Effect of CNFs content on the tribological behaviour of spark plasma sintering
ceramic-CNFs composites

A. Borrell^{1*}, R. Torrecillas¹, V.G Rocha², A. Fernández², V. Bonache³, M.D. Salvador³

¹Centro de Investigación en Nanomateriales y Nanotecnología (CINN) (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas - Universidad de Oviedo - Principado de Asturias), Parque Tecnológico de Asturias, 33428 Llanera (Asturias), Spain

²ITMA Materials Technology, Parque Tecnológico de Asturias, 33428 Llanera (Asturias), Spain

³Instituto de Tecnología de Materiales (ITM), Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Camino de Vera, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain

*Corresponding author. Address: *Centro de Investigación en Nanomateriales y Nanotecnología (CINN), Parque Tecnológico de Asturias, 33428 Llanera (Asturias), Spain.* Tel.: +34 985 980 058; Fax: +34 985 265 574
E-mail address: a.borrell@cinn.es (A. Borrell)

ABSTRACT

Alumina-carbon nanofibers (CNFs) and silicon carbide-CNFs nanocomposites with different volume fraction of CNFs (0-100 vol.%) were fabricated by spark plasma sintering. The effect of CNFs content on the tribological behaviour in dry sliding conditions on the ceramic-carbon nanocomposites has been investigated using the ball-on-disk technique against alumina balls. The wear rate of ceramic-CNFs nanocomposites decreases with CNFs increasing content. The friction coefficient of the

Al₂O₃/CNFs and SiC/CNFs nanocomposites with high CNFs content was found to be significantly lower compared to monolithic Al₂O₃ and SiC due to the effect of CNFs and unexpectedly slightly lower than CNFs material. The main wear mechanism in the nanocomposite was abrasion of the ceramic and carbon components which act in the interface as a sort of lubricating media. The experimental results demonstrate that the addition of CNFs to the ceramic composites significantly reduces friction coefficient and wear rate, resulting in suitable materials for unlubricated tribological applications.

Keywords: Ceramic-matrix composite; Wear; Carbon nanofibers; Tribology; Hardness

1. INTRODUCTION

The continuous improvement of the carbon nanofibers (CNFs) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) production techniques and thus the available qualities of these materials, have given rise to introduce them into polymers [1,2], ceramics [3-5] and metals [6-8] matrices. In recent years some research has been done and demonstrated the positive effect of CNFs in alumina-, zirconia- and silicon carbide-based composites [9-13]. Maensiri et al. [9] reported an improvement of approximately 13% in the fracture toughness of alumina-CNFs composites with 2.5 vol.% CNFs, but the hardness and fracture strength decreases with increasing volume fraction of CNFs. Duszová et al. [10] using similar CNFs contents found that the zirconia-CNFs composites exhibit slightly higher mechanical properties. Although the ceramic-CNFs nanocomposites firstly developed were focused on low CNFs content (usually <10 vol.%), Borrell et al. [12] have already studied CNFs/Al₂O₃ nanocomposites with high CNFs content (50-100) vol.%. They reported that carbon matrix components with a mechanical improvement of

60% respect pure carbon materials can be achieved by 50 vol.% Al_2O_3 addition. This kind of nanocomposites can be obtained thanks to the relatively low sintering temperature used during Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS) technique. They have also demonstrated that an interface improvement between Al_2O_3 and CNFs by a surface coating with alumina precursor improves mechanical properties to the composites with high CNFs content (90 vol.%). Shimoda et al. [13] studied the preparation of CNFs/SiC nanocomposites with 1-10 wt.% of CNFs by hot-pressing via a transient eutectic phase route at 1900 °C for 1h, using Al_2O_3 and Y_2O_3 particles as sintering additives. They achieved well dispersed CNFs on the nanocomposite with contents below 5 wt.% and reached 98 % relative density on the CNFs/SiC composites.

