

1 **Growth performance, carcass morphology and meat quality of meat from roosters**
2 **slaughtered at eight months affected by genotype and finishing feeding**

3
4 D. Franco, D. Rois², J. A. Vázquez³ and J. M. Lorenzo^{1*}

5
6 ¹Centro Tecnológico de la Carne de Galicia, Rúa Galicia N° 4, Parque Tecnológico de
7 Galicia, San Cibrán das Viñas, 32900 Ourense, Spain.

8 ²Federación de Razas Autóctonas de Galicia (BOAGA). Fontefiz. 32152 Coles (Ourense).
9 Spain.

10 ³Grupo de Reciclado e Valorización de Materiais Residuais (REVAL). Instituto de
11 Investigaciones Marinas (CSIC). C/Eduardo Cabello 6, 36208 Vigo, Spain.

12
13 * Corresponding author: jmlorenzo@ceteca.net. Tel: +34 988 548 277; fax: +34 988 548 276.

14
15 **Running title: Growth performance, carcass morphology and meat quality of meat from**
16 **roosters**

17
18 **Topic:** Animal production

19 **Received:** 10-05-12

20
21 **Abstract**

22 The aim of this study was to describe the carcass characteristics and the meat quality
23 of the roosters from the autochthonous Mos breed slaughtered at 8 months. With comparative
24 purpose rooster from hybrid line Sasso T44 was used in this study. Birds were reared on their
25 typical production system (extensive indoor or barns and finishing diet whit corn). Both live
26 weight and carcass weight were higher for commercial breed ($p<0.001$). Drumstick, thigh and
27 wing percentages were greater in Mos breed than in Sasso T-44, while breast was similar for
28 both genotypes. Only significant differences in cholesterol and α -tocopherol content between
29 genotypes have been found, whereas finishing feeding treatment had effect on moisture,
30 intramuscular fat content, cholesterol, tocopherol isomers and in meat yellowness.
31 Unsaturated fatty acids constituted the main contribution to total amount of fatty acid (FA),
32 where monounsaturated oleic acid was the major compound, and found higher concentrations
33 in commercial breed. Mos breed showed higher amounts of polyunsaturated fatty acids

1 (PUFA) and lower amounts of monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) than Sasso T-44. The
2 relation PUFA/SFA was above 0.68 for Mos breed and was slightly lower for the other
3 genotype. In conclusion, the carcass morphology and meat quality was influenced by breed
4 and finishing feeding with corn.

5 **Additional key words:** poultry production, autochthonous breed, sensory properties

7 **Resumen**

8 **Efecto del genotipo y acabado en el crecimiento, morfología de la canal y calidad de la** 9 **carne de gallos sacrificados a los ocho meses**

10 El objetivo de este estudio consistió en describir las características de la canal y de la
11 calidad de la carne de gallos de la raza autóctona Mos sacrificados a los 8 meses de edad. Con
12 fines comparativos se realizó el trabajo también con gallos de la línea Sasso T-44. Estos
13 fueron criados en un sistema de producción tradicional (sistema extensivo con acabado con
14 maíz). Tanto el peso vivo como el peso canal fueron mayores en el genotipo comercial
15 ($p < 0,001$). Los porcentajes de contramuslo, muslo y ala fueron superiores en la raza Mos,
16 mientras que la pechuga fue similar en ambos genotipos. Se encontraron diferencias en el
17 contenido en colesterol y en α -tocopherol por efecto del genotipo, mientras que el acabado
18 afectó al contenido en agua, grasa intramuscular, colesterol, isómeros del tocoferol e índice de
19 amarillo de la carne. Los ácidos grasos insaturados fueron mayoritarios dentro del perfil de
20 ácidos grasos, siendo el ácido oleico el principal, encontrándose en mayor proporción en los
21 gallos comerciales. La carne de la raza Mos presentó altas cantidades de ácidos grasos
22 poliinsaturados (PUFA) y menores de monoinsaturados (MUFA) que Sasso T-44. La relación
23 PUFA/MUFA fue superior a 0,68 para Mos y ligeramente inferior para Sasso T-44. En
24 conclusión, la morfología de la canal y calidad de la carne de los gallos estuvo influenciada
25 por la raza y el acabado con maíz.

26
27 **Palabras clave adicionales:** producción avícola, razas autóctonas, propiedades
28 sensoriales

29
30 **Abbreviations used:** CL (cooking loss); CW (carcass weight); DP (drip loss); FA (fatty
31 acid); FAME (fatty acid methyl ester); LW (live weight); ME (methyl ester); MUFA
32 (monounsaturated fatty acid); PUFA (polyunsaturated fatty acid); SFA (saturated fatty acid);
33 WB (Warner-Bratzler); WHC (water holding capacity)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Introduction

In ancient times, the autochthonous Mos chicken breed was very used in Galicia (NW Spain) for the production of meat and eggs (Rois *et al.*, 2009). From the sixties decade, due to the arrival of new genetic varieties more adapted to the industrial production, Mos breed was falling into disuse raising the extinction. Clearly, this breed could not compete, in terms neither growth potential nor economic yield with commercial strains, which has been genetically selected to obtain the maximum profit in intensive production (Rivero *et al.*, 2007) and this constituted the main reason of the diminution in Mos chickens population. However, natural growth rate offers a very real significant advantage that can only be obtained with age: different texture and flavour.

Nowadays, consumers are concerned about meat quality and demand meat products linked to natural feeding and breeding. Several studies have observed that consumers have grown somewhat tired of broiler meat, because of their scarce taste and texture (Wattanachant *et al.*, 2004; Miguel *et al.*, 2008). Certainly, today the chicken meat is quite different from what our grandparents ate. Traditional roaster age range was from 6 to 9 months and carcass weight from 1.8 to 3.6 kg. In the case of Mos rooster, this indigenous rooster is commercially ready when its live weight ranges 3-4.5 kg and heavier animals (12 months and caponized) are only traditionally consumed on Christmas Day after having been cooked for a long (>3 hours) period of time.

Previous research about this breed has been focused on chemical composition and physico-chemical properties of meat from castrated roosters (Sanchez *et al.*, 2005; Diaz *et al.*, 2010; Franco *et al.*, 2012a; Franco *et al.*, 2012b) and on fatty acid profile of intramuscular fat of breast and drumstick (Rodriguez, 2010; Franco *et al.*, 2012a; Franco *et al.*, 2012b). It has been established that the caponization cause important differences in fat deposits — intramuscular, subcutaneous and abdominal (Cason *et al.*, 1988; Tor *et al.*, 2002), which have consequences in consumer acceptance.

Nowadays in Galicia, for the production of roosters and capons the use of local breed as Mos is of great importance, although commercial hybrids of slow and medium growth are widely used (Sasso T-44 or X-44). In order to complete the information previously published the aim of this study was to describe the quality of the carcass and meat reared on their typical

1 production system (extensive indoor or barns and finishing diet whit corn) and compared with
2 those corresponding to Sasso T-44 animals slaughtered at 32 weeks.

4 **Material and methods**

5 **Experimental design, animal management and sample collection**

6 A total of 80 roosters (n=40 of Sasso T-44 line and n=40 of Mos breed) reared in the
7 Centro de Recursos Zoogeneticos de Galicia, Fontefiz, Ourense were used. Birds were housed
8 under extensive indoor (barn reared) conditions according to describe by Commission
9 Regulation 543/2008 (OJ, 2008). At birth the chicks were housed in a pen provided with a
10 central hallway, several departments and natural ventilation with a density of 12 birds m⁻². At
11 the 4th week of life, birds were sexed and accommodated in departments of second age with a
12 density of 8 birds m⁻². As heat source heaters of 250 W at the ratio of 1 per 40 chicks were
13 used. Heaters were partially removed at 4 weeks and completely after 6 weeks. From the 8th
14 week of life until the slaughtered the chicks were moved to the definitive installation. The
15 poultry house had a density of 1 animal m⁻².

