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1. Introduction

Neutrinos are among the most abundant particles in our Universe and therefore play an important role in the formation of large-scale structure. In the past 10 years neutrino oscillation experiments have verified that neutrinos have small, but non-zero masses and that they therefore contribute to the dark matter density in the Universe.

Neutrino oscillation experiments have established the existence of two distinct neutrino mass splittings, $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m_i^2 - m_j^2$. $\Delta m^2_{12} \simeq 7 \times 10^{-5}$ eV$^2$ has been found by observing Solar and reactor neutrinos, and $|\Delta m^2_{23}| \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$ by observing atmospheric neutrinos and by accelerator experiments (see e.g. [1, 2] for recent data analyses). This gives a lower bound on the sum of the neutrino masses which is $\sum m_\nu \gtrsim 0.05$ eV for the normal hierarchy and $\sum m_\nu \gtrsim 0.1$ eV for the inverted hierarchy.

Using a combination of cosmological observables such as the cosmic microwave background, large scale structure, and type Ia supernovae a bound on the sum of neutrino masses of $\sum m_\nu \lesssim 0.2 - 0.7$ eV (95% C.L.) has been derived [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. The actual value of the bound depends both on the specific combination of data sets used and on the cosmological model. At the moment a fairly robust upper limit can be taken to be roughly $\sum m_\nu \lesssim 0.6 - 0.7$ eV.

This bound mainly uses structure formation data in the linear regime ($k \lesssim 0.15 h$ Mpc$^{-1}$), but even on such large scales some non-linear contamination is present and must be modelled. This has been done in detail for variations of the standard $\Lambda$CDM model, but so far not in a truly consistent way for models which include massive neutrinos.

For the study of neutrino mass bounds with present data the current precision of theoretical power spectrum calculations in the regime $k \sim 0.1 - 1 h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ is sufficient in the sense that the final result does not depend very significantly on the method used (however, see e.g. [11] for an exception). However, future high precision lensing and galaxy redshift surveys, such as the LSST [12], will constrain the matter power spectrum to percent level precision on scales of $k \sim 0.1 - 1 h$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

At this level of accuracy the inclusion of neutrinos in the calculation of the matter power spectrum will be crucial, even for neutrino masses close to the current lower bound. While the effect of neutrinos in the linear theory power spectrum is understood in detail much work remains to be done in the semi-linear and non-linear regimes. Semi-analytic models of non-linear structure formation such as the halo model must always be checked against $N$-body simulations, and so far $N$-body simulations with neutrinos included have been too simplistic.

The standard method for including neutrinos in $N$-body simulations has always been to include a separate species of non-relativistic matter with a transfer function different from cold dark matter (CDM). This method was developed in the early 90s [14, 13] and was precise enough for data analysis at the time. However, the method fails to take into account the fact that neutrinos have thermal velocities which exceed typical gravitational flow velocities by an order of magnitude or more, even at low redshift.
Including neutrino thermal velocities in an $N$-body simulation is not without problems because the thermal motion introduces a noise term which will affect the underlying gravitational evolution unless high resolution is used.

The problem is similar to the well-known problem that particles in an $N$-body simulation must be put in a configuration like a grid or a glass which respects small-scale homogeneity in order not to introduce a large Poisson noise term. When thermal velocities are included momentum phase space must be sampled and the initial thermal velocities should preferably be chosen in a way where small-scale momentum conservation is enforced.

In the present paper we present the first $N$-body simulations in which neutrino thermal velocities have been included and convergent results achieved for $k < 1.5 h \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. We note another recent paper [15] in which thermal motion has been included for warm dark matter, a set-up somewhat different from what is studied here. Neutrino clustering in the small-scale limit has been studied using a completely different approach [16, 17] where the full Boltzmann equation has been solved for neutrinos in a background given by pure CDM, i.e. no feedback is included and neutrinos are treated as tracer particles in the underlying gravitational potential. This method allows for arbitrarily high resolution, but is not truly self-consistent.

