The role of Proteomics in the study of the influence of Climate Change on seafood products

C. Piñeiro^{a,*}, B. Cañas^b, and M. Carrera^a

- a. Servicio de Instrumentación Científica. Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas (CSIC). Eduardo Cabello 6. 36208. Vigo (Spain)
- b. Dpto. Química Analítica. Facultad de Química. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. 28040. Madrid (Spain)

Abstract:

The climate change influence over the oceans has been the subject of numerous studies, informs and strategies from different scientific perspectives, focused mainly in the ecological impact. The majority of the related studies have been focused in measuring or predicting the physical, chemical, geographical, sociological and economical consequences of this reality, which seems to be unstoppable, and only a few of them are devoted to detect the effects of the climate change over the quality of seafood products, wild or cultivated.

The stress produced in marine organisms by the consequences of climate change is reflected at the cell molecular level, being affected the metabolite concentration, the expression of proteins and their modifications. The study of the climate change may take advantage of these molecular changes, which may be used as a source of possible Biomarkers of its evolution.

After the genomic age, proteomics appears as a young but robust discipline for a global study of the protein content in cells, including their identification, possible modifications, quantification of differential expression and tissue localization, being the most adequate set of methodologies to evidence protein changes in marine organisms affected by climate variations. In the last decade proteomic technologies have experienced an exponential development, but the research has been mainly applied to biomedical and human health research, being scarcely applied to the study of the marine

environment. The application of the proteomics methods to study the effects of climate change over seafood, mainly from the safety point of view, is reviewed.

Key words: proteomics, seafood, climate change, food safety.

*Corresponding author e-mail: cpineiro@iim.csic.es

Summary:

1. - *Introduction*

1.1. Oceans and climate change

1.2. Climate change, global warming and seafood

2. - *Proteomics*

2.1. Definition and methodologies.

2.2. PTMs in marine organisms.

3. - *Proteomics applications to evaluate climate change effects over marine products: minireview*

3.1. Environmental applications.

3.2. Seafood applications.

3.2.1. Seafood safety applications: microbiological, toxicological, allergenic, parasitological and pathological issues.

3.2.2. Taxonomic and seafood authentication applications.

3.2.3. Nutritional and quality seafood applications.

4. - *Challenges.*

5. - *Conclusions.*

1. Introduction

Aquatic ecosystems, inland, coastal and marine, provide humans with resources for recreation, food and livelihood. Besides, they also perform many other important environmental functions, e.g. in relation to meteorological events, pollution etc., contributing to general human well-being (FAO 2009). The vital role in the regulation of the climate by the oceans, due to their inherent capacity to store and transport heat around the globe, has been recognized for long. Furthermore, the synergy of oceans currents and atmospheric winds produce the climate.

1.1. Oceans and climate change

According to several recently published FAO reports (FAO 2009), *Climate Change* is a natural process that takes place simultaneously on various timescales, in relation to the variation over time of earth's global climate or local climates, which may be the result of both, natural forces and of course, human activities. In this regard, it is important to distinguish between climate and weather, being the last the daily to weekly fluctuations in temperature, winds, precipitation, etc., while climate stands for what is expected on a season basis and relates quite closely to sun's variation (Fleming, Broad, Clement, Dewailly, Elmir, Knap, Pomponi, Smith, Solo, Gabriele & Walsh, 2006).

There is a general consensus about today's anthropogenic impact in the oceans which is the cause of the depletion of a varied range of living organisms. It is widely agreed, as well, that situation seems to be further exacerbated by risks derived from the global warming. From the environmental perspective, it is important to consider also that CO₂, emitted by human activities, is not only accumulated in the atmosphere; it permeates

1 into the ocean surface layers resulting in a big reduction of the water oxygen levels
2 (Pörtner, Langenbuch & Michaelidis, 2005). Things are complicated further by the fact
3 that a significant percentage of the world's population is living within 75 miles of the
4 coast, and that number is rapidly increasing (Fleming, Broad, Clement, Dewailly, Elmir,
5 Knap, Pomponi, Smith, Solo, Gabriele, & Walsh, 2006): microbial contamination by
6 bacteria, viruses and protists direct or indirectly related to human activity is increasing
7 and affecting the safety of seafood supply. Anthropogenic contamination has lead to an
8 increasing threat: the contamination due to the high levels of heavy metals,
9 polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), endocrine disrupting chemicals, pesticides, toxins
10 derived from harmful algal blooms (HABs), swelling and other persistent
11 environmentally substances, entering the marine food chain (Brunner, Jones, Friel &
12 Bartley, 2009). On the other hand and as a consequence of the climate change, oceans,
13 especially those at mid-latitudes and in the tropics, are warming and becoming more
14 saline in certain zones. Conversely, in the subarctic Atlantic, the Southern Ocean and
15 some areas of the Pacific Ocean, water is becoming fresher. Oceans vital role in climate
16 regulation, due their capacity to store and transport heat around the globe, must not been
17 forgotten. Climate change affects the intensity and frequency of sea currents, which
18 flush and clean continental shelf areas in 75 percent of the world's major fishing
19 grounds (FAO, 2008a). The oceans in the tropics and mid-latitudes will be less
20 productive but, by contrast, cold water oceans will see greater productivity.

21 As a consequence of those cited physical phenomena and bearing in mind that fish and
22 shellfish cannot tolerate swift rises in the water temperature, changes in their metabolic
23 routes are produced, marine species distribution patterns will change, being more
24 noticeable for the fish stocks at the edges of their species' range. Species, particularly
25 those with shorter life spans, will change their lifetime cycle. In a parallel way, some

1 plankton species will bloom earlier, resulting in mismatches between the early life
2 stages of fish and their prey, with the consequent decline in fish abundance. The
3 combination of increasing temperatures and sea level rise will result in changes to
4 coastal circulation patterns, thereby affecting nutrient supply, lagoon flushing, coastal
5 erosion, and possibly ocean acidity and coral bleaching. These will affect both the reef-
6 building capacity of corals as well as the spawning cycles of reef fishes and
7 invertebrates (FAO, 2007). In summary, marine organisms will need to make
8 continuous efforts in order to achieve their functional adaptation to those stressful
9 situations in the oceans as well as under culture conditions (Schreck, Contreras-Sanchez
10 & Fitzpatrick, 2001). As it is used in this context, stress must be understood as the
11 physiological cascade of events that occurs when an organism is attempting to resist
12 death or re-establish homeostatic conditions in face of an “insult”. The stress response
13 can be polymorphic with regard to species of fish, stage of maturity and type and
14 severity of stressor. In this sense, biochemical indicators of stress and metabolism and
15 the definition of new biosensors for marine control have been widely reviewed (Kröger,
16 Piletsky, & Turner, 2002; Dahlhoff, 2004; Brun, Bricelj, MacRae, & Ross. 2008).
17 However, at the same time, the demand for sustainable sources of seafood has never
18 been greater (Pitcher, 2008). It is noticeable that the expectation for the year 2030 is a
19 production of near 133 million tones of seafood, combining that provided by the oceans
20 and from aquaculture productions (FAO, 2008b). But, with many traditional fisheries
21 depleted, due to a previous overexploitation, it appears that global capacity for seafood
22 production has been reached or even exceeded, therefore in response to this decline and
23 to the increasing demand for seafood products, aquaculture has become the fastest
24 growing animal food-producing sector (Pelletier, Ayer, Tyedmers, Kruse, Flysjo,
25 Robillard, Ziegler, Scholz & Sonesson, 2007). Aquaculture production is rising rapidly,

1 and by 2030 it is estimated that will be close to capture landings. Unlike terrestrial
 2 farming systems, in which the bulk of global production is based on a limited number of
 3 animal and plant species, in aquaculture more than 220 different farmed aquatic animal
 4 and plants species were reported in 2002 (Brander, 2007; Halweil, 2008). About a
 5 quarter of the marine fish capture is not utilized for direct human consume, but for the
 6 production of fishmeal as a source of feed for agriculture and aquaculture, so unless
 7 aquaculture and marine fishing can be decoupled, particularly through development of
 8 alternative sources of feed, aquaculture is unlikely to provide a solution to the problem
 9 of maintaining a sustainable supply of fish. Environmental degradation from
 10 aquaculture practices has been reported also (Cole, Cole, Gaydos, Gray, Hyland,
 11 Jacques et al, 2009). Their negative effects such as: organic pollution and
 12 eutrophication, a buildup of excess nutrients and wastes in some cases spilled to sea
 13 without any depuration process, cause at the same time algal bloom, depletion of
 14 oxygen, reduction in water quality and habitat destruction. Consequently, aquaculture
 15 farms can contribute, as well, to climate change.

16

17 **1.2. Climate change, global warming and seafood**

18

19 According to the aspects mentioned above and many referenced publications, some of
 20 the most profound and direct impact of climate change over the next few decades will
 21 be on agricultural and food systems and consequently on global food security (Gregory,
 22 Ingram, & Brklacich, 2005; Brown, & Funk 2008; Miraglia, Marvin, Kleter, Battilani,
 23 Brera, Coni et al, 2009) and obviously, on seafood products.
 24 Food safety is defined by FAO (FAO, 2009) as “situation that exists when all people, at
 25 all times, have physical, social, and economic access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious

1 food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life”.

2 This definition comprises four key dimensions of food supplies related to climate

3 change, which in the specific case of seafood products are: seafood availability, seafood

4 accessibility, seafood utilization and seafood stability.

5 **Availability of aquatic foods** will vary, positively and negatively, through changes in

6 habitats, stocks and species distribution. These changes will occur inland, and in coastal

7 and marine systems and will impact on aquaculture.

8 **Stability of aquatic food supply** will be impacted by changes in seasonality, increased

9 variance of ecosystem productivity, supply risks and other issues that may also have

10 large impacts on supply chain costs.

11 **Access to aquatic foods** will be affected by changes in livelihoods and catching or

12 culture opportunities combined with transferred impacts from other sectors, competition

13 for supply, etc.

14 **Utilization of aquatic products** and the nutritional benefits produced will be impacted

15 by changes in range and quality of supply; market chain disruptions; greater food safety

16 issues; and reduced opportunities to consume preferred products. This is particularly

17 critical for countries with high per capita fish consumption.

18 Those four keys are reinforced in the specific case of seafood and according to “FAO

19 expert workshop on climate change implications for fisheries and aquaculture report”

20 (FAO Report 2008, Brunner, Jones, Friel & Bartley, 2009) because “fisheries and

21 aquaculture play a crucial role for food supply, food security and income generation”.

22 Fish is highly nutritious, rich in micronutrients, minerals, essential fatty acids and

23 proteins. It represents a valuable supplement to diets that otherwise lack essential

24 vitamins and minerals, providing nutrients that have particular importance in natal and

25 child health and development. In rich countries much attention is being given to the

1 related health benefits, and official recommendations for European and North
2 Americans are to eat more fish. Furthermore, fish products provide to more than 2.8
3 billion people (2.6 billion from developing countries) with about 20 percent of their
4 intake of animal protein per capita, and in some cases, like small island and several
5 developing countries, fish contributes to, or exceeds, 50 percent of the **total animal**
6 **protein** intake.

7 Food safety policies and research must be focused in detecting and rigorously
8 quantifying risks for consumers as soon as possible by the use of precise and secure
9 methodologies. Concerning to seafood quality, it is important to emphasise the
10 nutritional maintenance of seafood properties but also the contaminant tradeoffs
11 (Yaktine, Nesheim, & James, 2008). But controlling seafood safety and quality
12 management is more difficult than the assessment of terrestrial food, due to the obvious
13 great number of consumable marine species, their accessibility and biodiversity, the
14 difficulty for oceans toxicological control and many other causes.