16 In the last month prior to slaughter, half of birds of each genotype were separated into
17 two groups to study the finishing diet with corn. Birds were fed "*ad libitum*" with a starter
18 fodder (21% protein and 3000 kcal kg⁻¹ ME) up to six weeks and later for the rest of the study
19 with a growth standard fodder provided by Piensos Biona Lalin, Spain (19% protein and 2900
20 kcal kg⁻¹ ME; for more details see Table 1). Table 1 shows the chemical composition and
21 fatty acid profile of commercial fodder and corn.

22 Intakes of compound feed and live weight (LW) of birds in all treatment groups were
23 recorded biweekly from 2 to 32 weeks. The animals, at 8 months, were placed in crates and
24 transported to an accredited abattoir, a journey time of approximately 2 h. The birds were
25 weighed, hung on shackles on a slaughter line, a killed by manual exsanguination, plucked
26 and eviscerated. The carcasses were chilled in a 4°C cool room for 24 h. The day after, the
27 carcasses were weighed and the left side of the carcass was quartered according to the
28 World's Poultry Science Association recommendations (Jensen, 1983). Carcass portions were
29 obtained as follows: the breast muscle were dissected from the carcass and weighed. The legs
30 were disarticulated at the hip and knee joints and the drum and thigh portions were weighed.
31 The head, neck and feet were also obtained and weighed. Carcass weight (CW) was
32 determined as sum of head, neck, legs, drumstick, thigh, wing and breast, while dressing
33 percentage (DP) was calculated as DP=CW/LW. The *pectoralis major* and *peroneous longus*

1 muscles were excised from breast and drumstick for analysis. The drumstick was dissected
2 into skin, muscle and bone and the parts of all three portions were individually weighed.
3 Breast was used to measure pH, colour parameters, water holding capacity and textural traits,
4 whereas drumstick was minced and used for chemical composition determinations

5

6 **Analytical methods**

7 ***pH, colour, heme-iron content and chemical composition***

8 The pH, colour and chemical composition of the samples were measured according to
9 Lorenzo *et al.* (2011), the amount of collagen according to AOAC official method 990.26
10 (AOAC, 2000), whereas heme-iron content was measured following Franco *et al.* (2011).

11

12 ***Water holding capacity and texture analysis***

13 Breast cuts were cooked placing vacuum package bags in a water bath with automatic
14 temperature control (JP Selecta, Precisdg, Barcelona, Spain) until they reached an internal
15 temperature of 70°C, controlled by thermocouples type K (Comark, PK23M, UK) and
16 connected to a data logger (Comark Dilligence EVG, N3014, UK). After cooking, samples
17 were cooled in a circulatory water bath set at 18°C during a period of 30 min and the
18 percentage of cooking loss was recorded. All samples were cut perpendicular to the muscle
19 fibre direction at a crosshead speed of 3.33 mm s⁻¹ in a texture Analyzer (TA.XT.plus of
20 Stable Micro Systems, Vienna Court, UK).

21 Four meat pieces of 1 cm height × 1 cm width × 2.5 cm length were removed parallel
22 to the muscle fibre direction and were completely cut using a Warner-Braztler (WB) shear
23 blade with a triangular slot cutting edge (1 mm of thickness). Maximum shear force (Møller,
24 1980), shear firmness (Brady & Hunecke, 1985) and total necessary work performed to cut
25 the sample were obtained.

26 The water-holding capacity (WHC) was measured by cooking loss (*CL*). The *CL* was
27 evaluated by cooking breast (*pectoralis major* muscle) as described in the texture analysis.
28 The *CL* was calculated by measuring the difference in weight between the cooked and raw
29 samples as follows:

$$30 \quad CL = \frac{(\text{weight loss})}{(\text{initial fresh meat weight})} \times 100 \quad [1]$$

31

32 ***Analysis of fatty acid methyl esters***

1 Before analysis, intramuscular fat was extracted from 5 g of ground meat sample
2 according to Folch *et al.* (1957). Lipid extracts were evaporated to dryness under vacuum at
3 35°C and stored at -80°C until analysis by preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES).
4 Lipids were transesterified with a solution of boron trifluoride (14%) in methanol, as
5 described by Carreau & Dubacq (1978). Fifty milligrams of the extracted lipids were
6 esterified and the FAMES were stored at -80°C until chromatographic analysis.

7 Separation and quantification of the FAMES were carried out using a gas chromatograph
8 (Agilent 6890N, Agilent Technologies Spain, S.L., Madrid) equipped with a flame ionization
9 detector and an automatic sample injector HP 7683, and using a Supelco SPTM-2560 fused
10 silica capillary column (100 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.2 µm film thickness, Supelco Inc, Bellefonte,
11 PA, USA). The chromatographic conditions were as follows: initial column temperature
12 120°C maintaining this temperature for 5 min, programmed to increase at a rate of 5°C min⁻¹
13 up to 200°C maintaining this temperature for 2 min, then at 1°C min⁻¹ up to 240°C
14 maintaining this temperature for 5 min. The injector and detector were maintained at 260 and
15 280°C respectively. Helium was used as carrier gas at a constant flow-rate of 1.1 mL min⁻¹,
16 with the column head pressure set at 35.56 psi. The split ratio was 1:50, and 1 µL of solution
17 was injected. Nonanoic acid methyl ester (C9:0 ME) at 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ was used as internal
18 standard. Individual FAMES, were identified by comparing their retention times with those of
19 authenticated standards. Fatty acids were expressed as percentage of the total fatty acids
20 identified.

21 22 ***Total cholesterol and tocopherols***

23 The saponification, extraction and simultaneous identification of cholesterol and
24 tocopherols in meat were performed in normal phase following the procedure described by
25 Prates *et al.* (2006).

26 27 **Sensory analysis**

28 The taste panel evaluation was conducted with eight panellists selected from the Meat
29 Technology Centre of Galicia, San Cibrao das Viñas, Ourense. Panellists were trained
30 according to methodology proposed by ISO regulations (ISO 8586-1:1993 and ISO 8586-
31 2:2008) over 3 months with the attributes and the scale to be used. The samples were
32 individually labelled with 3-digit random numbers. Ten sensory traits of drumstick fresh meat
33 were considered: skin colour, skin transparency, darkness lean, fat firmness, intensity odour,
34 rancidity odour and liver odour, while for cooked meat were taste intensity, rancidity taste,

1 liver taste, hardness, juiciness, pastosity and fibrousness, following methodology proposed by
2 ISO regulations (ISO 6564:1985, ISO 3972:1991, ISO 11036:1994 and ISO 5496:2006). The
3 intensity of every attribute was expressed on a structured scale from 0 (very low) to 9 (very
4 high) in two sessions, a specific session for this samples and the evaluation session. During
5 sensory evaluation, the panellists were situated in private cubicle illuminated with red light,
6 according to ISO regulations [ISO 8589 (2007)]. The panellists were given water to clean the
7 palate and remove residual flavours at the beginning of the session and between samples.

