Effects of different sources of fat (calcium soap of palm oil vs. extruded linseed) in lactating ewes diet on the fatty acid profile of their suckling lambs

Authors:

P. Gómez-Cortés^a, B. Gallardo^b, A.R. Mantecón^c, M. Juárez^a, M.A. de la Fuente^a, T. Manso^{b*}

^a Instituto de Investigación de Ciencias Alimentación (CSIC-UAM), 28049 Madrid (Spain)

^b ETS Ingenierías Agrarias. Universidad de Valladolid. 34004 Palencia (Spain)

^c Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-ULE), 24346 Grulleros, León (Spain)

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 979 108367; fax: +34 979 108202. E-mail address:

tmanso@agro.uva.es (T. Manso).

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of supplementing lactating ewe diets with extruded linseed on the fatty acid (FA) composition of intramuscular and subcutaneous fat depots of suckling lambs. Twenty-four pregnant Churra ewes were divided into two groups based on the milk production, age, body weight and parity, and assigned to one of two treatments. Each ewe of the control treatment was supplemented with 70 g/day of FAs from a calcium soap of palm oil, while the **other treatment group (Lin)** was supplemented with 128 g/day of extruded linseed. All lambs were reared exclusively on milk and were slaughtered when they reached 11 kg live weight. FA profiles of ewe milk, lamb meat and subcutaneous adipose tissue were determined by GC. Lamb performance was not affected by the treatments. **Muscle** fat and adipose tissue from the Lin treatment showed higher proportions of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). The percentages of α -linolenic acid (C18:3 n-3), docosahexaenoic (C22:6 n-3), vaccenic (*trans*-11 C18:1) and rumenic (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2) acids **in both fat depots** were higher in Lin than in Control suckling lambs. Furthermore, meat fat from Lin carcasses displayed a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than control samples. Intramuscular depots clearly showed a greater content of PUFA, including *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2, and a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than subcutaneous fat. The results from this study demonstrate that dietary extruded linseed supplementation of lactating ewes enhances the nutritional quality of suckling lamb fat depots such as intramuscular and subcutaneous fat.

Keywords: Suckling lamb; fatty acid; intramuscular; subcutaneous; meat; extruded linseed

1. Introduction

Suckling lamb meat is widely consumed in some geographical areas of the world such as in Mediterranean countries and is an important commodity in the north of Spain. These lambs, reared exclusively on dam milk, are slaughtered at 30-35 days of age and usually at 10-12 kg of body weight. Lamb producers are trying to adapt their product to consumer preferences in order to enhance sales. In recent years there has been a growing interest in healthy food and more specifically in increasing the n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content in meat (Raes, De Smet & Demeyer, 2004; Schmid, Collomb, Sieber & Bee, 2006; Wood et al., 2008).

It is well documented that the n-3 PUFAs have different beneficial effects on neural function, reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, and manifest anti-inflammatory activity and lipid lowering potential (Simopoulos, 2008; Kaur, Cameron-Smith, Garg & Sinclair., 2011). On the other hand, the most important isomer of CLA in ruminants, *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 (rumenic acid, RA), is thought to have anticarcinogenic and antiatherosclerotic properties (Lock, Kraft, Rice & Bauman, 2009). Furthermore, *trans*-11 C18:1 (vaccenic acid, VA), the major *trans* fatty acid (FA) in ruminant fats and the precursor of RA in tissues, may also impart additional health benefits to those associated with this CLA isomer (Field, Blewett, Proctor & Vine, 2009).

In humans, ruminant derived foods represent the major dietary source of CLA, with meat accounting for about 25%. Moreover, the highest CLA content in meat has been found in lamb (Bauman et al., 2006; Schmid et al., 2006). Meat FA composition depends on several factors, with diet being one of the most relevant (Raes et al., 2004; Schmid et al., 2006; Wood et al., 2008). Suckling lambs are considered as being functional monogastric from a digestive point of view, as their reticular groove functionality prevents milk from passing into the rumen, so there is no ruminal biohydrogenation of the milk FAs before intestinal absorption. Therefore, changes in milk FA composition due to supplements in the dam diet, can induce important differences in the FA profile of the meat and fat depots of the suckling lamb (Lanza

et al., 2006; Osorio, Zumalacárregui, Figueira & Mateo, 2007; Manso, Bodas, Vieira, Mantecón & Castro, 2011).

Several strategies have been tested in recent years to improve the FA profile of ewe fat, focused on enhancing the content of VA, RA and C18:3 n-3 (α -linolenic acid, ALA) in derived foods. Fresh pasture has been shown to be an excellent source of ALA to increase this FA in milk (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a) and subsequently in suckling lamb meat fat (Scerra et al., 2007). When fresh pasture is not available, linseed supplementation (oil or seed) is a reliable alternative feeding strategy to enrich the VA, RA and n-3 PUFA content in milk fat from ewes (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009b; Bodas et al., 2010; Mele et al., 2011). Nevertheless, dietary fat rich in PUFA, like linseed supplements, may significantly alter the ruminal microbial ecosystem (Palmquist & Jenkins, 1980) and may negatively affect milk production (Palmquist, Lock, Shingfield & Bauman, 2005). Vegetable oils have a more depressing effect on ruminal digestion than oilseeds, and processed oilseeds (extruded, rolled, micronized, roasted...) are more effective at increasing milk CLA content than raw seeds but less efficient than free oil (Doreau, Aurousseau & Martin, 2009a; Doreau, Laverroux, Normand, Chesneau & Glasser, 2009b). Extrusion, the most common technique used, has been proposed in order to decrease ruminal degradability and reduce the negative effects of PUFAs on the ruminal environment (Mughetti et al., 2007).

The information available on the transfer of healthy FAs from ewes milk to suckling lambs when the dam's diet is supplemented with linseed is limited (Manso et al., 2011; Berthelot, Das, Pottier & Normand et al., 2012). It could be hypothesized that feeding diets enriched with ALA to lactating ewes improve the content of this omega-3 FA as well as their long chain metabolites in young sucking lambs. So, the aim of the present work was to investigate whether the supplementation of Churra ewe diet with extruded linseed would be a suitable strategy for improving the intramuscular and subcutaneous FA composition of their suckling lambs, without detrimentally affecting animal performance. Calcium soap of palm oil was used as a control because it is a saturated fat commonly used in sheep feeding (Doreau, Laverroux, Normand, Chesneau & Glasser, 2012).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Animal and experimental diets

Twenty-four pregnant Churra ewes (mean BW 58.56 ± 1.685 kg) were selected before lambing and fed the same control diet that they received during the experimental period but without added fat. Two days after lambing, each ewe based on their milk production, age, BW and parity in randomization was assigned to one of two experimental diets (12 ewes per treatment).

Each ewe was individually fed and a total of 2.1 kg DM of the corresponding experimental diet was supplied twice a day, plus 210 g of barley straw/ewe/day and fresh water *ad libitum*. Each ewe consumed the whole amount of TMR supplied daily.

Samples of diets were taken once a week during the whole experimental period for the determination of chemical composition using the AOAC (2003). The ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental diets are given in Table 1.