Ceramics are potential materials to be used in friction systems. They offer high values of specific heat and medium (Al_2O_3) to high (SiC) values of thermal conductivity as well as high oxidation and thermal resistance. In addition to their functional properties, the research on ceramic-CNTs or ceramic-CNFs composites has been focused on their basic mechanical properties such as hardness, strength and fracture toughness, electrical conductivity and only limited work on their tribological behaviour has been attempted [14-17]. In these studies it was observed that the friction coefficient was reduced by the addition of CNTs to the ceramic matrix. Lim et al. [16] investigated the tribological behaviour of alumina/CNTs composites with different weight contents of CNTs (0-12 wt.%) fabricated by hot-pressing and tape casting, followed by lamination and hot-pressing. They reported that the tape-casting process significantly improves the uniform distribution of CNTs in the alumina matrix, resulting in an enhanced wear resistance of the composites. Ahmad et al. [17] investigated the effect of MWCNTs addition in coefficient of friction and wear resistance of hot-pressed alumina-MWCNTs nanocomposites (reinforced with 2, 5 and 10 wt.% MWCNTs). They found reduced

coefficients of friction and improved wear resistance for the nanocomposites obtained at low and moderate sliding loads; whilst for high sliding loads (35 N), the 10 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposite exhibited lower wear resistance. Wang et al. [18] reported that the addition of silicon carbide (SiC) to the matrix of C/C composites improves their tribological properties, by combining the hardness and the chemical stability of SiC with the self-lubricating action of carbon. Hvizdos et al. [19] studied the tribological behavior of CNFs-zirconia composites sintered by hot-pressing. They demonstrated an excellent frictional properties of ZrO_2/CNFs can be achieved by incorporating a low amount (1.07 wt.%) of CNTs into the microstructure of zirconia. The coefficient of friction of ZrO_2/CNFs composite was found to be significantly lower compared to that for monolithic zirconia with relatively low wear rates for both materials.

The present work aims to study the tribological behaviour of ceramic-CNFs nanocomposites sintered by Spark Plasma Sintering either at high and low CNFs content. Then, ceramic reinforced carbon materials and CNFs reinforced ceramic materials were investigated. $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{xCNFs}$ and SiC/xCNFs ($\text{x}=0\text{-}100$ vol.%) nanocomposites have been prepared and the role of ceramic-CNFs debris of the composites on the frictional coefficient and wear rate have been investigated.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The materials used in this study were commercial carbon nanofibers (CNFs) having an average outer diameter of 20-80 nm and lengths >30 μm , supplied by Group Antolín Engineering, (Burgos, Spain). These CNFs were generated via vapor phase growth (VGCNFs) [20] using a floating catalyst of nickel in solution (6-8%). $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanopowder (Taimei TM-DAR Chemicals Co. Ltd, Japan) with an average particle size

of 153 nm and a purity of 99.99% was used as one of the ceramic systems. β -SiC nano-sized powder (Hubei Minmetals Corp., China) with a mean particle diameter of 50 nm and a purity >98% was used as the second ceramic material. The powder mixtures, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs} = 100/0 - 80/20 - 50/50 - 20/80 - 0/100$ vol.% and $\text{SiC}/\text{CNFs} = 100/0 - 80/20 - 50/50 - 20/80 - 0/100$ vol.%, were dispersed in ethanol (Panreac Quimica) by high-energy attrition milling (Union Process, EE.UU) using alumina balls of 2 mm diameter at 400 rpm and milling times of 1 hour. After milling, the resulting slurry was dried at 60 °C and the dried powder was sieved under 60 μm . The powder samples were placed into a graphite die with an inner diameter of 20 mm and cold uniaxially pressed at 30 MPa. Then, they were introduced in a spark plasma sintering apparatus HP D 25/1 (FCT Systeme GmbH, Rauenstein, Germany) under low vacuum (10^{-1} mbar). It was applied 80 MPa of uniaxial pressure and a heating rate of 100 °C min^{-1} was used. The composites of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ and SiC/CNFs were sintered at 1500 °C and 1600 °C respectively. In the case of SiC/CNFs materials, no sintering additives were used. The samples for hardness analysis were previously polished (Struers, model RotoPol-31) with diamond to 1 μm roughness. The hardness of the materials was determined using the indentation technique (Buehler, model Micromet 5103) with a conventional diamond pyramid indenter. The diagonals of each indentation were measured using an optical microscope. Measuring conditions for the Vickers hardness, H_v , were an applying load of 2 N for 10 s and the standard specification ASTM E92-72. The value of H_v is the relationship between applied load P and the surface area of the diagonals of indentation [21]. Wear tests were carried out under dry sliding conditions using a tribometer ball-on-disk according to ASTM wear testing standard G99-03. As friction partners, alumina balls 5 mm in diameter were used. The sample surfaces were polished with 1 micron diamond slurry. The normal load, sliding speed and distance were fixed