8

9 **Statistical analysis**

10 For the statistical analyses of the results of carcass and meat quality an analysis of
11 variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of the SPSS package
12 (SPSS 15.0, Chicago, IL, USA) was performed for all variables considered in the study. Fixed
13 effect of breed and production system was included in the model. The model used was:

$$14 \quad Y_{ij} = \mu + B_i + P_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad [2]$$

15 where Y_{ij} is the observation of dependent variables, μ is the overall mean, B_i is the effect of
16 breed, P_j is the effect of production system, and ε_{ij} is the residual random error associated with
17 the observation. Interaction $B \times P$ was included in the model, only when significance was
18 showed. Correlations between variables ($p < 0.05$) were determined using the Pearson's linear
19 correlation coefficient with SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS 15.0, Chicago, IL, USA) software
20 package.

21

22 **Results**

23

24 Carcass characteristics of Mos and Sasso T-44 roosters slaughtered at 32 weeks are
25 depicted in Table 2. The effect of breed affected LW and CW ($p < 0.001$) and all commercial
26 cuts except for breast and neck ($p > 0.05$), whereas finishing dietary affected all traits, except
27 breast and lean/bone ratio of drumstick. As expected, the LW and CW at slaughter clearly
28 differed ($p < 0.001$) between genotypes at the same age, due to the lower growth rate of Mos
29 roosters, being differences in dressing percentage not statistically different.

30 Drumstick ($p < 0.001$), thigh ($p < 0.01$) and wing ($p < 0.01$) percentages were significant
31 higher for Mos animals. However, breast, that is the most highly valued piece of the chicken,
32 was similar for both genotypes. Sasso T-44 rooster presented a higher head (including the
33 comb) growth ($p < 0.001$) than Mos ones with values of 4.08% and 2.97%, respectively. The

1 lean:bone ratio was calculated to determine the edible fraction, and was higher for Mos breed
2 ($p<0.01$).

3 Chemical composition of drumstick as well as colour and textural traits from breast for
4 both types of roosters are shown in Table 3. Significant differences in pH, ashes, cholesterol
5 and α -tocopherol content between genotypes have been found, whereas finishing feeding
6 affected moisture, fat, collagen and all tocopherol isomer family content in the chemical
7 composition. On the other hand, the finishing feeding treatment (corn vs. fodder) had effect
8 on yellowness index and regarding textural traits chewiness was affected. Fat content was
9 affected by finishing feeding with an average value of 0.52 in birds finishing with corn.

10 The total collagen amount was significantly ($p<0.01$) more abundant in birds that were
11 finishing fed with fodder. A significant ($p<0.05$) difference was observed in the cholesterol
12 value between genotypes. Regarding colour instrumental traits, neither luminosity (L^*) nor
13 redness (a^*) values showed significant differences among groups. However, meat from
14 roosters fed with corn showed a significant ($p<0.001$) higher yellowness (b^*) than presented
15 in bird finishing with fodder.

16 On the other hand WHC, which was measured as cooking loss (CL), did not show
17 significant differences ($p>0.05$) between breeds. Textural traits, measured by WB test were
18 not significantly affected by genotype or finishing feeding treatment. Values recorded for
19 texture profile analysis did not expose significant differences among groups for any parameter
20 studied with the exception of chewiness that was affected by finishing feeding.

21 The fatty acid (FA) composition of the finishing diets is shown in Table 1. The
22 greatest difference between treatments was found for the linoleic acid and PUFA content, two
23 times higher in corn diet. The intramuscular FA composition (mg FA/ g of fat) of breast from
24 all groups studied is shown in Table 4. For both genotypes, the FA proportions in this study
25 are predominated by SFA, MUFA and PUFA with mean values of 40%, 35% and 25 %, respectively.
26 Concerning SFA, palmitic acid (C16:0) and stearic acid (C18:0) were the most
27 abundant compounds within this group. Unsaturated fatty acids constituted the main
28 contribution to total amount of FAs due firstly to the high level of oleic acid, as
29 monounsaturated compound, and secondly to the presence of several polyunsaturated fatty
30 acids, such as linoleic acid (C18:2n-6), linolenic acid (C18:3n-3), eicosatrienoic acid (C20:3n-
31 6), arachidonic acid (C20:4n-6) and docosahexanoic acid (C22:6n-3). Mos breed showed
32 higher amount of PUFA (271 vs. 247 mg g⁻¹ of fat; $p<0.01$) and lower percentage of MUFA
33 (338 vs. 375 mg g⁻¹ of fat; $p<0.001$) than Sasso T-44 chicken muscles. For C17:0, C18:2n-6,
34 C20:1, C18:3n-3, C20:2, and C20:3n-6 there were no differences between genotype ($p>0.05$),

1 whereas differences in FA profile were mostly less pronounced between the two feeding
2 treatments and only an important FA such as oleic acid and minority FA (C16:1cis-9,
3 C17:0, C20:2, C20:3n-6 and C20:5n-3) were significantly affected by finishing corn fed.
4 Total amount of fatty acids n-3 was bigger for Mos breed and the same trend was observed
5 for n-6 FA. These results led to similar ratios n-6/n-3 for both breeds ($p>0.05$). Meat of Mos
6 roosters presented similar levels of SFA ($p>0.05$) than Sasso-T44 ones, but higher levels of
7 PUFA ($p<0.001$). Thus relation PUFA/SFA was higher for Mos breed.

8 Mean scores given by the panellists for four groups studied are shown in Table 5.
9 Colour and transparency of skin and hardness fat were affected by genotype. A similar trend
10 was observed when the finishing diet effect was studied. There were no significant differences
11 ($p>0.05$) in raw meat between Mos and Sasso T-44. However, when the meat was cooked, the
12 taste intensity was significantly ($p<0.001$) higher in Mos breed. Regarding to texture
13 attributes, hardness and juiciness were also significantly affected by genotype ($p<0.001$). A
14 lesser effect was found with the finishing effect. The first one showed high scores in Sasso T-
15 44 (4.55 vs. 5.94; $p<0.001$) and in breast samples from birds finishing with fodder (4.66 vs.
16 5.83; $p<0.01$).

17

18 **Discussion**

19 It is well-known in chickens that the indigenous breeds show much more slowly growths
20 than those obtained by commercial broilers (Wattanachant *et al.*, 2004). Rodriguez (2010)
21 worked with the castrated Mos breed and Sasso T-44 to obtain “Villalba Capón” (a typical
22 Christmas dish of Galicia obtained from rooster) studied the growth of animals. This author
23 found LW of 4.360 kg and 5.027 kg for Mos breed and Sasso T-44, at 32 weeks respectively.
24 Other Spanish autochthonous breeds revealed inferior LW at 30 weeks than corresponding
25 Mos growths observed in Table 2:

26 Regarding dressing percentage our results were similar to those reported by Sanchez *et al.*
27 (2005) for both genotypes slaughtered at 8 months. The carcass yield for the Mos breed was
28 higher than that reported for other Spanish autochthonous breeds such as Castellana Negra
29 cock slaughtered at 29 weeks (Miguel *et al.*, 2008) and higher than that reported for
30 Penedesenca Negra roosters slaughtered at 28 weeks (Tor *et al.*, 2002). In previous studies,
31 similar dressing percentage was found for Mos breed slaughtered at 24 and 40 weeks (Franco
32 *et al.*, 2012a; Franco *et al.*, 2012b)