15 It is unquestionable that climate change is one of several emerging and unresolved
16 issues that affect the marine environment and requires more attention by the
17 administration and by the scientific community (Aricò, 2008). Great effort is being
18 made to develop strategies to predict and quantify, from the ecological and productive
19 marine perspective, the effects the climate change (Brander, 2007; Brunner, Jones, Friel,
20 & Bartley, 2009; Pelletier, Ayer, Tyedmers, Kruse, Flysjo, Robillard, Ziegler, &
21 Sonesson, 2007; Pitcher, 2008). Proteomics, like other “omics” approaches (Davies,
22 2009), has a potential for the study of food products, and specifically for seafood
23 (Halweil, 2008).

24

25

26

2. Proteomics

Proteins play crucial role in almost every biological process. Besides providing structural support, they are responsible of an ample variety of physiological functions (catalysis, transport and sensing) in all living systems. Whereas the genome of an organism (entire set of genetic material) is more or less constant and specific, the proteome (entire complement of proteins expressed by a genome) is highly dynamic. Expression and quantity of proteins varies between different types of cells in the same organism and in the same type of cells, in response to a very diverse stimuli and environmental factors.

2.1. Definition and methodologies

The proteome reflects the biological context of one particular biological system at a particular time. As a discipline, proteomics not only includes the structural and functional knowledge of proteins, but also the study of their modifications, the interactions between them, the study of their intracellular localization and the quantification of their expression. In this sense, Proteomics is the large-scale study of one proteome under different perspectives:

- a. Expression proteomics
 - b. Differential expression proteomics
 - c. Cellular map and interaction proteomics.
 - d. Posttranslational modification studies or Modificomics.
- a. - Expression proteomics is focused on large-scale characterization and quantification of all components of a particular proteome, under precise and determinate conditions.

1 Under the proteome term can be included organisms, tissues, cells or sub-cellular
2 components.

3 b. - Differential expression proteomics compares dynamic changes in the proteome of
4 two or more different samples. This involves the differential identification and
5 quantification of the components in a determinate proteome in dependence with the
6 changes derived from the different states. These changes can be due to external or
7 internal features like cellular differentiation, pathologic alterations, chemical, physical
8 and biological agents or conditions, etc.

9 c. - Interaction proteomics or cellular map proteomics studies the relationships among
10 proteins in one determined biological system (Blackstock & Weir, 1999). This approach
11 responds to the systems biology concept in which proteins are considered as part of
12 dynamic molecular complexes and not as individual and isolated components.

13 d. - Proteins can suffer posttranslational modifications (PTMs) in response to a wide
14 range of extra- and intra-cellular signals. This is the subject of fourth type of proteomic
15 approach (Hunter, 1995). More than 300 different PTMs have been described
16 (Aebersold & Goodlett, 2001; Jensen, 2004); among them, phosphorylations and
17 glycosylations are the most abundant in the literature although protein lipidations,
18 nitrosylations, sulfations or oxidative modifications are also responsible for many
19 essential functions. Posttranslational modifications regulate many different purposes in
20 various cellular processes such as enzyme activation/inactivation, signal transduction,
21 gene expression, cellular protein localization, protein/protein interactions and protein
22 stability. Genomic data can partly be used for the prediction of PTMs, and specific
23 software and databases are rapidly evolving (Xue, Li, Wang, Feng & Yao, 2006;
24 Reinders & Sickmann, 2007; Abu-Farha, Elisma, Zhou, Tian, Zhou & Asmer, 2009).
25 Protein modifications are site-specific and polymorphic, often transient, time and

1 location specific. The study of PTMs, called “modificomics”, is very difficult and
2 requires the use of a versatile battery of methodologies. PTMs constitutes an area of
3 interest frequently studied in proteomics research and especially for our specific issue,
4 “modificomics” will yield many important insights.

5 From the analytical perspective, in the last ten years there has been an exponential
6 development concerning to proteome analysis techniques (Chen & Pramanik, 2008;
7 Gehlenborg, Yan, Lee, Yoo, Nieselt, Hwang, Aebersold & Hood, 2009; Wepf, Glatter,
8 Schmidt, Aebersold & Gstaiger, 2009) and sample preparation (Cañas, Piñeiro, Calvo,
9 López-Ferrer & Gallardo 2007), thanks mainly to mass spectrometry (MS) detection
10 methodologies improvement and the information obtained has been in a new
11 conceptualization: Systems Biology, which has constituted an inflexion point for the
12 study and understanding of this and many other scientific disciplines.

13 Mass spectrometry is the most comprehensive and versatile tool in large-scale
14 proteomics and their approaches, advances and applications have experimented rapid
15 growth in last decades. MS analysis is based on the ionization of chemical compounds
16 to generate charged molecules in the gas phase under high vacuum, which masses are
17 measured after a separation on the basis of their mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio. Further,
18 molecule fragments may be produced by diverse mechanisms as Collision Induced
19 Dissociation (CID) and the “so-called” fragment-ions separated and measured as well
20 by their m/z ratio. Spectra can be produced in a fraction of time, giving information
21 about the molecular weight or the structure of a compound. Basic to the operation of
22 MS instruments, which operate under high vacuum, are the *ion source*, which produce
23 gas phase ions (or, in the case of electrospray ionization, move ions that exist in solution
24 into the gas phase); the *mass analyzer*, which sorts the ions by their masses by applying
25 electric or magnetic fields; and the *detector*, which measures the abundances of ions.

1 There are different ion sources and mass analyzers combining up in different type of
2 mass spectrometers. The ionization techniques traditionally used for volatile organic
3 compounds were not applicable to peptides and proteins molecules. It can be intuitively
4 realized that it is not easy to ionize and put into gas phase big and polar molecules
5 without any fragmentation or decomposition. The development, in the late 80s, of two
6 new ionization techniques, electrospray ionization (ESI) and matrix-assisted laser
7 desorption ionization (MALDI), allowed for first time the acquisition of mass spectra
8 with peptide or protein amounts lower than 1 pmol, opening the door for rapid and
9 sensitive protein characterization (Yates, Ruse & Nakorchevsky, 2009). Today MS is
10 not just an establish tool for structural proteomic research, it is more than ever at the
11 forefront of functional and expression proteomics, being used for protein quantification
12 thanks to the stable isotope labelling procedure improvements, like: “isotope-coded
13 affinity tags” (ICAT), “isotope tagging reagents for relative and absolute quantitative”
14 (iTRAQ), “stable isotope labelling with amino acids in cell culture” (SILAC) or ^{18}O
15 post-digestion labelling (Bettmer, Montes Bayón, Ruiz Encinar, Fernández Sánchez,
16 Fernández de la Campa & Sanz Medel, 2009). An in-depth analysis of state of the art of
17 proteomic instrumentation is out of the scope of this article and readers are referd to
18 some of the recent reviews concerning mass spectrometric protein analysis (Batoy,
19 Akhmetova, Miladinovic, Smeal & Wilkins 2008; El-Aneed, Cohen & Banoub, 2009;
20 Ahmed, 2008; König 2008; Yates, Ruse & Nakorchevsky, 2009; Lee, Soper & Murray
21 2009; Pan, Aebersold, Chen, Rush, Goodlett, McIntosh et al, 2009).
22 Bearing in mind the variety of available technical options, two main different proteomic
23 work-flow approaches are considered, as it is outlined in figure 1:

24

25

Bottom-up proteomics:

Proteins are first purified by different methodologies, typically bidimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE), and selected protein spots are digested to peptides, using typically trypsin as the proteolytic enzyme. Later, peptides masses and either, fragment ions produced by CID, are measured in a mass spectrometer. Using specialized software for searching protein databases, called search engines, a comparison between experimental MS data and the theoretically predicted from the information contained in data-bases is performed. In this way, spectra are assigned to putative proteins by, either peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF), or by Fragment Mass Fingerprinting (FMF), using in this case the peptide fragmentation spectra. Putative peptide sequences, which are used for the identification of the corresponding proteins, are assigned. This is the workflow known as the “classical” Bottom-Up proteomic approach.

Alternatively, the crude protein extract can be digested directly, without any previous separation, and the produced peptides separated by multi dimensional separation by liquid chromatography coupled to a mass spectrometer. Peptides are identified from the fragmentation spectra, as described earlier, making use of search engines. This Bottom-Up approach is known as multidimensional protein identification, MudPit.

Top-Down proteomics

In Top-Down proteomics, intact proteins, without any previous enzymatic digestion, are identified and characterized by deducing a partial amino acid

sequence after fragmentation in a tandem mass spectrometry experiment. Proteins are previously purified by various chromatographic procedures and analyzed by MS/MS using high resolution mass spectrometers such as Fourier Transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR) or Orbitrap. Protein exact masses are obtained in these high resolution mass spectrometers and, consequently, modifications may be deduced from the difference between theoretical and experimental masses. Fragmentation studies may provide the exact localization of modifications. Nevertheless, spectra produced are very complicated and software for top-down is not so developed as that for bottom-up proteomics.

2.2. - PTMs in marine organisms

Methodological approaches able to detect subtle changes in the expression of individual proteins and amino acid sequence modifications, constitute an excellent tool in order to reflect biological responses to stimuli, facilitating the search for Biomarkers (Smith, Salaberria, Cash & Pärt, 2007; Nesatyy & Suter 2008; Ahmed, 2009), which can be explanatory of a biological situation or may function as “flags” to discriminate groups of organisms revealing sets of specific peptides for a given condition (Kusmann, Affolter & Fay, 2005). Specific structural modifications are reflected by changes in the relative molecular mass (M_r) of the corresponding amino acid/s, resulting in a change in the M_r of the original protein, so the determination of the stoichiometry of these specific modifications opens a possibility for the evaluation of the effect of the climate change in marine organisms.

Until now, the majority of specific protein modifications described in marine organisms, originated by climate change effects, are due to the oxidative stress caused by

1 environmental pollution, thermal stress and exposition to ultraviolet radiation. As an
2 example, high levels of these modifications have been showed by 2-DE and
3 immunoblot in the digestive glands of the marine bivalves (Chora, McDonagh,
4 Sheehan, Starita-Geribaldi, Roméo, & Bebianno, 2008). Metals in aquatic environments
5 can generate the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), producing effects as
6 carbonylation and ubiquitination of proteins (McDonagh, Tyther, & Sheehan, 2006).
7 Specific staining methods to detect carbonyl groups in proteins separated by 2-DE have
8 been developed (Talent, 1998). These methods involve the derivatization of the
9 carbonyl group with dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) prior to the electrophoretic
10 separation, detecting it, on the blot membrane after transfer, using immunostaining with
11 an anti-DNP antibody. The spots of interest can be later analyzed and identified by
12 MS/MS.

13 The methods used to detect ubiquitination are based in the separation of the proteins by
14 2-DE and the specific staining using anti-ubiquitin antibodies after blotting the proteins
15 to a membrane (McDonagh, Tyther & Sheehan, 2006 a, b). Mass spectrometric methods
16 may be used to study this type of modification using characteristic mass shifts and
17 fragment ions. After tryptic digestion, the ubiquitinated proteins produces diglycine
18 branched peptides that can be used as markers in MS/MS analysis (Wang, Li, Dangott
19 & Wu, 2006).