at 15 N, 250 rpm and 1000 m, respectively. Testing was carried out in air, at room temperature and in dry conditions. The friction coefficient and wear loss were measured and the damage was studied on the worn surfaces of disks using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Zeiss DSM 950).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the relative density of the composites and their respective monolithic materials after SPS sintering up to 1500 °C in the Al₂O₃/CNFs system and 1600 °C in the SiC/CNFs system.

Two different trends were found depending on the ceramic component studied. In the case of Al₂O₃/CNFs nanocomposites, a decrease in the relative density is observed when the CNFs content is increased. Monolithic alumina sintered by SPS is fully dense (99.9% t.d) while the relative density of bulk CNFs material is around 92.5% t.d. This value is noticeably high for a carbon material obtained at this relatively low temperature (1500 °C). Although the density of Al₂O₃/20vol.% CNFs nanocomposite is close to theoretical density, for higher CNFs content, the relative density decreases approximately following the rule of mixtures. On the other hand, as it can be appreciated in Figure 1, SiC/CNFs nanocomposites have always lower relative density than bulk CNFs material. It is widely known that the full densification of the SiC ceramics without sintering additives is especially complicated, mainly due to the covalent nature of the silicon carbide bond which in the sintering process shows very low diffusivity of the atoms and high energy on the grain boundaries [22]. The densities achieved for the SiC/CNFs composites sintered by SPS at 1600 °C clearly show the

positive effect of CNFs on SiC densification. While only 75-80% relative density are obtained for SiC/CNFs composites containing up to 50 vol.% CNFs, close to 90% of relative density can be achieved for SiC/80vol.% CNFs at 1600 °C, even if this temperature can be considered too low for SiC sintering. Taken into account that no other additives were used for assisting SiC densification by liquid phase sintering, this result suggests that carbon nanofibers are acting simultaneously as a second phase for composite designing and as sintering additive themselves, improving the density of the final material.

Hardness values of the monolithic ceramics, bulk CNFs material and Al₂O₃/CNFs and SiC/CNFs composites are summarized in Figure 2.

The hardness values of prepared nanocomposites are consistent with major component of the material and its relative density. Regarding the results obtained for composites of Al₂O₃/CNFs systems, it can be seen how the materials hardness decreases with CNFs content. The soft phase addition as it is carbon nanofibers to obtain ceramic-carbon composites leads to marked reduction in hardness values. The hardness of Al₂O₃/CNFs composite with 20 vol.% CNFs has been reduced at least 27% from monolithic Al₂O₃ material and since the CNFs content is 50 vol.% or higher, the material composites shows a sharp decrease (more than 85% hardness reduction) in the composite respect to the monolithic alumina reaching values characteristic for carbon materials. In the case of SiC/CNFs composites, similar trend is observed for nanocomposites with CNFs content higher than 50 vol.% but low values are maintained even for monolithic SiC material. The lack of density of this material sintered without sintering additives leads

1 to very low hardness values compared with corresponding to liquid-phase-sintered SiC
2 that are around 25-31 GPa [23].
3
4
5
6