1 In recent years, the quartering results have acquired relevance because of the trend of
2 commercializing chickens in pieces. Thus, the most appreciate parts are breast and drumstick,
3 and their percentages over total carcass are good markers of the animal economic value. The
4 value of 15.07% for breast found in Mos roosters remained in the same order than values
5 found by Quentin *et al.* (2003) for a commercial French “label” type and by Berry *et al.*
6 (2001) for a genetically selected broiler strain. Similar results were observed by Jaturashita *et*
7 *al.* (2008), for an indigenous Thai breed, who reported values around 15.5% for breast yield.
8 With regard to drumstick percentage, values for Mos and Sasso T-44 were 14.7 and 13.1
9 respectively, as observed in Table 2, higher ($p<0.001$) for Mos breed. Mos value was similar
10 to those obtained by Santos *et al.* (2005) and Castellini *et al.* (2002) in broilers from an
11 autochthonous Brazilian breed (Paraiso Pedres) and in Ross breed, respectively. Besides, the
12 dissection of the drumstick allowed estimating in a precise way, the proportion of meat, bone
13 and skin of the whole carcass. Relation lean/bone was 3.06 for Mos rooster breed, a higher
14 value than 2.72 found for Sasso-T44 rooster ($p<0.05$). Also, the sum of drumstick and thigh
15 (D+T in Table 2) provides an idea of the ratio between the weight of the edible products and
16 the bones, which gives a good image of carcass quality as a whole (Ricard, 1972). In the
17 present study Mos breed had a significantly higher percentage of edible product than Sasso T-
18 44 (32.93 vs. 30.24; $p<0.001$). In addition, this percentage decreased with the age, because in
19 Mos birds slaughtered at 24 and 40 weeks this edible part was 34.25 (Franco *et al.*, 2012a)
20 and 32.28 (Franco *et al.*, 2012b), respectively.

21 According to these results, it has been demonstrated that Mos breed provided similar or
22 even higher economic interest than Sasso T-44 breed. Although full carcass weight of Mos
23 breed was lower, the percentage for most appreciated parts, wing, thigh and drumstick,
24 remained higher, this is an important issue from an economical and productive point of view.

25 The pH values measured in the breast muscle at 24 h *post-mortem* were significantly
26 different between genotypes. This fact could be due to differences in behaviour, more
27 aggressive and alert in indigenous strains than in hybrid lines. In a previous work with
28 castrated animal of Mos breed and Sasso T-44 (slaughtered at 8 months), Diaz *et al.* (2010)
29 found pH breast values of 5.47 and 5.67, respectively. Low pH of chickens could be due to
30 the better welfare conditions that reduce the stress pre-slaughter and consequently the
31 consumption of glycogen (Castellini *et al.*, 2002). In this case, all animals belonging were
32 manipulated and transported in the same conditions, thus, the lower pH observed could be
33 consequence of a major capacity of Mos chickens to metabolize another substrate than
34 glycogen during transport step (Musa *et al.*, 2006). A pH similar to that presented in birds of

1 this study was found in Castellana Negra (Miguel et al. 2008) and in other indigenous chicken
2 breeds (Jaturashita et al., 2008). On the contrary De Marchi et al. (2005) found lower pH
3 values in Padovana chickens. Differences could be attributable to favourable conditions
4 during transport and slaughter (resting period).

5 Mean values of moisture content in *pectoralis major* muscle (74.36%) were inside the
6 range of values described by other authors (74-76%) in improved hybrids commercial breeds
7 for meat production (Wattanachant *et al.*, 2004) and autochthonous breeds (Wattanachant *et*
8 *al.*, 2004; De Marchi *et al.*, 2005; Miguel *et al.*, 2008).

9 Mean values of protein (21.71%) was higher to those reported in broilers
10 (Wattanachant *et al.*, 2004) and lower than other values described in the bibliography for
11 autochthonous breeds (De Marchi *et al.*, 2005; Miguel *et al.*, 2008), broilers (Ding *et al.*,
12 1999; Qiao *et al.*, 2002) and broilers in an organic system (Castellini *et al.*, 2002) with protein
13 contents in the range of 22.6% to 24.7%.

14 Mean values of cholesterol found in drumstick in the present study (55 mg/100 g) are
15 similar to those found by Konjufca *et al.* (1997) and Komprda *et al.* (2003). Comparison of
16 the results between present study and literature are very difficult because the analytical
17 method used to measure cholesterol content in various animal tissues depends strongly on the
18 method of determination (Komprda *et al.*, 2003). The daily intake of cholesterol currently
19 recommended must not exceed 300 mg (www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/search). Therefore,
20 the knowledge about cholesterol content in foods is important, especially in poultry meat
21 because consumption of these foods is currently increasing based on the recommendations of
22 healthy nutrition and price. In the light of the results, a 100-g portion of chicken drumstick
23 meat without skin represents 19% of the upper limit of daily cholesterol intake. This value is
24 lower than the one detected by Carreras *et al.* (2004), who found values of 2.40 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in
25 breast. These authors explain that dietary supplementation with this antioxidant results in
26 higher amounts of vitamin E in muscle tissues (Carreras *et al.*, 2004) and in the present study
27 fodder formulation contain a scarce amount of vitamin E (<6 mg kg⁻¹). Similar values were
28 previously reported in older animals (Franco *et al.*, 2012b)

29 Meat colour is a crucial quality attribute for consumers in order to choose poultry
30 meat. Meat and skin colour are influenced by various factors, including genetic and feeding
31 (Fletcher, 1999; Xiong *et al.*, 1999) and the present study confirmed the presence of a strong
32 feeding influence. According to Fletcher (2002) breed is a factor that affects poultry meat
33 colour; however in our study there were not significant differences by genotype effect.
34 Luminosity value for Mos breed was 52.7, this value was slightly higher than obtained by

1 Castellini *et al.* (2002) for chickens produced in organic farming and by Quentin *et al.* (2003)
2 for fast-growing selected ones. A negative correlation ($r=-0.38$; $p<0.01$) between L* and pH
3 was found, belonging the lowest pH and the highest L* to both genotypes. This finding is in
4 accordance with that reported by Le Bihan-Duval *et al.* (2008).

5 CL of 12.51-12.32% for Mos and Sasso T-44, respectively, was lower when compared
6 to the 33% and 31% reported for organic and broiler chickens (Castellini *et al.*, 2002) and 19-
7 23% found for the Thai indigenous chicken (Jaturasitha *et al.*, 2008; Wattanachant *et al.*,
8 2004). Results in the same range were found in Padovana breed chicken (13-14%) (De
9 Marchi *et al.*, 2005), while Diaz *et al.* (2010) observed higher values (19%) than those found
10 in our study in Mos and Sasso T-44 slaughtered at 8 months of age.

11 In disagreement with our study Jaturasitha *et al.* (2008) found differences in breast
12 muscle shear force in four different genotypes. The meat tenderness that increases when shear
13 force decrease depends mainly on the *post-mortem* changes affecting myofibrillar proteins
14 and on the connective tissue that represents the background toughness (Ariño *et al.*, 2006).

15 Diaz *et al.* (2010) reported shear force values of 3.48 kg cm⁻² for Mos breed slaughtered at
16 8 months and these authors did not find breed effect when comparing Sasso T-44 and Mos,
17 which is consistent with our study. Jaturasitha *et al.* (2008) also found higher values in Thai
18 breed (3.87 kg cm⁻²). Values in the same order (2.1 kg cm⁻²) were found by Castellini *et al.*
19 (2002) for conventional broilers. In different species, it has been established that the values of
20 shear force increased with age (Aberle *et al.*, 2001; Fletcher, 2002). It can be confirmed,
21 because Mos animals slaughtered at 40 weeks support this affirmation with values of 2.10 kg
22 cm⁻². Also, values of hardness were higher in these animals (5.64 kg) (Franco *et al.*, 2012b)
23 This is noticeable in the present study, as shear force levels were slightly higher than those
24 found for broiler breast meat (Cavitt *et al.*, 2004; Fanatico *et al.*, 2005).

