Environmental heterogeneity and microbial inheritance influence sponge-associated bacterial composition of *Spongia lamella*

Charlotte Noyer¹, Emilio O. Casamayor^{2*}, and Mikel A. Becerro^{1,3*}

¹Department of Marine Biology, ²Department of Continental Ecology, Centro de Estudios Avanzados de Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Accés a la Cala St. Francesc 14, 17300 Blanes, Girona, and ³Natural Products and Agrobiology Institute (IPNA-CSIC), Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez 3, La Laguna, Tenerife 38206, Spain.

Keywords: DGGE, quantitative-PCR, bacteria, 16S rRNA gene, *Spongia lamella*, Porifera, bath sponge

*E-mail corresponding authors:

Mikel Becerro <m.becerro@csic.es>

Emilio O Casamayor <casamayor@ceab.csic.es>

Running title: Bacterial diversity in *Spongia lamella*

ABSTRACT

Sponges are important components of marine benthic communities. High-Microbial-Abundance sponges host a large diversity of associated microbial assemblages. However, the dynamics of such assemblages are still poorly known. In this study, we investigated whether bacterial assemblages present in *Spongia lamella* remained constant or changed as a function of the environment and life cycle. Sponges were collected in multiple locations and at different times of the year in the western Mediterranean Sea and in nearby Atlantic Ocean to cover heterogeneous environmental variability. Co-occurring adult sponges and offsprings were compared at two of the sites. To explore the composition and abundance of the main bacteria present in the sponge mesohyl, embryos, and larvae we applied both 16S rRNA gene-Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE) and sequencing of excised DGGE bands, and quantitative Polymerase Chain Reactions (qPCR). On average, the overall core bacterial assemblage showed over 60% similarity. The associated bacterial assemblages fingerprints varied both within and between sponge populations, and the abundance of specific bacterial taxa assessed by qPCR significantly differed among sponge populations and between adult sponge and offsprings. Sequences showed between 92 to 100% identity to sequences previously reported in Genbank, and all were affiliated with uncultured invertebrate bacterial symbionts (mainly sponges). Sequences were mainly related to *Chloroflexi* and *Acidobacteria*, and a few to *Actinobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*. Additional populations may have been present under detection limits. Overall, these results support that both ecological and biological sponge features may shape the composition of endobiont bacterial communities in *S. lamella*.

INTRODUCTION

A large proportion of marine organisms is hidden and yet undescribed, either as cryptic species [1] or as symbionts [2,3]. A non-negligible part of the disregarded bacterial communities is hidden both inside and on the surface of marine invertebrates, living as associated or symbiotic partners [4-8]. That is particularly true for many marine sponges. Microorganisms of the three domains of life [9-11] constitute up to 40% of the sponge biomass [6, 12]. Bacteria seem to benefit from the stable and fertile environment provided within sponge tissues (e.g., food supply, and specific ecological conditions including protection against both UV and potential predators), and the composition of endobiont bacterial communities would be shaped by ecological and biological sponge features, such as environmental factors, habitat, health status, and life cycle among others.

The factors that structure sponge-bacteria associations remain however poorly understood. It is now commonly admitted that sponge associated bacteria are both distinct from the free-living marine bacterioplankton [11, 13-15], and sponge-specific [16] or even species-specific [17]. Although some symbionts communities seemed first-sighted to form stable associations across spatial and temporal scales [5, 16, 18], other studies have shown that microbial communities can be affected by environmental changes: geographical variation [13, 19], metal pollution [20], transfer into aquaculture [21, 22], or by physiological changes such as disease outbreak [23]. Furthermore, host-specific factors are also believed to play a strong structuring force shaping microbial communities as different sponge species from the same habitat harbored distinct communities [24], and the same sponge species from the different habitat showed similar communities [25]. Vertical transmission has been observed in various marine sponges [7, 15, 26-29] and may also strongly shape symbionts composition explaining the occurrence of sponge-specific bacterial clades in diverse hosts and locations [11].

Regardless the mechanisms of bacterial transmission and acquisition, it is unclear whether horizontally or vertically transmitted symbionts are equally relevant or whether they represent the totality or a subsample of the microbes found in adult tissues. Comparing effects on bacterial symbionts of both environment and host have not been performed yet but would unravel factors that structure sponge microbial communities. Moreover, most of the studies were carried out with a snapshot sampling strategy with limited replication [30, 31]. Limited sampling design may hinder conclusions on the variability of sponge bacterial diversity since it fails to properly address the ecological variation in sponge-associated microorganisms.

