Observation of magnetization reversal in epitaxial Gd_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO₃ thin films

Yanwei Ma, M. Guilloux-Viry, P. Barahona, O. Peña, and C. Moure

Citation: *Applied Physics Letters* **86**, 062506 (2005); doi: 10.1063/1.1862787

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1862787>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/86/6?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Goodfellow

metals • ceramics • polymers
composites • compounds • glasses

Save 5% • Buy online
70,000 products • Fast shipping

Observation of magnetization reversal in epitaxial $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films

Yanwei Ma^{a)}

Applied Superconductivity Laboratory, Institute of Electrical Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China and CSIM, UMR 6511, CNRS-Universite de Rennes 1, Institut de Chimie, 35042 Rennes, France

M. Guilloux-Viry, P. Barahona, and O. Peña

CSIM, UMR 6511, CNRS-Universite de Rennes 1, Institut de Chimie, 35042 Rennes, France

C. Moure

Electroceramics Department, Instituto de Ceramica y Vidrio, CSIC, 28049 Madrid, Spain

(Received 31 August 2004; accepted 7 January 2005; published online 3 February 2005)

High-quality epitaxial thin films (~ 200 nm thick) of $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ have been deposited onto (100) SrTiO_3 substrates by pulsed-laser deposition. Enhanced properties in comparison with bulk samples were observed. The magnetic transition temperature (T_c) of the as-grown films is much higher than the corresponding bulk values. Most interestingly, magnetization measurements performed under small applied fields, exhibit magnetization reversals below T_c , no matter whether the film is field cooled (FC) or zero-field cooled (ZFC). A rapid magnetization reversal occurs at 7 K when field cooled, while as for the ZFC process the magnetization decreases gradually with increasing temperatures, taking negative values above 7 K and changing to positive values again, above 83 K. In higher magnetic fields the magnetization does not change sign. The reversal mechanism is discussed in terms of a negative exchange f - d interaction and magnetic anisotropy, this later enhanced by strain effects induced by the lattice mismatch between the film and the substrate. © 2005 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1862787]

The mixed valent $\text{A}_{1-x}\text{B}_x\text{MnO}_3$ perovskite manganites, where A and B are rare-earth and divalent alkaline elements, have received a great amount of attention due to their unusual magnetic properties and colossal magnetoresistance effect.^{1–4} Recently, reports on gadolinium-based perovskites have shown specific magnetic features, in particular a reversal of the magnetization at low temperatures when cooled in a magnetic field.^{5,6} Similar anomalies were later found in praseodymium, neodymium, and cerium compounds,^{7–10} in which we may find, for instance, an induced antiferromagnetic coupling of the Mn spins with the Ce spins, leading to a ferrimagnetic ground state.⁹

On the other hand, the capability to fabricate manganites with unique physical properties into thin films makes it possible to create a rich variety of electronic and magnetic devices. It is also well known that films may present interesting physical properties, quite different from those of the materials produced by bulk ceramic techniques or single crystals with the same nominal composition, since lattice match between film and substrate is considered to be the most dominant factor for the epitaxial growth.^{11–13} In this respect, we have undertaken the elaboration of thin films of $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ (GCMO).

Thin films of $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ (GCMO) were prepared by pulsed-laser deposition (PLD) technique. The target, of nominal composition $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$, was a sintered pellet, of approximately 92% of the theoretical density, prepared by a conventional solid-state reaction process. Films were synthesized on single-crystal (100) SrTiO_3 (STO) substrates.

A detailed description of the deposition system is mentioned elsewhere.¹⁴

GCMO films grown on STO substrates were found by XRD to be single phase with (00 ℓ) orientation, without any extra peaks due to impurities (Fig. 1). In order to investigate the crystal quality of these films, the rocking curves of the (004) peaks were explored by ω scan. Typical full width at half maximum of all films obtained was comprised between 0.12° and 0.25° . Furthermore, the four peaks at 90° intervals in the φ scan make evident the existence of an in-plane order of the films. These observations confirmed the high crystalline quality and good epitaxy of the GCMO thin films. In addition, the estimated value of the out-of-plane lattice parameter for the film was $c/2=3.772$ Å, almost identical to the lattice constant of the perovskite $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ bulk material which was used as target in the PLD experiment ($a/\sqrt{2}=3.7914$, $b/\sqrt{2}=3.9447$, and $c/2=3.771$ Å). As expected for epitaxial films, the in-plane lattice parameters a

FIG. 1. θ - 2θ XRD patterns of $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ films grown on STO substrates. The inset depicts the rocking curve of the (004) peak.

