Thiourea Catalyzed Organocatalytic Enantioselective Michael Addition of Diphenyl Phosphite to Nitroalkenes

Ana Alcaine,^a Eugenia Marqués-López,^b Pedro Merino,^a Tomás Tejero^a and Raquel P. Herrera^{*a,c}

Bifunctional thiourea catalyzes the enantioselective Michael addition reaction of diphenyl phosphite to nitroalkenes. This methodology provides a facile access to enantiomerically enriched β -nitrophosphonates, precursors for the preparation of synthetically and biologically useful β -aminophosphonic acids. DFT level of computational calculations invoke the attack of the diphenyl phosphite to the nitroolefin by the *Re* face, this give light to this scarcely explored process update in the literature. The computational calculations support the absolute configuration obtained in the final adducts.

Introduction

Due to the immense progress in the scientific community, the claim in the organic synthesis has been shifted to the design and synthesis of more complex structures and important targets making essential the search for new methods and new efficient processes.^[1] Organocatalysis has emerged as a powerful tool and as an efficient solution for the rapid and stereoselective construction of significant chiral entities.^[2,3] In the last decade, the enantioselective synthesis of α - and β -aminophosphonic acids and their phosphonate esters has received significant attention owing to their biological activities as structurally analogues to α - and β -amino acids.^[4,5] It is not surprising that the absolute configuration of the stereogenic α - or β -carbon in these phosphonyl compounds strongly influences their biological properties.^[6] In this context, great efforts have been focused toward the development of excellent asymmetric methods for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure α -aminophosphonic acids.^[4,7] However, the strategies for the synthesis of β -aminophosphonic acids has been less studied^[5] and only a few examples based in organocatalytic protocols have been scarcely investigated to date.^[8] The Michael addition of phosphorus compounds to nitroolefins provides a straightforward and convenient method for synthesizing P-C bonds.^[9,10] Two interesting and pioneer organocatalytic enantioselective methods concerning Michael addition of diphenyl phosphite have been reported using chiral guanidine **1** and quinine **2** (Figure 1).^[8a,b] Very recently, Rawal and co-workers reported the Michael addition of phosphite to nitroalkenes catalyzed by squaramide **3**.^[8c] Therefore, the development of new and efficient catalytic methodologies to obtain β -nitrophosphonates are still of remarked importance. Among the great number of organocatalysts developed until now, thioureas/ureas have attracted a great attention in the scientific community from pioneering examples reported by Curran and co-workers,^[11] as suitable catalysts for a great number of efficient processes.^[12] Herein, we report the organocatalytic enantioselective Michael addition of diphenyl phosphites to nitroolefins affording β -nitrophosphonates enantiomerically enriched using bifunctional thiourea **4a**. Michael adducts could

be further conveniently transformed to chiral β -aminophosphonic acids following the procedures previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

Fig. 1 Catalysts employed in the phospha-Michael addition reaction

Results and discussion

Based on our experience using thiourea catalysts^[13] and the demonstrated ability of these molecules activating nitro groups as proposed by several authors^[14,15] we decided to explore and study these structures in the asymmetric phospha-Michael reaction of nitroalkenes (Figure 2, Table 1). Thioureas **4b-h** were first synthesized following our previously reported procedure, depicted in Scheme 1.^[13b,e] This easy method allows access to a great variety of this kind of structures. A tab stop has been set in the style to allow for easy indenting of text (although the first paragraph and paragraphs that follow headings should not be indented).

Scheme 1 Synthesis of chiral thioureas

These synthesized thioureas (**4b-h**), as well as others commercially available (**4a** and **4i-k**) (Figure 2) were tested as catalysts in a model hydrophosphonylation reaction using *trans*- β -nitrostyrene (**5a**) as substrate, and the results are summarized in

Table 1.