7 The evolution of wear rate as a function of CNFs content of the Al₂O₃/CNFs and
8 SiC/CNFs composites and monolithic Al₂O₃, SiC and CNFs material are shown in
9 Figure 3. The wear rate is defined as the wear amount for a unit distance and unit
10 normal load.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Although slightly differences can be found, the logarithmic plot of the obtained wear
18 rate shows that all ceramic-CNFs composites have very similar wear rate independently
19 of the ceramic matrix used and the CNFs content. The only remarkable difference in
20 wear rate corresponds to monolithic ceramic materials, Al₂O₃ and SiC, and it is due to
21 their differences in density, 99.9% and 68.3%, respectively. Full densification of
22 monolithic alumina leads to a dramatically reduction of wear rate whereas the relative
23 density of monolithic SiC is even lower than corresponding composites, which causes
24 significant mass loss in the SiC test specimen due to the friction of the ball during test.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The slightly decrease of the SiC/CNFs composites wear rate with CNFs content up to
39 50 vol.% is directly related to the roughness reduction thanks to the density
40 improvement which has been previously shown in Figure 1, combined with the
41 lubricant effect of CNFs. The higher density composite (SiC/CNFs with 80 vol.% of
42 CNFs) shows approximately the same wear rate than SiC/CNFs (50/50) vol.% and
43 slightly lower than bulk CNFs material. These two composites are carbon matrix
44 composites and they show similar wear rate and comparable to corresponding
45 compositions prepared in the Al₂O₃/CNFs system. Considering the manifest difference
46 in relative density for both ceramic/50vol.% CNFs composites, the similar wear rate is
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 due to lubricant effect of carbon matrix which is stronger than roughness caused by lack
2 of density.
3
4
5
6

7 The wear rate of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ composites is higher than monolithic alumina which can
8 be considered negligible. The addition of a soft second phase decreases the hardness of
9 the composite materials as it has been shown in Figure 3. This effect is combined with a
10 reduction in relative density of these composites with CNFs content, from 99.9% to
11 90.0%, and therefore, an increase in surface roughness. Nevertheless, the wear rate of
12 $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/20\text{vol.}\%$ CNFs composite is significantly higher than monolithic alumina even
13 though the relative densities are similar. Then, in the case of alumina composites it can
14 be concluded that the loss of hardness has greater influence on the wear rate than
15 relative density.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29
30
31 Figure 4, shows the friction coefficient of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ and SiC/CNFs composites and
32 monolithic Al_2O_3 , SiC and CNFs at an applied load of 15 N in function of CNFs content
33 and distance covered. The friction coefficient of the composites and monolithic
34 materials is nearly constant during the whole test showing only a slightly variation in
35 the first 25 meters of distance Figure 4 (right). In this initial period, there is a grinding
36 due to the elimination of the higher surface roughness followed by tightening of the
37 contact surfaces leading to wear surface layers [24].
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 The high friction coefficients of Al_2O_3 and SiC monolithic materials (around 0.4-0.6)
49 are typical for ceramic materials [25]. As it can be seen in Figure 4.a, the friction
50 coefficient of the nanocomposites decreases when the CNFs content is increased. This is
51 due to the lubricating properties of the CNFs (graphite). The friction coefficient is
52 related with the energy consumption involved in the friction process that is especially
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

high in monolithic ceramics in comparison with ceramic-carbon composites. The rolling motion of CNFs at the interface between the specimen and the ball allows reducing the friction coefficient. A similar lubricating effect was previously reported for multi-walled (MW) CNTs-containing Al_2O_3 composites by An et al. [17] and Yamamoto et al. [14] and for single-walled (SW) CNTs solids by Yamamoto [26]. An et al. reported a 40% decrease in friction coefficient in 12 wt.% MWCNT- Al_2O_3 composite down to the value of 0.3 [17]. Concerning the composites prepared by Yamamoto et al., they reached a minimum friction coefficient (with a value of 0.3) at 4 wt.% of MWCNT content [14].

The monolithic alumina sintered by SPS at 1500 °C is a full dense ceramic with excellent mechanical properties and therefore, the high friction coefficient is accompanied by low wear rate value as it could be expected. Nevertheless, although monolithic SiC sintered by SPS at 1600 °C shows similar friction coefficient, its low relative density is reflected on its considerably higher wear rate. Then, the friction coefficient mainly depends on the type material whereas the wear rate is strongly influenced by materials properties which are consequence of processing parameters. It must be noted the particular behaviour of Al_2O_3 /CNFs (80/20) vol.% composite. Its friction coefficient is noticeably high in comparison with all ceramic-carbon nanocomposites. In the literature, even when lower amounts of CNTs or CNFs are added to alumina matrix, a decrease in friction coefficient is observed. In our case, the use of SPS sintering technique allows combining full densification of the material while the alumina grain size is maintained at nanometer scale. As consequence, this composite shows a relative high hardness and its fracture strength (480 MPa) is even higher than monolithic alumina (400 MPa). Then, the material behavior is more similar to ceramics than carbon materials unlike the other ceramic-carbon nanocomposites. In the case of

SiC/CNFs (80/20) vol.% composite, that is not completely dense, the effect of CNFs is stronger and the friction coefficient of the nanocomposite is much lower than corresponding to monolithic SiC.

