Graphene materials with different structures prepared from the same graphite by the Hummers and Brodie methods

Cristina Botas^a, Patricia Álvarez^a, Patricia Blanco^a, Marcos Granda^a, Clara Blanco^a, Ricardo Santamaría^a, Laura J. Romasanta^b, Raquel Verdejo^b, Miguel A. López-Manchado^b and Rosa Menéndez^{a,}*

^aInstituto Nacional del Carbón, INCAR-CSIC, Apartado 73, 33080 Oviedo, Spain.

^bInstituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Polímeros, ICTP-CSIC, C/Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain.

ABSTRACT. Graphene materials containing different functional groups were prepared from a natural graphite, by means of two different oxidation methods (Hummers and Brodie). It was observed that the differences in the structure of the resultant graphite oxides (GOs) greatly affect the structure of the graphenes resulting from their thermal exfoliation/reduction. Although the oxidation of the graphite was more effective with the modified Hummers method than with Brodie's method (C/O of 1.8 vs 2.9, as determined by XPS), the former generated a lower residual oxygen content after thermal exfoliation/reduction and a better reconstruction of the 2D graphene structure (with fewer defects). This is explained by the presence of conjugated epoxy and hydroxyl groups in the GO obtained by Brodie's method, which upon thermal treatment, lead to the incorporation of oxygen into the carbon lattice preventing its complete restoration. Additionally, graphene materials obtained with Brodie's method exhibit, in general, a smaller sheet size and larger surface area.

* Corresponding author: Tel: +34 985 11 90 90. E-mail: rosmenen@incar.csic.es (Rosa Menéndez)

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of graphene materials of different structure, functionality and sheet size is of special interest for different applications as for example polymer composites [1, 2]. The presence of polar groups on graphene surface can improve the compatibility with the polymer matrices but reduces its inherent thermal and electrical conductivity [3, 4, 5]. The chemical route through the oxidation of graphite is widely used due to both the easy scalability of the process and the costs involved [1, 2]. Furthermore, the possibility of using different parent graphites [6], oxidation methods [7] (or conditions) [8] and different reduction processes widens the range of graphene materials that can be produced [7, 9, 10]. Although the structure of graphene oxides (GrO) is still a matter of debate the last studies seem to confirm that they exhibit epoxy, hydroxyl (mainly at the basal planes of the sheets) and carboxyl groups (at the edges of the sheets or the defects (pores) [11, 12, 13]. Nonetheless, the amount type and location of the oxygen functional groups can be varied by modifying the preparation conditions, which could have a strong influence on the reactivity of these materials. For instance, depending on the quantity and location of these groups, the GrO will exhibit a very different behavior, either when used directly for a specific application (catalysis) or when it is subjected to further reduction treatment [9, 14]. Carboxyl groups and hydroxyl and epoxy groups located on the basal plane (in the interior of the sheet) are the most reactive on thermal reduction. Hydroxyl and epoxy groups located on the edges exhibit a lower reactivity [15]. In addition, the relative contribution of one or another group (i.e. hydroxyl/epoxy) and their proximity to each other need to be considered [15]. The yield and size of the sheets of the graphene materials can also be controlled by varying the crystal structure of the parent graphite [6] and/or the graphite oxide exfoliation conditions [16].

Thermal reduction has many advantages over chemical reduction for the restoration of the pristine graphite 2D-structure through the elimination of oxygen functional groups. It is simpler and easier to perform, as it usually results in the simultaneous exfoliation and reduction of the graphite oxide. Furthermore, there is no need to use liquids [16], which is an advantage for some applications, for example as electrodes in lithium [17] or vanadium batteries [18], which require dry graphene. Moreover, when large amounts of sample are demanded and the graphene materials need to have a good thermal conductivity, as in the preparation of polymer-based composites with good heat dissipation properties [19], the thermal exfoliation/reduction of graphite oxide is an excellent alternative [18]. The possibility of retaining some oxygen functional groups in the graphene to facilitate interaction with the polymer is an additional advantage.

