AB INITIO DETERMINATION OF BAND STRUCTURES OF VIBRATIONAL SPECTRA OF NON-RIGID MOLECULES. APPLICATIONS TO METHYLAMINE AND DIMETHYLAMINE.

Y.G. Smeyers, M.L. Senent and M. Villa¹
Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, C.S.I.C.
calle Serrano, n° 123, E-28006 MADRID

Abstract

The ab initio determination of the band structures of infrared spectra is described giving as examples the methyl torsion and amine wagging in methylamine and the double torsion in dimethylamine. In addition, the influence of the amine hydrogen symmetric bending and the CNC skeleton symmetric bending is considered in methylamine and dimethylamine, respectively. For this purpose, the potential energy surfaces and kinetic parameters are determined at the RHF/MP2 levels with large basis sets. The numerical results are fitted as a function of the vibrational angles to conveniently symmetry adapted functional forms. The Schrödinger equations for the nuclear motions solved by expanding the solutions into products of trigonometric functions. From the energy levels, the vibrational functions and the electric dipole moment variations the bands locations and intensities are determined. The calculated spectra are compared with the available experimental data. In the case of methylamine, the torsional splittings and frequencies are relatively well reproduced, whereas the wagging frequencies appear to be slightly too high. In the case, of dimethylamine, the symmetric and antisymmetric torsion modes are very well reproduced, whereas the CNC bending frequencies appear also to be too high.

List of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Theory
3. Applications
 - 3.1. Methyl Torsion and Amine Hydrogen Wagging in Methylamine
 - 3.2. Amine Hydrogen Wagging and Bending in Methylamine
 - 3.3. Double Torsion in Dimethylamine
 - 3.4. Double Torsion + Bending in Dimethylamine
4. Conclusions

¹ Permanent Address: Departamento de Química, UAM, Iztapalapa, Av. Purísima y Michoacan, CP 09340, MEXICO D.F.

1. INTRODUCTION

A non-rigid molecule is defined as a molecular system possessing large amplitude vibrational modes. These large amplitude motions occur when the molecule is flexible and especially when it possesses various isoenergetic conformations separated by relatively low energy barriers. These motions use to be internal rotations or inversions which give rise to large *progressions* in the electronic, far infrared (FIR) and Raman spectra [1-3].

In the following, the calculations of the methylamine and dimethylamine far infrared spectra will be considered. Methylamine will be considered in two different ways: the methyl torsion and the amine hydrogen atom wagging; and the amine hydrogen wagging, and symmetric bending discarding the methyl torsion, in order to study the influence of the bending on the wagging mode. Dimethylamine will be considered also in two different ways: the double methyl rotation, and the double methyl rotation plus the CNC angle symmetric bending.

In this aim, the technique developed for determining the acetone far infrared spectrum [4] is adopted. In this, the potential energy surface on which the nuclei are moving is determined, the nuclear motion Schrödinger equation is solved, and from the energy levels, torsional functions and the dielectric moment variations the FIR spectrum is built up.

2. THEORY

The potential energy surface on which the nuclei are moving is determined assuming the Born-Oppenheimer approximation as well as the separability of the small and large amplitude motions. It is not always easy to distinguish between large and not so large amplitude motions. One criterion can be the energy levels. Usually, motions between isoenergetic conformations as well as vibrational motions affected seriously by the former are considered together.

Under these conditions, the molecule is supposed to be in equilibrium with respect to the small amplitude vibration modes. As a result, the total energy of each conformation of the non-rigid coordinate space is determined by a reliable *ab initio* procedure with full optimization of the geometry with respect to the other coordinates.

From the optimized geometry the kinetic parameters are deduced, for each conformation, by inversion of the inertial matrix according to the Harthcock and Laane's procedure [5]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ X^t & Y \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where I is the inertial tensor corresponding to the overall rotation Y is the vibrational submatrix (here diagonal), and X is the interaction matrix between the external and internal motions.

In particular we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ix} &= \sum_a m_a \left(\vec{r}_a \times \frac{\partial \vec{r}_a}{\partial \alpha_i} \right)_x \\ Y_{ij} &= \sum_a m_a \left(\frac{\partial \vec{r}_a}{\partial \alpha_i} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{r}_a}{\partial \alpha_j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where m_a is the mass of atom a , and $\vec{r}_a$ its displacement vector.

Finally, the numerical results for the potential and kinetic parameters are adjusted to a symmetry adapted functional form.

In order to solve the Schrödinger equation for the nuclear motions, the vibrational solutions are developed in terms of some basis functions, and the Hamiltonian matrix diagonalized, yielding the energy levels and the wave functions. As basis functions, the symmetry vectors which factorize into boxes the Hamiltonian matrix are conveniently used.