It must be highlighted that both nanocomposites systems show a minimum in their friction coefficient for compositions with 50 vol.% CNFs. It could be expected that minimum friction coefficient should correspond to bulk CNFs materials, because the carbon content is the responsible of reducing the friction energy involved in the process. However, this minimum in friction coefficient agrees with minimum in wear rate and therefore, it can be concluded that a synergy between the ceramic and CNFs has arisen. The slightly increase in hardness and the roughness reduction due to the addition of low amounts of ceramic phase allows balancing the friction energy involved in the process and the material lost due to wear. These materials are especially attractive to be used in unlubricated tribological applications. When the CNFs is increased from 50 to 80 vol.%, the friction coefficient slightly increases from an average value of 0.19 to a value of 0.21 for Al_2O_3 /CNFs composites and from an average value of 0.17 to 0.22 for SiC/CNFs composites. The value for bulk CNFs material is 0.27. A similar trend is found when the wear rate is compared and this can be related with the reduction of hardness values. For CNFs contents higher than 50 vol.%, the removal of material from sample surface is easier due to the lower mechanical properties of the CNFs material [11], leading to an increase in softer waste that act as a third body. These abrasives form different constituents of wear debris which tended to increase ploughing debris.

SEM micrographs of damaged surfaces after the wear test are shown in Figure 5.

The worn surface revealed that the main wear mechanism in the Al_2O_3 /CNFs with 20 vol.% of CNFs is self-polishing by low-intensity abrasion and brittle fracture due to the

1 poor cohesion between the CNFs and the matrix. In a previous study on the alumina
2 composites [27], the alumina grains detached from the alumina surface caused abrasion
3 of zirconia material. However, this observation is less significant in our case because of
4 the low applied load. In the worn surface of this material it is possible to observe
5 polished areas and large amount of fine (submicron) wear particles.
6
7

8
9
10
11 The figure 5(a) shows the surface covered with pull-out fine alumina grain over the
12 entire worn surface and many cracks. These cracks were observed in the direction of
13 sliding and generated micro-abrasion. CNFs might play an important role in the sliding
14 wear behaviors of ceramics. Several researches have addressed the characterization of
15 the CNFs and their role on the wear behaviors [16-19]. The role of CNFs lubricant
16 formation can drastically reduce the amount of wear in ceramics. The dominant wear
17 behaviour of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ (20/80) vol.% (Figure 4b) was mild abrasion which resulted
18 in a smooth worn surface.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 The main wear behaviour observed in Figure 4 (c) and (d) corresponding to SiC/CNFs
32 composites was cohesive wear. Partial cracks, perpendicular to the sliding direction,
33 were observed during sliding. These cracks are due to the tensile stress at the trailing
34 edge of the contact areas. The surface of SiC/CNFs (80/20) vol.% composite was
35 covered by debris compaction resulted from the frequent events of the micro-fracture
36 due to the lowest densification. Wear debris is a mixture of SiC and CNFs particles.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 The CNFs with larger diameter and nanofibers oriented not perpendicularly to the worn
47 surface were ground at applied load, and the resulting graphite together with the crushed
48 CNFs creates the transferred film. It seems that the excellent friction coefficient of the
49 composites is probably related to the smearing of this CNFs film over the contact area,
50 which allows easy shear and then helps to achieve a lubricating effect during sliding
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[19]. More work is needed to understand the detailed role of CNFs on the tribological performance of these composites.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The results showed how friction and wear behaviour of ceramic/CNF nanocomposite materials are influenced by the type of ceramic component and properties such as hardness or relative density. Superior tribological properties were achieved in two ceramic matrices (Al_2O_3 and SiC) when CNFs are added. The wear rate and the friction coefficients decrease significantly in ceramic-CNFs composites by addition of the CNFs thanks to their lubricating properties and wear debris. However, bulk CNFs material shows a coefficient of friction and wear rate slightly higher than composites with high CNFs content (>50 vol.%) due to its lower hardness. Friction coefficients of ceramic-CNFs composites with 50 vol.% of CNFs were found to be significantly lower than all materials studied. The carbon-based transferred film over the contact area allows easy shear and helps to create a lubricating effect during sliding. SEM observations showed that dominant wear behaviour of ceramics-CNFs composites were abrasion and brittle fracture.

