**Ecological aspects of early life stages of *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* (Scyphozoa:
Rhizostomae) affecting its pelagic population success**

Diana Astorga¹, Javier Ruiz¹ and Laura Prieto¹

¹Instituto de Ciencias Marinas de Andalucía (ICMAN-CSIC), República Saharaui 2,
11519 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain

Key words: Jellyfish, Mediterranean Sea, planulae settlement, zooxanthellae, feeding,
growth, reproduction

Corresponding author.

e-mail address: laura.prieto@icman.csic.es

Phone: +34 956 832612 (EXT: 265), FAX: +34 956 834701

Accepted version for Hydrobiologia

Hydrobiologia (2012) 690:141–155
DOI 10.1007/s10750-012-1036-x

Abstract

Cotylorhiza tuberculata is a common symbiotic scyphozoan in the Mediterranean Sea. The medusae occur in extremely high abundances in enclosed coastal areas in the Mediterranean Sea. Previous laboratory experiments identified thermal control on its early life stages as the driver of medusa blooms. In the present study, new ecological aspects were tested in laboratory experiments that support the pelagic population success of this zooxanthellate jellyfish. We hypothesized that planulae larvae would have no settlement preference among substrates and that temperature would affect ephyra development, ingestion rates and daily ration. The polyp budding rate and the onset of symbiosis with zooxanthellae also were investigated. Transmission electron microscopy revealed that zooxanthella infection occurred by the polyp stage. Our results showing no substrate selectivity by planulae and high polyp budding rates in high temperatures suggest increased benthic polyp populations, which would lead to higher medusa abundances. Rates of transition from ephyrae to medusae and the feeding of early medusa stages also increased with temperature. Continuing changes in coastal ecosystems such as future climate warming and marine construction may lead to increased populations of jellyfish to the detriment of fish globally.

Introduction

The worldwide proliferation of marine jellyfish has become a crucial ecological and social issue in recent decades. Most jellyfish compete with fish for food resources and are potential predators of fish eggs and larvae (Möller, 1980). Some gelatinous species appear to be responsible for abrupt changes in the species abundance and composition of zooplankton, ichthyoplankton, and/or fish (Vinogradov & Shushkina, 1992; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2002; Richardson et al., 2009). Mass-occurrences of jellyfish are numerous (Hamner & Dawson, 2009) and increasingly interfere with economic and recreational activities. Jellyfish have been reported to clog fishing nets, spoil commercial catches, cause serious damage to aquaculture, clog the cooling systems of coastal power plants, and sting or even kill tourist swimmers (Arai, 1997; Mills, 2001; Uye & Ueta, 2004; Hay, 2006; Purcell et al., 2007).

Concern about gelatinous outbreaks has resulted in extensive recent scientific interest (Mills, 2001; Shiganova et al., 2001; Purcell, 2005; Purcell et al., 2007; Pitt & Purcell, 2009; Richardson et al., 2009). Several factors have been proposed to explain their occurrence including eutrophication (Arai, 2001), an increase in hard substrates for polyp attachment (Parsons & Lalli, 2002; Holst & Jarms, 2007), exotic translocations (Purcell et al., 2001), over-fishing (Pauly et al., 2002), and climate change (Purcell, 2005). The underlying causes of blooms are difficult to determine because the processes involved are not mutually exclusive and the conclusions may depend on the focus of the study (i.e., global or local-scale; Gibbons & Richardson, 2009).

Cotylorhiza tuberculata (Macri, 1778) is a common symbiotic rhizostome scyphozoan from the Mediterranean Sea. The medusae reach very high abundances in enclosed areas such as Vlyho Bay in the Ionian Island of Lefkada-Greece (Kikinger,

1992) and the Mar Menor coastal lagoon in the western Mediterranean Sea where annual blooms have been observed since 1995 (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2002). Kikinger (1992) described the life history of the population of *C. tuberculata* from Lefkada Island. Prieto et al. (2010) parameterized the life cycle of *C. tuberculata* from the Mar Menor within the context of global warming and highlighted thermal control as the mechanism driving medusa blooms; low winter temperatures, which reduced polyp survival, and abrupt warming, which triggered strobilation in springtime, determined the abundance of medusae in summer. Thus, milder winters and hotter summers, as predicted by future climate scenarios, may increase blooms of this jellyfish (Prieto et al., 2010).

The life cycle of *C. tuberculata*, as in most scyphozoans, includes a benthic asexual phase and a sexually dimorphic pelagic phase. The free-swimming planulae liberated after internal fertilization is a relatively fast and resistant larval stage (Prieto et al., 2010) that ends when it reaches a suitable surface for attachment and develops into a polyp. The natural aggregating tendency of settling planulae (Kikinger, 1992) and the asexual reproduction by lateral budding of the resulting polyps can lead to formation of colonies with hundreds of individuals (Kikinger, 1992; Prieto et al., 2010). Polyps produce a single bud at a time and do not reproduce asexually by podocyst formation. Ephyrae originate from polyps after environmental changes trigger monodisc strobilation. Budding and strobilation processes do not occur simultaneously in this species (Astorga et al., unpublished data) and the rate of re-strobilation is minimal, resulting in only one ephyra per polyp per year (Prieto et al., 2010).

One hypothesis proposed for increasing jellyfish outbreaks is increased artificial hard substrates for polyp attachment in coastal areas (Parsons & Lalli, 2002). The assessment of the settlement preferences of planulae may help to determine if this

explanation applies to *C. tuberculata* in the Mar Menor. The onset of blooms in the lagoon came after a shift in benthic vegetation with an increase in *Cymodocea nodosa* (Ucria) Ascherson during the 1980s (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2002) after the enlargement of the El Estacio channel (Pérez-Ruzafa & Marcos, 1992). If planulae have higher settlement and survival rates on live seagrass blades, the rise of jellyfish blooms in the lagoon may be related to an increase in these natural attachment sites.

The presence of symbiotic dinoflagellates could also be required for polyp strobilation in symbiotic scyphomedusae (Table 1). The absence of strobilation in aposymbiotic polyps (Kikinger, 1992) suggests that zooxanthellae have a crucial role in the transition between the benthic and pelagic phases in *C. tuberculata*. Given that one polyp results in one ephyra, the proliferation capacity of this species depends directly on the strobilation success of the polyp population. Therefore, the onset of zooxanthellae infection could be of great importance in determining the success of the pelagic population of this species.

Temperature regulation was found to be the physical mechanism controlling polyp survival and strobilation in *C. tuberculata* (Prieto et al., 2010); however, effects on ephyra development and the consequences for medusa population success were not investigated. Ephyra, metaephyra, and small medusa correspond to the sequence of stages during growth of the pelagic phase of scyphozoans. These stages are distinguishable by the development of the central disc with respect to the total body diameter, the degree of maturation of the oral system and shaping up of the umbrella (Kikinger, 1992; Prieto et al., 2010; Straehler-Pohl & Jarms, 2010). The influence of temperature on growth and ingestion during these three early medusa stages is unknown.

In this study, we hypothesized that *C. tuberculata* planulae larvae would have no settlement preference among substrates and that temperature would affect ephyra development, ingestion rates and daily ration. Additionally, the polyp population increase by budding was investigated and transmission electron microscopy on planulae and polyps was used to identify the life phase at which zooxanthellae infection occurred. All these ecological aspects provided insights into the factors controlling the pelagic population success of this zooxanthellate jellyfish.

