Air plasma kinetics under the influence of sprites

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2008 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 41 234016

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/41/23/234016>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 161.111.22.141

The article was downloaded on 11/12/2012 at 11:33

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Air plasma kinetics under the influence of sprites

F J Gordillo-Vázquez¹

Instituto de Óptica, CSIC, Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain

E-mail: vasquez@io.cfmac.csic.es

Received 7 May 2008, in final form 25 June 2008

Published 20 November 2008

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysD/41/234016

Abstract

A full time-dependent kinetic study is presented for the main microscopic collisional and radiative processes underlying the optical flashes associated with an impulsive ($\tau = 5 \mu\text{s}$) discharge in the form of a single sprite streamer passing through an air region of the mesosphere at three different altitudes (63, 68 and 78 km). The kinetic formalism developed includes the coupling of the rate equations of each of the different species considered (electrons, ions, atoms and molecules) with the Boltzmann transport equation so that, in this way, all the kinetics is self-consistent, although, in the present approach, the electrodynamics (no Poisson equation is considered) is not coupled. The chemical model set up for air plasmas includes more than 75 species and almost 500 reactions. In addition, a complete set of reactions (more than 110) has been considered to take into account the possible impact of including H_2O (humid chemistry) in the generated air plasmas. This study also considers the vibrational kinetics of N_2 and CO_2 and explicitly evaluates the optical emissions associated with a number of excited states of N_2 , O_2 , O in the visible, CO_2 in the infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) emissions of sprite streamers due to the N_2 Lyman–Birge–Hopfield (LBH) and the $\text{NO}-\gamma$ band systems. All the calculations are conducted for midnight conditions in mid-latitude regions ($+38^\circ\text{N}$) and 0° longitude, using as initial values for the neutral species those provided by the latest version of the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM). According to our calculations, the impact of 4 ppm of H_2O is only slightly visible in O_3^- at 68 and 78 km while it strongly affects the behaviour of the anion CO_4^- at all the altitudes investigated. The local enhancement of NO_x predicted by the present model varies with the altitude. At 68 km, the concentrations of NO and NO_2 increase by about one order of magnitude while that of NO_3 exhibits a remarkable growth of up to almost three orders of magnitude. The variation of the O_3 density predicted by the model in the sprite streamer head is negligible in all the altitudes investigated. The analysis of the time dependence of the electron distribution function (EDF) in the sprite plasma during the pulse reveals that the EDF transient is quite fast, reaching its ‘steady’ values during the pulse in less than 100 ns (much shorter than streamer head lifetimes). In addition, the calculated EDF during the pulse and in the afterglow is far from being Maxwellian, especially for energetic electrons (with $\varepsilon > 30 \text{ eV}$). Finally, the evaluation of the mid-latitude nighttime electrical conductivity of air plasmas under the influence of a single sprite event reveals an increase of up to four orders of magnitude (at 68 km) above its measured background level of $10^{-11} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at an altitude of $\sim 70 \text{ km}$. This sudden increase in the electrical conductivity lasts for 100 ms (at 68 km), being shorter ($\sim 1 \text{ ms}$) and longer (1 s) at 63 km and 78 km, respectively. The total power delivered by the streamer head of a single sprite event has been estimated to be approximately 1677 W (at 78 km), 230 kW (at 68 km) and 78 MW (at 63 km).

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

The so-called transient luminous events (TLEs) are large scale optical flashes taking place at altitudes that go from approximately 50 to 90 km, that is, they occur at stratospheric

¹ Present address: Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apdo. 3004, 18008 Granada, Spain.

and mesospheric altitudes, and they originate as a consequence of the electrical activity in underlying thunderstorms as predicted in 1925 by Wilson [1]. Of the various types of TLEs, the so-called sprites [2, 3], which are weakly ionized plasmas, are the most commonly detected since their first recording in 1989 [4]. Later on, in 1994, the first colour images of sprites were taken from a plane [5] and their characteristic red and blue emission features were clearly observed for the first time. Since then, many sprite observations from the ground have taken place all over the world [6–11]. In 1992, the first space observation of sprites was performed during thunderstorm observations from the Space Shuttle [12–14]. The optical measurements resulting from sprite emissions detected with the lightning and sprite observations (LSO) experiments running between 2001 and 2004 in the International Space Station (ISS) were reported in 2004 [15]. The results from the MEIDEX experiment onboard the Space Shuttle provided new sprite observations from the space [16]. More recently, ISUAL, the first sprite experiment onboard a satellite, was launched in May 2004 and has been providing many visible and ultraviolet (UV) spectral data on sprites since then [17–20]. The future missions TARANIS [21] and ASIM [22] are expected to produce more insights and understanding of sprites in a wider region of the electromagnetic spectrum. More recently, telescopic imaging of sprites has revealed a great variety of spatial and temporal scales suggesting a preliminary approach to the time scales of streamer-like structures in sprites and demonstrating that they exhibit a highly nonuniform altitude structure characterized by a lower (50–70 km) streamer-like region and a higher diffuse region (70–90 km) [10, 23–26]. The latter together with very recent high-speed video recordings [27–29] of the sprite temporal development illustrates the sprite streamer dynamics and its remarkable similarity [30] to the streamers formed within different types of gas discharges produced at ground pressure [31].

The earliest spectroscopic observations of sprites [32, 33], performed with low temporal (15–30 ms) and spectral resolution (6–9 nm), were only able to identify the N_2 first positive band system (1PN $_2$) ($B^3\Pi_g \rightarrow A^3\Sigma_u^+$) as the most important optical emission feature in the sprite spectra resolved from 460 to 800 nm. These initial studies did not detect any features associated with the N_2^+ ($A^2\Pi_u \rightarrow X^2\Sigma_g^+$) Meinel band, the N_2^- (1NG) ($B^2\Sigma_u^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma_g^+$) first negative group or other optical emissions, like the N_2 second positive band system (2PN $_2$) ($C^3\Pi_u \rightarrow B^3\Pi_g$) and the first negative band of O_2^+ , expected (all of them) to be the most intense during the interaction of electromagnetic pulses from lightning with the lower ionosphere [34, 35]. Later studies [36] have shown that, assuming a distribution of intensities of different wavelengths within the 1PN $_2$ band similar to the one observed in auroral emissions, the N_2 first and second positive bands seem to be primarily responsible for the largest red and blue signals in video recordings of sprites [5]. The latter has been confirmed by UV/blue spectrum of sprites observed at 65 km [37].

In addition to the N_2 second positive band system, blue optical emissions from sprites have also been associated with the radiative de-excitation of $N_2^+(B^2\Sigma_u^+)$ previously excited

in collisions with electrons [38–40]. Blue emission from N_2^+ originates from the lower (tendrils) region of sprites and is produced during the initial stages of sprite formation, not usually being detectable in temporally integrated spectra [39].

Recent analyses have also shown that, in addition to the 1PN $_2$ features, the higher-altitude sprite spectrum also shows the presence of some peaks associated with the N_2^+ Meinel band which are hardly visible in the lower-altitude spectrum [41]. The confirmation of the presence of N_2^+ in sprites, through the detection of blue emissions from the 1NG of N_2^+ , was a remarkable result since it was an indication that excited ionized species were also produced in sprites in addition to the neutral emissions found in the early red observations. The latter suggests that the average electron energies needed to produce the observed sprite spectra are above 0.5–2 eV predicted by preliminary spectral analysis providing information on the vibrational distributions of the excited N_2 ($B^3\Pi_g$) state [41–44]. In addition to visible emissions, sprites can also emit in the IR and UV parts of the electromagnetic spectrum [18]. The IR and UV emissions come from excited species such as CO_2 , N_2 , NO and/or N_2^+ . However, IR and UV are usually strongly absorbed by H_2O (IR emissions) and O_2 (UV emissions). The latter makes it difficult to conduct IR and UV observations from the ground or even using planes. In spite of this, there are some early studies about the modelling of IR emission from sprites and its possible detection from space [45, 46]. In the same way, two recent works have presented modelling studies of NO- γ (UV) and LBH (far UV) emissions of sprite streamers showing that, at 70 km altitude, the total gamma emissions from the excited NO are considerably smaller than those due to N_2 LBH emissions [47, 48]. Consequently, while LBH emissions due to sprites have already been detected by ISUAL [49] and compared with streamer modelling [18], the detection of NO- γ emissions from space has not yet been reported because it requires a narrower bandwidth spectrophotometer than the 130 nm bandpass photometer (150–280 nm) presently installed in ISUAL [20].

All the above spectroscopic data about sprite emissions in different wavelength ranges have given us valuable information about the important excited species that are able to radiate. However, the final chemical impact of TLE and, in particular, of sprites in the surrounding upper atmosphere is not yet well understood. Recent data by ISUAL have revealed that, between 40 and 60 km, the average electron energies and reduced electric field producing the optical spectra recorded from sprites change between 6.2–9.2 eV and between 243 and 443 Td, respectively, that is, the sustaining electric field takes a value between 2.1 and 3.7 times that of the atmosphere breakdown field (E_k) at these altitudes [17]. It is possible that these energetic electrons under the action of such high electric fields might create highly chemical reactive species (such as, for instance, NO_x) that can induce local changes in the background chemical composition of the mesosphere [50, 51]. Thus, one active line of research nowadays focuses on understanding the ability of sprite discharges and post-discharge air plasma chemistries to locally produce nitride oxides such as NO_x (NO , NO_2) and N_2O . These oxides

are important since they provide catalytic pathways for the destruction of ozone and participate in the oxidation of a number of chemical species in the stratosphere. The local perturbations of NO and O₃ concentrations due to a single blue jet event (a type of TLE) have been modelled following a kinetic approach showing an enhancement of 10% and 0.5% at 30 km altitude [52]. However, such enhancements in the upper atmosphere have rarely been reported and there are only a few observational studies [53, 54].

The earliest studies [53, 55] reporting results on the chemistry of sprites used the kinetic approach typically used to investigate the plasma chemistry associated with gas discharges produced in different types of plasma reactors. Later on, a reduced set of electron-driven reactions has been used to predict a significant enhancement of metastable oxygen atoms O(¹D) in the mesosphere associated with the sprite chemical activity [56]. Very recently, at the beginning of 2008, three different works were published (or sent to publication) incorporating complex chemical schemes in order to undertake the kinetic modelling of the chemical effects of sprites during nighttime conditions in the middle and upper atmosphere [57–59]. While two of them predict fractional enhancements of 50% for NO at 70 km [57] and NO_x at 73 km [58], the third one [59] predicts an increase in the NO concentration of four orders of magnitude above its background level at 70 km. These differences might be due to the initial conditions chosen, the uncertainty in the reaction rate coefficients and the number of kinetic processes considered. The results from two of the previously mentioned models [58, 59] can be compared with a very recent study [60] reporting NO₂ nighttime mid-infrared (680–2410 cm^{−1}) measurements from the Michelson interferometer for passive atmospheric sounding (MIPAS) instrument onboard the ESA satellite ENVISAT. Results shown in [60] indicate a possible sprite induced NO₂ enhancement of about 10% at 52 km altitude with a trend to increase with height from a few per cent at 47 km to tens of per cent at 60 km. The latter agrees with the predictions of the ion-neutral chemical model reported in [58], but is far from the NO₂ enhancement of two orders of magnitude at 50 km altitude predicted by another recent model based on ion-neutral reactions to explain the chemistry of sprite discharges [59].

This paper is an attempt to contribute to the general understanding of the non-equilibrium plasma chemistry triggered by sprite streamers in the Earth mesosphere and its possible impact in the local chemical composition of the upper atmosphere. In doing so, this work describes a kinetic approach used to evaluate the temporal evolution of the concentrations of a number of important chemical species created while sprite discharges are occurring and during their afterglows. A quantitative analysis is also performed of the most important microscopic processes underlying the sprite chemical activity at three different altitudes (63, 68 and 78 km). In addition, the model predicts the emission brightness of sprites associated not only with visible radiative transitions but also with other important ones emitting in the UV (NO-γ, LBH and the 2PN₂ system) and IR (from vibrationally excited CO₂ and the 1PN₂ system) regions of the electromagnetic spectrum that could be detected from the space.

The structure of this paper consists of a preliminary description of the used model approach and its basic equations followed by the different kinetic processes taken into consideration (section 2). Later on, in section 3, a detailed enumeration of the main results obtained is accompanied by a discussion of the most important findings reported. Finally, the conclusions are presented in section 4. In addition, this paper also includes an extensive appendix where the reader can find all the reactions and their rate coefficients considered in the chemical scheme proposed for modelling the non-equilibrium air plasma chemistry associated with single sprite events.

2. Model approach

The approach followed in this work assumes that the main chemical activity of sprites originates in their brightest regions, that is, in their short living streamer heads recently observed during very high-speed (10⁴ fps) video recordings [28, 29]. Recent (100 μs average) measurements by the ISUAL instrument onboard the FORMOSAT-2 satellite have shown that the average electron energies in the luminous regions of sprites are between 6.2 and 9.2 eV [17]. This finding suggests that, in a first stage, electrons are capable of generating a considerable degree of excitation and ionization in the low temperature air plasmas confined within the streamer tips and, consequently, a considerable number of atmospheric species can become chemically active through electron-driven reactions. The timescale of the streamer heads is connected to their dimensions and speed [61] which, according to recent measurements of speeds between 1 and 6 × 10⁷ m s^{−1} associated with 25 m streamer heads [29], suggest a temporal duration of several microseconds. The reduced electric field E/N underlying the brightest sprite optical emissions has recently been estimated by the ISUAL instrument by studying 2PN₂/1NN₂⁺ ratios of several sprites observed between altitudes of 40 and 60 km and the reported E/N fields range between 243 and 443 Td (2.1–3.7 E_k/N , where $E_k/N \cong 121$ Td is the atmosphere breakdown reduced electric field and N is the gas density) [17]. The upper limit of the E/N values reported in [17] is in agreement with the electric field magnitudes ($>3 E_k/N$) predicted in recent models of sprite streamers [18].

2.1. Model equations and numerical procedure

The basic model equations controlling the non-equilibrium air plasma chemistry of sprite streamers are

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = G - L, \quad (1)$$

which represents a set of differential rate equations for each of the chemical species of type i in the streamer plasma where n_i stands for the concentration of the atomic and molecular neutrals, ions (positive and negative) and free electrons that can change as a consequence of the gain (G) and loss (L) kinetic processes taking place during *and after* the short time pulse that the electric field is on within the streamer head. The microscopic processes considered in G and L include the

chemistry of neutral and ions together with the electron-driven kinetics. In this regard, the rate coefficients of each of the electron-driven reactions considered need to be calculated by using appropriate sets of cross sections and the corresponding electron distribution function in each time step. In order to do these calculations as accurately as possible, equation (1) has been coupled to a time-dependent and spatially uniform Boltzmann transport equation of the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{eE(t)}{m_e} \cdot \nabla_v \right) f(v, t) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{collisions}}, \quad (2)$$

where $f(v, t)$ is the distribution function for free electrons at time t with speed v whose relation to their kinetic energy is simply $\varepsilon = 1/2mv^2$. The symbols e , m_e , E and ∇_v stand for the electric charge and mass of electrons, the electric field within the streamer head and the velocity gradient operator, respectively. The coupling between equations (1) and (2) comes through the collision term on the right-hand side of equation (2) which represents the rate of change in $f(v, t)$ due to different kinds of collisions. This term also includes the densities of neutrals (atom and molecules) and ions in the plasma. In this study, the electron collisions considered are elastic, inelastic and superelastic ones. It is worth mentioning that electron–electron collisions, that is, Coulomb collisions, are not included because sprite plasmas have low electron densities and, consequently, Coulomb collisions only play a negligible role in the kinetics of this plasma so that they can be safely disregarded. Finally, a condition of macroscopic electrical neutrality is imposed so that the net charge balance in the plasma is zero (quasi-neutral plasma) in each time step. Therefore, the solutions of the system of equations (1) and (2) need to satisfy that

$$\sum_j n_j^+ = n_e + \sum_k n_k^-, \quad (3)$$

where n_j^+ , n_k^- and n_e stand for the concentrations of all the positive and negative ions and free electrons in the plasma, respectively. In order to solve equation (2), the program ELENDF [62] was used as a subroutine called by a chemical kinetics code that integrates the stiff system of differential equations (1) in successive time steps. As time progresses, the electron distribution function is recomputed and new rate coefficients are calculated so that the electron and ion concentrations fulfil the condition imposed by equation (3). The numerical integrator used by our kinetic model is the updated version of the Livermore solver for ordinary differential equations (LSODE) [63, 64], which is the basic solver of the ODEPACK collection of FORTRAN solvers for the initial value problem of ODE systems. This series of solvers can be freely downloaded from LLNL [65]. In particular, LSODE solves the initial value problem of stiff and nonstiff systems of first order ODEs of the form

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = g(t, y). \quad (4)$$

For stiff problems, the LSODE solver uses the backward differentiation formula (BDF) method (the Gear method) [66].

The BDF method is particularly convenient for solving a highly nonlinear set of differential equations since it has the property of stiff stability [66] and therefore does not suffer, as the popular explicit Runge–Kutta and Adams methods, from the stability step size constraint once the concentrations of the fast chemical components in the plasma have decayed to negligible values.

2.2. Model assumptions, parameters and initial conditions

The kinetic formalism adopted includes the coupling of the rate equations of each of the different species considered (electrons, ions, atoms and molecules) with the Boltzmann equation so that, in this way, all of the kinetics is self-consistent, although, in the present approach, the electrodynamics (no Poisson equation is considered) is not self-consistently solved with the plasma kinetics. In this regard, it has been assumed that the reduced electric field (E/N) within the streamer head plasma is an external parameter of the model and that it exhibits a step-like shape, that is, $E/N = 400 \text{ Td}$ ($\sim 3.3 E_k/N$) during a short time interval of $t_p = 5 \mu\text{s}$ while, afterwards, $E = 1 \text{ Td}$. The low field value after the pulse is characteristic of the afterglow region recently observed behind sprite streamer heads [28] so that the electron-driven kinetics becomes of secondary importance when compared with the processes controlled by the collisions between heavy species. Another important assumption of this model is related to the non-inclusion of photochemistry processes in spite of the fact that some photochemical mechanisms, such as photoionization, might have some importance in the propagation and branching of sprite streamers [67, 68] but with an indirect influence on the non-equilibrium chemistry of the streamer plasma.