Populations of *S. lamella* (previously known as the Mediterranean *S. agaricina*, [32]) are scattered throughout the Atlanto-Mediterranean region (e.g., [33]), where this species has traditionally been collected as a bath sponge [32]. Although bacterial assemblages have been reported as inhabitants of the genus *Spongia* [34], we know little about the microbial consortium of *S. lamella* [35]. In the present study, we investigated bacterial symbionts from sponges submitted to heterogeneous environmental conditions and collected in multiple locations and at different times of the year in the western Mediterranean Sea and in nearby Atlantic Ocean. Assuming that similarity between adults and offspring bacterial communities is mainly consequence of vertical transmission, we also used a quantitative approach to examine the main bacteria in adults, embryos, and larvae. This part of the study aimed at gaining insight on the transfer and the effect of microbial inheritance on symbionts communities, *i.e.*, whether or not bacteria are randomly transferred to the next generation, and whether or not embryos or larvae harbor the same bacterial communities than their parents. Characterizing this variability is a critical step to unravel the distribution of associated symbionts, sponge-bacteria interactions, and species-specific factor that shape symbionts communities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling. *Spongia lamella* (Demospongiae, Dictyoceratida, Spongiidae) individuals were collected by SCUBA diving in nine locations (Fig. 1) along the North West Mediterranean and the Atlantic (see more details in [36]). In the area of Marseille (France), specimens were gathered in Pharillon (43°12'N, 05°20'E), Plane (43°11'N, 05°23'E) and La Ciotat (43°9'N, 05°35'E). In Catalonia (Spain), specimens were collected in Arenys (41°35'N, 02°33'E), and in Cap de Creus (42°17'N, 3°18'E). Populations were also collected in Cabrera (39°7'N, 02°57'E; Balearic Islands, Spain), Ceuta (35°53'N, 05°18'W; Gibraltar area, Spain) and in the Atlantic alongside Portugal (39°26'N, 9°30'W; Berlengas archipelago). Specimens were collected along three years (2005-2007). When it was possible 10 specimens were collected at each location. Three sponge specimens collected in Marseille in 2006 were selected because of the presence of embryos, which gathered in clumps in brooding chambers into the parent mesohyl (Fig. 2A), and were manually dissected. Visually, density of embryos varied between individual sponges, however as no measurement was made, we could not determine any correlation with sponge size. Three additional samples containing mature larvae were collected from Els Bullents (Cala Canyelles, Lloret de Mar; 41° 42' N, 02° 52' E) in July 2008. About 30 minutes after the transfer of the 3 sponges from Els Bullents into individual aquaria, we observed the release of larvae from each of the tree sponges. Larvae of *S. lamella* were oval and showed a black pigmented ring located at one of the larval poles, surrounded by flagella which protrude outside. The other larval pole displayed a hummock without any particular pigmentation (Fig. 2B).

Sponge tissues were transferred in plastic bags containing seawater and stored in a cooler with ice until further processing (usually 2h after sampling). Samples were then rinsed and kept in a series of absolute ethanol baths to prevent ethanol dilution by sponge water content and sponge tissues modification. Sponge tissues were finally preserved in absolute ethanol (100 % final concentration) and stored at -20°C until processed.

Extraction and amplification of bacterial DNA. DNA was extracted with the methodology previously described [37] from sponge mesohyl tissue (around 4 mm^3), embryos (a pool of embryos from the same brooding chamber), and larvae (individually). DNA extracts were dissolved in $50\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of sterile autoclaved water and run in an agarose gel to check integrity and concentration using a standard mass ladder (DNA Ladder, Bioron). Very small differences in yield extraction were visualized among samples. Such differences were not expected to produce qualitative changes in the DNA mixtures, and the results were normalized using relative abundances in the fingerprinting analysis for an accurate inter-samples comparison. DNA extracts were kept at -20°C until use.

A fragment (c. 600 bp) of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was PCR amplified with universal bacterial primers 341F ($5'\text{-CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-}3'$) with a GC-clamp at the 5'-end and 907RM ($5'\text{-CCG TCA ATT CMT TTG AGT TT-}3'$) [38]. The $50\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ PCR mixture consisted of 80 ng of genomic DNA, $5\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of 10X Taq polymerase buffer, $0.75\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of MgCl_2 ($100\text{ }\mu\text{M}$), $1\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of dNTP (10 mM each), $2.5\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of each primers ($10\text{ }\mu\text{M}$), $1.5\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA at 6 mg/ml), and 1.25 U Taq polymerase (Boiron). Sigma pure Water was used as negative control. Touchdown PCR was performed in a MWG Primus thermocycler. The entire amplification program was 5 min at 94°C , 10 cycles of 1 min at 94°C , 1 min at 71°C (with 1°C decrease every cycle), 3 min at 72°C , 20 cycles of 1 min at 94°C , 1 min at 61°C , 3 min at 72°C and a final extension time of 3 min at 72°C . Then PCR

products were run in 1.5% agarose gels, stained in an aqueous ethidium bromide solution, and visualized under UV next to a standard mass ladder (DNA Ladder, Boiron).

DGGE and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. DGGE analysis was run in a Bio-Rad Dcode universal Mutation Detection System (BioRad) on 6% polyacrylamide gel in 1X TAE (40 mM Tris Base, 20 mM Sodium acetate trihydrate and 1 mM EDTA). We used a 40-75 % vertical denaturant gradient (100 % denaturant agent is 7 M urea and 40 % deionized formamide). Comparable amounts of PCR products (c. 600 ng DNA) were loaded for each sample as reported [38]. Gels were run for 5 hours at 195 V at 60°C and stained with nucleic acid stain SybrGold (Molecular Probes) solution (0.125 µl/ml) for 45 min, rinsed with MilliQ water and photographed with Geldoc system (supplementary Fig.S1). Images of the gels were analyzed using the Gels plot lanes tool of ImageJ software 1.38X (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, USA). After background subtraction, the intensity of each band was measured by integrating the area under the peak and was expressed as percentage of the total intensity in the lane. This allowed measurement of the relative abundance of each band and comparison of bacterial fingerprints among and within sponge populations. Bands with intensities <1% of total intensity were excluded.