In Section 2 we describe the linear theory evolution and the set-up of initial conditions for our neutrino $N$-body simulations. In Section 3 we describe how our $N$-body simulations are performed, and in Section 4 the results are described in detail. Finally, section 5 contains a discussion and our conclusions.

2. Linear Evolution of Perturbations and Initial Conditions

2.1. Linear Theory

Evolving the primordial density perturbations set down by inflation to the present involves several steps. As long as perturbations remain small the evolution can be calculated precisely using the linearised Einstein and Boltzmann equations [18], using software such as CAMB [22] or CMBFAST [23].

In this regime the power spectrum can be factorised into a primordial component, $P_0(k)$, and a transfer function (TF), $T(k, z)$, which contains all information about the evolution of structure so that $P(k, z) = P_0(k)T^2(k, z)$.

However, once structure enters the non-linear regime precision studies require the use of $N$-body simulations. To set up the initial conditions (ICs) for our simulations we have calculated the TFs using CMBFAST.

We have assumed a flat cosmological model with density parameters $\Omega_b = 0.05$, $\Omega_m = 0.30$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.70$ for the baryon, matter and cosmological constant components, respectively, and a Hubble parameter of $h = 0.70$. We vary the CDM and neutrino density parameters ($\Omega_{CDM}$ and $\Omega_\nu$, respectively) fulfilling the condition $\Omega_{CDM} + \Omega_\nu = 0.25$. We have assumed a primordial power spectrum of the form $P_0(k) = Ak^n$ with
$n = 1$, i.e. a standard scale-invariant Harrison-Zel’dovich spectrum. The amplitude is chosen so as to fit the WMAP normalisation [27] on large scales.

Different TFs at a redshift of 4 are shown in Fig. 1. The effect of neutrino free-streaming on the TF is clearly seen, and is more pronounced in the lower mass neutrino case.

### 2.2. Initial Conditions with Two Species

The TFs are used to generate the position and velocity ICs for the $N$-body particles. The Zel’dovich Approximation (ZA) [32], based on first-order Lagrangian perturbation theory, is a standard way to calculate the ICs. Using second-order Lagrangian perturbation theory (2LPT) [19, 20] it is possible to generate ICs in the quasi-linear regime. We are particularly interested in these second-order corrections because the presence of neutrino thermal velocity noise requires simulations to be started at low redshift, close to the non-linear regime.

We have used a modified version of the 2LPT initial conditions code of [24] to generate the ICs for our simulations. The modifications are described in detail below.

In the $N$-body simulations we include two particle species, CDM and neutrinos. It is not possible to generate particle ICs for each species simultaneously with the ZA+2LPT formalism. Instead we generate ICs one particle species at a time by using their respective TFs (for CDM we have used a weighted sum of the CDM and baryon TFs for consistency, even though this only matters at high redshift). Thereafter, the $N$-body particle masses for each species are scaled so that they make up their proper fraction of $\Omega_m$.

The initial positions for the $N$-body particles are found by adding a displacement field to a regular grid. A standard way to get the particle velocities is to differentiate
Figure 2. Images of the CDM and neutrino density distributions in a slice of the simulation volume. The images span 512  $h^{-1}$ Mpc on a side and has a depth of 10  $h^{-1}$ Mpc. To produce the images we have interpolated the masses of the $N$-body particles to a regular grid with the adaptive smoothing kernel of [25]. The images show the densities for the CDM component (left), neutrinos with $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.6$ eV (middle), and neutrinos with $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.3$ eV (right). The top row is at $z_i = 4$ and the bottom row at $z = 0$. To enhance the dynamic range of the CDM structures the square root has been taken of the CDM density field in the $z = 0$ image. The $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.3$ eV neutrino image at $z = 0$ displays artificial small-scale structures in the voids caused by neutrino $N$-body particle shot-noise. All the images are made from simulations with $512^3$ neutrino $N$-body particles.

the initial position displacements. This procedure involves using several numerically determined fitting factors, and therefore breaks down when two species with different TFS are present since then the growth factor is both species and mode dependent. Instead, we get the velocities by generating two displacement fields centered at our starting redshift and then take the time difference. We have tested that these velocities do not depend on the distance in redshift between the two extra displacement fields in a suitable range around our starting redshift.