20 Other common modification that may be produced due to oxidative stress is the
21 glutathionylation of proteins which is the reaction between free cysteine groups and the
22 reduced form of glutathione, catalyzed by glutaredoxin. This modification was found in
23 proteins from the digestive glands in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* by 2-DE and
24 western blot using anti-GSH antibodies (McDonagh, Tyther & Sheenan, 2005).

Also, in relation with oxidative stress, metallothioneins, a family of Cys-rich proteins with the capacity of bind metal atoms, both physiologically important (Zn, Cu, Se), as those xenobiotic (Cd, Hg, Ag), have been studied due their role in the protection of marine organisms against metal toxicity and oxidative stress. Proteomics may offer new sensitive and specific tools for their determination as new potential biomarkers for oxidative stress conditions (McDonagh & Sheenan, 2008; Kjersem, Aa, Meier, Goksøyr & Grøsvik, 2008).

The described modifications may be considered as the top of the iceberg of a, yet undiscovered, higher number of potential protein chemical transformations related to climate change. Table 1 describes an overview of the strategic workflow to evidence the main PTMs. Specific details about different modifications, like shifts in amino acid molecular masses and procedures to carry out specific sample enrichment, are shown. Methodologies used include 2-DE, blotting, and all those sample preparation techniques useful for the purification of peptides and proteins with an specific modification, including the use of commercial kits (Cañas, Piñeiro, Calvo, López-Ferrer & Gallardo, 2007).

3. Proteomics applications to evaluate climate change effects over marine products

In marine biology, proteomics allows a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying changes in fish and invertebrates protein metabolism induced by alterations due to biotic or abiotic variables (Viant, 2007; Fraser & Rogers, 2007), although at present, the majority of the studies are related to species held under laboratory conditions. Next, applications which can be considered as examples of potential role of

proteomics in the assessment of climate change effects on marine organisms are described.

3.1. Environmental applications

The applications of proteomics to environmental toxicology have been developed from two perspectives: (i) the identification of new molecular targets for toxic substances with the aim to know their mechanism of action, and (ii) the utilization of the information produced by changes in the patterns of protein expression for a predictive screening of toxic compounds action (Nesatyy & Suter, 2008). A recent review about the application of proteomics to the evaluation of cellular response in marine animals exposed to a toxin mixture is explanatory (Sala, Ronzitti, Sasaki, Fuwa, Yasumoto, Bigiani et al, 2009).

As it was mentioned above, although the application of proteomics to marine science has been not so extensive as the applications in Biomedicine (Nunn & Timperman, 2007), proteomics methodologies have been applied in ecological studies in the marine context, mainly to evaluate and to define different and specific environmental protein biomarkers and stress responses (Viarengo, 2006; Johnson & Browman, 2007; Nesatyy, Ammann, Rutishauser, Suter, 2006; Nesatyy & Suter, 2008 Sheehan, Tyther, Dowling & McDonagh, 2007; Amelina, Apraiz, Sun & Cristobal, 2007; Prunet, Cairns, Winberg & Pottinger, 2008). Therefore, proteomics has been used to evaluate the modifications of proteins like, metallothionein, cholinesterase, cytochrome p450 monooxygenase system and other related to ecotoxicology issues in a variety of “sentinels” marine species defined as excellent indicators for environmental stress and potential health threats for humans (Stewart, Gast, Fujioka, Solo-Gabriele, Meschke, Amaral-Zettler,

1 Polz, Collier, Strom, Sinigalliano, Moeller & Holland, 2008). In that sense, recently, a
 2 new discipline dedicated to this topic has been described: Ecotoxicproteomics
 3 (Gomiero, Pampanin, Bjørnstad, Larsen, Provan, Lyng & Andersen 2006).

4 Most important “sentinel species” from the seafood point of view are bivalves
 5 (Saavedra Carlos & Bachère 2006), mainly mussels (Zorita, Apraiz, Ortiz-Zarragoitia,
 6 Orbea, Cancio, Soto, Marigómez & Cajaraville, 2007) and clams (Dowling, Hoarau,
 7 Romeo, O'Halloran, Van Pelt, O'Brien & Sheehan, 2006). Their abundance, wide
 8 geographical spread, taxonomic variety, and close contact with aqueous sources of
 9 environmental toxicology, as they have high capacity for adaptation to environmental
 10 pollution, makes them the indicators of choice for marine pollution. There are, as well,
 11 some reports on the evaluation of the environmental impact over some vertebrate
 12 aquatic species like rainbow trout (*Onchorynchus mikiss*) (Smith, Salaberria, Cash &
 13 Pärt, 2007), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (Provan, Bjørnstad, Pampanin, Lyng,
 14 Fontanillas, Andersen, Koppe & Bamber 2006; Salbu, Denbeigh, Smith, Heier, Teien,
 15 Rosseland, Oughton, Seymour & Mothersill, 2008) cod (*Gadus morhua*) (Smith,
 16 Tunsjo, 2007), flatfish (*Limanda limanda*) (Ward, Wei, Cheng, Billingham, Martin,
 17 Johnson, Lyons, Feist & Stentiford, 2006), zebra fish and some other (Kültz, Fiol,
 18 Valkova, Gomez-Jimenez, Chan & Lee, 2007), which may be considered as potential
 19 sentinel species. In all the cited examples, proteomics tools have been used (Bainy,
 20 2008).

21 A compilation of the use of proteomics as a tool for evaluating the climate change
 22 consequences over the marine environment is showed in Table 2. It must be pointed out
 23 that, in most cases, it is going to be possible to derive conclusions about seafood safety
 24 from the environmental research studies.

3.2. Seafood applications

Proteomics is built upon the foundations of genomics, in such way that a lack of genomic information on a particular species can substantially limit the success in protein identification (Graham, Elliott & Van Eyk, 2005). Sequence data from marine fish and shellfish species is scarce in databases, limiting the easiness with which proteomics information can be obtained (Piñeiro, Barros-Velázquez, Vázquez, Figueras & Gallardo, 2003). Japanese pufferfish (*Takifugu rubripes*), zebra fish (*Danio rerio*) and the estuarine fish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) are among the few marine organisms for which the complete genome sequences are available, facilitating the application of proteomic research to the marine environment (Parrington, & Coward, 2002; Burnett, Bain, Baldwin, Callard, Cohen, Di Giulio, Evans, Gómez-Chiarri, Hahn, Hoover, Karchner, Katoh, MacLatchy, Marshall, Meyer, Nacci, Oleksiak, Rees, Singer, Stegeman, Towle, Van Veld, Vogelbein, Whitehead, Winn & Crawford, 2007; Wang, MacKenzie, De Souza, Zhong, Goss & Li 2007; Lemeer, Pinkse, Mohammed, Van Breukelen, Hertog, J. Slijper & Heck 2008).

Proteomics has already been applied to specific aspects of seafood research and technology, but there are no reviews or compiled information focused on the study of the influence of the climate change over seafood using proteomics methodologies. According to the available scientific information, the published proteomics applications related with seafood production and safety have been classified in three main items: (i) food safety studies, (ii) authentication and taxonomic applications and (iii) nutritional aspects. References on the applications to wild and cultivated species have been included for these aspects.

3.2.1. Seafood safety applications: microbiological, toxicological, allergenic, parasitological and pathological issues.

The main effects of climate change and global warming over seafood are related to their safety (Miraglia, Marvin, Kleter, Battilani, Brera, Coni et al, 2009). As it was described above, fish and other marine organisms must make a high physiological effort and develop adaptation mechanisms to survive the stress caused by those fluctuating conditions and contaminants. Those strategies can be flawed by energetic losses and vulnerability to pathogen infections, which are more noticeable in cultivated species. Besides, human activities have also contributed to increase the microbial contamination degree in the oceans.

Although the application of “OMICS” technologies to food safety research is increasing (Davies, 2009), specially with the purpose of understanding the complexities of pathogen behaviour at the molecular level (Fratamico, 2008), specific research in seafood pathogens has been scarce (Martinez & James, 2004). However, it is possible to find many general references about proteomic tools applied to fast bacterial classification from early years (Lay 2001; Emerson, Dworzanski & Snyder, 2005; Dworzanski, Deshpande, Chen, R., Jabbour, Snyder, Wick & Li, 2006; Agulto, Liu & Liu, 2008) and applications to the study of common pathogenic seafood bacteria such as *Listeria* and *Staphylococcus* genus (Hain, T., Chatterjee, S. S., Ghai, R., Kuenne, C. T., Billion, Steinweg, C., Domann, Kärst, Jänsch, Wehland, Eisenreich, Bacher, Joseph, Schär, Kreft, Klumpp, Loessner, Dorscht, Neuhaus, Fuchs, Scherer, Doumith, Jacquet, Martin, Cossart, Rusniok, Glaser, Buchrieser, Goebel & Chakraborty, 2007; Guilbaud, Chafsey, Pilet, Leroi, Prévost, Hébraud, & Dousset 2008; Ho & Reddy, 2008; Scott & Cordwell, 2009), mentioning nutrient limitations and starvation (Schweder,

1 Markert & Hecker, 2008) or salt concentration response (Wu, Lin, Wang, Ye, Xiao,
2 Wang & Peng 2006) as climate change consequences.

3 According to environmental studies and as it was mentioned above, the increase of
4 bloom-forming cyanobacteria and other toxins producers is another consequence of the
5 climate change related to seafood safety. Contamination of marine products by algal
6 toxins is a widespread phenomenon, posing significant threats to human and animal
7 health. Proteomics methodologies have been applied to cyanobacteria research more
8 extensively than to other marine microorganisms (Ow & Wright, 2009). Biomarkers in
9 toxin-producers species have been characterized (Chan, Sit, Lam, Hsieh, Hodgkiss,
10 Wan, Ho, Choi, Wang & Dudgeon 2006) but lately, the research is focused to the study
11 of algal toxins response in fish and shellfish by means of protein markers defined by
12 proteomics (Mezhoud, 2008; Ronzitti, Milandri, Scortichini, Poletti & Rossini, 2008
13 and Sala, Ronzitti, Sasaki, Fuwa, Yasumoto, Bigiani et al, 2009; Castielli, De la Cerda,
14 Navarro, Hervás & De la Rosa, M. A. 2009). In parallel, cyclic peptides which are
15 marine biotoxins, especially microcystins, have been characterized using different mass
16 spectrometry techniques (Liu, Ng, Meluzzi, Bandeira, Gutierrez, Simmons et al, 2009;
17 Ferranti, Fabbrocino, Nasi, Caira, Bruno, Serpe et al, 2009; Draper, Xu & Perera, 2009).

18 Allergenic proteins, pivotal from the point of view of seafood safety have studied as
19 well using proteomics techniques. As it is already known, fish and shellfish contain
20 different and well known allergens, like tropomyosins and parvalbumins (Taylor, 2008).

21 During the last years, proteomics has been applied to food allergies studies (Carbonaro
22 2004 Chardin & Peltre, 2005). Isoforms of allergen proteins have been characterized in
23 fishing products (Permyakov, Karnoup, Bakunts & Permyakov, 2009), as it has been
24 done with specific peptide sequences indicative of parvalbumin presence in hake
25 manufactured products (Carrera, Cañas, Piñeiro, Vázquez & Gallardo, 2006). Shellfish

allergy has been studied (Motoyama, Suma, Ishizaki, Nagashima & Shiomi 2007) and some allergens have been included in the data base using proteomic strategies: arginine kinase from *Penaeus monodon* (Yu, Lin, Chiang & Chow, 2003,), the black tiger shrimp, and myosin light chain from the white leg Pacific shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) (Ayuso, Grishina, Bardina, Carrillo, Blanco, Ibáñez, Sampson, Beyer, 2008) and several commercial specific ELISA tests have been developed using those prawn proteins.