This technique assumes previous knowledge of the symmetry eigenvectors which may be deduced by using Group Theory for Non-Rigid Molecules as well as some information about the necessary basis length [2,3].

In the spectrum calculations the band frequencies and intensities are determined in absolute value without any scale factor. The band frequencies are determined by the difference between the energy levels, of initial and final states. The intensities are determined through the electric dipole moment variation approach [6]:

$$I_{if} = \frac{g}{3BR^2e^2} [\epsilon_i - \epsilon_f] [C_i - C_f] \langle \varphi_i | \vec{\mu} | \varphi_f \rangle^2 \quad (3)$$

where ϵ , C and φ are the energies, populations and vibrational functions of the initial (i) and final (f) states. R and B are the average rotation radii and kinetic parameters, $\vec{\mu}$ is the dipole moment written as a function of the large amplitude coordinates. $\vec{\mu}$ is obtained in the same calculations for the potential. The populations are given by the Boltzmann statistics and the dipole moment components are conveniently shifted along the principal inertial axes of the molecule.

In order to determine the band profiles, the shape of the molecule has to be considered [7]. As is well known, in symmetric prolate or oblate tops, the

dipole variation along the main principal axis (A or C) gives rise to **a** or **c** type bands, respectively, with a sharp Q branch. On the contrary, the perpendicular dipole moment variation gives rise to **b-c** or **a-b** type bands with broad PR branches. Generally, only the sharp bands, which can be more easily compared with the experimental data are considered.

Furthermore, we have to remark that Group Theory for Non-Rigid Molecules may be advantageously used to deduce a symmetry adapted analytical form for the potential, as well as the symmetry eigenvectors for simplifying the Hamiltonian matrix solution. In the same way, Group Theory permits to label and classify the energy levels and the vibrational functions. Finally, it may be also used to deduce selection rules for the infrared transitions.

3.APPLICATIONS

3.1. Methyl Torsion and Amine Hydrogen Wagging in Methylamine

Let us consider first the methyl internal rotation and the amine hydrogen wagging in methylamine (Fig. 1), taking as origin for the torsion and wagging angles the molecular plane of methylamine in a planar conformation. The Non-Rigid Group for the methyl torsion and amine hydrogen wagging in methylamine has been derived in [8] into the Longuet-Higgins formalism, and in [9] into the physical operations formalism.

Figure 1. Large amplitude motions in methylamine: torsion (θ) and wagging (α).

The Hamiltonian operator restricted to these two motions takes the form:

$$H(\theta, \alpha) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} B_\theta(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} B_{\theta, \alpha}(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} B_{\alpha, \theta}(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} B_\alpha(\theta, \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + V(\theta, \alpha) \quad (4)$$

It is easily seen to be invariant under the following operations [9]:

1) A threefold rotation of the methyl group:

$$\hat{C}_3 f(\theta, \alpha) \equiv f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}, \alpha\right) \quad (5)$$

2) A twofold rotation of the methyl group followed by a sign change of the rotation:

$$\hat{U} f(\theta, \alpha) \equiv f(\pi - \theta, \alpha) \quad (6)$$

3) A simultaneous sign change of rotation and wagging angles, (or double switch):

$$\hat{V} f(\theta, \alpha) \equiv f(-\theta, -\alpha) \quad (7)$$

Notice that the double switch operation exists always when there are symmetry plane in the moving moities and the frame of the molecule [10]. These operations are illustrated in figure 2. With these definitions, the restricted Non-Rigid Group (r-NRG) for the torsion and wagging mode is a group of order twelve, isomorphic to the C_{6v} symmetry point group:

$$C_3^I \wedge [V^I \times U^I] = G_{12} \sim C_{6v} \quad (8)$$

The subgroups C_3^I , U^I and V^I are defined by the operations (5), (6) and (7), respectively. The character table as well as the symmetry eigenvectors of this group are given in Ref [9].

In particular, we have for the potential energy function, the symmetry adapted analytical form:

$$V(\theta, \alpha) = \sum_{k=\text{even}, L} A_{KL} \cos 3K\theta \cos L\alpha + \sum_{k=\text{odd}, L} B_{KL} \sin 3K\theta \sin L\alpha \quad (9)$$

where no $\cos \times \sin$ mixed term appears.

The far infrared spectrum of methylamine has been studied mainly by Onashi and coworkers [11,12], and Kreglewski et al [13,14].

The potential energy calculations were performed, at the RHF/MP2 level, with the 6-311G++(3fd,3dp) basis set, for 36 selected values of the torsion and wagging angles. In this aim, the GAUSSIAN 92 program package was resorted [15]. The following values were used for the torsion, 0° , 30° , 60° and 90° , and for the wagging, -69.0° , -54.540845° , -38.0° , -19.0° , 0.0° , 19.0° , 38.0° , 54.540845° and 69.0° . The preferred conformations were found at $\theta = 0.0^\circ$ and $\alpha = \pm 54.540845^\circ$. The fit of the relative energy values with respect to the lowest one by a least square method to the equation (9) yields an expression given in Ref.[16].