For a typical pressure ($4.45 \times 10^{-5} \text{ atm}$) and gas temperature ($T_g \sim 220 \text{ K} = 0.0189 \text{ eV}$) of the altitudes where sprite streamers develop, the diffusion coefficient of neutrals is about $3000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, while in a non-thermal ($\varepsilon_k \neq T_g = T_{\text{ion}}$) quasi-neutral plasma, the ambipolar diffusion coefficient ($D_a \cong D_+ \times (1 + \varepsilon_k/T_{\text{ion}})$) of electrons and ions reaches the value of $1.94 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [69], where ε_k is the characteristic electron energy, D_+ is the diffusion coefficient of positive ions ($D_{\pm} = D_{\pm}^0/p \times (T_g/273)^2$) and T_{ion} is the ion temperature [69]. Therefore, the time needed by neutrals and charged particles (electrons and ions) to move through a typical streamer radius ($\sim 12.5 \text{ m}$) is $\sim 6.5 \text{ s}$ and $\sim 520 \text{ s}$, respectively. However, these timescales are usually longer than those of the kinetic reactions controlling the concentrations of electrons and most of the ions and neutrals and, consequently, the densities of most of the species have decayed to negligible values before their transport by diffusion starts to be noticeable. Therefore, in the present approach, the transport of electrons and ions by diffusion has not been considered. In evaluating the ambipolar diffusion coefficient above, one needs to first evaluate the characteristic electron energy, $\varepsilon_k = D_T/\mu_e$, where $\mu_e = v_d/E$ is the electron mobility, v_d is the electron drift velocity and D_T is the electron transverse diffusion coefficient. These magnitudes (D_T , μ_e) have been calculated once the electron distribution function (EDF) is obtained from the solutions of the system of equations (1)–(3). Thus, it

was found that $\varepsilon_k = 5.76$ eV which is lower than the value of the electron mean energy $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = 7.86$ eV obtained while the streamer reduced sustaining field $E/N = 400$ Td is on. The values of both ε_k and $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ are within the experimental range inferred from the ISUAL recorded sprites [17]. Strictly speaking, in non-thermal and non-equilibrium plasmas with electron kinetics driven by a non-Maxwellian EDF, as those of sprite streamers, the concept of ‘electron temperature’ (T_e) cannot be properly defined. If the EDF were Maxwellian, $\varepsilon_k = D_T/\mu_e = 2/3\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ with $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = 3/2T_e$. However, in our case, $\varepsilon_k = 5.76$ eV $\neq T_e = 5.24$ eV.

Some words are needed to justify the use of ELENDIF, a conventional two-term Boltzmann solver, rather than a multiterm procedure or a Monte Carlo simulation. The use of the Lorentz (two-term) approach in the case of N_2 -containing plasmas can be questionable due to the relative importance of some inelastic processes (vibrational excitation) when compared with elastic collisions. However, the inclusion, as in our case, of superelastic collisions with vibrationally excited N_2 molecules contribute to decreasing the possible influence of vibrational excitation on the EDF and, consequently, the two-term expansion can still be considered a good approximation in N_2 -containing plasmas [69].

Finally, the present calculations have been carried for three different altitudes of 63 km ($T_g = 240$ K), 68 km ($T_g = 220$ K) and 78 km ($T_g = 200$ K). It is assumed the same $E/N = 400$ Td in the three altitudes investigated. In addition, all the calculations are conducted for midnight conditions in mid-latitude regions (+38°N) and 0° longitude, using as initial values for the neutral species listed in [appendix A](#) those provided by the latest version of the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) based on the National Center for Atmospheric Research’s Community Atmospheric Model (CAM). The latest version of the model, WACCM3, is derived from CAM3 and includes all the physical parametrizations of that model. A complete description of CAM3 is given in [70] where details are given about the governing equations, physical parametrizations and numerical algorithms. The reader is also referred to the CAM web site for more information [71].

2.3. Chemical species and kinetic reactions

The chemical species considered in this work are listed in tables 1–4. We have taken into consideration a total of 77 species classified into ground neutrals (23), excited neutrals (24), electrons and negative ions (15) and positive ions (15). The exact number of reactions considered is 486, where there are electron-driven reactions (90), neutral–neutral reactions (222), ion–ion recombination mechanisms (36), ion–neutral processes (127, with 53 positive ion–neutral mechanisms and 74 negative ion–neutral reactions) and radiative de-excitation channels (11). The complete list of all the reactions considered and their corresponding rate coefficients is shown in [appendix B](#). There are 45 electron-impact reactions indicated as EDF-dependent processes for which their rate coefficients are not shown because they are self-consistently calculated using the cross section data convoluted with the

Table 1. Ground neutrals considered.

Ground neutrals
N, N_2 , O, O_2 , O_3
NO, NO_2 , NO_3 , N_2O , N_2O_5
H_2O , H, OH, H_2 , HO_2
H_2O_2 , HNO_x ($x = 1, 2, 3$)
CO, CO_2 , HCO, Ar

Table 2. Excited neutrals considered.

Excited neutrals
$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+, B^3\Pi_g, C^3\Pi_u, a^1\Pi_g, a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$
$O_2(a^1\Delta_g, b^1\Sigma_g^+), O(^1D, ^1S)$
$NO(A^2\Sigma^+), N(^2D, ^2P), Ar(^3P)$
$CO_2(001, 010, 100)$
$N_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+ (v = 1, \dots, v = 8))$

Table 3. Electrons and negative ions considered.

Negative ions
e, O^- , O_2^- , O_3^-
NO^- , NO_2^- , NO_3^-
H^- , OH^- , $H_2O_2^-$, $H_2O_3^-$, $H_2O_4^-$, $H_3O_2^-$
CO_3^- , CO_4^-

Table 4. Positive ions considered.

Positive ions
N_2^+ , $N_2^+(B^2\Sigma_u^+)$, N_4^+
O^+ , O_2^+ , O_3^+ , O_4^+
NO^+ , NO_2^+ , N_2O^+ , $N_2O_2^+$
H_2O^+ , H_3O^+ , OH^+
Ar ⁺

time-dependent electron distribution function derived from the solution of the Boltzmann equation coupled to all the rate equations as explained in sections 2.1 and 2.2. The reference source of the cross sections and/or rates used for each reaction is indicated in the last column of each of the tables.

In addition, we have included, when available, the gas temperature range of validity of the rate coefficients. The rate coefficients were taken from 45 different bibliographic sources [72–117]; roughly 42% of all of them were taken from references [69] (20%) and [83] (22%). The electron-impact reactions include a variety of processes such as ionization and dissociative ionization, dissociation, two and three-body attachment, electron–ion recombination, dissociative excitation and electronic and vibrational excitations. The radiative de-excitation processes shown at the end of [appendix B](#) include their Einstein coefficients (A) for spontaneous emission, the wavelength (λ) of the lines emitted in each of the spontaneous radiative decays considered and the plasma optical thickness (κ) for that wavelength. For simplicity, the latter has been assumed to be one; that is, the plasma is assumed to be optically thin and, therefore, no radiation is re-absorbed. Finally, a set of 115 reactions associated with the non-equilibrium chemistry of the humid air plasma has also been taken into consideration. This is important because H-containing species (especially the OH/H

Figure 1. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of electrons (N_e) produced by a sudden electric pulse of $5 \mu\text{s}$ in air plasmas containing 4 ppm of H_2O under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The solid lines are for an altitude of 63 km, the dashed line indicates N_e for 68 km and the dotted line corresponds to the case of 78 km.

chemistry) together with negative ions and nitride oxides might have some influence on the final concentrations of ozone. We have assumed a constant amount of H_2O in the region investigated (63–78 km) equal to 4 ppm. The reprocessed water vapour data from the halogen occultation experiment (HALOE) onboard the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) shows an unusual enhancement in H_2O of up to a maximum of 7 ppm around an altitude of 67 km. However, HALOE measurements also indicate that the water vapour sharply decreases below and above 67 km, being 3 ppm at 78 km and around 6 ppm at 63 km [118].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electrons and electrical conductivity

The main results of this work are shown in figures 1–36. We will start this section by commenting on the behaviour of the electron density shown in figure 1. The results presented in figure 1 indicate that, depending on the altitude, the electron density can reach values of up to 10^6 cm^{-3} (63 km) or remain in relatively low values hardly above 10^2 cm^{-3} (78 km) after the sprite event. It is interesting to note that, even after the end of the electric pulse that generates the sprite, the electron density shows relatively high values ($>10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) at 63 and 68 km for almost 100 ms. The kinetic model used 42 gain reactions channels leading to the growth of the electron density and 43 processes that contribute to the removal of electrons. Before the end of the electric pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$), the main production of electrons is due to electron-impact ionization processes (in decreasing order of importance):

Figure 2. Time-dependent behaviour of the electronic component (dominant) of the electrical conductivity enhancement of air plasmas containing 4 ppm of H_2O due to a single sprite event.

However, the loss of electrons during the pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$) is mainly due to two-body electron attachment to O_2 :

When the pulse is over ($>5 \mu\text{s}$), the electrons are produced mainly by electron detachment processes of O^- with N_2 :

although the electron detachment of O^- with O_2 and with O_3 , H_2 and CO is also of some importance:

At 63 km, the main loss channels of electrons after ($>5 \mu\text{s}$) the pulse are mechanisms of electron dissociative recombination with N_2O_2^+ ions and electron attachment:

However, at 68 and 78 km, after the pulse, the electrons are mainly removed by

The plot in figure 2 shows the electrical conductivity (in $\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$) of the air plasma produced by a single sprite event. At an altitude above ~ 60 km, the electron component of the electrical conductivity is usually dominant over the ionic component [36]. Therefore, we have calculated the electrical conductivity for 63, 68 and 78 km using the results from our kinetic model. The expression used for the electron component σ_e is given by

$$\sigma_e = Q_e N_e \mu_e, \quad (5)$$

where Q_e is the electronic charge, N_e is the electron number density and μ_e is the electron mobility. The latter is equal to

v_d/E , where v_d is the electron drift velocity and E is the electric field strength. The values of N_e and v_d are obtained as outputs of the kinetic model. Thus, we have that $v_d(400 \text{ Td}) = 2.674 \times 10^5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $v_d(1 \text{ Td}) = 4.41 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Therefore, since E depends on the altitude, we have different electron mobilities for the different altitudes and for the glow and afterglow regions. Thus, at 63 km, $\mu_e(400 \text{ Td}) = 237.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$ and $\mu_e(1 \text{ Td}) = 1567.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$; at 68 km, $\mu_e(400 \text{ Td}) = 442.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$ and $\mu_e(1 \text{ Td}) = 2923.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$ and at 78 km, $\mu_e(400 \text{ Td}) = 1671.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$ and $\mu_e(1 \text{ Td}) = 11057.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$.

The flat dashed line at the bottom represents the background air conductivity during mid-latitude nighttime conditions as reported in [119]. The other lines are as in figure 1.

We can see in figure 2 that, in the first moments ($<10^{-7} \text{ s}$) during the electric pulse, the electrical conductivity at 68 km is quite close to the typical nighttime air conductivity in mid-latitude regions at 70 km high as reported by Hale [119] and represented in figure 2 as a flat dashed line. The plot in figure 2 of the mid-latitude nighttime electrical conductivity of air plasmas under the influence of a single sprite event reveals an increase of up to four orders of magnitude (at 68 km) above its measured background level of $10^{-11} \text{ } \Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at an altitude of 70 km [119]. This sudden increase in the electrical conductivity lasts for almost 100 ms at 68 km, being shorter ($\sim 1 \text{ ms}$) and longer (1 s) at 63 km and 78 km, respectively. The remarkable enhancement of the electrical conductivity due to the sprite chemical activity could be traced during its relatively long duration (100 ms at 68 km) and, if experimentally detected, could be used as an alternative way to determine the importance of the sprite chemical activity in the mesosphere. Once we know from figure 2 the maximum enhancement of the electrical conductivities, we can roughly estimate the total electric power delivered to the surrounding atmosphere by the streamer head of a single sprite event occurring at different altitudes. The ohmic power density (W m^{-3}) dissipated by a single streamer head is simply $\sigma_e(\text{mho m}^{-1}) \times E^2(\text{V}^2 \text{ m}^{-2})$ where, for times $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$, $E = 400 \text{ Td} \times N$ (gas density at the different altitudes considered). If the streamer head is assumed to be of spherical shape with a radius of 25 m, its total volume delivering power is $65\,000 \text{ m}^3$. Therefore, the total power delivered by the streamer head of a single sprite event would be approximately 1677 W (at 78 km), 230 kW (at 68 km) and 78 MW (at 63 km).

3.2. Analysis of the electron distribution function

The electron distribution function (EDF) plays a key role in driving the electron kinetics of sprite plasmas. In this section a brief analysis is provided on the temporal evolution of the EDF during the pulse and after it, that is, during the afterglow. The normalization condition chosen for the EDF is

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{\varepsilon} f(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon = 1, \quad (6)$$

where ε stands for the electron energy and $f(\varepsilon)$ is the EDF. Once the EDF is calculated, the mean electron energy, transport

Figure 3. (a) Time-dependent behaviour of the calculated electron distribution function (EDF) during the pulse at different time steps (0.01 ns—short dotted line; 1 ns—short dashed dotted line; 5 ns—dashed dotted line; 95 ns—dashed line; 5 μs —dotted line) and Maxwellian EDF (upper dashed line). (b) Steady values of the calculated EDF (solid line) and Maxwellian EDF (dashed line) in the afterglow of a sprite plasma.

and rate coefficients can be quantified. The plots in figure 3(a) show the variation with time of the calculated EDF during the pulse (from 0.01 ns up to 5 μs) when $E/N = 400 \text{ Td}$. We can clearly see that the EDF transient is quite fast, usually below 100 ns. The latter is the time needed by the EDF to reach its *steady* values while the pulse is on. In addition, a plot is also included of a Maxwellian EDF (with the same electron mean energy as that of the calculated *steady* EDF during the pulse) so that the deviation of the calculated EDF from the Maxwellian one can be clearly observed (upper dashed line in figure 3(a)). This deviation becomes more and more important as the electron energy increases. We can conclude from figure 3(a) that, in order to study the electron kinetics, it is really not crucial to follow in time the EDF since its timescale (relaxation times) is much shorter (nanoseconds) than that of streamer head lifetimes (microseconds). Thus, it would be enough to consider the steady state EDF (dotted line in figure 3(a) marked with the 5 μs label) during the pulse.

The steady-state value of the calculated EDF when the pulse is over (afterglow) is represented in figure 3(b) together with a Maxwellian EDF for the same electron mean energy. Figure 3(b) shows the non-negligible deviation of

Figure 4. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of O^- ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

the calculated EDF from the Maxwellian for the low reduced electric field used (1 Td). For higher fields (25 or 50 Td) the departure of the EDF from the Maxwellian would be lower.

3.3. Negative ions

In figure 4, we can see the time evolution of the concentration of O^- ions. The trend followed is the same for the three altitudes investigated and its highest value is reached at 63 km right at the end ($5 \mu s$) of the electric pulse going through the air plasma and similarly to the predicted behaviour of the electron density. It is also visible that O^- decreases by almost four orders of magnitude as the altitude increases from 63 to 78 km. The main kinetic mechanism controlling the production of O^- before the end of the electric pulse ($< 5 \mu s$) is electron dissociative attachment of O_2 :

followed in order of importance by electron dissociative attachment of CO_2 , O_3 and H_2O :

On the other hand, the losses of O^- before $5 \mu s$ are mainly due to the detachment of O^- in collisions with N_2 molecules:

followed by a number of detachment processes (of similar importance) of O^- with O_2 , O_3 , H_2 and CO :

When the pulse is off (time $> 5 \mu s$), the O^- ions are mainly generated due to electron dissociative attachment of O_3 :

Figure 5. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of O_2^- ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

In addition, processes such as electron NO_2 dissociative attachment ($P61 : e + NO_2 \rightarrow O^- + NO$) and charge transfer ($P331 : O_2^- + O \rightarrow O^- + O_2$) play a secondary role in the production of O^- at 63 km and 68 km and 78 km, respectively. The loss of O^- after the pulse is mainly connected to the action of

while a minor role is also played by reactions $P315 : O^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 + e$ at 63 km and 68 km and $P328 : O^- + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + e$ at 78 km.

The time evolution of the concentration of O_2^- ions is shown in figure 5. The trend followed by O_2^- is the same for the three altitudes investigated and its highest value is reached at 63 km. In general, the peak values of the O_2^- concentration are found quite after the end of the igniting pulse producing the weakly ionized (but highly excited) non-equilibrium air plasma. The trend predicted is that the higher the altitude the lower the O_2^- concentration and the more delayed (with respect to the pulse end) its peaked value in time. The main kinetic mechanism controlling the production of O_2^- before the end of the electric pulse ($< 5 \mu s$) depends on the altitude. At 63 km, the three-body electron attachment dominates:

However, charge transfer is the dominant production channel of O_2^- at 68 and 78 km:

followed in importance by mechanisms of electron dissociative attachment $P27 : O_3 + e \rightarrow O_2^- + O$ and electron attachment $P58 : e + O_2 + N_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + N_2$ occurring at the three heights evaluated.

The losses of O_2^- before $5 \mu s$ at 63 and 68 km are mainly due to the charge transfer between O_2^- and ozone molecules:

followed by ion recombination $P261 : O_2^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$ at 63 km and $P463 : O_2^- + H_2O + N_2 \rightarrow H_2O_3^- + N_2$ at 68 km. At 78 km high, the most important loss process is $P331 : O_2^- + O \rightarrow O^- + O_2$ followed by $P332 : O_2^- + O \rightarrow O_3 + e$.

Figure 6. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of O_3^- ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1 (with 4 ppm of H_2O) and the open circles stand for the case of dry air plasmas.

Some time after the pulse (time $\sim 100 \mu s$), the O_2^- ions at 63 and 68 km are produced mainly by the three-body electron attachment P57 : $e + O_2 + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + O_2$ closely followed by P27 : $O_3 + e \rightarrow O_2^- + O$ and P58 : $e + O_2 + N_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + N_2$, while at 78 km, the charge transfer between NO^- and O_2 dominates (P350 : $NO^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + NO$). At a time close to the O_2^- concentration peak (time $\sim 0.1 s$) at 63 km, the mechanisms controlling the generation of O_2^- at 63, 68 and 78 km are still the same.