Parasite detection in clinical and animal samples has been other food safety issue in which proteomics has been applied (Barrett, Jefferies & Brophy, 2000), using immunologic and molecular procedures. The work of Lefevre and co-workers makes reference to proteomics focused on host-parasite interactions mentioning an aquatic host (Lefèvre, Adamo, Biron, Missé, Hughes & Thomas, 2009).

Related to cultivated marine species safety, proteomic strategies has been used to protein monitoring in aquaculture pathology (Booy, Haddow, Ohlund, Hardie & Olafson, 2005), characterization of the virus which causes the white spot syndrome in cultivated shrimp (Huang, Zhang, Lin, Xu, Hu & Hew, 2002; Li, Lin, Chen, Wu, Lim, Loh, Tang & Hew, 2007) and the effects over fish of endocrine disruption chemicals (EDCs) (Martyniuk & Denslow, 2009).

There are safety risks associated with the use of chemical compounds in animal feeds, including aquafeeds, which may include: veterinary drugs residues, persistent organic pollutants, chemical and solvents residues used in agriculture, heavy metals and mineral salts. Biological contamination in animal feeds is possible, as well: *Salmonella* and transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, which are detected using proteomics methodologies (Tacon & Metian, 2008), among others.

3.2.2. Taxonomic and seafood authentication applications

Fish stock distribution and sustainability has suffered seriously as a consequence of the climate change. Variations in ecosystems composition and population and the decrease of autochthonous species have been produced, along with an increase in the presence of foreigner species in traditional fishing grounds, because these species belonging to same genera and also with similar morphological features may be producers of toxic compounds originating a risk for consumers' safety. Robust molecular tools based in nucleic acid and protein analysis are today available for the differentiation of very similar species.

Tools for taxonomic authentication for gadoid species have been developed using proteomics methodologies (Piñeiro, Vázquez, Marina, Barros-Velázquez & Gallardo, 2001; Martinez & Fritzs, 2004; Carrera, Cañas, Piñeiro, Vázquez & Gallardo, 2007) and a specific fast analytical procedure has been patented in Spain (patent Spanish number 200603287). The presence or absence of specific peptides, which may be detected by their masses using mass spectrometry in fresh, frozen, or processed fish, can evidence species authenticity in a short analysis time.

The use of proteomics for taxonomic purposes has been extended to other marine species like, Pleuronectiformes (flat fishes) and Perciformes, as mullets, seabasses, seabreams, etc (Mazzeo, De Giulio, Guerriero, Ciarcia, Malorni, Russo & Siciliano 2009) and more recently to prawns and shrimp (Ortea, Canas, Calo-Mata, Barros-Velázquez & Gallardo 2009).

3.2.3. Nutritional and quality seafood applications

A key scientific objective in nutrition research is to determine the role of the diet in metabolic regulation to improve human health. Depending on individual genotypes, nutrients may modify the metabolic pathways through regulating the expression of genes and the translation of proteins, improving health status (Kusmann & Affolter, 2006; Zhang, Yap, Wei, Chen & Chen, 2008). Nutritional proteomics or Nutriproteomics (Barnes & Kim, 2004) means the application of the proteomics methodologies to nutrition-related research and, among other topics, focus on the interaction of bioactive food ingredients with proteins (Schweigert, 2007), which may be investigated following two different procedures: (i) searching for the effect of bioactive food ingredients on protein synthesis via gene expression and, (ii) examining the interaction of these ingredients with proteins looking for post-translational modifications or for small molecule/protein interactions.

As it has been done with other omics-based nutrition approaches (nutritranscriptomics, and nutrimetabolomics), most of nutriproteomics investigations have been performed using animal models (Wang, Li, Dangott & Wu, 2006). There are only some applications of nutriproteomics to seafood as source of bioactive ingredients. One of them, is the study of the molecular mechanisms regulated by the consumption of PUFA (Mutch, Wahli & Williamson, 2005; De Roos, Geelen, Ross, Rucklidge, Reid, M., Duncan, Caslake, Horgan, & Brouwer 2008). Proteomics has been used to identify proteins which serum levels were altered by the administration of long chain ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFA) from fish oil. A comparison was made with the alterations produced when sunflower oil, rich in oleic acid, was dispensed. A down-regulation of the serum proteins related to coronary heart disease in the patients whose

1 diets were supplemented with fish oils, was evident. Proteomics applications to the study
2 of nutritionally relevant proteins have been reported (Alomirah, Alli & Konishi, 2000;
3 Kvasnicka, 2003), also focusing on their influence in human health (Kusmann, Affolter
4 & Fay, 2005). In that context, fish and other seafood products contain nutritional and
5 relevant proteins, related to organoleptic fish products properties and consequently to
6 their quality degree, with a high content of essential amino acid, which are abundant in
7 the edible parts. The structure of these proteins can be affected during the process of
8 manufacture and storage (Herrero, 2008).

9 Proteomics methodologies are the most adequate to evaluate the changes occurred in
10 proteins, as those which occurred during cod and tuna frozen storage (Kjærsgård,
11 Nørrelykke & Jessen 2006a; Kjærsgård, Nørrelykke, Baron & Jessen, 2006b;
12 Schiavone, Zilli, Storelli & Vilella, 2008; Kinoshita, Sato, Naitou, Ohashi &
13 Kumazawa, 2007) and pre-slaughter activity (Morzel, Chambon, Lefèvre, Paboeuf &
14 Laville, 2006).

15 Monitoring nutritional differences between wild and farmed species is other of the
16 applications of nutriproteomics (Monti, De Napoli, Mainolfi, Barone, Guida, Marino &
17 Amoresano, 2005; Eriksson, 2005; Reddish, St-Pierre, Nichols, Green-Church & Wick,
18 2008). Cultivated species adaptation to global climate change has received special
19 attention by researchers. Proteomics strategies have been used in preliminary
20 physiological and biochemical investigations (Martin, Cash, Blaney & Houlihan;
21 Overturf & Gaylord, 2009). The relationship between cold acclimation and
22 sarcoplasmic proteins expression in carp muscle, have been studied (McLean, Young,
23 Doherty, Robertson, Cossins, Gracey, Beynon & Whitfield, 2007). When fishes were
24 cooled to 10°C, there was an accumulation for the fragments of the enzyme creatine-

kinase (CK), consistent with the observed higher muscle CK proteolysis associated with the decrease of water temperature.

4. Challenges

Understanding the adaptation strategies of marine organisms to the global climate change must be based in multidisciplinary studies from an “ecosystem approach”, defined as a comprehensive and holistic vision for the understanding and anticipation of the ecological changes, assessing the full range of consequences, and developing appropriate management responses. Consequently, ongoing study of the climate change phenomenon and its impact on the fisheries ecosystem and therefore on seafood products, is crucial. At this point, proteomics, developed to study complex systems, demanding sophisticated, robust and fast analytical methodologies, capable of identifying in parallel hundreds of seafood proteins, with a variety of PTMs at different expression levels, by means for instance of microarray technologies (Zhang, Yap, Wei, Chen & Chen 2008), can play a strategic role. However, the preliminary steps of that work are impeded for the scarce number of sequenced marine proteins. So a first basic stage of sequencing research is indispensable.

Proteins can be related to pollution initiation or progression, safety, quality and nutrition topics, constituting in this sense important biomarkers for the detection of pollution, food safety, and nutritional value. But, to define, quantify and understand the significance of those protein biomarkers, even in early stages, in order to develop prevention strategies, a multidisciplinary collaboration is needed. The successful research must include disciplines as diverse as: Physiology, Genetics, Ecology, Cell Biology, Analytical Chemistry, Oceanography, Bioinformatics, Zoology and Botany

among others and, of course, to be planned from the Systems Biology approach. At this point, the first and easier step which must be focused is, obviously, to the study of cultivated species, because aquaculture is a valuable food supply and economic support for many countries (Cole, Cole, Gaydos, Gray, Hyland, Jacques et al, 2009) and it can be controlled from the food safety and ecological points of view.

5. Conclusions

From the literature discussed in this review, it is possible to consider proteomics as an indispensable scientific set of methodologies with an enormous potential in order to evaluate climate change effects on the production of food, and more specifically on the production of seafood, wild and cultivated. Nevertheless, until now only few research groups are implicated in the application of proteomics to seafood research, being most of the attention focused on seafood safety.

The lack of genome sequencing for most of the marine organisms, together with the difficulty in the *de novo* interpretation of peptide fragmentation spectra, has precluded a more extensive use of proteomics mass spectrometry techniques in the field of seafood production. Nevertheless, marine organisms DNA sequencing projects are underway and software for protein identification from mass spectrometry data is improving, facilitating the interpretation of spectra and the comparison. So, perhaps it is not too presumptuous to think that in few years proteomics will be widely used in seafood production studies.

References

- Abu-Farha, M., Elisma, F., Zhou, H., Tian, R., Zhou, H., Asmer, M. S., et al. (2009). Proteomics: From technology developments to biological applications. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(12), 4585-4599.
- Aebersold, R., & Goodlett, D. R. (2001). Mass spectrometry in proteomics. *Chemical Reviews*, 101(2), 269-295.
- Ahmed, F. E. (2008). Utility of mass spectrometry for proteome analysis: Part I. conceptual and experimental approaches. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 5(6), 841-864.
- Ahmed, F. E. (2009). The role of capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry to proteome analysis and biomarker discovery. *Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 877(22), 1963-1981.
- Allen, M. J., & Wilson, W. H. (2008). Aquatic virus diversity accessed through omic techniques: A route map to function. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 11(3), 226-232.
- Alomirah, H. F., Alli, I., & Konishi, Y. (2000). Applications of mass spectrometry to food proteins and peptides. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 893(1), 1-21.
- Amelina, H., Apraiz, I., Sun, W., & Cristobal, S. (2007). Proteomics-based method for the assessment of marine pollution using liquid chromatography coupled with two-dimensional electrophoresis. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 6(6), 2094-2104.

- 1 Apraiz, I., Mi, J., & Cristobal, S. (2006). Identification of proteomic signatures of
2 exposure to marine pollutants in mussels (*Mytilus edulis*). *Molecular and Cellular*
3 *Proteomics*, 5(7), 1274-1285.
- 4 Aricò, S. (2008). Advances in concepts and methods for the marine environment:
5 Implications for policy. *Cell Biology and Toxicology*, 24(6), 475-481.
- 6 Ayuso, R., Grishina, G., Bardina, L., Carrillo, T., Blanco, C., Ibáñez, M. D., Sampson,
7 H.A., Beyer, K (2008). Myosin light chain is a novel shrimp allergen, lit v 3.
8 *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 122(4), 795-802.
- 9 Bainy, A. C. (2008). Pollutant responses in marine organisms (PRIMO 14). Editorial
10 and Abstracts of the 14th international symposium on pollutant responses in marine
11 organisms. Oil effects. *Marine Environmental Research*, 66, 1-224.
- 12 Barnes, S., & Kim, H. (2004). Nutriproteomics: Identifying the molecular targets of
13 nutritive and non-nutritive components of the diet. *Journal of Biochemistry and*
14 *Molecular Biology*, 37(1), 59-74.
- 15 Barrett, J., Jefferies, J. R., & Brophy, P. M. (2000). Parasite proteomics. *Parasitology*
16 *Today*, 16(9), 400-403.
- 17 Batoy, S. M. A. B., Akhmetova, E., Miladinovic, S., Smeal, J., & Wilkins, C. L. (2008).
18 Developments in MALDI mass spectrometry: The quest for the perfect matrix.
19 *Applied Spectroscopy Reviews*, 43(6), 485-550.
- 20 Bettmer, J., Montes Bayón, M., Ruiz Encinar, J., Fernández Sánchez, M. L., Fernández
21 de la Campa, M.d.R., & Sanz Medel, A. (2009). The emerging role of ICP-MS in
22 proteomic analysis. *Journal of Proteomics*, 72(6), 989-1005.