Prominent bands were excised from the gel under UV, resuspended in 25 µl of MilliQ water and stored at 4°C overnight. An aliquot (1-4 µl) of supernatant was used for PCR reamplification with the original primer set, and the PCR product was sequenced using external sequencing facilities (www.macrogen.com). Sequences were sent to BLAST search (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>) to get a first indication of the sequence affiliations, and to determine their closest relative in the database. Sequences were also inserted into the optimized and validated tree available in ARB (Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany; www.arb-home.de) using the maximum parsimony criterion and a special ARB parsimony tool that did not affect the initial tree topology to confirm BLAST affiliations. 16S

rRNA gene sequences were deposited in EMBL-GenBank under accession numbers AM849589 to AM849614.

Quantitative-PCR assays. Quantitative PCR was used to quantify six bacterial clades, i.e., *Chloroflexi* with one set of general GNSB941F-GNSB1340R [39, 40] and 2 sets of clade-specific primers; *Acidobacteria* with 2 sets of clade specific primers, and *Actinobacteria* with one set of primers. Primers from specific clades were designed from the bacterial sequences obtained in a previous study (see more details in [35]). DNA of ten random specimens for each of the eight populations (except for the population of Cap de Creus where only 8 specimens were found) was extracted from around 4 mm³ of mesohyl using DNAeasy Blood and Tissue (Qiagen). We followed the manufacturer's instructions except for a 5 min incubation time before elution in a total volume of 75 µl supplied buffer. DNA concentrations were then determined by Qubit® Quantitation (Invitrogen). The assays were conducted on a Stratagene Mx3005P QPCR system. Each 25µl reaction contained 12.5 µl of 2x Brilliant SYBR® Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene), 0.4 µM of each primer, 125 mM of BSA (Promega), 30 nM of ROX reference dye, and 2 µl of template DNA at the optimal dilution. PCR conditions were 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 30 s at 64°C for the *Acidobacteria* runs and at 58°C for the other primers, and 72°C for 1 min, and finally all PCR products were subjected to a melting curve analysis to verify the specificity of the amplification. As various assays were run given the number of samples, we adjusted the fluorescence threshold to 0.099 to allow the comparison of all of the runs and the quantification with standard curves [35]. Adjusted threshold cycle (Ct) values were thus averaged over triplicates for each sample. Negative control included three reactions without DNA as control for contamination. Primer specificity was confirmed by running amplicons on agarose gel and by melting curve analyses. Copy numbers per µg DNA were calculated from the Ct value according to the standard curve previously obtained [35], the DNA

concentrations determined by Qubit® Quantification (Invitrogen) and the dilution applied. As the six targeted bacterial groups achieved most of the bacterial assemblage, we calculated the relative abundances as the ratio between the measured copy numbers for each group-specific qPCR assay to the total copy number obtained for the 6 assays in each specimen. For the life-stage comparison, only the 5 group-specific primers were used.

Statistical Analysis. We run statistical calculations available in the PRIMER v3.1 computer program [41] to analyze differences in bacterial community as a function of sponge population. Square root data were used to calculate Bray-Curtis similarity. Community dissimilarities were visualized by cluster analysis using a single linkage clustering model and non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots, and statistically tested by Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM). An exploratory similarity breakdown using the SIMPER procedure was used to quantify the relative contribution of bacterial bands to dissimilarities, and the pairwise bacterial dissimilarities between pair of sponge populations.

We compared quantitative differences in bacterial-clades proportion between the sponge populations using MANOVA on rank-transformed clade relative abundances. We used *Post hoc* Tukey HSD to test for differences between populations. We also used a randomized block design multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) on rank transformed data, to test whether clade proportions differ as function of life stages and sponge specimen, both in Marseille (embryos vs. adults) and Els Bullents (larvae vs. adults).

RESULTS

As assembling data from different DGGE gels lead to significant gel effect (One way ANOSIM, global $R=0.725$, $p=0.001$, data not shown), DGGE gels were analyzed individually in all the pairwise combinations. The number of DGGE bands in the fingerprints was

relatively similar among samples (25-27 bands) and cluster analyses assigned sponge specimens from the same population mostly into the same cluster (see two examples in Fig. 3, where one specimen of Arenys clustered with Cap de Creus). Overall the core bacterial assemblage for the 8 sponge populations analyzed showed over 60% similarity, being the bacterial assemblage in Ceuta's population the most heterogeneous (SIMPER analysis, average similarity 71%), followed by Arenys, Cabrera, and Cap de Creus (78, 79, and 85%, respectively). From the set of DGGE gels, we selected 26 bands for 16S rRNA gene sequencing covering >85% of total band intensities. Sequences showed between 92 to 100% identity to nucleotide sequences previously deposited in Genbank, and were all affiliated with uncultured bacterial symbionts of invertebrates (mainly sponges). Overall, we found that *Chloroflexi* (13 sequences) and *Acidobacteria* (11 sequences) phyla were the most abundant groups in the DGGE gels. One sequence matched *Actinobacteria* (98% identity with sequences obtained from the sponges *Xestospongia muta* and *Ircinia felix*), and the remaining sequence was a *Bacteroidetes*, again, closely related to an uncultured *Ircinia felix* endobiont (97% identity). Interestingly, several sequences were allocated in two distantly related clades previously reported within *Chloroflexi* (CL-1 and CL-2, respectively) and within *Acidobacteria* (AC-1 and AC-2, respectively).