The B_i kinetic parameters were recalculated for each of the 36 configurations, without the corrections given in Ref. [14,16], and fitted to the same symmetry adapted analytical form (9). The torsion kinetic parameters resemble those of Ref. [16]. In contrast the wagging ones (equilibrium value 26.729 cm^{-1}) differ substantially from those used in [16] (9.602 cm^{-1}), as well as to the approximate experimental value proposed by Onashi *et al.*[11] (9.19 cm^{-1}).

The torsional-wagging nuclear equation was solved at two levels of approximations: using either the variable kinetic parameters either the equilibrium value. In this aim, 31×41 trigonometric functions were used for describing the methyl torsion and the amine hydrogen atom wagging, respectively. The energy levels encountered are given in Table 1, where it is seen that the both approaches yield similar results. The levels are clustered into quartets. In fact it is a matter of sextets taking into account the degeneracies.

The first quartet corresponds to the fundamental level. The second and third to the first and second torsionally excited levels. The fourth quartet corresponds to the first wagging excited level. This assignment rests on the intensities given in Table 2. The dipole moment variations due to a wagging transition must be indeed expected to be much larger than those due to a torsional transition.

It is seen that the first excited torsional levels agree fairly well with the value deduced from experiment [14], whereas the wagging level appears to be too low. In particular, the first excited wagging level is found at 830 cm^{-1} instead of 720 cm^{-1} [14].

This discrepancy could be attributed to the interactions between the wagging and bending motions of the amine hydrogen atoms. If the bending angle is plotted versus the wagging angle a large dependency is indeed detected. The bending angle exhibits the largest value close to 120° (sp^2 hybridization) when the amine group is forced to be in the molecular plane. On the contrary, the bending angle presents the smallest values (sp^3 hybridization) when the amine group adopts a pyramidal conformation [16].

The splittings between the torsional fundamental sublevels for constant and

variable B_θ are seen to be 0.353 and 0.435 cm^{-1} in relatively good agreement with the experimental value of 0.249 cm^{-1} given by Onashi et al.[11].

Table 1. Energy levels (in cm^{-1}) for the torsion and wagging vibration modes in Methylamine obtained with the constant and variable (B) kinetic parameters.

Levels	Symmetry	Energy in cm^{-1}		Vibrational mode		Exp.[14]
		B const.	B varia.	ν_{15}	ν_9	
0	A_1	609.697	602.555			
1	-1 E_1	610.051	602.990	0	0	600
	-2 B_2	609.899	603.057			
2	-3 E_2	610.142	603.243			
3	-4 E_2	864.987	859.860			
4	-5 E_1	865.294	860.631	1	0	870
5	A_1	872.070	866.709			
	-6 B_2	872.750	868.414			
6	A_1	1045.610	1041.845			
	-7 B_2	1046.093	1042.972	2	0	1010
7	-8 E_1	1086.093	1083.324			
8	-9 E_2	1086.965	1083.921			
9	-10 E_2	1220.800	1218.555			
10	-11 E_1	1220.937	1018.804	3	0	1310
11	B_1	1363.315	1361.840			
	-12 A_2	1363.459	1362.047			
12	B_2	1375.089	1373.934			
	-13 A_1	1376.628	1375.643	4	0	
13	A_1	1391.518	1409.130			
14	-14 E_1	1395.773	1415.389			
15	-15 E_2	1404.130	1428.379	0	1	1315
	-16 B_2	1409.621	1436.863			
16	-17 E_2	1558.542	1558.314	4	0	
17	-18 E_1	1559.592	1559.464			
18	B_1	1608.333	1627.357			
19	-19 E_2	1607.474	1627.357	1	1	
20	-20 E_1	1625.599	1658.956			
	-21 A_2	1657.308	1699.178			

In Table 2, the calculated frequencies and intensities are given. Unfortunately, the FIR spectrum of methylamine is too crowded to permit a reliable comparison. The first torsional frequency 257.3 cm^{-1} , however, appears to be in very good agreement with the experimental value 262.7 cm^{-1} [11].

Table 2. Far infrared frequencies (in cm^{-1}) and relative intensities for the c type torsional and wagging bands in methylamine obtained from *ab initio* calculations with the variable kinetic parameters.