The newborn lambs (12 per treatment), covered by the protected geographical indication ‘Lechazo de Castilla y León’, were housed with their respective mothers all day long and were fed exclusively by suckling throughout the experimental period. All animal handling practices followed the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

2.2. Milk sampling and composition

The ewes were milked once a day in a 2 x 24 low-line Casse system milking parlour, with twelve milking units and two milkers. The milking machine (Alfa-Laval Iberia, S.A., Madrid, Spain) was set to provide 180 pulsations per minute in a 50:50 ratio at a vacuum level of 36 kPa. Once a week, individual ewe milk production was recorded and samples were taken in milk collection jars. One sub-sample of milk was kept at 4°C until analysed for fat and protein, in accordance with the International Dairy Federation (IDF, 2000), using a MilkoScan-400 analyser (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Aliquots from weeks 2 and 4 of the experimental period were stored at –80°C for FA analysis.

2.3. Slaughter procedure, carcass and meat measurements

Lambs were weighed twice a week until they reached the intended body weight (11 kg). At the conclusion of the trial, 2 or 3 suckling lambs from each group were transported to a commercial EU-licensed abattoir on 4 different days and slaughtered ($26,6 \pm 4,60$ days of age). At the abattoir, the live weight of the suckling lambs was recorded, the lambs were slaughtered and carcasses were immediately transferred to a cooler at 4°C. After 24 hours, carcasses were weighed again (cold carcass weight, CCW) and chilling losses were calculated as the difference between hot carcass weight (HCW) and CCW expressed as a proportion of the initial HCW. Dressing percentage was calculated as the ratio of CCW to slaughter live weight. Samples tissues of *m. Longissimus dorsi* (dissected from between the 6th and the 13th rib) and subcutaneous dorsal fat (dissected from the rump) were frozen at -80°C until FA analyses.

2.4. Fatty acid analysis

Milk fat was extracted following the method described by Luna, Juárez & De la Fuente (2005) and intramuscular fat using the method described by Bligh & Dyer (1959). Subcutaneous fat was extracted by fusion of individual samples.

Milk FA composition (individual samples from week 2 and 4 of the suckling period) and fat depots (intramuscular and subcutaneous) were determined by gas-liquid chromatography. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were prepared according to ISO-IDF (2002). Analysis of FAME was performed on a gas-liquid chromatograph (Agilent 6890 N Network System) onto a CP-Sil 88 fused silica capillary column (100 m X 0.25 mm, Varian, Middelburg, Netherlands) under similar conditions to those reported by Luna, Bach, Juárez & De la Fuente (2008). Individual FAME quantification was performed using a milk fat with known composition (CRM 164; European Community Bureau of Reference, Brussels, Belgium). Individual FAs were identified by comparison with standards distributed by Nu-Chek (Elysian, MN, USA), while *trans*-11 *cis*-15 C18:2, *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:2, *cis*-9 *trans*-11 *cis*-15 C18:3 (Rumelenic acid, CLnA) and *cis*-9 *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:3 were identified

using a methodology as described by others (Gómez-Cortés, Tyburczy, Brenna, Juárez & De la Fuente, 2009c).

Desaturase indices were calculated as follows: 14:1 desaturase index = $C14:1 / (C14:0 + C14:1)$, 18:1 desaturase index = $C18:1 / (C18:0 + C18:1)$ and CLA desaturase index = $cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 / (cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 + trans-11 C18:1)$.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Average daily gain was estimated by regression of live weight against time, using the REG procedure of SAS (SAS 9.2., SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data regarding milk yield and composition (FAs included) were analysed by repeated-measures analyses using the MIXED procedure and including the fixed effects of the diet (diet, D), week of sampling (time, T) and their interaction ($D \times T$). The rest of the parameters were statistically analysed by one-way analysis of variance using the general linear model (PROC GLM). The CORR procedure was used to calculate the correlation coefficients of the FAs between milk and fat depots. The statistical significance of differences were defined as P values < 0.05 and trends as P values < 0.10 .

3. Results

There were no statistical differences in chemical composition between experimental diets.

Average daily milk yield and milk composition of the dams are recorded in Table 2. Milk, fat and protein yields and protein content were not modified ($P > 0.10$) by dietary treatments. However, supplementation with the extruded linseed tended to decreased fat content ($P < 0.10$) compared with the Control diet.

Table 3 shows the FA profile of milk fats from ewes fed Control and Lin diets. There were large differences in milk FA profiles due to the type of fat added to the ewe's diet, whereas the effects of time were limited. Dietary inclusion of extruded linseed increased the

percentage of C12:0 ($P < 0.05$), C14:0 ($P < 0.01$) and C18:0 ($P < 0.01$) but reduced the C16:0 content ($P < 0.001$) by 25%. Oleic acid was the prevailing mono-unsaturated FA observed in milk fat from both treatments and its content was lower ($P < 0.001$) in ewes fed with the Lin diet. Most of the *trans* C18:1 isomers increased in Lin milk samples, mainly VA (3.5-fold; $P < 0.001$).

The percentage of linoleic acid (*cis*-9, *cis*-12 C18:2) was lower ($P < 0.001$) but the proportion of RA and other CLA isomers, i.e. *trans*-11, *cis*-13 C18:2, *trans*-11, *trans*-13 C18:2 and *trans*-12, *trans*-14 C18:2, were higher ($P < 0.001$) in the Lin treatment than in Control. RA milk content followed a similar trend to VA, with a 2.4-fold increase when extruded linseed was supplemented, whereas the content of *trans*-10 *cis*-12 isomer was very low with both diets. Among non-conjugated C18:2 isomers, the highest percentage ($P < 0.001$) corresponded to the *trans*-11, *cis*-15 in Lin samples.

The proportion of ALA in milk increased 3-fold with the Lin diet. Moreover, extruded linseed supplementation was accompanied by increases ($P < 0.001$) in CLnA and *cis*-9, *trans*-11, *trans*-15 C18:3, two conjugated isomers of C18:3. The eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5 n-3, EPA), docosapentaenoic acid (C22:5 n-3, DPA) and docosaheptaenoic acid (C22:6 n-3, DHA) contents were extremely low, as commonly occurs in ruminant milk. However, milk from ewes fed the Lin diet had increased ($P < 0.001$) concentrations of these n-3 FAs but decreased ($P < 0.001$) concentrations of n-6 PUFA (γ -linolenic acid, C20:3, C20:4 and C22:4). Therefore, the n-6/n-3 ratio was the lowest in milk fat from Lin ewes ($P < 0.001$). Finally, as an indirect measurement of desaturase activity, the 18:1 desaturase index was higher ($P < 0.001$) in Control than in the Lin group.

Lamb performance is shown in Table 4. No differences in suckling lamb carcass yield can be attributed to extruded linseed supplementation ($P > 0.05$), though a trend to increase average daily gain were observed. The FA patterns of suckling lamb meat were similar to those of milk from suckled dams (Tables 5 and 6). C16:0 and *cis*-9 C18:1 were the most

abundant FAs in intramuscular and subcutaneous fats. For both depots Control lambs registered the greatest concentrations of C16:0 ($P < 0.05$). Concerning *cis*-9 C18:1, a significant decrease ($P < 0.01$) and a trend to diminish ($P < 0.10$) were observed in intramuscular and subcutaneous fats respectively, in carcasses from Lin suckling lambs. Lin lambs had the greatest levels of VA, RA, ALA, and DHA in both fat depots ($P < 0.05$). However, Lin supplementation resulted in a lower n-6/n-3 ratio than in the control diet, ($P < 0.001$) this change being lower in intramuscular (2.42 vs. 5.44) than in subcutaneous (3.01 vs. 8.32) depots.