The loss of O_2^- after the pulse at 63 and 68 km is mainly connected to the action of:

while at 78 km the most important O_2^- depletion reaction is P331 : $O_2^- + O \rightarrow O^- + O_2$ followed by P332 : $O_2^- + O \rightarrow O_3 + e$.

The time evolution of the concentration of O_3^- ions is shown in figure 6. In this case, we can see a slight influence of the air plasma humidity with respect to the dry case (plotted with open circles) in the rising region between $100 \mu s$ and $0.01 s$ at 68 and 78 km. The underlying reason for this behaviour is that, in a humid environment at say $1000 \mu s$, the dominant process controlling the production of O_3^- at 68 and 78 km is

while in the dry air plasma at the same heights (68 and 78 km), the process P325 : $O^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^- + O$ drives the generation of O_3^- . In the time domain ($\sim 0.1 s$) close to the maximum values of O_3^- at 63 and 68 km, the O_3^- production mechanism that prevails is the charge transfer P339 : $O_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O_3^-$. The disappearance of O_3^- is principally controlled by P349 : $O_3^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + O_2$ and also, but in a much less extension than P349, by P348 : $O_3^- + O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2 + e$.

Figure 7 shows the time-dependent behaviour of the ion CO_3^- . The concentration of this negative ion remains quite low during the pulse and then, at around $1000 \mu s$, its density begins to grow quite fast (at 63 and 68 km) and slow at 78 km due to the relatively high production rate associated with the process

Figure 7. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of CO_3^- ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 8. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of CO_4^- ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1 (with 4 ppm of H_2O) and the open circles stand for the case of dry air plasmas.

P349 : $O_3^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + O_2$ and that of the lower rate of P473 : $H_2O_4^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + H_2O + O_2$ counterbalanced by reactions such as P361 : $CO_3^- + O \rightarrow O_2^- + CO_2$ and P363 : $CO_3^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + CO_2$ with small removal rates.

The interesting case of CO_4^- is shown in figure 8. For CO_4^- , the most important production channel P474 : $H_2O_3^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_4^- + H_2O$ requires the presence of humidity in the environment. Reaction P474 is always important independently of the time domain analysed. When no water vapour is present, the only active channels are the loss ones P364 : $CO_4^- + O \rightarrow CO_3^- + O_2$ and P365 : $CO_4^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^- + CO_2 + O_2$.

3.4. Positive ions

In this section we describe the time-dependent behaviour of five out of the fifteen positive ions considered in this work. These five positive ions were chosen because of their representative value in providing an insight into the role played by positive ions in the non-equilibrium air plasma chemistry activated by sprites.

Figure 9. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of N_2O_2^+ ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

It can be seen in figure 9 that, during the electric pulse ($\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the number density of N_2O_2^+ exhibits the sharpest increase at the lowest altitude (63 km) and as the altitude increases its growth becomes smoother (as for 78 km). An interesting feature of N_2O_2^+ is the fact that it keeps relatively high values at 63 km (10^4 cm^{-3}) and 68 km (10^3 cm^{-3}) up to 500 s and even longer but, in any case, quite a long time after the pulse is over. Before the pulse finishes ($\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the main kinetic channel that produces N_2O_2^+ is

while, for times $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$, the main losses of N_2O_2^+ ions are due electron dissociative recombination mechanisms:

After the electric pulse ($> 5 \mu\text{s}$), N_2O_2^+ ions are mainly produced at 63 km through P308 : $\text{O}_4^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_2^+ + \text{O}_2$, while at 68 and 78 km, the dominant production mechanism of N_2O_2^+ ions is still P305 : $\text{O}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_2^+ + \text{N}_2$. However, after the pulse, the production rates become much lower in comparison with the very important loss processes P86 and P87 that now produce a decrease in the concentration of N_2O_2^+ .

The behaviour of N_2^+ is shown in figure 10 where it is clearly seen that, as in the case of N_2O_2^+ , the concentration of N_2^+ exhibits a sharp increase in the vicinity of the pulse end ($\sim 5 \mu\text{s}$). However, a distinct feature of N_2^+ with respect to N_2O_2^+ ions is that once N_2^+ has reached a maximum, its concentration decreases relatively fast (the lower the altitude, a quicker decline is predicted). The main production mechanisms before the pulse ends ($\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$) are

A less important production channel is the charge transfer process P270 : $\text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{N}_2^+$. The disappearance of N_2^+ is driven mainly by

Figure 10. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of N_2^+ ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 11. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of O_2^+ ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

When the pulse is over ($> 5 \mu\text{s}$), P270 : $\text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{N}_2^+$ becomes the major production channel of N_2^+ at 63, 68 and 78 km but closely followed by P478 : $\text{N}_2^+(B^2\Sigma_u^+) \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+ + h\nu_3$ at 68 km, while the second channel (much less important than P270) at 63 and 78 km is P181 : $\text{N}_2(a^1\Pi_g) + \text{N}_2(a^1\Pi_g) \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{N}_2^+ + e$. The losses of N_2^+ after the pulse remain controlled by P275 and P280.

The trend shown in figure 11 corresponds to the concentration of O_2^+ during and after the electric pulse. Its behaviour and values are quite similar to those exhibited by N_2^+ described above. Before the pulse is finished ($< 5 \mu\text{s}$), the reactions that contribute most to the production of O_2^+ are (in order of importance)

The main O_2^+ losses at 63 km are due to

Figure 12. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of NO^+ ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

while at 68 and 78 km the reaction P70 is replaced by P304 : $\text{O}_2^+ + \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_4^+ + \text{O}_2$. When the pulse is finished ($>5 \mu\text{s}$), the production of O_2^+ at 63 and 68 km is controlled by

while at 78 km the process P288 is replaced by P291 : $\text{O}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + \text{O}$. The O_2^+ removal after the pulse is driven by the same mechanisms that control it during the pulse.

The plots shown in figure 12 are related to the time-dependent solution of NO^+ for the three altitudes considered. The maximum values reached by the concentration of NO^+ are smaller than those of N_2O_2^+ , N_2^+ and O_2^+ . Its highest value is always exhibited for the three altitudes considered right after the end of the pulse. The sudden rise in the NO^+ density at the end of the pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$) is mainly due to the action of

followed by less important mechanisms such as P300 : $\text{O}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{NO}$ at 63 km, P34 : $\text{NO} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + 2\text{e}$ at 68 km and P274 : $\text{N}_2^+ + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{N}$ at 78 km. When the electric pulse is over ($>5 \mu\text{s}$), the production channels of NO^+ at 63 km (up to $t \sim 0.1 \text{ ms}$) are

while at 68 km P300 replaces P274 after P290 and, at 78 km, P290 : $\text{O}^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{N}$ is the most important reactions producing NO^+ . However, for times $> 5 \mu\text{s}$, all the latter mechanisms (P274, P300 and P290) are very soon superseded by a number of loss channels that become dominant as

Finally, we see in figure 13 how the concentration of Ar^+ ions changes with the altitude before and after the electric pulse. This ion is produced by electron-impact ionization of Ar atoms P2 : $\text{Ar} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ + 2\text{e}$, while the disappearance of Ar^+ is due to the combined effect of the charge transfer mechanisms P270 : $\text{Ar}^+ + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{N}_2^+$ and P271 : $\text{Ar}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{O}_2^+$.

Figure 13. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of Ar^+ ions under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 14. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of NO under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1 (with 4 ppm of H_2O) and the open circles stand for the case of dry air plasmas.

3.5. Ground and excited neutrals

In this section we discuss the values and trends exhibited by a number of ground and excited neutrals. We will start by analysing the behaviour of the nitride oxides NO , NO_2 and NO_3 .

The trends of NO at the different altitudes studied are shown in figure 14. While NO at 63 and 68 km exhibits a growth in the time domain after the pulse, at 78 km its concentration remains constant or exhibits a slight increase or decrease, both less than a factor of 2, depending on whether humid air (dotted line) or dry air (open circles) is studied. We have considered 64 production mechanisms and 44 removal channels of NO . Right before the end of the electric pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$), the main reactions (in order of importance) contributing to the formation of NO at 63 km are

while at 68 km, the third process P91 is replaced by P350 : $\text{NO}^- + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{NO}$, and P91 becomes the fourth. At 78 km,

the dominant reaction is P172 followed by P350. The principal losses of NO before the end of the electric pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$) at 63 km are due to

while, at 68 km, P239 is replaced by P411 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$. However, at 78 km, P113 : $\text{O} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2$, P411 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$ and P414 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{HNO}$ become the first, second and third main loss channels, respectively. At time ~ 0.01 s, the first and second gain channels of NO at 63 and 68 km are the same as before the end of the pulse. The loss of NO at about 0.01 s at all the altitudes studied is mainly due to processes P113 and P115 : $\text{N} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}$ and P411 (at 78 km). At time ~ 100 s, the production of NO at 63 km is due to

However, at 68 km, P172 becomes the second most important process after P91, and P417 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}$ becomes the third. At 78 km, P172 replaces P91, P109 remains the second and P373 : $\text{H} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{OH}$ becomes the third most important production reaction of NO. The latter explains why, at 78 km from approximately $t \sim 200$ s, a slight difference is exhibited between the higher NO density predicted in humid air (where P373 is active) and the slightly lower NO concentration in dry air (where P373 is not present). The loss of NO at about 100 s at all the altitudes studied is mainly due to processes P113 and P115 : $\text{N} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}$.

One of the most remarkable features visible in figure 14 is the fact that NO increases by two orders of magnitude at 63 km, one order of magnitude at 68 km and, at 78 km, our model predicts an almost constant concentration of NO after the passage of a single sprite. Therefore, it seems that, according to the present model, the impact of the chemical activity associated with a single sprite event in NO is relatively important at 63 and 68 km.

The time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) is presented in figure 15. It can be seen that NO_2 remains constant up to ~ 0.01 s (at 78 and 63 km) and ~ 100 s (at 68 km) where it exhibits a sharp increase at 78 km of about three orders of magnitude and smoother growths at 63 km (about two orders of magnitude) and 68 km (one order of magnitude), respectively. In order to trace the roots of this behaviour, we have considered 34 different source terms for NO_2 and 40 removal channels. At time ~ 0.01 s, the production of NO_2 at 63 km is controlled by

But at 68 and 78 km, P337 is replaced by P411 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$. The main NO_2 losses at ~ 0.01 s and 63 km are

Figure 15. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of NO_2 under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

associated with

While at 68 km, P95 is replaced by P62 : $\text{e} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^-$. At time ~ 100 s, the dominant source term of NO_2 is P113 for the three altitudes considered and followed in importance by P116 (at 63 km) and P411 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$ (for 68 and 78 km). The losses of NO_2 at ~ 100 s are the same as those described for time ~ 0.01 s.

We can see in figure 16 that NO_3 exhibits a flat shape up to ~ 100 s (for 63 and 68 km) and 10 s (for 78 km) where it starts to increase. The growth of NO_3 is of about two orders of magnitude at 78 and 68 km and a factor of 30 for 63 km. The reactions underlying the increase in NO_3 at 63 and 68 km are

while at 78 km, the production of NO_3 is due to

The losses are negligible and only P107 : $\text{O} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2$ is of marginal importance.

The behaviour of $\text{O}(\text{D})$ is shown in figure 17 where it can be seen that, depending on the altitude, the concentration of $\text{O}(\text{D})$ sharply increases by more than seven orders of magnitude in less than $5 \mu\text{s}$ (at 63 km), it exhibits a moderate growth at 68 km in two successive steps before $5 \mu\text{s}$ and between $5 \mu\text{s}$ and 1 s and, at 78 km, $\text{O}(\text{D})$ remains constant up to 1000 s where it starts to decrease slightly. We have considered 99 source terms for $\text{O}(\text{D})$ and 46 loss mechanisms. The main reactions contributing to the production of $\text{O}(\text{D})$ before the end of the pulse ($<5 \mu\text{s}$) at all the altitudes investigated are

Figure 16. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of NO_3 under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 17. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

While the losses at 63 km are controlled by

But, at 68 km, P122 becomes the dominant removal process followed by P121 and P410 : $\text{HO}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2$. However, at 78 km, P113 : $\text{O} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2$ is the dominant loss followed by P410. At time ~ 0.01 s, the production of $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ at 63 km is due to

while, at 68 km, P219 is replaced by P197 : $\text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}$ and, at 78 km, P50 and P219 are substituted by P196 : $\text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + 2\text{O}$ and P197, respectively. The main $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ loss channels at about 0.01 s at 63 and 68 km are P122 followed by P121. At 78 km, P113 is the main loss

Figure 18. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

reaction followed by P410. Finally, at time ~ 500 s, $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ is mainly produced at 63 and 68 km by

but, at 78 km, the above reactions are replaced by P375 : $\text{H} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}$, P172 : $\text{N}(^2\text{D}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}$ and P387 : $\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}$. The losses of $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ at time ~ 500 s and 63 and 68 km are dominated by P122 : $\text{O} + \text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + \text{N}_2$ followed by P113 : $\text{O} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2$ (at 63 km) and P121 : $\text{O} + \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + \text{O}_2$ (at 68 km). In the case of 78 km, the losses of $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ are first due to P388 : $\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}$ followed by P410.

An interesting feature of the behaviour of $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ is that, at 63 and 68 km, the impact of a single sprite event produces a significant increase in its background value that is kept constant for a long time up to about 500 s and then decreases. At 78 km, the impact of the single sprite on the $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ concentration is almost negligible.

The concentration of ground nitrogen atoms $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ is shown in figure 18, where it can be clearly seen that as the altitude decreases (from 78 to 63 km) the $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ growth becomes sharper before the end of the electric pulse ($< 5 \mu\text{s}$). After the pulse, there is a second growth stage at 68 and 78 km while $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ remains constant up to about 100 s when it goes down very fast. We have considered 38 source terms for $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ and 20 loss mechanisms. Before the pulse is over ($< 5 \mu\text{s}$), the main production of $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ is electron-impact dissociation and electron-driven dissociative excitation:

and the losses of $\text{N}(^4\text{S})$ at 63 and 68 km are controlled by

and by P406 : $\text{OH} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{H}$ at 78 km, followed by P200 : $\text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{N}(^2\text{P})$ (at 63 km) and P115 : $\text{N} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}$ (at 68 and 78 km). At time ~ 0.01 s,

Figure 19. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of Ar (3P) under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

the main production channel of N (4S) is still the electron-impact dissociative excitation P19 but closely followed by the collisional de-excitation process P174 : $N(^2D) + N_2 \rightarrow N + N_2$. The losses of N (4S) around 0.01 s are still controlled by P91 for 63 and 68 km and by P406 for 78 km. Finally, around 100 s, N (4S) goes down due to the same loss mechanisms acting at 0.01 s but that now, at ~ 100 s, have become dominant.

The time evolution of the concentration of ground state argon atoms Ar is shown in figure 19 where a sharp rise in a short time interval at 63 and 68 km is seen. The Ar concentration reaches its maximum value right at the end of the pulse originating the sprite. Right after the pulse, the population of Ar decreases almost as fast as it increased. Before the end of the electric pulse ($< 5 \mu s$), the production of Ar is controlled by collisional de-excitation and charge transfer mechanisms:

and the losses are due to electron-impact ionization and excitation of argon atoms:

After the pulse, the production of ground state argon atoms is driven by P271 closely followed by P270 and then (with smaller rates) P167 and P165. The losses after the pulse are now controlled by P1.

Among the hydrated neutrals, the hydroxyl molecule OH can be of primary importance since it participates in one of the catalytic cycles contributing to the removal of O_3 . The trend exhibited by the concentration of OH at different altitudes as a consequence of a single sprite event is shown in figure 20. In order to evaluate the dominant kinetic mechanism, we have considered 33 source terms and 23 loss channels of this molecular species. We see in figure 19 that the OH density

Figure 20. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of OH under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 21. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

remains constant up to around 10 s (at 78 km), ~ 100 s (at 68 km) and ~ 0.01 s (at 63 km). At 63 and 68 km, the main production mechanisms of OH around 0.01 s are

while, at 78 km, the first channel contributing to OH is P410 and the second is P374 : $H + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + OH$. The losses of OH at ~ 0.01 s are almost negligible (when compared with the gain processes at this time); however, the leading loss channels are P388 : $OH + O \rightarrow O_2 + H$ (at 63 and 78 km) and P407 : $OH + CO \rightarrow H + CO_2$ (at 68 km). Later on, at ~ 500 s, the dominant reactions contributing to the formation of the hydroxyl molecule OH at the three heights investigated are first P410 and P374 in the second place, both of which have already been mentioned before. The main losses at ~ 500 s are the same as those found in earlier times (~ 0.01 s) for 63 km, 78 km and 68 km, respectively.

In figures 21–25, we turn our attention to the time-dependent behaviour of the concentrations of a number of important electronically and vibrationally excited neutrals. In particular, we have selected here the species $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$,

$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$, $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$, $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ and the vibrationally excited $N_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+ (v = 1, \dots, v = 8))$ shown in figure 24. We can see in figure 21 that a visible feature in the trend followed by $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ is that although the difference between its initial values at 63 and 78 km is less than one order of magnitude, the impact of a single sprite event creates a considerable difference between them right after the pulse. This means that, after a sharp increase during the pulse duration, the concentration of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ at 63 km is almost four orders of magnitude higher than at 78 km. The behaviour of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ at 68 km is in between that exhibited at 63 and 78 km. After a relatively long plateau region that lasts up to one millisecond (for 63 and 68 km) and almost 0.01 s for 78 km, the number density of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ goes down abruptly. So, before the end of the electric pulse ($<5 \mu s$), the main pumping reactions of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ at 63 and 68 km are electron-impact excitation closely followed by radiative and collisional de-excitation from $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$:

while at 78 km, P476 dominates followed by P11 and P192. The loss channels before $5 \mu s$ are, for all the altitudes analysed, three different collisional de-excitation channels that are (in order of importance)

followed by a process with a much weaker rate than that of P196 and P197:

Right in the middle of the plateau region (at about 0.1 ms), the electron-driven excitation process P11 is not important anymore and P476 and P192 become the dominant source terms contributing to $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ at the three altitudes considered. The losses at this time (0.1 ms) are controlled by the same mechanisms (and order of importance) as during the pulse. Finally, at around 5 ms, the production channels of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ are negligible while the reactions P196, P197 and P195 reach high rates of around $10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, which produce a quick decay of the $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$ concentration at 63 km, 68 km and a not so abrupt decrease at 78 km.