Nowadays, the most widely used methods to prepare graphite oxide (GO) and/or graphene oxide (GrO) is either the Hummers [20] or the Brodie [21] method. These methods differ in both the acid medium (nitric or sulfuric acid), and the type of salt used (potassium chlorate or potassium permanganate). The oxidation degree attained is usually reported to be higher for the Hummers method. A recent publication evaluated the structural transformations of GO prepared by both methods using solvation/hydration [22]. The solvation/hydration behavior of the GOs obtained by the Brodie (GO-B) and Hummers (GO-H) methods resulted in crystalline and osmotic swelling, respectively. These were ascribed to the presence C–OH groups in GO-B and C=O groups in GO-H, respectively. They also analyzed the thermal exfoliation behavior of both products, and observed a smaller degree of expansion in GO-H compared to GO-B. However to

our knowledge there have been no studies on the effect of the two methods on the reconstruction of the sp^2 C structure, when thermal treatment is applied to their respective graphene oxides, which is directly related with certain properties of the resultant materials such as their thermal and electrical conductivity.

The overall aim of this research is to obtain polymer-based graphene composites with improved heat transmission properties. For that, in an initial stage, graphene materials with different sized sheets and containing different functional groups were prepared. This paper reports on: i) the oxidation of a natural graphite by the Hummers [20] and Brodie [21] methods; ii) the thermal exfoliation/reduction of the graphite oxides (GOs) at temperatures of 700, 1000 and 2000 °C [23]; iii) the characterization of the graphene materials obtained by the two methods.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A commercial natural graphite powder supplied by Sigma Aldrich was used as starting material for the preparation of the samples in this study. The ash content of the graphite, as determined by TGA was lower than 0.1 wt %. The carbon content, on an ash-free basis was 99.9 wt %. The characterization data of the graphite are included in the Supporting Information (S.I.).

2.1. Preparation of graphite oxide by a modified version Hummers method

GO was prepared from the commercial graphite using a modified Hummers' method (GO-H) [6, 20, 23]. This method makes use of the Hummers' reagents with additional amounts of $NaNO_3$ and $KMnO_4$. Concentrated H_2SO_4 (360 mL) was added to a mixture of graphite (7.5 g) and $NaNO_3$ (7.5 g), and the mixture was cooled down to 0 °C in an ice bath. $KMnO_4$ (45 g) was

added slowly in small doses to keep the reaction temperature below 20 °C. The solution was heated to 35 °C and stirred for 3 h. Then 3 % H₂O₂ (1.5 L) was slowly added. This had a pronounced exothermal effect at 98 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and, finally, the mixture was centrifuged (3700 rpm for 30 min), after which the supernatant was decanted away. The remaining solid material was then washed with 600 mL of water and centrifuged again, this process being repeated until the pH was neutral [6].

2.2. Preparation of graphite oxide by the Brodie method

The oxidation of the graphite was also performed using Brodie's method (GO-B) [21]. Fuming nitric acid (200 mL) was added into a flask with a cooling jacket and cooled to 0 °C in a cryostat bath. The graphite powder (10 g) was introduced into the flask and thoroughly dispersed to avoid agglomeration. Next, potassium chlorate (80 g) was slowly added for 1 h, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 21 h at 0 °C. Special caution is necessary during addition of potassium chlorate since explosions can occur [3]. Once the reaction had finished, the mixture was diluted in distilled water and vacuum filtered until the pH of the filtrate was neutral.

2.3. Thermal exfoliation/reduction of GOs

The temperatures used for the exfoliation/reduction of GO-H and GO-B to prepare the graphene materials (TRGs) were 700, 1000 and 2000 °C. The treatments at 700 and 1000 °C were performed in a horizontal tube furnace using a ceramic boat with a graphite cover to prevent the blowing of the material [23]. 0.3 g of GO was introduced into the furnace and heated at 5 °C min⁻¹ under a N₂ atmosphere (100 mL min⁻¹) to the desired temperature, and kept for 1 h. The samples obtained at 700 °C were then annealed at 2000 °C in a graphitization furnace (Pyrox VI

150/125) under an atmosphere of argon (3 L min^{-1}) at a heating rate of $5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ up to $800 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and then at $10 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ up to $2000 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, this temperature being maintained for 1 h. The samples obtained were labeled as TRGH-700, TRGH-1000, TRGH-2000, TRGB-700, TRGB-1000 and TRGB-2000, where H and B refer to the oxidation method (H: Hummers and B: Brodie). Colloidal suspensions of individual TRG sheets were prepared in purified water/DMF (1:1) in 1 mL batches and kept under ultrasound treatment for 30 min.