Materials and Methods

Benthic stage

Cotylorhiza tuberculata planula spatial and substrate settlement preferences, the suitability of *Cymodocea nodosa* as polyp attachment surface, and the budding capacity of polyps were analysed. We tested the null hypothesis that *C. tuberculata* planulae had no preference among substrates. First, planula larvae were removed from gravid female medusae collected in the Mar Menor coastal lagoon in late September 2006 (Experiment 1) and October 2010 (Experiment 2). Planulae were gathered in a container filled with unfiltered seawater from their natural habitat (temperature: 20°C and 22°C, salinity: 47 and 39, for Experiments 1 and 2, respectively). Replicates of 150 ml of mixed planula-rich seawater were allocated to different cylindrical glass flasks of 6.5-cm diameter with a 5-cm water column (approximately 120 and 170 planulae per flask in Experiments 1 and 2, respectively). In addition to the container surfaces, a glass slide of 7.5 x 2.5 cm was placed diagonally to enable inverted settlement in six replicates to test for spatial preferences for planula settlement (Experiment 1).

A glass slide (7.5 x 2.5 cm), a small stone (~1.8 x 1.3 x 0.5 cm), and one piece each of brick (~1.7 x 1.4 x 0.5 cm), wood (~0.5 x 6 cm), and shell (half of empty shell of *Pholas dactylus* Linnaeus: 1 x 2 cm) were offered to planulae as hard substrates to determine their substrate preferences (Experiment 2). The glass slide and the wood stick were placed diagonally and other substrates were placed on the bottom in each of three flasks. The inside of the shell faced down and enabled free movement of planulae on all surfaces. When no planulae remained in the experimental flasks (polyp numbers corresponding to ~40% of the introduced planulae; Prieto et al., 2010), the different substrates were transferred individually to new containers and the number of polyps attached on all exposed surfaces counted with the aid of a stereomicroscope. The polyp abundance was standardized by area of exposed substrate surface.

The ability of polyps to settle on *Cymodocea nodosa* leaves was tested in Experiment 3. Two freshly-collected plants that each included a horizontal rhizome and four ramets were placed in a glass aquarium filled with 3.2 l of filtered seawater (base: 14 x 17 cm, water column height: 13 cm, salinity: 38) with two Petri dishes (diameter: 9 cm, height: 1.3 cm) each holding one rhizomes and roots on the bottom and keeping the plants in a natural upward position. The plants had a total of 22 leaves (mean leaf dimensions: 12 x 0.3 cm). 379 detached polyps and 69 swimming buds were introduced in the aquarium right below the water surface. Four days later the number of polyps attached to *C. nodosa* leaves and other available substrates were counted visually to avoid polyp detachment by manipulation. Polyp abundance per unit of available substrate surface was calculated.

Asexual reproduction of polyps by budding was evaluated in the laboratory. The polyp culture was maintained at a constant temperature of 17.5°C, salinity 38, with a photoperiod of 12:12, which ensured asexual reproduction only by budding (Prieto et

al., 2010). An IBERCER F-4 incubator provided a light intensity of $360 \mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ by means of four Philips master TL-D 18W/840 fluorescence lamps. Polyps were kept without aeration in 6.5-cm-diameter cylindrical glass flasks with 150 ml of 45- μm -filtered seawater that contained a glass slide leaning diagonally bottom-up. Rotifers ($\sim 400 \text{ l}^{-1}$) were provided as prey once per week. One hour after feeding, the rearing medium was exchanged with new, aerated water. Four replicates, each with 22 polyps, were monitored with the aid of a stereomicroscope at intervals of 2-4 days for two weeks to determine the daily budding rate of polyps (Experiment 4).

Onset of zooxanthella infection

To determine the onset of zooxanthella infection during ontogeny, planulae were carefully extracted from the oral arm grooves and the brood-carrying filaments of gravid females. Additional samples of planulae naturally liberated in the medusa collection container were taken for comparison (control group). The three different planulae sets obtained (oral arms, brood-carrying filaments, and control planulae) were treated separately for their study by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Freshly collected planulae were carefully washed with sterile filtered seawater and transported to the laboratory in sterile seawater flasks. Before providing any food items, the planulae and resulting polyps were transferred by 1.5-ml Eppendorf pipettes and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate for 1 h at ambient temperature. Following three 10-min rinses in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate, samples were post-fixed for 1 h in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate and rinsed three more times in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate. After a sequential dehydration of 15 min in 30% and 50% ethanol, samples were left overnight in 70% ethanol. Dehydration was

completed through 90% and 100% (x3) ethanol. After transfer to propylene oxide, samples were gradually embedded in Spurr's epoxy resin. After 48-h-polymerisation at 55°C, thin sections of the resulting capsules were cut by an ultramicrotome, mounted on copper grids, stained with lead acetate, and viewed on a JEOL transmission electron microscope. Five planulae and three polyps per set were analysed.

Growth of early medusa stages

Laboratory experiments were conducted in order to establish the growth of ephyrae over the range of temperatures typical of the Mar Menor (Pérez Ruzafa et al., 2002), with 20°C as the temperature of ephyra liberation (Prieto et al., 2010). First, early medusa growth was studied at a constant temperature of 20°C, salinity 38, and photoperiod of 12:12 (normal culture conditions). For one month after the day of liberation from the strobila, groups of 10 ephyrae were introduced into cylindrical glass flasks with 150 ml of 45-µm-filtered seawater without aeration and fed daily with newly-hatched *Artemia* nauplii at ~220 prey l⁻¹. The ephyrae were transferred daily to new containers with aerated water and fresh prey. Once the metaephyra stage was achieved, the number of animals per flask was reduced to three and the prey items changed to Selco-enriched *Artemia* nauplii (220 prey l⁻¹). To establish the allometric relationships in early medusa stages, 100 ephyrae, 20 metaephyrae, and 10 medusae were removed from their rearing containers and measured with the aid of a stereomicroscope. Additionally, 23 of these specimens (11 ephyrae, 6 metaephyrae, and 6 medusae) were individually put onto pre-combusted, pre-weighed glass-fiber filters, dried at 60°C to constant weight, weighed, then ash-free dried at 450°C for 1 day and re-weighed. The correlations of diameter, dry weight (DW), and ash-free dry weight (AFDW) with age were determined.

Temperature effects on ephyra growth rates also were tested (Experiment 5). We tested the null hypothesis that ephyra development was unaffected by different temperatures. Fifteen ephyrae individually identified by micro-photograph were randomly assigned by groups of five specimens to growth temperatures of 20, 25, and 30°C. Each individual was maintained in a 3.3-cm diameter cylindrical glass flask with 40 ml of 45- μ m-filtered seawater (salinity: 38, water column height: 4.3 cm) without aeration. Photoperiod was kept at 12:12 and ephyrae were fed daily with *Artemia* at ~220 nauplii l⁻¹. Every day, before adding fresh prey, the rearing medium was exchanged with new, aerated water. Once per week for four weeks, every ephyra was removed, allowed to relax, photographed under the stereomicroscope, and returned to the rearing medium. The mean diameter between opposite lappet tips (usually 8 measurements per ephyra) was measured from the photographs. At the end of the experiment, ephyrae were put individually in pre-combusted and pre-weighed glass-fiber filters and their DW and AFDW measured as above.

Ingestion by early medusa stages

The ingestion rate and daily ration of early medusa stages were studied at 20, 25, and 30°C (Experiment 6). We tested the null hypothesis that ingestion rates of *Artemia* nauplii by ephyrae were unaffected by different temperatures. Cylindrical glass flasks (3.3-cm diameter) filled with 40 ml of 45- μ m-filtered seawater (salinity: 38, water column height: 4.3 cm) were used as incubation containers providing recently hatched *Artemia* nauplii at a concentration of 400 prey l⁻¹ without aeration. Twenty seven ephyrae (mean: 3.6 $\pm$ 0.4 mm) previously unfed for 24 h were randomly placed in 3 temperature treatments (3 per flask, 3 flasks per treatment). In order to compare

between ephyrae and medusae in the same experiment, six unfed medusae (mean: 7.1 ± 0.4 mm) were individually placed in the experimental flasks and randomly distributed among 3 replicates in each of the lowest and intermediate temperature treatments. An additional container without predators served as a treatment prey control. After 6 hours of incubation, the remaining nauplii in each glass container were counted under a stereomicroscope. The respective ingestion rate and daily ration of ephyrae and small medusae were determined according to the equations in Båmstedt et al. (2001 and 1999, respectively). Specimens were measured from digital images before the experiment to ensure size homogeneity.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses of data were performed using SPSS Statistical Software. Assumptions of analysis of variance (ANOVA) were tested on data sets before statistical testing. If data failed normality and equality of variances and homogeneity could not be met by transformations, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance was applied. When looking for between-subjects and within-subjects effects as in the case of subjects measured over time, repeated ANOVA tests were applied once sphericity and homogeneity of dependent variables were confirmed. If significant differences were found between treatments, multiple comparisons were made using the Tukey test or its non-parametric homologue.

