

1 **Impact of sprinkler irrigation management on the Del Reguero river (Spain) II:**

2 **Phosphorus mass balance**

3
4 Ahmed Skhiri and Farida Dechmi*

5
6 Postal address: Soil and Irrigation Department (EEAD-CSIC Associated Unit), Agrifood

7 Research and Technology Centre of Aragón, Avda. Montañana 930, 50059-Zaragoza, Spain.

8 Corresponding author: Farida Dechmi

9 Telephone: +34 976716802

10 Fax: +34 976716335

11 E-mail: fdechmi@aragon.es

12
13 **Abstract**

14 In an earlier study, irrigation water use in the Del Reguero watershed (DRW) was assessed
15 using various water management indices. In this study, the phosphorus (P) transport dynamics
16 in the irrigation return flows were analyzed. Phosphorus fertilization practices were
17 determined through interviewing farmers, and P loads were monitored from October 2007 to
18 September 2009. In surface drainage waters, the average annual total phosphorus
19 concentration (TP) was 0.112 mg L⁻¹. Total dissolved P (TDP) represented the dominant P
20 form (93% of the TP concentrations) indicating that subsurface flow was the dominant
21 pathway for P transfer. Drainage water was classified as hypertrophic during 2008 and
22 eutrophic during 2009. Fertilizer contributed the most to the final result of P mass balance by
23 contributing 98% of the total P inputs. P crop needs were exceeded by 16% leading to an
24 excess of 43.8 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. The exported mass of P was 240 kg in 2008 and 228 kg in
25 2009, and the TP loss was 205 g P ha⁻¹ for 2008 and 195 g P ha⁻¹ for 2009. The amount of TP

1 load in the Del Reguero stream was considered small with regard to total phosphorus input
2 (0.23%). However, the amount of TP load in the Del Reguero stream was considered
3 significant in regard to surface water eutrophication. Because the majority of the TP load
4 existed in the dissolved form (TDP = 93% of TP), a large portion of the P losses from the
5 system was available for algae growth, thereby, enhancing eutrophication.

6

7 **Keywords:** contamination; water quality; phosphorus mass balance; phosphorus use
8 efficiency; Del Reguero watershed

9

10 **1. Introduction**

11 Phosphorus (P), an essential nutrient for crops and animal production, can accelerate
12 freshwater eutrophication (Carpenter et al., 1998). When the P applied to the agricultural land
13 by means of fertilizers and manure exceeds the removal by harvested crops, repeated
14 applications can lead to an accumulation of P in surface soils (Elrashidi et al., 2005). Soil P
15 accumulation increases the potential transfer of P from agricultural soils through runoff and
16 leaching to surface water and groundwater.

17 Phosphorus can be transported to surface waters in many forms including orthophosphate,
18 polyphosphates (dissolved form), and P bound to particulate matter (particulate form)
19 (Sharpley and Moyer, 2000). These compounds impact surface water quality by various
20 degrees depending on their bioavailability or the potential for microbial or algae P uptake
21 (Smith et al., 2005). P bound to particulate matter includes P associated to mineral and
22 organic matter. Dissolved P forms constitute 10% to 40% of the P transported from most
23 cultivated soils to water bodies through runoff (Sharpley et al., 1992).

24 Generally, most P exported from agricultural watersheds comes from only a small part of the
25 landscape, where hydrological active areas are characterized by high soil P levels (Gburek and

1 Sharpley, 1998; Pionke et al., 1997). Irrigation induces the leaching of P through soil profiles
2 in coarse-textured and stony soils (Condrón et al., 2006, 2007). Sharpley et al. (2001)
3 mentioned that an improper irrigation management can induce surface runoff and transport of
4 P adsorbed to eroded particles.

5 Recently, attention has been focused on the control of agricultural non-point diffuse
6 contamination by phosphorus to minimize surface water degradation. The European Union
7 Water Framework Directive requires achievement of "good ecological status" for all waters of
8 the European Union by 2015 (WFD, 2000). This control should be carried out at a watershed
9 scale to establish a comprehensive overview of water status within the watershed. Further,
10 measures applied to reduce non-point contamination should correlate with the physical
11 characteristics and management practices, including irrigation and fertilization.

12 In the Ebro River basin (Spain), numerous studies have been carried out to determine the type
13 and levels of non-point pollutants. However, the majority of these studies has focused on
14 agricultural contamination by salts and nitrate (Causapé et al., 2004; Isidoro et al., 2006a,
15 2006b; Causapé, 2009; García et al., 2009). Few studies have been carried out focusing on
16 diffuse phosphorus contamination. Dechmi et al. (2008), reported values above the guide level
17 (0.17 mg L^{-1}) (EU, 1980) in 74% of the controlled drainage network located within the Alto
18 Aragon Irrigation District. Nevertheless, these studies have not reached firm conclusions
19 regarding phosphorus contamination levels. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct more
20 detailed studies with daily control frequency to draw adequate conclusions regarding
21 agricultural contamination by phosphorus.

22 The objectives of the paper were: 1) to determine the phosphorus concentrations and loads in
23 the Del Reguero stream drainage water; 2) to characterize the P fertilization practices in the
24 Del Reguero watershed; and 3) to quantify the phosphorus mass balance to identify the factors
25 that had the biggest influence on the phosphorus exported mass. A map of the Del Reguero

1 watershed (DRW) location has been presented in the companion paper of this series (Skhiri
2 and Dechmi, 2011a).

3

4 **2. Material and Methods**

5 **2.1. Phosphorus soil test**

6 In the fall of 2008, a soil survey was performed to determine the plant available phosphorus in
7 soil. Soil samples were taken from 0.3 m to 1.2 m when possible. A total of 92 samples were
8 collected from 28 representative plots, and the Olsen P concentration was determined in the
9 laboratory (Olsen et al., 1954). Two other soil sampling surveys were performed in 2009 in
10 the same plots to determine soil Olsen P evolution before and after the irrigation season. In
11 this case, only a depth of 0.3 m was considered. For each plot, the potential risk of phosphorus
12 loss in runoff was determined using the empirical curve number method (USDA-NRCS,
13 1989). This method depends on soil drainage class, hydrological conditions, land use, and
14 management of the land use. The drainage class of each plot was determined based on the
15 texture and rate of infiltration. In all cases, the hydrologic condition was considered to be
16 good because the area is cultivated under irrigation. The curve number (CN) value of each
17 plot was overlapped with the slope value of the same plot, and the potential risk of P loss in
18 runoff was determined following the matrix as previously proposed by Beaudet et al. (1998).

19

20 **2.2. Water sampling process and analysis**

21 Irrigation return flows were measured at a gauging station (41° 54' N, 0° 06' W), located at
22 the outlet of the watershed near the village of Peralta de Alcofea, as part of the combined
23 return and normal runoff. The streamflow was measured once a day at the same hour (12
24 clocks). Water samples were automatically collected once a day using an automatic water
25 sampler (ISCO 3700C). Three P forms were analyzed as follows: total P (TP), total dissolved

1 P (TDP), and particulate P (PP). Additional water sampling was manually performed once
2 every three weeks to determine the suspended solids (SS). The sampling period was from
3 October 2007 to September 2009 (two hydrological years). The TDP (on filtered samples
4 using a 0.45- μm filter) and TP (on unfiltered samples) were colorimetrically analyzed
5 following an acid persulfate digestion. The TDP concentrations included both soluble reactive
6 P, which is thought to be the most bioavailable P fraction (Reynolds and Davies, 2001), and
7 soluble organic P. The TP concentrations included the TDP and PP fractions. The particulate
8 P fraction was determined as the difference between the TP and TDP in water samples. The
9 colorimetric limit detection was 0.001 mg L^{-1} for both TP and TDP. All concentrations were
10 expressed as mg L^{-1} of P. Usual statistical parameters were calculated on a daily basis for the
11 irrigation season (IS), non-irrigation season (NIS), and hydrological year (HY). The
12 relationships between TP concentrations and streamflows and between TP concentrations and
13 P fractions were determined. Moreover, the percentage of water samples with TP
14 concentrations exceeding 0.02 mg L^{-1} , 0.03 mg L^{-1} , 0.06 mg L^{-1} , 0.17 mg L^{-1} , and 0.30 mg L^{-1}
15 was calculated. These values corresponded to the beginning of the eutrophication phenomena
16 (Sande, 2005), Quebec surface water criteria (MENV, 2001), and the Directive 75/440/EEC
17 (EU, 1975) threshold requirements on water quality for different uses of water. Moreover, the
18 relationships between TP concentrations and streamflows during storm events were also
19 analyzed. The storm events considered in this case represent precipitation events which result
20 in a total measured precipitation accumulation equal or greater than 14 mm of rainfall.