2.4. Characterization of the samples

The GOs were thermally treated in a thermal programmed desorption (TPD) device in order to determine the temperature of their thermal exfoliation (blasting temperature) [23]. The system consists of an electrical furnace with a U-shape quartz glass reactor connected to a mass spectrometer (Omnistar TM-Pheiffer Vacuum). Initially, the samples (50 mg) were degassed under a He flow (50 mL min^{-1}) at room temperature for 1 h. Then they were heated from room temperature, at a heating rate of $5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$, until blasting occurred as a consequence of the sudden release of gases [16, 23]. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out using a TA SDT 2960 analyzer. 5 mg of sample was placed in a crucible that was then introduced into the thermobalance; the temperature was increased to $1000 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a heating rate of $5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ under a nitrogen flow of 100 mL min^{-1} .

The oxygen content of the samples was determined directly in a LECO-TF-900 furnace coupled to a LECO-CHNS-932 microanalyzer. The analyses were performed using 1 mg of ground sample. The results were quoted from an average of the values of four determinations. In all cases, the experimental error was $< 0.5 \%$ of the absolute value. UV-Vis spectra of GrOs were

recorded at room temperature between 190 and 600 nm using a UV-Vis spectrometer (UV spectrophotometer. UV-1800, Shimadzu). XPS analyses were carried out in a VG-Microtech Mutilab 3000 device. The XPS C1s peak was analyzed using a peak synthesis procedure that employs a combination of Gaussian and Lorentzian functions [24] in order to identify the functional groups and the respective percentages. The binding energy profiles were deconvoluted as follows: undamaged structures of Csp²-hybridized carbon (284.5 eV), damaged structures or sp³-hybridized carbons (285.5 eV), C-OH groups (286.5 eV), C-O-C functional groups (287.7 eV) and C(O)OH groups at 288.7 eV). XRD analysis of the powdered samples was performed using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer. The radiation frequency employed was the K α 1 line from Cu (1.5406 Å), with a power supply of 40 kV and 40 mA. The crystallite size along the c-axis (L_c) and the interlaminar distances of the sheets were obtained from the (002) reflection of the XRD patterns of the TRGs and the (001) reflection in the case of GOs [23], which were recorded at steps of 0.01° and intervals of 6 s per step, using the Scherrer equation. SEM images were obtained using a field emission gun scanning electron microscope (QUANTAN FEG 650, FEI) operating at 5 kV. TEM observations were performed on a JEOL 2000 EX-II instrument operating at 160 keV. Suspensions of GrOs and TRGs were deposited on standard holey carbon copper grids using the drop cast method and loaded into the microscope. The size and height of the sheets in the GrOs and TRGs suspensions were measured by means of AFM imaging and profiling was carried out by depositing a drop of the suspension onto the surface of mica. The sheets were imaged using a Cervantes atomic force microscope from Nanotec Electronica™ operating under ambient conditions. Microcantilevers with nominal spring constants of $k = 40$ N/m and a resonance frequency of $f = 300$ kHz were used to image the sheets. WSxM software was employed to control the atomic force microscope as well as for the data processing of the

acquired images. Raman spectroscopy was performed on a Renishaw 2000 Confocal Raman Microprobe (Renishaw Instruments, England) using a 514.5 nm argon ion laser. The spectra were recorded from 750 to 3500 cm^{-1} . The surface area was determined from the N_2 adsorption isotherm at 77 K using the BET equation. These analyses were performed in ASAP 2020 Micromeritics equipment using around 100 mg of sample for each experiment. Before the experiments, the samples were outgassed at 350 °C for 3 h under vacuum (pressure below 10^{-3} Pa).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The parent graphite was fully oxidized by the two methods as confirmed by XRD. The graphite has an intense crystalline peak at 26.5° corresponding to the (002) plane. On conversion to GO, the (002) and (101) peaks of graphite disappear while the (001) appears at $2\Theta=9.8^\circ$ (Figure 1) [6, 23]. The interlayer distance increases from 0.336 nm for the graphite to 0.846 nm for GO-H and 0.610 nm for GO-B, as a result of the expansion caused by the incorporation of water and oxygen functional groups during the oxidation process. The larger value of GO-H is the result of a more extensive oxidation as confirmed by elemental analysis. GO-H contains 47.8 % of oxygen, while GO-B only contains 28.2 % (C/O ratios determined by XPS are 1.8 and 2.9, respectively). Also, only in the case of GO-H the presence of the small amount of sulphur (≈ 2 wt.%) is observed, as the result of the treatment with sulphuric acid.