2LPT involves a relation between the first- and second-order growth factors. But since the perturbed energy density even at $z_i = 4$ ($z_i$ designates the $N$-body starting redshift) is vastly dominated by CDM, we can neglect the neutrino contribution to the driving term for the CDM growth factor since this would give a small correction to a
second-order term. Because the neutrinos are smoothly distributed, first-order theory is very accurate for them, and therefore the second-order corrections give negligible contributions to the neutrinos. We note that this is somewhat similar to the approach taken in Refs. [43, 28, 29] for the case of semi-analytic power spectrum modelling.

In the top row of Fig. 2 the CDM and neutrino distributions are shown at \( z_i = 4 \). Since we run simulations with different numbers of CDM and neutrino \( N \)-body particles, the amplitude and phase of the common large-scale modes of each species have been generated with the same random numbers. Therefore, from Fig. 2 one can identify the components as having the same large-scale structure. Because of the higher value of the CDM TF at small scales, this component has additional clearly visible small-scale structure. As expected, the neutrino distributions are more homogeneous than their CDM counterpart. The \( \sum m_\nu = 0.6 \text{ eV} \) and \( \sum m_\nu = 0.3 \text{ eV} \) distributions are similar at \( z_i = 4 \), with the latter distribution being marginally more homogeneous, as can also be inferred from the TFs in Fig. 1.

2.3. Thermal Velocities

The standard approach in all existing CHDM simulations has been to generate ICs based on the exact linear theory TFs, but then treating neutrinos as a second species of CDM (albeit with a different TF) in the actual \( N \)-body simulation.

However, because of the small neutrino mass the thermal velocities cannot be neglected even at low redshift if percent level precision is to be obtained. Instead, the velocity of a given neutrino \( N \)-body particle contains a thermal component drawn from an equilibrium Fermi-Dirac (FD) distribution. Assuming isotropy for the thermal component the probability, \( Pr \), for a neutrino having a momentum smaller than \( p \) is given by

\[
Pr(< p) = N \int_0^p \frac{p'^2}{e^{p'c/k_bT_\nu} + 1} dp',
\]

where \( N \) is a normalisation which ensures that the probability is bounded between 0 and 1. Fig. 3 shows the cumulative FD distributions for different one-particle neutrino masses. The magnitudes of the neutrino thermal velocities were drawn randomly from the FD velocity distribution, and the directions of the thermal velocities were drawn at random as well. This reflects the fact that the neutrino velocity can be split into two uncorrelated contributions. An equilibrium, random contribution arising from the FD distribution and an out-of-equilibrium gravitational flow contribution found from the ZA+2LPT formalism.

The neutrinos decoupled from the baryon-photon plasma before the annihilation of electrons and positrons, and as a result the neutrino temperature is related to the photon temperature by the approximate relation \( T_\nu \simeq T_\gamma (4/11)^{1/3} \). Assuming \( p \ll m_\nu \) at late times the 3 neutrino generations contribute the following to the present neutrino density parameter [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40]

\[
\Omega_{\nu,0} = \frac{\sum m_\nu}{93.8h^2 \text{eV}}.
\]
The finite number of neutrino $N$-body particles gives rise to noise in the power spectrum. Physically this leads to spuriously strong clustering of neutrinos on small scales, even at early times. As will be discussed below we have carefully checked that this noise term is negligible on the scales of interest in our simulations.