Results

Benthic stage

269

270 *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* planulae had attached and developed into polyps on the glass
271 slides and surfaces of the glass container in Experiment 1 after twenty days (Fig. 1a,
272 Table 2). Greater relative densities of polyps on the bottom of the flasks clearly
273 indicated their spatial settlement preference ($\chi^2_3=19.815$, $p<0.01$). Planulae attached to
274 the underside of the water-air interface in relative densities similar to those on the glass
275 slides (upper and underside combined), but in significantly higher relative densities than
276 on the sides of the glass containers (Fig. 1a). Settlement on the lower and upper surfaces
277 of the glass slides was similar ($F_{1,10}=1.429$, $p>0.05$).

278 *C. tuberculata* planulae also attached to all substrates provided in Experiment 2:
279 glass slide, brick, wood, stone, shell, and the surfaces of the glass container (Table 2,
280 Fig. 1b). Therefore, the null hypothesis tested was accepted as the relative densities did
281 not differ significantly among substrates (Fig. 1b) in spite of the apparent preferences
282 for wood, brick, shell, or the water-air interface versus the other surfaces ($\chi^2_7=14.021$,
283 $p>0.05$). No settlement preferences were detected between organic and inorganic
284 substrates or natural and artificial substrates (Fig. 1b). As occurred in Experiment 1,
285 polyp settlement on the underside of glass slides was similar to that of the upper side
286 ($F_{1,4}=0.571$, $p>0.05$) and no polyps settled upside-down on the shells internal surface.
287 Polyps were observed after settlement at densities of up to 4 cm^{-2} , but densities were
288 reduced to 1.3 cm^{-2} within a month.

289 Experiment 3 showed that *Cymodocea nodosa* seagrasses were a suitable surface
290 for polyp attachment. Four days after introduction to the *C. nodosa* aquarium, polyps
291 attached along the upper and underside of the leaves at a density of $0.54\text{ polyps cm}^{-2}$.
292 They also settled on the few exposed areas of the rhizomes at a density of 3.33 polyps
293 cm^{-2} . The *C. nodosa* plants sheltered an overall polyp density of 0.79 cm^{-2} . Polyp

densities on the other substrates available were: glass bottom (Petri dishes, 0.34 polyps cm⁻² and aquarium, 0.13 polyps cm⁻²) and sides of the aquarium (0.04 polyps cm⁻²).

Polyps maintained at 17.5°C and 12:12 photoperiod reproduced exponentially by budding during the first 13 days (Experiment 4, Fig.3) at a rate of 3.9 ± 1.27 % per day (polyp number = $22.17 e^{0.04 \text{ day}}$, $R^2 = 0.94$).

Onset of zooxanthellae infection

The infection with zooxanthellae occurred early in the *C. tuberculata* life cycle (Fig. 3). Zooxanthellae were not observed directly on planulae (n = 15); however, TEM revealed the presence of algae inside the polyps from all sets of planulae (n = 9): (1) naturally liberated by gravid females and (2) extracted in aseptic conditions from medusa brood-carrying filaments and oral arm grooves.

Growth of early medusa stages

The diameter of *C. tuberculata* ephyrae ranged between 2.1 and 2.8 mm on the day of liberation from the strobila (n = 60, 2.46 ± 0.34). When maintained at a constant temperature of 20°C, the diameter of early medusae (Φ) increased 0.08 mm per day (n = 130, $\Phi = 0.081 \text{ day} + 2.049$, $R^2 = 0.90$, Fig. 4). Linear correlations were found between individual size, DW, and AFDW. An increase of 1 mm in diameter represented ~213 µg DW and ~90 µg AFDW (n = 23; $DW = 212.850\Phi - 496.750$, $R_{DW}^2 = 0.82$; $AFDW = 89.677\Phi - 173.560$, $R_{AFDW}^2 = 0.67$).

Different rearing temperatures had no effect on ephyra growth in diameter increase; however, ephyrae grown at 20, 25, and 30°C differed in the time to attain the

medusa stage (Experiment 5: temperature: $F_{2,12} = 3.075$, $p > 0.05$; week: $F_{3,36} = 15.629$, $p < 0.01$; interaction: $F_{6,36} = 7.863$, $p < 0.01$, Fig. 5). By day 21, none of the ephyrae reared at 20°C had reached the metaephyra stage, but 80% of those incubated at 25°C and 100% at 30°C had already developed into medusae. Thus, the null hypothesis tested was rejected as ephyra development was affected by temperature.

Ingestion by early medusa stages

The ingestion rate and daily ration of early medusa stages depended on the incubation temperature, rejecting the null hypothesis tested (Experiment 6; Table 3). Ephyrae maintained at 20°C ingested a similar amount of prey daily as those incubated at 30°C, but significantly less than those at 25°C. Ephyra daily ration varied among treatments with the highest DW digestion of prey at 25°C.

Differences between the ingestion rates of ephyrae and medusae were highly significant, with medusae consuming more prey than ephyrae in all incubation temperatures (Table 3). Medusae as well as ephyrae ingested more prey at 25°C than at 20 °C. The small medusae in Experiment 6 were twice as wide as the ephyrae (2.0 ± 0.3) and consumed 2-3-times more *Artemia* nauplii daily (2.8 ± 1.2 medusa⁻¹); however, the respective daily rations were determined by the incubation temperature and were independent of stage. The AFDW-specific percentage ingested was higher at 25°C than at 20°C, but similar for ephyrae and medusae (Table 3).

Discussion

Benthic stage

The settlement preferences of planulae confirmed that these ciliated larvae represent a highly versatile stage of *C. tuberculata* development (Prieto et al., 2010). Planulae attached to all surfaces available, with a clear spatial preference for the bottom but no preference between organic or inorganic substrates and/or natural or artificial substrates.

Settlement of planulae at the water-air interface also has been observed in other scyphozoan species like *Lychnorhiza lucerna* Haeckel (Schiriati et al., 2008) and *Aurelia aurita* Linnaeus (Holst & Jarms, 2007), and probably is an artifact related to the motionless water within laboratory containers. Although Kroiher & Berking (1999) suggested that planula settlement on the water surface is normal in natural conditions, evidence is missing for *C. tuberculata* given that few polyps have been observed *in situ* (only three polyps). A stable air-water interface is unlikely in almost all natural environments.

Planula settlement on the water-air interface could reflect the preference of scyphozan polyps to attach to the underside of surfaces (Pitt, 2000; Holst & Jarms, 2007). Although polyps of *Cyanea* sp. discriminated among different textures and preferred rough substrates (Brewer, 1976), settlement did not differ significantly for the upper and lower surfaces of diverse substrates, including shell, glass, and seagrass leaves, for *C. tuberculata* planulae. Indeed, planulae were not particularly selective, even though artificial surfaces are often preferred by scyphopolyps (Pitt, 2000; Holst & Jarms, 2007).

