor miR-34 inhibits human p53-mutant gastric cancer tumorspheres. *BMC Cancer* 2008; 8:266.
66. Tsai KW, Hu LY, Wu CW, Li SC, Lai CH, Kao HW, Fang WL, Lin WC. Epigenetic regulation of miR-196b expression in gastric cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2010; 49:969-980.

67. Lehmann U, Hasemeier B, Christgen M, Muller M, Romermann D, Langer F, Kreipe H. Epigenetic inactivation of microRNA gene hsa-mir-9-1 in human breast cancer. *J Pathol* 2008; 214:17-24.
68. Wang Z, Chen Z, Gao Y, Li N, Li B, Tan F, Tan X, Lu N, Sun Y, Sun J, Sun N, He J. DNA hypermethylation of microRNA-34b/c has prognostic value for stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2011; 11:490-496.
69. George CX, Gan Z, Liu Y, Samuel CE. Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA, RNA editing, and interferon action. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 2011; 31:99-117.
70. Heale BS, Keegan LP, O'Connell MA. ADARs have effects beyond RNA editing. *Cell Cycle* 2009; 8:4011-4012.
71. Hogg M, Paro S, Keegan LP, O'Connell MA. RNA editing by mammalian ADARs. *Adv Genet* 2011; 73:87-120.
72. Zinshteyn B, Nishikura K. Adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med* 2009; 1:202-209.
73. Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Chendrimada TP, Shiekhata R, Nishikura K. RNA editing of the microRNA-151 precursor blocks cleavage by the Dicer-TRBP complex. *EMBO Rep* 2007; 8:763-769.
74. Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Sethupathy P, Iizasa H, Hatzigeorgiou AG, Nishikura K. Redirection of Silencing Targets by Adenosine-to-Inosine Editing of miRNAs. *Science* 2007; 315:1137-1140.
75. Garcia-Lopez J, Hourcade JD, del Mazo J. Reprogramming of microRNAs by adenosine-to-inosine editing and the selective elimination of edited microRNA precursors in mouse oocytes and preimplantation embryos. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; 41:5483-93.

76. Vesely C, Tauber S, Sedlazeck FJ, von Haeseler A, Jantsch MF. Adenosine deaminases that act on RNA induce reproducible changes in abundance and sequence of embryonic miRNAs. *Genome Res* 2012; 22:1468-1476.
77. Guil S, Caceres JF. The multifunctional RNA-binding protein hnRNP A1 is required for processing of miR-18a. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2007; 14:591-596.
78. Michlewski G, Guil S, Caceres JF. Stimulation of pri-miR-18a processing by hnRNP A1. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2010; 700:28-35.
79. Paroo Z, Ye X, Chen S, Liu Q. Phosphorylation of the human microRNA-generating complex mediates MAPK/Erk signaling. *Cell* 2009; 139:112-122.
80. Chang TC, Zeitels LR, Hwang HW, Chivukula RR, Wentzel EA, Dews M, Jung J, Gao P, Dang CV, Beer MA, Thomas-Tikhonenko A, Mendell JT. Lin-28B transactivation is necessary for Myc-mediated let-7 repression and proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009; 106:3384-3389.
81. Sempere LF, Freemantle S, Pitha-Rowe I, Moss E, Dmitrovsky E, Ambros V. Expression profiling of mammalian microRNAs uncovers a subset of brain-expressed microRNAs with possible roles in murine and human neuronal differentiation. *Genome Biol* 2004; 5:R13.
82. Wang YC, Chen YL, Yuan RH, Pan HW, Yang WC, Hsu HC, Jeng YM. Lin-28B expression promotes transformation and invasion in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 2010; 31:1516-1522.
83. Shyh-Chang N, Daley GQ. Lin28: primal regulator of growth and metabolism in stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013; 12:395-406.
84. Gonzalez-Gonzalez E, Lopez-Casas PP, del Mazo J. The expression patterns of genes involved in the RNAi pathways are tissue-dependent and differ in the