Quantitative-PCR analysis of *Spongia*-associated bacteria. Using qPCR analysis, we observed significant variations in the relative abundance of the main bacterial clades among sites (MANOVA, Wilks' Lambda $F=8.623$, $p<0.001$; Fig. 4, and see absolute values in supplementary Fig. S2). All sponge specimens showed the same proportion of *Chloroflexi* using the general primers set ($F=1.525$; $p=0.173$; Fig. 4). However, we observed consistent differences at the clade specific level. Thus, the Atlantic population was significantly more enriched (relative abundance) in CL-1 than specimens from Arenys, Cabrera, and Ceuta (Fig.

4). Concerning the *Acidobacteria*, the clade AC-1 was more enriched in Arenys, Cap de Creus, and Pharillon populations, and less abundant in the Atlantic, whereas AC-2 was more homogeneously distributed. AC-2 was significantly more abundant in La Ciotat and less abundant in the Atlantic (Fig. 4). *Actinobacteria* had a significantly higher relative abundance in Portugal, Arenys, and Cap de Creus than in Cabrera (Fig.4).

Bacterial relative abundance in adults, embryos, and larvae. Significant quantitative differences were observed in the bacterial community amplified by qPCR both among life stages (adults vs. embryos, Wilks' Lambda $F = 14.741$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 5 and see more details in supplementary Table S1). Univariate tests revealed that only the *Actinobacteria* clade differed between the adults and their embryos ($F = 36.957$, $p < 0.001$). In Els Bullents, we found significant quantitative differences in the bacterial community amplified by qPCR among life stages (adults vs. larvae, Wilks' Lambda $F = 10.829$, $p = 0.019$), but not between sponge specimens. Univariate tests revealed that only the *Actinobacteria* clade differed between the adults and their larvae ($F = 11.270$, $p = 0.010$).

We also detected quantitative differences in the adult bacterial community between Marseille and Els Bullents (MANOVA, Wilks' Lambda $F = 4.663$, $p = 0.044$). *Acidobacteria* clade 2 and *Actinobacteria* clade were responsible for the differences (Univariate tests, *Acidobacteria* clade 2: $F = 9.441$, $p = 0.012$; *Actinobacteria*: $F = 13.06$, $p = 0.005$, Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Until quite recently, sponge associated microorganisms were believed to form stable communities across spatial and temporal scales [16, 18, 30]. However, more recent studies have challenged the uniformity and stability of sponge- symbiont associations. Lack of replication and/or absence of relative quantitative comparison may have contributed to

underestimate variability and thus diversity of bacteria within sponges. Most sequences available in bacterial genes libraries were obtained from 1 to at most 3 individuals of each species or locations. Nowadays, several studies show evidence that ecophysiological and environmental factors may also influence bacterial variability [17, 20, 23]. Although we found a common pool of bacteria inhabiting *S. lamella* (assemblages similarity, c.a. 60%), we also observed significant variations in the DGGE fingerprints. Although sponge specimens in a population clustered together according to their bacterial composition, we highlighted variations among the bacterial assemblages both within and among sponge populations.

Despite some well-known limitations inherent to the DGGE method and its detection limits [38, 42], this culture independent approach offers still a good compromise for the rapid detection and identification of predominant microbial species and simultaneous comparison among various samples. However, inter-gel comparison can be difficult [18, 19] especially dealing with highly diverse samples. In our study, gels were individually compared to avoid misinterpretation due to gel effects. Most studies dealing with bacterial diversity in sponges using DGGE have focused on presence/absence data. Qualitative estimations are appropriate for assemblage comparison from several host species [31, 43], but might underestimate variation when comparing specimens of the same host species. Signal intensity of DGGE bands can be applied to provide a rough overview of relative abundance changes from predominant bacterial groups [38]. We were cautious in the number of PCR cycles run to minimize the "plateau" phase and all samples were run under the same PCR and DGGE conditions. Thus, if there was any PCR bias it should be the same in all lanes and samples, and therefore comparison among samples is still valid. We were well aware that we worked with normalized relative abundances for comparison among samples, and not with total abundances. However, the recent application of Next Generation Sequencing approaches are

significantly expanding the knowledge in bacterial/invertebrates interactions (e.g. [44, 45]), and will overcome some of the known limitations of fingerprinting methods.

Sequences retrieved from the DGGE gels indicated that they were all related to uncultured bacteria and distantly related to the typical planktonic counterparts commonly found in previous studies reported in the literature [e.g., 46]. Bacteria inhabiting *S. lamella* resembled those in high-microbial-abundance sponges previously analyzed [11]. Most sequences belonged to *Chloroflexi* and *Acidobacteria*. These two phyla and *Actinobacteria* are the groups most frequently retrieved from 16S rRNA gene surveys in sponges [6] among the 15 bacterial phyla so far identified [11]. Within the *Chloroflexi* and *Acidobacteria*, we observed two distantly related clades within the same phylum specifically containing marine sponge sequences. *Acidobacteria* clade 1 gathered together bacterial assemblages from *Ircina felix* and *Smenospongia aurea* [7, 29]; whereas *Acidobacteria* clade 2 included sequences from *Aplysina fulva*, *Xestospongia testudinari*, and *Desmacidon* sp. [14, 46]. *Chloroflexi* clade 1 contained bacterial sequences from *S. lamella*, and from various sponge species such as *Ircina* and *Aplysina* [6, 7, 14, 16, 21]. The *Chloroflexi* clade 2 contained also bacterial sequences retrieved from diverse sponge species [11, 15, 29]. Interestingly, sequences excised at the same position in the gel and sequenced to confirm they were identical had also 100% identity to sequences obtained from *Aplysina aerophoba* collected in the same area in the NW Mediterranean [48], and 99% identity to a sequence from *Ancorina alata* collected in Northeastern New Zealand [49]. Further phylogenetic data with longer sequences may confirm whether it corresponds to the same symbiotic *Chloroflexi* species.