21

22 **2.3. Phosphorus mass balance**

23 The P mass balance was performed for the 2008 and 2009 hydrological years considering the
24 most important inputs and outputs of P in the watershed. The P mass balance was calculated
25 assigning P concentrations to each of the water balance components carried out in the first

1 part of this study (Skhiri and Dechmi, 2011a). Evapotranspiration and losses due to
2 evaporation and wind drift in the sprinkler irrigation system were considered P-free.
3 Therefore, these losses were not considered in P mass balance calculation. A phosphorus mass
4 for each water balance component was calculated by multiplying the P concentration by the
5 corresponding water volumes. In addition, P mass balance included fertilizers (mineral and
6 organic) as an input into the system and the amount of P extracted by crops as P output from
7 the system (Eq. 1). The phosphorus mass balance was calculated with the following formula:

8

$$9 \quad \Delta P = (P_I + P_P + P_{MW} + P_{FC} + P_{OF} + P_{MF}) - (P_{SO} + P_H) \quad (1)$$

10

11 where P_I is the P mass in irrigation water; P_P is the P mass in precipitation; P_{MW} is the P mass
12 in municipal wastewaters; P_{FC} is the P mass in filter cleaning waters; P_{OF} is the P mass in
13 organic fertilizers; P_{MF} is the P mass in mineral fertilizers; P_{SO} is the P mass from the surface
14 drainage outflows; and P_H is the P mass from harvested biomass.

15 The phosphorus concentrations in irrigation and filter cleaning waters were determined in
16 water samples taken from the Pertusa canal during the study period ($P_I = P_{FC} = 0.001 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$,
17 10 samples). The phosphorus concentration in precipitation waters was obtained in water
18 samples collected by a pluviometer located in the study area ($P_P = 0.148 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, 5 samples).
19 This value is high but lower than those found in some States of America (Root et al., 2004).
20 Concentrations of P in municipal waste water were determined in water samples taken from
21 the discharging tube ($P_{MW} = 2.320 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, 3 samples).

22 The amounts of P contained in organic (P_{OF}) and inorganic (P_{FM}) fertilizers were determined
23 by interviewing farmers during the 2008 and 2009 agricultural season. For each application of
24 P fertilizer to each crop, the mean amount of P and mean application dates were determined
25 (Table 1). Multiplying the quantity of total P fertilizer applied per hectare to a given crop by

1 the area of the crop gave the total quantity of P applied for that crop (Table 1). This
2 calculation was repeated for all crops to obtain the total P applied in the entire watershed. The
3 P use efficiency was also calculated as the ratio between P in harvested plants and P inputs
4 (Chen et al., 2008)

5 The daily P loads in the drainage waters (P_{SO}) were calculated from the TP concentrations and
6 daily mean streamflows measured at the monitoring station. Total dissolved P loads are
7 commonly coupled to subsurface outflows. The crop P uptakes (P_H) were estimated from
8 mean annual yields gathered from field surveys, surface area of different crops, and P content
9 of the crops (CFI, 1998; Fixen and Garcia, 2006; MAPA, 2007).

10

11 **3. Results and Discussion**

12 **3.1. Soil phosphorus test**

13 Results indicate that in general, soil P-Olsen concentrations were very heterogeneous ranging
14 from 5 to 137 mg kg⁻¹ in the surface layer (0 – 30 cm) with an average of 28.0 mg kg⁻¹ and
15 standard deviation of 19.3 mg kg⁻¹ (Fig. 1). Similar findings about P-Olsen heterogeneity were
16 also reported by Stambouli et al. (2008) and Sharpley et al. (1999) in agricultural watersheds.
17 This heterogeneity was due to a combination of different factors including soil type (texture,
18 stoniness, and depth), crop type, irrigation system and mineral and organic fertilization
19 (Stambouli et al., 2008). The average P-Olsen concentration in the soil decreased with
20 increasing soil depth (Fig. 1). The highest average P-Olsen concentrations for each type of
21 soil were obtained in the surface layer (0 – 30 cm). This was due to the low mobility of P in
22 the soil (Haygarth and Jarvis, 1999) and/or the P fertilizer application method (Stambouli et
23 al., 2008). The combination of those factors results in P surpluses on farms and buildup of P
24 to excessive levels in surface soil layers.

1 Platform soils presented the lowest average P-Olsen content in the layer 0 – 30 cm (ranging
2 from 5.0 to 27.0 mg kg⁻¹, with an average of 16.9 mg kg⁻¹). In addition, no significant
3 difference ($P < 0.05$) was found between average P-Olsen concentration in layers 0 – 30 and
4 30 – 60 cm (16.9 vs. 12.9 mg kg⁻¹). This indicates that there is a transfer of P from the soil
5 profile to the subsoil, mainly due to presence of stones (20% on volume in average) that
6 induce macropore and preferential transfer pathways.

7 The highest average P-Olsen content (39.3 mg kg⁻¹) was measured in shallow alluvial soils at
8 layer 0 – 30 cm (Table 2). For this soil type, no significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was found
9 between average P-Olsen content in the different layers. This indicates that there is a transport
10 from upper layers towards bottom layers via subsurface flow. Sharpley et al. (2001) cited that
11 the closer the field to the stream, the greater the chance to reach it. This means that shallow
12 alluvial soils could be responsible for a major part of P reaching Del Reguero stream.

13 Deep alluvial soils presented an average P-Olsen content of 29.1 mg kg⁻¹ in the layer 0 – 30
14 cm (Table 2). A significant difference ($P < 0.001$) was found between the average P-Olsen
15 concentrations in the layer 0 – 30 cm and the P-Olsen concentrations in deeper layers. This
16 means that P transport via subsurface flow is not functional, since average P-Olsen
17 concentrations in the deeper layers are the lowest ranging from 4.0 mg kg⁻¹ (0.9 – 1.2 m layer)
18 to 7.8 mg kg⁻¹ (0.3 – 0.6 m layer). Sharpley et al. (1981) and Sharpley (1985) showed that the
19 Effective Depth of Interaction (Zhang and Zhang, 2009) increased with an increase in soil
20 slope. As an example, the effective depth of interaction between surface soil and runoff
21 increased from 2.21 to 6.02 mm when soil slope was increased from 4 to 8% (Sharpley et al.,
22 1981). In our study zone, 48 and 25% of deep alluvial soils surface have slopes ranging from
23 2 to 4% and from 4 to 8%, respectively. This characteristic induces the transport of P via
24 surface runoff and erosion following an intense rainfall or irrigation events.