However, since the neutrino thermal velocity distribution redshifts as $a^{-1}$, and the neutrino and CDM out-of-equilibrium density contrasts and velocity fields increase with the expansion of the Universe, it is paramount to start the $N$-body simulations as late, and therefore as close to the non-linear regime, as possible. This in turn constrains the scales on which clustering can be reliably calculated.

We have tested the validity of starting the $N$-body simulations as late as $z_i = 4$. This has been done by starting 4 simulations, at $z_i = 49$, 11.5, 7 and 4, and evolving them to the present. Because of the difficulty of starting a simulation with fast-moving neutrinos at $z = 49$ this has been done with at standard flat $\Lambda CDM$ model with no massive neutrinos. The difference in the matter power spectrum between these simulations is at the few percent level on small scales. Since the neutrino simulations include a smaller amount of CDM this is an upper limit. The 2LPT corrections were crucial for achieving such a small discrepancy. Since we are interested in quantifying the relative effect of including neutrinos, and not the exact absolute value of the matter power spectrum, we are justified in choosing $z_i = 4$ as the lowest starting redshift for our $N$-body simulations. If the absolute power spectrum is desired at the percent level a higher starting redshift should be chosen, but we stress that this will have a minimal effect on the relative change in the matter power spectrum coming from including the neutrino component.
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3. N-body Simulations

The N-body simulations were performed with the publicly available N-body code GADGET-2 [21] run in the hybrid TreePM mode. Gas physics was neglected, since it does not significantly affect the scales of interest.

The N-body simulations are evolved with Newtonian dynamics. There are several reasons for this. First, the ZA+2LPT formalism is strictly Newtonian. Second, even though the neutrino thermal velocities approach up to 10% of the speed of light, the relativistic corrections are much smaller than the desired accuracy.

All the simulations are listed in Table 1 and include 256$^3$ CDM particles in a 512$h^{-1}$Mpc box. This is more than sufficient to get convergence of the CDM power spectrum on the scales of interest here. To test the convergence of the matter and neutrino power spectra we have made runs with different numbers of neutrino N-body particles ($S_{10^{10}m}$).

As a reference, and in order to test how the neutrino thermal velocity affect the power spectra, we have run simulations with 256$^3$ neutrinos with no thermal velocities included ($S_{10^{10}m}$).

We have also tested how much the neutrinos contribute to the matter power spectrum by running simulations with the neutrinos included in the linear evolution but neglecting the perturbed neutrino component in the N-body simulations. Here, the neutrinos were still included in the calculation of the Hubble parameter so that the background evolution is identical. Physically, this corresponds to keeping the neutrino distribution totally homogeneous in the N-body simulation ($S_{10^{10}m}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_\nu$</th>
<th>$m_\nu$ [eV]</th>
<th>$\Omega_{\nu,0}$ [%]</th>
<th>FD</th>
<th>$z_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 7 11.5 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.98 0.98 0.98</td>
<td>0.98 0.98 0.98</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 0.05 0.05</td>
<td>0.05 0.05 0.05</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 0.65 0.65</td>
<td>0.65 0.65 0.65</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.1</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.15 0.15 0.15</td>
<td>0.15 0.15 0.15</td>
<td>No No</td>
<td>4 4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Parameters for our N-body simulations. $N_\nu$ is the number of neutrino N-body particles. $m_\nu$ is the one-particle neutrino mass and in all cases the total neutrino mass is given by $\sum m_\nu = 3m_\nu$. $\Omega_{\nu,0}$ is the fraction of the critical density contributed by the neutrinos today (see Eq. 2), and FD indicates whether or not the neutrinos have been given a thermal velocity from the relativistic Fermi-Dirac distribution (see Eq. 1). Finally, $z_i$ indicates the N-body starting redshift.
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Figure 4. Relative differences in the matter power spectra at $z = 0$ between pure $\Lambda CDM$ models ($S_0^0$) and models with neutrinos included. The differences expected from linear theory are also shown.