Overall, intramuscular fat was richer in PUFAs than subcutaneous fat, made up of mainly C20:4 n-6 and linoleic acid as well as other n-6 series FAs (Tables 5 and 6). **It is remarkable that linoleic acid and its n-6 PUFA metabolites in intramuscular fat were not statistically different between control and Lin diets (Table 5).** Furthermore, Lin intramuscular fat displayed the highest concentrations of ALA, RA, EPA, DPA and DHA. 14:1 and CLA desaturase indices were higher in intramuscular than in subcutaneous fat with only one significant reduction ($P < 0.05$) detected for the CLA desaturase index in intramuscular fat of the Lin treatment (Table 5 and 6).

Large significant correlations were observed between VA and RA in milk ($r = 0.97$, $P < 0.001$) and intramuscular fat ($r = 0.97$, $P < 0.001$) while its correlation was less prominent in subcutaneous fat ($r = 0.73$, $P < 0.01$). Significant correlations were also detected in milk fat between ALA vs. EPA ($r = 0.78$, $P < 0.001$), EPA vs. DPA ($r = 0.76$, $P < 0.001$) and DPA vs. DHA ($r = 0.81$, $P < 0.001$). On the other hand, significant correlations were found between linoleic, C20:3 n-6, C20:4 n-6 and C22:4 n-6 in intramuscular ($r = 0.63$, $P < 0.01$; $r = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$ and $r = 0.71$, $P < 0.01$) and subcutaneous fat ($r = 0.64$, $P < 0.01$; $r = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$ and $r = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$).

Positive correlations were observed between milk and intramuscular fat for oleic acid ($r = 0.87$, $P < 0.001$), *trans*-10 C18:1 ($r = 0.90$, $P < 0.001$), VA ($r = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$), RA ($r = 0.81$,

P < 0.001), ALA (r = 0.93, P < 0.001) and ClnA (r = 0.67, P < 0.01). Following a similar behaviour, significant correlations were also detected between milk and subcutaneous fat for oleic acid (r = 0.84, P < 0.001), *trans*-10 C18:1 (r = 0.94, P < 0.001), VA (r = 0.84, P < 0.001), RA (r = 0.74, P < 0.01), ALA (r = 0.82, P < 0.001) and ClnA (r = 0.68, P < 0.01). However, no significant correlations (P > 0.05) were observed between *trans*-10 C18:1 and *trans*-10 *cis*-12 C18:2 in intramuscular and subcutaneous fat depots.

4. Discussion

4.1. Milk yield and composition

Milk, fat and protein yields, as well as protein percentages were not influenced by the addition of extruded linseed. A similar trend was reported with linseed oil in sheep (Bodas et al., 2010, Manso et al., 2011). In contrast, Gómez-Cortés et al. (2009b) in ewe milk and Hurtaud et al. (2010) in cow milk observed an increase in milk production and milk fat yield when rations were supplemented with extruded linseed. However these increases could be attributed to the greater dry matter intake of ration or to the extra energy supply of the supplemented rations compared with the unsupplemented ones. In addition, Hurtaud et al. (2010) suggested that the changes in milk fat yield with linseed supplementation appear to be fairly random and relatively uncontrollable. As the diets assayed in the present experiment were iso-energetic and iso-nitrogenous, and the amount of feed offered to the animals was the same, no changes in milk yield and milk composition should be expected.

4.2. Milk fatty acid composition

The inclusion of extruded linseed in the diet produced significant increases in most of the C18 FA contents, at the expense of a decrease in C16:0 concentration (Table 3). This decrease had to be attributed to the low amount of palmitic acid in extruded linseed compared to its high content in calcium soap of palm oil (Table 1). Furthermore, because C16:0 is partially derived (about 50%) from *de novo* synthesis in the mammary gland, this decrement could also, in part, be due to the effect of long-chain FAs, which can alter the lipogenic gene networks in mammary epithelial cells. In fact, dietary PUFA are bio-hydrogenated in the rumen to form *trans*-FAs, some of which are recognised as potent inhibitors of lipogenesis in the udder (Kadegowda, Bionaz, Piperova, Erdman, & Loor, 2009).

The 3-fold increase with the Lin diet in ALA levels as well as the contents of CLnA, *trans*-11, *cis*-15 C18:2, *cis*-15 C18:1, *cis*-9, *trans*-11, *trans*-15 C18:3, *trans*-11, *trans*-15 C18:2 and *trans*-15 C18:1 corresponded to molecules which escaped completed biohydrogenation of that n-3 PUFA in the dam rumen (Destailats, Trottier, Gálvez & Angers, 2005; Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009c; Mele et al., 2011). The observed similar effect of extruded linseed supplementation on milk VA is also consistent with the biohydrogenation pathways for ALA in the rumen, which is first isomerized to CLnA and then sequentially reduced to VA.

RA concentration in milk fat increased 2.4 fold with extruded linseed supplementation. The extent of this increase, using extruded linseed, was lower than that observed in ewes by Manso et al. (2011) when free linseed oil was used, but higher than that observed in ewes by Zhang, Mustafa & Zhao (2006) using whole raw linseed. The strong correlation between VA and RA confirms the substrate:product relationship for Δ^9 -desaturase. RA in ewe milk fat is not only formed by direct isomerization of linoleic acid in the rumen, but mainly originates from endogenous synthesis from VA via Δ^9 -desaturase in the mammary gland (Bichi et al., 2012). The physical form of the linseed supplement could also contribute to an increase in RA levels because the process of extrusion (physical breakdown and heat-processing of linseed) may help to enhance the availability of ALA to rumen microbiota.

According to previous research done with ewes milk (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009b; Bodas et al., 2010) the levels of *trans*-10, *cis*-12 and *trans*-9, *cis*-11 C18:2 were negligible when a ewe's diet was supplemented with high amounts of ALA. However, significant increases ($P < 0.05$) in other CLA isomers (*trans*-11 *cis*-13, *trans*-12 *trans*-14, *trans*-11 *trans*-13) observed in the Lin diet are in agreement with previously reported studies of ewes fed on pasture (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a) or with diets rich in extruded linseed (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009b; Mele et al., 2011).

The increased EPA, DPA and DHA levels observed in Lin treated milk can be attributed to ALA molecules that avoided rumen biohydrogenation and are transferred to the mammary gland. ALA can be metabolized by desaturation and elongation enzymes to form a series of highly unsaturated n-3 long-chain **metabolites**, the major products of this pathway being EPA, DPA and DHA (Simopoulos, 2008; Kaur et al., 2011). The significant correlations between ALA vs. EPA, EPA vs. DPA and DPA vs. DHA support this statement. The noticeable

decrease of the n-6/n-3 ratio in milk fat when ewes were supplemented with extruded linseed would be positive from a nutritional point of view (Simopoulos, 2008).