1 Figure 2 shows the evolution of Olsen P concentrations in alfalfa, corn, sunflower, and barley
2 plots between March 19, 2009 (a few days before the beginning the IS) and November 16,
3 2009 (more than one month after the end of the IS). The alfalfa, corn, and sunflower plots
4 showed a decrease in the average Olsen P concentrations by 1 mg kg^{-1} , 4 mg kg^{-1} , and 5 mg
5 kg^{-1} , respectively. However, the barley fields had an increase in soil Olsen P concentration by
6 4 mg kg^{-1} . With regard to the barley fields, the increase of the Olsen P concentration was
7 expected because the same surveyed fields were fertilized and sown with the same crop
8 several days before performing the soil sampling on November 16, 2009. The decreases in
9 soil Olsen P content in alfalfa, corn, and sunflower plots were attributed: crop P uptake; P loss
10 through the processes of dissolution, incidental transfers, or physical transfers (Haygarth and
11 Sharpley, 2000); and P fixation or retention processes (White, 1981; Barrow, 1987, 1987).

12 Using the agronomic interpretation of soil Olsen P concentrations as previously proposed by
13 López Ritas (1975), all sampled fields presented high Olsen P concentrations in the 0 cm - 30
14 cm soil layer ($25 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} < \text{Olsen P} < 34 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). For these fields with an excessive Olsen P
15 concentration (exceeding 20 mg kg^{-1}), extra P input by fertilizer will increase P runoff and
16 leaching instead of crop production (Sharpley et al., 1999). Soils with high Olsen P
17 concentrations are more likely to transfer P to surface waters. However, a soil test alone is a
18 poor indicator of the risk of P transfer (Beauchemin et al., 1997, Hooda et al., 2000). The
19 combination of the curve number (USDA-NRCS, 1989) with the field slope values allowed
20 the potential risk of P loss in runoff in each field to be calculated (Beaudet et al., 1998). Most
21 plots occupied by corn presented a moderate or a high risk of P loss in runoff, and all alfalfa
22 plots showed a low risk of P loss in runoff.

23

24 **3.2. Phosphorus fertilization management**

1 Table 1 shows the average date (d) of application and mean amount of phosphorus applied
2 (PA) in each fertilizer application for the main crops during the 2008 and 2009 hydrological
3 years. The P fertilization dates were variable among crops and years. The majority of farmers
4 in the DRW applied solid and liquid P fertilizers on the soil surface. Moreover, the dates of P
5 applications were mainly concentrated in the spring and summer months during ecologically
6 sensitive periods (Withers et al., 2009). During these periods, the biological activity of some
7 species, such as salmon, is at its highest activity.

8 In all surveyed plots, alfalfa has more than one year stand. Therefore, the results shown in
9 Table 1 do not show manure and preplant P fertilizer applications. Two P fertilizer
10 applications were applied during the alfalfa growing season: one in spring and the other in
11 summer. For corn, the common practice was to apply P organic fertilizers in late February or
12 early March and to apply preplant P mineral fertilizers several days before sowing during the
13 month of April. With regard to the fertilizer regime for corn fields, there was a high risk of P
14 loss because P was applied to the soil surface before sowing (Beaudet et al., 1998). For barley,
15 the dates of fertilizer applications depended on the type of fertilizers applied. If the fertilizer
16 applied was organic (manure or slurry), farmers started fertilizing in the month of October. If
17 the fertilizer applied was mineral, farmers started to fertilize several days before sowing. In
18 both cases, the farmers fertilized early before the beginning of the fall season precipitations.
19 For the sunflower crops, there was only one P fertilization application several days before
20 sowing in the month of April, which presented a high risk of P loss (Beaudet et al., 1998).

21 The total amount of P fertilizers applied during 2009 was 15.3% higher than the total amount
22 of P fertilizers applied during 2008. This increase was due to the increased amount of P
23 fertilizers applied to alfalfa and barley crops because the areas occupied by these crops were
24 similar during the two study years. As expected, corn was the most fertilized crop (72% and
25 64% of total P applied in the study area during 2008 and 2009, respectively) followed by

1 barley (14% and 18%) and alfalfa (9% and 16%) (Table 1). Due to the small coverage of
2 sunflower crops, only 5% and 2% of total P applied was applied to sunflower crops in 2008
3 and 2009, respectively (Table 1).

4 The crop harvested P (P_H) was lower than the P applied with fertilizers (P_F) in both years for
5 the main crops, except for alfalfa crops in 2008 and sunflower crops in 2009 (Table 3). Corn
6 and barley phosphorus fertilization more than doubled the calculated crop phosphorus
7 extraction (Mean $P_H/P_F = 0.4$). For corn crop, the farmers applied more P than crop
8 requirements trying to protect the high economic yield of the corn crop. In the case of alfalfa,
9 the P_F was lower than the P_H in 2008, and the opposite occurred in 2009 even though the crop
10 yields were similar for the two study years. For 2008, the result of P_F being lower than the P_H
11 was logical because farmers fertilized the alfalfa taking into account the symbiotic fixation of
12 N by the crop. Therefore, the alfalfa fields were not fertilized enough to replace P extractions
13 leading to a P_H/P_F ratio of 1.3. On the contrary, in 2009 alfalfa was over fertilized with a P_H/P_F
14 ratio of 0.5. This was due to the type of fertilizer used to satisfy nitrogen crop needs. In 2008,
15 the type of fertilizer used was 06-09-19, with an average applied dose of 600 kg ha^{-1} . While in
16 2009, the type of fertilizer used was 08-15-15 with an average applied dose of 800 kg ha^{-1} .

17 The kind of fertilizer and the applied dose have led to an excess in P fertilizer application in
18 2009. Sunflower crops were well fertilized in 2008 with a P_H/P_F ratio of 0.9 and under
19 fertilized in 2009 with a P_H/P_F ratio of 1.2.

20 The mean annual P fertilizer application rate in the DRW was approximately $61 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$.
21 This rate was significantly higher than the rates previously reported in the Pister Hill
22 watershed in the UK ($26.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$), which is mostly covered by grassland (Heathwaite
23 and Dils, 2000), and in the North Wyke watershed in the UK ($16.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) (Haygarth
24 and Jarvis, 1996). An environmentally significant fraction of the annual fertilizer input is lost
25 as incidental P in areas where rainfall closely follows fertilizer application (two days on

1 average). Heathwaite and Dils (2000) reported high TP loss (maximum 2.2 mg L^{-1}) in storm
2 generated runoff that occurred 2 days after the application of dirty water (derived from cattle
3 slurry).

4 **3.3. Phosphorus concentration pattern**

6 Table 4 summarizes the main statistical parameters including the TP, TDP, and PP
7 concentrations measured in the Del Reguero stream in the IS (October to March) and NIS
8 (April to September) during the 2008 and 2009 hydrological years. The annual average TP,
9 TDP, and PP concentrations were 0.112 mg L^{-1} , 0.102 mg L^{-1} , and 0.011 mg L^{-1} , respectively.
10 Daily evolution of the TP, TDP, and PP concentrations (Fig. 3) showed high variability (CV =
11 106%, 105%, and 416% for TP, TDP, and PP, respectively), which may reflect the effect of
12 rainfall duration and intensity on the magnitude of surface runoff and, therefore, P dynamics
13 (Heathwaite and Dales, 2000). Moreover, 71% of the study area was irrigated by sprinkler
14 irrigation systems, which applied water as rainfall and, thus, may induce the transport of P
15 towards the Del Reguero stream.

16 TDP represented the dominant P form and occupied 93% of TP concentrations. A good
17 correlation was observed between TP and TDP ($r = 0.93$ and $n = 643$; $P < 0.01$). Moreover,
18 TDP concentrations were significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher than PP concentrations for both
19 years. The relatively low correlation found between TP and PP ($r = 0.45$) was an expected
20 result because a majority of the collected water samples were not turbid (with low suspended
21 solids, SS). The relationship found between PP and SS was relatively low ($r = 0.46$), which
22 was mainly due to the low sampling frequency of SS (41 samples).