Acknowledgments

This work has been carried out with financial support of National Plan Projects MAT2006-01783 and MAT2007-30989-E and the Regional Project FICYT PC07-021.

A. Borrell, acknowledges the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation for her FPI Ph.D. grant. We would like to thank the people from Institute Technological of

Materials (ITM) of the Polytechnic University of Valencia for helping us with the tribology experiments during A. Borrell's short stay in 2009.

References

- [1] H. Cai, F. Yan, Q. Xue. Investigation of tribological properties of polyimide/carbon nanotube nanocomposites, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 364 (2004) 94-100.
- [2] W.X. Chen, F. Li, G. Han, J.B. Xia, L.Y. Wang, J.P. Tu, Z.D. Xu, Tribological Behavior of Carbon Nanotube-Filled. PTFE Composites, *Tribol. Lett.* 15 (2003) 275-278.
- [3] K. Matsui, L.J. Lanticse, Y. Tanabe, E. Yasuda, M. Endo, Stress graphitization of C/C composite reinforced by carbon nanofibers, *Carbon* 43 (2005) 1577-1579.
- [4] G.D. Zhan, J.D. Kuntz, J.L. Wan, A.K. Mukherjee, Single-wall carbon nanotubes as attractive toughening agents in alumina-based nanocomposites, *Nat. Mater.* 2 (2003) 38-42.
- [5] R.W. Siegel, S.K. Chang, B.J. Ash, J. Stone, P.M. Ajayan, R.W. Doremus, L.S. Schadler, Mechanical behavior of polymer and ceramic matrix nanocomposites. *Scr. Mater.* 44 (2001) 2061-2064.
- [6] I.S. Kim, S.K. Lee, Fabrication of carbon nanofiber/Cu composite powder by electroless plating and microstructural evolution during thermal exposure, *Scr. Mater.* 52 (2005) 1045-1049.
- [7] W.X. Chen, J.P. Tu, Z.D. Xu, W.L. Chen, X.B. Zhang, D.H. Cheng, Tribological properties of Ni-P-multi-walled carbon nanotubes electroless composite coating, *Mater. Lett.* 57 (2003) 1256-1260.
- [8] E. Hammel, X. Tang, M. Trampert, T. Schmitt, K. Mauthner, A. Eder, P. Pötschke, Carbon nanofibers for composite applications, *Carbon* 42 (2004) 1153-1158.

- [9] S. Maensiri, P. Laokul, J. Klinkaewnarong, V. Amornkitbamrung, Carbon nanofiber-reinforced alumina nanocomposites: Fabrication and mechanical properties, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 447 (2007) 44-50.
- [10] A. Duszová, J. Dusza, K. Tomáseek, J. Morgiel, G. Blugand, J. Kuebler, Zirconia/carbon nanofiber composite, *Scr. Mater.* 5 (2008) 520-523
- [11] A. Borrell, A. Fernández, C. Merino, R. Torrecillas, High density carbon materials obtained at relatively low temperature by spark plasma sintering of carbon nanofibers, *Int. J. Mater. Res.* 101 (2010) 112-118.
- [12] A. Borrell, V.G. Rocha, R. Torrecillas, A. Fernández, Surface coating on carbon nanofibers with alumina precursor by different synthesis routes, *Comp. Sci. Technol.* 71 (2011) 18-22.
- [13] K. Shimoda, T. Hinoki, A. Kohyama, Effect of carbon nanofibers (CNFs) content on thermal and mechanical properties of CNFs/SiC nanocomposites, *Comp. Sci. Technol.* 70 (2010) 387-392.
- [14] G. Yamamoto, M. Omori, K. Yokomizo, T. Hashida, K. Adachi, Structural characterization and frictional properties of carbon nanotube/alumina composites prepared by precursor method, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 148 (2008) 265-269.
- [15] Z.H. Xia, J. Lou, W.A. Curtin, A multiscale experiment on the tribological behavior of aligned carbon nanotube/ceramic composites, *Scr. Mater.* 58 (2008) 223-226.
- [16] D.S. Lim, D.H. You, H.J. Choi, S.H. Lim, H. Jang, Effect of CNT distribution on tribological behavior of alumina-CNT composites, *Wear* 259 (2005) 539-544.
- [17] J.W. An, D.H. You, D.S. Lim, Tribological properties of hot-pressed alumina-CNT composites, *Wear* 255 (2003) 677-681.