Assignments $\nu\nu' \rightarrow \nu\nu'$	Symmetries	Frequencies	Intensities
00 10	$E_1 \rightarrow E_2$	256.87	1.10^{-4}
	$E_2 \rightarrow E_1$	257.39	1.10^{-4}
10 20	$E_1 \rightarrow E_2$	221.67	—
	$E_2 \rightarrow E_1$	221.71	—
00 01	$B_2 \rightarrow A_1$	806.07	0.0858
	$E_2 \rightarrow E_1$	812.15	0.0531
	$E_1 \rightarrow E_2$	825.39	0.0543
	$A_1 \rightarrow B_2$	834.31	0.0837
10 11	$A_2 \rightarrow B_1$	758.94	0.0213
	$E_2 \rightarrow E_1$	771.68	0.0206
	$E_1 \rightarrow E_2$	799.10	0.0197
	$B_1 \rightarrow A_2$	832.47	0.0206

3.2. Amine Hydrogen Wagging and Bending in Methylamine

In order to verify the interaction between the amine hydrogen atoms bending and wagging modes, the energy levels corresponding to these motions are calculated discarding the torsion. The three-dimensional problem will be considered in a next future. For this purpose, let us take as before as origin for the wagging angle the molecular plane of methylamine in a planar conformation. The bending coordinate is the H-N-H angle.

The Hamiltonian operator restricted to these two motions is similar to the previous one (4) in which the θ variable has to be replaced by the β one. This operator is easily seen to be invariant under the following dynamical operations:

1) A change of sign of the wagging angle:

$$\hat{U}_\alpha f(\alpha, \beta) = f(-\alpha, \beta) \quad (10)$$

2) A change of sign of the bending angle:

$$\hat{U}_\beta f(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, -\beta) \quad (11)$$

Operation 1) is closely related with the full optimization of the molecular geometry. In particular, the conformation of the methyl group adopts the energy minimum for any values of α and β , in such a way that it may be considered as planar.

As a result, the r-NRG for these motions may be written as:

$$[U_\alpha^I \times U_\beta^I] = G_4 \sim C_{2v} \quad (12)$$

which is a group of order four isomorphic with the symmetry point group C_{2v} , with the irreducible representations A_1 , A_2 , B_1 and B_2 .

In this expression, the subgroups U_α^I and U_β^I are defined from the operations (10) and (11), respectively.

In these conditions, the potential energy function for these two motions takes the symmetry adapted analytical form:

$$V(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_K \sum_L \cos(K\alpha) \cos(L\beta) \quad (13)$$

The **energy** of methylamine was determined for a set of 49 wagging and bending **angles** using the same approach: RHF/MP2 with 6-311G++(3df,3dp) basis set, **and with** full optimization of the geometry with respect to the other **coordinates including** the torsion. For the bending the following values were considered: **91.27.30°**, 96.2730°, 101.2730°, 106.2730°, 111.2730°, 116.2730° and **121.27.30°**, i.e., each 5.0° from -15.0° to 15.0° around the minimum found at 106.2730°. For each of these bending values a different optimum wagging angle was found. This was included in the set of wagging angles used in the calculations: 0.0°, 15.0°, 30.0°, 45.0°, 60.0°, and 70.0°.

These electronic energy values were fitted to expression (13), as well as the kinetic parameters obtained from the optimum geometries. For solving the Schrödinger equation, the solutions were developed on 41×41 trigonometric functions for the wagging and bending, respectively. The energy levels are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Energy levels (in cm^{-1}) for the wagging and bending vibration modes in Methylamine.

Levels	Symmetry	Energy in cm^{-1}	Vibrational mode	
			ν_9	ν_4
0	A_1	1230.34		
-1	B_1	1230.34	0	0
-2	B_2	1231.20		
1	A_2	1231.20		
-3	B_1	1969.86		
2	A_1	1969.86	1	0
3	A_2	2000.25		
-4	B_2	2000.25		
4	A_1	2524.90		
-5	B_1	2524.90	2	0
-6	B_2	2724.62		
5	A_2	2724.62		
-7	B_1	2859.01		
6	A_1	2859.01	0	1
7	A_2	2881.62		
-8	B_2	2881.63		

In this table, it is seen that the levels appear clustered into quartets as to be expected from a four well potential energy surface. The first quartet corresponds to the fundamental level. The first and second excited quartets may be attributed to the wagging mode, whereas the third one to the bending mode. This assignment rests on the theoretical intensities given in Table 4. No strong intensities were obtained between the first and third levels, nor between the second and the fourth ones. In addition, the two wagging transitions present a quasi harmonic behavior.

Table 4. Far infrared frequencies (in cm^{-1}) and relative intensities for the c type wagging and bending bands in methylamine obtained from ab initio calculations.