The concentration profiles of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ at different altitudes represented as a function of time are shown in figure 22. We see again a sharp rise during the electric pulse and a subsequent decay where the plateau regions are now much shorter than in the case of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$. The maximum values of the $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ concentrations are reached right at the end of the pulse ($\sim 5 \mu s$), with 63 km the altitude with the greatest predicted $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ values. It is also interesting to note that, at a certain altitude, the transient of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ is faster (in approximately one order of magnitude) than that of $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$. Before the end of the electric pulse ($<5 \mu s$), the main production channels of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ at 63, 68 and 78 km

Figure 22. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 23. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $O_2(a'^1\Delta_g)$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

are electron-impact excitation of ground state N_2 followed by the collisional de-excitation of $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$:

where, in general, the rate of P190 is much lower (about two orders of magnitude) than that of P13. The loss channels of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ before $5 \mu s$ are, for all the altitudes analysed, three different collisional de-excitation channels that are (in order of importance)

and the almost negligible (in comparison with P186 and P185)

When the pulse is over (time $> 5 \mu s$), the process P13 is still of some importance until 0.1 ms at 63 km, while all the gain reactions of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$ (including P13) become unimportant when compared with the removal mechanism that around this time (~ 0.1 ms) starts to control the kinetics of $N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-)$.

We have represented in figures 23 and 24 the profiles corresponding to the concentrations of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ and

Figure 24. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$, respectively. A common feature in both cases is the long transient that they exhibit, the longest one being associated with $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$. Both species keep having high values for quite a long time period after the pulse is off and, in the case of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$, it even exhibits a moderate growth before its final decay. After the pulse, the highest values ($\sim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ and $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ take place at 63 km, while their lowest values ($\sim 10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) are predicted to occur at 78 km. Before the electric pulse finishes ($< 5 \mu\text{s}$), the production of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ is controlled by

while the removal of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ at 63 and 68 km is mainly due to

with the latter being replaced by $\text{P233} : O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + NO \rightarrow NO + O_2$ at 78 km.

At around 10 ms, when a second smoother (than the first sharp rise during the pulse time) growth takes place, the reactions controlling the generation of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ at 63 km are mainly

while at 68 and 78 km P22 is the most important followed by P234. The losses of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ at all the altitudes around 10 ms are due to the same channels mentioned above for times prior to the end of the pulse. From this moment on, these loss mechanisms keep growing so that they become dominant (over the gain channels) and produce a visible decrease in $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ from about 500 s.

The behaviour of the concentration of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ is shown in figure 24. The trend is a bit different with regard to that

Figure 25. Time-dependent behaviour of the concentration of the first eight vibrationally excited levels of the ground electronic state of N_2 under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$ since there is no further growth starting in the plateau region (as in the case of $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$). Before the electric pulse finishes ($< 5 \mu\text{s}$), the production of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ is controlled by

and the losses are driven by $\text{P234} : O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + N_2 \rightarrow O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + N_2$.

At around 10 ms, in the middle of the plateau, the main production mechanism of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ is P205 and the losses at 63 km are due to P234 closely followed by P237 and by P436 : $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O$ (at 68 and 78 km). At 0.1 s, the rates of the latter removal mechanisms of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ become much higher than those of the production reactions and, consequently, the density of $O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$ starts to decrease.

Finally, we have included in figure 25 the time-dependent behaviour of the first eight vibrationally excited levels of the ground electronic state of N_2 . The sharp increase during the electric pulse is a common feature of the eight vibrational levels. During the pulse (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the vibrational levels v_i are populated due to the electron-impact vibrational excitation mechanism of the type $\text{P3} : N_2 + e \leftrightarrow N_2(v_i) + e$; ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) and where superelastic collisions are also considered. The loss channels of levels v_1 and v_j ($j = 2, \dots, 8$) are not exactly the same because, for v_1 , the dominant loss reactions are

followed by $\text{P4} : N_2(v_1) + e \leftrightarrow N_2(v_2) + e$, which has a much lower rate than P156. However, for v_j ($j = 2, \dots, 8$), the main loss channels are $N_2(v_i) + e \leftrightarrow N_2(v_{i+1}) + e$ closely followed by vibrational-translational processes of the form $N_2(v_{i+1}) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_i) + N_2$.

When the pulse is over (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), the P3 reaction controlling the production of $N_2(v_1)$ is very closely followed by the reaction $\text{P157} : N_2 + CO_2(001) \rightarrow CO_2 + N_2(v_1)$

so that a further increase in $N_2(v_1)$ is visible. However, P157 does not play any role in generating $N_2(v_i)$ ($i = 2, \dots, 8$) states whose production by $N_2(v_{i+1}) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_i) + N_2$ and loss by $N_2(v_i) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_{i-1}) + N_2$ are balanced and result in the flat trend exhibited by the concentrations of $N_2(v_i)$ ($i = 2, \dots, 8$) after the pulse. At around 10 s, the only important production channel of $N_2(v_1)$ is P157, but its losses due to P156 : $N_2(v_1) + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_2(001) + N_2$ are so high as to produce the lowering of the concentration of $N_2(v_1)$. In a first approximation, the vibrational kinetics of sprite plasmas can be studied by neglecting higher order vibrational–vibrational processes such as, $N(v_3) + N(v_4)$ or $N(v_2) + N(v_5)$.

3.6. Visible, ultraviolet and infrared optical emissions

In this section, we discuss the results of the present kinetic model in connection with the optical emissions associated with the atomic, ionic and molecular species that are excited as a consequence of the passage of a fast electric pulse through the Earth mesosphere. The radiative de-excitation of the excited species causes the appearance of optical flashes in different regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. The goal here is to provide some preliminary insight about the underlying kinetic processes of some of the most important UV, visible and IR emissions from sprite streamer heads. There have been several reports on the identification of some of these emissions in the spectra recorded from sprites; however, there are very few works trying to establish quantitative relationships between the sprite chemical activity and their light emissions. In addition, we have included the impact of the altitude (63, 68 and 78 km) on the relative importance of the different time-dependent optical emissions analysed. So far, similar analyses were only undertaken at around 70 km high. In all the cases we have evaluated the emission brightness (EB), measured in Rayleighs (R), through the classical expression [120]

$$EB(R) = 10^{-6} \int_L V(l) dl \quad (7)$$

valid for the optically thin case and where $V(l)$ is the so-called volume emission rate (in photons $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$) and the integral is taken along the line of sight through the emission volume over an effective column length L . In general, following [57], the observations are assumed to be made perpendicularly to the streamer axis and, consequently, the magnitude L refers to the diameter of the ‘trailing column’ of the streamer. We have assumed in all the calculations that L , the emission column length, is equal to ~ 25 m as in [57]. The volume emission rate $V(l)$ is equal to the product $A_k (\text{s}^{-1}) \times N_k (\text{cm}^{-3})$, that is, the product of the Einstein coefficients (A_k) for spontaneous emission and the concentration (N_k) of the emitting excited state k .

3.7. Visible emissions.

In the present model, the visible transitions evaluated are associated with processes P476 (N_2 first negative system) producing the characteristic red colour of sprite spectral emissions, P477 (N_2 second positive system) and P478 (N_2^+

Figure 26. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $2PN_2$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

first negative band) both contributing to the observed bluish sprite emission, P484 and P485. It is important to note that the transition probabilities considered for the $1PN_2$, $2PN_2$ and the N_2^+ 1NG systems are all approximate averages over the vibrational of the initial (emitting) electronic state [69]. The same transition probability as for the ground vibrational level has been assumed for all vibrational levels of the corresponding emitting electronic states ($B^3\Pi_g$ and $C^3\Pi_u$ of N_2) because, in general, the $v' = 0$ level has the maximum population and the transition probabilities of many electronic transitions and, in particular, of those involving $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$ and $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$ are usually weakly dependent on the v' levels [69].

The time-dependent emission brightness due to the second positive band system of N_2 ($2PN_2$) is shown in figure 26. The maximum value of the brightness of $2PN_2$ is reached right at the end of the pulse at $5 \mu\text{s}$ for the three altitudes studied. The highest value (~ 20 GR) is found at 63 km and the lowest (~ 1 MR) at 78 km, while at 68 km it reaches 100 MR. The emission brightness decays quite fast when the electric pulse is off. We have taken into consideration two production and three loss mechanisms underlying the concentration of $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$. During the pulse (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$ is produced mainly by

followed by P166 : $Ar(^3P_2) + N_2 \rightarrow Ar + N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$ with a reaction rate much weaker than that of P15. The losses of $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$ before the pulse is off are driven by its radiative de-excitation:

followed by weaker quenching reactions with O_2 and N_2 :

When the pulse is over (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), P166 becomes the dominant channel generating $N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$ followed by the much

Figure 27. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of 1PN₂ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

less important P15. The losses at this time are the same processes as during the pulse but, now, the removal reactions P477, P191 and P190 have much greater rates than those of the production processes. The relative importance of the above processes is the same for the three heights considered. It will be like this hereafter if no comment is specifically made.

The bluish radiative emission of the first positive band system of N₂ (1PN₂) is represented in figure 27. The trend followed is similar to that of 2PN₂ but with two distinct features which are that the transient exhibited by the emission brightness of 1PN₂ is longer than that of 2PN₂ and that the 1PN₂ brightness reaches significantly lower values than the one of 2PN₂. Before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), N₂(B³Π_g) is generated by radiative de-excitation of N₂(C³Π_u) represented by process P477 closely followed by reaction P14 (electron-impact excitation of ground N₂). The collisional de-excitation P185 : N₂(a'¹Σ_u⁻) + N₂ → N₂(B³Π_g) + N₂ is of secondary importance (in comparison with P477 and P14) in producing N₂(C³Π_u). The losses of N₂(B³Π_g) before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$) at 63 km are controlled (in order of importance) by

and by the weaker process P193 : N₂(B³Π_g) + N₂ → 2N₂. However, at 68 and 78 km, P476 becomes the first removal process of N₂(B³Π_g) followed by P194.

Once the pulse is over (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), at 63 km, P185 : N₂(a'¹Σ_u⁻) + N₂ → N₂(B³Π_g) + N₂ becomes the most important production channel of N₂(B³Π_g) followed by the radiative decay of N₂(C³Π_u(v' = 0, 1, 1, 2)) (P477). At 68 and 78 km, the dominant source terms of N₂(B³Π_g) are P477 first and then P185. The losses at this time are the same processes as during the pulse.

The emission brightness of the violet light ($\sim 427 \text{ nm}$) coming from the radiative de-excitation of the first negative

Figure 28. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of the first negative band system of N₂⁺ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 29. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of O(¹D) under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

band system of N₂⁺ is shown in figure 28. The maximum brightness is reached right at the end of the electric pulse ($\sim 5 \mu\text{s}$) and it occurs at 63 km ($\sim 1 \text{ GR}$), followed by the highest value predicted for 68 km ($\sim 1 \text{ MR}$) and, finally, for the lowest brightness ($\sim 10 \text{ kR}$) taking place at 78 km. The generation of N₂⁺(B²Σ_u⁺) is due to the electron-impact reaction P17 : N₂ + e → N₂⁺(B²Σ_u⁺) + 2e which, when the pulse is over, becomes negligible in comparison with the loss of N₂⁺(B²Σ_u⁺) due to its radiative decay P478 : N₂⁺(B²Σ_u⁺(v' = 0)) → N₂⁺(X³Σ_g⁺(v'' = 1)) + hν₃. It is interesting to note that, for the three altitudes evaluated, the transients of the first negative band system of N₂⁺ are quite short lasting around 10 μs and, therefore, they will not be easy to detect.

The optical emission brightnesses from the excited atomic oxygen O(¹D) and O(¹S) are shown in figures 29 and 30, respectively. The wavelengths associated with radiative decays of O(¹D) and O(¹S) are, respectively, 630.0 nm (reddish) and 557.7 nm (green) and, therefore, they are both within the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum. The emission brightnesses of O(¹D) (see figure 28) and O(¹S) (see figure 30) reach their maximum values right at the end of the pulse (time = 5 μs) and they both exhibit similar values for the

Figure 30. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $O(^1S)$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

three altitudes investigated. However, one distinct feature among them is that while the brightness of $O(^1D)$ starts to decrease immediately after the pulse is off, the one of $O(^1S)$ remains in its highest value exhibiting a long plateau that lasts up to ~ 10 ms (for 63 and 68 km) and 0.1 s (for 78 km). We have considered twelve production mechanisms for $O(^1D)$ and three for $O(^1S)$. For taking into consideration the removal of $O(^1D)$ and $O(^1S)$, we have included in the model eighteen and fourteen loss channels, respectively. The production of $O(^1D)$ during the pulse (time $\leq 5 \mu s$) at 63 and 68 km is driven mainly by

followed by the less important

while, at 78 km, P29 becomes the second followed by P37.

The losses of $O(^1D)$ before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu s$) are driven mainly by

followed in importance by

with the radiative decay $P484 : O(^1D) \rightarrow O + h\nu_9$ being quite unimportant in comparison with the above-mentioned collisional deactivation mechanisms of $O(^1D)$.

When the electric pulse is off (time $> 5 \mu s$), the production processes of $O(^1D)$ at 63 and 68 km are now controlled by two-body electron-impact recombination processes with O_2^+ and $O(^1S)$ deactivation by spontaneous radiative emission and quenching by O_3 :

Figure 31. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of the N_2 Lyman–Birge–Hopfield (LBH) under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

However, at 78 km, the main production channels of $O(^1D)$ are P485 and P70 followed by P71 and P224. The loss reactions (and relative importance) of $O(^1D)$ for times $> 5 \mu s$ are the same as those acting during the pulse.

According to our model, the most important microscopic mechanism producing the excited state $O(^1S)$ while the pulse is on (time $\leq 5 \mu s$) is

and the losses of $O(^1S)$ at 63 and 68 km are principally caused by

while, at 78 km, P485 becomes the second most important removal reaction of $O(^1S)$ after P219.

When the electric pulse is off (time $> 5 \mu s$), the dominant production process leading to $O(^1S)$ at 63 km is

At 68 km, the most important production channels of $O(^1S)$ are first P191 : $N_2(C^3\Pi_u) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + O + O(^1S)$ followed by P199 but, at 78 km, P199 is again the dominant process now followed by P191. The losses of $O(^1S)$ after the pulse (time $> 5 \mu s$) are the same as those acting during the pulse.

3.7.1. Ultraviolet emissions. The emission brightness of the UV emission associated with the N_2 Lyman–Birge–Hopfield (LBH) is represented in figure 31. The only previous work including a quantitative discussion on the molecular nitrogen LBH band associated with sprite streamers was published in 2005 [47] but only one altitude (70 km) was investigated. We see in figure 31 that the greatest LBH emission brightness is achieved at 63 km (~ 10 MR) followed by the one at 68 km (~ 1 MR) and the lowest value (~ 5 kR) at 78 km. In general, it

Figure 32. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of the NO- γ band under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

is predicted that the higher the altitude, the lower the emission brightness. Also, the associated transients are longer for higher altitudes (up to about 10 ms for 78 km). The only considered production channel of $N_2(a^1\Pi_g)$ is

while the loss of $N_2(a^1\Pi_g)$ is mainly due to collisional de-excitation (quenching) and radiative decay processes such as:

The above removal processes are followed by much weaker quenching reactions of $N_2(a^1\Pi_g)$ by H_2O and NO :

and by the ionization process:

The LBH emission brightness shown in figure 4(b) of [47] corresponding to a sprite streamer taking place at an altitude of 70 km, a time = 95 μ s and within a strong field ($\sim E_k$, where E_k is the atmosphere breakdown field) is in reasonable agreement with the value (~ 1 MR) of the 68 km LBH emission brightness shown in figure 31 of this paper.

In addition to the LBH emissions from sprites, another possibly important UV emission that could play a distinct role in identifying the sprite chemical activity in the Earth mesosphere is that associated with the radiative decay (the so-called NO- γ band emissions) of the electronically excited NO ($A^2\Sigma^+$) towards its ground electronic state NO ($X^2\Pi_r$). We have represented in figure 32 the predicted NO- γ band emission brightness associated with a single sprite even taking place at three different altitudes (63, 68 and 78 km). We can see that the initial background values between the lowest altitude (63 km) and the highest one (78 km) are hardly one

order of magnitude. The NO- γ band emissions together with the possible excitation mechanisms of NO ($A^2\Sigma^+$) have been studied in detail in a number of papers (see, for instance, [96, 121–124]). However, because of the complexity of the air plasma (and/or N_2 – O_2 with NO additions) discharges, most of the experimental studies on the kinetics of these plasmas have been performed under afterglow conditions in pulsed radio-frequency (RF) and positive corona discharges [121–124]. In particular, an original and remarkable observation reported in 1996 [121] mentioned that, in afterglow conditions, electron-impact excitation of NO($A^2\Sigma^+$) from its ground state NO($X^2\Pi_r$) (P35 : $NO + e \rightarrow NO(A^2\Sigma^+) + e$) was negligible when compared with the resonant energy transfer process P239 : $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + NO(A^2\Sigma^+)$.