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: ywma@mail.iee.ac.cn

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the magnetization for the GCMO thin film under ZFC and FC conditions, measured under 20 Oe. The inset shows an enlarged part of Fig. 2.

and b match the substrate of SrTiO_3 (cubic, $a = 3.905 \text{ \AA}$) provided that the film crystal lattice is rotated by 45° with respect to the substrate. Therefore, the GCMO/STO films have the film-substrate lattice mismatch $\sim 2.9\%$ along a direction, $\sim -1.0\%$ along b direction, with their in-plane lattice parameters expanded and compressed, respectively. Also, from the SEM observation, the GCMO film is uniform and dense. The film surface is covered with spherical grains with an average lateral size of 30 nm.

The typical zero-field cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetizations measured in a field of 20 Oe, as a function of temperature, are shown in Fig. 2. It is immediately seen that the ordering temperature T_c from a paramagnetic to a ferrimagnetic state is approximately 105 K, which is 25 K higher than that of the bulk of the same composition ($T_c \sim 80 \text{ K}$).^{5,6} This difference in T_c is similar to what has been observed in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{MnO}_3$ thin films¹³ and can be attributed to the lattice mismatch induced strains between the film and the substrate. From Fig. 2, it is observed that the data present a large irreversibility between ZFC and FC magnetizations. During the FC process, the magnetization M_{FC} increases rapidly below T_c , showing a large maximum at about $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{FC}} = 50 \text{ K}$. Upon further cooling, the magnetization decreases, intersects the temperature axis at a compensation temperature of $T_{\text{comp}} = \sim 7 \text{ K}$ and becomes negative. The temperature T_{comp} is lower than that of the bulk $\text{Gd}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$ ($T_{\text{comp}} \sim 15 \text{ K}$).^{5,6} This unusual phenomenon is named magnetization reversal, which indicates that below the compensation temperature, the magnetization inverts its sign and points opposite to the direction of the applied magnetic field.⁷⁻¹⁰

During ZFC, that is, with increasing temperature from 5 K, the magnetization decreases gradually, crossing zero at approximately $T_{S1} = \sim 7 \text{ K}$ and reaching a minimum negative value at $T = \sim 50 \text{ K}$. Upon further warming, M_{ZFC} increases, crosses zero at a second compensation temperature of $T_{S2} \sim 83 \text{ K}$, reaching a small peak at $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{ZFC}} = 95 \text{ K}$, before decreasing gradually toward a paramagnetic state. Such an anomaly is much more pronounced in low magnetic fields.

Figure 3 shows representative M - T curves measured under different applied fields between 20 and 5000 Oe. Taking these curves into consideration, one can note the following features: (1) All FC curves tend to cross around $T_{\text{comp}} = 7 \text{ K}$ over the whole range of the applied fields. However, for 5 kOe, the magnetization no longer becomes negative, but instead has a minimum at $T = 7 \text{ K}$. (2) Applying a field above 250 Oe turns the ZFC magnetization to be positive in the whole temperature region (inset in Fig. 3). (3) The two well-

FIG. 3. Magnetization vs temperature in different magnetic fields, measured under ZFC (top panel) or FC (bottom panel) conditions. The inset shows an enlarged part of Fig. 3 (top panel).

defined maxima observed in the magnetization exhibit quite different behaviors as a function of the applied field: while $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{FC}}$ stays at a rather constant value of 50 K, the other one (at $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{ZFC}}$) decreases with the applied field, going from 95 K (at $H = 20 \text{ Oe}$) down to 50 K, the same value as $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{FC}}$. This situation is reversed to that observed in the GCMO bulk, where $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{ZFC}}$ stays constant at $\sim 50 \text{ K}$, while $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{FC}}$ increases with increasing the field, until it reaches the same value as $T_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{FC}}$.⁶ In sufficiently large fields (5 kOe) there is no difference between the M_{ZFC} and M_{FC} curves.