23 The peaks of TP concentrations not only depended on the rainfall duration and intensity but
24 also on agronomic factors, such as irrigation and fertilization. Total phosphorus peaks were
25 more frequent during spring and autumn (Fig. 3) when compared to the other seasons. In

1 2008, the maximum TP concentration ($TP = 1.01 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) was observed during the spring, and
2 it matched the beginning of the IS and fertilization applications, reflecting the importance of
3 irrigation (as a transport factor) and fertilization (as a source factor). In this case, PP
4 represented 69% of the TP measured ($TDP = 0.315 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ compared to $PP = 0.695 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$),
5 indicating that soil P saturation was high and overland flow was the main transport factor.
6 During 2009, however, the maximum TP concentration (0.850 mg L^{-1}) was found during the
7 fall following three days of rainfall (total precipitation = 46 mm). In this case, TDP
8 represented 100% of the TP measured; indicating that the soil P saturation was low and that
9 the subsurface flow was probably the only transport factor.

10 Total phosphorus concentrations recorded during 2008 were significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher
11 than those recorded during 2009. This is mainly due to the effect of rainfall on Del Reguero
12 stream water dilution. In fact, during the 2008 HY the amount of rainfall was 6.92 hm^3 , while
13 in 2009 it was 9.47 hm^3 . This difference may have caused water dilution resulting in lower
14 values of TP. The average TP concentration in 2008 was approximately three times higher
15 than the average TP concentration registered during 2009 (0.179 mg L^{-1} compared to 0.061
16 mg L^{-1} , respectively). The difference between the TP concentrations in the IS and NIS was not
17 statistically significant ($P < 0.001$) even though the average TP concentration in the IS (0.118
18 mg L^{-1}) was higher than the NIS average (0.105 mg L^{-1}). However, the difference between IS
19 and NIS TP averages in each year 2008 and 2009 were statistically significant ($P < 0.001$).

20 Considering the restrictive criterion that eutrophication can start from the P threshold of 0.02
21 mg L^{-1} (Sharpley et al., 2001), almost all the water samples analyzed during 2008 and 2009
22 (97% and 83% of samples, respectively) surpassed this potential risk threshold (Table 5).
23 Similar conclusions were obtained when the water quality criterion from the Environment
24 Ministry of Canada (MENV, 2001) was considered (Table 5). Considering the criterion of the
25 75/440/EEC Directive (EU, 1975) implemented in Spain by the Ebro Water Plan, which

1 considers that water type A1 used for baths and irrigation should have a P content less than
2 0.06 mg L^{-1} , 80% of the water samples analyzed during 2008 were unsuited for these uses. In
3 2009, only 31% of the water samples would have been prohibited for bath and irrigation use.
4 When considering other uses of water type A1, only 51% (2008) and 5% (2009) of the
5 samples were inadequate. Considering a P threshold of 0.30 mg L^{-1} , which restricts the use of
6 water types A2 and A3 for resistant fish species production (EU, 1975), only 12% (2008) and
7 1% (2009) of the analyzed samples were above of the indicated threshold. In general, waters
8 that flowed through the Del Reguero stream during 2009 were less contaminated.
9 According to the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), waters
10 that circulated in the stream during 2008 were classified as hypertrophic because they had a
11 mean annual TP concentration higher than 0.100 mg L^{-1} (Olmos García, 2000). During 2009,
12 however, waters were classified as eutrophic because they had a mean annual TP
13 concentration higher than 0.035 mg L^{-1} and lower than 0.100 mg L^{-1} (Olmos García, 2000).

14

15 **3.4. Phosphorus concentrations and streamflow relationships**

16 Daily and monthly TP and TDP concentrations compared to streamflow are shown in Figures
17 4 and 5, respectively. On a daily basis, there was a weak and negative relationship between TP
18 concentrations and streamflow ($r = -0.30$; $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4A) and between TDP
19 concentrations and streamflow ($r = -0.36$; $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 5A). These relationships were also
20 weak when considering the IS data. The correlation coefficient was -0.31 ($P < 0.01$) between
21 the TP concentrations and streamflow (Fig. 4C) and -0.38 ($P < 0.01$) between TDP
22 concentrations and streamflow (Fig. 5C). The correlation coefficient was -0.72 ($P < 0.01$)
23 between monthly average TP concentrations and monthly average streamflow (Fig. 4D) and -
24 0.72 ($P < 0.01$) between monthly average TDP concentrations and monthly average
25 streamflow (Fig. 5D). In all cases and mainly during the irrigation season, there was an

1 inverse relationship between phosphorus concentration (TP and TDP) and flow, indicating
2 that P concentrations were diluted in higher streamflows. Skhiri and Dechmi (2011a) did not
3 find a significant correlation between TP concentrations and flows for several irrigated
4 watersheds except for the Alcanadre watershed where they reported the TP in the IS to be
5 positively and significantly correlated with flow ($r^2 = 0.5$; $P < 0.05$).

6 However, the dilution effect was not observed when only data of storm events were
7 considered. The TP and flow temporal trends of the storm events studied were similar (Figs.
8 6A, 6B, and 6C). Scatter plots showing the relationships between TP concentrations and
9 streamflow during the three storm events showed strong relationships between the variables
10 (Figs. 6A', 6B', and 6C'). For storm 1 (45 mm of precipitation in four days), TP concentrations
11 reached a maximum value of 0.358 mg L^{-1} one day before the flow reached its maximum
12 value (Fig. 6A). During storm events 2 and 3, however, TP concentrations reached 0.342 mg
13 L^{-1} and 0.122 mg L^{-1} , respectively, matching the peak streamflow (Figs. 6B and 6C).
14 Moreover, peak TDP concentrations always took place along with peak streamflow or with
15 peak TP concentrations.

16 The maximum TP concentrations reached during storm events 1 and 2 were similar even
17 though the peak streamflow was more than four times higher during storm 2 (915 L s^{-1}
18 compared to 226 L s^{-1}), what may have been related to the intensity of rainfall of storm events
19 1 and 2.

20

21 **3.5. Phosphorus mass balance**

22 Table 6 presents the phosphorus mass balance components for the 2008 and 2009
23 hydrological years. The largest sources of phosphorus (P) inputs in the study area were
24 mineral (PMF) and organic (POF) fertilizers, which represented 91% and 7%, respectively, of
25 P inputs in 2008 and 92% and 6%, respectively, of P inputs in 2009.

1 The difference between the inputs and outputs (ΔP) represented the unaccounted terms of the
2 phosphorus balance, such as initial soil P, P fixation processes, or P retention processes
3 (White, 1981; Barrow, 1987). The ΔP value was 57.5 Mg in 2008 and 76.7 Mg in 2009
4 representing approximately 42 % of the average inputs and outputs in both study years.
5 Higher ΔP values have been found in other studies, such as the 49% ΔP value reported in
6 arable watersheds in China (Chen et al., 2008).

7 The P use efficiency (PUE) (plant harvest P / P input) in the study area was low with a value
8 of 40.6%, which led to a P surplus of 43.8 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. Compared to other European
9 countries and non irrigated agriculture, the PUE in the study area was lower than the PUE in
10 the UK (55.9%) (Withers et al., 2001) and Finland (45.9%) (Antikainen et al., 2005). In these
11 countries and for their different climate characteristics, the main total annual P load occurred
12 in the 2 or 3 months of the year with very high rainfall. Moreover, the annual P surplus for
13 these countries was much lower (15.9 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and 12.7 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ for the UK and
14 Finland, respectively).