The lack of substrate preference suggests that the increase in *Cymodocea nodosa* was unlikely to be a factor responsible for the onset of blooms in the Mar Menor, because similar surface areas of submerged macrophytes were present in the lagoon before *C. nodosa* dominance (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 1991). There is evidence that other

macrophyte species such as *Zostera marina* Linnaeus, *Zostera noltii* Horneman (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 1987; Calvin et al., 1999), and the green alga *Caulerpa prolifera* (Forsskål) Lamouroux (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 1991; Calvin et al., 1999) may be suitable for colonization by *C. tuberculata* polyps. Two polyps on *Zostera* sp. were observed by Kikinger in 1981 (Kikinger, 1992) and 2010 (personal communication) in Vlyhho Bay and a third polyp was found attached to a *C. prolifera* leaf in Mar Menor (personal observation). This is in contrast with the scyphozoan *Catostylus mosaicus* Quoy & Gaimard, which avoided seagrasses when offered glass, shell, wood, or sandstone (Pitt, 2000).

Nevertheless, the suitability of *C. nodosa* as attachment surface for polyps is important, given that without this seagrass, sandy and muddy sediments, which predominate in the Mar Menor, do not allow successful settlement (Holst & Jarms, 2007). Rocky substrates in the lagoon are limited to the islands and El Estacio channel and compact red clay sediments with *Pholas dactylus* shells and *C. prolifera* are exclusively located on the central eastern shore (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 1987, 2008). The increase in total available artificial surface resulting from the growing anthropogenic activities in the littoral zone is difficult to assess (24 km of the 58-km shoreline is affected) (Pagès, 2001). Retaining walls, sport harbors, bypasses, artificial channels, jetties, docks, and boats around the Mar Menor shore greatly increase the suitable settling surface for planula and polyp attachment. Given the absence of substrate selectivity and the high asexual reproduction by budding compared to other scyphozoans (reviewed in Purcell et al., submitted), this extension of available surface in the lagoon potentially could have increased the benthic population of *C. tuberculata*, which combined with the appropriate environmental conditions (Prieto et al., 2010) may have contributed to increase of medusa blooms (Parsons & Lalli, 2002; Holst & Jarms,

2007). Worldwide substrate additions by human modification of shorelines are considered to favor the sessile stages of scyphozoans (Purcell, 2012), and the Mediterranean coastline is a very antropogenic modified area (Halpern et al., 2008). Among all the Mediterranean sub-basins, *C. tuberculata* has been reported in the Catalan Sea (Fuentes et al., 2010), Ligurian Sea (Carli et al., 1991), Strait of Sicily (Daly et al., 2003), Adriatic Sea (Kogovsek et al., 2010), Ioanian Sea (Kikinger, 1992), Aegean Sea (Gülsahin & Tarkan, 2011) and in the Levantine Basin (Lakkis, 1991). This ubiquity of *C. tuberculata* joined with the plasticity to attach to any type of substrate allow to extrapolate the implications of the present study to the whole Mediterranean. Indeed, *C. tuberculata* is the most common rhizostomae in the Mediterranean Sea (Kikinger, 1992).

Onset of zooxanthellae infection

Among the six species of rhizostomae jellyfish occurring in the Mediterranean Sea, only three of them are symbiotic: *Cassiopeia andromeda* Eschscholtz, *C. tuberculata* and *Phyllorhiza punctata* von Lendelfeld. Of these three species, the symbiotic zooxanthellae are not always essential for the phase transition between the benthic and the pelagic stages (Table 1). *Cassiopeia andromeda* aposymbiotic polyps can strobilate and aposymbiotic ephyrae can be obtain (Rahat & Adar, 1980). The same occurred with *Phyllorhiza punctata* (Galil et al., 2009), a Pacific jellyfish observed in several regions in the Mediterranean recently (Cevik et al., 2011). The crucial role of zooxanthellae in medusa formation in *C. tuberculata* was discovered by Kikinger (1992) when he observed no strobilation in hundreds of laboratory aposymbiotic polyps during a two-year period. We believe that planulae are infected by *Symbiodinium* sp. while still

within the mother medusa, because the polyps we obtained in aseptic conditions had zooxanthellae that could only have been transmitted previously.

Zooxanthellae generally are absent in the eggs and planulae in most symbiotic scyphozoans (Table 1) and must be acquired from the environment during the scyphistoma stage (Arai, 1997; Thornhill et al., 2006). In contrast, the coronate *Linuche unguiculata* Swartz releases eggs in mucus strands containing zooxanthellae that infect embryos and planulae in the 24 hours after fertilization (Montgomery & Kremer, 1995). Algal infection at the planula stage is also known for other symbiotic marine invertebrates, such as the octocoral *Xenia macrospiculata* Gohar (Achituv et al., 1992) and the scleractinian coral *Fungia scutaria* Lamarck (Schwarz et al., 1999).

Infection of *C. tuberculata* planulae from the brood carrying filaments was possible given the high zooxanthella content of the surrounding mucus (Kikinger, 1992). By contrast, planulae from the oral arm canals were expected not to harbor any zooxanthellae (Kikinger, 1992); however, algal infection also could have occurred during the embryonic development, given the abundance of zooxanthellae in the ovarian mesoglea (Kikinger, 1992). The likelihood of zooxanthella infection at the planula stage of *C. tuberculata* would decrease the possibility of aposymbiotic polyps in nature and increase the strobilation success of the population in the appropriate environmental conditions (Prieto et al., 2010). Nevertheless, further studies should be conducted to find zooxanthellae in the embryos or planulae, as found in *Linuche unguiculata* (Montgomery & Kremer, 1995).

Growth of early medusa stages

Field observations of a natural population of *C. tuberculata* from the Ionian Island of Lefkada estimated 8 -10 weeks for newly-liberated ephyrae to reach 3 cm diameter at temperatures above 24°C (Kikinger, 1992). Live *C. tuberculata* ephyrae measured since production at a constant temperature of 20°C would need 2-3-times longer to attain that size. Development of *Rhizostoma octopus* Macri ephyrae in laboratory cultures also was very slow compared to natural growth (Holst et al., 2007). They thought the differences were due to measurements made on living versus preserved specimens and/or to disparities between natural and laboratory conditions. In fact, the youngest ephyra stages in our study (2.1 - 2.8 mm in diameter) were larger than the preserved samples previously described for Vlyho Bay by Kikinger (1.5 - 2 mm). Feeding of ephyrae in small culture flasks often relies on *Artemia* sp. nauplii and omits any natural prey (Issel, 1922; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2002); the highest daily rations of *Aurelia aurita* ephyrae occurred only when mixed zooplankton was available (Båmstedt, 1990), which would promote rapid growth. A constant rearing temperature in the laboratory also may have contributed to low ephyra growth rates. The beneficial consequences of natural temperature fluctuations for ephyra growth are clearly shown for *Cyanea capillata* Linnaeus in Gullmar Fjord (Gröndahl & Hernroth, 1987) and *A. aurita* in Tapong Bay (Lo & Chen, 2008).

Growth of scyphomedusae is generally enhanced at higher temperatures when food is not a limiting factor (Lucas, 2001; Widmer, 2005); however, the growth rates of early medusa stages of *C. tuberculata* were similar at 20, 25, and 30°C. Nevertheless, the rate of transition between ephyra, metaephyra, and small medusa was strongly controlled by temperature. The medusa stage was rapidly attained at the highest temperature, resulting in small, completely developed medusae. Similar results were obtained for *Aurelia aurita* juveniles; equal-sized individuals with no obvious

behavioural differences were classified as ephyrae or as medusae depending on their rearing temperature (13 or 21°C, respectively; Nawroth et al., 2010). This phenotypic plasticity in ephyra development could be beneficial for scyphozoan species that encounter large temperature fluctuations as those induced by climate and ocean circulation changes (Nawroth et al., 2010). Mediterranean Sea water temperature already has increased 0.67-0.89°C from 1982 to 2006 (Belkin, 2009). Predicted warming scenarios for the end of the 21st century are between 1.8°C to 6°C (best estimates of temperature change at 2090-2099 relative to 1980-1999; IPCC, 2007). For *C. tuberculata*, higher temperatures would enable faster medusa development, with the potential to accelerate sexual maturity and spawning.