Assignments $\nu\nu' \rightarrow \nu\nu'$	Symmetries	Frequencies	Intensities
0 0 1 0			
	$A_1 \rightarrow B_2$	769.91	0.2398
	$B_1 \rightarrow A_2$	769.91	0.2397
	$B_2 \rightarrow A_1$	738.67	0.2589
	$A_2 \rightarrow B_1$	738.67	0.2589
10 20			
	$A_1 \rightarrow B_2$	754.76	0.0053
	$B_1 \rightarrow A_2$	754.76	0.0053
	$A_2 \rightarrow B_1$	524.65	0.0134
	$B_2 \rightarrow A_1$	524.65	0.0134
00 01			
	$B_1 \rightarrow A_2$	1651.29	0.0255
	$A_1 \rightarrow B_2$	1651.29	0.0255
	$B_2 \rightarrow A_1$	1627.81	0.0370
	$A_2 \rightarrow B_1$	1627.81	0.0370

It is seen that the first excited level is found at 770 cm^{-1} above the fundamental one in better agreement, but still slightly too high when compared with the experimental value 720 cm^{-1} [14].

The splitting between the wagging fundamental sublevels is 0.756 cm^{-1} in some agreement with an hypothetical experimental value of 0.206 cm^{-1} given by Honashi et al.[11].

3.3. Double Torsion in Dimethylamine

Let now consider the double methyl torsion in dimethylamine, let us remark that the two methyl groups are superimposable by reflection, see Fig. 2. The Hamiltonian operator is then seen to be invariant under the following dynamical operations [10]:

1) A threefold rotation of each of the methyl group:

$$\hat{C}_3 f(\theta) = f\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \quad (14)$$

2) An exchange-double switch of the two rotation angles:

$$\hat{W}\hat{V}f(\theta_1, \theta_2) = f(-\theta_2, -\theta_1) \quad (15)$$

With such a definition, the r-NRG for the double internal rotation in dimethylamine is a group of order 18:

$$[C_3^I \times C_3^{II}] \times [WV]^I = G_{18} \quad (16)$$

The character table of such a group is given in Refs.[17,18].

In particular, the following symmetry adapted expression is found for the totally symmetric potential energy function:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\theta_1, \theta_2) = & \sum_{K,L=0} A_{KL} [\cos 3K\theta_1 \cos 3L\theta_2 + \cos 3L\theta_1 \cos 3K\theta_2] + \\ & \sum_{K,L=1} B_{KL} [\sin 3K\theta_1 \sin 3L\theta_2 + \sin 3L\theta_1 \cos 3K\theta_2] + \\ & \sum_{K=0,L=1} C_{KL} [\cos 3K\theta_1 \sin 3L\theta_2 - \sin 3L\theta_1 \cos 3K\theta_2] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us remark that when the third set of terms of this equation is neglected this expression coincides with that for planar acetone of G_{36} symmetry [4]. Since the coefficients of these terms are generally small, both descriptions are considered in the following.

Figure 2. Structure of dimethylamine. The θ_1 and θ_2 , torsional angles, and the β bending angle.

The electronic energy of dimethylamine was determined for ten conformations at the RHF/MP2 level with the 6-311G(df,p) basis set. The symmetry criterion was applied to select the conformations for the torsional coordinates. The conformation, in which one of the hydrogen of each methyl group lies on the CNC molecular plane and pointing outwards, was taken as the origin for the rotations. In this condition, the selected geometries were $(0^\circ, 0^\circ)$, $(60^\circ, 0^\circ)$, $(60^\circ, 60^\circ)$, $(30^\circ, 0^\circ)$, $(0^\circ, 30^\circ)$, $(60^\circ, 30^\circ)$, $(30^\circ, 30^\circ)$, $(30^\circ, -30^\circ)$ and $(-30^\circ, 30^\circ)$.

In the most stable conformation, the methyl hydrogen atoms do not lie anymore in the CNC plane but at 3.3° and -3.3° . The wagging and bending angles were found to be equal to 55° and 111.6° , respectively.

The relative energy values with respect to the most stable conformation were fitted to expression (17) taking the energy minimum as origin for the potential. The kinetic parameters were determined from the optimized geometries by using equation (1), and fitted to the same expression (17).

For solving the Schrödinger equation, the solutions were developed on 37×37 trigonometric functions for each torsion mode. In Table 5, the energy levels calculated into the two models, G_{18} and G_{36} , are given.

In this table it is seen that the energy levels are clustered into quartets. In fact it is a matter of a ninefold multiplicity taking into account the degeneracies of the G and E representations in accordance to the nine potential energy wells. The G_{36} model furnishes slightly too high levels.

The first quartet corresponds to the fundamental level. The second and fourth to antisymmetric torsionally excited levels. The third, fifth and the last to symmetric torsionally excited levels. The antisymmetric torsion modes correspond to a gearing rotation along the principal diagonal of the potential energy surface. They are active in Raman and somewhat in infrared spectra. The symmetric modes correspond to an antigeering rotation along the secondary diagonal and are active in infrared.