Fig. 2 Thiourea catalysts tested

Table 1 Screening of thiourea catalysts **4a-k**.^a

Entry	catalyst	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1 ^d	4a	90	70
2	4b	67	5
3	4c	67	Rac. ^e
4	4d	78	3
5	4e	63	Rac. ^e
6	4f	50	Rac. ^e
7	4g	60	Rac. ^e
8 ^d	4h	86	9
9	4i	45	Rac. ^e
10	4j	79	Rac. ^e
11	4k	76	Rac. ^e

^a Experimental conditions: To a solution of nitroalkene (**5a**) (0.4 mmol) and corresponding catalyst **4a-k** (10 mol%) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL), *i*Pr₂EtN (10 mol%) and diphenyl phosphite **6a** (0.4 mmol) were added at room temperature. ^b After isolation by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak IA). ^d Reaction performed in absence of *i*Pr₂EtN. ^e Racemic sample.

Among all thioureas tested in this process, only **4a** afforded promising results in terms of both reactivity and enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1).^[16] In the other cases, the addition of an external base was always required in order to observe reactivity,^[17] except for catalyst **4h**,^[18] which also has 1

You can also put lists into the text. a Brønsted base moiety in

its structure (Table 1, entry 8). However unfortunately, the final products were obtained with very poor enantioselectivities. On the basis of this observation, it is conceivable that the presence of the Brønsted base and the thiourea moiety placed on the right position in the same molecular structure is crucial for the success of this protocol. Maybe, due to formation of a rigid transition state, leading to higher values of enantioselectivity.

Catalysts **4c**, **4e**, and **4g** were used expecting a bifunctional mode of action of these structures, since the possible bifunctional character of such catalysts has been already proposed when the thiourea moiety and the hydroxy group, which would activate the nucleophile, are present in the same skeleton (Figure 3).^[13b,e] However in this case, the coordination of the nucleophile with the H of the hydroxyl group seems to be neither effective nor efficient enough to promote the reaction in an enantioselective way.

Fig. 3 Bifunctional mode of action

The bifunctional role that catalyst **4h** may play in similar processes has been also previously proposed and discussed.^[13d,18] In fact, in the current reaction, catalyst **4h** gave slightly the second best result, which is in agreement with the importance of having both moieties (the Brønsted base and the thiourea) in the same structure. Furthermore, bis-thiourea catalyst **4d**^[19] has been also invoked to react as bifunctional catalyst interacting with both

nucleophile and electrophile by hydrogen-bonds. To further explore the viability of this phospho-Michael addition, different parameters were investigated using *trans*- β -nitrostyrene (**5a**) as the test substrate and the previous best catalyst (**4a**) (Table 2).

Table 2 Screening for the organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition reaction of phosphite **6a** to *trans*- β -nitrostyrene (**5a**).^a

Entry	solvent (mL)	4a (%)	T (°C)	time (h)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	Xylene (1)	10	r.t.	10 min	85	58
2	Toluene (1)	10	r.t.	10 min	85	60
3	CH ₃ CN (1)	10	r.t.	24	25	60
4	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	10	r.t.	72	90	70
5	CHCl ₃ (1)	10	r.t.	96	26	54
6	THF (1)	10	r.t.	96	n.r. ^d	n.r. ^d
7	Et ₂ O (1)	10	r.t.	96	n.r. ^d	n.r. ^d
8	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	15	r.t.	72	85	68
9	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	5	r.t.	72	n.d. ^e	66
10	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (2)	10	r.t.	72	n.d. ^e	68
11	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (0.5)	10	r.t.	48	85	72
12 ^f	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	10	r.t.	12	85	60
13	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	10	-10	120	39	78
14	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (0.5)	10	-10	72	95	76
15	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	20	-10	24	65	72
16 ^f	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1)	20	-10	12	85	72

^a Experimental conditions (unless specified): To a solution of nitroalkene (**5a**) (0.4 mmol) and catalyst **4a** (10 mol%) in the corresponding solvent (1 mL), diphenyl phosphite **6a** (0.4 mmol) was added at room temperature. ^b After isolation by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak IA). ^d Not reaction observed. ^e Not determined. ^f 2 equiv. of diphenyl phosphite **6a**.