In order to understand more deeply the origin of the magnetization reversal in the GCMO films, we have performed magnetization loops at temperatures comprised between 5 K and T_c , as illustrated in Fig. 4. These measurements were performed on a ZFC sample, under an external field varying between -20 and $+20 \text{ kOe}$. At a temperature of 5 K, the film is characterized by a coercive force H_c of 1.6 kOe; the magnetization exhibits no saturation in fields of up to 50 kOe (not shown). The continuous increase of magnetization with the applied field indicates a ferrimagnetic-like state in the GCMO films.

As the temperature is increased to 20 K, the M - H behavior is quite different: the magnetization rises up dramatically at low field and tends to saturate at high field. The hysteresis loop has a coercivity of about 2.2 kOe, larger than that at 5 K. In contrast, the bulk of the same composition has a much smaller H_c at the same temperature.⁶ With increasing temperature, the magnetization saturates more easily and the coercivity decrease quickly. At 90 K, temperature which almost corresponds to the peak of the ZFC curve, the M - H curve shows no hysteresis, but it is highly nonlinear, indicating a ferromagnetic behavior. If the coercive field is plotted as a function of temperature (inset in Fig. 4), there is a clear maximum in $H_c(T)$ around 15 K. This behavior differs from that of the bulk of the same composition in which H_c decreases continuously from 5 K to T_c ,⁶ and suggests that the unusual behavior of the ZFC magnetization may be attributed to associated domain effects or change in the magnetic anisotropy, as will be discussed in more detail later.

The above magnetic phenomena during FC can be easily understood as the existence of two magnetic sublattices, one aligned with the applied field and the other anti-aligned with the field. In a field-cooled measurement (FC) under small

fields, as the temperature is decreased from T_c , the Mn sublattice orders ferromagnetically, creating a local field at the Gd site; at the same time, due to the negative f - d exchange interaction, the Gd sublattice tends to align antiparallel to such local field. If the anti-aligned sublattice magnetization grows more rapidly (e.g., proportional to T^{-1}) with decreasing temperature than the aligned one, the T_{comp} is reached. Then the total magnetic moment becomes negative when $|M_{\text{Gd}}| > M_{\text{Mn}}$. The shape of the curve when field cooled is very similar to that for $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{Dy}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$,^{15,16} implying the same origin for both phenomena.

When the applied magnetic field is high enough, it overcomes the internal field produced by the Mn sublattice, and will predominate over the gadolinium susceptibility. The Gd ions remain parallel to the external field and the reversal of magnetization phenomenon is suppressed, although a strong dip in the magnetization is still observed at about 7 K. For instance, such is the case when the external magnetic field is 5 kOe or above (Fig. 3).

On the other hand, the negative magnetization of the ZFC curve observed in the GCMO thin films is quite an unusual feature (Fig. 2). Actually, multiple, reversible sign changes of the magnetization with temperature have been observed in many of the perovskite oxides,^{7,17–20} but we are not aware of previous observations in the GCMO systems. A possible explanation for this behavior is the strong magnetic anisotropy associated with Mn^{3+} ions occupying Jahn–Teller distorted MnO_6 octahedra, which may be caused by the structure distortion of our thin films, e.g., deformation and rotation of the MnO_6 octahedron due to large lattice mismatch between the GCMO film and the substrate STO. This scenario is strongly supported by a comparison of our data with magnetization measurements on bulk GCMO samples.⁶ In the FC mode, the temperature dependence of the magnetization is qualitatively the same for the bulk and our thin film. However, in the ZFC mode, the bulk magnetization shows almost no negative values. This comparison strongly suggests that the magnetization reversal found in the ZFC process may result from the large magnetic anisotropy.

Indeed, during the ZFC process, the Mn magnetic domains are locked in random directions, giving rise to an antiferromagnetic canted state characteristic of these perovskite materials.²¹ When an external field of 20 Oe is applied at low temperature (e.g., 5 K), the total magnetization ($M_{\text{Mn}} - M_{\text{Gd}}$) takes a small positive value because the Gd moments are not large enough to overcome the local field. When increasing the temperature from 5 K, the M_{Gd} decreases more quickly than the M_{Mn} magnetization. Since the measurement field is much smaller than the coercivity ($H_c = 1600$ Oe at 5 K), it is hard for the Mn domains to rotate. As a result, the total magnetization decreases, crossing zero (at approximately $T_{s1} = 7$ K), to a minimum negative value at $T = 50$ K. Due to the small coercivity above 50 K ($H_c = 100$ Oe at 50 K), the domains can gradually rotate to the external field direction ensuring that the total magnetization parallels the H direction. Meanwhile, the anti-aligned sublattice magnetization grows more slowly with increasing temperature than the aligned one. Hence, a quick increase of magnetization from 50 to about 95 K. At higher temperatures, the magnetic ordering is restrained, reaching the paramagnetic state and decreasing afterwards.