- germ and somatic cells of mouse testis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008; 1779:306-311.
85. Chatterjee S, Fasler M, Bussing I, Grosshans H. Target-Mediated Protection of Endogenous MicroRNAs in *C. elegans*. *Dev Cell* 2011; 20:388-396.
 86. Gu SG, Pak J, Barberan-Soler S, Ali M, Fire A, Zahler AM. Distinct ribonucleoprotein reservoirs for microRNA and siRNA populations in *C. elegans*. *RNA* 2007; 13:1492-1504.
 87. Poliseno L, Salmena L, Zhang J, Carver B, Haveman WJ, Pandolfi PP. A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature* 2010; 465:1033-1038.
 88. Ma J, Flemr M, Stein P, Berninger P, Malik R, Zavolan M, Svoboda P, Schultz RM. MicroRNA activity is suppressed in mouse oocytes. *Curr Biol* 2010; 20:265-270.
 89. Garcia-Lopez J, Del Mazo J. Expression dynamics of microRNA biogenesis during preimplantation mouse development. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2012; 1819:847-54.
 90. Muers M. Small RNAs: Recycling for silencing. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011; 12:227.
 91. Krek A, Grun D, Poy MN, Wolf R, Rosenberg L, Epstein EJ, MacMenamin P, da Piedade I, Gunsalus KC, Stoffel M, Rajewsky N. Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. *Nat Genet* 2005; 37:495-500.
 92. Brennecke J, Stark A, Russell RB, Cohen SM. Principles of microRNA-target recognition. *PLoS Biol* 2005; 3:e85.
 93. Brodersen P, Voinnet O. Revisiting the principles of microRNA target recognition and mode of action. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009; 10:141-148.

94. Rigoutsos I. New tricks for animal microRNAs: targeting of amino acid coding regions at conserved and nonconserved sites. *Cancer Res* 2009; 69:3245-3248.
95. John B, Enright AJ, Aravin A, Tuschl T, Sander C, Marks DS. Human MicroRNA targets. *PLoS Biol* 2004; 2:e363.
96. Rajewsky N. microRNA target predictions in animals. *Nat Genet* 2006; 38 Suppl:S8-13.
97. Friedman RC, Farh KK, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res* 2009; 19:92-105.
98. Das AK, Carmichael GG. ADAR editing wobbles the microRNA world. *ACS Chem Biol* 2007; 2:217-220.
99. O'Connell MA, Keegan LP. Drosha versus ADAR: wrangling over pri-miRNA. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2006; 13:3-4.
100. Yang W, Chendrimada TP, Wang Q, Higuchi M, Seeburg PH, Shiekhattar R, Nishikura K. Modulation of microRNA processing and expression through RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2006; 13:13-21.
101. Luciano DJ, Mirsky H, Vendetti NJ, Maas S. RNA editing of a miRNA precursor. *RNA* 2004; 10:1174-1177.
102. Kawahara Y, Megraw M, Kreider E, Iizasa H, Valente L, Hatzigeorgiou AG, Nishikura K. Frequency and fate of microRNA editing in human brain. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008; 36:5270-5280.
103. Scadden AD. The RISC subunit Tudor-SN binds to hyper-edited double-stranded RNA and promotes its cleavage. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2005; 12:489-496.
104. Weissbach R, Scadden AD. Tudor-SN and ADAR1 are components of cytoplasmic stress granules. *RNA* 2012; 18:462-471.

105. Saunders MA, Liang H, Li WH. Human polymorphism at microRNAs and microRNA target sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; 104:3300-3305.
106. Ohman M. A-to-I editing challenger or ally to the microRNA process. *Biochimie* 2007; 89:1171-1176.
107. Neilsen CT, Goodall GJ, Bracken CP. IsomiRs--the overlooked repertoire in the dynamic microRNAome. *Trends Genet* 2012; 28:544-549.
108. Landgraf P, Rusu M, Sheridan R, Sewer A, Iovino N, Aravin A, Pfeffer S, Rice A, Kamphorst AO, Landthaler M, Lin C, Socci ND, et al. A mammalian microRNA expression atlas based on small RNA library sequencing. *Cell* 2007; 129:1401-1414.
109. Ebhardt HA, Tsang HH, Dai DC, Liu Y, Bostan B, Fahlman RP. Meta-analysis of small RNA-sequencing errors reveals ubiquitous post-transcriptional RNA modifications. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009; 37:2461-2470.
110. Cloonan N, Wani S, Xu Q, Gu J, Lea K, Heater S, Barbacioru C, Steptoe AL, Martin HC, Nourbakhsh E, Krishnan K, Gardiner B, et al. MicroRNAs and their isomiRs function cooperatively to target common biological pathways. *Genome Biol* 2011; 12:R126.
111. Ruby JG, Stark A, Johnston WK, Kellis M, Bartel DP, Lai EC. Evolution, biogenesis, expression, and target predictions of a substantially expanded set of *Drosophila* microRNAs. *Genome Res* 2007; 17:1850-1864.
112. Berezikov E, Robine N, Samsonova A, Westholm JO, Naqvi A, Hung JH, Okamura K, Dai Q, Bortolamiol-Becet D, Martin R, Zhao Y, Zamore PD, et al. Deep annotation of *Drosophila melanogaster* microRNAs yields insights into their processing, modification, and emergence. *Genome Res* 2011; 21:203-215.