Ingestion by early medusa stages

The ingestion rate and daily ration of *C. tuberculata* ephyrae depended on the incubation temperature as previously shown for the semaestome *Aurelia aurita* (Båmstedt et al., 1999, 2001) and the hydrozoan *Moerisia lyonsi* Boulenger (Ma & Purcell, 2005). *C. tuberculata* ephyrae consumed between 290 to 850% of their DW daily at suitable growth temperatures (20-25°C), which, as observed also for *Aurelia aurita* (Båmstedt et al., 1999), implies that these ephyrae may be of significance relevancy in decreasing dense patches of zooplankton prey in their natural environment. Although the size reached by ephyrae after 21 days in culture at 30°C was similar to their sizes at 20 and 25°C, high mortality occurred at 30°C that could not be attributed to prey limitation, given that prey concentrations corresponded to saturated feeding conditions in similar experiments with *Aurelia aurita* ephyrae (Båmstedt et al., 1999, 2001) and never decreased below 100 *Artemia* sp. nauplii l⁻¹. Therefore, we conclude

that 30°C is not appropriate for successful growth of *C. tuberculata* ephyrae. It is unlikely that they experience 30°C in the Mediterranean Sea during spring when strobilation occurs and ephyrae are present (Prieto et al., 2010) because the temperature range within the Mar Menor, much more confined and land thermal affected, is 16-25°C.

The ingestion rates and daily rations of small *C. tuberculata* medusae also depended on their size. Larger specimens consumed more prey than ephyrae, but the DW-specific daily ration of prey they ingested was relatively lower, suggesting an increasing importance of zooxanthellae for the nutrition and development of the pelagic stage. In fact, despite the large number of ingested prey predicted for *C. tuberculata* medusae of 35 cm diameter, zooplankton feeding may not be adequate to support medusa abundances of up to 0.9 individuals m⁻³ in the Mar Menor (Mas, 1999), <1 individuals m⁻³ in the Gulf of Tunis (Daly et al, 2003) and up to 4000 individuals in the small Bay of Vlyho (Kikinger, 1992). Also, in the Aegean Sea was reported *C. tuberculata* in large densities as 4-5 individuals m⁻² in the Güllük Bay, 3 individuals m⁻² in the Gökova Bay and 2 individuals m⁻² in the coast of Milas (Gülsahin & Tarkan, 2011). This suggests that zooxanthellae must have a substantial contribution to the nutrition of this species (Pagès, 2001). Further studies are necessary to clarify the importance of zooxanthellae symbiosis during the pelagic stage of *C. tuberculata*.

Planktivorous gelatinous species are known to be important consumers in both low and high productivity marine ecosystems (Mills, 1995). *C. tuberculata* effectively exert a strong top-down control on the food web by selective grazing on large diatoms, ciliates, veliger larvae, and copepods (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2002). The diet overlap between zooplanktivorous jellyfish and pelagic fish (Purcell & Sturdevant, 2001, Hiromi et al., 2005; Brodeur et al., 2008), combined with predictions of increased

jellyfish populations (Lynam et al., 2005; Purcell, 2005; Attrill et al., 2007; Richardson et al., 2009), suggests potential changes in future pelagic communities (e.g., Vinogradov & Shushkina, 1992; Richardson et al., 2009) that may have detrimental consequences for fisheries and economies worldwide.

In summary, part of the success of the *C. tuberculata* benthic phase may be due to the lack of preference among substrates for planulae settlement and the high rate of asexual reproduction by budding at mild winter temperatures (17.5°C). These aspects combined with the proliferation of artificial substrates and the recovery of seagrass beds may increase both the availability of suitable surfaces for the development of polyps and the viable benthic population, leading to a rise of medusa abundances. Moreover, the proximity of zooxanthellae in mother medusae facilitates infection early in the developmental of *C. tuberculata*. Hence zooxanthellae infection is unlikely to constitute a limiting factor for the proliferation of this species. Finally, warmer temperatures accelerated the transition from ephyrae to medusae, which occurred at a smaller size, and increased their food ingestion. The high feeding rates measured in early medusa stages at 25°C suggest the potential for changes in the pelagic communities of coastal anthropogenically-altered ecosystems, especially considering predicted warming scenarios (IPCC 2007) that may benefit these jellyfish.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank A. Moreno, F. Rey and J. Pampín for their support on medusa sampling; Dr. A. García and O. Aliseda for assistance with electronic microscopy; Dr. A. Medina and A. Santos for their support and advice regarding microscopic preparations; and the reviewers and editor for helpful suggestions. This work was

543 financially supported by projects PERSEUS (FP7-287600), JELLY-PHYS (CTM2011-
544 22856), Junta de Andalucía (P07-RNM-02976), and Observatorio del Estrecho. A
545 research grant to L. Prieto from Ramon y Cajal Programme of Spanish MIC, and an
546 I3P-CSIC (partly funded by the European Social Fund, ESF) pre-doctoral fellowship to
547 D. Astorga, are also acknowledged.
548

References

- Achituv, Y., Y. Benayahu & J. Hanania, 1992. Planulae brooding and acquisition of zooxanthellae in *Xenia macrospiculata* (Cnidaria: Octocorallia). *Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen* 46: 301-310.
- Arai, M. N., 1997. A Functional Biology of Scyphozoa. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Arai, M. N., 2001. Pelagic coelenterates and eutrophication: a review. *Hydrobiologia* 451: 69–87.
- Attrill, M. J., J. Wright & M. Edwards, 2007. Climate-related increases in jellyfish frequency suggest a more gelatinous future for the North Sea. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52: 480-485.
- Båmstedt, U., 1990. Trophodynamics of the scyphomedusae *Aurelia aurita*. Predation rate in relation to abundance, size and type of prey organism. *Journal of Plankton Research* 12: 215-229.
- Båmstedt, U., B. Wild & M. B. Martinussen, 2001. Significance of food type for growth of ephyrae *Aurelia aurita* (Scyphozoa). *Marine Biology* 139: 641-650.
- Båmstedt, U., J. Lane & M. B. Martinussen, 1999. Bioenergetics of ephyrae larvae of the scyphozoan jellyfish *Aurelia aurita* in relation to temperature and salinity. *Marine Biology* 135: 89-98.
- Belkin, I. M., 2009. Rapid warming of Large Marine Ecosystems. *Progress in Oceanography* 81: 207-213.
- Brewer, R. H., 1989. The annual pattern of feeding, growth, and sexual reproduction in *Cyanea* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa) in the Niantic River Estuary, Connecticut. *Biological Bulletin* 176: 272-281.

572 Brodeur, R. D., C. L. Suchman, D. C. Reese, T. W. Miller & E. A. Daly, 2008. Spatial
573 overlap and trophic interactions between pelagic fish and large jellyfish in the
574 northern California Current. *Marine Biology* 154: 649-659.

575 Calvín, J. C., I. Franco Navarro, A. Marín, A. M. Martínez Inglés, A. Belmonte Ríos, J.
576 M. Ruiz Fernández, A. Belando Franco, M. Vicente Albaladejo & J. M.
577 Rocamora Tomás, 1999. El Litoral Sumergido de la Región de Murcia.
578 Cartografía Bionómica y Valores Ambientales. Consejería de Medio Ambiente,
579 Agricultura y Agua, Región de Murcia.

580 Carli, A., V. Pane, T. Valente & S. Cotta, 1991. Lipid and protein content of jellyfish
581 from the Ligurian Sea. First results. In *Jellyfish blooms in the Mediterranean*.
582 Proceedings of the II Workshop on jellyfish in the Mediterranean Sea. MAP
583 Technical Report Series 47 UNEP, Athens: 236-240.