- 1 Blackstock, W. P., & Weir, M. P. (1999). Proteomics: Quantitative and physical
2 mapping of cellular proteins. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 17(3), 121-127.
- 3 Booy, A. T., Haddow, J. D., Ohlund, L. B., Hardie, D. B., & Olafson, R. W. (2005).
4 Application of isotope coded affinity tag (ICAT) analysis for the identification of
5 differentially expressed proteins following infection of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
6 *salar*) with infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) or renibacterium
7 salmoninarum (BKD). *Journal of Proteome Research*, 4(2), 325-334.
- 8 Brander, K. M. (2007). Global fish production and climate change. *Proceedings of the*
9 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(50), 19709-
10 19714.
- 11 Brown, M. E., & Funk, C. C. (2008). Climate: Food security under climate change.
12 *Science*, 319(5863), 580-581.
- 13 Brun, N. T., Bricelj, V. M., MacRae, T. H., & Ross, N. W. (2008). Heat shock protein
14 responses in thermally stressed bay scallops, argopecten irradians, and sea scallops,
15 placopecten magellanicus. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*,
16 358(2), 151-162.
- 17 Brunner, E. J., Jones, P. J. S., Friel, S., & Bartley, M. (2009). Fish, human health and
18 marine ecosystem health: Policies in collision. *International Journal of*
19 *Epidemiology*, 38(1), 93-100.
- 20 Bundy, J. G., Davey, M. P., & Viant, M. R. (2009). Environmental metabolomics: A
21 critical review and future perspectives. *Metabolomics*, 5(1), 3-21.

- 1 Burnett, K. G., Bain, L. J., Baldwin, W. S., Callard, G. V., Cohen, S., Di Giulio, R. T.,
2 Evans, D.H., Gómez-Chiarri, M. Hahn, M.E., Hoover, C.A., Karchner, S.I., Katoh,
3 F., MacLatchy, D.L., Marshall, W.S., Meyer, J.N., Nacci, D.E., Oleksiak, M.F.,
4 Rees, B.B., Singer, T.D., Stegeman, J.J., Towle, D.W., Van Veld, P.A., Vogelbein,
5 W.K., Whitehead, A., Winn, R.N., Crawford, D.L. (2007). *Fundulus* as the premier
6 teleost model in environmental biology: Opportunities for new insights using
7 genomics. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part D: Genomics and*
8 *Proteomics*, 2(4), 257-286.
- 9 Bussell, J A., Gidman, E A., Causton, D. R., Gwynn-Jones, D., Malham, S. K.,
10 Jones, M.L.M., Reynold, S. B; Seed R. (2008). Changes in the immune response
11 and metabolic fingerprint of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (Linnaeus) in response to
12 lowered salinity and physical stress. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
13 *Ecology*. 358, (1), 78-85.
- 14 Cañas, B., Piñeiro, C., Calvo, E., López-Ferrer, D., & Gallardo, J. M. (2007). Trends in
15 sample preparation for classical and second generation proteomics. *Journal of*
16 *Chromatography A*, 1153(1-2), 235-258. .
- 17 Carbonaro, M. (2004). Proteomics: Present and future in food quality evaluation. *Trends*
18 *in Food Science and Technology*, 15(3-4), 209-216.
- 19 Carrera, M., Cañas, B., Piñeiro, C., Vázquez, J., & Gallardo, J. M. (2006). Identification
20 of commercial hake and grenadier species by proteomic analysis of the
21 parvalbumin fraction. *Proteomics*, 6(19), 5278-5287. .
- 22 Carrera, M., Cañas, B., Piñeiro, C., Vázquez, J., & Gallardo, J. M. (2007). De novo
23 mass spectrometry sequencing and characterization of species-specific peptides

- 1 from nucleoside diphosphate kinase B for the classification of commercial fish
- 2 species belonging to the family Merlucciidae. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 6(8),
- 3 3070-3080.
- 4 Carrera, M., Cañas, B., Piñeiro, C., Vázquez, J., Barros, L. & Gallardo, J. M. (2008).
- 5 Procedimiento para la identificación de las especies comerciales de la Familia
- 6 *Merlucciidae*, elementos necesarios y aplicaciones. CSIC patent number
- 7 200603287.
- 8 Castielli, O., De la Cerda, B., Navarro, J. A., Hervás, M., & De la Rosa, M. A. (2009).
- 9 Proteomic analyses of the response of cyanobacteria to different stress conditions.
- 10 *FEBS Letters*, 583(11), 1753-1758. Retrieved from SCOPUS database.
- 11 Chan, L. L., Sit, W., Lam, P. K., Hsieh, D. P. H., Hodgkiss, I. J., Wan, J. M., Ho A.Y.,
- 12 Choi N. M., Wang D.Z. & Dudgeon D. (2006). Identification and characterization
- 13 of a "biomarker of toxicity" from the proteome of the paralytic shellfish toxin-
- 14 producing dinoflagellate *Alexandrium tamarense* (Dinophyceae). *Proteomics*, 6(2),
- 15 654-666.
- 16 Chardin, H., & Peltre, G. (2005). Allergome: The characterization of allergens based on
- 17 a 2D gel electrophoresis approach. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 2(5), 757-765.
- 18 Chen, G., & Pramanik, B. N. (2008). LC-MS for protein characterization: Current
- 19 capabilities and future trends. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 5(3), 435-444.
- 20 Chen, G., & Pramanik, B. N. (2008). LC-MS for protein characterization: Current
- 21 capabilities and future trends. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 5(3), 435-444.

- 1 Chora, S., McDonagh, B., Sheehan, D., Starita-Geribaldi, M., Roméo, M., & Bebianno,
2 M. J. (2008). Ubiquitination and carbonylation as markers of oxidative-stress in
3 *Ruditapes decussatus*. *Marine Environmental Research*, 66(1), 95-97.
- 4 Cole, D. W., Cole, R., Gaydos, S. J., Gray, J., Hyland, G., Jacques, M. L., et al. (2009).
5 Aquaculture: Environmental, toxicological, and health issues. *International Journal*
6 *of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 212(4), 369-377.
- 7 Cristobal, S. (2008). Proteomics-based method for risk assessment of peroxisome
8 proliferating pollutants in the marine environment. *Methods in Molecular Biology*
9 *(Clifton, N.J.)*, 410, 123-135.
- 10 Dahlhoff, E. P. (2004). Biochemical indicators of stress and metabolism: Applications
11 for marine ecological studies. *Annual Review of Physiology* 66, 183-207.
- 12 Davis H. 2009. A role for “omics” technologies in food safety assessment. *Food Control*
13 (in press). Doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.03.002.
- 14 De Roos, B., Geelen, A., Ross, K., Rucklidge, G., Reid, M., Duncan, G., Caslake, M.;
15 Horgan, G. & Brouwer I.A. (2008). Identification of potential serum biomarkers of
16 inflammation and lipid modulation that are altered by fish oil supplementation in
17 healthy volunteers. *Proteomics*, 8(10), 1965-1974.
- 18 Dowling, V., Hoarau, P. C., Romeo, M., O'Halloran, J., Van Pelt, F., O'Brien, N., &
19 Sheehan, D. (2006). Protein carbonylation and heat shock response in *Ruditapes*
20 *decussatus* following p,p'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE) exposure: A
21 proteomic approach reveals that DDE causes oxidative stress. *Aquatic Toxicology*,
22 77(1), 11-18.

- 1 Draper, W. M., Xu, D., & Perera, S. K. (2009). Electrolyte-induced ionization
2 suppression and microcystin toxins: Ammonium formate suppresses sodium
3 replacement ions and enhances protonated and ammoniated ions for improved
4 specificity in quantitative LC-MS-MS. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(10), 4153-4160.
- 5 Dupont, S., Wilson, K., Obst, M., Sköld, H., Nakano, H., & Thorndyke, M. C. (2007).
6 Marine ecological genomics: When genomics meets marine ecology. *Marine*
7 *Ecology Progress Series*, 332, 257-273.
- 8 Dworzanski, J. P., & Snyder, A. P. (2005). Classification and identification of bacteria
9 using mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 2(6),
10 863-878.
- 11 Dworzanski, J. P., Deshpande, S. V., Chen, R., Jabbour, R. E., Snyder, A. P., Wick, C.
12 H. & Li L. (2006). Mass spectrometry-based proteomics combined with
13 bioinformatic tools for bacterial classification. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 5(1),
14 76-87.
- 15 El-Aneed, A., Cohen, A., & Banoub, J. (2009). Mass spectrometry, review of the basics:
16 Electrospray, MALDI, and commonly used mass analyzers. *Applied Spectroscopy*
17 *Reviews*, 44(3), 210-230. F
- 18 Emerson, D., Agulto, L., Liu, H., & Liu, L. (2008). Identifying and characterizing
19 bacteria in an era of genomics and proteomics. *Bioscience*, 58(10), 925-936.
- 20 Erickson, B. E. (2005). Proteomics reveals effects of aquaculture on fish. *Journal of*
21 *Proteome Research*, 4(3), 667.

- 1 Evgen'ev, M. B., Garbuz, D. G., & Zatsepina, O. G. (2005). Heat shock proteins:
2 Functions and role in adaptation to hyperthermia. *Ontogenez*, 36(4), 265-273.
- 3 Ferranti, P., Fabbrocino, S., Nasi, A., Caira, S., Bruno, M., Serpe, L., et al. (2009).
4 Liquid chromatography coupled to quadruple time-of-flight tandem mass
5 spectrometry for microcystin analysis in freshwaters: Method performances and
6 characterisation of a novel variant of microcystin-RR. *Rapid Communications in*
7 *Mass Spectrometry*, 23(9), 1328-1336.
- 8 Fleming, L. E., Broad, K., Clement, A., Dewailly, E., Elmir, S., Knap, A., Pomponi,
9 S.A., Smith, S., Solo Gabriele, H. & Walsh, P. (2006). Oceans and human health:
10 Emerging public health risks in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*,
11 53(10-12), 545-560.
- 12 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2008b): The State of World
13 Fisheries and Aquaculture. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0250e/i0250e00.htm>.
- 14 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO 2009). Climate Change: Implications For
15 Food Safety.
16 http://www.fao.org/ag/agn/agns/files/HLC1_Climate_Change_and_Food_Safety.pdf
17 f
- 18 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2007). Climate Change and Food Security
19 in Pacific Island Countries. High-level Conference on world food security: the
20 challenges of climate change and bioenergy.
21 <http://www.fao.org/climatechange/home/en/>.