The sequence affiliated to *Actinobacteria* grouped within a sponge-specific cluster including *Actinobacteria* sequences from sponges collected in several oceans (*Ircina felix*, *Xestospongia muta*, *Xestospongia testudinari*, *Aplysina aerophoba*, *Svenzea zeai*, and

Ancoriana alata). Sequence 6d11 (AM849590) was closely related to *Bacteroidetes* sequences stemmed from marine sponges (*Geodia barretti*, *Ircinia felix* *I. strobilina*, *Desmacidon* sp., and *Ancoriana alata*), and sequences from this phylum have been recently retrieved from various sponge species, although clear phylogenetic affiliation remains still ambiguous. Longer 16S rRNA gene fragments and powerful treeing methods are needed to properly allocate this group.

In agreement with previous data [35, 50] we observed by qPCR analyses that *Chloroflexi* was the most abundant bacterial phylum within the sponge mesohyl. Relative abundance of *Chloroflexi* amplified by general primers seemed relatively stable in all sponge populations. However, relative abundance of *Chloroflexi* CL-1 and CL-2 varied significantly between sponge populations and mainly between Mediterranean and Atlantic populations. These two specific primers did not cover the large abundance of *Chloroflexi* obtained with the general primers, suggesting a larger diversity of *Chloroflexi* in *S. lamella* than in other sponge genera [35]. Certainly, additional *Chloroflexi* groups not detected by DGGE excised bands may inhabit the sponge mesohyl and deserve further investigations. Portugal population displayed significant differences, harboring significantly more CL-1 and less CL-2, whereas proportions of these 2 clades were similar in the remaining populations. Portugal also showed significantly less *Acidobacteria* and more *Actinobacteria* compared to other populations. Portugal population was the only sample obtained both from the Atlantic Sea and inside a marine cave. Unfortunately we cannot split the two effects with the current experimental design and any effect these features may have on the sponge bacterial composition remains to be determined. In fact, it is well-known that changes in the host physiology (such as transfer in aquarium and cultivation under artificial conditions, or disease outbreaks, [21-23] or abiotic factors such as environmental stress associated with metal pollution or light [20, 50] can

348 modify microbial assemblages. Larger bacterial diversity in stressed or sick sponges may be
349 linked to a greater diversity of ecological niches available for bacteria and an increase of
350 nutrients following sponge cells decay [23].

352 In this study, we also described the presence of embryos and larvae and compared the
353 relative abundances of main bacterial groups between different life stages of the sponge using
354 qPCR analyses. We provided information on the proportions of *Chloroflexi*, *Acidobacteria*
355 and *Actinobacteria* found in *Spongia lamella* and we hypothesized they were mainly
356 vertically transmitted to the next generation, as it has been reported in several sponge species
357 [7, 15]. We observed both similarities and differences in bacterial community between adult
358 sponges and their relative offsprings suggesting complex pathways for symbiont transmission
359 as previously reported in *Hippiospongia* and *Spongia* species [26, 52]. In brooding sponges,
360 nurse cells charged with endosymbiotic microbes engulfed from the mesohyl establish
361 cytoplasmic bridges with the zygote membrane, transferring microorganisms and nutrients.
362 When zygotes became embryos, they developed a cell follicle though also kept connected to
363 the surrounding tissue by a system of radiating mesohyl bridges. These bridges probably
364 facilitate the anchoring and/or feeding of the embryos during development and also allow
365 symbiotic bacteria to migrate from the adult mesohyl to the intercellular spaces of the
366 embryos [26]. Sponge adults and their respective embryos and larvae harbored the same
367 relative proportions of *Chloroflexi* and *Acidobacteria* clades. However, the proportion of
368 *Actinobacteria* was significantly higher in both embryos and larvae than in their respective
369 parents. If a portion of the microbial community migrated from the adult mesohyl to embryos,
370 higher proportion of *Acidobacteria* could simply be the result of a faster cell multiplication.
371 Once the larvae settled, environmental conditions affecting both host fitness and microbial
372 assemblage may balance relative abundances of the different populations [24].

Parenchymella larva, the most common larval type in demospongiae, is commonly classified among the lecithotrophic (non-feeding) meroplankton with limited swimming abilities [53]. They are self-sustained by using stored material such as lipid inclusions or bacteria phagocytosis [54]. Several studies highlight the importance of bacteria stored into larvae as food source [26, 52, 54]. In contrast in *Halisarca dujardini johnston* there is no evidence of lysis or digestion of bacteria at any stage of the development, although symbiotic bacteria were present intercellularly in cleaving embryos and larvae [27]. Furthermore, brooded larvae that develop using yolk (lecithotrophs) are typically larger, easier to see and lack morphological characteristics that decrease fish predation compared to longer-lived planktotrophic larvae. Brooded larvae of many diverse sessile invertebrates are commonly distasteful to benthic fishes, and their unpalatability has often been attributed to chemical defenses [55]. Among bacteria, *Actinobacteria* are known as one of the richest sources of active secondary metabolites [56-60]. Thus, the presence of *Actinobacteria* in the embryos and larvae might serve either as food source for the *S. lamella* lecithotrophic larva or as chemical defense against potential predators, competitors, foulers, or infectious microorganisms.