In an initial screening of solvents at room temperature, while THF and Et₂O showed not to be effective for this reaction (entries 6-7), toluene, xylene, CH₃CN, CHCl₃, and CH₂Cl₂ provided the final product with promising values of enantioselectivity (entries 1-5). Finally, CH₂Cl₂ was the solvent of choice for further variations, since it led to the highest yield and enantioselectivity (entry 4), even when longer reaction time was required compared with toluene or xylene (entries 1-2). Variation of the catalyst loading (entries 8-9), dilution of the reaction mixture (entry 10) or the addition of an excess of phosphite (entry 12) had a negative effect on the enantioselectivity and the yield. On the other hand, increasing the concentration accelerated the reaction giving comparable results (entry 11). Lowering the temperature to -10 °C improved the enantioselectivity at the expense of reactivity and yield (entry 13). Thus, the combination of both variations (concentration and cooling down to -10 °C) led to a slight increase in the asymmetric induction as well as in the the yield, providing finally the best reaction conditions (entry 14). However, due to the interesting short reaction time required when

toluene was used (Table 2, entry 2), we could not discard this solvent before further investigations were carried out (Table 3).

Table 3 Screening of different reaction conditions using toluene as solvent.^a

Entry	solvent (mL)	6a (eq.)	time (h)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	2	1	12	62	66
2	2.5	1	120	34	64
3	3	1	120	n.d. ^d	60
4 ^h	1	1	72	n.d. ^d	66
5 ⁱ	1	1	12	78	62
6	1	2	5 min	84	62
7	2	2	5 min	80	60
8	2	0.9	12	80	60

^a Experimental conditions: To a solution of nitroalkene (**5a**) (0.4 mmol) and catalyst **4a** (10 mol%) in toluene (1 mL), diphenyl phosphite **6a** (0.4 mmol) was added. ^b After isolation by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak IA). ^d Not determined. ^h 5 mol% of catalyst **4a**. ⁱ Reaction performed at 0 °C.

Unfortunately, any of the variations performed in the concentration of the solution (entries 1-3,7-8), catalyst loading (entry 4), temperature (entry 5), and amount of diphenyl phosphite (**6a**) (entry 6-8) did not afford better results in comparison with those achieved using CH₂Cl₂ as solvent. We observed the fast precipitation of the final product in the reaction media, due to its insolubility in toluene. This fact accelerates the process but it does not provide better enantioselectivity.

The effect of other different nucleophilic dialkyl phosphites [diethyl phosphite (**6b**), dimethyl phosphite (**6c**), diisopropyl phosphite (**6d**) and dibenzyl phosphite (**6e**)] were also explored in order to improve the enantioselectivity of the Michael reaction (Figure 4).

Fig. 4 Dialkyl phosphites tested

However, under the best reaction conditions, after 3 days, any of these dialkyl phosphites (**6b-e**) were reactive enough. Thus, only diphenyl phosphite (**6a**) furnished the corresponding final product **7a** with good results. The lack of reactivity and the dramatic descent of the reaction rates using these dialkyl phosphites (**6b-e**) might be due to the drastic variation of its *pK_a* values,^[20] as we observed in a previous work.^[21]

Having established the optimal reaction conditions, we further explored the scope of this 1,4-Michael addition for various substituted nitroolefines **5b-l**. Corresponding derivatives **7a-l** were furnished with very good yields and good enantioselectivities in CH₂Cl₂ at -10 °C (Table 4).

Table 4 Organocatalytic enantioselective 1,4-Michael addition of phosphite **6a** to nitroalkenes **5a-l** catalyzed by thiourea **4a**.^a

Entry	R	time (days)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	Ph (7a)	3	95	76
2	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (7b)	3	81	74
3	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (7c)	3	79	78
4	2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (7d)	3	75	73
5	2-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ (7e)	3	79	76
6	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ (7f)	3	87	73
7	4-FC ₆ H ₄ (7g)	4	60	72
8	2-furyl (7h)	3	67	76
9	4-BrC ₆ H ₄ (7i)	3	79	76
10	4-BnOC ₆ H ₄ (7j)	3	81	78
11	Cy (7k)	3	82	72
12	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ (7l)	3	60	68