15 **3.6. Phosphorus export**

16 Table 7 presents the annual and seasonal TP load (TP_L) and the mass of TP yielded per
17 hectare (TP_Y) calculated for the 2008 and 2009 hydrological years. The daily TP_L ranged
18 between 0.1 kg d⁻¹ and 7.2 kg d⁻¹ for 2008 (TP_L = 0.66 kg d⁻¹ on average) and between 0.0 kg
19 d⁻¹ and 27.0 kg d⁻¹ for 2009 (TP_L = 0.62 kg d⁻¹ on average). The comparison between daily TP
20 loads during the IS and NIS resulted in no significant differences ($P < 0.05$). The annual
21 stream TP loads were 240 kg and 228 kg for 2008 and 2009, respectively. The TP_L values in
22 the DRW were higher than others reported for irrigated agricultural systems of the middle
23 Ebro River Basin, such as the Lerma (16 kg) and D-XIX-6 (26 kg) watersheds, during the
24 2007 hydrological year (Skhiri and Dechmi, 2011b). On the other hand, the TP_L values
25 obtained in the DRW were lower than those calculated for the Tauste river watershed (84,394

1 kg) and La Violada (1,722 kg) watershed during the 2007 hydrological year (Skhiri and
2 Dechmi, 2011b). Those watersheds cited as examples have the same soils, crops and climate
3 as DRW. Phosphorus fertilizers are often applied on the soil surface in liquid and solid forms
4 and mainly during the spring and summer months. Second side-dress P fertilizers applications
5 are frequently concentrated during the months of June and July.

6 The mean TP_L was higher during the IS than the NIS (143 kg and 91 kg, respectively), which
7 was expected because the streamflows recorded during the IS were significantly ($P < 0.01$)
8 higher than those recorded during the NIS for both study years. Moderately strong
9 relationships ($P < 0.01$) between TP_L values and streamflows were observed during 2008 and
10 2009 (r values of 0.50 and 0.80, respectively). Similar findings were reported by Haggard et
11 al. (2003) in drainage waters of the Ozark Plateau catchment in the US.

12 Monthly TP_L values were variable with an average of 20 kg. As it has been found in our study
13 and widely reported elsewhere (Kronvang, 1992; Grant et al., 1996; Sharpley et al., 1999;
14 Bechmann et al., 2005; Withers and Hodgkinson, 2009), the majority of TP was exported in a
15 relatively short period (Fig. 7). In fact, 22% and 23% of yearly TP loads were exported during
16 the months of May (2008) and April (2009), respectively. Withers and Hodgkinson (2009)
17 mentioned that P export variation is strongly related to the annual flow variation and that
18 between 60% and 80% of the P loads are typically exported in the two or three months of the
19 year with highest rainfall (e.g., January and April).

20 The annual TP yields (TP_Y) of the irrigated area were 205 g ha⁻¹ and 195 g ha⁻¹ for 2008 and
21 2009, respectively (200 g ha⁻¹ on average), and these values were lower than reported for
22 other agricultural watersheds (Kronvang et al., 1995; Grant et al., 1996; Sharpely et al., 1999).
23 A TP_Y of 1,463 g ha⁻¹ was found in the Tauste river watershed (Spain) during the 2007
24 hydrological year (Skhiri and Dechmi, 2011b). Drainage TP losses of this order were
25 considered small when compared to the annual net input of P in arable land in most European

1 countries (Sibbesen and Runge-Metzger, 1995). However, these losses were significant with
2 regard to eutrophication of surface water (Grant et al., 1996). Most of the TP yield was in the
3 dissolved form (TDP = 90% of TP), indicating that the TP was available for algal growth in
4 the water receiving bodies and, therefore, enhancing eutrophication (Graneli, 1999;
5 Schernewski, 2003).

6

7 **4. Conclusions**

8 Soil phosphorus levels observed in the study area remained above critical values, especially
9 for shallow and deep alluvial soils. In addition, P fertilization management analysis showed
10 that the majority of crops (especially corn) were over-fertilized. This was highlighted by the
11 low P use efficiency. These results pointed to a great risk of increasing P concentrations in
12 drainage waters and, therefore, in receiving water bodies. During the study period, 90% of the
13 total analyzed water samples had TP concentrations over the threshold of eutrophication (0.02
14 mg L⁻¹) and, therefore, presented a high threat to water quality. Moreover, TDP was the
15 dominant P form (90% of TP), which indicated that the majority of the P losses from the
16 system was available for algae growth, and thereby, enhancing eutrophication. Furthermore,
17 the irrigation return flows were classified as hypertrophic in 2008 and eutrophic in 2009.

18 TP decreased with increasing streamflow due to water dilution. However, this dilution effect
19 was not observed during storm events (total phosphorus concentrations increased with
20 increasing streamflow). This is due to the fact that during storm events surface flow processes
21 and erosion were dominant and enriched streamflow with phosphorus in dissolved and
22 particulate forms. The phosphorus mass balance showed a residual P in the system (67.09
23 Mg). The majority of P (61%) was exported during the IS when the flow was dominated by
24 drainage waters. The exported amount of P was considered significant with regard to the
25 eutrophication of surface water.

1 The sprinkler irrigation system is identified to release significant amounts of phosphorus to
2 receiving water bodies. Fertilizers and irrigation management were identified and should be
3 changed to improve the quality of the irrigation return flows. Many practices can be proposed
4 including rate and method of nutrient application, depth of till, amount of irrigation water
5 applied, time and dose of irrigation. However, their effectiveness in improving water quality
6 at watershed scale should be tested.

7

8 **Acknowledgments**

9 This work has been financed by the bank of La Caixa, the General Community of Alto
10 Aragon Irrigation Districts and Scientific and Technologic Park Aula Dei Foundation with the
11 valuable collaboration of the laboratory staff of CITA-DGA. We thank the Instituto Nacional
12 de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA) for awarding to Ahmed Skhiri a
13 predoctoral fellowship.

14

15 **References**

16 Antikainen, R., Lemola, R., Nousiainen, J.I., Sokka, L., Esala, M., Huhtanen, P., 2005. Stocks
17 and flows of nitrogen and phosphorus in the Finnish food production and consumption
18 system. *Agriculture Ecosystem and Environment* 107 (2-3), 287-305.

19 Banaszuk, P., Wysocka-Czubaszek, A., 2005. Phosphorus dynamics and fluxes in a lowland
20 river: The Narew Anastomosing River System, NE Poland. *Journal of Ecological*
21 *Engineering* 25, 429-441.

22 Barrow, N.J., 1978. The description of phosphate adsorption curves. *Journal of Soil Science*
23 29, 447-462.

24 Barrow, N.J., 1987. Reactions with variable charge soils. *Developments in Plant and Soil*
25 *Sciences*. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht.

- 1 Beauchemin, S., Simard, R.R., Cluis, D., 1997. Risk assessment of phosphorus leaching in
2 flat, tile drained soils from an intensively cultivated area. Trans ASAE, Annual
3 International Meeting, Paper no. 97-2016. August 10-14, 1997. Minneapolis,
4 Minnesota, USA. pp. 61-64.
- 5 Beaudet, P., Beaulieu, R., Bélanger, M., Bernier, D., Bolinder, M.A., Dansereau, P.P.,
6 Émond, C., Giroux, M., Magnan, J., Nadeau, J., Simard, R.R., 1998. Proposition de
7 norme sur la fertilisation phosphate au groupe de travail interministériel. Document de
8 travail. Groupe technique sur la norme sur le phosphore. Ministère de l'Environnement
9 du Québec, Québec, Canada.
- 10 Bechmann, M.E., Bergeb, D., Eggestada, H.O., Vandsemba, S.M., 2005. Phosphorus transfer
11 from agricultural areas and its impact on the eutrophication of lakes: two long-term
12 integrated studies from Norway. *Journal of Hydrology* 304, 238-250.
- 13 Canadian Fertilizer Institute (CFI), 1998. Nutrient uptake and removal by field crops. pp. 2.
- 14 Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N., Smith, V.H.,
15 1998. Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Journal of*
16 *Ecological Applications* 8, 559-568.
- 17 Causapé, J., 2009. Agro-environmental evaluation of irrigation land II. Pollution induced by
18 Bardenas Irrigation District (Spain). *Agricultural Water Management* 96, 188-194.
- 19 Causapé, J., Quílez, D., Aragüés, R., 2004. Assessment of irrigation and environmental
20 quality at the hydrological basin level. II: Salt and nitrate loads in irrigation return
21 flows. *Agricultural Water Management* 70, 211-228.
- 22 Chen, M., Chen, J., Sun, F., 2008. Agricultural phosphorus flow and its environmental
23 impacts in China. *Science of the Total Environment* 405, 140-154.