Figure 1. XRD spectra of parent graphite and GOs.

These compositional and structural differences are macroscopically evidenced by the color of their colloidal suspensions in water (Figure 2). GO-H is yellow-brown while GO-B is green-brown. The facility of GO-H to exfoliate when subjected to ultrasounds (see S.I.), as evidenced by the strong UV-Vis adsorption (Figure 3), is also a consequence of its more extensive oxidation, there being a larger presence of oxygen functional groups which diminishes in a larger extent the Van der Waals interactions [1, 2, 6, 21]. Thus, GO-B requires a minimum of 15 h to exfoliate, whereas GO-H exfoliates after just 1 h. Moreover, the UV-Vis adsorption spectra of the exfoliated GOs are very different. The sample obtained from GO-H exhibits the 230 nm and 300 nm peaks typical of graphene oxides, which are attributed to π - π^* transitions of aromatic C-C and C-O bonds, respectively (Figure 3), while in the sample from GO-B a multi peak pattern appears above 300 nm (typical of highly condensed polycyclic aromatic structures). The Raman spectra of both exfoliated samples exhibit clear differences. There is a shift of the G peak position from 1592 cm^{-1} for GO-H to 1565 cm^{-1} for GO-B (Table 1) which, according to previous

studies [25, 26] is possibly related to the different distribution of the oxygen functional groups in the graphene sheet..

Figure 2. Images of GO-H (left) and GO-B (right) in water (without ultrasonication).

Figure 3. UV-Vis spectra of GrO-H (left) and GrO-B (right) at different sonication times (1, 5 and 15 h).

The differences in the exfoliation behavior are clearly illustrated in the TEM images which show single folded GO-H sheets after dispersion in water and 5 h sonication (Figure 4) and un-exfoliated GO-B for the same sonication time (Figure 4). The larger population of monolayers obtained from GO-H is highlighted by SEM (Figure 5) and AFM (Figure 6). Additionally, GO-H generates larger size sheets than GO-B. This could be due to the poorer degree of exfoliation observed in GO-B (only the smallest particles are exfoliated) or to the breakage of the sheets. In view of what has so far been discussed, the first explanation is more likely.

Figure 4. TEM images of GO-H-5h (left) and GO-B-5h (right).

Figure 5. SEM images of GO-H-5h (left) and GO-B-5h (right).

Figure 6. AFM images of GO-H-2h (top) and GO-B-5h (bottom). The blue lines indicate the sections corresponding to the traces shown on the right.

TPD experiments were performed in both GOs (see S.I.). These allow the exfoliation temperature to be determined (when blasting occurs) and, at the same time, provide information on the type of oxygen functional groups lost with the increase in temperature. During the heating process, the oxygen functional groups of GO decompose and produce gases that build up pressure between adjacent graphene sheets, as a result of the abrupt elimination of intercalated water and oxygen groups, in the form of CO, CO₂. Thermal exfoliation occurs when the pressure exceeds the Van der Waals interlayer attractions [16], a higher pressure being required for shorter interlaminar distances. GO-H thermally exfoliates at lower temperature than GO-B, 150 °C and 200 °C, respectively. The reason for this is to be found in a higher amount of labile groups in GO-H.

The structural differences between GO-H and GO-B are evident from the results obtained by thermogravimetric analysis (Figure 7). The TGA/DTG curves of GOs typically show the release of a small amount of water at the initial heating stage, followed by a dramatic loss at 150-300 °C, corresponding to the decomposition of oxygen functional groups [16, 13]. The products of this decomposition are mainly H₂O and CO₂. There is a continuous and smooth weight loss in the temperature range of 350-1000 °C (which corresponds to the loss of CO and H₂ as corroborated by the TPD results). GO-H starts to lose weight below 150 °C, maximum weight loss occurring at 200 °C (corresponding to a weight loss of about 40 %). Weight loss then progressively continues reaching 54 % at 800 °C. GO-B, however, does not start to lose weight until 200 °C, maximum weight loss occurring at 250 °C (weight loss at 200-320 °C was of the order 27 wt %). Weight loss then continues gradually up to 900 °C, where it experiences a second maximum of about 20 wt % between 900 and 1000 °C. This suggests that, apart from the lower amount of

oxygen functional groups present in GO-B (one third of the amount in GO-H, according to the elemental analysis), these groups are more stable.