4.3. Suckling lamb performance

No changes in lamb performance were observed as a result of adding extruded linseed to their dam's diet (Table 4). Awawdeh, Obeidat & Kridli (2009) and Manso et al. (2011) have reported that changes in suckling lamb performance are mainly related to differences in milk yield, milk fat and protein levels. Because the lambs were fed exclusively on maternal milk, a lack of difference in milk yield and composition would explain the lack of effect on lamb performance.

4.4. Intramuscular and subcutaneous fatty acid composition

Regardless of fat deposit, the milk FA profile of the suckled dams exerted significant effects on the meat FA content. This relationship has already been described in suckling lambs (Borys, Borys & Pajak, 2005; Scerra et al., 2007; Osorio et al., 2007; Manso et al., 2011). In these young pre-ruminants, the rumen is not functional yet, so there is no ruminal biohydrogenation of the milk FAs before they are absorbed by the intestine. Therefore, changes in dam milk FA composition due to diet can induce significant differences in the FA profile of meat and fat depots of their suckling lambs. Suckling lambs preferentially incorporate essential FAs (linoleic and ALA) into muscle rather than storing them in adipose tissue because of their important metabolic roles. Additionally, intramuscular fat was more abundant in CLA and had a lower n-6/n-3 ratio therefore exhibiting a better FA profile from a nutritional point of view. The major presence of PUFAs in intramuscular fat had previously been observed for suckling lambs (Cañeque et al., 2005; Osorio et al., 2007; Manso et al., 2011) and is based on a higher phospholipid proportion of these depots (Juárez et al., 2010).

The difference in palmitic acid content between suckling lamb carcasses from the Control and Lin groups is explained by the different levels of this FA in milk consumed by lambs. Furthermore, it has also been estimated that during the suckling lambs' first weeks of life, FAs absorbed from the intestine contribute to the majority of the total deposited FAs while *de*

novo synthesized FAs will supply less than 20% (Osorio et al., 2007). The higher levels of oleic acid in intramuscular fat and the trend to increase in subcutaneous adipose tissue observed in control diet could be attributed to milk intake as the 18:1 desaturase indexes in intramuscular and subcutaneous fats were not significantly modified (tables 5 and 6).

The fact that RA is less abundant in subcutaneous depot can be explained by the greater CLA desaturase activity in intramuscular tissue in Control and Lin samples (Tables 5 and 6). Besides, the higher correlation coefficient between RA and VA in intramuscular than in subcutaneous fat would also support greater RA endogenous synthesis in intramuscular tissue. These results coincide with those of Palmquist et al. (2004) who reported a higher endogenous synthesis of RA from VA in muscle than in lamb adipose tissue. In contrast to RA, intestinal absorption of the *trans*-10 *cis*-12 C18:2 is the only pathway involved in the presence of this CLA isomer in ruminant products, as animal tissues do not possess the desaturase enzyme capable of inserting a C12-double bond into the *trans*-10 C18:1 molecule.

The presence of ALA and CLnA in muscle and adipose tissues in suckling lambs depends on the milk ALA content which, in turn, is related to the dietary composition of their dams. The strong correlation between CLnA and ALA in both depots again emphasizes the considerable influence that dam milk composition has on the FA profile of suckling lambs. Furthermore, other C18:2 and C18:3 PUFA, such as *trans*-11 *cis*-15 C18:2, *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:2, and *cis*-9 *trans*-11 *trans*-15 C18:3, derived from ALA metabolism in ewes (Gómez-Cortés et al. 2009c; Bichi et al., 2012), also increased significantly in the meat fat of Lin suckling lambs. This pattern too, is consistent with the efficient incorporation of minor CLA isomers such as *trans*-11 *trans*-13, *trans*-11 *cis*-13 or *trans*-12 *trans*-14 in intramuscular and subcutaneous fat (Tables 5 and 6), generally linked to milk FA composition from ewes fed enriched ALA diets (Gómez-Cortés et al., 2009a, 2009b).

EPA, DPA and DHA increased in fat deposits of suckling lambs from ewes supplemented with extruded linseed, mainly in the intramuscular tissue. It is well established that diets rich in ALA result in an increased level of EPA in the fat depots of muscle and subcutaneous adipose tissue of suckling lambs by desaturation and elongation (Raes et al., 2004). Evidence also suggests that ALA would be the preferred substrate for the Δ^5 and Δ^6 -desaturase enzymes (Wood et al., 2008). There is less consensus with regard to the evolution of the DHA content. Lanza et al. (2006) doubled the DHA content in *Longissimus dorsi* muscle when lambs were

fed ALA high content milk. However, other studies in suckling lambs (Scerra et al., 2007) or kids (Nudda et al., 2008) did not report any remarkable increases in this n-3 PUFA when dam milk was ALA enriched.

The fact that linoleic acid and its n-6 long chain metabolites were found to be similar in carcass intramuscular deposits from Lin and Control treatments indicates that diet influenced the muscle content of ALA more than that of linoleic acid, in accordance with previous observations in lamb (Palmquist et al., 2004) and kid (Nudda et al., 2008) meat. A similar, or even more pronounced pattern was reported by Lanza et al. (2006) and Osorio et al. (2007). All this information has to be interpreted in the light of the competition between n-6 and n-3 PUFAs for both, incorporation into the intramuscular fat and enzymatic conversion: elongation and desaturation. Although potentially, these enzymes have a preference for the n-3 PUFA (Raes et al., 2004), linoleic acid incorporation into adipose tissue and muscle in relation to the amount in the diet is greater than for other FAs (Wood et al., 2008). On the other hand, linoleic acid is a precursor of C20:4 n-6 and other n-6 PUFAs in the mammalian organism, and thus the amount of these FAs in carcass fat must be reciprocally related. This would also be applicable for ALA and the longer n-3 FA.

In any case, in accordance with the higher levels of n-3 PUFA in lamb meat from ewes fed extruded linseed, the n-6/n-3 ratio was significantly lower in this group in intramuscular and subcutaneous fat. These values (2.42 intramuscular and 3.01 in subcutaneous) of Lin suckling lambs would be in accordance with nutritionist recommendations, which are for a ratio of n-6/n-3 PUFA of less than 5 (Raes et al., 2004; Simopoulos, 2008).

Conclusions

The addition of extruded linseed to the diet of lactating ewes did not affect neither ewe milk yield nor lamb performance. However, this lipid supplement did modify milk FA composition considerably and subsequently suckling lamb meat fat composition. Carcasses of animals from the linseed-fed diet group contained significantly higher levels of RA, VA, ALA, EPA, DPA and DHA and a lower n-6/n-3 ratio, mainly in intramuscular depots. Therefore, from a dietetic point of view, meat from suckling lambs whose dams have been supplemented with the most commonly used fat in sheep feeding (calcium soap of palm oil)

exhibited a less favourable lipid profile in meat than suckling lambs reared by dams fed a linseed supplemented diet. Results from this experiment therefore support the idea that incorporating extruded linseed in the feeding system of lactating ewes would produce lamb meat with a healthier lipid profile.