- 1 Condrón, L.M., Leytem, A.B., Rubæk, G.H., Ulén, B., 2007. Phosphorus mobilization:
2 importance of agricultural practice and soil properties. Proceedings of the International
3 Phosphorus Workshop, 3 to 7 September 2007, in Silkeborg, Denmark.
- 4 Condrón, L.M., Sinaj, S., McDowell, R.W., Dudler-Guela, J., Scott, J.T., Metherell, A.K.,
5 2006. Influence of long-term irrigation on the distribution and availability of soil
6 phosphorus under permanent pasture. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 44, 127-133.
- 7 Culley, J.L.B., Bolton, E.F., Bernyk, V., 1983. Suspended solids and phosphorus loads from a
8 clay soil: Plot studies. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 12, 493-498.
- 9 Dechmi, F., Isidoro, D., Gimeno, Y., 2008. Calidad del agua de los retornos de riego en la
10 comunidad general de riegos del alto Aragón. XXIV Congreso Nacional de Riegos y
11 Drenaje de Huesca (AERYD), pp. 65-66.
- 12 Elrashidi, M.A., Mays, M.D., Harder, J., Schroeder, D., Brakhage, P., Peaslee, S., Seubold,
13 C., Schaecher, C., 2005. Loss of phosphorus by runoff for agricultural watersheds.
14 *Journal of Soil Sciences* 170, 543-558.
- 15 European Union, 1975. Directive 75/440/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council
16 of 16 Jun 1975 on the required quality of surface waters designated for the production
17 of drinking water. *Official Journal L194*, July 25, 1975: 1-26.
- 18 European Union, 1980. Directive 80/778/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council
19 of 15 July 1980 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. *Official*
20 *Journal L229*, August 30, 1-32.
- 21 Fixen, P.A., García, F.O., 2006. Decisiones efectivas en el manejo de nutrientes... mirando
22 más allá de la próxima cosecha. Proceedings of the XIV Congress of AAPRSID.
23 Rosario, Argentine, August 8-11, 2006. pp. 28.

- 1 García, I., Causapé, J., Valenzuela, I., Abrahão, R., 2009. Evolution of the efficiency and
2 agro-environmental impact of a traditional irrigation land in the middle Ebro Valley
3 (2001-2007). *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 7 (2), 465-473.
- 4 Gburek, W.J., Sharpley, A.N., 1998. Hydrologic controls on phosphorus loss from upland an
5 agricultural watershed. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 27, 267-277.
- 6 Graneli, W., 1999. Internal phosphorus loading in Lake Ringsjon. *Journal of Hydrobiologia*
7 404, 19-26.
- 8 Grant, A., Laubel, A., Kronvang, B., Andersen, H.E., Svendsen, L.M., Fulgsang, A., 1996.
9 Loss of dissolved and particulate phosphorus from arable catchments by subsurface
10 drainage. *Journal of Water Research* 30 (11), 2633-2642.
- 11 Haggard, B.E., Moore, P.A., Chaubey, I., Stanley, E.H., 2003. Nitrogen and phosphorus
12 concentrations and export from an Ozark Plateau catchment in the United States.
13 *Biosystems Engineering* 86, 75-85.
- 14 Harris, R., Heathwaite, A.L., Haygarth, P.M., 1995. High temporal resolution sampling of P
15 exported from grassland soil during a storm, and the impact of slurry additions. In:
16 *Proceedings of the International Workshop on 'Phosphorus loss to water from*
17 *agriculture'*, September 1995, Wexford, Ireland, 25-26.
- 18 Haygarth, P.M., Jarvis, S.C., 1999. Transfer of phosphorus from agricultural soils. *Advances*
19 *in Agronomy* 66, 195-249.
- 20 Haygarth, P.M., Sharpley, A.N., 2000. Terminology of phosphorus transfer. *Journal of*
21 *Environmental Quality* 29, 10-15.
- 22 Heathwaite, A.L., Dils, R.M., 2000. Characterizing phosphorus loss in surface and subsurface
23 hydrological pathways. *The Science of the Total Environment* 251/252, 523-538.

- 1 Hooda, P.S., Moynagh, M., Svoboda, I.F., 1996. A comparison of phosphate losses in
2 drainage water from two different grassland systems. *Soil Use Management* 12, 224.
- 3 Hooda, P.S., Rendell, A.R., Edwards, A.C., Withers, P.J.A., Aitken, M.N., Truesdale, V.W.,
4 2000. Relating soil phosphorus indices to potential phosphorus release to water.
5 *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29, 1166-1171.
- 6 Isidoro, D., Quílez, D., Aragüés, R., 2006a. Environmental Impact of Irrigation in La Violada
7 District (Spain): I. Salt Export Patterns. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 35, 766-775.
- 8 Isidoro, D., Quílez, D., Aragüés, R., 2006b. Environmental Impact of Irrigation in La Violada
9 District (Spain): II. Nitrogen Fertilization and Nitrate Export Patterns in Drainage
10 Water. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 35, 766-785.
- 11 Jarvie, H.P., Neal, C., Leach, D.V., Ryland, G.P., House, W.A., Robson, A.J., 1997. Major
12 ion concentrations and the inorganic carbon chemistry of the Humber rivers. *Science of
13 the Total Environment* 194/195, 285-302.
- 14 Jarvie, H.P., Neal, C., Williams, R.J., Neal, M., Wickham, H.D., Hill, L.K., Wade, A.J.,
15 Warwick, A., White, J., 2002. Phosphorus sources, speciation and dynamics in the
16 lowland eutrophic River Kennet, UK. *Science of the Total Environment* 282, 175-203.
- 17 Kronvang, B., 1992. The export of particulate matter, particulate phosphorus and dissolved
18 phosphorus from two agricultural river basins: implications on estimating the non-point
19 source load. *Journal of Water Resources* 26, 1347-1358.
- 20 Kronvang, B., Grant, R., Larsen, S.E., Svendsen, L.M., Kristensen, P., 1995. Non point
21 nutrient losses to the aquatic environment in Denmark: Impact of agriculture. *Marine
22 Freshwater Research* 46, 167-177.
- 23 López Ritas, J., 1978. *El diagnostico de suelos y plantas*. Edición Mundi Prensa. 337 p.

- 1 Ministère de l'Environnement (MENV), 2001. Critères de qualité de l'eau de surface au
2 Québec. Direction du suivi de l'état de l'Environnement, Ministère de
3 l'Environnement, Québec. Canadá. 430 pp.
- 4 Ministerio de Agricultura Pesca y Alimentación (MAPA), 2007. Balance de fósforo en la
5 agricultura española (Año 2005). Secretaría General de Agricultura y Alimentación,
6 Dirección General de Agricultura, pp. 10.
- 7 Olmos García, C., 2000. Eutrofización en embalses de altura, Incachaca-jhampaturi.
8 Problemática del siglo XXI.
- 9 Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S., Dean, L.A., 1954. Estimation of available
10 phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular 939, 1-19.
11 Gov. Printing Office Washington D.C.
- 12 Pionke, H.B., Gburek, W.J., Sharpley, A.N., Zollweg, J.A., 1997 Hydrologic and chemical
13 controls on phosphorus losses from catchments. In Phosphorus Loss to Water from
14 Agriculture. Eds. H Tunney, O Carton and P Brookes. pp 225-242. CAB International,
15 Cambridge, England.
- 16 Reynolds, C.S., Davies, P.S., 2001. Sources and bioavailability of phosphorus fractions in
17 freshwaters: a British perspective. Biol. Rev. 76, 27-64.
- 18 Root, E., Jones, W., Schwarz, B., Gibbons, J., 2004. Rainwater Chemistry Across the United
19 States. Available on line at:
20 <http://www.people.carleton.edu/~bhaileab/environmentalgeology/RainWater.pdf>.
- 21 Sande, P., 2005. Transporte de sólidos en suspensión y elementos químicos asociados desde
22 una cuenca agroforestal. Tesis Doctoral. Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de A
23 Coruña, p. 125-130.