The gravitational Tree part in GADGET-2 sets the timestep according to the gravitational acceleration, not the neutrino thermal velocity, so that the fast moving neutrinos may not be accurately evolved. We have tested if the neutrino timestep was small enough to simulate the scales of interest by decreasing it by roughly a factor of 5 in the Tree part ($S_{256}^{256}$). We found no important correction.

4. Results

4.1. Damping and Convergence of the Power Spectrum

Fig. 4 shows the well-known scale dependent damping of power when neutrinos are included. As expected, the damping is much larger in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.6 \, \text{eV}$ case as compared to $\sum m_\nu = 0.15 \, \text{eV}$ because $\Omega_{CDM}$ is smaller in the former case even though the neutrino thermal velocity is largest for the lower mass neutrino.

From Fig. 4 it can be seen that in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.6 \, \text{eV}$ case the damping is independent of $z_i$. Note that the relative decrease in power for a given simulation with neutrinos is taken with respect to a $\Lambda CDM$ simulation started at the same $z_i$. This has been done to remove the dependence on the starting redshift when comparing the models.

Fig. 4 also shows the damping expected from linear theory, which is accurate out to $k \sim 0.2 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. On smaller scales non-linear theory predicts a substantially larger damping of the power spectrum. The departure from linear theory increases with higher $\sum m_\nu$. Note that non-linear theory predicts a turnover in the difference power spectrum. At the starting redshift, $z_i$, this turnover is not present, neither in the TFs nor when the ICs have been calculated. Physically the turnover occurs because of neutrino free-
streaming out of collapsing halos. From a mode-coupling point of view it occurs because the small-scale modes \( (k \sim 1\, h\, \text{Mpc}^{-1}) \) in the simulations with neutrinos get relatively more out of their coupling to the large-scale modes than do the same modes in the pure ΛCDM simulations.

The maximum relative magnitude of the power spectrum suppression is roughly given by

\[
\frac{\Delta P}{P} \bigg|_{\text{max}} \sim -9.6 \frac{\Omega_\nu}{\Omega_m},
\]

which is about 20\% larger than the linear theory prediction of \( \Delta P/P \big|_{\text{lin}} \sim -8\Omega_\nu/\Omega_m \) \cite{11, 12}. With future high precision measurements of the matter power spectrum approaching the 1\% precision on these scales this effect must be taken into account even for hierarchical neutrino masses.

The differences for the matter power spectra in the \( \sum m_\nu = 0.6 \, \text{eV} \) case as a function of different numbers of neutrino \( N \)-body particles are shown in the left panel of Fig. 5. By using different mass assignment methods, Nearest-Grid-Point, deconvolved Cloud-In-Cell, the adaptive smoothing kernel of \cite{25} as well as dividing the simulation volume into equal cubical cells and superposing the particle distributions for attaining higher spacial resolution, we have tested that the power spectra do not have any artificial features caused by the particular mass assignment method used. For all neutrino masses simulated it is necessary to include at least \( 128^3 \) neutrinos in the \( N \)-body simulation and give them a thermal velocity to calculate the matter power spectrum at percent level accuracy on the relevant scales.

The neutrino power spectra for \( \sum m_\nu = 0.6 \, \text{eV} \) as a function of different numbers of neutrino \( N \)-body particles are shown in the right panel of Fig. 5. On small scales the neutrino power spectrum is in most cases completely flat because the coarse sampling
of the neutrino velocity distribution introduces a white noise term. That this is indeed
the reason can be seen from the fact that the noise level decreases rapidly when more
neutrino N-body particles are used. For runs with $128^3$ to $1024^3$ neutrino N-body
particles the noise effect on the matter power spectrum is kept well below the 1% level
on relevant scales. It can also be seen that the neutrino power spectra for $S_{256}^2$ and $S_{512}^2$
converge out to $k \simeq 0.2 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and that the $S_{512}^2$ and $S_{1024}^2$ simulations converge out
to $k \simeq 0.5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