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out through a collaboration agreement between the Diputación de Palencia and the Universidad de Valladolid and has been subsidized by the Consejería de Educación de la Junta de Castilla y León (Projects VA058A07 and GR158), the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN; AGL2008-04805 and the Consolider Ingenio 2010 Programme; FUN-C-FOOD CSD2007-063) and the Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (2009-AGR-1469). The authors wish to thank Mrs. V. Rodríguez-Pino (CSIC, Madrid, Spain) for her technical assistance in analysing and processing fat samples and Dr. Raúl Bodas and INATEGA SL (León) for helpful. Beatriz Gallardo has a PIRTU contract from Consejería de Educación de la Junta de Castilla y León.

References

- AOAC (2003). Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. 17th Ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, USA.
- Awawdeh, M. S., Obeidat, B.S. & Kridli, R.T. (2009). Yellow grease as an alternative energy source for nursing Awassi ewes and their suckling lambs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 152, 165-174.
- Bauman, D.E., Lock, A.L., Corl, B.A., Ip, C., Salter, A.M. & Parodi, P.W. (2006). Milk fatty acids and human health: Potential role of conjugated linoleic acid and *trans* fatty acids. In K. Sjørsen, T. Hvelplund & M. O. Nielsen, (Eds.), *Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism and Impact of Nutrition on Gene Expression, Immunology and Stress* (pp.523-555). Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- Berthelot, V., Bas, P., Pottier, E. & Normand, J. (2012). The effect of maternal linseed supplementation and/or lamb linseed supplementation on muscle and subcutaneous adipose tissue fatty acid composition of indoor lambs *Meat Science*, 90, 548-557.
- Bichi, E., Toral, P.G., Hervás, G., Frutos, P., Gómez-Cortés, P., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente, M.A. (2012). Inhibition of $\Delta 9$ -desaturase activity with sterculic acid: effect on the endogenous synthesis of cis-9 18:1 and cis-9 trans-11 18:2 in dairy sheep. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95, 5242-5252.
- Blish, E.G. & Dyer, W.J. (1959). A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, 37, 911-917.
- Bodas, R., Manso, T., Mantecón, A.R., Juárez, M., De la Fuente, M.A. & Gómez-Cortés, P. (2010). Comparison of the Fatty Acid Profiles in Cheeses from Ewes Fed Diets Supplemented with Different Plant Oils. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58, 10493-10502.
- Borys, B., Borys, A. & Pajak, J.J. (2005). The fatty acid profile of meat of suckling lambs from ewes fed rapeseed and linseed. *Journal of Animal Feed Science*, 14, 223-226.
- Cañeque, V., Díaz, M.T., Alvarez, I., Lauzurica, S., Pérez, C., De la Fuente, J. (2005). The influences of carcass weight and depot on the fatty acid composition of fats suckling Manchego lambs. *Meat Science*, 70, 373-379.
- Destailats, F., Trottier, J.P., Galvez, J.M.G. & Angers, P. (2005). Analysis of α -linolenic acid biohydrogenation intermediates in milk fat with emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 88, 3231-3239.
- Doreau, M., Aurousseau, E. & Martin, C. (2009a). Effects of linseed lipids fed as rolled seeds, extruded seeds or oil on organic matter and crude protein digestion in cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 150, 187-196.

446 Doreau, M., Laverroux, S., Normand, J., Chesneau, G. & Glasser, F. (2009b). Effect of
 447 Linseed Fed as Rolled Seeds, Extruded Seeds or Oil on Fatty Acid Rumen Metabolism and
 448 Intestinal Digestibility in Cows. *Lipids*, 44, 53-62.

449 Doreau, M., Fievez, V., Troegeler-Meynadier, A. & Glasser, F. (2012). Métabolisme ruminal
 450 et digestion des acides gras longs chez le ruminant: le point des connaissances récentes. *INRA*
 451 *Productions Animales*, 25, 361-374.

452 FEDNA (2003). Normas FEDNA para la formulación de piensos compuestos. Fundación
 453 española para el desarrollo de la nutrición animal, Madrid.

454 Field, C.J., Blewett, H.H., Proctor, S. & Vine, D. (2009). Human health benefits of vaccenic
 455 acid. *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism*, 34, 979-991.

456 Gómez-Cortés, P., Frutos, P., Mantecón, A.R., Juárez, M., De la Fuente, M.A. & Hervás, G.
 457 (2009a). Effect of supplementation on grazing dairy ewes with a cereal concentrate on animal
 458 performance and milk fatty acid profile. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92, 3964-3972.

459 Gómez-Cortés, P., Bach, A., Luna, P., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente, M.A. (2009b). Effects of
 460 extruded linseed supplementation on n-3 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid in milk and
 461 cheese from ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92, 4122-4134.

462 Gómez-Cortés, P., Tyburczy, C., Brenna, J.T., Juárez, M. & De la Fuente, M.A. (2009c).
 463 Characterization by GC-MS and CACI-MS/MS of cis-9 trans-11 trans-15 C18:3 in milk fat.
 464 *Journal of Lipid Research*, 50, 2412-2420.

465 Hurtaud, C., Faucon, F., Couvreur, S. & Peyraud, J.L. (2010). Linear relationship between
 466 increasing amounts of extruded linseed in dairy cow diet and milk fatty acid composition and
 467 butter properties. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 93, 1429-1443.

468 International Dairy Federation (2000). International IDF standard 141C:2000. Determination
 469 of milk fat, protein and lactose content. Guidance on the operation of mid-infrared
 470 instruments. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium.

471 ISO-IDF. (2002). Milk fat—Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters. International Standard ISO
 472 15884-IDF 182: 2002. International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium.

473 Juárez, M., Horcada, A., Alcalde, M.J., Aldai, N., Polvillo, O., Valera, M. & Molina, A.
 474 (2010). Fatty acid composition of lamb fat depots as an origin discriminator. *Spanish Journal*
 475 *of Agricultural Research*, 8, 976-980.

476 Kadegowda, A. K. G., Bionaz, M., Piperova, L., Erdman, R. A., & Looor, J. J. (2009).
 477 Peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor-c activation and long-chain fatty acids alter
 478 lipogenic networks in bovine mammary epithelial cells to various extents. *Journal of Dairy*
 479 *Science*, 92, 4276–4289.

480 Kaur, G., Cameron-Smith, D., Garg, M. & Sinclair, A.J. (2011). Docosapentaenoic acid
481 (22:5n-3): A review of its biological effects. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 50, 28-34.

482 Lanza, M., Bella, M., Priolo, A., Barbagallo, D., Galofaro, V., Landi, C. & Pennisi, P.
483 (2006). Lamb meat quality as affected by a natural or artificial milk feeding regime. *Meat*
484 *Science*, 73, 313-318.

485 Lock, A.L., Kraft, J., Rice, B.H., Bauman, D.E. (2009). Biosynthesis and biological activity
486 of rumenic acid: a natural CLA isomer. In F. Destailats, J.L. Sébédio, F. Dionisi & J.M.
487 Chardigny, (Eds.), *Trans Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition* (pp. 195-230). Brigwater: The Oily
488 Press.

489 Luna, P., Juárez, M. and De la Fuente, M.A. (2005). Validation of a rapid milk fat separation
490 method to determine the fatty acid profile by gas chromatography. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
491 88, 3377-3381.

492 Luna, P., Bach, A., Juárez, M. y De la Fuente, M.A. 2008. Influence of diets rich in flax seed
493 and sunflower oil on the fatty acid composition of ewes' milk fat especially on the content of
494 conjugated linoleic acid, n-3 and n-6 fatty acids. *International Dairy Journal*, 18, 99-107.