584 Cevik, C., O. B. Derici, F. Cevik & L. Cavas, 2011. First record of *Phyllorhiza punctata*
585 von Lendenfeld, 1884 (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae: Mastigiidae) from Turkey.
586 *Aquatic Invasions* 6: S27-S28.

587 Claus, C., 1890. Über die Entwicklung des Scyphostoma von *Cotylorhiza*, *Aurelia*, und
588 *Chrysaora*, sowie ueber die systematische Stellung der Scyphomedusen. I
589 *Arbeiten aus den Zoologischen Instituten der Universität Wien* 9: 85-128.

590 Claus, C., 1893. Über die Entwicklung des Scyphostoma von *Cotylorhiza*, *Aurelia*, und
591 *Chrysaora*, sowie ueber die systematische Stellung der Scyphomedusen. II
592 *Arbeiten aus den Zoologischen Instituten der Universität Wien* 10: 1-70.

593 Daly Yahia, M. N., J. Goy & O. Daly Yahia-Kéfi, 2003. Distribution et écologie des
594 Méduses (Cnidaria) du golfe de Tunis (Méditerranée sud occidentale).
595 *Oceanologica Acta* 26: 645-655.

596 Fuentes, V. L., D. L. Angel, K. M. Bayha, D. Atienza, D. Edelist, C. Bordehore, J. –M.
 597 Gili & J. E. Purcell, 2010. Blooms of the invasive ctenophore, *Mnemiopsis*
 598 *leidy*, span the Mediterranean Sea in 2009. *Hydrobiologia* 645: 23-37.
 599 Galil, B. S., L. Shoval & M. Goren, 2009. *Phyllorhiza punctata* von Lendenfeld, 1884
 600 (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae: Mastigiidae) reappeared off the Mediterranean coast
 601 of Israel. *Aquatic Invasions* 4: 481-483.
 602 Gibbons, M. J. & A. J. Richardson, 2009. Patterns of jellyfish abundance in the North
 603 Atlantic. *Hydrobiologia* 616: 51-65.
 604 Gröndahl, F. & L. Hernroth, 1987. Release and growth of *Cyanea capillata* (L.) ephyrae
 605 in the Gullmar Fjord, western Sweden. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*
 606 *and Ecology* 106: 91-101.
 607 Gülsahin, N. & A. N. Tarkan, 2011. A familiar organism in Mugla Region *Cotylorhiza*
 608 *tuberculata* (Macri, 1778). In Turan, C. & B. Öztürk (eds), First National
 609 Workshop on Jellyfish and Other Gelatinous Species in Turkish Marine Waters.
 610 Turkish Marine Research Foundation 35, Istanbul: 53-57.
 611 Halpern, B. S., S. Walbridge, K. A. Selkoe, C. V. Kappel, F. Micheli, C. D'Agrosa, J. F.
 612 Bruno, K. S. Casey, C. Ebert, H. E. Fox, R. Fujita, D. Heinemann, H. S.
 613 Lenihan, E. M. P. Madin, M. T. Perry, E. R. Selig, M. Spalding, R. Steneck & R.
 614 Watson, 2008. A Global Map of Human Impact on Marine Ecosystems. *Science*
 615 319: 948-952.
 616 Hamner, W. M. & M. N. Dawson, 2009. A review and synthesis on the systematics and
 617 evolution of jellyfish blooms: advantageous aggregations and adaptive
 618 assemblages. *Hydrobiologia* 616: 161-191.
 619 Hay, S., 2006. Marine ecology: gelatinous bells may ring change in marine ecosystems.
 620 *Current Biology* 16: 679-682.

621 Hiromi, J., T. Kasuya & H. Ishii, 2005. Impacts of massive occurrence of jellyfish on
622 pelagic ecosystem. *Bulletin of Plankton Society of Japan* 52: 82-90.

623 Hofmann D. K. & G. Crow, 2002. Induction of larval metamorphosis in the tropical
624 scyphozoan *Mastigias papua*: striking similarity with upside down-jellyfish
625 *Cassiopea* spp. (with notes on related species). *Vie et Milieu* 52: 141-147.

626 Hofmann, D. K., R. Neuman & K. Henne, 1978. Strobilation, budding and initiation of
627 scyphistoma morphogenesis in the rhizostome *Cassiopea andromeda* (Cnidaria:
628 Scyphozoa). *Marine Biology* 47: 161-176.

629 Holst, S. & G. Jarms, 2007. Substrate choice and settlement preferences of planula
630 larvae of 453 five Scyphozoa (Cnidaria) from German Bight, North Sea. *Marine*
631 *Biology* 151: 863–871.

632 Holst, S., I. Sötje, H. Tiemann & G. Jarms, 2007. Life cycle of the rhizostome jellyfish
633 *Rhizostoma octopus* (L.) (Scyphozoa, Rhizostomeae), with studies on cnidocysts
634 and statoliths. *Marine Biology* 151: 1695-1710.

635 IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups
636 I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
637 Climate Change (eds Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri & A. Reisinger). IPCC,
638 Geneva.

639 Issel, R., 1922. Nuove indagini sul plankton nelle aquae di Rovigno. *Memorie R.*
640 *Comitato Talassografico Italiano* 102:1-36.

641 Kikinger, R., 1992. *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa)- life history of a
642 stationary population. *PSZNI Marine Ecology* 13:333-362.

643 Kogovsek, T., B. Bogunovic & A. Malej, 2010. Recurrence of bloom-forming
644 scyphomedusae: wavelet analysis of a 200-year time series. *Hydrobiologia* 645:
645 81-96.

646 Kroiher, M. & S. Berking, 1999. On natural metamorphosis inducers of the cnidarian
 647 *Hydractinia echinata* (Hydrozoa) and *Aurelia aurita* (Scyphozoa). Helgoland
 648 Marine Research 53: 118-121.

649 Lakkis, S., 1991. Aggregations of the scyphomedusa *Rhizostoma pulmo* in the Lebanese
 650 coastal waters during the summer of 1986. In Jellyfish blooms in the
 651 Mediterranean. Proceedings of the II Workshop on jellyfish in the
 652 Mediterranean Sea. MAP Technical Report Series 47 UNEP, Athens: 119-127.

653 Lo, W. T. & I. L. Chen, 2008. Population succession and feeding of scyphomedusae
 654 *Aurelia aurita* in a eutrophic tropical lagoon in Taiwan. Estuarine, Coastal and
 655 Shelf Science 76: 227-238.

656 Lucas, C. H., 2001. Reproduction and life history strategies of the common jellyfish,
 657 *Aurelia aurita*, in relation to its ambient environment. Hydrobiologia 451: 55-
 658 68.

659 Ludwig, F. D., 1969. Die Zooxanthellen bei *Cassiopea andromeda*, Eschscholtz 1829
 660 (Polyp Stadium) und Ihre Bedeutung für die Strobilation. Zoologische
 661 Jahrbucher-Abteilung für Anatomie und Ontogenie der Tiere 86: 238-277.

662 Lynam, C. P., S. J. Hay & A. S. Brierley, 2005. Jellyfish abundance and climatic
 663 variation: contrasting responses in oceanographically distinct regions of the
 664 North Sea, and possible implications for fisheries. Journal of the Marine
 665 Biological Association of the United Kingdom 85: 435-450.

666 Ma, X. & J. E. Purcell, 2005. Temperature, salinity, and prey effects on polyp versus
 667 medusa bud production by the invasive hydrozoan *Moerisia lyonsi*. Marine
 668 Biology 147: 225-234.