Overall, although the final causes for the variability found in this study remain unclear, some assumptions can be set out, and a combination of horizontal (environmental) and vertical (parental) transmission may be considered [11, 61]. A fraction of bacteria environmentally transmitted may result from “selective enrichment” of specific bacteria that remained undetectable in the surrounding plankton [16], and sponges must provide a favorable habitat for them to grow over the methodological detection thresholds. Differences between life stages may also be the result of transient bacteria acquired from the surrounding water, or by a differential and preferential bacterial transmission. Competition and selection,

which are dynamic processes, affect the structure of the microbiota [62] and could also explain variability in bacteria associated to sponge. Genetic variability of sponge populations could also contribute to the observed variability in bacterial communities [24]. As most bacterial groups appeared consistently through *S. lamella* populations and main bacterial groups were present in sponge populations, a combination of “filtration-concentration” (which depend on sponge physiology and environmental factors and are more prone to variations) and “hereditary-colonization” (linked to true symbionts at the origin of the uniformity among sponge associated bacteria) may be possibly accounting. These results might correspond with multiple levels of specificity through which bacteria are associated to sponges, and should be carefully considered for a more accurate understanding of sponge microbial ecology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Thierry Perez for providing samples from the area of Marseille, Joanna Xavier for the sponge specimens from Portugal, and Josep Carreras, Andrea Blanquer, and Xavier Turon for help during field sampling. We also thank Alastair Hamilton for technical support during the qPCR experiments at Integrin Advanced Biosystem. Financial support was provided by the European Union project BIOCAPITAL (MRTN-CT-2004-512301) and the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche on Biodiversity (ECIMAR program ANR-06-BDIV-001). EOC contribution was supported by grant DARKNESS CGL2012-32747 from the Spanish Office for Science (MINECO)

REFERENCES

1. Blanquer A, Uriz MJ (2007) Cryptic speciation in marine sponges evidenced by mitochondrial and nuclear genes: A phylogenetic approach Mol Phylogen Evol 45: 392-397
2. Uriz MJ, Rosell D, Maldonado M (1992) Parasitism, Commensalism or Mutualism - the Case of *Scyphozoa Coronatae* and Horny Sponges. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 81: 247-255
3. Moran NA (2006) Symbiosis. Current Biology 16: R866-R871
4. Karban R, Agrawal AA, Mangel M (1997) The benefits of induced defenses against herbivores. Ecology 78: 1351-1355
5. Friedrich AB, Fischer I, Proksch P, Hacker J, Hentschel U (2001) Temporal variation of the microbial community associated with the Mediterranean sponge *Aplysina aerophoba*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 38: 105-113
6. Hentschel U, Usher KM, Taylor MW (2006) Marine sponges as microbial fermenters. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 55: 167-177
7. Schmitt S, Weisz JB, Lindquist N, Hentschel U (2007) Vertical transmission of phylogenetically complex microbial consortium in the vivipare Sponge *Ircinia felix*. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 2067-2078
8. Lampert Y, Kelman D, Nitzan Y, Dubinsky Z, Behar A, Hill RT (2008) Phylogenetic diversity of bacteria associated with the mucus of Red Sea corals. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 64: 187-198
9. Lee YK, Lee JH, Lee HK (2001) Microbial symbiosis in marine sponges. J Microbiol 39: 254-264
10. Lee EY, Lee HK, Lee YK, Sim CJ, Lee JH (2003) Diversity of symbiotic archaeal communities in marine sponges from Korea. Biomol Engineering 20: 299-304
11. Taylor MW, Radax R, Steger D, Wagner M (2007) Sponge-Associated Microorganisms: Evolution, Ecology, and Biotechnological Potential. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71: 295-347.
12. Wilkinson CR (1978) Microbial association in sponges I Ecology, physiology and microbial populations of coral reef sponges. Mar Biol 49: 161-16
13. Wichels A, Wurtz S, Dopke H, Schutt C, Gerds G (2006) Bacterial diversity in the breadcrumb sponge *Halichondria panicea* Pallas. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 56: 102-118
14. Hardoim CCP, Costa R, Araujo FV, Hajdu E, Peixoto R, Lins U, *et al* (2009) Diversity of bacteria in the marine sponge *Aplysina fulva* in Brazilian coastal waters. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 3331-3343
15. Lee OO, Chui PY, Wong YH, Pawlik JR, Qian PY (2009) Evidence for Vertical Transmission of Bacterial Symbionts from Adult to Embryo in the Caribbean Sponge *Svenzea zeei*. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 6147-6156