^a See Experimental Section. ^b After isolation by column chromatography.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak IA and IB).

model reagent, to both *Re* and *Si* faces of the nitroalkene. For each enantiotopic face, the attack can occur from above or below, leading to four transition states. Among these transition states, those corresponding to the upper attack (**TS-1** and **TS-2**) are lower in energy (Figure 5). The key proton abstraction from the developing phosphite anion by the dimethylamino group^[25] helps in stabilizing the transition states and it is responsible of the enantioselective approach of the nucleophile to the nitroalkene. From the two possible orientations of the double bond, that corresponding to the *Re* attack and leading to (*R*)-isomer, resulted the most stable by 1.39 kcal/mol, thus being in good qualitative agreement with the experimental results.

Fig. 5 Transition state models

In contrast to the *Si*-facial attack, *Re*-facial approach of the phosphite is located at the less sterically demanding upper right-hand quadrant. Therefore, **TS-1** is more stabilized than **TS-2** because a lower steric repulsion between the phosphite nucleophile and the inside-oriented phenyl group of the nitroalkene. These results are also in agreement with similar theoretical studies reported by Papai and co-workers^[25a] for the thiourea catalyzed nucleophilic addition of acetylacetone to nitrostyrene. The C-P distances in the transition states were found to be very similar, 2.76 Å and 2.80 Å for **TS-1** and **TS-2**, respectively indicating early transition states in which the electrostatic interaction between the phosphite and the dimethylamino group at the catalyst is crucial for approaching the reagents. The possibility of hydrogen bonding between the nitro group and the thiourea moiety through an only oxygen atom as recently proposed by other authors^[26] has also been considered, but in all cases higher energy stationary points have been found in close agreement with previous calculations made by Papai in which it was demonstrated the preference by a substrate binding through bidentate H-bonds with the nitroalkene.^[25a] Higher level calculations considering solvent correction are undergoing in our group to confirm these preliminary results and will be reported in

due course.

Conclusions

In summary, we have reported a simple and enantioselective organocatalytic phospho-Michael reaction catalyzed by commercially available Takemoto's thiourea catalyst **4a**. Thus, this methodology provides a facile access to the corresponding enantiomerically enriched β -nitrophosphonates in good yields. The limited number of catalytic enantioselective methods for the synthesis of β -nitrophosphonates by straightforward hydrophosphonylation of nitroalkenes,^[8,27] and the high synthetic versatility of these products make this new approach very attractive for the synthesis of optically active β -aminophosphonic acids. DFT level of computational calculations appeal to the attack of the diphenyl phosphite to the nitroolefin by the *Re* face, this shed light on this process which has been scarcely explored up to now in the literature. We have invoked the importance, efficiency and utility of bifunctional catalysts and we will study the design and synthesis of new bifunctional thioureas. Future research will be carried out concerning to the improvement of enantiocontrol of this process.

Due to the extremely simple operational procedure our methodology is very accessible and it increases the utility and interest of the work in this growing area of the use of thioureas as organocatalysts.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN, Madrid, Spain. Projects CTQ2009-09028 and CTQ2010-19606) and the Government of Aragón (Zaragoza, Spain. Project PI064/09 and Research Groups, E-10) for financial support of our research. E. M.-L. thanks Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship and CSIC for a JAE-Doc contract. We are also grateful to David Roca-López (Universidad de Zaragoza) for his help and encouragement. R. P. H. thanks the Aragón I+D Foundation for her permanent contract.

Experimental section

General Information

Purification of reaction products was carried out by flash chromatography using silical-gel (0.040-0.063 mm). Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on 0.25 mm silical gel 60-F plates. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz; ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz and 75 MHz; CDCl₃ as solvent. Chemical shifts were reported in the δ scale relative to residual CHCl₃ (7.26 ppm) for ¹H-NMR and to the central line of CDCl₃ (77.0 ppm) for ¹³C-NMR.