In spite of the above studies, little has been investigated about the plasma kinetics underlying the NO- γ band emissions in sprite conditions. The latter are, in general, quite different from the controlled laboratory environments where previous experiments have been carried out. To our knowledge, there is only one recent work published in 2007 where the NO- γ band emissions from sprites have been modelled [48]. However, this model is based on a relatively simple kinetic scheme and makes some assumptions in connection with dominant microscopic processes that, although proved to be true in laboratory environments, are not necessarily completely right in sprite air plasma environments. For instance, in the chemical model presented in [48], it is assumed (based on [124]) that the direct electron-impact excitation of NO($A^2\Sigma^+$) from its ground state is negligible in comparison with the resonant energy transfer process P239 mentioned above. The latter is only partially right according to the findings of this work. The main reason sustaining this has its roots in the fact that the electron distribution function in the transitory sprite air plasma is non-Maxwellian (see figure 3(a)). The latter has, while the pulse is on, a tremendous impact on the relative importance of the electron rate coefficients and, consequently, on the relative importance of different kinetics channels. In this regard, the model presented in [48] assumes the rate coefficient calculated in [124] for the direct electron-impact excitation of NO($A^2\Sigma^+$) from NO($X^2\Pi_r$). However, in [124] this rate is obtained by assuming a Maxwellian electron distribution function. The latter assumption is understandable since it considerably simplifies the problem but it is far from being realistic in sprite plasma environments. The value obtained in [124] (see figure 9 in [124]) for the electron-impact excitation of NO($A^2\Sigma^+$) for $T_e^{\max} \sim 5$ eV (or mean energy of ~ 7.5 eV) is $k^{\max} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The latter value is derived without specifying its range of validity, that is, whether it applies to conditions when the pulsed discharge is on or after it is over (afterglow). In our case, we have found that during the discharge (electric pulse on, time $\leq 5 \mu$ s), the mean electron energy is 7.86 eV and the corresponding non-Maxwellian rate coefficient for the direct electron-impact excitation of NO($A^2\Sigma^+$) from NO($X^2\Pi_r$) is $k^{\text{No-Max}} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, that is, it is two orders of magnitude higher than that calculated in [124] and later on used in [48]. After the pulse (time $> 5 \mu$ s), we have obtained that $k^{\text{No-Max}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, that is, considerably lower than the $k^{\text{No-Max}} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$

valid while the pulse is acting. The consequences of all this is that before the end of the pulse (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the dominant production reaction of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ is

followed by the resonant energy transfer process (with a rate coefficient of two orders of magnitude lower than that of P35):

The losses of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ before the electric pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$) are mainly due to its radiative decay:

followed by the less important quenching of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ by O_2 :

and by the even less noticeable quenching of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ by N_2 :

Right after the pulse (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), the resonant energy transfer process P239 becomes the dominant production reaction of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ while the electron-impact excitation of $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ becomes negligible (with the rate coefficients many orders of magnitude smaller than that of P239). The losses at this time remain controlled by the radiative de-excitation P479 followed by the less important quenching reactions P240 and P241.

We see in figure 32 that the emission brightness associated with $\text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ radiative decay goes down very quickly from $\sim 5 \times 10^3 \text{ R}$ (at 78 km) and $\sim 5 \times 10^3 \text{ R}$ (at 63 km) to less than $\sim 10^{-1} \text{ R}$ at about 1 ms (for 78 and 68 km) and $\sim 10^1 \text{ R}$ (for 63 km). If we compare the LBH emission brightness (shown in figure 31) with that of the $\text{NO}-\gamma$ band (presented in figure 32), it can be clearly seen that the LBH brightness is considerably higher than that of the $\text{NO}-\gamma$ band in the sprite streamer head discussed here.

3.7.2. Infrared emissions. To finish this section, we show in figures 33–36 the different IR emissions as predicted by the present sprite kinetic model due to the radiative decay of the previously excited CO_2 molecules due to sprite chemical activity in the mesosphere. To the author's knowledge, only two previous works published a decade ago [44, 45] have partially investigated the possible existence of IR emissions from sprite streamers that could be seen from the outer space. The emission brightness associated with the radiative transition of the wavelength $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ from the vibrationally excited $\text{CO}_2(001)$ is shown in figure 33. It can be seen that, right at the end of the electric pulse at 63 and 68 km, the $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ emission brightness exhibits an abrupt increase that is much smoother at 78 km. However, the maximum values are reached (for the three altitudes) well after the pulse is over since it is found at $\sim 1 \text{ ms}$ (63 km) and $\sim 10 \text{ ms}$ (68 and 78 km). It is also worth mentioning that the $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ emission brightness shows a considerable increase above its background levels. The latter enhancements range, as seen in figure 33, between

Figure 33. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $\text{CO}_2(001) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2(000)$ at $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

about three orders of magnitude (at 78 km) and more than six orders of magnitude (for 63 km). Another interesting feature is that the transients last for quite a long time with a relatively high brightness of above 10^5 R for up to 10 ms (at 63 km), above 10^5 R up to 10 s (at 63 km) and above 10^4 R up to 10 ms (at 68 km).

Before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the production of $\text{CO}_2(001)$ is driven by direct electron-impact vibrational excitation and by near-resonant exchange of vibrational energy between N_2 and CO_2 :

followed by the vibrational–vibrational process that transfers the energy stored in the previously electronically excited $\text{N}_2(v_1)$ to CO_2 :

The losses of $\text{CO}_2(001)$, at 63 and 68 km, before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$) are due to

closely followed by

and the much weaker radiative decay P480 : $\text{CO}_2(001) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2(100) + h\nu_5$ leading to the $9.4 \mu\text{m}$ IR emission from $\text{CO}_2(001)$. At 78 km high, the process P481 becomes more important than P157.

After the pulse (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), $\text{CO}_2(001)$ is mainly produced by the process P156, that is, by near-resonant exchange of vibrational energy between N_2 and CO_2 that is much more important than the direct electron-impact excitation of $\text{CO}_2(001)$ (process P44) at 63 and 68 km but not at 78 km. When the pulse is over, the loss mechanisms of $\text{CO}_2(001)$ are the same as described above for time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$.

The emission brightness due to the radiative decay of $\text{CO}_2(001)$ towards $\text{CO}_2(100)$ emitting light of $9.4 \mu\text{m}$ is shown in figure 34, where we can see that its value is much smaller than the brightness of the $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ transition.

The emission brightness associated to the $13.9 \mu\text{m}$ radiative transition between $\text{CO}_2(100)$ and $\text{CO}_2(010)$ is

Figure 34. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $\text{CO}_2(001) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2(100)$ – $9.4 \mu\text{m}$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

Figure 35. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $\text{CO}_2(100) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2(010)$ – $13.9 \mu\text{m}$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

shown in figure 35 where we can see that its maximum values of about 10^6 R , 10^4 R and 10^2 R are reached at $\sim 100 \text{ ms}$ (63 km), 500 ms (68 km) and 1 s (78 km), respectively. Before the pulse is off (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$), the production of $\text{CO}_2(100)$ is controlled by:

followed by the less important

while the removal of $\text{CO}_2(100)$ is due to its radiative decay:

After the pulse (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), $\text{CO}_2(100)$ is mainly produced at 63 and 68 km by process P480 followed by P43. At 78 km, P43 comes first followed by P480. The loss of $\text{CO}_2(100)$ is still dominated by P482 after the pulse is off.

Finally, figure 36 shows the time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness related to the $14.9 \mu\text{m}$ transition. For the three altitudes evaluated, its maximum is found around 1 s , that is, quite after the electric pulse has finished. The main

Figure 36. Time-dependent behaviour of the emission brightness of $\text{CO}_2(010) \rightarrow \text{CO}_2(000)$ – $14.9 \mu\text{m}$ under the conditions of a single sprite event for three different altitudes. The lines indicate the same as in figure 1.

channel contributing to the production of $\text{CO}_2(010)$ before the pulse is over (time $\leq 5 \mu\text{s}$) is

followed by the almost negligible direct electron-impact excitation:

while $\text{CO}_2(010)$ is mainly lost due to its radiative decay:

After the pulse (time $> 5 \mu\text{s}$), the $\text{CO}_2(010)$ emission brightness keeps growing up to about 1 s when the losses due to P483 become dominant and produce its decline and further disappearance at about 10 s .

The emission brightness associated with the $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ is clearly the strongest out of the four IR CO_2 transitions considered here since its spontaneous emission coefficient is also the highest one (450 s^{-1}). The predicted brightness for the $4.26 \mu\text{m}$ emission leads one to think that, perhaps, it could be observed from outer space.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have tried to evaluate the local chemical impact of single sprite events on the Earth mesosphere at three different altitudes (63, 68 and 78 km). In order to do this, we have developed a full kinetic approach including the coupling of the rate equations for the different atmospheric components considered to a Boltzmann transport equation. The latter has allowed us to self-consistently evaluate the electron distribution function of the transient non-equilibrium air plasma formed following the passage of a fast electric pulse through the mesosphere. Although the model presented is just an approximation to this complex problem, we have been able to get some insights in connection with the expected enhancements of important species such as, for instance, nitride oxides, ozone and/or negative ions. At 68 km, the concentrations of NO and NO_2 increase by about one order of

magnitude while that of NO₃ exhibits an important growth of up to almost three orders of magnitude. However, the variation of the O₃ density predicted by the model in the sprite streamer head is negligible in all the altitudes investigated. The impact of humidity has also been evaluated by explicitly incorporating H/OH containing chemical reactions. According to our findings, the presence of 4 ppm of H₂O hardly affects the concentrations of the species evaluated. The only detectable effect was that in O₃⁻ at 68 and 78 km and, especially, in CO₄⁻ though its number density is very small. The present model predicts that the electron density can go up to 10⁴ cm⁻³ (at 68 km) and up to more than 10⁶ cm⁻³ (at 63 km). The latter values, together with the calculation of the electron mobilities, allowed us to estimate the impact of a single sprite event on the electron component of the electrical conductivity of air between 63 and 78 km. We found that the predicted electrical conductivities can reach values of up to 10⁻⁷ Ω cm⁻¹ (at 68 km), that is, an enhancement of four orders of magnitude is predicted over its background mid-latitude and nighttime value at 70 km. The total power delivered by the streamer head of a single sprite event is also estimated and it results in values that go from 1677 W (at 78 km) to 78 MW (at 63 km). The analysis of the temporal evolution of the electron distribution function during and after the pulse indicates that its departure from a Maxwellian EDF is important.

Finally, we studied the emission brightness of several important radiative transitions associated with sprites in the visible, IR and UV regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. We found that, in general, the LBH emission brightness is always higher than that from the NO-γ band system. The evaluation of four different emissions (4.26, 9.4, 13.9 and 14.9 μm) from vibrationally excited CO₂ molecules shows that all of them exhibit noticeable enhancements, although the one associated with 4.26 μm is the most important since it reaches 1 MR at 63 km and almost 100 MR at 68 km. The latter could be seen from space with appropriate equipment.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges enriching discussions with Dave Sentman, Torsten Neubert, Victor Pasko, Oliver Chanrion, Vasco Guerra, Carl-Fredrik Enell, Gennady Milikh, Robert Roussel-Dupre, Anne Bourdon and Cheng-Ling Kuo. He would like to thank Manuel López-Puertas (IAA-CSIC) for providing WACCM3 initial data values of atmospheric chemical components. Partial financial support was provided by CSIC under Grant No 2006HU0002 and by MEC under Project Nos MAT2006-13006-C02-01 and ENE2006-14577-C04-03. The author also wishes to thank the guest editors (Ute Ebert and Dave Sentman) of this special cluster issue for organizing such a stimulating conference in Leiden, last autumn 2007, and the Lorentz Center for the support offered.

Appendix A.

Initial values of atmospheric species with concentrations (in cm⁻³) different from zero at 38° latitude (0° longitude)

during midnight conditions and for three values of altitudes: 63, 68 and 78 km. The relative concentrations of the background atmospheric components were the same for the three heights considered: N₂ (78.08%), O₂ (20.94%), Ar (0.094%), CO₂ (35 ppm) and H₂O (4 ppm). These initial values are obtained from the latest version (v3) of the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) based on the National Center for Atmospheric Research's Community Atmospheric Model (CAM). The background pressures and gas temperatures used for the different altitudes investigated are 0.068 Torr ($T_g = 238$ K), 0.034 Torr ($T_g = 220$ K) and 0.0083 Torr ($T_g = 200$ K) for 63 km, 68 km and 78 km, respectively.

Species	$h = 63$ km	$h = 68$ km	$h = 78$ km
N_e	3.000×10^1	5.000×10^1	7.000×10^1
O_2^+	3.000×10^1	5.000×10^1	7.000×10^1
O	4.448×10^0	5.215×10^3	5.330×10^8
O ₃	4.555×10^9	2.116×10^9	5.199×10^7
N	0	3.481×10^0	8.222×10^2
NO	1.344×10^6	9.719×10^5	4.648×10^3
NO ₂	2.038×10^6	4.864×10^5	3.447×10^4
NO ₃	3.219×10^2	1.759×10^1	0
N ₂ O	2.619×10^5	9.623×10^4	7.746×10^3
H	3.502×10^1	1.950×10^2	2.077×10^7
OH	5.135×10^4	6.990×10^4	4.611×10^6
H ₂	2.707×10^9	2.673×10^9	1.662×10^9
HO ₂	9.513×10^5	7.331×10^5	1.820×10^6
H ₂ O ₂	2.688×10^5	2.563×10^5	1.941×10^3
HNO ₃	6.112×10^1	6.711×10^0	2.874×10^0
CO	1.375×10^9	1.830×10^9	3.245×10^9
N ₂	2.137×10^{15}	1.165×10^{15}	3.129×10^{14}
O ₂	5.731×10^{14}	3.126×10^{14}	8.395×10^{13}
Ar	2.585×10^{13}	1.410×10^{13}	3.786×10^{12}
CO ₂	9.579×10^{11}	5.225×10^{11}	1.403×10^{11}
H ₂ O	1.095×10^{10}	5.972×10^9	1.603×10^9

Appendix B.

Reactions and rate coefficients associated with the electron-driven chemistry and heavy particle chemistry. The rate coefficients for the electron-impact processes are evaluated using the calculated electron distribution function (EDF) and the corresponding cross sections. When cross sections are not available, the rates of electronic processes are given as $k_e = a \times T_e^b \times \exp(-c/T_e)$ where T_e (in eV) is the 'electron temperature'. The rate coefficients of the heavy particle (neutrals and ions) reactions are parametrized as $k_h = d \times (T_g/300)^e \times \exp(-f/T_g)$ T_g (in K) being the background gas temperature for which the rates are known. The units of $k_{e,h}$ are cm³ s⁻¹ and cm⁶ s⁻¹ for two- and three-body reactions, respectively. The reference source of the cross sections and/or rates used is indicated in the last column of each of the tables.

Reaction		References			
EDF-dependent processes (1)					
1	$\text{Ar} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{Ar}(^3\text{P}_2) + \text{e}$	[72]			
2	$\text{Ar} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ + 2\text{e}$	[72]			
3	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_i) + \text{e}; (i = 1, \dots, 8)$	[72]			
4	$\text{N}_2(v_1) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_2) + \text{e}$	[72]			
5	$\text{N}_2(v_2) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_3) + \text{e}$	[72]			
6	$\text{N}_2(v_3) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_4) + \text{e}$	[72]			
7	$\text{N}_2(v_4) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_5) + \text{e}$	[72]			
8	$\text{N}_2(v_5) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_6) + \text{e}$	[72]			
9	$\text{N}_2(v_6) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_7) + \text{e}$	[72]			
10	$\text{N}_2(v_7) + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{N}_2(v_8) + \text{e}$	[72]			
11	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{e}$	[72]			
12	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2(a^1\Pi_g) + \text{e}$	[72]			
13	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + \text{e}$	[72]			
14	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2(B^3\Pi_g) + \text{e}$	[72]			
15	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2(C^3\Pi_u) + \text{e}$	[72]			
16	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+ + 2\text{e}$	[72]			
17	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2^+(B^2\Sigma_u^+) + 2\text{e}$	[69]			
18	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N} + \text{e}$	[72]			
19	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N}(^2\text{D}) + \text{e}$	[73]			
20	$\text{N}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N}(^2\text{P}) + \text{e}$	[73]			
21	$\text{O}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}_2^+ + 2\text{e}$	[72]			
22	$\text{O}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}_2(a^1\Delta_g) + \text{e}$	[72]			
23	$\text{O}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + \text{e}$	[72]			
24	$\text{O}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O} + \text{e}$	[72]			
25	$\text{O}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}^- + \text{O}$	[72]			
26	$\text{O}_3 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}^- + \text{O}_2$	[74]			
27	$\text{O}_3 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{O}$	[74]			
28	$\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}^+ + 2\text{e}$	[75]			
29	$\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{e}$	[76]			
30	$\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}(^1\text{S}) + \text{e}$	[76]			
31	$\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}^-$	[77]			
32	$\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}^+ + 2\text{e}$	[77]			
33	$\text{NO} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{O}^-$	[77]			
34	$\text{NO} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + 2\text{e}$	[77]			
35	$\text{NO} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{NO}(A^2\Sigma^+) + \text{e}$	[77]			
EDF-dependent processes (2)					
36	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH} + \text{e}$	[78]			
37	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2 + \text{e}$	[79]			
38	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + 2\text{e}$	[78]			
39	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}$	[78]			
40	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}^- + \text{OH}$	[78]			
41	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}^-$	[78]			
42	$\text{CO}_2 + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2(0\ 1\ 0) + \text{e}$	[77]			
43	$\text{CO}_2 + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2(1\ 0\ 0) + \text{e}$	[77]			
44	$\text{CO}_2 + \text{e} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2(0\ 0\ 1) + \text{e}$	[77]			
45	$\text{CO}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}^-$	[73]			
Reaction	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	References	
<i>T_e-dependent processes: ionization and dissociative ionization</i>					
46	$\text{e} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^+ + 2\text{e}$	2.6E−9	0.5	10.0	[80, 81]
47	$\text{e} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{O}^+ + \text{N} + 2\text{e}$	2.9E−9	0.5	21.0	[80, 81]
48	$\text{e} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{O} + 2\text{e}$	8.1E−9	0.5	12.9	[80, 81]
49	$\text{e} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}^+ + \text{O} + 2\text{e}$	4.2E−9	0.5	23.0	[80, 81]
<i>Te-dependent processes: dissociation</i>					
50	$\text{e} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{O} + \text{e}$	1.0E−8	0	0	[82]
51	$\text{e} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{O} + \text{e}$	7.4E−9	0	6.50	[80, 81]
52	$\text{e} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{e}$	4.0E−8	0	8.40	[80, 81]
53	$\text{e} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O} + \text{e}$	5.6E−9	0	3.11	[80, 81]
54	$\text{e} + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O} + \text{e}$	1.4E−9	0	1.67	[80, 81]
55	$\text{e} + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{e}$	1.2E−9	0	3.64	[80, 81]
56	$\text{e} + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{N} + \text{e}$	1.0E−10	0	4.93	[80, 81]
<i>Te-dependent processes: attachment</i>					
57	$\text{e} + \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{O}_2$	1.4E−29			[83]
58	$\text{e} + \text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{N}_2$	1.1E−31			[83]