669 Mas, J., 1999. Estudio de la dinámica de poblaciones de las medusas *Cotylohiza*
 670 *tuberculata*, *Rhizostoma pulmo* y *Aurelia aurita* en el Mar Menor y su
 671 problemática asociada. Instituto Español de Oceanografía Internal Report.
 672 Mills, C. E., 1995. Medusae, siphonophores, and ctenophores as planktivorous predators
 673 in changing global ecosystems. ICES Journal of Marine Science 52: 575-581.
 674 Mills, C. E., 2001. Jellyfish blooms: are populations increasing globally in response to
 675 changing ocean conditions? Hydrobiologia 451: 55-68.
 676 Möller, H., 1980. Population dynamics of *Aurelia aurita* medusae in the Kiel Bight,
 677 Germany (FRG). Marine Biology 60: 123-128.
 678 Montgomery, M. K. & P. M. Kremer, 1995. Transmission of symbiotic dinoflagellates
 679 through the sexual cycle of the host scyphozoan *Linuche unguiculata*. Marine
 680 Biology 124: 147-155.
 681 Nawroth, J. C., K. E. Feitl, S. P. Colin, J. H. Costello & J. O. Dabiri, 2010. Phenotypic
 682 plasticity in juvenile jellyfish medusae facilitates effective animal-fluid
 683 interaction. Biology Letters 6: 389-393.
 684 Pagès, F., 2001. Past and present anthropogenic factors promoting the invasion,
 685 colonization and dominance by jellyfish of a Spanish coastal lagoon. In: CIESM
 686 Gelatinous zooplankton outbreaks: theory and practice. CIESM Workshop
 687 Series 14: 69-71.
 688 Parsons, T. R. & C. M. Lalli, 2002. Jellyfish population explosions: Revisiting a
 689 hypothesis of possible causes. La Mer 40: 111-121.
 690 Pauly, D., V. Christensen, S. Guénette, T. J. Pitcher, U. R. Sumaila, C. J. Walters, R.
 691 Watson & D. Zeller, 2002. Towards sustainability in world fisheries. Nature
 692 418: 986-995.

693 Pérez-Ruzafa A. & C. Marcos, 1992. Colonization rates and dispersal as essential
694 parameters in the confinement theory to explain the structure and horizontal
695 zonation of lagoon benthic assemblages. *Rapports et Proces-Verbaux des*
696 *Reunions Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la Mer*
697 *Mediterranee* 33: 100.

698 Pérez-Ruzafa A., C. Marcos, M. Pérez-Ruzafa & J. D. Ros, 1987. Evolución de las
699 características ambientales y los poblamientos del Mar Menor (Murcia, SE de
700 España). *Anales de Biología* 12 (Biología Ambiental 3): 53-65.

701 Pérez-Ruzafa A., M. I. Hegazi, I. M. Pérez-Ruzafa & C. Marcos, 2008. Differences in
702 spatial and seasonal patterns of macrophyte assemblages between a coastal
703 lagoon and the open sea. *Marine Environmental Research* 65: 291-314.

704 Pérez-Ruzafa, A., C. Marcos-Diego & J. D. Ros, 1991. Environmental and biological
705 changes related to recent human activities in the Mar Menor (SE of Spain).
706 *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 23: 747-751.

707 Pérez-Ruzafa, A., J. Gilabert, J. M. Gutiérrez, A. I. Fernández, C. Marcos & S. Sabah,
708 2002. Evidence of a planktonic food web response to changes in nutrient input
709 dynamics in the Mar Menor coastal lagoon, Spain. *Hydrobiologia* 475-476: 359-
710 369.

711 Pierce, J., 2005. A system for mass culture of upside-down jellyfish *Cassiopea* spp. as a
712 potential food item for medusivores in captivity. *International. Zoo Yearbook*
713 39: 62-69.

714 Pitt K. A. & J. E. Purcell (eds), 2009. Jellyfish blooms: Causes, consequences, and
715 recent advances. *Hydrobiologia* vol 616. Springer, Dordrecht.

716 Pitt, K. A., 2000. Life history and settlement preferences of the edible jellyfish
717 *Catostylus mosaicus* (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae). *Marine Biology* 136: 269-279.

718 Pitt, K. A., K. Koop & D. Rissik, 2005. Contrasting contributions to inorganic nutrient
 719 recycling by the co-occurring jellyfishes, *Catostylus mosaicus* and *Phyllorhiza*
 720 *punctata* (Scyphozoa, Rhizostomeae). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology
 721 and Ecology 315: 71-86.

722 Prieto L., D. Astorga, G. Navarro & J. Ruiz, 2010. Environmental control of phase
 723 transition and polyp survival of a massive-outbreaker jellyfish. PLoS ONE 5:
 724 e13793.

725 Purcell, J. E. & M. V. Sturdevant, 2001. Prey selection and dietary overlap among
 726 zooplanktivorous jellyfish and juvenile fishes in Prince William Sound, Alaska.
 727 Marine Ecology Progress Series 210: 67-83.

728 Purcell, J. E., 2005. Climate effects on formation of jellyfish and ctenophore blooms: a
 729 review. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 85:
 730 461-476.

731 Purcell, J. E., 2012. Jellyfish and ctenophore blooms coincide with human proliferations
 732 and environmental perturbations. Annual Review of Marine Science 4: 209-235.

733 Purcell, J. E., D. Atienza, V. Fuentes, A. Olariaga, U. Tilves, C. Colahan & J.-M. Gili,
 734 submitted. Temperature effects on asexual reproduction rates of scyphozoan
 735 polyps from the NW Mediterranean Sea. Hydrobiologia

736 Purcell, J. E., S. I. Uye & W. T. Lo, 2007. Anthropogenic causes of jellyfish blooms
 737 and direct consequences for humans: a review. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 738 350: 153-174.

739 Purcell, J. E., T. A. Shiganova, M. B. Decker & E. D. Houde, 2001. The ctenophore
 740 *Mnemiopsis* in native and exotic habitats: US estuaries versus the Black Sea
 741 basin. Hydrobiologia 451: 145-176.

742 Rahat, M. & O. Adar, 1980. Effect of symbiotic zooxanthellae and temperature on
743 budding and strobilation in *Cassiopea andromeda* (Eschscholtz). Biological
744 Bulletin 159: 394-401.

745 Richardson, A. J., A. Bakun, G. C. Hays & M. J. Gibbons, 2009. The jellyfish joyride:
746 causes, consequences and management to a more gelatinous future. Trends in
747 Ecology and Evolution 24 (6): 312-322.

748 Rippingale R. J. & S. J. Kelly, 1995. Reproduction and survival of *Phyllorhiza punctata*
749 (Cnidaria: Rhizostomeae) in a seasonally fluctuating salinity regime in western
750 Australia. Marine Freshwater Research 46: 1145-1151.

751 Schiriati, A., M. Kawahara, S. Uye & H. W. Mianzan, 2008. Life cycle of the jellyfish
752 *Lychnorhiza lucerna* (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae). Marine Biology 156: 1-12.

753 Schwarz, J. A., D. A. Krupp & V. M. Weis, 1999. Late larval development and onset of
754 symbiosis in the scleractinian coral *Fungia scutaria*. Biological Bulletin 196:
755 70-79.

756 Shiganova, T. A., Z. A. Mirzoyan & E. A. Studenikina, 2001. Population development
757 of the invader ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, in the Black Sea and in other seas
758 of the Mediterranean basin. Marine Biology 139: 431-445.

759 Straehler-Pohl, I. & G. Jarms, 2010. Identification key for young ephyrae: a first step
760 for early detection of jellyfish blooms. Hydrobiologia 645: 3-21.

761 Sugiura, Y., 1964. On the life-history of rhizostome medusae. II. Indispensability of
762 zooxanthellae for strobilation in *Mastigias papua*. Embryologia 8: 223-233.