Materials. All commercially available solvents and reagents were used as received. Chiral thioureas catalysts were obtained following the literature procedure: **4b**,^[28] **4c**,^[13e] **4d**,^[18a] **4e-g**,^[13e] and **4h**.^[29] The ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra for the compounds **7a-d, h-i, k, l** are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8]

Representative experimental procedure for enantioselective 1,4-addition reaction of diphenyl phosphite (**6a**) with nitroalkenes (**5a-l**) catalyzed by thiourea **4a**.

To a solution of nitroalkene (**5a-l**) (0.4 mmol) and catalyst **4a** (10 mol%) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL), in a test tube, diphenyl phosphite (**6a**) (0.4 mmol) was added. After the appropriate reaction time, at -10 °C, the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc 7:3) to afford the adducts **7a-l** as white solid. Yields and spectral and analytical data for compounds **7a-l** are as follows:

(R)-Diphenyl 2-nitro-1-phenylethylphosphonate (**7a**)

Following the general procedure, compound **7a** was obtained after 3 days at -10 °C as a white solid in 95% yield. Mp. 154-160 °C. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IA column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 60:40, flow rate 0.5, mL/min, λ = 254 nm): τ_{major} = 18.7 min; τ_{minor} = 21.0 min. HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₁₈NNaO₅P 406.0820; found 406.0812 [M^+ + Na]. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ = -1.24 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃, 76% ee). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

(R)-Diphenyl 2-nitro-1-*p*-tolylethylphosphonate (**7b**)

Following the general procedure, compound **7b** was obtained after 3 days at -10 °C as a white solid in 81% yield. Mp. 116-119 °C. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, λ = 254 nm): τ_{major} = 22.1 min; τ_{minor} = 15.3 min. HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₂₀NNaO₅P 420.0977; found 420.0964 [M^+ + Na]. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ = -3.92 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃, 74% ee). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8b]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (**7c**)

Following the general procedure, compound **7c** was obtained after 3 days at -10 °C as a white solid in 79% yield. Mp. 133-137 °C. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, λ = 254 nm): τ_{major} = 28.2 min; τ_{minor} = 22.9 min. HRMS calcd C₂₁H₂₀NNaO₆P 436.0926; found 436.0920 [M^+ + Na]. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ = -0.48 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃, 78% ee). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (**7d**)

Following the general procedure, compound **7d** was obtained after 3 days at -10 °C as a white solid in 75% yield. Mp. 85-88 °C. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, λ = 254 nm): τ_{major} = 17.6 min; τ_{minor} = 13.3 min. HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₂₀NNaO₆P 436.0926; found 436.0929 [M^+ + Na]. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ = +10.5 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃, 73% ee). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

(R)-Diphenyl 2-nitro-1-(2-trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethylphosphonate (7e)

Following the general procedure, compound **7e** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a viscous yellow liquid in 79% yield. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 14.1\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 10.5\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{17}\text{F}_3\text{NNaO}_5\text{P}$ 474.0694; found 474.0684 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = +10.3$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 76% ee). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.90 (ddd, $^2J_{\text{H-P}} = 24.3$, $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 9.6$, $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 5.3\text{ Hz}$, 1H, CH), 5.02-5.10 (m, 1H, CH_2), 5.19-5.26 (m, 1H, CH_2), 6.64-6.65 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.65-6.67 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.01-7.06 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.09-7.16 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.17-7.22 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.30-7.35 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.42-7.47 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.53-7.57 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.72-7.74 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.82-7.84 (m, 1H, Ar). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 38.8 (d, $J = 143.4\text{ Hz}$), 75.4 (d, $J = 2.3\text{ Hz}$), 119.7 (d, $J = 4.6\text{ Hz}$), 120.4 (d, $J = 4.1\text{ Hz}$), 125.3 (d, $J = 1.2\text{ Hz}$), 125.9 (d, $J = 1.2\text{ Hz}$), 127.1 (m), 128.9 (d, $J = 3.5\text{ Hz}$), 129.6, 129.6, 129.7, 129.8, 130.0 (d, $J = 1.2\text{ Hz}$), 132.5 (d, $J = 3.5\text{ Hz}$), 147.8 (d, $J = 9.8\text{ Hz}$), 148.1 (d, $J = 9.3\text{ Hz}$).