495 Manso, T., Bodas, R., Vieira, C., Mantecón, A.R. & Castro, T. (2011). Feeding vegetable oils
496 to lactating ewes modifies the fatty acid profile of suckling lambs. *Animal*, 5, 1659-1667.

497 Mele, M., Contarini, G., Cercaci, L., Serra, A., Buccioni, A., Povolio, M., Conte, G., Funaro,
498 A., Banni, S., Lercker, G. & Secchiari, P. (2011). Enrichment of Pecorino cheese with
499 conjugated linoleic acid by feeding dairy ewes with extruded linseed: Effect on fatty acid and
500 triglycerides composition and on oxidative stability. *International Dairy Journal*, 21, 365-
501 372.

502 Mughetti, L., Acuti, G., Antonini, C., De Vincenzi, S., Olivieri, O. & Marinucci, M.T. (2007).
503 Effects of feeding raw or extruded linseed on the ruminal ecosystem of sheep. *Italian Journal*
504 *of Animal Science*, 6, 327-329.

505 Nudda, A., Palmquist, D.L., Battaccone, G., Fancellu, S., Rassu, S.P.G. & Pulina, G. (2008).
506 Relationships between the contents of vaccenic acid, CLA and n - 3 fatty acids of goat milk
507 and the muscle of their suckling kids. *Livestock Science*, 118, 195-203.

508 Osorio, M. T., Zumalacárregui, J.M., Figueira, A. & Mateo, J. (2007). Fatty acid composition
509 in subcutaneous, intermuscular and intramuscular fat deposits of suckling lamb meat: Effect
510 of milk source. *Small Ruminant Research*, 73, 127-134.

511 Palmquist, D.L. & Jenkins, T.C. (1980). Fat in lactation rations: Review. *Journal of Dairy*
512 *Science*, 63, 1-14.

513 Palmquist, D.L., St-Pierre, N. & McClure, K.E. (2004). Tissue fatty acid profiles can be used
514 to quantify endogenous rumenic acid synthesis in lambs. *Journal of Nutrition*, 134, 2407-414.

- 515 Palmquist, D. L., Lock, A.L., Shingfield, K.J. & Bauman, D.E. (2005). Biosynthesis of
516 conjugated linoleic acid in ruminants and humans. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*,
517 50, 179-217.
- 518 Raes, K., De Smet, S. & Demeyer, D. (2004). Effect of dietary fatty acids on incorporation of
519 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid in lamb, beef and pork
520 meat: a review. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 113, 199-221.
- 521 Scerra, M., Caparra, P., Foti, F., Galofaro, V., Sinatra, M.C. & Scerra, V. (2007). Influence of
522 ewe feeding systems on fatty acid composition of suckling lambs. *Meat Science*, 76, 390-394.
- 523 Schmid, A., Collomb, M., Sieber, R. & Bee, G. (2006). Conjugated linoleic acid in meat and
524 meat products: a review. *Meat Science*, 73, 29-41.
- 525 Simopoulos, A.P. (2008). The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio in
526 cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 233,
527 674-688.
- 528 Wood, J.D., Enser, M., Fisher, A.V., Nute, G.R., Sheard, P.R., Richardson, R.I., Hughes, S.I.
529 & Wittington, F.M. (2008). Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: A review.
530 *Meat Science*, 78, 343-358.
- 531 Zhang, R. H., Mustafa, A.F. & Zhao, X. (2006). Effects of feeding oilseed rich in linolenic
532 fatty acids to lactating ewes on cheese yield and on fatty acid composition of milk and cheese.
533 *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 127, 220-233.

534

535

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

	Control	Lin
Ingredients, % as fed		
Dehydrated alfalfa	39.38	36.95
Soybean meal	13.77	12.92
Corn grain	11.83	11.10
Oat grain	10.38	9.74
Barley grain	7.86	7.37
Beet pulp	7.86	7.37
Molasses	4.95	4.64
Calcium soap of palm oil ^a	3	-
Extruded linseed ^b	-	9
Vitamin mineral premix	1.00	0.91
Chemical composition, % DM		
DM, %	88.87	88.81
Ash	9.07	8.87
NDF	28.34	26.59
ADF	17.56	16.48
Crude Protein	16.86	17.69
Ether extract	5.30	5.16
ME ^c	11,6	11,6

537

538 ^aCalcium soap of palm oil (Magnapac[®], Norel Animal Nutrition, Madrid, Spain) contained (%
539 identified fatty acids) C12:0 (0.26), C14:0 (1.20), C16:0 (46.9), C18:0 (40.7) and C18:1
540 (9.70).

541 ^bExtruded linseed (Tradilin[®], S.A.S. Valorex, La Messayais, Combourtille, France). Product
542 consisted of 30% wheat middlings and 70% extruded linseed. Fatty acid composition (%
543 identified fatty acids): C12:0 (0.05), C14:0 (0.10), C16:0 (6.40), C18:0 (4.00), C18:1 (15.10),
544 C18:2 (18.20) and C18:3 (54.30).

545 ^cME: metabolizable energy (MJ/Kg DM) estimated using FEDNA (2003)

546

547

548

549

550

551 **Table 2.** Milk production and chemical composition of milk.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value ^b		
	Control	Lin		D	T	D x T
Yield, g/d						
Milk	1790.9	1746.2	199.54	ns	ns	ns
Fat	90.2	77.1	14.47	ns	ns	ns
Protein	77.5	77.1	8.58	ns	ns	ns
Composition, %						ns
Fat	4.98	4.35	0.497	†	ns	ns
Protein	4.38	4.47	0.122	ns	ns	ns

552 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).553 ^b Effects caused by dietary treatment (D), time on diet (T), and their interaction (D x T).

554 SED: standard error of the difference.

555 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

556

558 **Table 3.** Milk fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters)