4.2. The Effect of Neglecting the Thermal Component

Fig. 6 shows the effect of neglecting the neutrino thermal velocity in the simulations.
In the figure the power spectra are normalized with respect to the simulation with the
highest number of neutrino N-body particles for a given mass and starting redshift.
As expected, neglecting the thermal velocity component increases the amplitude of the
power spectrum, because without thermal velocities the neutrinos act as an extra CDM
species, and the effect of free-streaming is neglected once the simulation is started. The
effect is more pronounced at smaller scales, and is largest in the highest mass neutrino
case, since it contributes a larger fraction of $\Omega_m$, even though it has a smaller omitted
thermal velocity component than in the cases of the lower mass neutrinos.

For the case of $\sum m_\nu = 0.6 \, \text{eV}$ the effect is as large as $\sim 5\%$ for $z_i = 4$ increasing to
$\sim 10\%$ for $z_i = 11.5$ on scales relevant for future large-scale surveys. For higher starting
redshifts the effect is even larger. We note that for a given starting redshift the effect is
proportional to $\sum m_\nu$ as expected.

For the neutrino masses considered here the effect of neglecting thermal velocities
peaks at a scale given roughly by
\[ k_{\text{max}} \sim 0.3 - 1 \, h \text{Mpc}^{-1}. \] (4)

This characteristic length scale is related to the \( k \)-value corresponding to the mass scale of a halo whose virial velocity is the same as the current thermal velocity of neutrinos \[43\].

### 4.3. High \( z_i \) Low \( m_\nu \) Approximation

Including neutrinos with a total mass in the range \( 0.3 \sim 0.6 \, \text{eV} \) in \( N \)-body simulations can be done consistently even at redshifts required for getting the absolute power spectrum accurately. However, for very light neutrinos, \( \sum m_\nu \sim 0.15 \, \text{eV} \), the thermal velocities are semi-relativistic at \( z = 49 \). This will not only render Newtonian dynamics inaccurately but will also, depending on the number of neutrino \( N \)-body particles used, erase the initial neutrino large-scale structure since typical neutrino gravitational flow velocities are of order \( \sim 5 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \), much smaller than the thermal velocities of individual particles. Furthermore, the high neutrino velocities make the \( N \)-body simulation timestep very short, increasing simulation times substantially.

For these reasons it is desirable to develop an approximate method which can be used to calculate the matter power spectrum at the 1% level without including thermal velocities. In Fig. 7 (left) we show the effect of neglecting the perturbed neutrino component in the \( N \)-body simulations. The CDM \( N \)-body particle mass is still scaled according to the total matter density. As expected this gives less power because of the lack of feedback from the large-scale modes of the neutrino component to the CDM
component. By increasing $z_i$ the neutrino perturbations are omitted over a longer time span and therefore affect and decrease the power spectrum more.

It is important to notice, that this lack of power is very small in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.15$ eV case for $k > 0.1 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, i.e. on scales where non-linear corrections become important.

Ideally, the ratio of a pure $\Lambda CDM$ power spectrum to that of a power spectrum with a given neutrino mass, $P_{\Lambda CDM}/P_{\Lambda CDM}$, should be independent of $N$-body starting redshift. The presence of non-linearities at the time when the ICs are calculated make this power spectrum ratio marginally dependent on $z_i$, which is also visible in Fig. 4 in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.6$ eV case.

Now, focusing on the $\sum m_\nu = 0.15$ eV case and $z_i = 49$, we would like to estimate the error made by not including the perturbed neutrino component in the $N$-body simulation. Because the damping of the power spectrum is nearly independent of $z_i$ it is possible to estimate the matter power spectrum with neutrinos and thermal velocities without actually performing the simulation. Fig. 7 (right) shows the error made by neglecting the perturbed neutrino component. On scales relevant for $N$-body simulations, i.e. $k > 0.1 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$, the error made is at the 1% level. For comparison the effect of neglecting the thermal velocities is also shown, and it can be seen that it is a better approximation to neglect the perturbed neutrino component than to include it but neglect the thermal velocity component.