Table 5. Dimethylamine energy levels (in cm^{-1})

		$V_3(G_{36})$		$V_3(G_{18})$	
0	0	A_1	240.392	A_1	237.541
		G	240.392	G	237.541
		E_1	240.392	E_1	237.541
		E_3	240.392	E_3	237.541
1	0	A_3	461.562	A_2	458.767
		G	461.561	G	458.766
		E_2	461.560	E_2	458.765
		E_3	461.560	E_3	458.765
0	1	A_2	491.478	A_1	490.330
		G	491.477	G	490.329
		E_1	491.476	E_1	490.328
		E_4	491.474	E_3	490.328
2	0	A_1	677.741	A_1	675.153
		G	677.759	G	675.170
		E_1	677.778	E_1	675.188
		E_3	677.778	E_3	675.188
1	1	A_1	701.276	A_2	700.143
		G	701.304	G	700.171
		E_2	701.332	E_2	700.198
		E_4	701.332	E_3	700.198
0	2	A_1	739.400	A_1	738.909
		G	739.409	G	738.918
		E_1	739.418	E_1	738.927
		E_3	739.418	E_3	738.927
3	0	A_1	887.090	A_2	884.838
		G	886.907	G	884.663
		E_2	886.729	E_2	884.493
		E_3	886.729	E_3	884.493
0	3	A_2	982.308	A_1	982.045
		G	982.287	G	982.024
		E_1	982.266	E_1	982.003
		E_4	982.266	E_3	982.003

In Tables 6a and 6b, the frequencies and intensities are given for the two torsional modes together with the experimental data. It is a matter of **a**- and **b-c** hybrid type bands with sharp Q branches. A very good agreement is observed [19]. The relative position of the ν_{12} and ν_{24} fundamental frequencies, however, shows a small error: 31.6 cm^{-1} instead of 36.9 cm^{-1} .

Table 6a. Calculated frequencies (in cm^{-1}) and relative intensities for the symmetric ν_{12} torsion mode (double antigeared rotation) in dimethylamine.

assign.	freq.	int.	exp. ^a [19]
0 0 → 0 1			
A_1-A_1	252.789	3.690	
G-G	252.788	7.379	256.3 vs
E_1-E_1	252.787	1.845	
E_3-E_3	252.787	1.845	
0 1 → 0 2			
A_1-A_1	248.579	2.038	
G-G	248.589	4.077	250.8 s
E_1-E_1	248.599	1.019	
E_3-E_3	248.599	1.019	
0 2 → 0 3			
A_1-A_1	243.136	0.834	
G-G	243.106	1.657	245.3 ms
E_1-E_1	243.076	0.417	
E_3-E_3	243.076	0.417	
1 0 → 1 1			
A_2-A_2	241.376	0.926	
G-G	241.405	1.854	239.8 s
E_2-E_2	241.433	0.464	
E_3-E_3	241.433	0.464	

^a vs = very strong; s = strong; ms = medium strong

Table 6b. Calculated frequencies (in cm^{-1}) and relative intensities for the symmetric ν_{24} torsion mode (double gearing rotation) in dimethylamine.

assign.	freq.	int.	exp. ^a
0 0 $\rightarrow$ 1 0			
A_1-A_2	221.226	0.101	
G-G	221.225	0.203	219.4 ms
E_1-E_2	221.224	0.051	
E_3-E_3	221.224	0.051	
1 0 $\rightarrow$ 2 0			
A_2-A_1	216.386	0.023	
G-G	216.404	0.046	213.0 w
E_2-E_1	216.423	0.012	
E_3-E_3	216.423	0.012	

^a ms = medium strong; w = weak.

3.4. Double Torsion + Bending in Dimethylamine

Since the introduction of the COC angle bending mode in dimethylether [20] appears to correct the gap between the symmetric and antisymmetric torsion modes, the symmetric bending of the CNC angle was introduced explicitly in the calculations. The non-bonding interactions between the hydrogen atoms during the methyl torsion could be indeed important. The three-dimensional vibration Hamiltonian of dimethylamine may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\theta_1, \theta_2, \beta) = & -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} B_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} B_{22} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} B_{33} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \\
 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} B_{12} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} B_{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} B_{13} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} \\
 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} B_{23} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} B_{23} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} + V(\theta_1, \theta_2, \beta)
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $B_{ij}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \beta)$ represents the kinetic energy parameters and $V(\theta_1, \theta_2, \beta)$ the three-dimensional potential energy function.

It is easily seen that this three-dimensional Hamiltonian operator is invariant under the same operations that those of the two-dimensional problem, since the bending mode is totally symmetric. The r-NRG for double methyl torsion and bending in dimethylamine will be the G_{18} .