16. Hentschel U, Hopke J, Horn M, Friedrich AB, Wagner M, Hacker J, Moore BS (2002) Molecular evidence for a uniform microbial community in sponges from different oceans. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 4431-4440
17. Erwin PM, Lopez-Legentil S, Gonzalez-Pech R, Turon X (2011) A specific mix of generalists: bacterial symbionts in Mediterranean *Ircinia* spp. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 79 (3): 619-637
18. Gerce B, Schwartz T, Voigt M, Ruhle S, Kirchen S, Putz A, *et al* (2009) Morphological, bacterial, and secondary metabolite changes of *Aplysina aerophoba* upon long-term maintenance under artificial conditions. *Microb Ecol* 58: 865-878
19. Taylor MW, Schupp PJ, de Nys R, Kjelleberg S, Steinberg PD (2005) Biogeography of bacteria associated with the marine sponge *Cymbastela concentrica*. *Environ Microbiol* 7: 419-433
20. Webster NS, Webb RI, Ridd MJ, Hill RT, Negri AP (2001) The effects of copper on the microbial community of a coral reef sponge. *Environ Microbiol* 3: 19-31
21. Mohamed NM, Rao V, Hamann MT, Kelly M, Hill RT (2008) Monitoring bacterial diversity of the marine sponge *Ircinia strobilina* upon transfer into aquaculture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74: 4133-4143
22. Mohamed NM, Enticknap JJ, Lohr JE, McIntosh SM, Hill RT (2008) Changes in bacterial communities of the marine sponge *Mycale laxissima* on transfer into aquaculture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74: 1209-1222
23. Webster NS, Xavier JR, Freckelton M, Motti CA, Cobb R (2008) Shifts in microbial and chemical patterns within the marine sponge *Aplysina aerophoba* during a disease outbreak *Environ Microbiol* 10: 3366-3376
24. Noyer C & Becerro MA (2012) Relationship between genetic, chemical, and bacterial diversity in the Atlanto-Mediterranean bath sponge *Spongia lamella*. *Hydrobiologia* 687: 85-99
25. Montalvo NF & Hill RT (2011) Sponge-associated bacteria are strictly maintained in two closely related but geographically distant sponge hosts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77: 7207-7216
26. Kaye HR (1991) Sexual reproduction in four Caribbean commercial sponges. 2. Oogenesis and transfer of bacterial symbionts. *Invertebrate Reproduction & Development* 19: 13-24
27. Ereskovsky AV, Gonobobleva E, Vishnyakov A (2005) Morphological evidence for vertical transmission of symbiotic bacteria in the viviparous sponge *Halisarca dujardini Johnston* (Porifera, Demospongiae, Halisarcida). *Marine Biology* 146: 869-875
28. Sharp KH, Eam B, Faulkner DJ, Haygood MG (2007) Vertical transmission of diverse microbes in the tropical sponge *Corticium* sp. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 622-629

29. Schmitt S, Angermeier H, Schiller R, Lindquist N, Hentschel U (2008) Molecular microbial diversity survey of sponge reproductive stages and mechanistic insights into vertical transmission of microbial symbionts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74: 7694-7708
30. Thoms C, Horn M, Wagner M, Hentschel U, Proksch P (2003) Monitoring microbial diversity and natural product profiles of the sponge *Aplysina cavernicola* following transplantation. *Mar Biol* 142: 685-692
31. Li ZY, He LM, Wu H, Jiang Q (2006) Bacterial community diversity associated with four marine sponges from the South China Sea based on 16S rDNA-DGGE fingerprinting. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 329: 75-85
32. Pronzato, R & Manconi R (2008) Mediterranean commercial sponges: over 5000 years of natural history and cultural heritage *Mar Ecol* 29: 146-166
33. Lopes MT, Boury-Esnault N (1981) Contribution à la connaissance des éponges cornées de la côte de l'Arrábida et de l'Algarve *Arquivos do Museu Bocage* 6: 95-110
34. Bertrand JC & Vacelet J (1971) Association between Corneal and Bacterial Sponges. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des Seances De L Academie Des Sciences Serie D* 273: 638-641
35. Noyer C, Hamilton A, Sacristán-Soriano O, Becerro MA (2010) Quantitative comparison of bacterial communities in two Mediterranean sponges. *Symbiosis* 51: 239-243
36. Pérez-Portela R, Noyer C, Becerro M A (2014) Genetic structure and diversity of the endangered bath sponge *Spongia lamella*. *Aquatic Conserv: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*. DOI: 10.1002/aqc.2423
37. Duran S, Pascual M, Estoup A, Turon X (2004) Strong population structure in the marine sponge *Crambe crambe* (Poecilosclerida) as revealed by microsatellite markers. *Mol Ecol* 13: 511-522
38. Casamayor EO, Schafer H, Baneras L, Pedros-Alio C, Muyzer G (2000) Identification of and spatio-temporal differences between microbial assemblages from two neighboring sulfurous lakes: Comparison by microscopy and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis *Appl Environ Microbiol* 66: 499-508
39. Gich F, Garcia-Gil J, Overmann J (2001) Previously unknown and phylogenetically diverse members of the green nonsulfur bacteria are indigenous to freshwater lakes *Arch Microb* 177: 1-10
40. Bachar A, Omoregie E, de Wit R, Jonkers HM (2007) Diversity and function of *Chloroflexus*-like bacteria in a hypersaline microbial mat: Phylogenetic characterization and impact on aerobic respiration *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 3975-3983
41. Clarke KR & Warwick RM (2001) Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation Primer-E Ltd, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth

42. Muyzer G & Smalla K (1998) Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis DGGE and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis TGGE in microbial ecology. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International J Gen Mol Microbiol* 73: 127-141
43. Taylor MW, Schupp PJ, Dahllöf I, Kjelleberg S, Steinberg PD (2004) Host specificity in marine sponge-associated bacteria, and potential implications for marine microbial diversity *Environ Microbiol* 6: 121-130
44. Radax R, Rattei T, Lanzen A, Bayer C, Tore Rapp H, Urich T, Schleper C (2012) Metatranscriptomics of the marine sponge *Geodia barretti* : tackling phylogeny and function of its microbial community. *Environ Microbiol* 14(5), 1308-1324
45. White JR, Patel J, Ottesen A, Arce G, Blackwelder P, Lopez JV (2012) Pyrosequencing of Bacterial Symbionts within *Axinella corrugata* Sponges: Diversity and Seasonal Variability. *PLoS ONE* 7(6): e38204. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038204
46. Giovannoni S, Rappé M, (2000) Evolution, diversity and molecular ecology of marine prokaryotes. In: Kirchman D L, editor. *Microbial ecology of the oceans*. New York, N.Y: Wiley-Liss. pp. 47–84
47. Jensen, S, Neufeld JD, Birkeland NK, Hovland M, Murrell JC (2008) Insight into the microbial community structure of a Norwegian deep-water coral reef environment *Deep-Sea Research I* 55: 1554-1563
48. Sacristán-Soriano O, Banaigs B, Casamayor EO, Becerro MA (2011) Exploring the links between natural products and bacterial assemblages in the sponge *Aplysina aerophoba*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77: 862-870
49. Kamke J, Taylor MW, Schmitt S (2010) Activity profiles for marine sponge-associated bacteria obtained by 16 rRNA vs 16S rRNA gene comparisons. *ISME J* 4:498-508
50. Schmitt S, Deines P, Behnam F, Wagner M, Taylor MW (2012). Chloroflexi bacteria are more diverse, abundant, and similar in high than in low microbial abundance sponges. *FEMS Microb Ecol* 78: 497-510
51. Kloppel A, Pfannkuchen M, Putz A, Proksch P, Brummer F (2008) *Ex situ* cultivation of *Aplysina aerophoba* close to in situ conditions: ecological, biochemical and histological aspects *Mar Ecol Evol Perspect* 29: 259-272
52. Baldacconi R, Nonnis-Marzano C, Gaino E, Corriero G (2007) Sexual reproduction, larval development and release in *Spongia officinalis* L. (Porifera, Demospongiae) from the Apulian coast. *Marine Biology* 152: 969-979
53. Mariani S, Uriz MJ, Turon X, Alcoverro T (2006) Dispersal strategies in sponge larvae: integrating the life history of larvae and the hydrologic component. *Oecologia* 149: 174-184
54. Uriz MJ, Turon X, Mariani S (2008) Ultrastructure and dispersal potential of sponge larvae: tufted versus evenly ciliated parenchymellae. *Mar Ecol Evol Perspective* 29: 280-29.

55. Lindquist N, Hay ME (1996) Palatability and chemical defense of marine invertebrate larvae. Ecological Monographs 66: 431-450
56. Montalvo NF, Mohamed NM, Enticknap JJ, Hill RT (2005) Novel actinobacteria from marine sponges. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology 87: 29-36
57. Miao V, Davies J (2010) *Actinobacteria*: the good, the bad, and the ugly. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 98
58. Thomas TRA, Kavlekar DP, LokaBharathi PA (2010) Marine Drugs from Sponge-Microbe Association-A Review. Marine Drugs 8: 1417-1468.
59. Bull AT, Stach JE (2007). Marine actinobacteria: new opportunities for natural product search and discovery. Trends in Microbiology, 15(11), 491-499
60. Noyer C, Thomas OP, Becerro MA (2011) Patterns of chemical diversity in the Mediterranean sponge *Spongia lamella*. PLoS One 6:e20844. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020844.
61. Schmitt S, Tsai P, Bell J, Fromont J, Ilan M, Lindquist N, Taylor MW (2012). Assessing the complex sponge microbiota: core, variable and species-specific bacterial communities in marine sponges. The ISME journal,6(3), 564-576
62. Dethlefsen L, McFall-Ngai M, Relman DA (2007) An ecological and evolutionary perspective on human-microbe mutualism and disease Nature 449: 811-818

Figure Legends

FIG. 1: Locations of *Spongia lamella* sampling sites in NW Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic Ocean. A zoom on the area of Marseille is shown.

FIG. 2: *Spongia lamella* life stages including (a) brooded embryos gathered within the parent sponge (bar: 0.5 cm), as: aquiferous system; bc: brooding chamber, emb: embryos from distinct brooding chambers into the adult mesohyl; and (b) newly released parenchymella larva observed under light microscopy (bar: 500µm).

FIG. 3: Cluster diagrams of DGGE fingerprints of bacterial assemblages in *Spongia lamella* at (a) local and (b) regional scales. Six sponge specimens were analyzed per site.

FIG. 4: Relative abundance as estimated by qPCR analysis of *Chloroflexi* (GNSB-941F, Cl1, and Cl2), *Acidobacteria* (Ac1 and Ac2), and *Actinobacteria* (Actino) in several populations of *Spongia lamella*. Vertical bars are standard errors (n=10 samples per population except Cap de Creus n=8). ANOVA on rank-transformed proportion of clade relative abundance, p-values and *Post hoc* Tukey HSD included.

FIG. 5: Relative proportion as estimated by qPCR analysis of *Chloroflexi* (clades Cl1, and Cl2), *Acidobacteria* (clades Ac1 and Ac2), and *Actinobacteria* (clade Actino); in *Spongia lamella*, from adults (A_emb) and embryos (emb) sampled in Marseille; and adults (A_larv) and larvae (larv) sampled in Els Bullents. Vertical bars are standard errors. P-value from the randomized block (Multivariate) Analysis of Variance included. NS: non significant.

Supplementary figures

Fig. S1. Negative images of DGGE gels obtained in this study

Fig. S2. Abundance as estimated by qPCR analysis of six bacterial clades in several populations of *Spongia lamella*, i.e., *Chloroflexi* (GNSB-941F, Cl1, and Cl2), *Acidobacteria* (Ac1 and Ac2), and *Actinobacteria* (Actino).

Figure

Figure