- 1 Schernewski, G., 2003. Nutrient budgets, dynamics and storm effects in a eutrophic, stratified
2 Baltic Lake. *Acta Hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica* 31, 152-161.
- 3 Sharpley, A.N., Gburek, W.J., Folmar, G., Pionke, H.B., 1999. Sources of phosphorus
4 exported from an agricultural watershed in Pennsylvania. *Agricultural Water*
5 *Management* 41, 77-89.
- 6 Sharpley, A.N., 1985. Depth of surface soil-runoff interaction as affected by rainfall, soil
7 slope, and management. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 49, 1010-1015.
- 8 Sharpley, A.N., Ahuja, L.R., Yamamoto, M., Menzel, R.G., 1981. The release of soil
9 phosphorus in runoff in relation to the kinetics of desorption. *Journal of Environmental*
10 *Quality* 10, 386-391.
- 11 Sharpley, A.N., McDowell, R.W., Kleinman, P.J.A., 2001. Phosphorus loss from land to
12 water: Integrating agricultural and environmental management. *Journal of Plant Soil*
13 *237*, 287-307.
- 14 Sharpley, A.N., Moyer, B., 2000. Phosphorus forms in manure and compost and their release
15 during simulated rainfall. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 29, 1462-1469.
- 16 Sharpley, A.N., Smith, S.J., Jones, R., Berg, W.A., Coleman, G.A., 1992. The transport of
17 bioavailable phosphorus in agricultural runoff. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 21, 30-
18 35.
- 19 Sharpley, A.N., William, J., Flomar, G., Pionke, H.G., 1999. Sources of phosphorus exported
20 from an agricultural watershed in Pennsylvania. *Agricultural Water Management* 41,
21 77-89
- 22 Sibbesen, E., Runge-Metzger, A., 1995. Phosphorus balance in European agriculture--status
23 and policy options. In *Phosphorus in the Global Environment* (Edited by Tiessen H.), pp
24 43-57. John Wiley, Chichester, UK.

- 1 Sims, J.T., Edwards, A.C., Schoumans, O.F., Simard, R.R., 2000. Integrating soil phosphorus
2 testing into environmentally based agricultural management systems. *Journal of*
3 *Environmental Quality* 29, 60-71.
- 4 Skhiri, A., Dechmi, F., 2011a. Sprinkler irrigation water use and phosphorus fertilization
5 impact in Del Reguero watershed (Spain) I: Water balance and irrigation performance.
6 *Agricultural Water Management* XX, XXX-XXX. (This issue).
- 7 Skhiri, A., Dechmi, F., 2011b. Irrigation return flow and phosphorus transport in the Middle
8 Ebro River Valley (Spain). *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 9 (3), 0-0.
- 9 Smith, D.R., Haggard, B.E., Warnemuende, E.R., Huang, C., 2005. Sediment phosphorus
10 dynamics for three tile field drainage ditches in Northeast Indiana. *Agricultural Water*
11 *Management* 71, 19-32.
- 12 Stambouli, T., 2008. Desarrollo de un índice agroambiental de riesgo de contaminación del
13 agua por el fósforo en una zona de regadío del sistema del alto Aragón. Instituto
14 Agronómico Mediterráneo de Zaragoza (CIHEAMIAMZ), Zaragoza (España).
- 15 USDA-NRCS, 1989. Estimating Runoff and Peak Discharges. *Engineering Field Manual*,
16 Chapter 2, Washington, D.C.
- 17 Wang, X., Liao, W., Liu, J., 2006. Phosphorus leaching from vegetable fields and their
18 impacts. *Acta Agric Boreali-Sinica* 21 (4), 67-70 [in Chinese, with English abstract].
- 19 Water Framework Directive European Union, 2000. Directive 2000/60 of the European
20 Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for community action in the
21 field of water pollution. *Official Journal* L327, December 22, 2000: 1-72.
- 22 White, R.E., 1981. Retention and release of phosphate by soil and soil constituents. *Soils*
23 *Agric. Crit. Appl. Chem.* 2, 71-114.

- 1 Withers, P.J.A., Edwards, A.C., Foy, R.H., 2001. Phosphorus cycling in UK agriculture and
2 implications for phosphorus loss from soil. *Soil Use and Management* 17 (3), 139-49.
- 3 Withers, P.J.A., Hodgkinson, R.A., 2009. The effect of framing practices on phosphorus
4 transfer to a headwater stream in England. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*
5 131, 347-355.
- 6 Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., 2009. Experimental Analysis of the Effective Depth of Interaction of
7 Rainfall-Surface Runoff-Soil Nitrogen. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference*
8 *on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering (iCBBE)*. June 11-16, Beijing, China.
- 9 Zhu, Z.L., Norse, D., Sun, B., 2006. Policy for reducing nonpoint pollution from crop
10 production in China. Beijing: China Environmental Science Press p. 135.

1 **Figure captions**

2 Figure 1. Variation of average P-Olsen concentration with soil depth for each type of soil in
3 Del Reguero watershed.

4 Figure 2. Average P-Olsen concentration in alfalfa, corn, sunflower and barley plots in
5 March/19/2009 and November /16/2009.

6 Figure 3. Temporal pattern of (a) total phosphorus (TP), (b) total dissolved phosphorus (TDP)
7 and (c) particulate phosphorus (PP) concentrations in the Del Reguero stream during the
8 period of October 2007 to September 2009. Precipitation (a), irrigation dose (b) and dates of P
9 inputs are also presented (d).

10 Figure 4. Scatter plots showing the relationships between daily (A and C) and monthly (B and
11 D) total phosphorus concentrations (TP) and streamflow during the study period. A and B
12 correspond to all recorded period data and C and D correspond to irrigation season data.

13 Figure 5. Scatter plots showing the relationships between daily (A and C) and monthly (B and
14 D) total dissolved phosphorus concentrations (TDP) and stream flow during the study period.
15 A and B correspond to all recorded period data and C and D correspond to irrigation season
16 data.

17 Figure 6. Evolution of total phosphorus (TP) concentrations and streamflow (Q) during storm
18 events in May 2008 (A), April 2009 (B), and August 2009 (C). Scatter plots between TP and
19 streamflows during storm events in May 2008 ('A), April 2009 ('B) and August 2009 ('C).

20 Figure 7. Monthly total phosphorus loads in drainage water from the Del Reguero watershed
21 during the period from October 2007 to September 2009.

22


23

24

25

26


27




1

2 Figure 1. Variation of average P-Olsen concentration with soil depth for each type of soil in


3 Del Reguero watershed.




1
2 Figure 2. Average P-Olsen concentration in alfalfa, corn, sunflower and barley plots in
3 March/19/2009 and November /16/2009.




1
2 Figure 3. Irrigation and rainfall variation, temporal pattern of total phosphorus (TP), total
3 dissolved phosphorus (TDP) and particulate phosphorus (PP) concentrations in the Del
4 Reguero stream during the period of October 2007 to September 2009. Dates of P inputs are
5 also presented.




1
 2 Figure 4. Scatter plots showing the relationships between daily (A and C) and monthly (B and
 3 D) total phosphorus concentrations (TP) and streamflow during the study period. A and B
 4 correspond to all recorded period data and C and D correspond to irrigation season data.