The three-dimensional potential energy function was determined from the electronic energies of 150 selected conformations. Calculations were performed at the RHF/MP2 level with the 6-311G(d,p) basis set. All the structures were fully optimized taking into account in some way the interactions with the remaining vibration modes.

The same symmetry criterion used before was applied to select the conformations for the torsional coordinates. In addition, five values of the CNC angle around the equilibrium, were also selected for describing the variation of the energy with the bending. These angle values were -5.0° , -3.0° , 0.0° , 3.0° and 5.0° . The relative energy values were fitted to the expression for the potential.

In the most stable conformation, the methyl hydrogen atoms do not lie anymore on the CNC plane but stand at 4.0° and -4.0° . In the same way, the CNC angle was found to be 111.37° .

The kinetic parameters were determined as before using the Harthcock and Laane procedure [1,2], but now the dependence on the remaining vibrational coordinates was not taken into account because the previous calculations showed that they were very small [18].

The Schrödinger equation was solved by developing the solutions onto 37×37 trigonometric functions and 10 harmonic oscillator ones. This basis length implies a Hamiltonian matrix of order 13,690. The symmetry properties of the G_{18} group permit, however, to factorize this matrix into eight boxes of dimension: $A_1(910)$, $A_2(780)$, $G(3120)$, $E_1(1560)$, $E_2(1320)$ and $E_3(1440)$. Some of them are degenerate, so that only six matrices have to be diagonalized.

In Table 7, the energy levels for the double methyl torsion and bending are given. It is seen that as before the levels are clustered into quartets. In the second column of this table, the bending levels are collected. In Table 8, the frequencies of the torsional bands for the bending fundamental in which the $v'' = 0$ are given.

The torsional frequencies obtained in these three-dimensional calculations may be compared with those of the previous example calculated in two dimensions, as well as with the experimental data [19]. It is clear that the introduction of the bending mode displaces the whole spectrum to higher wave-numbers, but modifies the relative position of the symmetric ν_{12} and antisymmetric ν_{24} torsion modes. There are no changes in the assignment, but now the gap between the two modes is 37.9 cm^{-1} in better agreement with the experimental value of 36.9 cm^{-1} . The bending levels are found to be too high.

Table 7. Energy levels (in cm^{-1}) for the double methyl torsion and CNC bending in dimethylamine.

v	v'	v''		calc.	v	v'	v''		calc.
0	0	0	A_1	442.54	0	0	1	A_1	854.45
			G	442.40				G	854.45
			E_1	442.54				E_1	854.45
			E_3	442.54				E_3	854.45
1	0	0	A_2	659.40	1	0	1	A_2	1071.69
			G	659.40				G	1071.66
			E_2	659.40				E_2	1071.64
			E_3	659.40				E_3	1071.64
0	1	0	A_1	697.98	0	1	1	A_2	1108.87
			G	697.97				G	1108.82
			E_1	697.97				E_1	1108.76
			E_3	697.97				E_3	1108.76
2	0	0	A_1	873.90	2	0	1	A_1	1280.16
			G	873.91	2	0	1	G	1280.86
			E_1	873.93				E_1	1281.64
			E_3	873.93				E_3	1281.64
1	1	0	A_2	902.75	1	1	1	A_2	1321.56
			G	902.77				G	1321.83
			E_2	902.80				E_2	132.16
			E_3	902.80				E_3	132.16
0	2	0	A_1	949.75	0	2	1	A_1	1361.91
			G	949.76				G	1361.92
			E_1	949.77				E_1	1361.94
			E_3	949.77				E_3	1361.94
3	0	0	A_2	1085.46					
			G	1085.35					
			E_2	1085.24					
			E_3	1085.24					
0	3	0	A_1	1196.76					
			G	1196.73					
			E_1	1196.69					
			E_3	1196.70					

Table 8. Frequencies (in cm^{-1}) for the symmetric ν_{12} (antigearing) and antisymmetric ν_{24} (gearing)torsional modes in dimethylamine.