- 1 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2008a). FAO Expert workshop on Climate
2 change implications for fisheries and aquaculture. Rome 7-9 April. FAO. Fisheries
3 Report 780.
- 4 Fraser, J., De Mello, L. V., Ward, D., Rees, H. H., Williams, D. R., Fang, Y., Gracey,
5 A.Y., & Cossins, (2006). Hypoxia-inducible myoglobin expression in nonmuscle
6 tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
7 *America*, 103(8), 2977, 2981. Erratum: *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
8 *Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(24), 9374
- 9 Fraser, K. P. P., & Rogers, A. D. (2007). Protein metabolism in marine animals: The
10 underlying mechanism of growth. *Advances in Marine Biology* 52, 269-345.
11 Elsevier.
- 12 Fratamico, P. M. (2008). The application of "omics" technologies for food safety
13 research. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 5(4), 369-370.
- 14 Garrigues, H. Barth, C.H. Walker Eds. (2001). Biomarkers in marine organisms.
15 Elsevier Publishing. UK. 572 pp. ISBN-13: 978-0-444-82913-9.
- 16 Gehlenborg, N., Yan, W., Lee, I. Y., Yoo, H., Nieselt, K., Hwang, D., Aebersold, R.
17 Hood, L.(2009). Prequips - an extensible software platform for integration,
18 visualization and analysis of LC-MS-MS proteomics data. *Bioinformatics*, 25(5),
19 682-683.
- 20 Gomiero, A., Pampanin, D. M., Bjørnstad, A., Larsen, B. K., Provan, F., Lyng, E., &
21 Andersen O.K., (2006). An ecotoxicoproteomic approach (SELDI-TOF mass

- 1 spectrometry) to biomarker discovery in crab exposed to pollutants under
2 laboratory conditions. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 78 (SUPPL.), S34-S41.
- 3 Graham, D. R. M., Elliott, S. T., & Van Eyk, J. E. (2005). Broad-based proteomic
4 strategies: A practical guide to proteomics and functional screening. *Journal of*
5 *Physiology*, 563(1), 1-9.
- 6 Gregory, P. J., Ingram, J. S. I., & Brklacich, M. (2005). Climate change and food
7 security. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
8 360(1463), 2139-2148.
- 9 Guilbaud, M., Chafsey, I., Pilet, M., Leroi, F., Prévost, H., Hébraud, M., & Dousset X.,
10 (2008). Response of *Listeria monocytogenes* to liquid smoke. *Journal of Applied*
11 *Microbiology*, 104(6), 1744-1753.
- 12 Hain, T., Chatterjee, S. S., Ghai, R., Kuenne, C. T., Billion, A., Steinweg, C., Domann,
13 E.^a, Kärst, U., Jansch, L., Wehland, J., Eisenreich, W., Bacher, A., Joseph, B.,
14 Schär, J., Kreft, J., Klumpp, J., Loessner, M.J., Dorscht, J. Neuhaus, K., Fuchs,
15 T.M., Scherer, S., Doumith, M., Jacquet, C., Martin, P., Cossart, P., Rusniok, C.,
16 Glaser, P., Buchrieser, C., Goebel, W., & Chakraborty, T., (2007). Pathogenomics
17 of *Listeria spp.* *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 297(7-8), 541-557.
- 18 Halweil, B. (2008). Farming fish for the future. *Worldwatch Paper*, (176), 1-42.
- 19 Herrero, A. M. (2008). Raman spectroscopy for monitoring protein structure in muscle
20 food systems. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 48(6), 512-523.

- 1 Ho, Y., & Reddy, M. P. (2008). Mass spectrometry-based approaches for the detection
2 of proteins of *Staphylococcus* species. *Infectious Disorders - Drug Targets*, 8(3),
3 166-182.
- 4 Huang, C., Zhang, X., Lin, Q., Xu, X., Hu, Z., & Hew, C. L. (2002). Proteomic analysis
5 of shrimp white spot syndrome viral proteins and characterization of a novel
6 envelope protein VP466. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics : MCP*, 1(3), 223-231.
- 7 Hunter, T. (1995). Protein kinases and phosphatases: The yin and yang of protein
8 phosphorylation and signaling. *Cell*, 80(2), 225-236. .
- 9 Jensen, O. N. (2004). Modification-specific proteomics: Characterization of post-
10 translational modifications by mass spectrometry. *Current Opinion in Chemical*
11 *Biology*, 8(1), 33-41. .
- 12 Kinoshita, Y., Sato, T., Naitou, H., Ohashi, N., & Kumazawa, S. (2007). Proteomic
13 studies on protein oxidation in bonito (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) muscle. *Food Science*
14 *and Technology Research*, 13(2), 133-138.
- 15 Kjærsgård, I. V. H., Nørrelykke, M. R., & Jessen, F. (2006a). Changes in cod muscle
16 proteins during frozen storage revealed by proteome analysis and multivariate data
17 analysis. *Proteomics*, 6(5), 1606-1618.
- 18 Kjærsgård, I. V. H., Nørrelykke, M. R., Baron, C. P., & Jessen, F. (2006b).
19 Identification of carbonylated protein in frozen rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
20 *mykiss*) fillets and development of protein oxidation during frozen storage. *Journal*
21 *of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(25), 9437-9446.

- 1 Kjersem, A. B., Aa, K. A., Meier, S., Goksøyr, A., & Grøsvik, B. E. (2008). A
2 proteomic based approach for developing new biomarkers as monitoring tools for
3 biological effects of oil and produced water to fish. *Marine Environmental*
4 *Research*, 66(1), 223-224.
- 5 König, S. (2008). Target coatings and desorption surfaces in biomolecular MALDI-MS.
6 *Proteomics*, 8(4), 706-714.
- 7 Kröger, S., Piletsky, S., & Turner, A. P. F. (2002). Biosensors for marine pollution
8 research, monitoring and control. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 45(1-12), 24-34.
- 9 Kültz, D., Fiol, D., Valkova, N., Gomez-Jimenez, S., Chan, S. Y., & Lee, J. (2007).
10 Functional genomics and proteomics of the cellular osmotic stress response in 'non-
11 model' organisms. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 210(9), 1593-1601.
- 12 Kussmann, M., & Affolter, M. (2006). Proteomic methods in nutrition. *Current Opinion*
13 *in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care*, 9(5), 575-583.
- 14 Kussmann, M., Affolter, M., & Fay, L. B. (2005). Proteomics in nutrition and health.
15 *Combinatorial Chemistry and High Throughput Screening*, 8(8), 679-696.
- 16 Kvasnicka, F. (2003). Proteomics: General strategies and application to nutritionally
17 relevant proteins. *Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the*
18 *Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 787(1), 77-89.
- 19 Lay, J. O. (2001). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of bacteria. *Mass Spectrometry*
20 *Reviews*, 20(4), 172-194.

- 1 Lee, J., Soper, S. A., & Murray, K. K. (2009). Microfluidic chips for mass
2 spectrometry-based proteomics. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 44(5), 579-593.
- 3 Lefèvre, T., Adamo, S. A., Biron, D. G., Missé, D., Hughes, D., & Thomas, F. (2009).
4 Chapter 3 invasion of the body snatchers. the diversity and evolution of
5 manipulative strategies in host-parasite interactions. *Advances in Parasitology*, 68,
6 45-83
- 7 Lejeusne, C., Pérez, T., Sarrazin, V., & Chevaldonné, P. (2006). Baseline expression of
8 heat-shock proteins (HSPs) of a "thermotolerant" mediterranean marine species
9 largely influenced by natural temperature fluctuations. *Canadian Journal of*
10 *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 63(9), 2028-2037.
- 11 Lemeer, S., Pinkse, M. W. H., Mohammed, S., Van Breukelen, B., Hertog, J. D.,
12 Slijper, M., & Heck A.I.R., (2008). Online automated in vivo zebrafish
13 phosphoproteomics: From large-scale analysis down to a single embryo. *Journal of*
14 *Proteome Research*, 7(4), 1555-1564.
- 15 Li, Z., Lin, Q., Chen, J., Wu, J. L., Lim, T. K., Loh, S. S., Tang, X. & Hew, C.L.,
16 (2007). Shotgun identification of the structural proteome of shrimp white spot
17 syndrome virus and iTRAQ differentiation of envelope and nucleocapsid
18 subproteomes. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, 6(9), 1609-1620.
- 19 Liu, W. -, Ng, J., Meluzzi, D., Bandeira, N., Gutierrez, M., Simmons, T. L., et al.
20 (2009). Interpretation of tandem mass spectra obtained from cyclic nonribosomal
21 peptides. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(11), 4200-4209.

- 1 López, J. L. (2007). Applications of proteomics in marine ecology. *Marine Ecology*
2 *Progress Series*, 332, 275-279.
- 3 Martin, S. A. M., Cash, P., Blaney, S., & Houlihan, D. F. (2001). Proteome analysis of
4 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) liver proteins during short term starvation.
5 *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 24(3), 259-270.
- 6 Martinez, I. & Yates D. (2000). From morphology to DNA-chips and proteomics:
7 developments in analytical methods to ascertain food safety and authenticity.
8 *Økonomisk Fiskeriforskning* 10(2), 162-196.
- 9 Martinez, I., & Friis, T. J. (2004). Application of proteome analysis to seafood
10 authentication. *Proteomics*, 4(2), 347-354.
- 11 Martyniuk, C. J., & Denslow, N. D. (2009). Towards functional genomics in fish using
12 quantitative proteomics. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, (In press).
- 13 Mazzeo, M. F., De Giulio, B., Guerriero, G., Ciarcia, G., Malorni, A., Russo, G. L., &
14 Siciliano R.A., (2008). Fish authentication by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.
15 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56(23), 11071-11076.
- 16 McDonagh, B., Tyther, R., & Sheehan, D. (2005). Carbonylation and glutathionylation
17 of proteins in the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* detected by proteomic analysis and
18 western blotting: Actin as a target for oxidative stress. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 73(3),
19 315-326.

- 1 McDonagh, B., & Sheehan, D. (2006a). Redox proteomics in the blue mussel *Mytilus*
2 *edulis*: Carbonylation is not a pre-requisite for ubiquitination in acute free radical-
3 mediated oxidative stress. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 79(4), 325-333.
- 4 McDonagh, B., Tyther, R., & Sheehan, D. (2006b). Redox proteomics in the mussel,
5 *Mytilus edulis*. *Marine Environmental Research*, 62 (SUPPL. 1), S101-S104.
- 6 McDonagh, B., & Sheehan, D. (2007). Effect of oxidative stress on protein thiols in the
7 blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*: Proteomic identification of target proteins. *Proteomics*,
8 7(18), 3395-3403.
- 9 McDonagh, B., & Sheehan, D. (2008). Effects of oxidative stress on protein thiols and
10 disulphides in *Mytilus edulis* revealed by proteomics: Actin and protein disulphide
11 isomerase are redox targets. *Marine Environmental Research*, 66(1), 193-195.
- 12 McLean, L., Young, I. S., Doherty, M. K., Robertson, D. H. L., Cossins, A. R., Gracey,
13 A. Y., Beynon, R.J., & Whitfield, P.D., (2007). Global cooling: Cold acclimation
14 and the expression of soluble proteins in carp skeletal muscle. *Proteomics*, 7(15),
15 2667-2681.
- 16 Mezhoud, K., Bauchet, A. L., Château-Joubert, S., Praseuth, D., Marie, A., François, J.
17 C., Fontaine, J.J., Jaeg, J.P., Cravedi, J.P. Puisieux-Dao, S., Edery, M., (2008).
18 Proteomic and phosphoproteomic analysis of cellular responses in medaka fish
19 (*Oryzias latipes*) following oral gavage with microcystin-LR. *Toxicon*, 51(8), 1431-
20 1439.