113. Lee LW, Zhang S, Etheridge A, Ma L, Martin D, Galas D, Wang K. Complexity of the microRNA repertoire revealed by next-generation sequencing. *RNA* 2010; 16:2170-2180.
114. Wyman SK, Knouf EC, Parkin RK, Fritz BR, Lin DW, Dennis LM, Krouse MA, Webster PJ, Tewari M. Post-transcriptional generation of miRNA variants by multiple nucleotidyl transferases contributes to miRNA transcriptome complexity. *Genome Res* 2011; 21:1450-1461.
115. Burroughs AM, Ando Y, de Hoon MJ, Tomaru Y, Nishibu T, Ukekawa R, Funakoshi T, Kurokawa T, Suzuki H, Hayashizaki Y, Daub CO. A comprehensive survey of 3' animal miRNA modification events and a possible role for 3' adenylation in modulating miRNA targeting effectiveness. *Genome Res* 2010; 20:1398-1410.
116. Newman MA, Mani V, Hammond SM. Deep sequencing of microRNA precursors reveals extensive 3' end modification. *RNA* 2011; 17:1795-1803.
117. Fernandez-Valverde SL, Taft RJ, Mattick JS. Dynamic isomiR regulation in *Drosophila* development. *RNA* 2010; 16:1881-1888.
118. Park JE, Heo I, Tian Y, Simanshu DK, Chang H, Jee D, Patel DJ, Kim VN. Dicer recognizes the 5' end of RNA for efficient and accurate processing. *Nature* 2011; 475:201-205.
119. Parker JS. The generation of small RNAs; who needs Dicer? *J RNAi Gene Silencing* 2007; 3:215-216.
120. Vermeulen A, Behlen L, Reynolds A, Wolfson A, Marshall WS, Karpilow J, Khvorova A. The contributions of dsRNA structure to Dicer specificity and efficiency. *RNA* 2005; 11:674-682.

121. Wu H, Ye C, Ramirez D, Manjunath N. Alternative processing of primary microRNA transcripts by Drosha generates 5' end variation of mature microRNA. *PLoS ONE* 2009; 4:e7566.
122. Kuchenbauer F, Morin RD, Argiropoulos B, Petriv OI, Griffith M, Heuser M, Yung E, Piper J, Delaney A, Prabhu AL, Zhao Y, McDonald H, et al. In-depth characterization of the microRNA transcriptome in a leukemia progression model. *Genome Res* 2008; 18:1787-1797.
123. Zhou H, Arcila ML, Li Z, Lee EJ, Henzler C, Liu J, Rana TM, Kosik KS. Deep annotation of mouse iso-miR and iso-moR variation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40:5864-5875.
124. Starega-Roslan J, Koscianska E, Kozlowski P, Krzyzosiak WJ. The role of the precursor structure in the biogenesis of microRNA. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2011; 68:2859-2871.
125. Starega-Roslan J, Krzyzosiak WJ. Analysis of microRNA length variety generated by recombinant human Dicer. *Methods Mol Biol* 2013; 936:21-34.
126. Liu N, Abe M, Sabin LR, Hendriks GJ, Naqvi AS, Yu Z, Cherry S, Bonini NM. The exoribonuclease Nibbler controls 3' end processing of microRNAs in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 2011; 21:1888-1893.
127. Han BW, Hung JH, Weng Z, Zamore PD, Ameres SL. The 3'-to-5' exoribonuclease Nibbler shapes the 3' ends of microRNAs bound to *Drosophila* Argonaute1. *Curr Biol* 2011; 21:1878-1887.
128. Ruby JG, Jan C, Player C, Axtell MJ, Lee W, Nusbaum C, Ge H, Bartel DP. Large-scale sequencing reveals 21U-RNAs and additional microRNAs and endogenous siRNAs in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 2006; 127:1193-1207.