	Reaction	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	References
59	$e + O + O_2 \rightarrow O^- + O_2$	1.0E-31			[69]
60	$e + O + N_2 \rightarrow O^- + N_2$	1.0E-31			[69]
61	$e + NO_2 \rightarrow O^- + NO$	3.0E-11			[83]
62	$e + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^-$	1.0E-11			[83]
63	$e + O_3 + O_2 \rightarrow O_3^- + O_2$	1.0E-31			[69]
64	$e + NO + N_2 \rightarrow NO^- + N_2$	1.0E-30			[83]
65	$e + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + H_2O$	1.4E-29			[69]

T_e-dependent processes: recombination

66	$e + N_2^+ \rightarrow N + N$	4.50E-8	-0.5		[83]
67	$e + N_2^+ \rightarrow N + N(^2D)$	3.21E-8	-0.5		[83]
68	$e + N_2^+ + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + O_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
69	$e + O_2^+ \rightarrow O + O$	2.97E-9	-0.7		[84]
70	$e + O_2^+ \rightarrow O + O(^1D)$	8.67E-9	-0.7		[84]
71	$e + O_2^+ \rightarrow O(^1D) + O(^1D)$	6.87E-9	-0.7		[84]
72	$e + NO^+ \rightarrow N + O$	1.66E-9	-1.5		[83]
73	$e + NO^+ \rightarrow N(^2D) + O$	7.76E-9	-1.0		[83]
74	$e + NO^+ + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
75	$e + NO^+ + N_2 \rightarrow NO + N_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
76	$e + O_2^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
77	$e + N_4^+ \rightarrow N_2 + N + N$	3.13E-7	-0.41		[85]
78	$e + N_4^+ \rightarrow N_2 + N_2$	3.21E-7	-0.5		[83]
79	$e + O_4^+ \rightarrow O_2 + O + O$	2.30E-6			[86]
80	$e + O_4^+ \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$	2.25E-7	-0.5		[83]
81	$e + O^+ + e \rightarrow O + e$	7.18E-25	-4.5		[83]
82	$e + O^+ + N_2 \rightarrow O + N_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
83	$e + O^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O + O_2$	2.49E-29	-1.5		[83]
84	$e + N_2O^+ \rightarrow N_2 + O$	3.22E-8	-0.5		[80, 81]
85	$e + NO_2^+ \rightarrow NO + O$	3.22E-8	-0.5		[80, 81]
86	$e + N_2O_2^+ \rightarrow NO + NO$	3.61E-6	-0.5		[87]
87	$e + N_2O_2^+ \rightarrow N_2 + O_2$	3.61E-6	-0.5		[87]
88	$e + N_3^+ \rightarrow N_2 + N$	5.56E-7	-0.5		[87]
89	$e + N_3^+ \rightarrow N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N$	6.91E-8	-0.5		[87]
90	$e + N_3^+ \rightarrow N_2(B^3\Pi_g) + N$	6.91E-8	-0.5		[87]

	Reaction	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>T_g</i> (K)	References
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: ground neutrals (1)</i>						
91	$N + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O$	1.0E-11	0	3473	200–1000	[83]
92	$N + NO_2 \rightarrow 2O + N_2$	9.1E-13				[69]
93	$N + NO_2 \rightarrow O + N_2O$	3.0E-12				[69]
94	$N + NO_2 \rightarrow O_2 + N_2$	7.0E-13				[69]
95	$N + NO_2 \rightarrow NO + NO$	2.3E-12				[69]
96	$NO_2 + NO_3 + O_2 \rightarrow N_2O_5 + O_2$	5.9E-29	-1.27			[87]
97	$NO_2 + NO_3 + N_2 \rightarrow N_2O_5 + N_2$	5.9E-29	-1.27			[87]
98	$NO_2 + NO_3 + NO \rightarrow N_2O_5 + NO$	5.9E-29	-1.27			[87]
99	$NO_2 + NO_3 + N_2O_5 \rightarrow 2N_2O_5$	5.9E-29	-1.27			[87]
100	$N_2O_5 + N_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3 + N_2$	2.1E-11	-4.4	11080		[69]
101	$N_2O_5 + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3 + O_2$	2.1E-11	-4.4	11080		[69]
102	$N_2O_5 + Ar \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3 + Ar$	2.1E-11	-4.4	11080		[69]
103	$N_2O_5 + O \rightarrow N_2 + 3O_2$	3.0E-16	0.5			[83]
104	$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$	1.2E-13	0	2450	230–360	[69]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: ground neutrals (2)</i>						
105	$O + NO_2 + N_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + N_2$	8.9E-32	-2.0			[69]
106	$O + NO_2 + O_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$	8.9E-32	-2.0			[69]
107	$O + NO_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$	1.0E-11				[83]
108	$NO + NO_3 \rightarrow 2NO_2$	1.11E-11				[69]
109	$O + NO_2 \rightarrow O_2 + NO$	9.09E-12	0.18			[83]
110	$N + O_3 \rightarrow NO + O_2$	2.0E-16				[83]
111	$O + NO + N_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + N_2$	1.2E-31	-1.682		200–2500	[69]
112	$O + NO + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$	9.3E-32	-1.682		200–2500	[69]
113	$O + NO \rightarrow NO_2$	3.02E-11	-0.75		200–2500	[88]
114	$O + NO \rightarrow O_2 + N$	8.93E-13	1	19494.5	200–2500	[88]
115	$N + NO \rightarrow N_2 + O$	3.51E-11	0	49.84	196–3000	[88]
116	$O_3 + NO \rightarrow O_2 + NO_2$	4.3E-12	0	1560		[83]
117	$N + N + N_2 \rightarrow N_2 + N_2$	8.27E-34	0	-500		[83]
118	$N + N + N \rightarrow N_2 + N$	3.31E-27	-1.5			[87]
119	$O + O + N_2 \rightarrow O_2 + N_2$	6.49E-35	0	-1039	190–4000	[69]

	Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
120	$O + O + N \rightarrow O_2 + N$	$3.2E-33$	-0.41		290–4000	[69]
121	$O + O_2 + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 + O_2$	$7.6E-34$	-1.9		200–4000	[69]
122	$O + O_2 + N_2 \rightarrow O_3 + N_2$	$5.8E-34$	-2.8		200–4000	[69]
123	$O + O_2 + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_3$	$1.5E-34$	0	-750		[87]
124	$O + O_2 + O \rightarrow O_3 + O$	$2.15E-34$	0	-345	200–4000	[69]
125	$O + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2$	$2.0E-11$	0	2300	220–1000	[83]
126	$N + O + N_2 \rightarrow NO + N_2$	$1.0E-32$	-0.5		200–4000	[69]
127	$N + O + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2$	$1.0E-32$	-0.5		200–4000	[69]
128	$NO_2 + N_2 \rightarrow NO + O + N_2$	$6.8E-6$	-2	36180	200–4000	[69]
129	$NO_2 + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O + O_2$	$5.3E-6$	-2	36180	200–4000	[69]
130	$NO_2 + NO \rightarrow 2NO + O$	$5.3E-5$	-2	36180	200–4000	[69]
131	$NO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O + NO_2$	$4.0E-5$	-2	36180	200–4000	[69]
132	$NO_2 + Ar \rightarrow NO + O + Ar$	$4.0E-6$	-2	36180	200–4000	[69]
133	$NO_3 + N_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + O + N_2$	$3.1E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
134	$NO_3 + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + O + O_2$	$3.1E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
135	$NO_3 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + O + NO$	$3.1E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
136	$NO_3 + N \rightarrow NO_2 + O + N$	$3.1E-4$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
137	$NO_3 + O \rightarrow NO_2 + O + O$	$3.1E-4$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
138	$NO_3 + Ar \rightarrow NO_2 + O + Ar$	$3.72E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
139	$NO_3 + N_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2 + N_2$	$6.2E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
140	$NO_3 + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2 + O_2$	$6.2E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
141	$NO_3 + NO \rightarrow NO + O_2 + NO$	$6.2E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
142	$NO_3 + N \rightarrow NO + O_2 + N$	$7.44E-4$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
143	$NO_3 + O \rightarrow NO + O_2 + O$	$7.44E-4$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
144	$NO_3 + Ar \rightarrow NO + O_2 + Ar$	$7.44E-5$	-2	25000	200–1500	[69]
145	$CO_2 + O \rightarrow CO + O_2$	$2.81E-11$	0	26474	300–2500	[88]
146	$CO + O + N_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + N_2$	$1.7E-33$	0	1510	250–4000	[88]
147	$CO + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + O$	$4.2E-12$	0	24000	300–6000	[88]
148	$CO + NO_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$	$1.48E-10$	0	16967	300–2000	[88]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: vibrational–vibrational processes</i>						
149	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_1) \rightarrow N_2(v_2) + N_2$	$3.0E-14$			200	[89]
150	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_2) \rightarrow N_2(v_3) + N_2$	$4.0E-14$			200	[89]
151	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_3) \rightarrow N_2(v_4) + N_2$	$5.0E-14$			200	[89]
152	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_4) \rightarrow N_2(v_5) + N_2$	$5.6E-14$			200	[89]
153	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_5) \rightarrow N_2(v_6) + N_2$	$6.0E-14$			200	[89]
154	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_6) \rightarrow N_2(v_7) + N_2$	$5.6E-14$			200	[89]
155	$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_7) \rightarrow N_2(v_8) + N_2$	$5.0E-14$			200	[89]
156	$N_2(v_1) + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_2(001) + N_2$	$3.96E-13$			220	[90]
157	$N_2 + CO_2(001) \rightarrow CO_2 + N_2(v_1)$	$4.33E-13$			220	[90]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: vibrational–translational processes</i>						
158	$N_2(v_1) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2 + N_2$	$3.5E-21$			500	[91]
159	$N_2(v_2) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_1) + N_2$	$6.5E-21$			500	[91]
160	$N_2(v_3) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_2) + N_2$	$1.5E-20$			500	[91]
161	$N_2(v_4) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_3) + N_2$	$2.5E-20$			500	[91]
162	$N_2(v_5) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_4) + N_2$	$3.5E-20$			500	[91]
163	$N_2(v_6) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_5) + N_2$	$7.0E-20$			500	[91]
164	$N_2(v_7) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(v_6) + N_2$	$1.0E-19$			500	[91]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: electronically excited neutrals (1)</i>						
165	$Ar(^3P_2) + N_2 \rightarrow Ar + 2N$	$3.6E-11$				[92]
166	$Ar(^3P_2) + N_2 \rightarrow Ar + N_2(C^3\Pi_u)$	$3.0E-11$				[93]
167	$Ar(^3P_2) + O_2 \rightarrow Ar + 2O$	$2.1E-10$				[92]
168	$Ar(^3P_2) + CO_2 \rightarrow Ar + CO + O$	$5.3E-10$				[92]
169	$Ar(^3P_2) + NO \rightarrow Ar + N + O$	$2.2E-10$				[92]
170	$Ar(^3P_2) + N_2O \rightarrow Ar + NO + N$	$4.4E-10$				[92]
171	$Ar(^3P_2) + N_2O \rightarrow Ar + N_2 + O$	$4.4E-10$				[92]
172	$N(^2D) + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O$	$1.5E-12$				[83]
173	$N(^2D) + O \rightarrow N + O(^1D)$	$4.0E-13$				[69]
174	$N(^2D) + N_2 \rightarrow N + N_2$	$6.0E-15$				[69]
175	$N(^2D) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + O$	$1.8E-10$				[69]
176	$N(^2D) + N_2O \rightarrow NO + N_2$	$3.5E-12$				[69]
177	$N(^2P) + N \rightarrow N(^2D) + N$	$1.8E-12$				[69]
178	$N(^2P) + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O$	$2.6E-15$				[69]
179	$N(^2P) + N_2 \rightarrow N + N_2$	$2.0E-18$				[69]
180	$N(^2P) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + O$	$3.0E-11$				[69]
181	$N_2(a^1\Pi_g) + N_2(a^1\Pi_g) \rightarrow N_2 + N_2^+ + e$	$2.0E-10$				[87]

	Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
182	$N_2(a^1\Pi_g) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + 2O$	2.8E-11				[83]
183	$N_2(a^1\Pi_g) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2 + N_2$	2.0E-13				[83]
184	$N_2(a^1\Pi_g) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + N + O$	3.6E-10				[83]
185	$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(B^3\Pi_g) + N_2$	2.0E-13				[83]
186	$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + 2O$	2.8E-11				[83]
187	$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + N + O$	3.6E-10				[83]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: electronically excited neutrals (2)</i>						
188	$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) \rightarrow N_4^+ + e$	1.5E-11				[94]
189	$N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) \rightarrow N_4^+ + e$	1.0E-11				[94]
190	$N_2(C^3\Pi_u) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(a'^1\Sigma_u^-) + N_2$	1.0E-11				[83]
191	$N_2(C^3\Pi_u) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + O + O(^1S)$	3.0E-10				[69]
192	$N_2(B^3\Pi_g) + N_2 \rightarrow N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N_2$	3.0E-11				[69]
193	$N_2(B^3\Pi_g) + N_2 \rightarrow 2N_2$	2.0E-12				[69]
194	$N_2(B^3\Pi_g) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + O + O$	3.0E-10				[69]
195	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N_2 \rightarrow 2N_2$	3.0E-18				[83]
196	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + 2O$	2.54E-12				[83]
197	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + O_2 \rightarrow N_2O + O$	7.8E-12				[83]
198	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + O \rightarrow NO + N(^2D)$	7.0E-12				[69]
199	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + O \rightarrow N_2 + O(^1S)$	2.1E-11				[83]
200	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N \rightarrow N_2 + N(^2P)$	5.0E-11				[69]
201	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N_2O \rightarrow N_2 + N + NO$	1.0E-11				[83]
202	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N \rightarrow N_2 + N$	2.0E-11				[69]
203	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + NO$	7.0E-11				[83]
204	$O(^1D) + N_2 \rightarrow O + N_2$	1.8E-11	0	-107		[83]
205	$O(^1D) + O_2 \rightarrow O + O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$	2.56E-11	0	-67		[83]
206	$O(^1D) + O_2 \rightarrow O + O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$	1.0E-12				[69]
207	$O(^1D) + N_2O \rightarrow NO + NO$	7.2E-11				[83]
208	$O(^1D) + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O + O$	1.0E-12				[87]
209	$O(^1D) + N_2O \rightarrow N_2 + O_2$	4.9E-11				[80, 81]
210	$O(^1D) + NO_2 \rightarrow O_2 + NO$	3.0E-10				[80, 81]
211	$O(^1D) + NO \rightarrow O_2 + N$	1.7E-10				[83]
212	$O(^1D) + O_3 \rightarrow 2O + O_2$	1.2E-10				[83]
213	$O(^1D) + O_3 \rightarrow O + O_3$	2.41E-10				[88]
214	$O(^1D) + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2$	2.4E-10				[83]
215	$O(^1D) + CO_2 \rightarrow O + CO_2$	7.4E-11	0	-120	200–300	[88]
216	$O(^1D) + CO \rightarrow CO_2$	7.3E-11			100–2100	[88]
217	$O(^1S) + NO \rightarrow O + NO$	2.9E-10				[69]
218	$O(^1S) + NO \rightarrow O(^1D) + NO$	5.1E-10				[69]
219	$O(^1S) + O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + O$	4.3E-12	0	850		[83]
220	$O(^1S) + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D)$	3.6E-11				[83]
221	$O(^1S) + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow 3O$	3.4E-11				[83]
222	$O(^1S) + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O + O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+)$	1.3E-10				[83]
223	$O(^1S) + O \rightarrow O(^1D) + O$	5.0E-11	0	301		[83]
224	$O(^1S) + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O + O(^1D)$	2.9E-10				[83]
225	$O(^1S) + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2$	2.9E-10				[83]
226	$O(^1S) + CO_2 \rightarrow O + CO_2$	3.09E-13			300	[88]
227	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + N \rightarrow O + NO$	2.0E-14	0	600		[83]
228	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + O \rightarrow O + O_2$	7.0E-16				[83]
229	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$	2.2E-18	0.8			[83]
230	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + N_2 \rightarrow O_2 + N_2$	1.4E-19				[95]
231	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + NO \rightarrow O + NO_2$	4.88E-18				[88]
<i>Heavy particle chemistry: electronically excited neutrals (3)</i>						
232	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2 + O$	5.2E-11	0	2841	280–360	[88]
233	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + NO \rightarrow NO + O_2$	2.5E-11				[83]
234	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + N_2 \rightarrow O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + N_2$	4.9E-15	0	253		[83]
235	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + O_2 \rightarrow O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + O_2$	3.73E-16	2.4	241		[83]
236	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + O \rightarrow O_2 + O$	8.0E-14				[83]
237	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + O$	1.8E-11				[83]
238	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + NO \rightarrow O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + NO$	4.0E-14				[83]
239	$N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + NO \rightarrow N_2 + NO(A^2\Sigma^+)$	8.75E-11				[96]
240	$NO(A^2\Sigma^+) + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2$	1.62E-10				[96]
241	$NO(A^2\Sigma^+) + N_2 \rightarrow NO + N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$	5.0E-14				[97]

Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
<i>Ionic chemistry: ion-ion recombination</i>					
242 $N_2O_2^+ + O_2^- \rightarrow NO + NO + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
243 $N_2O_2^+ + O_2^- \rightarrow N_2 + O_2 + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
244 $N_2O_2^+ + O^- \rightarrow NO + NO + O$	1.0E-7				[87]
245 $N_2O_2^+ + O^- \rightarrow N_2 + O + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
246 $N_2O_2^+ + O_3^- \rightarrow NO + NO + O_3$	1.0E-7				[87]
247 $N_2O_2^+ + O_3^- \rightarrow N_2 + O_2 + O_3$	1.0E-7				[87]
248 $N_2O_2^+ + NO^- \rightarrow NO + NO + NO$	1.0E-7				[87]
249 $N_2O_2^+ + NO^- \rightarrow NO + N_2 + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
250 $N_2O_2^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow NO + NO + NO_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
251 $N_2O_2^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_2 + N_2 + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
252 $N_2O_2^+ + NO_3^- \rightarrow NO + NO + NO_3$	1.0E-7				[87]
253 $N_2O_2^+ + NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_3 + N_2 + O_2$	1.0E-7				[87]
254 $N_3^+ + O^- \rightarrow N + N_2 + O$	1.0E-7				[87]
255 $N_3^+ + O_3^- \rightarrow N + N_2 + O_3$	1.0E-7				[87]
256 $N_3^+ + NO^- \rightarrow N + N_2 + NO$	1.0E-7				[87]
257 $N_3^+ + NO_3^- \rightarrow N + N_2 + NO_3$	1.0E-7				[87]
258 $O^- + NO^+ + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$	2.0E-25	-2.5			[69]
259 $O^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow O + O_2$	2.0E-7	-0.5			[69]
260 $O^- + O_3^+ \rightarrow O_3 + O_2$	2.0E-25	-2.5			[69]
261 $O_2^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$	2.0E-7	-0.5			[69]
262 $O_2^- + NO^+ \rightarrow O_2 + N + O$	1.0E-7				[69]
263 $O_2^- + NO^+ + O_2 \rightarrow 2O_2 + NO$	2.0E-25	-2.5			[69]
264 $O_3^- + O^+ \rightarrow O_3 + O$	2.0E-7	-0.5			[69]
265 $O_3^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow O_3 + O_2$	2.0E-7	-0.5			[69]
266 $O_3^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow 2O + O_3$	1.0E-7				[69]
267 $O_3^- + NO^+ \rightarrow O_3 + NO$	2.0E-7	-0.5			[69]
268 $NO_3^- + NO^+ \rightarrow NO_3 + N + O$	1.0E-7				[69]
269 $NO_3^- + O_2^+ \rightarrow NO_3 + O + O$	1.0E-7				[69]
<i>Ionic chemistry: positive ions</i>					
270 $Ar^+ + N_2 \rightarrow Ar + N_2^+$	1.1E-11				[98]
271 $Ar^+ + O_2 \rightarrow Ar + O_2^+$	4.6E-11				[99]
272 $N_2^+ + N_2 + N \rightarrow N_3^+ + N_2$	9.0E-30	0	-400		[69]
273 $N_2^+ + O \rightarrow N_2 + O^+$	1.0E-11	-0.2			[83]
274 $N_2^+ + O \rightarrow NO^+ + N$	1.3E-10	-0.5			[83]
275 $N_2^+ + O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + O_2^+$	6.0E-11	-0.5			[83]
276 $N_2^+ + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^+ + O + N_2$	1.0E-10				[69]
277 $N_2^+ + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O^+ + N_2$	5.0E-10				[69]
278 $N_2^+ + N_2O \rightarrow NO^+ + N + N_2$	4.0E-10				[69]
279 $N_2^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + N_2$	3.3E-10				[83]
280 $N_2^+ + N_2 + N_2 \rightarrow N_4^+ + N_2$	5.2E-29	-2.2			[69]
281 $N_3^+ + N_2 (A^3\Sigma_u^+) \rightarrow N_3^+ + N_2$	3.0E-10				[87]
282 $N_3^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^+ + N + N_2$	2.3E-11				[83]
283 $N_3^+ + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2^+ + N_2$	4.4E-11				[83]
284 $N_3^+ + N \rightarrow N_2^+ + N_2$	6.6E-11				[83]
285 $N_3^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + N + N_2$	7.0E-11				[83]
286 $N_3^+ + O_2 \rightarrow N + N_2 + O_2^+$	2.3E-11				[83]
287 $N_3^+ + NO \rightarrow N_2 + N_2O^+$	7.0E-11				[87]
288 $N_4^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^+ + N_2 + N_2$	2.5E-10				[69]
289 $N_4^+ + O \rightarrow O^+ + N_2 + N_2$	2.5E-10				[69]
290 $O^+ + N_2 \rightarrow NO^+ + N$	3.0E-12				[83]
291 $O^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^+ + O$	2.0E-11	-0.5			[69]
292 $O^+ + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^+ + O_2$	1.0E-10				[69]
293 $O^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + O$	2.4E-11				[69]
294 $O^+ + NO \rightarrow O_2^+ + N$	3.0E-12				[69]
295 $O^+ + N_2O \rightarrow NO^+ + NO$	2.3E-10				[69]
296 $O^+ + N_2O \rightarrow O_2^+ + N_2$	2.0E-11				[69]
297 $O^+ + N_2O \rightarrow N_2O^+ + O$	2.2E-11				[69]
298 $O^+ + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^+ + O$	1.6E-9				[69]
299 $O_2^+ + N \rightarrow NO^+ + O$	1.2E-10				[83]
300 $O_2^+ + N_2 \rightarrow NO^+ + NO$	1.0E-17				[83]
301 $O_2^+ + NO_2 \rightarrow NO^+ + O_3$	1.0E-11				[69]
302 $O_2^+ + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^+ + O_2$	6.6E-10				[69]
303 $O_2^+ + NO \rightarrow O_2 + NO^+$	4.4E-10				[83]
304 $O_2^+ + O_2 + O_2 \rightarrow O_4^+ + O_2$	2.4E-30	-3.2			[83]

	Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
305	$O_2^+ + N_2 + N_2 \rightarrow N_2O_2^+ + N_2$	9.0E-31	-2			[83]
306	$O_4^+ + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O_2^+ + O_2 + O_2$	1.0E-10				[83]
307	$O_4^+ + O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) \rightarrow O_2^+ + O_2 + O_2$	1.0E-10				[83]
308	$O_4^+ + N_2 \rightarrow N_2O_2^+ + O_2$	4.6E-12	2.5	2650		[69]
309	$O_4^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + O_2 + O_2$	1.0E-10				[83]
310	$O_4^+ + O \rightarrow O_2^+ + O_3$	3.0E-10				[83]
311	$O_4^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^+ + O_2 + O_2$	3.3E-6	-4	5030		[83]
312	$NO_2^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + NO_2$	2.9E-10				[69]
313	$N_2O^+ + NO \rightarrow NO^+ + N_2O$	2.9E-10				[69]
<i>Ionic chemistry: negative ions (1)</i>						
314	$O^- + N_2 \rightarrow N_2O + e$	1.0E-12				[69]
315	$O^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 + e$	5.0E-15				[83]
316	$O^- + O \rightarrow O_2 + e$	5.0E-10				[83]
317	$O^- + N \rightarrow NO + e$	2.6E-10				[83]
318	$O^- + N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) \rightarrow O + N_2 + e$	2.2E-9				[83]
319	$O^- + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O_3 + e$	3.0E-10				[83]
320	$O^- + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2 + e$	5.3E-10				[83]
321	$O^- + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + e$	2.6E-10				[83]
322	$O^- + N_2O \rightarrow NO^- + NO$	2.1E-10				[83]
323	$O^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + O$	1.2E-9				[83]
324	$O^- + O_2 + O_2 \rightarrow O_3^- + O_2$	1.1E-30	-1			[83]
325	$O^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^- + O$	5.3E-10				[83]
326	$O^- + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O_2^- + O$	1.0E-10				[69]
327	$O^- + N_2(B^3\Pi_g) \rightarrow O + N_2 + e$	1.9E-9				[69]
328	$O^- + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + e$	6.0E-10	-0.32			[100]
329	$O^- + CO_2 + Ar \rightarrow CO_3^- + Ar$	3.1E-28	0.5			[101]
330	$O^- + 2CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + CO_2$	3.1E-28	0.5			[101]
331	$O_2^- + O \rightarrow O^- + O_2$	3.3E-10				[83]
332	$O_2^- + O \rightarrow O_3 + e$	1.5E-10				[83]
333	$O_2^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + O_2 + e$	2.7E-18	0.5	5590		[83]
334	$O_2^- + O_2(a^1\Delta_g) \rightarrow O_2 + O_2 + e$	2.0E-10				[83]
335	$O_2^- + O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) \rightarrow O_2 + O_2 + e$	3.6E-10				[83]
336	$O_2^- + N_2 \rightarrow O_2 + N_2 + e$	1.9E-12	0.5	4990		[69]
337	$O_2^- + N \rightarrow NO_2 + e$	5.0E-10				[83]
338	$O_2^- + NO_2 \rightarrow O_2 + NO_2^-$	8.0E-10				[83]
339	$O_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O_3^-$	4.0E-10				[83]
340	$O_2^- + N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) \rightarrow O_2 + N_2 + e$	2.1E-9				[69]
341	$O_2^- + N_2(B^3\Pi_g) \rightarrow O_2 + N_2 + e$	2.5E-9				[69]
342	$O_3^- + O \rightarrow O_2 + O_2$	2.5E-10				[102]
343	$O_3^- + O \rightarrow 2O_2 + e$	1.4E-10				[83]
344	$O_3^- + NO \rightarrow NO_3^- + O$	1.0E-11				[83]
345	$O_3^- + NO_2 \rightarrow O_3 + NO_2^-$	7.0E-10				[83]
346	$O_3^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + O_2$	2.0E-11				[83]
347	$O_3^- + NO \rightarrow NO_2^- + O_2$	2.6E-11				[69]
348	$O_3^- + O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2 + e$	1.0E-10				[102]
349	$O_3^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + O_2$	5.5E-10	0.5			[101]
350	$NO^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- + NO$	5.0E-10				[83]
351	$NO^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + NO$	7.4E-16				[83]
352	$NO^- + N_2O \rightarrow NO_2^- + N_2$	2.8E-14				[83]
353	$NO^- + CO_2 \rightarrow NO + CO_2 + e$	8.3E-12			193-506	[103]
354	$NO^- + CO \rightarrow NO + CO + e$	5.0E-13			193-506	[103]
355	$NO^- + N_2O \rightarrow NO + N_2O + e$	5.1E-12			193-506	[103]
356	$NO^- + NO \rightarrow 2NO + e$	5.0E-12			285-506	[103]
357	$NO_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + NO_3^-$	1.8E-11				[83]
<i>Ionic chemistry: negative ions (2)</i>						
358	$NO_2^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + NO$	4.0E-12				[69]
359	$NO_2^- + NO_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3^-$	5.0E-10				[69]
360	$NO_3^- + NO \rightarrow NO_2^- + NO_2$	3.0E-15				[69]
361	$CO_3^- + O \rightarrow O_2^- + CO_2$	1.1E-10	0.5			[101]
362	$CO_3^- + NO \rightarrow NO_2^- + CO_2$	1.1E-11	0.5			[101]
363	$CO_3^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + CO_2$	2.0E-10	0.5			[101]
364	$CO_4^- + O \rightarrow CO_3^- + O_2$	1.3E-10	0.5			[101]
365	$CO_4^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^- + CO_2 + O_2$	1.4E-10	0.5			[101]
366	$CO_4^- + NO \rightarrow NO_3^- + CO_2$	4.8E-11				[100]

Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
<i>Humid air chemistry: ground neutrals (1)</i>					
367 $\text{H} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{OH}$	3.7E-10	0	8455		[87]
368 $\text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{H}_2$	5.79E-32	-0.8	0		[104]
369 $\text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{N}_2$	5.94E-32	-1.0	0		[105]
370 $\text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2$	5.94E-32	-1.0	0		[105]
371 $\text{H} + \text{OH} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2$	6.88E-31	-2.0	0		[104]
372 $\text{H} + \text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2$	6.88E-31	-2.0	0		[104]
373 $\text{H} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{OH}$	2.2E-10	0	182	296-760	[106]
374 $\text{H} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}$	7.78E-11	0.2551	327.8		[88]
375 $\text{H} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}$	7.5E-13				[88]
376 $\text{H} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2$	2.57E-11	0.5598	346		[88]
377 $\text{H} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH}$	2.35E-10	0	373.7		[88]
378 $\text{H} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}$	9.18E-11	0	971.9		[88]
379 $\text{H} + \text{HNO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{NO}$	2.35E-11	0.94	249		[88]
380 $\text{H} + \text{NO} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO} + \text{N}_2$	7.32E-32	-1.318	184.3		[107]
381 $\text{H} + \text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO} + \text{O}_2$	7.32E-32	-1.318	184.3		[107]
382 $\text{H} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}$	5.8E-10	0	750		[69]
383 $\text{H} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	4.0E-11	0	2000		[88]
384 $\text{H} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{HO}_2$	8.0E-11	0	4000		[88]
385 $\text{H} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CO}$	2.51E-10	0	13357	300-2500	[88]
386 $\text{H} + \text{CO} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCO} + \text{N}_2$	1.9E-33	0	842	300-2000	[88]
387 $\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}$	1.55E-13	1.408	-267.3	200-2500	[88]
388 $\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}$	2.10E-11	-0.186	153.9	200-5000	[88]
389 $\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}$	1.12E-14	3.406	1233	300-2500	[88]
390 $\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$	2.31E-12	1.47	1761	200-3000	[88]
391 $\text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2$	1.47E-12	0	932.7	200-450	[88]
392 $\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2$	4.38E-11	0	-110.9	200-2550	[88]
393 $\text{OH} + \text{OH} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2$	6.05E-31	-3	0	300-2500	[88]
394 $\text{OH} + \text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2$	6.05E-31	-3	0	300-2500	[88]
395 $\text{OH} + \text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.54E-31	-2.021	-183.6		[88]
396 $\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2$	4.53E-12	0	288.9	200-2500	[88]
397 $\text{OH} + \text{HNO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_2$	1.8E-11	0	390	280-430	[88]
398 $\text{OH} + \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{N}_2$	3.69E-13	0	2740	300-2700	[88]
<i>Humid air chemistry: ground neutrals (2)</i>					
399 $\text{OH} + \text{NO} + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 + \text{N}_2$	7.4E-31	-2.4		200-400	[95]
400 $\text{OH} + \text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 + \text{O}_2$	7.4E-31	-2.4		200-400	[95]
401 $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{N}_2$	2.6E-30	-2.9		200-300	[95]
402 $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{O}_2$	2.2E-30	-2.9		200-300	[95]
403 $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2$	2.2E-11				[108]
404 $\text{OH} + \text{HNO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}$	1.26E-11	0.99	334.2	300-4000	[88]
405 $\text{OH} + \text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_3$	7.2E-15	0	-785		[109]
406 $\text{OH} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{H}$	3.92E-11	0	-72.3	250-515	[88]
407 $\text{OH} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{CO}_2$	4.35E-14	1.35	-364.6	200-2200	[88]
408 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + 2\text{O}_2$	1.66E-13	0	1409.6	200-500	[88]
409 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2$	8.05E-11	-1.0		300-2500	[88]
410 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2$	2.9E-11	0	-200	300-2500	[88]
411 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$	3.6E-12	0	-240	230-2000	[88]
412 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 + \text{N}_2$	1.9E-33	0	-980		[95]
413 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{O}_2$	1.9E-33	0	-980		[95]
414 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{HNO}$	9.1E-19	0	-2819	271-303	[88]
415 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 + \text{O}_2$	1.2E-13				[88]
416 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{O}_2$	9.21E-13				[88]
417 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}$	2.19E-11				[88]
418 $\text{HO}_2 + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CO}_2$	2.51E-10	0	11900	300-2500	[88]
419 $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3$	5.0E-19				[108]
420 $\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}$	9.0E-12	1.0	4480		[69]
421 $\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2$	1.79E-13	2.92	1394	200-2500	[88]
422 $\text{HNO}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$	1.0E-12	0	2000		[69]
423 $\text{HNO}_3 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_3$	1.0E-12	0	4000		[69]
424 $\text{HNO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{NO}_2$	2.0E-15				[88]
425 $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{HNO}_3$	4.1E-16				[110]
<i>Humid air chemistry: electronically excited neutrals</i>					
426 $\text{N}_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H} + \text{OH}$	5.0E-14				[88]
427 $\text{N}_2(a^1\Pi_g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H} + \text{OH}$	5.0E-14				[88]
428 $\text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH}$	2.190E-10			200-350	[88]
429 $\text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H}$	1.1E-10			200-350	[88]