- 1 Miraglia, M., Marvin, H. J. P., Kleter, G. A., Battilani, P., Brera, C., Coni, E., et al.
2 (2009). Climate change and food safety: An emerging issue with special focus on
3 europe. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 47(5), 1009-1021.
- 4 Monti, G., De Napoli, L., Mainolfi, P., Barone, R., Guida, M., Marino, G., &
5 Amoresano A. (2005). Monitoring food quality by microfluidic electrophoresis, gas
6 chromatography, and mass spectrometry techniques: Effects of aquaculture on the
7 sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Analytical Chemistry*, 77(8), 2587-2594.
- 8 Morzel, M., Chambon, C., Lefèvre, F., Paboeuf, G., & Laville, E. (2006). Modifications
9 of trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) muscle proteins by preslaughter activity. *Journal of*
10 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(8), 2997-3001.
- 11 Motoyama, K., Suma, Y., Ishizaki, S., Nagashima, Y., & Shiomi, K. (2007). Molecular
12 cloning of tropomyosins identified as allergens in six species of crustaceans.
13 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(3), 985-991.
- 14 Mutch, D. M., Wahli, W., & Williamson, G. (2005). Nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics:
15 The emerging faces of nutrition. *FASEB Journal*, 19(12), 1602-1616.
- 16 Nesatyy, V.J.; Ammann, A.A.; Rutishauser, B.V.; Suter, M.J.-F. (2006) Effect of
17 cadmium on the interaction of 17 β -estradiol with the rainbow trout estrogen
18 receptor. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40 (4), 1358-1363
- 19 Nesatyy, V. J., & Suter, M. J. (2008). Analysis of environmental stress response on the
20 proteome level. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*, 27(6), 556-574.
- 21 Nunn, B. L., & Timperman, A. T. (2007). Marine proteomics. *Marine Ecology Progress*
22 *Series*, 332, 281-289.

- 1 Ortea, I., Canas, B., Calo-Mata, P., Barros-Velázquez, J., & Gallardo, J. M. (2009).
2 Arginine kinase peptide mass fingerprinting as a proteomic approach for species
3 identification and taxonomic analysis of commercially relevant shrimp species.
4 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57(13), 5665-5672.
- 5 Overturf, K., & Gaylord, T. G. (2009). Determination of relative protein degradation
6 activity at different life stages in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).
7 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - B Biochemistry and Molecular*
8 *Biology*, 152(2), 150-160.
- 9 Ow, S. Y., & Wright, P. C. (2009). Current trends in high throughput proteomics in
10 cyanobacteria. *FEBS Letters*, 583(11), 1744-1752.
- 11 Pan, S., Aebersold, R., Chen, R., Rush, J., Goodlett, D. R., McIntosh, M. W., et al.
12 (2009). Mass spectrometry based targeted protein quantification: Methods and
13 applications. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 8(2), 787-797.
- 14 Parrington, J., & Coward, K. (2002). Use of emerging genomic and proteomic
15 technologies in fish physiology. *Aquatic Living Resources*, 15(3), 193-196.
- 16 Pelletier, N. L., Ayer, N. W., Tyedmers, P. H., Kruse, S. A., Flysjo, A., Robillard, G.,
17 Ziegler, F. Scholz, A.J., & Sonesson, U. (2007). Impact categories for life cycle
18 assessment research of seafood production systems: Review and prospectus.
19 *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 12(6), 414-421.
- 20 Pérez-Casanova, J. C., Afonso, L. O. B., Johnson, S. C., Currie, S., & Gamperl, A. K.
21 (2008). The stress and metabolic responses of juvenile atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*
22 L.) to an acute thermal challenge. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 72(4), 899-916.

- 1 Permyakov, S. E., Karnoup, A. S., Bakunts, A. G., & Permyakov, E. A. (2009).
2 Sequence microheterogeneity of parvalbumin pI 5.0 of pike: A mass spectrometric
3 study. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta - Proteins and Proteomics*, 1794(1), 129-
4 136.
- 5 Piñeiro, C., Vázquez, J., Marina, A. I., Barros-Velázquez, J., & Gallardo, J. M. (2001).
6 Characterization and partial sequencing of species-specific sarcoplasmic
7 polypeptides from commercial hake species by mass spectrometry following two-
8 dimensional electrophoresis. *Electrophoresis*, 22(8), 1545-1552.
- 9 Piñeiro, C., Barros-Velázquez, J., Vázquez, J., Figueras, A., & Gallardo, J. M. (2003).
10 Proteomics as a tool for the investigation of seafood and other marine products.
11 *Journal of Proteome Research*, 2(2), 127-135. .
- 12 Pitcher, T. J. (2008). The sea ahead: Challenges to marine biology from seafood
13 sustainability. *Hydrobiologia*, 606(1), 161-185.
- 14 Pörtner, H. O., Langenbuch, M., & Michaelidis, B. (2005). Synergistic effects of
15 temperature extremes, hypoxia, and increases in CO₂ on marine animals: From
16 earth history to global change. *Journal of Geophysical Research C: Oceans*,
17 110(9), 1-15.
- 18 Pörtner, H. O., & Knust, R. (2007). Climate change affects marine fishes through the
19 oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. *Science*, 315(5808), 95-97.
- 20 Powell, M. L., & Watts, S. A. (2006). Effect of temperature acclimation on metabolism
21 and hemocyanin binding affinities in two crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii* and

- 1 *Procambarus zonangulus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A*
2 *Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, 144(2), 211-217.
- 3 Provan, F., Bjørnstad, A., Pampanin, D. M., Lyng, E., Fontanillas, R., Andersen, O. K.,
4 Koppe, W. & Bamber, S. (2006). Mass spectrometric profiling - A diagnostic tool
5 in fish? *Marine Environmental Research*, 62(SUPPL. 1), S105-S108.
- 6 Prunet, P., Cairns, M. T., Winberg, S., & Pottinger, T. G. (2008). Functional genomics
7 of stress responses in fish. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 16 (SUPPL.1), 155-164.
- 8 Reddish, J. M., St-Pierre, N., Nichols, A., Green-Church, K., & Wick, M. (2008).
9 Proteomic analysis of proteins associated with body mass and length in yellow
10 perch, *perca flavescens*. *Proteomics*, 8(11), 2333-2343.
- 11 Reinders, J., & Sickmann, A. (2007). Modificomics: Posttranslational modifications
12 beyond protein phosphorylation and glycosylation. *Biomolecular Engineering*,
13 24(2), 169-177.
- 14 Ripley, J., Iwanowicz, L., Blazer, V., & Foran, C. (2008). Utilization of protein
15 expression profiles as indicators of environmental impairment of smallmouth bass
16 (*micropterus dolomieu*) from the shenandoah river, virginia, USA. *Environmental*
17 *Toxicology and Chemistry*, 27(8), 1756-1767.
- 18 Ronzitti, G., Milandri, A., Scortichini, G., Poletti, R., & Rossini, G. P. (2008). Protein
19 markers of algal toxin contamination in shellfish. *Toxicon*, 52(6), 705-713.
- 20 Saavedra, C., & Bachère, E. (2006). Bivalve genomics. *Aquaculture*, 256(1-4), 1-14.

- 1 Sala, G. L., Ronzitti, G., Sasaki, M., Fuwa, H., Yasumoto, T., Bigiani, A., et al. (2009).
2 Proteomic analysis reveals multiple patterns of response in cells exposed to a toxin
3 mixture. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 22(6), 1077-1085.
- 4 Salbu, B., Denbeigh, J., Smith, R. W., Heier, L. S., Teien, H. C., Rosseland, B. O.,
5 Oughton, D. Seymour, C.B. & Mothersill, C. (2008). Environmentally relevant
6 mixed exposures to radiation and heavy metals induce measurable stress responses
7 in atlantic salmon. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(9), 3441-3446.
- 8 Schiavone, R., Zilli, L., Storelli, C., & Vilella, S. (2008). Identification by proteome
9 analysis of muscle proteins in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *European Food Research*
10 *and Technology*, 227(5), 1403-1410.
- 11 Schmidhuber, J., & Tubiello, F. N. (2007). Global food security under climate change.
12 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
13 104(50), 19703-19708.
- 14 Scholz, S., Fischer, S., Gündel, U., Küster, E., Luckenbach, T., & Voelker, D. (2008).
15 The zebrafish embryo model in environmental risk assessment - applications
16 beyond acute toxicity testing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,
17 15(5), 394-404.
- 18 Schreck, C. B., Contreras-Sanchez, W., & Fitzpatrick, M. S. (2001). Effects of stress on
19 fish reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. *Aquaculture*, 197(1-4), 3-24.
- 20 Schweder, T., Markert, S., & Hecker, M. (2008). Proteomics of marine bacteria.
21 *Electrophoresis*, 29(12), 2603-2616.

- 1 Schweigert, F. J. (2007). Nutritional proteomics: Methods and concepts for research in
2 nutritional science. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 51(2), 99-107.
- 3 Scott, N. E., & Cordwell, S. J. (2009). *Campylobacter* proteomics: Guidelines,
4 challenges and future perspectives. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 6(1), 61-74.
- 5 Sheehan, D. (2007). The potential of proteomics for providing new insights into
6 environmental impacts on human health. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 22(3),
7 175-194.
- 8 Sheehan, D., Tyther, R., Dowling, V., & McDonagh, B. (2007). Redox proteomics - A
9 route to the identification of damaged proteins. *NATO Security through Science*
10 *Series C: Environmental Security*, 2095-308.
- 11 Smith, R. W., Salaberria, I., Cash, P., & Pärt, P. (2007). Considerations for proteomic
12 biomarkers in rainbow trout ecotoxicology. In *Multiple Stressors: A challenge for*
13 *the future*. C. Mothersill Eds Springer, 263-269.
- 14 Stewart, J. R., Gast, R. J., Fujioka, R. S., Solo-Gabriele, H. M., Meschke, J. S., Amaral-
15 Zettler, L. A., Del Castillo, E., Polz, M.F., Collier, T.K., Strom, M.S. Sinigalliano,
16 C.D., Moeller, P.D.R. & Holland, A.F (2008). The coastal environment and human
17 health: Microbial indicators, pathogens, sentinels and reservoirs. *Environmental*
18 *Health: A Global Access Science Source*, 7 (SUPPL. 2)
- 19 Tacon, A. G. J., & Metian, M. (2008). Aquaculture feed and food safety: The role of the
20 food and agriculture organization and the codex alimentarius. *Annals of the New*
21 *York Academy of Sciences*, 1140 (October), 50, 59.

- 1 Talent, J.M., Kong, Y. & Gracy, R.W.. (1998). A double stain for total and oxidized
2 proteins from two-dimensional fingerprints. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 263,(1), 31-
3 38.
- 4 Taylor, S. L. (2008). Molluscan shellfish allergy. *Advances in Food and Nutrition*
5 *Research* 54,139-168.
- 6 Thomas, T., Egan, S., Burg, D., Ng, C., Ting, L., & Cavicchioli, R. (2007). Integration
7 of genomics and proteomics into marine microbial ecology. *Marine Ecology*
8 *Progress Series*, 332, 291-299.
- 9 Tunsjø, H. S., Paulsen, S. M., Mikkelsen, H., L'Abée-Lund, T. M., Skjerve, E., &
10 Sørum, H. (2007). Adaptive response to environmental changes in the fish
11 pathogen *Moritella viscosa*. *Research in Microbiology*, 158(3), 244-250.
- 12 Viant, M. R. (2007). Metabolomics of aquatic organisms: The new 'omics' on the block.
13 *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 332, 301-306.
- 14 Viarengo A. (2006). Proceedings and abstracts of the 13th international symposium on
15 pollutant responses in marine organisms, Alessandria, Italy, 19-23 june 2005..
16 *Marine Environmental Research*, 62 Suppl, S1-456.
- 17 Wang, G., Kong, X., Wang, K., & Li, S.. (2007). Variation of specific proteins,
18 mitochondria and fatty acid composition in gill of *Scylla serrata* (crustacea,
19 decapoda) under low temperature adaptation. *Journal of Experimental Marine*
20 *Biology and Ecology*, 352(1), 129-138.
- 21 Wang, J., Li, D., Dangott, L. J., & Wu, G. (2006). Proteomics and its role in nutrition
22 research. *Journal of Nutrition*, 136(7), 1759-1762.