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7f)

Following the general procedure, compound **7f** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a white solid in 87% yield. M.p. $134\text{--}137^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 28.9\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 17.8\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{17}\text{ClNNaO}_5\text{P}$ 440.0431; found 440.0430 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = -6.2$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 73% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7g)

Following the general procedure, compound **7g** was obtained after 4 days at -10°C as a white solid in 60% yield. M.p. $130\text{--}134^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 26.7\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 15.0\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{17}\text{FNNaO}_5\text{P}$ 424.0726; found 424.0724 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = +0.23$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 72% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a]

(S)-Diphenyl 1-(furan-2-yl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7h)

Following the general procedure, compound **7h** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a white solid in 67% yield. M.p. $82\text{--}85^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 21.8\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 12.1\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{NNaO}_6\text{P}$ 396.0613; found 396.062 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = -9.0$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 76% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7i)

Following the general procedure, compound **7i** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a white solid in 79% yield. M.p. $134\text{--}137^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel

Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 30.6\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 21.0\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{17}\text{BrNNaO}_5\text{P}$ 483.9925; found 483.9960 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = -8.7$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 76% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8a,b]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7j)

Following the general procedure, compound **7j** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a yellow solid in 81% yield. M.p. $122\text{--}126^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 40.2\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 28.1\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{24}\text{NNaO}_6\text{P}$ 512.1239; found 512.1242 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = -1.0$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 78% ee). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.37 (ddd, $^2J_{\text{H-P}} = 24.5$, $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 11.1$, $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 4.6\text{ Hz}$, 1H, CH), 5.00-5.10 (m, 1H, CH_2), 5.04 (s, 2H, OCH_2), 5.13-5.19 (m, 1H, CH_2), 6.75-6.77 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.95-6.95 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.07-7.10 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.15-7.20 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.28-7.43 (m, 9H, Ar). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 42.7 (d, $J = 141.9\text{ Hz}$), 70.1, 75.2 (d, $J = 5.9\text{ Hz}$), 115.6 (d, $J = 2.2\text{ Hz}$), 115.8, 120.2 (d, $J = 4.4\text{ Hz}$), 120.4 (d, $J = 4.4\text{ Hz}$), 122.5 (d, $J = 8.1\text{ Hz}$), 125.5, 125.6 (d, $J = 24.2\text{ Hz}$), 127.5, 128.1, 128.7, 129.7, 130.0, 136.6, 130.5 (d, $J = 6.6\text{ Hz}$), 149.9 (d, $J = 10.2\text{ Hz}$), 150.0 (d, $J = 9.5\text{ Hz}$), 159.2 (d, $J = 2.9\text{ Hz}$).

(R)-Diphenyl 1-cyclohexyl-2-nitroethylphosphonate (7k)

Following the general procedure, compound **7k** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a white solid in 82% yield. M.p. $108\text{--}111^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IB column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 7.5\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 6.7\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{NNaO}_5\text{P}$ 412.1290; found 412.1290 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = -8.27$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 72% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8b]

(R)-Diphenyl 1-nitro-4-phenylbutan-2-ylphosphonate (7l)

Following the general procedure, compound **7l** was obtained after 3 days at -10°C as a white solid in 60% yield. M.p. $70\text{--}75^{\circ}\text{C}$. The ee of the product was determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak IA column (*n*-hexane/*i*-PrOH = 95:5, flow rate 1 mL/min, $\lambda = 254\text{ nm}$): $\tau_{\text{major}} = 43.1\text{ min}$; $\tau_{\text{minor}} = 47.1\text{ min}$. HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{22}\text{NNaO}_5\text{P}$ 434.1133; found 434.1145 [$\text{M}^+ + \text{Na}$]. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = +4.70$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl_3 , 68% ee). The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.^[8c]