FIG. 4. M - H loops measured between -2 and $+2$ T at given temperatures, for a GCMO thin film. Inset: Temperature dependence of the coercive field (H_c), which has an anomalous peak near 15 K.

An alternative explanation may come from geometrical considerations, since the particles in a bulk material will tend to reorient and/or their spins will flip in the presence of an applied field in order to reach a stable state with moments aligned along the field direction. On the contrary, such a phenomenon is much harder to occur in epitaxially grown thin films, since in addition to different grain sizes and grain-boundary conditions, the magnetic anisotropy at the boundaries is quite different from that of bulks because of lower symmetries and different crystal-field splitting.

- ¹R. von Helmolt, J. Wecker, B. Holzapfel, L. Schultz, and K. Samwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 233 (1993).
- ²S. Jin, H. M. O'Bryan, T. H. Tiefel, M. McCormack, and W. W. Rhodes, Appl. Phys. Lett. **66**, 382 (1995).
- ³C. Zener, Phys. Rev. **82**, 403 (1951).
- ⁴A. J. Millis, P. B. Littlewood, and B. I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5144 (1995).
- ⁵G. J. Snyder, C. H. Booth, F. Bridges, R. Hiskes, S. DiCarolis, M. R. Beasley, and T. H. Geballe, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 6453 (1997).
- ⁶O. Pena, M. Bahout, K. Ghanimi, P. Duran, D. Gutierrez, and C. Moure, J. Mater. Chem. **12**, 2480 (2002).
- ⁷K. Yoshii, J. Solid State Chem. **159**, 204 (2001).
- ⁸K. Yoshii and A. Nakamura, J. Solid State Chem. **155**, 447 (2000).
- ⁹A. Sundaresan, V. Caignaert, A. Maignan, B. Raveau, and E. Suard, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 533 (1999).
- ¹⁰H. C. Nguyen and J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 324 (1995).
- ¹¹A. Goyal, M. Rajeswari, R. Shreekala, S. E. Lofland, S. M. Bhagat, T. Boettcher, C. Kwon, R. Ramesh, and T. Venkatesan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **71**, 2535 (1997).
- ¹²W. Prellier, M. Rajeswari, T. Venkatesan, and R. Greene, Appl. Phys. Lett. **75**, 1446 (1999).
- ¹³A. K. Pradhan, D. R. Sahu, B. Roul, and Y. Feng, Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 3597 (2002); J. Zhang, H. Tanaka, and T. Kawai, *ibid.* **90**, 6275 (2001).
- ¹⁴Y. Ma, M. Guilloux-Viry, O. Pena, and C. Moure, Phys. Status Solidi A **201**, 2385 (2004).
- ¹⁵I. O. Troyanchuk, V. A. Khomchenko, H. Szymczak, and M. Baran, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **97**, 1231 (2003).
- ¹⁶C. A. Nordman, V. S. Achutharaman, V. A. Vas'ko, P. A. Kraus, A. R. Ruosi, A. M. Kadin, and A. M. Goldman, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 9023 (1996); O. Peña, M. Bahout, D. Gutierrez, P. Duran, and C. Moure, Solid State Sci. **5**, 1217 (2003).
- ¹⁷Y. Ren, T. T. M. Palstra, D. I. Khomskii, E. Pellegrin, A. A. Nugroho, A. A. Menovsky, and G. A. Sawatzky, Nature (London) **396**, 441 (1998).
- ¹⁸S. Zhang, L. Luan, S. Tan, and Y. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **84**, 3100 (2004).
- ¹⁹V. Markovich, I. Fita, A. I. Shames, R. Puzniak, E. Rozenberg, C. Martin, A. Wisniewski, Y. Yuzhelevskii, A. Wahl, and G. Gorodetsky, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 094428 (2003).
- ²⁰M. Roy, J. F. Mitchell, A. P. Ramirez, and P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 13876 (2000).
- ²¹M. Hennion, F. Mousa, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, L. Pinsard, and A. Revcolevschii, Phys. Rev. B **56**, R497 (1997).