Figure 7. Thermogravimetric analysis profiles of GO-H (top) and GO-B (bottom).

The differences in the structure and thermal behavior of the two GOs affect the characteristics of graphenes resulting from their thermal exfoliation/reduction (TRGs). The type and amount of

functional groups of TRGs were determined by XPS (Table 1). Although the oxidation was more effective with the modified Hummers method (C/O of 1.8 in GO-H vs 2.9 in GO-B), TRGH-1000 and TRGH-2000 exhibit C/O ratios (of 57.8 and 332.3, respectively) which are higher than those of TRGB-1000 and TRGB-2000 (25.3 and 37.5, respectively). This confirms the previous observation by TGA. DTG evidences a more extensive reduction of oxygen functional groups in GO-H. Furthermore, only GO-B undergoes a second maximum weight loss at temperatures above 900 °C. Since there is no substantial increase in the C/O ratio of this sample in the 700-1000 °C interval, we can conclude that the weight loss is not only caused by the elimination of oxygen containing functional groups but also by the rearrangement of its C-H structure. It is worth mentioning that the evolution of the different type of oxygen functional groups with temperature is rather different in both samples. Although GO-H has a higher amount of all types of functional groups, their elimination at 700 °C is more pronounced than in GO-B. TRGH-1000 and TRGH-2000 contain only, 3.6 % and 1.5 % of hydroxyl groups, respectively. Meanwhile, there are significantly higher amounts of residual hydroxyl groups in TRGB-1000 and TRGB-2000 (8.6 and 5.3 % respectively). The most interesting finding in this study is that the restoration of the sp^2 -bonded C atoms is greater in the samples obtained by the Hummers method than by the Brodie's method, reaching 88.9 % in TRGH-2000 while in the case of TRGB-2000 it reaches 81.8 %. This is surprising as the Brodie's method is less aggressive and the resulting GO is less functionalized. This means that the higher thermal stability of the oxygen functional groups introduced by the Brodie's method makes their removal more difficult and that it generates more defects.

Considering the theoretical studies reported by Bagri et al [15], it can be proposed that GO-B contains conjugated epoxy groups and hydroxyl, which, at moderate temperatures lead to the incorporation of oxygen in-plane as ether groups or out-of-plane as carbonyl groups. These groups are highly stable and thus the Csp^2 structure of the carbon lattice is not fully recovered. In contrast, the presence of less conjugated oxygen functional groups in GO-H facilitates their thermal removal, and no oxygen is incorporated into the carbon lattice, resulting in a better restoration of the sp^2 structure. This is consistent with the higher oxygen content remaining in the GO-B sample even after treatment at 2000°C (2.6 %) and with the higher I_D/I_G ratio in TRGB-2000 (0.33) than in TRGH-2000 (0.09).

Table 1. Main characteristics of samples.

	Elemental Analysis (wt. %)					XPS								S_{BET}^a	Raman		
	C	H	O	N	S	C/O	O (%)	C (%)	Csp ² (%)	Csp ³ (%)	C-OH (%)	C-O-C (%)	C(O)OH (%)	(m ² g ⁻¹)	I _D /I _G ^b	W _D ^c (cm ⁻¹)	W _G ^d (cm ⁻¹)
GO-H	48.0	2.2	47.8	0.0	2.0	1.8	35.2	64.8	32.2	12.8	36.2	14.3	4.4	---	0.88	1348	1592
TRGH-700	87.8	0.8	11.1	0.0	0.3	9.2	9.8	90.2	74.6	15.1	8.5	0.6	1.2	390	0.91	1354	1592
TRGH-1000	97.9	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.9	57.8	1.7	98.3	82.4	13.9	3.6	0.0	0.0	300	1.28	1335	1570
TRGH-2000	99.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	332.3	0.3	99.7	88.9	9.6	1.5	0.0	0.0	140	0.09	1350	1580
GO-B	70.1	0.9	28.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	25.7	74.3	39.2	14.5	32.4	11.2	2.7	---	0.88	1332	1565
TRGB-700	90.1	0.2	9.7	0.0	0.0	13.1	7.1	92.9	75.0	13.1	9.2	1.8	0.9	660	0.86	1353	1578
TRGB-1000	98.0	0.3	1.6	0.1	0.0	25.3	3.8	96.2	77.3	14.1	8.6	0.0	0.0	570	1.10	1335	1570
TRGB-2000	99.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	37.5	2.6	97.4	81.8	13.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	140	0.33	1364	1588