763 Sugiura, Y., 1965. On the life-history of rhizostomae medusae. III On the effects of
764 temperature on the strobilation of *Mastigias papua*. Biological Bulletin 28: 493-
765 496.

766 Thornhill, D. J., M. W. Daniel & T. C. LaJeunesse, 2006. Natural infections of

767 aposymbiotic *Cassiopea xamachana* scyphistomae from environmental pools of
 768 *Symbiodinium*. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 338: 50-
 769 56.

770 Uye, S. & Y. Ueta, 2004. Recent increase of jellyfish populations and their nuisance to
 771 fisheries in the Inland Sea of Japan. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Fisheries
 772 and Oceanography 68: 9–19.

773 Vinogradov, M. E. & E. A. Shushkina, 1992. Temporal changes in community structure
 774 in the open Black Sea. Oceanology 32: 485-491.

775 Widmer, C. L., 2005. Effects of temperature on growth of north-east Pacific moon
 776 jellyfish ephyrae, *Aurelia labiata* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Journal of the Marine
 777 Biological Association of the United Kingdom 85: 569-573.
 778

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Settlement preferences of *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* (Macri, 1778) planulae in natural seawater. Mean density of polyps per substrate (bars represent standard deviation) for: **a** spatial preferences (Experiment 1): n=6 replicates with 50 polyps each, and **b** substrate preferences (Experiment 2): n=3 replicates with 70 polyps each. AWI = air-water interface, LAT = sides of the glass container, GS = glass slides, BOTT = bottom of the glass container. Different letters indicate significant differences at $\alpha=0.05$

Fig.2 *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* polyp budding rate at a constant temperature of 17.5°C, salinity of 38, and photoperiod of 12:12. Mean increase of polyp number by budding in 13 days (Experiment 4): n=4, bars represent standard deviation

Fig. 3 Transmission electron microscope photograph of a zooxanthella in an a priori aposymbiotic *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* polyp (scale bar: 5 μ m). *a* accumulation body, *ch* chloroplast, *s* starch body

Fig. 4 Allometric relationships in early medusa stages of *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* incubated at 20°C. Each dot represents an individual (n=130). Open, gray, and black circles represent ephyrae, metaephyrae, and small medusae (up to 113 days), respectively

Fig. 5 *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* ephyra growth at three temperatures (20, 25, 30°C; Experiment 5). Bars represent standard deviations (n=5)

Table 1 Strobilation requirements of some symbiotic rhizostome scyphomedusae. Strobilation type, role of zooxanthellae, temperature change, preconditioning factors, special inducers, and number of strobilations (N) in life cycle are detailed

Species	Strobilation type	Zooxanthellae	Temperature	Preconditioning	Special inducers	N
<i>Cassiopea andromeda</i>	Monodiscous ^a	Not essential* (aposymbiotic planulae, symbiotic/aposymbiotic polyps) ^{a-c} Morphogenic effect: lower temperatures for strobilation ^c	Increase from 20 to 24°C ^a Increase from 18 to 20-30°C ^c		Accumulation of polyp factor, facilitated by zooxanthella metabolite, enables strobilation in aposymbiotic polyps ^c Iodine ^d	>1
<i>Catostylus mosaicus</i>	Monodiscous and polydiscous ^e	Not essential (may be absent in the whole life cycle) ^{e, f}	Temperature variation does not initiate strobilation ^e	Polyps need to be hanging in an inverted position ^e Food abundance ^e	Strobilation not attributed to variation in photoperiod or salinity ^e	1 ^e
<i>Cotylorhiza tuberculata</i>	Monodiscous ^{g, h}	Indispensable (aposymbiotic polyps do not strobilate) ⁱ	Increase from 20 to 24°C ⁱ Increase from 17.5 to 20°C ^j	Zooxanthellae infection ⁱ Food availability ⁱ	Potassium iodide ^j	>1
<i>Mastigias papua</i>	Monodiscous ^k	Indispensable* (absent in eggs and planulae, aposymbiotic polyps obtained in laboratory) ^k	Increase from 20 to 25, 28-29°C ^k 20°C critical ^l	Precooling: 1 month at 20°C ^l		>1
<i>Phyllorhiza punctata</i>	Monodiscous ^m	Not essential (symbiotic and aposymbiotic medusae) ⁿ	Increase from 16 to 24°C ^o		Special interaction between salinity and temperature ^o	>1

*Fast multiplication of algae related to beginning of strobilation (colour of strobilae)

^aHofmann et al. (1978); ^bLudwig (1969); ^cRahat & Adar (1980); ^dPierce (2005); ^ePitt (2000); ^fPitt et al. (2005); ^gClauss (1890); ^hClauss (1893); ⁱKikinger (1992); ^jPrieto et al. (2010); ^kSugiura (1964); ^lSugiura (1965); ^mHofmann & Crow (2002); ⁿGalil et al. (2009); ^oRippingale & Kelly (1995).

Table 2 *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* planula settlement preferences. Total polyp number per substrate, available surface per substrate and mean settlement percentages (standard deviation, S.D.) after 20 days

Studied variable			AWI	LAT	GS	BOTT	Brick	Wood	Stone	Shell	Statistics
Exp. 1	Polyp number	mean	13.67	1.83	7.50	29.83					
		S.D.	6.77	2.23	3.94	14.99					
		total	82	11	45	179					
	Available surface (cm ²)		33.18	102.10	37.50	33.183					
	Attachment %	mean	28.12	3.61	14.94	53.33					F _{3,20} =29.205**
		S.D.	13.59	3.15	5.88	12.51					
Exp. 2	Polyp number	mean	26.67	6.0	5.67	9.67	6.67	11.33	1.33	2.00	
		S.D.	21.38	2.00	3.21	6.81	3.05	11.93	2.31	2.00	
		total	80	18	17	29	20	34	4	6	
	Available surface (cm ²)		33.18	102.10	37.50	25.89	5.48	5.44	6.25	1.88	
	Attachment %	mean	35.91	10.57	8.58	13.42	14.00	14.21	1.17	2.14	F _{7,16} =9.516**
		S.D.	6.88	4.78	2.77	7.31	10.25	6.89	2.03	1.87	

AWI: air-water interface, LAT: sides, GS: glass slide, BOTT: bottom,

**p<0.01

Table 3 Ingestion rate and daily ration of early medusa stages incubated at 20, 25, and 30°C. Mean and standard deviation (S.D.) of specimen diameter, ash-free dry weight (AFDW), number of prey items ingested per day, and daily ration

Variable		Temperature			Statistics	
		20°C	25°C	30°C	Factor	F _{1,28}
Diameter (mm)						
Ephyra	mean	3.50	3.68	3.72	temp	0.576 ^{NS}
	S.D.	0.33	0.45	0.46	stage	354.732**
Medusa	mean	7.40	6.95		temp x stage	2.667 ^{NS}
	S.D.	0.35	0.26			
AFDW (µg)						
Ephyra	mean	140.18	156.29	160.41	temp	0.577 ^{NS}
	S.D.	29.64	40.27	41.45	stage	354.537**
Medusa	mean	489.58	449.92		temp x stage	2.667 ^{NS}
	S.D.	30.95	23.18			
Ingestion (prey d ⁻¹)						
Ephyra	mean	121.67	392.00	230.67	temp	82.491**
	S.D.	24.29	55.43	50.60	stage	192.492**
Medusa	mean	419.00	783.67		temp x stage	3.608 ^{NS}
	S.D.	83.47	83.58			
Daily Ration (AFDW%)						
Ephyra	mean	286.48	855.79	471.85	temp	29.503**
	S.D.	75.26	276.60	101.61	stage	3.100 ^{NS}
Medusa	mean	272.36	556.41		temp x stage	2.356 ^{NS}
	S.D.	38.33	34.16			

temp: temperature, **p<0.01, ^{NS} p>0.05

Fig. 1

Fig.2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5