25 Regarding PUFA content, our results are in disagreement with those reported by other
26 authors for breast meat (Crespo & Esteve-García, 2002; Tor *et al.*, 2005; Rikimaru *et al.*,
27 2009) since MUFA were the most abundant of fatty acids. Surprisingly, the PUFA content in
28 the tissues did not increase, when dietary PUFA level increase (birds finishing with corn; see
29 corn FA in Table 1) in disagreement with results found by Cortinas *et al.* (2004). In all cases
30 monounsaturated oleic acid (C18:1cis9) was the major compound, according with data
31 reported by Cortinas *et al.* (2004) and other authors (Crespo & Esteve García, 2002; Azcona,
32 *et al.*, 2008) in poultry meat. In this study, lowest percentage for oleic acid corresponded to
33 Mos rooster ($p<0.001$), found values were 30 and 33% for Mos and Sasso T44 breeds,
34 respectively, followed by palmitic acid (28%) and linoleic acid (19%). This pattern was

1 consistent with those reported by Sheu & Chen (2002), De Marchi *et al.* (2005) and Tor *et al.*
2 (2005). However, these results are not in agreement with those reported by Jaturasitha *et al.*
3 (2008) in breast raw meat of Thai chicken since palmitic was the most abundant FA, followed
4 by linolenic acid. In contrast, other studies reported linolenic as the predominant FA in
5 Castellana Negra cocks (Miguel *et al.*, 2008). Mos breed had greater levels of linoleic and
6 stearic acid than Sasso T-44 cocks, whereas palmitic and oleic acid were higher for Sasso T-
7 44 than Mos breed. Concentrations of minority PUFA, such as C20:4n6 and C22:6n3 were
8 higher in Mos class that suggested an upper ability of this chicken breed for the
9 transformation of linoleic and linolenic acids in these polyunsaturated compounds.

10 Ratio n-6/n-3 was under 24.5 for Mos chickens, this value was in the same order that the
11 one obtained by Jaturashita *et al.* (2008) in chickens of different autochthonous Thai breeds
12 and was below the value obtained when another kinds of diets, enriched in C18:2n6, were
13 used, such as sunflower oil based diets (Crespo & Esteve-García, 2001).

14 To assess the nutritional index of breast meat fat, the PUFA/SFA ratio (P/S) were
15 determined. P/S ratio was higher for Mos breed remaining up to minimum recommended of
16 0.4 (Wood *et al.*, 2004). In this study, breast from Mos breed showed a P/S ratio of 0.68. This
17 P/S ratio was within the range 0.5-0.7 reported as being typical of the Mediterranean diet
18 (Ulbrich & Southgate, 1991). The P/S ratio for Mos breed was greater than those reported for
19 Thai indigenous broilers (0.19) and chickens (0.06) (Wattanachant *et al.*, 2004). On the
20 contrary, Jaturasitha *et al.* (2008) found P/S ratio of 0.80 and 0.85 for Thai indigenous
21 broilers and chickens due to strong relationship between dietary fat source and adipose tissue
22 content (Scaife *et al.*, 1994; Lopez Ferrer *et al.*, 1999).

23 Tenderness is generally the most important attribute driving meat acceptance
24 (Fletcher, 2002); however, meat is not a homogeneous product, as there is tenderness
25 variation from fillet to fillet (Cavitt *et al.*, 2004).

26 On the other hand, juiciness (the amount of perceived juices in the meat during
27 chewing), which is very important for the consumers because of the major meat
28 characteristics influencing eating quality (Maltin *et al.*, 1997; Latter-Dubois, 2000), was
29 higher in Mos breed (5.50 vs. 4.16; $p < 0.001$) and in birds finished with corn (5.27 vs. 4.38;
30 $p < 0.05$)

31 As final conclusions, there was a wide difference in carcass characteristics between
32 the Mos breed and commercial strain Sasso T-44 breed was lower, yield for most appreciated
33 parts, breast and drumstick, remained higher, which is an important issue from an economical
34 and productive point of view. The finishing dietary with corn is beneficial due to the

1 increment of intramuscular fat in the breast, decreasing in collagen content and increasing in
2 levels of tocopherols. Textural properties for both treatments and both genotype was not
3 affected. Furthermore, yellowness of meat was influenced by the presences of corn. On the
4 contrary the corn had minor effect on fatty acid profile, while genotype had a major influence.
5 Finally, a trained panel confirmed differences in external appearances, taste intensity and
6 textural properties between genotypes.
7 Further studies are necessary to evaluate growth performance and confirm the results of this
8 work under other rearing conditions in order to promote this breed.

9 **Acknowledgments**

10 Authors are grateful to Xunta de Galicia (the Regional Government) for its financial
11 support (PGIDIT09MRU001CT
12

13 **References**

- 14 Aberle EJ, Forrest D, Gerrard E, Mills H, Hedric M, Judge, 2001. Principles of meat science
15 (4th ed.). Kendall-Hunt Publ Co, IA, USA.
- 16 AOAC, 2000. AOAC official method 990.26. Hydroxyproline in meat and meat products.
17 39.1.27. In: Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, 17th ed. Association of
18 Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, USA.
- 19 Ariño B, Hernandez P, Blasco A, 2006. Comparison of texture and biochemical
20 characteristics of three rabbit lines selected for litter size or growth rate. *Meat Sci* 73:
21 687-692.
- 22 Azcona JO, Garcia PT, Cossu ME, Iglesias BF, Picallo A, Perez C, Gallinger CI, Schang MJ,
23 Canet ZE, 2008. Meat quality of argentinean “camperos” chicken enhanced in omega-3
24 and omega-9 fatty acids. *Meat Sci* 79: 437-443.
- 25 Berry C, Wacrenier N, Millet N, Le Bihan-Duval E, 2001. Effect of selection for improved
26 body composition on muscle and meat characteristics of broilers from experimental and
27 commercial lines. *Poult Sci* 80: 833-838.
- 28 Brady PL, Hunecke ME, 1985. Correlations of sensory and instrumental evaluations of roast
29 beef texture. *J Food Sci* 50: 300–303.
- 30 Carreau JP, Dubacq JP, 1978. Adaptation of a macro-scale method to the micro-scale for fatty
31 acid methyl transesterification of biological lipid extracts. *J Chromatogr A* 151: 384–
32 390.