Notes and references

- ^a Laboratorio de Síntesis Asimétrica, Departamento de Química Orgánica. Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón. Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC. E-50009 Zaragoza, Aragón, Spain Fax: +34 976762075; Tel: +34 976762281; E-mail: raquelph@unizar.es
- ^b Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Dortmund, Otto-Hahn-Str. 6. 44227 Dortmund, Germany
- ^c ARAID, Fundación Aragón I+D, E-50004 Zaragoza, Spain
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds

7e,j and HPLC chromatograms for all compounds **7a-l**. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 *Asymmetric Synthesis: The Essentials*, 2nd edition (Eds.: M. Christmann, S. Bräse), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2007.
- 2 For selected reviews on organocatalysis, see for example: (a) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5138; (b) A. Berkessel, H. Gröger, *Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2004; (c) *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37** (8), special issue on organocatalysis; (d) J. Seayed, B. List, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 719; (e) *Enantioselective Organocatalysis* (Ed.: P. I. Dalko), Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2007; (f) *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107** (12), special issue on organocatalysis; (g) A. Dondoni, A. Massi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4638; (h) P. Melchiorre, M. Marigo, A. Carlone, G. Bartoli, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6138; (i) A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2008, **3**, 922; (j) *Asymmetric Phase Transfer Catalysis* (Ed.: K. Maruoka), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008; (k) S. Bertelsen, K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2178.
- 3 For applications of organocatalysis in the synthesis of natural products and non-natural biologically active molecules, see: (a) R. M. de Figueiredo, M. Christmann, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 2575; (b) E. Marqués-López, R. P. Herrera, M. Christmann, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2010, **27**, 1138.
- 4 For a recent review about β -aminophosphonic acids, see: M. Ordóñez, H. Rojas-Cabrera, C. Cativiela, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 17.
- 5 For a review on α -aminophosphonic acids, see: F. Palacios, C. Alonso, J. M. de los Santos, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 899.
- 6 For the biological activity of α -aminophosphonic acids, see: *Aminophosphonic and aminophosphinic acids: Chemistry and Biological Activity* (Eds.: V. P. Kukhar, H. R. Hudson), Wiley, NY, 2000.
- 7 For reviews about enantioselective synthesis of α -aminophosphonate derivatives, see: (a) J.-A. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 630; (b) P. Merino, E. Marqués-López, R. P. Herrera, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 1195.
- 8 (a) J. Wang, L. D. Heikkinen, H. Li, L. Zu, W. Jiang, H. Xie, W. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1052; (b) M. Terada, T. Ikehara, H. Ube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 14112. This work was published during the preparation of this manuscript: (c) Y. Zhu, J. P. Malerich, V. H. Rawal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 153.
- 9 For a recent review of phospho-Michael reaction with electron deficient alkenes, see: D. Enders, A. Saint-Dizier, M.-I. Lannou, A. Lenze, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 29.
- 10 For organocatalytic enantioselective Michael addition reactions of other phosphorus nucleophiles using electron deficient alkenes, see: (a) G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, A. Carlone, M. Locatelli, A. Mazzanti, L. Sambri, P. Melchiorre, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 722; (b) A. Carlone, G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, L. Sambri, P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4504; (c) I. Ibrahim, R. Rios, J. Vesely, P. Hammar, L. Eriksson, F. Himo, A. Códova, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4507; (d) E. Maerten, S. Cabrera, A. Kjaersgaard, K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 8893; (e) S. Wen, P. Li, H. Wu, F. Yu, X. Liang, J. Ye, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4806; (f) T. S. Pham, L. Balázs, I. Petneházy, Z. Jászay, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2010, **21**, 346. For 1,4-addition reaction of nitroalkenes with dialkyl phosphites catalyzed by achiral guanidine derivatives, see: (g) Z. Jiang, Y. Zhang, W. Ye, C.-H. Tan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 51.
- 11 (a) D. P. Curran, L. H. Kuo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 3259; (b) D. P. Curran, L. H. Kuo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 6647.