We have found that the error made by neglecting the perturbed neutrino component is an upper bound for the following reason. In the $\sum m_\nu = 0.6$ eV case we have all the relevant power spectra at starting redshifts of 4 and 11.5. Therefore we can test the validity of estimating the power spectrum with neutrinos and thermal velocities without performing the simulation. The conclusion that the error is an upper bound is only valid since the power spectra for the pure $\Lambda CDM$ simulations started at redshifts of 49 and 11.5 and evolved to the present compared to the power spectrum for a simulation started at a redshift of 4 show the same general features.

We have tried to decrease the error made by neglecting the perturbed neutrino component. This has been done by including the perturbed neutrino component without thermal velocities in the $N$-body simulation, but switching off the effect of the gravitational field on the neutrinos, so that the gravitational flow velocities of the neutrinos at $z_i$ are frozen in time. This should improve the estimate of the matter power spectrum in two ways: First, by including the perturbed neutrino component the power spectrum will increase. Second, by switching off gravity, artificial small-scale structures will not form. With this method, the error was decreased, especially on large scales, in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.6$ eV case for $z_i = 4$, but the error did not approach percent level precision. On the contrary, in the $\sum m_\nu = 0.15$ eV case and for $z_i = 49$ the error increased to the 10-15% level. The reason is that at such a high redshift the neutrino gravitational flow velocities and density perturbations are very small effectively freezing the neutrinos on a regular grid. Because of neutrino $N$-body particle shot-noise this stationary regular grid deflects the CDM particles and therefore reduces the amount of structure forming.
5. Discussion and Conclusions

We have performed a precise calculation of the effect of including neutrino dark matter on the matter power spectrum. The most important difference between our simulations and previous $\nu\Lambda$CDM simulations in the literature is that we have included the effect of thermal neutrino motion directly, and we have shown that this effect changes the matter power spectrum significantly.

Specifically, we find that the suppression of power due to the presence of massive neutrinos is increased by non-linear effects. Whereas in linear theory the suppression of power on small scales is given roughly by $\Delta P/P \sim -8\Omega_\nu/\Omega_m$, the full non-linear calculation gives $\Delta P/P \sim -9.6\Omega_\nu/\Omega_m$ at a scale of $k \sim 0.5-1.0\ Mpc^{-1}$, i.e. an increase of about 20%.

On smaller scales the non-linear contribution to the suppression decreases again. This effect has previously been noted in semi-analytic studies such as [43], and occurs on a scale corresponding to the mass of halos whose virial velocity is equivalent to the current neutrino thermal velocity.

The increased suppression due to non-linear effects is highly relevant for future high precision large-scale structure and weak lensing surveys. Even for neutrino masses approaching the lower bound, found from oscillation experiments, it is large enough to bias the estimate of other cosmological parameters. Conversely, it provides a very distinct signature which could allow for the detection and measurement of even very low-mass neutrinos.

For $k > 0.1\ Mpc^{-1}$, which include the modes for which $N$-body simulations are needed, it is a better approximation to the “true” power spectrum to neglect the perturbed neutrino component than to include it without thermal velocities. In both cases the approximation to the “true” power spectrum is better than if a standard $\Lambda$CDM model was assumed.

But only in our lowest mass neutrino case, $\sum m_\nu = 0.15\ eV$, is the error on the non-linear matter power spectrum made by neglecting the perturbed neutrino component in the $N$-body simulation at the desired 1% level for the relevant modes, even for an $N$-body simulation with a starting redshift as high as 49. The error would be decreased further for smaller neutrino masses.

An alternative method for implementing the physics of neutrinos in $N$-body simulations is to represent the neutrino component as a fluid and solve the corresponding fluid equations on a grid. This method would be particularly useful for neutrino masses close to the lower observational bound.
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