v	v'	v''		v	v'	v''		calc.	obs.[19]	cal-obs
antigearing mode										
0	0	0	→	0	1	0	$A_1 \rightarrow A_1$	258.12		
							$G \rightarrow G$	258.12	256.3	1.8
							$E_1 \rightarrow E_1$	258.12		
							$E_3 \rightarrow E_3$	258.12		
0	1	0	→	0	2	0	$A_1 \rightarrow A_1$	254.34		
							$G \rightarrow G$	254.35	250.8	3.5
							$E_1 \rightarrow E_1$	254.36		
							$E_3 \rightarrow E_3$	254.36		
0	2	0	→	0	3	0	$A_1 \rightarrow A_1$	249.46		
							$G \rightarrow G$	249.42	245.3	4.1
							$E_1 \rightarrow E_1$	249.38		
							$E_3 \rightarrow E_3$	249.38		
1	0	0	→	1	1	0	$A_2 \rightarrow A_2$	246.33		
							$G \rightarrow G$	246.35	239.8	6.5
							$E_2 \rightarrow E_2$	246.37		
							$E_2 \rightarrow E_2$	246.37		
gearing mode										
0	0	0	→	1	0	0	$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	220.18		
							$G \rightarrow G$	220.18	219.4	0.6
							$E_1 \rightarrow E_2$	220.18		
							$E_3 \rightarrow E_3$	220.18		
1	0	0	→	2	0	0	$A_2 \rightarrow A_2$	217.64		
							$G \rightarrow G$	217.65	213.0	4.6
							$E_2 \rightarrow E_1$	217.67		
							$E_3 \rightarrow E_3$	217.67		

4. CONCLUSIONS

The ab initio calculations appear to reproduce relatively well the torsional energy levels as well as the far infrared torsional spectra of methylamine and dimethylamine. The wagging and bending energy levels appear to be too high and the corresponding infrared spectra shift to higher wave-numbers. In addition, the interaction between the wagging and/or bending with the torsion seems to be relatively weak. In the case of methylamine, three-dimensional calculations taking into account simultaneously torsion, wagging and symmetric bending seem to be necessary.

Anyway the present approach appears to be a powerful tool, which could help in the assignment of large-amplitude bands as well as in the prediction of the spectrum.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Y.G.S. and M.L.S. wish to thank the "*Comisión Interministerial de Ciencias y Tecnología*" of Spain for financial support through grant no PB93-0185, M.V. would like to thank the CSIC (Spain) and CONACYT (Mexico) for a sabbatical fellowship.

References

- [1] D.G. Lister, J.N. MacDonald and N.L.Owen, in "*Internal Rotation and Inversion*", Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [2] H.C. Longuet-Higgins, *Mol. Phys.*, **6**, 445 (1963).
- [3] Y.G. Smeyers, *Adv. Quantum Chem.*, **24**, 1-77, (1992)
- [4] Y.G. Smeyers, M.L. Senent, V. Botella and D.C. Moule, *J.Chem. Phys.*, **98**, 2754 (1993)
- [5] M.A. Harthcock and J. Laane, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **89**, 4231 (1985).
- [6] Y.G. Smeyers, A. Hernández-Laguna and P.Galera-Gómez, *An. Quim. (Madrid)*, **76**, 67 (1980).
- [7] J.M. Hollas, in "*High Resolution Spectroscopy*", Butterworths, London, 1982.
- [8] N. Ohashi and J.T. Hougen, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **121**, 484 (1987).
- [9] Y.G. Smeyers, M. Villa and E. Ortiz, *J. Math. Chem.*, **18**, 209-216(1985).
- [10] J. Maruani, Y.G. Smeyers and A. Hernández-Laguna, *J. Chem. Phys.*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **74**, 3123 (1982).
- [11] N. Ohashi, K. Takagi, J.T. Hougen, W.B. Olson and W.J. Lafferty, *J. Mol Spectrosc.*, **126**, 443 (1987); *ib.* **132**, 242 (1988).
- [12] N. Ohashi, S. Shimada, W.B. Olson, and K. Kawagushi, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **152**, 298 (1992).
- [13] M. Kreglewski and F. Winther, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **156**, 261 (1992).
- [14] M. Kreglewski, in "*Structure and Conformation of Non-Rigid Molecules*", (J. Laane et al Eds.), NATO-ASI Series, pp 29-43, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [15] M.J. Firsich, G.W. Trucks, M. Head-Gordon, P.M.W. Gill, M.W. Wong, J.B. Foresman, B.G. Johnson, H. B. Schlegel, M.A. Robb, E.R. Repongle, R. Gomperts, J.L. Andres, K. Raghvachari, J.S. Binkley, C. González, R.L. Martin, D.J. Fox, D.J. Defrees, J. Baker, J.J.P. Stewart and J.A. Pople (Gaussian, Inc. Pittsburg PA, 1992).
- [16] Y.G. Smeyers, M. Villa and M.L. Senent, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **177**, 66-73 (1996)

- [17] Y.G. Smeyers and A. Niño, *J. Comput. Chem.*, **8**, 380 (1987).
- [18] M.L. Senent and Y.G. Smeyers, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **105**, 2789 (1996).
- [19] J.R. Durig, M.G. Griffin and P. Groner, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **81**, 554 (1977).
- [20] M.L. Senent, D.C. Moule and Y.G. Smeyers., *J. Chem. Phys.*, **102**, 5952 (1995).