- 12 (a) P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, **32**, 289; (b) Y. Takemoto, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 4299; (c) S. J. Connon, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 5418; (d) M. S. Taylor, E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 1520; (e) A. G. Doyle, E. N. Jacobsen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5713; (f) Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1187; (g) E. Marqués-López, R. P. Herrera, *An. Quim.*, 2009, **105**, 5.
- 13 (a) G. Dessole, R. P. Herrera, A. Ricci, *Synlett*, 2004, 2374-2378; (b) R. P. Herrera, V. Sgarzani, L. Bernardi, A. Ricci, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6576; (c) D. Pettersen, R. P. Herrera, L. Bernardi, F. Fini, V. Sgarzani, R. Fernández, J. M. Lassaletta, A. Ricci, *Synlett*, 2006, 239; (d) L. Bernardi, F. Fini, R. P. Herrera, A. Ricci, V. Sgarzani, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 375; (e) R. P. Herrera, D. Monge, E. Martín-Zamora, R. Fernández, J. M. Lassaletta, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3303.
- 14 For the recognition of the nitro group by urea moieties, see: (a) M. C. Etter, T. W. Panunto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5896; (b) M. C. Etter, Z. Urbaničzyk-Lipkowska, M. Zia-Ebrahimi, T. W. Panunto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 8415; (c) T. R. Kelly, M. H. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 7072.
- 15 For selected examples, see: (a) J. Wang, H. Li, W. Duan, L. Zu, W. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 4713; (b) H. Huang, E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 7170; (c) D. A. Yalalov, S. B. Tsogoeva, S. Schmatz, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 826; (d) Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Synthesis*, 2007, 2559; (e) Z.-H. Zhang, X.-Q. Dong, D. Chen, C.-J. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 8780.
- 16 For the first examples using catalyst **4a**, see: (a) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, Y. Takemoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 12672; (b) T. Okino, S. Nakamura, T. Furukawa, Y. Takemoto, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 625; (c) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu, Y. Takemoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 119.
- 17 In absence of $i\text{Pr}_2\text{EtN}$ we did not observe the final adduct after 3 days of reaction.
- 18 For examples of use of **4h** as bifunctional catalyst, see: (a) D. Pettersen, F. Piana, L. Bernardi, F. Fini, M. Fochi, V. Sgarzani, A. Ricci, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 7805; (b) H. S. Rho, S. H. Oh, J. W. Lee, J. Y. Lee, J. Chin, C. E. Song, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1208; (c) D. R. Li, A. Murugan, J. R. Falck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 46.
- 19 (a) Y. Sohtome, A. Tanatani, Y. Hashimoto, K. Nagasawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 5589. (b) Y. Zhang, Y.-K. Liu, T.-R. Kang, Z.-K. Hu, Y.-C. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2456.
- 20 For the pK_a 's values of different phosphorus nucleophiles, see: J.-N. Li, L. Liu, Y. Fu, Q.-X. Guo, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **19**, 2335.
- 21 R. P. Herrera, D. Roca-López, G. Navarro-Moros, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 1450.
- 22 For reviews about thioureas as bifunctional organocatalysts, see: (a) H. Miyabe, Y. Takemoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2008, **81**, 785; (b) S. J. Connon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2499.
- 23 Y. Hoashi, T. Okino, Y. Takemoto, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4032.
- 24 All calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 suite of programs: Gaussian 09, Revision A.1, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 25 (a) A. Hamza, G. Schubert, T. Soós, I. Pápai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13151; (b) R. Zhu, D. Zhang, J. Wu, C. Liu, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2006, **17**, 1611; (c) P. Hammar, T. Marcelli, H. Hiemstra, F. Himo, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 2537.
- 26 B.-L. Li, Y.-F. Wang, S.-P. Luo, A.-G. Zhong, Z.-B. Li, X.-H. Du, D.-Q. Xu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 656.
- 27 For the single enantioselective Michael addition reaction of dialkyl phosphites to nitroalkenes catalyzed by aluminum lithiumbis(binaphthoxide), see: V. Rai, I. N. N. Namboothiri, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2008, **19**, 2335.
- 28 E. M. Fleming, T. McCabe, S. J. Connon, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, **47**, 7037.
- 29 B. Vakula, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Soós, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 1967.