^a, BET surface area.^b, ratio of intensities of band D and G in the Raman spectra^c, position of band D in the Raman spectra^d, position of band G in the Raman spectra

While no significant differences were observed by TEM in the two series of TRGs (see S.I.), SEM (Figure 8) of the as-prepared powder samples shows important differences between those obtained at 700 and 1000 °C by the two methods. TRGH-700 and TRGH-1000 show the typical randomly oriented graphene sheets previously reported in other studies [17, 18, 23] (Figure 8), while TRGB-700 and TRGB-1000 exhibit some areas with “accordion-type” sheets typical of expanded graphite [27] which indicates the partial exfoliation of the GO-B (as in the case of the ultrasounds exfoliation discussed above). This may be the factor responsible for their large BET surface area (660 and 570 m²g⁻¹; vs 390 and 300 m²g⁻¹ in TRGH-700 and TRGH-1000. TRGH-2000 and TRGB-2000, however, both exhibit the typical shape of randomly oriented graphene sheets. This means that the gases produced by the removal of the more stable functional groups above 1000 °C, as observed by DTG and TPD (see S.I.) completed the exfoliation of GO-B.

Figure 8. SEM images of powder TRGs obtained at 700 °C, 1000 °C and 2000 °C.

4. CONCLUSIONS

“*Tailor made*” graphene sheets of different size and surface area, with an accurate structure and functionality, can be obtained by controlling the oxidation process of the graphite and the thermal reduction of the oxide. The Brodie’s method introduces a smaller amount of oxygen than Hummers, but favors the formation of conjugated epoxy groups and hydroxyl, which, at

moderate temperatures leads to the incorporation of oxygen in-plane as ether groups or out-of-plane as carbonyl groups. These groups are highly stable. Thus the Csp^2 structure of the carbon lattice is not fully recovered and residual oxygen remains even after treatment at 2000°C . In contrast, the presence of less conjugated oxygen groups in GO-H facilitates their thermal removal, and no oxygen is incorporated into the carbon lattice, resulting in a better restoration of the sp^2 structure. In short, a larger restoration of the pristine graphite 2D structure is achieved by Hummers oxidation method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank MICINN and European Union (CONSOLIDER INGENIO 2010, Ref. CSD2009-00050, MAT2010-16194) for their financial support. Dr. Patricia Alvarez thanks MICINN for her Ramon y Cajal contract.

REFERENCES

- [1] Novoselov KS, Fal'ko VI, Colombo L, Gellert PR, Schwab MG, Kim K. A road map for graphene. *Nature* 2012; 490: 192-200.
- [2] Dreyer DR, Park S, Bielawski CW, Ruoff RS. The chemistry of graphene oxide. *Chem Soc Rev* 2010; 39: 228-40.
- [3] Kim H, Abdala AA, Macosko CW. Graphene/Polymer Nanocomposites. *Macromolecules* 2010; 43: 6515-30.
- [4] Verdejo R, Bernal MM, Romasanta LJ, Lopez-Manchado MA. Graphene filled polymer nanocomposites. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 2011; 21: 3301-10.
- [5] Singh V, Joung D, Zhai L, Das S, Khondaker SI, Seal S. Graphene based materials: Past, present and future. *Progress in Materials Science* 2011; 56: 1178-271.
- [6] Botas C, Álvarez P, Blanco C, Santamaría R, Granda M, Ares P, et al. R. The effect of the parent graphite on the structure of graphene oxide. *Carbon* 2012; 50: 275-82.
- [7] Poh HL, Sanék F, Ambrosi A, Zhao G, Soferb Z, Pumera M. High-pressure hydrogenation of graphene: Towards graphane. *Nanoscale* 2012; 4: 3515-22.
- [8] Marcano DC, Kosynkin DV, Berlin JM, Sinitskii A, Sun Z, Slesarev A, et al. Improved synthesis of graphene oxide. *ACS Nano* 2010; 4: 4806-14.
- [9] Gao X, Jang J, Nagase S. Hydrazine and thermal reduction of graphene oxide: Reaction mechanisms, product structures, and reaction design. *J Phys Chem C* 2010; 114: 832-42.
- [10] Pei S, Cheng H. The reduction of graphene oxide. *Carbon* 2011; 50: 3210-28.
- [11] Lerf A, He H, Forster M, Klinowski J. Structure of Graphite Oxide Revisited. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1998; 102: 4477-82.