1
 2 Figure 5. Scatter plots showing the relationships between daily (A and C) and monthly (B and
 3 D) total dissolved phosphorus concentrations (TDP) and stream flow during the study period.
 4 A and B correspond to all recorded period data and C and D correspond to irrigation season
 5 data.



1
 2 Figure 6. Evolution of total phosphorus (TP) concentrations and streamflow (Q) during storm
 3 events in May 2008 (A), April 2009 (B), and August 2009 (C). Scatter plots between TP and
 4 streamflows during storm events in May 2008 ('A'), April 2009 ('B) and August 2009 ('C).



1
2

3 Figure 7. Monthly total phosphorus loads in drainage water from the Del Reguero watershed
4 from October 2007 to September 2009.

- 1 Table 1.
- 2 Average date of application and average amount of P fertilizer applied (PA, kg ha⁻¹) in each
- 3 application for each crop in the Del Reguero watershed during the 2008 and 2009
- 4 hydrological years. Total amount of P fertilizer applied (Total, kg ha⁻¹) was also calculated.
- 5 The percentage of P fertilizer amount consumed by each crop is also presented.

Crop (ha)	Manure		Pre-plant		Side-dress				Total
	Date	PA	Date	PA	First		Second		
					Date	PA	Date	PA	
2008									
Alfalfa (212)	-	-	-	-	05/15	24	07/15	8	32 (9%)
Corn (405)	03/15	46	04/22	54	-	-	-	-	100 (72%)
Barley (620)	-	-	12/01	41	-	-	-	-	41 (14%)
Sunflower (32)	06/15	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	25 (5%)
2009									
Alfalfa (198)	-	-	-	-	02/20	38	06/07	30	68 (16%)
Corn (480)	02/17	41	04/14	54	-	-	-	-	95 (64%)
Barley (634)	-	-	10/30	59	-	-	-	-	59 (18%)
Sunflower (52)	04/29	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	20 (2%)

1 Table 2.

2 P-Olsen concentrations mean (mg kg⁻¹), minimum (Min, mg kg⁻¹), maximum (Max, mg kg⁻¹),
3 median (mg kg⁻¹), and coefficient of variation (CV, %) of each soil type (platform, shallow
4 alluvial and deep alluvial soils) by 0.3 m layers.

	Soil type								
	Platform		Shallow alluvial			Deep alluvial			
	0-0.3	0.3-0.6	0-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-0.9	0-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-0.9	0.9-1.2
Mean	16.9	12.9	39.3	16.2	11.5	29.1	7.8	5.2	4.0
Min.	5.0	2.0	6.0	3.0	3.0	8.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Max.	27.0	24.0	137.0	45.0	32.0	93.0	20.0	12.0	8.0
Median	18.0	12.0	18.0	5.0	4.0	22.0	7.0	5.0	3.0
CV	28	34	37	42	39	24	24	21	22

5

1 Table 3.

2 Crop phosphorus content (PC) (kg P Mg^{-1}), crop yield (Mg ha^{-1}), P harvested (P_H) (kg ha^{-1})

3 and P harvested to P fertilization (P_F) ratio (P_H/P_F) of the main irrigated crops in Del Reguero

4 watershed during 2008 and 2009 hydrological years.

Crop	PC	2008			2009		
		Yield	P_H	P_H/P_F	Yield	P_H	P_H/P_F
Alfalfa	2.90	14.0	41	1.3	12.4	36	0.5
Corn	2.98	11.9	35	0.4	13.5	40	0.4
Barley	3.35	6.2	21	0.5	5.3	18	0.3
Sunflower	7.74	3.0	23	0.9	3.0	23	1.2

5

1 Table 4.

2 Maximum, minimum and average concentration values of total phosphorus (TP, mg L⁻¹), total
3 dissolved phosphorus (TDP, mg L⁻¹) and particulate phosphorus (PP, mg L⁻¹) for the irrigation
4 seasons (IS), the non-irrigation seasons (NIS), 2008 and 2009 hydrological years (HY). Mean
5 values for the whole study period (2008+2009) and coefficient of variation (CV) are also
6 presented.

	2008			2009			2008 + 2009		
	NIS	IS	HY	NIS	IS	HY	NIS	IS	HY
<i>Total phosphorus (TP)</i>									
Max.	0.507	1.010	1.010	0.850	0.520	0.850	0.850	1.010	1.010
Min.	0.023	0.011	0.011	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
Aver.	0.194	0.171	0.179	0.057	0.065	0.061	0.105	0.118	0.112
Median	0.183	0.110	0.172	0.038	0.042	0.040	0.062	0.070	0.066
CV (%)	30	93	74	150	97	123	96	112	106
<i>Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP)</i>									
Max.	0.507	0.717	0.717	0.850	0.307	0.850	0.850	0.717	0.850
Min.	0.023	0.007	0.007	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
Aver.	0.192	0.148	0.163	0.052	0.057	0.054	0.101	0.103	0.102
Median	0.182	0.087	0.162	0.037	0.040	0.038	0.058	0.054	0.056
CV (%)	31	94	73	152	86	120	98	111	105
<i>Particulate phosphorus (PP)</i>									
Max.	0.020	0.695	0.695	0.133	0.485	0.485	0.133	0.695	0.695
Min.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Aver.	0.002	0.023	0.016	0.005	0.008	0.006	0.004	0.016	0.011
Median	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
CV (%)	188	302	360	345	473	453	346	364	416

7

1 Table 5.

2 Number (N) and percentage (in parentheses, %) of water samples surpassing the thresholds of
3 0.02, 0.03, 0.06, 0.17 and 0.3 mg L⁻¹ of total phosphorus (TP) during 2008 and 2009
4 hydrological years (HY), irrigation seasons (IS) and non-irrigation seasons (NIS).

Threshold (mg L ⁻¹)	2008			2009		
	HY	IS	NIS	HY	IS	NIS
N	280	183	97	363	183	180
N > 0.02 (Sharpley et al., 2001)	272 (97)	175 (96)	97 (100)	302 (83)	164 (90)	138 (77)
N > 0.03 (MENV, 2001)	257 (92)	161 (88)	96 (99)	243 (67)	126 (69)	117 (65)
N > 0.06 (EU, 1975)	224 (80)	128 (70)	96 (99)	111 (31)	67 (37)	44 (24)
N > 0.17 (EU, 1975)	142 (51)	76 (42)	66 (68)	19 (5)	10 (5)	9 (5)
N > 0.30 (EU, 1975)	33 (12)	29 (16)	4 (4)	5 (1)	2 (1)	3 (2)

5

1 Table 6.

2 Components of P mass balance: mass of P in irrigation water (PI), precipitation (PP),
3 municipal wastewater (PMW), filter cleaning (PFC), organic fertilization (POF), mineral
4 fertilization (PMF), surface drainage (PSO) and harvest (PH). Difference between inputs and
5 outputs (ΔP) and mean values are also presented.

HY	Inputs (Mg)						Outputs (Mg)		ΔP (Mg)
	PI	PP	PMW	PFC	PMF	POF	PSO	PH	
2008	0.01	1.09	0.19	0.01	95.15	7.59	0.24	46.31	57.48
2009	0.01	1.54	0.15	0.01	111.43	7.59	0.23	43.79	76.70
Mean	0.01	1.32	0.17	0.01	103.29	7.59	0.23	45.05	67.09

6

1 Table 7.
 2 Total phosphorus load and yield from Del Reguero watershed during 2008 and 2009
 3 hydrological years (HY), the non-irrigation season (NIS) and the irrigation season (IS). Mean
 4 values for both years are also presented.

HY	Phosphorus load (kg)			Phosphorus yield (g ha ⁻¹)		
	IS	NIS	HY	IS	NIS	HY
2008	158	82	240	135	70	205
2009	128	100	228	109	86	195
Mean	143	91	234	122	78	200

5