- 1 Wang, N., MacKenzie, L., De Souza, A. G., Zhong, H., Goss, G., & Li, L. (2007).
2 Proteome profile of cytosolic component of zebrafish liver generated by LC-ESI
3 MS/MS combined with trypsin digestion and microwave-assisted acid hydrolysis.
4 *Journal of Proteome Research*, 6(1), 263-272.
- 5
- 6 Wang, J., Wei, Y., Wang, D., Chan, L. L., & Dai, J. (2008). Proteomic study of the
7 effects of complex environmental stresses in the livers of goldfish (*Carassius*
8 *auratus*) that inhabit gaobeidian lake in Beijing, china. *Ecotoxicology*, 17(3), 213-
9 220.
- 10 Ward, D. G., Wei, W., Cheng, Y., Billingham, L. J., Martin, A., Johnson, P. J., Lyons,
11 B.P., Feist, S.W., Stentiford, G.D. (2006). Plasma proteome analysis reveals the
12 geographical origin and liver tumor status of dab (*Limanda limanda*) from UK
13 marine waters. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40(12), 4031-4036.
- 14 Wepf, A., Glatter, T., Schmidt, A., Aebersold, R., & Gstaiger, M. (2009). Quantitative
15 interaction proteomics using mass spectrometry. *Nature Methods*, 6(3), 203-205.
- 16 Wu, L., Lin, X., Wang, F., Ye, D., Xiao, X., Wang, S., & Peng X., (2006). OmpW and
17 OmpV are required for NaCl regulation in *Photobacterium damsela*. *Journal of*
18 *Proteome Research*, 5(9), 2250-2257.
- 19 Xue, Y., Li, A., Wang, L., Feng, H., & Yao, X. (2006). PPSP: Prediction of PK-specific
20 phosphorylation site with bayesian decision theory. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 7.

- 1 Yaktine, A. L., Nesheim, M. C., & James, C. A. (2008). Nutrient and contaminant
2 tradeoffs: Exchanging meat, poultry, or seafood for dietary protein. *Nutrition*
3 *Reviews*, 66(3), 113-122.
- 4 Yates, J. R., Ruse, C. I., & Nakorchevsky, A. (2009). *Proteomics by mass spectrometry:*
5 *Approaches, advances, and applications*
- 6 Yu, C., Lin, Y., Chiang, B., & Chow, L. (2003). Proteomics and immunological
7 analysis of a novel shrimp allergen, pen m 2. *Journal of Immunology*, 170(1), 445-
8 453.
- 9 Zanette, J., Almeida De Freitas, F., Zaccaron Da Silva, A., Moser, R., Alves De
10 Almeida, E., Marques, M. R. F., & Dias Bainy, C. (2008). Could handling and
11 acclimatization affect biomarker responses in oysters?. *Marine Environmental*
12 *Research*, 66(1), 172.
- 13 Zhang, X., Yap, Y., Wei, D., Chen, G., & Chen, F. (2008). Novel omics technologies in
14 nutrition research. *Biotechnology Advances*, 26(2), 169-176.
- 15 Zorita, I., Apraiz, I., Ortiz-Zarragoitia, M., Orbea, A., Cancio, I., Soto, M., Marigómez,
16 I. & Cajaraville, M.P. (2007). Assessment of biological effects of environmental
17 pollution along the NW mediterranean sea using mussels as sentinel organisms.
18 *Environmental Pollution*, 148(1), 236-250.

1
2

3
4
5

Figure 1: Proteomics strategies workflow

1

<u>MODIFICATION</u>	<u>ΔMASS (DALTONS)</u> <u>(AA)</u>	<u>SAMPLE ENRICHMENT</u> <u>METHODOLOGY OR</u> <u>COMMERCIAL</u> <u>REAGENT</u>	<u>DETECTION PROCEDURE BY 2-</u> <u>DE/WESTERN BLOT</u>	<u>MASS SPECTROMETRY (BOTTOM-UP</u> <u>APPROACH)</u>
PHOSPHORYLATION	+80 (S, T, Y)	IMAC, TiO ₂ , SIMAC, ZrO ₂ , PAC, anti-pY, -pS, pT Ion exchange chromatography	- Horizontal trail of spots (multiple pI variants) - Specific staining: ProQ Diamond™ - Labeling phosphopeptides with ³² P or ³³ P and autoradiography - Anti-pS, -pT, -pY	- look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 80Da -look for MS/MS signature ion of 216 Da pY and -79 or -63 Da for pT, pS or pY. -monitor neutral loss of H ₃ PO ₄ and MS ³ or MSA of the neutral loss (98, 49, 32.6 Da)
GLYCOSYLATION N-LINKED O-LINKED	>800 (N) 203, 800 (S,T)	- Affinity chromatography with lectins - HILIC	- Multiple pI variants spots - Specific staining: ProQ Emerald™	-detecting the respective oxonium ion m/z 163 (hexose), 204 (HexNAc), 292 (sialic acid), 366 (hexose-HexNAc)
OXIDATION	+16 (C)	Reduction, then alkylation or S-S reactivity “biotin switch”. Sulfenic acid reactivity with dimedone	Derivatization of carbonyl groups with DNPH prior to electrophoresis or into the membrane and immunoblotting with anti-DNP	-look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 16, 32, 48 Da - MS ³ neutral loss CH ₃ SOH (64, 32, 21.3 Da)
CARBONYLATION	+30 (P, R, K, T)	Biotin hydrazide labeling and avidin affinity chromatography	Derivatization of carbonyl groups with DNPH prior to electrophoresis or into the membrane and immunoblotting with anti-DNP	-MS ³ neutral loss CO (30, 15, 10 Da)
HYDROXYLATION	+16 (K, T, P, D)			-look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 16 Da
ACETYLATION	+42 (N-term, K, S)	Anti-acetyllysine		- look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 42Da
UBIQUITINATION	>1000	Pierce Ubiquitin Enrichment Kit™	2-DE separation and western blot with anti- ubiquitin	-look for a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of +114 Da (Gly-Gly)
METHYLATION	+14 (A, M, K, S, T, N)			-look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 14 Da
NITROSYLATION	+34 (C)	Reduction, then alkylation or S-S reactivity “biotin switch”	Western with anti-SNO	- look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 30Da - monitor neutral loss NO (30, 15, 10)
ACYLATION: FARNESYL MYRISTOYL	+204 +210	Fatty acyl-biotinyl-exchange labeling Immunoprecipitation		- look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion of + 204, 210, 238 Da - monitor neutral loss

PALMITOYL	+238 (C)			
SULFATION	+80 (Y)			-MS ³ neutral loss SO ₃ (80, 40, 26.6 Da)
XENOBIOTIC MODIFICATIONS	Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn, Se			look a characteristic mass shifts in parent and fragment ion according to the metal ion

Table 1. Overview of the workflow and of the classical proteomic strategies to evidence the main PTMs.

List of abbreviations: IMAC (Immobilized Metal Ion Affinity Chromatography), HILIC (Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography), PAC (phosphoramidate chemistry), DNPH (2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine), DNP (2,4-dinitrophenol), SIMAC (sequential elution from IMAC), Anti pS (anti-phosphoserine), Anti pT (anti-phosphothreonine), Anti Py (anti-phosphotyrosine), Anti SNO (Anti-S-Nitroso-Cysteine).

1

SUBJECT	ENVIRONMENTAL SEAFOOD PROTEOMICS APPLICATIONS TO EVALUATE CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS	REFERENCES
PATHOLOGY	Adaptive response of winter ulcer causative agent in farmed Atlantic salmon and cod.	<i>Tunsjø, Paulsen, Mikkelsen, L'Abée-Lund, Skjerve & Sørum, 2007</i>
	Environmental stressors effects over fish immune system	<i>Ripley, Iwanowicz, Blazer, & Foran, 2008</i>
	Mussel immune response changes	<i>Bussell, Gidman, Causton, Gwynn-Jones, Malham, K., Jones, Reynold, & Seed 2008</i>
	Dab liver tumor detection	<i>Ward, Wei, Cheng, , Billingham, Martin, A., Johnson, Lyons., Stentiford, 2006</i>
THERMAL AND OXYGEN FLUCTUATIONS	Hemocyanin binding changes in crayfish (only IEF)	<i>Powell, & Watts, 2006</i>
	Metabolic responses in juvenile cod	<i>Pérez-Casanova, Afonso, L., Johnson, Currie, & Gamperl, 2008</i>
	Baseline expression of HSPs in Mediterranean species	<i>Lejeusne, Pérez, Sarrazin, & Chevaldonné 2006</i>
	HSP functions and role : review	<i>Evgen'ev, Garbuz, & Zatsepina, 2005</i>
	Effects in growth performance and fecundity of cod and eelpout (HSP). Not proteomics	<i>Pörtner, Langenbuch, & Michaelidis, 2005</i>
	Myoglobin Expression levels influenced by hypoxia in carp. (microarrays)	<i>Fraser, De Mello, Ward, Rees, Williams, Fang, Gracey, & Cossins, , 2006</i>
	Oxidative proteomic stress and HSP in clams	<i>Dowling, Hoarau, Romeo, O'Halloran, Van Pelt, O'Brien, & Sheehan, , 2006</i>
	Oxidative stress in mussels	<i>McDonagh, & Sheehan, 2007</i>
	Redox proteomics in mussel	<i>McDonagh, & Sheehan 2006 a y b</i>
	Ubiquitination and carbonylation as oxidative stress indicators in clams	<i>Chora, McDonagh, Sheehan, Starita-Geribaldi, Roméo, & Bebianno 2008</i>
ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY	Proteomic of mud crab gill under low temperature adaptation	<i>Wang, Kong, Wang, & Li, 2007</i>
	Zebra fish model in assessment	<i>Scholz, Fischer, Gündel, Küster, Luckenbach, & Voelker, 2008.</i>
	Mussel as sentinel in pollution	<i>Zorita, Apraiz, Ortiz-Zarragoitia, Orbea, Cancio, Soto, Marigómez, & Cajaraville, 2007</i>

Heavy metals and stress effects in Atlantic salmon	<i>Salbu, Denbeigh, Smith, Heier, Teien, Rosseland, Oughton, Seymour, & Mothersill, 2008</i>
Goldfish liver adaptation to environmental stress	<i>Wang, Wei, Wang, Chan, & Dai, 2008</i>
Rainbow trout proteomic endocrine disruptors characterization as biomarkers	<i>Smith, Salaberria, Cash, & Pärt, 2007</i>
Pollutant responses in marine organisms (PRIMO 13) Symposium Abstracts	<i>Viarengo, 2006</i>
Pollutant responses in marine organisms (PRIMO 14) Symposium Abstracts	<i>Bainy,, 2008</i>
Marine pollution and proteomics	<i>Amelina, Apraiz, Sun, & Cristobal, 2007</i>
Proteomics signatures of pollution in mussel	<i>Apraiz, Mi, & Cristobal, 2006</i>

Table 2: Marine environmental proteomics applications