- 1 Carreras IL, Guerrero MD, Guardia E, Esteve-García JA, Garcia, JA, Regueiro Sárraga C,
2 2004. Vitamin E levels, thiobarbituric acid test and sensory evaluation of breast muscles
3 from broilers fed α -tocopheryl acetate and β -carotene-supplemented diets. *J Anim Sci*
4 *Food Agr* 84: 313–317.
- 5 Cason JA, Fletcher DL, Burke WH, 1988. Research note: effects of caponization on broiler
6 growth. *Poult Sci* 67: 979-981.
- 7 Castellini C, Mugnai C, Dal Bosco A, 2002. Effect of organic production system on broiler
8 carcass and meat quality. *Meat Sci* 60: 219-225.
- 9 Cavitt LC, Young GW, Meullenet JF, Owens CM, Xiong R, 2004. Prediction of poultry meat
10 tenderness using razor blade shear, Allo-Kramer shear, and sarcomere length. *J Food*
11 *Sci* 69: 3274–3283.
- 12 Cortinas L, Villaverde C, Galobart J, Baucells MD, Codony R, Barroeta AC, 2004. Fatty acid
13 content in chicken thigh and breast as affected by dietary polyunsaturation level. *Poult*
14 *Sci* 83: 1155-1164.
- 15 Crespo N, Esteve-Garcia E, 2001. Dietary fatty acid profile modifies abdominal fat deposition
16 in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 80: 71-78.
- 17 Crespo N, Esteve-Garcia E, 2002. Nutrient and fatty acid deposition in broilers fed different
18 dietary fatty acid profiles. *Poult Sci* 81: 1533-1542.
- 19 De Marchi M, Cassandro M, Lunardi E., Baldan G, Siegel PB, 2005. Carcass characteristics
20 and qualitative meat traits of the Padovana breed of chicken. *Int J Poult Sci* 4: 233-238.
- 21 Díaz OL, Rodríguez A, Torres A, Cobos A, 2010. Chemical composition and physico-
22 chemical properties of meat from capons as affected by breed and age. *Span J Agric Res*
23 8: 91-99.
- 24 Ding HR, Xu J, Chan DKO, 1999. Identification of broiler chicken meat using a visible/near-
25 infrared spectroscopic technique. *J Sci Food Agr* 79: 1382–1388.
- 26 Fanatico AC, Cavitt LC, Pillai PB, Emmert JL, Owens CM, 2005. Evaluation of slower-
27 growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: meat quality. *Poult*
28 *Sci* 84: 1785-1790.
- 29 Franco D, Rois D, Vázquez JA, Purriños L, González R, Lorenzo JM, 2012a. Breed effect
30 between Mos rooster (Galician indigenous breed) and Sasso T-44 line and finishing
31 feed effect of commercial fodder or corn. *Poultry Sci* 91:487-498.
- 32 Franco D, Rois D, Vázquez JA, Lorenzo JM, 2012b. Comparison of growth performance,
33 carcass components, and meat quality between Mos rooster (Galician indigenous breed)
34 and Sasso T-44 line slaughtered at 10 months. *Poultry Sci* 91:1227-1239.

- 1 Rois, D, Rivero CJ, Fernandez M, Rivero G, 2009. Galiña de Mos En Guía de Campo de las
2 Razas Autóctonas Españolas. RAE 235. SERGA (Sociedad Española para los Recursos
3 Genéticos Animales). Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino. Spain,
4 638-641. Fernández, M.; M. Gómez; J. V. Delgado; S. Adán; M. Jiménez.
5 (Coordinadores)
- 6 Fletcher DL, 1999. Broiler breast meat color variation, pH, and texture. *Poult Sci* 78: 1323–
7 1327.
- 8 Fletcher DL, 2002. Poultry meat quality. *World’s Poultry Sci J* 58: 131-145.
- 9 Folch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH, 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification
10 of total lipids from animal tissues. *J Biol Chem* 226: 497–509.
- 11 Franco D, Rodríguez E, Purriños L, Crecente S, Bermúdez R, Lorenzo JM, 2011. Meat
12 quality of “Galician Mountain” foals breed. Effect of sex, slaughter age and livestock
13 production system. *Meat Sci* 88: 292-298.
- 14 Jaturasitha S, Srikanchai T, Kreuzer M, Wicke M, 2008. Differences in carcass and meat
15 characteristics between chicken indigenous to Northern Thailand (Black-Boned and
16 Thai Native) and imported extensive breeds (Bresse and Rhode Island Red). *Poult Sci*
17 87: 160-169.
- 18 Jensen J, 1983. Method of dissection of broiler carcasses and description of parts. Papworth’s
19 Pendragon Press. Cambridge.
- 20 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (1985). Methodology. Flavour profile
21 methods, ISO 6564:1985.
- 22 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (1991). Method of investigating
23 sensitivity of taste,. ISO 3972:1991.
- 24 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (1993). General guidance for the
25 selection, training and monitoring of assessors. Part 1: Selected assessors, ISO 8586-
26 1:1993.
- 27 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (1994). Methodology. Textura profile,
28 ISO 11036:1994.
- 29 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (2006). Methodology. Initiation and
30 training of assessors in the detection and recognition of odours, ISO 5496:2006.
- 31 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (2007). General guidance for the design
32 of test rooms, ISO 8589:2007.

- 1 ISO (International Organization for Standardization) (2008). General guidance for the
2 selection, training and monitoring of assessors. Part 2: Expert sensory assessors, ISO
3 8586-2:2008.
- 4 Komprda T, Zelenka J, Fajmonovaä E, Bakaj P, Pechova P, 2003. Cholesterol content in meat
5 of some poultry and fish species as influenced by live weight and total lipid content. *J*
6 *Agric Food Chem* 51: 7692-7697.
- 7 Konjufca VH, Pesti GM, Bakalli RL, 1997. Modulation of cholesterol levels in broiler meat
8 by dietary garlic and copper. *Poult Sci* 76: 1264-1271.
- 9 Latter-Dubois J, 2000. Poulets fermiers: leurs qualite´s nutritionnelle et organoleptiques et la
10 perception du consommateur. M.S. Faculte´ des Sciences de l’Agriculture et de
11 L’Alimentation. Univ. Laval, Quebec, Canada.
- 12 Le Binhan-Duval E, Debut M, Berri CM, Sellier N, Sante-Lhoutellier V, Jego Y, Beaumont
13 C, 2008. Chicken meat quality: genetic variability and relationship with growth and
14 muscle characteristics. *BMC Genetics* 9: 53.
- 15 López-Ferrer S, Baucells MD, Barroeta AC, Grashorn MA, 1999. N-3 enrichment of chicken
16 meat using fish oil: Alternative substitution with rapeseed and linseed oils. *Poult Sci* 78:
17 356–365.
- 18 Lorenzo JM, Purrinos L, Temperan S, Bermudez R, Tallon S, Franco D, 2011.
19 Physicochemical and nutritional composition of dry-cured duck breast. *Poult Sci* 90:
20 931–940.
- 21 Maltin CA, Warkup CC, Matthews KR, Grant CM, Porter AD, Delday MI, 1997. Pig muscle
22 fibre characteristics as a source of variation in eating quality. *Meat Sci* 47: 237-248.
- 23 Miguel JA, Asenjo J, Ciria JL, Calvo JL, Vitto R, 2005. Comparación de curvas de
24 crecimiento de machos enteros, castrados y regenerados de la raza de gallinas
25 Castellana Negra. *ITEA* 26: 279-281.
- 26 Miguel JA, Asenjo B, Ciria J, Calvo JL, 2008. Effect of caponisation on growth and on
27 carcass meat characteristics in Castellana Negra native Spanish chicken. *Animal* 2: 305-
28 311.
- 29 Møller A, 1980. Analysis of Warner Bratzler shear force pattern with regard to myofibrillar
30 and connective tissue components of tenderness. *Meat Sci* 5: 247–260.
- 31 Muriel A, 2004. The effect of caponization on production indices and carcass and meat
32 characteristics in free-range Extremeña Azul chicken. *Span J Agric Res* 2: 211-216.
- 33 Musa HH, Chen GH, Cheng JH, Shuiep ES, Bao WB, 2006. Breed and sex effect on meat
34 quality of chicken. *Internat J. Poult Sci* 5: 566-568.