	Reaction	d	e	f	T_g (K)	References
430	$O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + O_2$	3.57E-10			100-2100	[88]
431	$O(^1D) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2$	5.2E-10				[88]
432	$O(^1S) + H_2O \rightarrow O + H_2O$	3.0E-10				[111]
433	$O(^1S) + H_2O \rightarrow OH + OH$	5.0E-10				[111]
434	$O(^1S) + H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + O_2$	5.0E-10				[111]
435	$O_2(a^1\Delta_g) + H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O$	3.0E-18				[87]
436	$O_2(b^1\Sigma_g^+) + H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O$	6.7E-12				[87]
<i>Humid air chemistry: ion-ion recombination</i>						
437	$H_3O^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow H_2O + OH + NO$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
438	$H_3O^+ + O_3^- \rightarrow H_2O + OH + O_2$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
439	$H_3O^+ + H_2O_2^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + OH$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
440	$H_3O^+ + H_2O_3^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + HO_2$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
441	$H_3O^+ + H_2O_4^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + OH + O_2$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
442	$H_3O^+ + H_3O_2^- \rightarrow 3H_2O$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
443	$OH^+ + H_2O_2^- \rightarrow H_2O + HO_2$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
444	$OH^+ + H_2O_3^- \rightarrow H_2O + OH + O_2$	1.0E-6				[112, 113]
<i>Humid air chemistry: positive ions</i>						
445	$O^+ + H_2 \rightarrow OH^+ + H$	1.62E-9				[114]
446	$O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow H_2O^+ + O$	2.6E-9				[114]
447	$N_2^+ + H_2O \rightarrow H_2O^+ + N_2$	2.4E-9				[114]
448	$N_4^+ + H_2O \rightarrow H_2O^+ + N_2 + N_2$	3.0E-9				[102]
449	$H_2O^+ + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2^+$	3.3E-10				[114]
450	$H_2O^+ + H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + OH$	1.85E-9				[114]
451	$OH^+ + O_2 \rightarrow OH + O_2^+$	3.8E-10				[114]
452	$H_2O^+ + NO_2 \rightarrow H_2O + NO_2^+$	1.2E-9				[114]
453	$H_2O^+ + NO \rightarrow H_2O + NO^+$	4.6E-9				[114]
<i>Humid air chemistry: negative ions</i>						
454	$O^- + H_2 \rightarrow H_2O + e$	7.0E-10				[69]
455	$O_2^- + H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O + e$	5.0E-9	0	5000		[100]
456	$OH^- + O_3 \rightarrow OH + O_3^-$	9.0E-10	0.5			[101]
457	$OH^- + O \rightarrow HO_2 + e$	4.0E-10	0.5			[101]
458	$H^- + H_2O \rightarrow OH^- + H_2$	1.0E-9				[69]
459	$H_2O_2^- + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_3^-$	6.2E-11				[102]
460	$H_2O_2^- + H_2O \rightarrow H_3O_2^- + OH$	1.0E-11				[115]
461	$O^- + H_2O + N_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2^- + N_2$	1.3E-28				[115]
462	$O^- + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2^- + O_2$	1.3E-28				[115]
463	$O_2^- + H_2O + N_2 \rightarrow H_2O_3^- + N_2$	2.2E-28				[115]
464	$O_2^- + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O_3^- + O_2$	2.2E-28				[115]
465	$O_3^- + H_2O + N_2 \rightarrow H_2O_4^- + N_2$	2.7E-28				[115]
466	$O_3^- + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O_4^- + O_2$	2.7E-28				[115]
467	$OH^- + H_2O + N_2 \rightarrow H_3O_2^- + N_2$	2.5E-28				[115]
468	$OH^- + H_2O + O_2 \rightarrow H_3O_2^- + O_2$	2.5E-28				[115]
469	$O_2^- + HNO_3 \rightarrow NO_3^- + HO_2$	2.9E-9				[102]
470	$H_2O_3^- + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2O + O_2$	9.0E-10				[102]
471	$H_2O_3^- + NO \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O$	3.1E-10				[102]
472	$H_2O_3^- + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^- + H_2O + O_2$	8.0E-10				[102]
473	$H_2O_4^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^- + H_2O + O_2$	1.8E-10				[115]
474	$H_2O_3^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_4^- + H_2O$	5.8E-10				[115]
475	$CO_3^- + HNO_3 \rightarrow NO_3^- + CO_2 + OH$	3.51E-10				[102]
	Reaction	A (s ⁻¹)		λ (nm)	κ	References
<i>Radiative processes</i>						
476	$N_2(B^3\Pi_g(v' = 6, 7, 6, 7)) \rightarrow N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+(v'' = 3, 4, 2, 3)) + h\nu_1$	1.34E+5		662.3;654.48; 606.9;601.3	1	[69]
477	$N_2(C^3\Pi_u(v' = 0, 1, 1, 2)) \rightarrow N_2(B^3\Pi_g(v'' = 4, 5, 4, 6)) + h\nu_2$	2.45E+7		434.36;426.97; 399.80;420.05	1	[69]
478	$N_2^+(B^2\Sigma_u^+(v' = 0)) \rightarrow N_2^+(X^2\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 1)) + h\nu_3$	1.58E+7		427.80	1	[116]
479	$NO(A^2\Sigma^+(v' = 0)) \rightarrow NO(X^2\Pi_r(v'' = 0)) + h\nu_4$	5.0E+6		227.0	1	[116]
480	$CO_2(001) \rightarrow CO_2(100) + h\nu_5$	0.2		9400.0	1	[116]
481	$CO_2(001) \rightarrow CO_2 + h\nu_6$	450		4260.0	1	[116]
482	$CO_2(100) \rightarrow CO_2(010) + h\nu_7$	2.08		13900.0	1	[116]
483	$CO_2(010) \rightarrow CO_2 + h\nu_8$	1.56		14900.0	1	[116]
484	$O(^1D) \rightarrow O + h\nu_9$	5.1E-3		630.0	1	[117]
485	$O(^1S) \rightarrow O(^1D) + h\nu_{10}$	1.34		557.7	1	[117]
486	$N_2(a^1\Pi_g(v' = 0)) \rightarrow N_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 2)) + h\nu_{11}$	8.55E+3		155.2	1	[69]

References

- [1] Wilson C T R 1925 *Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond.* **37** 32D
- [2] Neubert T 2003 *Science* **300** 747–9
- [3] Pasko V P 2003 *Nature* **423** 927–9
- [4] Franz R C 1990 *Science* **249** 48
- [5] Sentman D D, Westcott E M, Osborne D L, Hampton D L and Heavner M J 1995 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22** 1205–8
- [6] Rairden R L and Mende S B 1995 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22** 3465
- [7] Lyons W A 1996 *J. Geophys. Res.* **101** 29641–52
- [8] Winckler J R, Lyons W A, Nelson T E and Nemzek R J 1996 *J. Geophys. Res.* **101** 6997
- [9] Stanley M, Krehbiel P, Brook M, Moore C, Rison W and Abrahams B 1999 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26** 3201–4
- [10] Gerken E A, Inan U S and Barrington-Leigh C P 2000 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27** 2637–40
- [11] Barrington-Leigh C P, Inan U S and Stanley M 2001 *J. Geophys. Res.* **106** 1741–50
- [12] Vaughan O H Jr, Blakeslee R J, Boeck W L, Vonnegut B, Brook M and McKune J 1992 *Mon. Weather Rev.* **120** 1459
- [13] Boeck W L, Vaughan O H Jr, Blakeslee R J, Vonnegut B, Brook M and McKune J 1995 *J. Geophys. Res.* **100** 1465
- [14] Boeck W L, Vaughan O H Jr, Blakeslee R J, Vonnegut B and Brook M 1998 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **60** 669–77
- [15] Blanc E, Farges T, Roche R, Brebion D, Hua T, Labarthe A and Melnikov V 2004 *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** A02306
- [16] Yair Y, Israelevich P, Devir A D, Moalem M, Price C, Joseph J H, Levin Z, Ziv A, Sternlieb A and Teller A 2004 *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** D15201
- [17] Kuo C L, Hsu R R, Chen A B, Su H T, Lee L C, Mende S B, Frey H U, Fukunishi H and Takahashi Y 2005 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32** L19103
- [18] Liu N *et al* 2006 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33** L01101
- [19] Mende S B, Frey H U, Hsu R R, Su H T, Chen A B, Lee L C, Sentman D D, Takahashi Y and Fukunishi H 2005 *J. Geophys. Res.* **110** A11312
- [20] Adachi T, Fukunishi H, Takahashi Y, Hiraki Y, Hsu R R, Su H T, Chen A B, Mende S B, Frey H U and Lee L C 2006 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33** 17803
- [21] <http://smc.cnes.fr/TARANIS/index.htm>
- [22] <http://spacecenter.dk/research/solarphysics/asim/asim>
- [23] Gerken E A and Inan U S 2003 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **65** 567–72
- [24] Gerken E A and Inan U S 2005 *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **33** 282–3
- [25] Marshall R A and Inan U S 2005 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32** L05804
- [26] Marshall R A and Inan U S 2006 *Radio Sci.* **41** RS6S43
- [27] Cummer S A, Jauey N C, Li J B, Lyons W A, Nelson T E and Gerken E A 2006 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33** L04104
- [28] McHarg M G, Stenbaek-Nielsen H C and Kammae T 2007 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34** L06804
- [29] Stenbaek-Nielsen H C, McHarg M G, Kammae T and Sentman D D 2007 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34** L11105
- [30] Raizer Y P, Milikh G M, Schneider M N and Novakovski S V 1998 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **31** 3255–64
- [31] Raizer Y P 1991 *Gas Discharge Physics* (New York: Springer)
- [32] Mende S B, Rairden R L, Swenson G R and Lyons W A 1995 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22** 2633–6
- [33] Hampton D L, Heavner M J, Wescott E M and Sentman D D 1996 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23** 89–92
- [34] Taranenko Y N, Inan U S and Bell T F 1993 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **20** 1539–42
- [35] Taranenko Y N, Inan U S and Bell T F 1993 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **20** 2675–8
- [36] Pasko V P, Inan U S, Bell T F and Taranenko Y N 1997 *J. Geophys. Res.* **102** 4529–61
- [37] Heavner M J, Sentman D D, Moudry D R, Wescott E M, Siefiring C L, Morrill J S and Bucsla E 2000 *Sprites, Blue Jets, and Elves: Optical Evidence of Energy Transport Across the Stratopause* ed D E Siskind *et al* (Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union) pp 69–82
- [38] Armstrong R A, Shorter J, Taylor M, Suszcynsky D M, Lyons W and Jeong L 1998 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **60** 787–99
- [39] Armstrong R A, Suszcynsky D M, Lyons W A and Nelson T E 2000 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27** 653–6
- [40] Morrill J S *et al* 2002 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29** 1462
- [41] Bucsla E, Morrill J S, Heavner M J, Siefiring C L, Berg S L, Hampton D, Moudry D R, Wescott E M and Sentman D D 2003 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **65** 583–90
- [42] Green B D, Fraser M E, Rawlins W T, Jeong L, Blumberg W A M, Mende S B, Swenson G R, Hampton D L, Wescott E M and Sentman D D 1996 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23** 2161–4
- [43] Morrill J S, Bucsla E J, Pasko V P, Berg S L, Benesch W M, Wescott E M and Heavner M J 1998 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **60** 811–29
- [44] Milikh G, Valdivia J A and Papadopoulos K 1998 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **60** 907–15
- [45] Picard R H, Inan U S, Pasko V P, Winick J R and Wintersteiner P P 1997 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **24** 2635–8
- [46] Milikh G M, Usikov D A and Valdivia J A 1998 *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* **60** 895–905
- [47] Liu N and Pasko V P 2005 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32** L05104
- [48] Liu N and Pasko V P 2007 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34** L16103
- [49] Mende S, Frey H, Hsu R R, Su H T, Chen A, Lee L C, Fukunishi H and Takahashi Y 2004 *EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* **85** (Fall Meet. Suppl.) Abstract AE51A-02
- [50] Sentman D D and Wescott E M 1995 *Phys. Plasmas* **2** 2514–22
- [51] Stenbaek-Nielsen H C, Moudry D R, Wescott E M, Sentman D D and Sabbas F T S 2000 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27** 3829–32
- [52] Mishin E 1997 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **24** 1919–22
- [53] Armstrong R A, Suszcynsky D M, Lyons W A and Williams E R 2001 *National Radio Science Meeting (URSI) (Boulder, CO)*
- [54] Baehr J, Schlager H, Ziείς H, Stock P, van Velthoven P, Busen R, Ström J and Schumann U 2003 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30** 1598
- [55] Sentman D D, Moudry D R, Stenbaek-Nielsen H C, Wescott E M and Sao Sabbas F T 2000 *EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* (Fall Meeting Suppl.) Abstract A11E-01
- [56] Hiraki Y, Tong L, Fukunishi H, Nanbu K, Kasai Y and Ichimura A 2004 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31** L14105
- [57] Sentman D D, Stenbaek-Nielsen H C, McHarg M G and Morrill J S 2008 *J. Geophys. Res.* **113** D1112
- [58] Enell C F *et al* 2008 *Ann. Geophys.* **26** 13–27
- [59] Hiraki Y, Kasai Y and Fukunishi H 2008 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **8** 2311–36
- [60] Arnone E, Kero A, Dinelli B M, Enell C F, Arnold N F, Papandrea E, Rodger C J, Carlotti M, Ridolfi M and Turunen E 2008 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35** L05807
- [61] Kulikovskiy A A 1997 *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **25** 439–47
- [62] Morgan W L and Penetrante B M 1990 *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **58** 127–52
- [63] Hindmarsh A C 1983 *Scientific Computing, Applications of Mathematics and Computing to the Physical Sciences* vol 1 ed R S Stepleman *et al* (Amsterdam: North Holland) pp 55–64
- [64] Radhakrishnan K and Hindmarsh A C 1993 *LLNL Report UCRL-ID-113855*
- [65] <https://computation.llnl.gov/casc/software.html>

- [66] Gear C W 1971 *Numerical Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall)
- [67] Liu N and Pasko V P 2004 *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** A04301
- [68] Bourdon A, Pasko V P, Liu N Y, Célestin S, Ségur P and Marode E 2007 *Plasma Sources. Sci. Technol.* **16** 656–78
- [69] Capitelli M, Ferreira C M, Gordiets B F and Osipov A I 2000 *Plasma Kinetics in Atmospheric Gases* (Berlin: Springer)
- [70] Collins W D *et al* 2004 *Description of the NCAR Community Atmospheric Model (CAM3)* (Boulder, CO: National Center for Atmospheric Research)
- [71] <http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/atm-cam/>
- [72] http://jilawww.colorado.edu/avp/collision_data/
- [73] Christophorou L G 1984 *Electron–Molecule Interactions and Their Applications* vol 1 (New York: Academic) p 376
- [74] Skalny J D, Matejcik S, Kiendler A, Stamatovic A and Märck T D 1996 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **255** 112–8
- [75] Brook E, Harrison M F A and Smith A C H 1978 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **11** 3115–32
- [76] Majeed T and Strickland D J 1997 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **26** 335–49
- [77] Hayashi M 1990 *Nonequilibrium Processes in Partially Ionized Gases* (NATO ASI Series vol B220) ed M Capitelli and J N Bardsley (New York: Plenum) p 333
- [78] Itikawa Y and Mason N 2005 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **34** 1–22
- [79] Yousfi M and Benabdessadok M D 1996 *J. Appl. Phys.* **80** 6619–30
- [80] Castillo M, Méndez I, Islyaikin A M, Herrero V J and Tanarro I 2005 *J. Phys. Chem. A* **109** 6255–63
- [81] Castillo M 2004 Spectrometry and kinetics of cold plasmas of nitrogen oxides and air *PhD Thesis*
- [82] Gudmundsson J T, Kouznetsov I G, Patel K K and Lieberman M A 2000 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **34** 1100–9
- [83] Kossyi I A, Kostinsky A Y, Matveyev A A and Silakov V P 1992 *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **1** 207–20
- [84] Peverall R *et al* 2001 *J. Chem. Phys.* **114** 6679–89
- [85] Whitaker M, Biondi M A and Johnsen R 1981 *Phys. Rev. A* **24** 743–5
- [86] Kruger V and Olander D R 1976 *J. Phys. Chem.* **80** 1676–84
- [87] Starikovskaia S M, Starikovskii A Y and Zatsepin D V 2001 *Combust. Theory Modelling* **5** 91–129
- [88] NIST Chemical Kinetics Database <http://kinetics.nist.gov/kinetics/index.jsp>
- [89] Cacciatore M, Kurnosov A and Napartovich A 2005 *J. Chem. Phys.* **123** 174315
- [90] Moore C B, Wood R E, Hu B L and Yardley J T 1967 *J. Chem. Phys.* **46** 4222–31
- [91] Kurnosov A, Napartovich A, Shnyrev S and Cacciatore M 2007 *J. Phys. Chem A* **111** 7057–65
- [92] Piper L G, Velazco J E and Setser D W 1973 *J. Chem. Phys.* **59** 3323–40
- [93] Bourène M and Le Calvé J 1973 *J. Chem. Phys.* **58** 1452–8
- [94] Gordiets B F, Ferreira C M, Guerra V L, Loureiro J M A H, Nahorny J, Pagnon D, Touzeau M and Vialle M 1995 *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **23** 750–67
- [95] Atkinson R, Baulch D L, Hampson R F Jr, Kerr J A and Troe J 1989 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **18** 881–1097
- [96] Simek M 2003 *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **12** 421–31
- [97] Thoman J W Jr, Gray J A, Durant J L Jr and Paul P H 1992 *J. Chem. Phys.* **97** 8156–63
- [98] Lindinger W, Howorka F, Lukac P, Kuhn S, Villinger H, Alge E and Ramler H 1981 *Phys. Rev. A* **23** 2319–26
- [99] Midey A J, Williams S, Miller T M, Larsen P T and Viggiano A A 2002 *J. Phys. Chem. A* **106** 11739–42
- [100] Bortner M H and Baurer T (ed) 1972 *Defense Nuclear Agency Reaction Rate Handbook* 2nd edn (ref. DNA1948H) (Washington, DC: Defense Nuclear Agency)
- [101] Brasseur G and Solomon S 1986 *Aeronomy of the Middle Atmosphere* 2nd edn (Dordrecht: Reidel)
- [102] Kazil J 2002 The University of Bern Atmospheric Ion Model: time-dependent ion modeling in the stratosphere, mesosphere and lower thermosphere *PhD Thesis*
- [103] Albritton D L 1978 *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **22** 1–101
- [104] GRI-MECH 3 Reaction Rate Database <http://www.me.berkeley.edu/gri-mech/>
- [105] Baulch D L, Cox R A, Hampson Jr. R F, Kerr J A, Troe J and Watson R T 1984 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **13** 1259–378
- [106] Ko T and Fontijn A 1991 *J. Phys. Chem.* **95** 3984–7
- [107] Tsang W and Herron J T 1991 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **20** 609–63
- [108] Sander S P (ed) 2006 Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, evaluation number 15 *JPL Publication No 06-2*, 2006 (electronic copies can be downloaded from <http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov/>)
- [109] Brasseur G and Solomon S 2005 *Aeronomy of the Middle Atmosphere* 3rd edn (Dordrecht: Springer)
- [110] Simpson D 1995 *J. Geophys. Res.* **100** 22891–906
- [111] Slanger T G and Black G 1978 *J. Chem. Phys.* **68** 989–97
- [112] McGowan S 1965 *Phys. Med. Biol.* **10** 25–40
- [113] McGowan S 1967 *Can. J. Phys.* **45** 439–48
- [114] Anicich V G 1993 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **22** 1469–569
- [115] Fritzenwallner J and Kopp E 1998 *Adv. Space Res.* **21** 891–4
- [116] Radzig A A and Smirnov B M 1985 *Reference Data on Atoms, Molecules and Ions* (Berlin: Springer)
- [117] Wiese W L, Smith M W and Glennon B M 1966 *Atomic Transition Probabilities: Hydrogen through Neon* NSRDS-NBS 4 vol 1 (Washington, DC: National Bureau of Standards)
- [118] Siskind D E and Summers M E 1998 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25** 2133–6
- [119] Hale L C 1994 *J. Geophys. Res.* **99** 21089–96
- [120] Hunten D M, Roach F M and Chamberlain J W 1956 *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.* **8** 345–6
- [121] De Benedictis S and Dilecce G 1996 *J. Physique III* **6** 1189–204
- [122] De Benedictis S, Dilecce G and Simek M 1997 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **30** 2887–94
- [123] Simek M, Babický V, Clupek M, De Benedictis S, Dilecce G and Sunka P 1998 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **31** 2591–602
- [124] Simek M 2002 *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **35** 1967–80