	Diets ^a				SED	P value ^b		
	Control		Lin			D	T	D x T
	Week 2	Week 4	Week2	Week4				
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>								
C4:0	4.61	4.46	4.720	4.56	0.189	ns	ns	ns
C6:0	2.78	2.96	3.39	3.66	0.216	***	ns	ns
C8:0	2.24	2.48	2.84	3.18	0.254	***	ns	ns
C10:0	5.56	6.50	7.04	8.34	0.773	**	*	ns
C12:0	2.95	3.42	3.55	4.05	0.366	*	†	ns
C13:0 <i>iso</i>	0.015	0.014	0.021	0.021	0.0017	***	ns	ns
C13:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.020	0.027	0.022	0.028	0.0034	ns	**	ns
C13:0	0.081	0.099	0.087	0.107	0.0130	ns	*	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.073	0.074	0.074	0.075	0.0057	ns	ns	ns
C14:0	7.08	7.86	8.16	9.07	0.480	**	*	ns
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.011	***	ns	ns
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.25	0.27	0.32	0.33	0.019	***	ns	ns
C15:0	0.61	0.65	0.68	0.72	0.039	**	ns	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.014	ns	ns	ns
C16:0	26.30	27.89	19.30	21.00	0.992	***	*	ns
C17:0	0.68	0.54	0.60	0.50	0.077	ns	*	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.089	0.070	0.065	0.050	0.0102	**	*	ns
C18:0	11.81	10.36	13.79	11.89	0.840	**	**	ns
C20:0	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.008	†	ns	ns
C21:0	0.036	0.039	0.045	0.042	0.0027	**	ns	ns
C22:0	0.058	0.061	0.061	0.065	0.0040	ns	ns	ns
C23:0	0.030	0.034	0.031	0.033	0.0027	ns	ns	ns
C24:0	0.025	0.028	0.030	0.030	0.0021	*	ns	ns
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>								
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.26	0.031	ns	**	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.073	0.993	0.071	0.099	0.0136	**	**	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C15:1	0.059	0.066	0.073	0.073	0.0049	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.33	0.31	0.52	0.42	0.025	***	**	*
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.011	*	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.96	1.01	0.79	0.80	0.054	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C16:1	0.033	0.045	0.033	0.054	0.0086	ns	*	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.25	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.035	*	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -4 C18:1	0.027	0.028	0.025	0.024	0.0025	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -5 C18:1	0.030	0.030	0.026	0.025	0.0024	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -6 +7+8 C18:1	0.32	0.31	0.39	0.37	0.033	**	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.26	0.25	0.34	0.33	0.024	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.40	0.37	0.55	0.64	0.167	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.85	0.85	3.57	2.50	0.393	***	†	†
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.32	0.32	0.52	0.57	0.048	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	24.54	22.03	18.54	16.84	1.977	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -15 + <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	0.52	0.47	0.73	0.73	0.028	***	ns	ns

<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.22	0.21	0.59	0.63	0.042	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.046	0.043	0.065	0.067	0.0058	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.30	0.30	0.58	0.60	0.032	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.054	0.054	0.266	0.323	0.0248	***	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -16 C18:1	0.022	0.021	0.045	0.049	0.0028	ns	ns	ns
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.055	0.050	0.046	0.039	0.0040	**	*	ns
Non conjugated C18:2								
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.012	0.013	0.135	0.102	0.0182	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.03	0.03	0.85	0.74	0.114	***	ns	ns
C18:2 n-6	2.44	2.36	1.84	1.77	0.099	***	ns	ns
Other non conjugated C18:2	0.38	0.37	0.58	0.69	0.049	***	ns	ns
Conjugated C18:2								
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.35	0.39	0.97	0.81	0.114	***	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.0017	†	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.005	0.0011	*	ns	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.007	0.006	0.058	0.037	0.0084	***	†	ns
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 C18:2	0.009	0.010	0.059	0.087	0.0062	***	**	**
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.014	0.014	0.055	0.063	0.0064	***	ns	ns
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.019	0.017	0.012	0.012	0.0029	**	ns	ns
Other PUFA								
C18:3 n-6 (γ-Linolenic acid)	0.038	0.042	0.022	0.021	0.0040	***	ns	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α-Linolenic acid)	0.32	0.30	0.95	0.92	0.075	***	ns	ns
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15)	0.030	0.030	0.116	0.095	0.0126	***	ns	ns
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15)	0.006	0.005	0.042	0.036	0.0058	***	ns	ns
C20:3 n-6	0.018	0.018	0.010	0.010	0.0022	***	ns	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.011	***	ns	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.030	0.029	0.060	0.060	0.0055	***	ns	ns
C22:4 n-6	0.019	0.021	0.014	0.011	0.0018	***	ns	*
C22:5 n-3 DPA	0.075	0.069	0.104	0.096	0.0083	***	ns	ns
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.030	0.029	0.049	0.039	0.0052	***	ns	ns
SFA	65.81	68.40	65.42	68.33	1.881	Ns	*	ns
MUFA	28.63	26.02	26.88	24.35	1.816	Ns	†	ns
PUFA	3.97	3.90	6.05	5.70	0.378	***	ns	ns
TOTAL CLA	0.41	0.45	1.17	1.02	0.130	***	ns	ns
Ratios								
14:1 desaturase index ^c	0.010	0.012	0.008	0.011	0.0012	†	*	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^c	0.70	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.013	***	ns	ns
CLA desaturase index ^c	0.29	0.32	0.21	0.25	0.011	***	***	ns
n-6/n-3	5.84	6.04	1.80	1.75	0.286	***	ns	ns

559 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

560 ^b Effects caused by dietary treatment (D), time on diet (T), and their interaction (D x T).

561 ^c 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1);

562 CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

563 SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid;

564 DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

565 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

566 Week 2: samples of the second week of lactation; week 4: samples of the fourth week of
567 lactation

568

569 **Table 4.** Suckling lamb performance

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
Birth body weight (kg)	3.91	4.54	0.183	**
Slaughter weight (kg)	10.84	11.30	0.201	ns
Average daily gain (g animal ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	248	279	12.6	†
Hot carcass weight (kg)	5.99	6.21	0.121	ns
Cold carcass weight (kg)	5.84	6.07	0.119	ns
Chilling losses (%)	2.6	2.3	0.17	ns
Dressing percentage (%)	46.1	46.3	0.51	ns

570 ^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

571 SED: standard error of the difference

572 † P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

573

574

575
576 **Table 5.** Mean effects of diet supplementation with extruded linseed (Lin) on intramuscular
577 fat fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters) of suckling lambs.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>				
C6:0	0.026	0.031	0.0075	ns
C8:0	0.024	0.019	0.0027	ns
C10:0	0.27	0.25	0.020	ns
C12:0	0.37	0.38	0.033	ns
C13:0	0.053	0.060	0.0054	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.28	0.39	0.044	†
C14:0	4.23	4.07	0.235	ns
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.087	0.072	0.0058	ns
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.10	0.12	0.007	†
C15:0	0.26	0.29	0.016	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.13	0.13	0.011	ns
C16:0	22.07	19.48	0.706	*
C17:0	0.66	0.56	0.048	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.12	0.09	0.011	†
C18:0	12.69	12.57	0.585	ns
C19:0	0.11	0.90	0.012	ns
C20:0	0.13	0.13	0.009	ns
C21:0	0.022	0.024	0.0029	ns
C22:0	0.39	0.45	0.051	ns
C23:0	0.24	0.32	0.032	†
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>				
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.032	0.029	0.0039	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.18	0.18	0.014	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.43	0.63	0.035	**
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.057	0.051	0.0051	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1 + C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	2.32	2.04	0.121	ns
<i>cis</i> -13 C16:1	0.080	0.080	0.0081	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.40	0.33	0.029	ns
<i>trans</i> -6+7+8 C18:1	0.20	0.20	0.014	ns
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.22	0.26	0.013	†
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.27	0.32	0.036	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.57	2.40	0.281	***
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.29	0.44	0.018	***
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	31.95	25.43	1.392	**
<i>trans</i> -15 + <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	1.35	1.57	0.145	ns
<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.49	1.05	0.082	***
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.092	0.110	0.0109	ns
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.23	0.38	0.030	**
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.10	0.21	0.019	**
<i>cis</i> -16 C18:1	0.14	0.18	0.016	ns
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.14	0.11	0.008	†