- 1 OJ, 2008. Directive 543/2008/CE of the Council of June 16. Official Journal of the European
2 Union L 157/46/2008. p. 68-69.
- 3 Prates JA, Gonçalves Quaresma MA, Branquinho Bessa RJ, Andrade Fontes C, Mateus Alfaia
4 C, 2006. Simultaneous HPLC quantification of total cholesterol, tocopherols and β -
5 carotene in Barrosã-PDO veal. *Food Chem* 94: 469-477.
- 6 Qiao M, Fletcher DL, Northcutt J, Smith DP, 2002. The relationship between raw broiler
7 breast meat color and composition. *Poult Sci* 81: 422-427.
- 8 Quentin M, Bouvarel I, Berri C, Le Bihan-Duval E, Baeza E, Jegou Y, Picard M, 2003.
9 Growth, carcass composition and meat quality response to dietary concentrations in
10 fast-, medium- and slow-growing commercial broilers. *Anim Res* 52: 65-77.
- 11 Ricard FH, 1972. Étude de la composition anatomique du poulet. IV- Possibilités d'estimation
12 des pourcentages de viande, d'os et de peau à partir d'une dissection simplifiée des
13 membres. *Ann Zootech* 21: 49-57.
- 14 Rikimaru K, Ogawa S, Komatsu M, Isiizuka J, 2009. Effects of caponization on meat quality
15 of Hinai-jidori chicken. *Japan Poult Sci* 46: 345-350.
- 16 Rivero CJ, Rois D, Fernández M, Justo JR, Adán S, Lama J, 2007. Study of the increase of
17 weight and index of conversion in a population of Galiña de Mos. *Arch Zootec* 56: 529-
18 534.
- 19 Rodríguez L, 2010. Efectos de la raza, edad de sacrificio y alimentación en los parámetros de
20 calidad de la canal y carne del capón de Villalba. Doctoral Thesis. Universidad de
21 Santiago de Compostela.
- 22 Sánchez L, de la Calle B, Iglesias A, Sánchez B, 2005. Use of native ancestries for the
23 production of chicken label. *Arch Zootec* 206: 491-496.
- 24 Santos AL, Sakomura NK, Freitas ER, Fortes CL, Carrilho EM, Fernandes JB, 2005. Growth,
25 performance, carcass yield and meat quality of three broiler chickens strains. *Revista*
26 *Brasileira de Ciencia Avicola* 34: 1589-1598.
- 27 Scaife JR, Moyo J, Galbraith H, Michie W, Campbell V, 1994. Effect of different dietary
28 supplemental fats and oils on the tissue fatty acid composition and growth of female
29 broilers. *Brit Poult Sci* 35: 107-118.
- 30 Sheu KS, Chen TC, 2002. Yield and quality characteristics of edible broiler skin fat obtained
31 from five rendering methods. *J Food Eng* 55: 263-269.
- 32 Tor M, Estany J, Villalba D, Molina E, Cubiló D, 2002. Comparison of carcass composition
33 by parts and tissues between cocks and capons. *Anim Res* 51: 421-431.

- 1 Tor M, Estany J, Francesh D, Cubiló D, 2005. Comparison of fatty acid profiles of edible
2 meat, adipose tissues and muscles between cocks and capons. *Anim Res* 54: 413-424.
- 3 Ulbricht TLV, Southgate DAT, 1991. Coronary heart disease: Seven dietary factors. *Lancet*
4 338: 985–992.
- 5 Wattanachant S, Benjakul S, Ledward DA, 2004. Composition, color, and texture of Thai
6 indigenous and broiler chicken muscles. *Poult Sci* 83: 123–128.
- 7 Wood JD, Nute GR, Richardson RI, Whittington FM, Southwood O, Plastow G, Mansbridge
8 R, Da Costa N, Chang KC, 2004. Effects of breed, diet and muscle on fat deposition and
9 eating quality in pigs. *Meat Sci* 67: 651–667.
- 10 Xiong YL, Ho CT, Shahidi F, 1999. Quality characteristic of muscle food. In: *Quality*
11 *attributes of muscle foods* (Xiong YL, Ho CT, Shahidi F, eds.). Kluwer Acad/Plenum
12 Publ, NY. pp: 309–318.
- 13

1 **Table 1.** Chemical composition and fatty acid profile of commercial fodder and corn

2

Chemical composition	Fodder ¹	Corn ²
Crude protein	17.0	ND
Crude fibre	3.0	ND
Organic matter	ND	66.5
Neutral detergent fiber	ND	5.56
Ash	6.60	0.87
Fat	4.10	2.53
Moisture	ND	32.62
Oil fatty acid composition		
C16:0	34.99	14.13
C16:1	0.21	0.11
C18:0	4.33	1.88
C18:1n9c	31.06	28.52
C18:2n6c	26.77	52.38
C20:1	0.18	0.30
C18:3n3	1.39	1.49
C22:0	ND	0.23
SFA ³	40.39	16.88
MUFA ⁴	51.45	29.04
PUFA ⁵	28.16	54.08

3 ¹Fodder additives vitamine A (UI kg⁻¹) 10000, vitamine D3 (UI kg⁻¹) 2500, vitamine E (UI kg⁻¹) 9, Fe (60 ppm),
 4 Zn (50 ppm), Cu (5 ppm), Mn (60 ppm), Co (0.05 ppm), Se (0.20 ppm), Iodine (0.40 ppm) and Fe (425 ppm),
 5 methionine (0.33%), lisyne (0.85%) and *p* (0.59%)

6 ²expressed as percentage of dry matter

7 ND= not determined

8 ³SFA = saturated fatty acids (sum of C16:0, C18:0, and C22:0)

9 ⁴MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids (sum of C16:1, C18:1n9c and C20:1)

10 ⁵PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids (total, minus SFA and MUFA)

11

12

1 **Table 2.** Effect of breed (Mos vs. Sasso T-44) and finishing feeding (corn vs. fodder) on
 2 carcass quality.

3

Carcass quality	Breed (B)		Finishing feeding (F)		Significance ¹			SEM ²
	Mos	T-44	Fodder	Corn	B	F	B×F	
Live weight (kg)	4.04	4.85	4.29	4.37	***	*	**	0.043
Carcass weight (kg)	3.34	4.02	3.54	3.63	***	*	*	0.036
Dressing percentage (%)	82.67	82.86	82.38	83.11	NS	NS	NS	0.295
Commercial cuts (% respect to carcass)								
Weight _{drumstick}	14.68	13.06	14.54	13.63	***	***	NS	0.114
Skin _{drumstick}	1.05	1.23	1.17	1.06	**	*	*	0.026
Lean _{drumstick}	10.30	8.60	9.88	9.48	***	**	NS	0.085
Bone _{drumstick}	3.40	3.20	3.42	3.22	*	*	NS	0.044
Lean _{drumstick} /Bone _{drumstick}	3.06	2.72	2.92	2.95	***	NS	NS	0.048
Thigh	18.24	17.17	18.44	17.25	**	***	NS	0.167
Wing	9.28	8.51	9.31	8.69	**	**	NS	0.111
Breast	15.07	14.82	15.18	14.78	NS	NS	NS	0.193
Head	2.97	4.08	3.52	3.20	***	*	NS	0.071
Neck	6.33	6.47	6.59	6.16	NS	*	NS	0.097
Legs	4.45	4.19	4.48	4.23	*	*	NS	0.060
D+T ³	32.93	30.24	32.99	30.88	***	***	NS	0.243

4 ¹Significance: *** ($p < 0.001$), ** ($p < 0.01$), * ($p < 0.05$), NS (not significant)

5 ²SEM is the standard error of the mean

6 ³D+T= Drumstick + Thigh

7
8

1 **Table 3.** Effect of breed (Mos vs. Sasso T-44) and finishing feeding (corn vs. fodder) on meat
 2 quality (chemical composition of drumstick as well as colour and textural parameters from
 3 breast).