- [18] Y. Wang, H. Wu, Friction surface evolution of carbon fibre reinforced carbon/silicon carbide ($C_f/C-SiC$) composites, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 30 (2010) 3187-3201.
- [19] P. Hvizdos, V. Puchý, A. Duszová, J. Dusza, Tribological behavior of carbon nanofibers-zirconia composite, *Scr. Mater.* 63 (2010) 254-257.
- [20] L. Ci, J. Wei, B. Wei, J. Liang, C. Xu, D. Wu, Carbon nanofibers and single-walled carbon nanotubes prepared by the floating catalyst method, *Carbon* 39 (2001) 329-335.
- [21] G. Antis, P. Chantikul, B. Lawn, D. Marshall, A Critical Evaluation of Indentation Techniques for Measuring Fracture Toughness: I, Direct Crack Measurements, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 64 (1981) 533-538.
- [22] E. Ermer, P. Wiesław, S. Ludosław, Influence of sintering activators on structure of silicon carbide, *Sol. Stat. Ion.* 141-142 (2001) 523-528.
- [23] M. Balog, P. Šajgalík, M. Hnatko, Z. Lencés, F. Monteverde, J. Keckés, J.L. Huang, Nano-versus macro-hardness of liquid phase sintered SiC, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 25 (2005) 529-534.
- [24] B. Bhushan, Introduction to tribology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002.
- [25] H. Czichos, D. Klaffke, E. Santner, M. Woydt, Advances in tribology: the materials point of view, *Wear* 190 (1995) 155-161.
- [26] G. Yamamoto, T. Hashida, K. Adachi, T. Takagj, Tribological Properties of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Solids, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 8 (2008) 2665-2670.
- [27] M.S. Suh, Y.H. Chae, S.S. Kim, Friction and wear behavior of structural ceramics sliding against zirconia, *Wear* 264 (2008) 800-806.

Figure captions:

Figure 1. Relative density of monolithic, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ and SiC/CNFs composites fabricated by SPS technique at 1500 °C and 1600 °C, respectively.

Figure 2. Hardness of ceramic-CNFs composites as a function of CNFs content.

Figure 3. Wear rate of monolithic ceramics, CNFs and ceramic-CNFs composites in function of CNFs content.

Figure 4. The friction coefficient of Al_2O_3 , SiC, CNFs and ceramic-CNFs composites as a function of CNFs contents (left) and distance (right).

Figure 5. SEM micrographs of damaged surface after the wear tests; (a) $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ (80/20), (b) $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CNFs}$ (20/80), (c) SiC/CNFs (80/20) and (d) SiC/CNFs (20/80) vol.%.

Dr. David Busquets Mataix

Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica y de Materiales. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia

E-mail address: dbusquets@mcm.upv.es

Dr. Junzhan Zhang

National Key Laboratory of Thermostructural Composite Materials, Northwestern Polytechnical, University, Xian China

E-mail address: xajzzhang2003@mail.nwpu.edu.cn

Dr. Min-Soo Suh

Graduate School, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Republic of Korea

E-mail addresses: tribolab@empal.com

Dr. Belén Cabal Alvarez

Department: Biomaterials and Bioinspired Materials, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, Spanish National Research Council.

Email: bcabal@icmm.csic.es

Highlights

CNFs-alumina and CNFs-silicon carbide nanocomposites were densified by spark plasma sintering. The tribological properties have been investigated as a function of CNFs content. Noticeably low wear resistance of CNFs bulk material and CNFs/ceramic nanocomposites has been found. The friction coefficient of nanocomposites with high CNFs content was significantly lower to monolithic and unexpectedly slightly lower than CNFs material.

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)