129. Li J, Yang Z, Yu B, Liu J, Chen X. Methylation protects miRNAs and siRNAs from a 3'-end uridylation activity in Arabidopsis. *Curr Biol* 2005; 15:1501-1507.
130. Yu B, Yang Z, Li J, Minakhina S, Yang M, Padgett RW, Steward R, Chen X. Methylation as a crucial step in plant microRNA biogenesis. *Science* 2005; 307:932-935.
131. Martin G, Keller W. RNA-specific ribonucleotidyl transferases. *RNA* 2007; 13:1834-1849.
132. Westholm JO, Ladewig E, Okamura K, Robine N, Lai EC. Common and distinct patterns of terminal modifications to mirtrons and canonical microRNAs. *RNA* 2012; 18:177-192.
133. Heo I, Joo C, Cho J, Ha M, Han J, Kim VN. Lin28 mediates the terminal uridylation of let-7 precursor MicroRNA. *Mol Cell* 2008; 32:276-284.
134. Chang HM, Triboulet R, Thornton JE, Gregory RI. A role for the Perlman syndrome exonuclease Dis3l2 in the Lin28-let-7 pathway. *Nature* 2013.
135. Heo I, Joo C, Kim YK, Ha M, Yoon MJ, Cho J, Yeom KH, Han J, Kim VN. TUT4 in concert with Lin28 suppresses microRNA biogenesis through pre-microRNA uridylation. *Cell* 2009; 138:696-708.
136. Katoh T, Sakaguchi Y, Miyauchi K, Suzuki T, Kashiwabara S, Baba T. Selective stabilization of mammalian microRNAs by 3' adenylation mediated by the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD-2. *Genes Dev* 2009; 23:433-438.
137. Jazdzewski K, de la Chapelle A. Genomic sequence matters: a SNP in microRNA-146a can turn anti-apoptotic. *Cell Cycle* 2009; 8:1642-1643.
138. Mishra PJ, Banerjee D, Bertino JR. MiR-SNPs or MiR-polymorphisms, new players in microRNA mediated regulation of the cell: Introducing microRNA pharmacogenomics. *Cell Cycle* 2008; 7:853-858.

139. Chen K, Rajewsky N. Natural selection on human microRNA binding sites inferred from SNP data. *Nat Genet* 2006; 38:1452-1456.
140. Iwai N, Naraba H. Polymorphisms in human pre-miRNAs. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005; 331:1439-1444.
141. Duan R, Pak C, Jin P. Single nucleotide polymorphism associated with mature miR-125a alters the processing of pri-miRNA. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007; 16:1124-1131.
142. Borel F, Konstantinova P, Jansen PL. Diagnostic and therapeutic potential of miRNA signatures in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2012; 56:1371-1383.
143. Jazdzewski K, Murray EL, Franssila K, Jarzab B, Schoenberg DR, de la Chapelle A. Common SNP in pre-miR-146a decreases mature miR expression and predisposes to papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008; 105:7269-7274.
144. Jazdzewski K, Liyanarachchi S, Swierniak M, Pachucki J, Ringel MD, Jarzab B, de la Chapelle A. Polymorphic mature microRNAs from passenger strand of pre-miR-146a contribute to thyroid cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009; 106:1502-1505.
145. Xu B, Feng NH, Li PC, Tao J, Wu D, Zhang ZD, Tong N, Wang JF, Song NH, Zhang W, Hua LX, Wu HF. A functional polymorphism in Pre-miR-146a gene is associated with prostate cancer risk and mature miR-146a expression in vivo. *Prostate* 2010; 70:467-472.
146. Amir S, Ma AH, Shi XB, Xue L, Kung HJ, Devere White RW. Oncomir miR-125b Suppresses p14(ARF) to Modulate p53-Dependent and p53-Independent Apoptosis in Prostate Cancer. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8:e61064.

147. Shen J, Ambrosone CB, DiCioccio RA, Odunsi K, Lele SB, Zhao H. A functional polymorphism in the miR-146a gene and age of familial breast/ovarian cancer diagnosis. *Carcinogenesis* 2008; 29:1963-1966.
148. Hu Z, Liang J, Wang Z, Tian T, Zhou X, Chen J, Miao R, Wang Y, Wang X, Shen H. Common genetic variants in pre-microRNAs were associated with increased risk of breast cancer in Chinese women. *Hum Mutat* 2009; 30:79-84.
149. Chin LJ, Ratner E, Leng S, Zhai R, Nallur S, Babar I, Muller RU, Straka E, Su L, Burki EA, Crowell RE, Patel R, et al. A SNP in a let-7 microRNA complementary site in the KRAS 3' untranslated region increases non-small cell lung cancer risk. *Cancer Res* 2008; 68:8535-8540.
150. Castellano L, Stebbing J. Deep sequencing of small RNAs identifies canonical and non-canonical miRNA and endogenous siRNAs in mammalian somatic tissues. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; 41:3339-3351.

Figure legends

Figure 1: MicroRNA biogenesis, degradation, storage and recycling. The figure illustrates the different pathways to generate functional miRNAs.

Figure 2: Schematic representation of the different types of possible modifications to generate variations with respect to a hypothetical canonical sequence (22nt).

Nucleus

miRNA canonical sequence

Different types of miRNA variations

a) 3' non-template modifications

b) 3' template modifications (cleavage)

c) 5' non-template modifications

d) 5' template modifications (cleavage)

e) Deletions

f) Insertions

g) SNP's

h) A to I edition