- [12] Szabo T, Berkesi O, Forgo P, Josepovits K, Sanakis Y, Petridis D and Dekany I. Evolution of Surface Functional Groups in a Series of Progressively Oxidized Graphite Oxides. *Chem. Mater.* 2006; 18: 2740–9.
- [13] Dreyer DR, Park S, Bielawski CW, Ruoff RS. The chemistry of graphene oxide *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010; 39: 228–40.
- [14] Botas C, Álvarez P, Blanco C, Gutiérrez MD, Ares P, Zamani R, et al. Tailored graphene materials by chemical reduction of graphene oxides of different atomic structure. *RSC Adv* 2012; 2: 9643-50.
- [15] Bagri A, Mattevi C, Acik M, Chabal Y, Chhowalla M, Shenoy V. Structural evolution during the reduction of chemically derived graphene oxide. *Nat Chem* 2010; 2: 581-7.
- [16] McAllister MJ, Li J, Adamson DH, Schniepp HC, Abdala AA, Liu J, et al. Single sheet functionalized graphene by oxidation and thermal expansion of graphite. *Chem Mater* 2007; 19: 4397-404.
- [17] Wang G, Shen X, Yao Y, Park J. Graphene nanosheets for enhanced lithium storage in lithium ion batteries. *Carbon* 2009; 47: 2049-53.
- [18] González Z, Botas C, Álvarez P, Roldán S, Blanco C, Santamaría R, et al. Thermally reduced graphite oxide as positive electrode in Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. *Carbon* 2012; 50: 828-34.
- [19] Wajid AS, Das S, Irin F, Ahmed HST, Shelburne JL, Parviz D, et al. Polymer-stabilized graphene dispersions at high concentrations in organic solvents for composite production. *Carbon* 2012; 50: 526-34.
- [20] Hummers WS, Offeman RE. Preparation of graphitic oxide. *J Am Chem Soc* 1958; 80: 1339-40.

- [21] Brodie BC. Hydration behavior and dynamics of water molecules in graphite oxide. *Ann Chim Phys* 1860; 59: 466-72.
- [22] You S, Luzana SM, Szabó T, Talyzin AV. Effect of synthesis method on solvation and exfoliation of graphite oxide. *Carbon* 2013; 52: 171-80.
- [23] Botas C, Álvarez P, Blanco C, Santamaría R, Granda M, Gutiérrez MD, et al. Critical temperatures in the synthesis of graphene-like materials by thermal exfoliation-reduction of graphite oxide. *Carbon* 2013; 52: 476-85.
- [24] Yang D, Velamakanni A, Bozoklu G, Park S, Stoller M, Piner RD, et al. Chemical analysis of graphene oxide films after heat and chemical treatments by x-ray photoelectron and micro-Raman spectroscopy. *Carbon* 2009; 47: 145-52.
- [25] Ferrari AC. Raman spectroscopy of graphene and graphite: Disorder, electron-phonon coupling, doping and nonadiabatic effects. *Solid State Communications* 2007; 143: 47–57
- [26] Casiraghi C, Hartschuh A, Qian H, Piscanec S, Georgi C, Fasoli A, et al. Raman spectroscopy of graphene edges. *Nano Letters* 2009; 9: 1433-41.
- [27] Potts JR, Shankar O, Murali S, Dub L, Ruoff RS. Latex and two-roll mill processing of thermally-exfoliated graphite oxide/natural rubber nanocomposites. *Compos Sci Technol* 2013; 74: 166-72.

Figure captions

Figure 1. XRD spectra of parent graphite and GOs.

Figure 2. Images of GO-H (left) and GO-B (right) in water (without ultrasonication).

Figure 3. UV-Vis spectra of GrO-H (left) and GrO-B (right) at different sonication times (1, 5 and 15 h).

Figure 4. TEM images of GO-H-5h (left) and GO-B-5h (right).

Figure 5. SEM images of GO-H-5h (left) and GO-B-5h (right).

Figure 6. AFM images of GO-H-2h (top) and GO-B-5h (bottom). The blue lines indicate the sections corresponding to the traces shown on the right

Figure 7. Thermogravimetric analysis profiles of GO-H (top) and GO-B (bottom).

Figure 8. SEM images of powder TRGs obtained at 700 °C, 1000 °C and 2000 °C.

Table captions

Table 1. Main characteristics of samples.