<i>Non conjugated C18:2</i>				
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.067	0.110	0.0097	**
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.076	0.434	0.0279	***
C18:2 n-6	8.41	9.16	0.626	ns
Other non-conjugated C18:2	0.55	0.81	0.053	**
<i>Conjugated C18:2</i>				
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.36	1.25	0.129	***
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.022	0.023	0.0025	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.016	0.015	0.0018	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.033	0.069	0.0071	**
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 CLA	0.027	0.041	0.0042	*
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.021	0.046	0.0031	***
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.051	0.062	0.0037	†
<i>Other PUFA</i>				
C18:3 n-6 (γ-Linolenic acid)	0.11	0.12	0.009	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α-Linolenic acid)	0.43	1.76	0.147	***
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15)	0.54	0.92	0.083	**
C18:3 (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15)	0.025	0.058	0.0053	**
C20:3 n-6	0.077	0.074	0.0062	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	4.49	4.41	0.497	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.44	1.42	0.263	*
C22:4 n-6	0.16	0.18	0.024	ns
C22:5 n-3 DPA	1.02	1.60	0.183	*
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.62	1.25	0.178	*
SFA	42.24	39.53	0.925	†
MUFA	39.54	36.01	1.229	†
PUFA	13.54	23.80	1.628	*
TOTAL CLA	0.48	1.44	0.130	***
<i>Ratios</i>				
14:1 desaturase index ^b	0.039	0.039	0.0024	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^b	0.74	0.72	0.008	ns
CLA desaturase index ^b	0.39	0.34	0.011	*
n-6/n-3	5.44	2.42	0.263	***

^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

^b 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1); CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

† P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

586 **Table 6.** Mean effects of diet supplementation with extruded linseed (Lin) on subcutaneous
587 fatty acid profile (g/100 g of total fatty acid methyl esters) of suckling lambs.

	Diets ^a		SED	P value
	Control	Lin		
<i>Saturated (SFA)</i>				
C5:0	0.047	0.041	0.0062	ns
C6:0	0.042	0.055	0.0073	ns
C7:0	0.037	0.044	0.0060	ns
C8:0	0.069	0.071	0.0074	ns
C10:0	0.88	0.90	0.083	ns
C12:0	1.22	1.26	0.147	ns
C13:0 <i>iso</i>	0.023	0.022	0.0019	ns
C13:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.014	0.016	0.0013	ns
C13:0	0.08	0.09	0.007	ns
C14:0 <i>iso</i>	0.066	0.068	0.0033	ns
C14:0	9.00	10.29	0.420	*
C15:0 <i>iso</i>	0.16	0.18	0.004	***
C15:0 <i>anteiso</i>	0.18	0.23	0.010	**
C15:0	0.63	0.68	0.034	ns
C16:0 <i>iso</i>	0.19	0.21	0.009	ns
C16:0	31.15	28.00	0.886	*
C17:0	0.92	0.89	0.033	ns
C18:0 <i>iso</i>	0.11	0.10	0.008	ns
C18:0	11.81	12.14	0.486	ns
C19:0	0.078	0.079	0.0041	ns
C20:0	0.11	0.11	0.006	ns
C21:0	0.012	0.013	0.0007	ns
C22:0	0.010	0.009	0.0009	ns
C23:0	0.015	0.022	0.0029	†
C24:0	0.017	0.019	0.0017	ns
<i>Monounsaturated (MUFA)</i>				
<i>cis</i> -9 C10:1	0.058	0.062	0.0065	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C14:1	0.25	0.31	0.017	*
<i>cis</i> -9 C15:1	0.048	0.056	0.0032	†
<i>trans</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>iso</i>	0.35	0.46	0.012	***
<i>cis</i> -7 C16:1	0.38	0.37	0.012	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C16:1+ C17:0 <i>anteiso</i>	2.40	2.43	0.108	ns
<i>cis</i> -9 C17:1	0.46	0.43	0.029	ns
<i>trans</i> -4 C18:1	0.015	0.016	0.0011	ns
<i>trans</i> -5 C18:1	0.012	0.038	0.0028	***
<i>trans</i> 6+7+8 C18:1	0.29	0.33	0.016	*
<i>trans</i> -9 C18:1	0.30	0.38	0.012	***
<i>trans</i> -10 C18:1	0.37	0.47	0.044	ns
<i>trans</i> -11 C18:1	0.79	2.83	0.271	***
<i>trans</i> -12 C18:1	0.20	0.39	0.028	***
<i>cis</i> -9 C18:1	32.74	29.24	1.276	†
<i>trans</i> -15+ <i>cis</i> -11 C18:1	0.58	0.65	0.024	***

<i>cis</i> -12 C18:1	0.23	0.49	0.028	***
<i>cis</i> -13 C18:1	0.057	0.085	0.0034	***
<i>trans</i> -16 + <i>cis</i> -14 C18:1	0.27	0.49	0.026	***
<i>cis</i> -15 C18:1	0.066	0.251	0.0119	***
<i>cis</i> -11 C20:1	0.077	0.071	0.0067	ns
Non conjugated C18:2				
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:2	0.007	0.068	0.0054	***
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:2	0.035	0.639	0.0514	***
C18:2 n-6	1.48	1.10	0.081	**
Other non-conjugated C18:2	0.50	0.95	0.044	***
Conjugated C18:2				
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 C18:2	0.18	0.41	0.038	***
<i>trans</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 C18:2	0.008	0.007	0.0005	ns
<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 C18:2	0.004	0.004	0.0003	ns
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -13 C18:2	0.005	0.016	0.0012	***
<i>trans</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -14 C18:2	0.009	0.028	0.0019	***
<i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13 C18:2	0.005	0.013	0.0011	***
Other <i>trans-trans</i> conjugated C18:2	0.040	0.020	0.0032	***
Other PUFA				
C18:3 n-6 (γ -linolenic acid)	0.017	0.016	0.0013	ns
C18:3 n-3 (α -linolenic acid)	0.13	0.32	0.025	***
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -15 C18:3	0.016	0.050	0.0044	***
<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -15 C18:3	0.003	0.019	0.0016	***
C20:3 n-6	0.007	0.006	0.0008	ns
C20:4 n-6 AA	0.035	0.034	0.0064	ns
C20:5 n-3 EPA	0.019	0.028	0.0069	ns
C22:4 n-6	0.014	0.014	0.0016	ns
C22:5 n-3 DPA	0.027	0.039	0.0066	ns
C22:6 n-3 DHA	0.015	0.022	0.0020	*
SFA	56.87	55.55	1.116	ns
MUFA	37.11	36.94	1.106	ns
PUFA	2.55	3.80	0.151	***
TOTAL CLA	0.24	0.50	0.040	***
Ratios				
14:1 desaturase index ^b	0.027	0.029	0.0013	ns
18:1 desaturase index ^b	0.75	0.75	0.007	ns
CLA desaturase index ^b	0.18	0.15	0.021	ns
n-6/n-3	8.32	3.01	0.206	***

^a Diets supplemented with calcium soaps of palm oil (Control) and extruded linseed (Lin).

^b 14:1 desaturase index = C14:1/(C14:0 + C14:1); desaturase index = C18:1/ (C18:0 + C18:1); CLA desaturase index = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2/ (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 + *trans*-11 C18:1)

SED: standard error of the difference; AA: arachidonic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA: docosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

† P < 0.10, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001