	Breed (B)		Finishing feeding (F)		Significance ¹			SEM ₂
	Mos	T-44	Fodder	Corn	B	F	B × F	
Chemical composition								
pH	5.95	6.02	5.96	5.98	*	NS	NS	0.015
Water (%)	74.66	74.06	74.77	74.21	NS	*	*	0.154
Protein (%)	21.74	21.68	21.67	21.75	NS	NS	NS	0.109
Fat (%)	0.39	0.48	0.29	0.52	NS	*	NS	0.056
Ashes (%)	1.28	1.24	1.25	1.27	**	NS	NS	0.006
Collagen (%)	0.83	0.74	0.99	0.67	NS	**	***	0.037
TBARS ³	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	NS	NS	NS	0.045
Myoglobin (mg/100 g wet meat)	2.82	2.97	2.80	2.99	NS	NS	NS	0.07
cholesterol (mg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.57	0.53	0.55	0.55	*	NS	NS	0.009
α-tocopherol (μg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.53	0.68	0.55	0.66	***	**	NS	0.017
β-tocopherol (μg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.21	NS	***	NS	0.004
γ-tocopherol (μg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.19	NS	***	NS	0.005
γ-tocopherol (μg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	NS	***	NS	0.000
β-carotene (μg g ⁻¹ wet meat)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	NS	NS	NS	0.002
Colour parameters								
Luminosity (<i>L</i> *)	52.67	50.94	52.32	51.78	NS	NS	NS	0.367
Redness (<i>a</i> *)	1.35	1.47	1.61	1.26	NS	NS	NS	0.126
Yellowness (<i>b</i> *)	3.01	2.88	1.65	3.80	NS	***	NS	0.215
WHC								
Cooking loss (%)	12.51	12.32	12.09	12.60	NS	NS	NS	0.357
Textural parameters								
Shear force (kg cm ⁻²)	1.59	1.56	1.57	1.58	NS	NS	NS	0.039
Firmness (kg cm ⁻²)	0.48	0.47	0.49	0.46	NS	NS	NS	0.017
Total work (kg × s)	5.23	5.03	5.51	4.78	NS	NS	NS	0.257
TPA-test								
Hardness (kg)	4.15	3.99	4.40	3.78	NS	NS	NS	0.193
Springiness (mm)	0.45	0.46	0.47	0.44	NS	NS	NS	0.008
Chewiness (kg × mm)	1.20	1.23	1.34	1.08	NS	*	NS	0.067
Gumminess(kg)	2.46	2.46	2.64	2.27	NS	NS	NS	0.113
Cohesiveness	0.61	0.62	0.61	0.61	NS	NS	NS	0.006

4 ¹Significance: *** ($p < 0.001$), ** ($p < 0.01$), * ($p < 0.05$), NS (not significant)

5 ²SEM is the standard error of the mean

6 ³TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances

7 ⁴WHC: Water holding capacity

8 ⁵TPA: Textural profile analysis

9

1 **Table 4.** Effect of breed (Mos vs. Sasso T-44) and finishing feeding (corn vs. fodder) on fatty
 2 acid profile of breast (mg of fatty acid/ g of intramuscular fat)

3

Fatty acids	Breed (B)		Finishing feeding (F)		Significance ¹			SEM ²
	Mos	T-44	Fodder	Corn	B	F	B×F	
C14:0	7.06	9.63	8.31	7.71	***	NS	*	0.20
C15:0	0.95	0.67	0.77	0.92	**	NS	NS	0.04
C16:0	270.12	300.01	286.03	276.32	***	NS	NS	3.52
C16:1 <i>cis</i> -9	20.69	26.30	25.44	20.22	***	*	***	0.69
C17:0	1.17	0.72	0.71	1.27	NS	NS	NS	0.13
C17:1	0.46	0.24	0.21	0.54	**	***	NS	0.03
C18:0	120.00	104.96	110.95	117.84	**	NS	NS	2.37
C18:1 <i>cis</i> -9	307.50	340.62	325.61	313.93	***	*	NS	3.97
C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	198.96	190.01	195.31	196.07	NS	NS	NS	3.15
C20:1	0.70	0.56	0.57	0.72	NS	NS	*	0.05
C18:3 <i>n</i> -3	8.31	9.08	8.84	8.37	NS	NS	NS	0.29
C20:2	1.55	1.51	1.29	1.77	NS	*	NS	0.10
C20:3 <i>n</i> -6	2.97	2.86	3.59	2.32	NS	***	**	0.10
C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	53.14	39.08	51.91	44.43	***	NS	*	1.57
C20:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.60	0.15	0.90	0.00	**	***	**	0.07
C24:1	9.13	7.87	9.67	7.75	*	*	***	0.25
C22:6 <i>n</i> -3	5.66	4.45	5.61	4.87	*	NS	NS	0.26
SFA ³	399.32	416.00	406.81	404.06	NS	NS	NS	4.64
MUFA ⁴	338.49	375.61	361.54	343.16	***	*	NS	4.15
PUFA ⁵	271.24	247.17	267.48	257.87	**	NS	*	3.69
Σ <i>n</i> -3 ⁶	14.02	13.55	14.49	13.25	NS	NS	NS	0.42
Σ <i>n</i> -6 ⁷	255.08	231.95	250.82	242.83	**	NS	*	3.60
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3	19.87	18.07	18.28	20.08	NS	NS	NS	0.81
PUFA/SFA	0.68	0.59	0.66	0.64	NS	NS	NS	0.008

4 ¹Significance: *** ($P < 0.001$), ** ($P < 0.01$), * ($P < 0.05$), NS (not significant)

5 ²SEM is the standard error of the mean

6 ³SFA: saturated fatty acid

7 ⁴MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid

8 ⁵PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid

9 ⁶*n*-3: omega-3 fatty acid

10 ⁷*n*-6: omega-6 fatty acid

11
12

1 **Table 5.** Effect of breed (Mos vs. Sasso T-44) and finishing feeding (corn vs. fodder) on
 2 sensorial analysis.

	Breed		Finishing feeding		Significance ¹			SEM ²
	Mos	T-44	Fodder	Corn	B	F	B × F	
Fresh meat								
<i>Skin appearance</i>								
Colour skin	3.22	5.27	3.83	4.66	***	**	**	0.13
Transparency	5.83	3.22	4.55	4.50	***	NS	NS	0.18
Colour meat	5.66	5.83	5.77	5.72	NS	NS	NS	0.18
Uniformity meat	6.33	6.33	6.50	6.16	NS	NS	NS	0.12
Hardness fat	4.50	6.77	5.33	5.94	***	*	NS	0.15
<i>Odour</i>								
Intensity	3.61	2.88	3.66	2.83	NS	NS	NS	0.25
Liver	0.88	0.44	0.61	0.72	NS	NS	NS	0.17
Cooked meat								
<i>Taste</i>								
Intensity	6.61	5.05	5.94	5.72	***	NS	NS	0.17
Rancid	0.50	0.55	0.50	0.55	NS	NS	NS	0.17
Liver	2.83	2.83	4.55	2.83	NS	NS	NS	0.22
<i>Textural</i>								
Hardness	4.55	5.94	5.83	4.66	***	**	**	0.16
Juiciness	5.50	4.16	4.38	5.27	***	*	NS	0.16
Pastiness	1.66	2.05	1.88	1.83	NS	NS	NS	0.27
Fibrousnesses	3.27	3.72	3.72	3.27	NS	NS	NS	0.22

¹Significance: *** ($p < 0.001$), ** ($p < 0.01$), * ($p < 0.05$), NS (not significant)

²SEM is the standard error of the mean

4
5
6
7