1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Running title: WINE BACTERIA PRODUCING VOLATILE PHENOLS

**Molecular screening of wine lactic acid bacteria degrading
hydroxycinnamic acids**

BLANCA DE LAS RIVAS, HÉCTOR RODRÍGUEZ, JOSÉ ANTONIO CURIEL, JOSÉ
MARÍA LANDETE, ROSARIO MUÑOZ*

Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales, CSIC, Juan
de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid

*Corresponding author:
Address: Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales, CSIC, Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006
Madrid, Spain. Phone.: +34 91 5622900; Fax: +34 91 5644853.
E-mail: rmunoz@ifi.csic.es

Abstract

The potential to produce volatile phenols from hydroxycinnamic acids was investigated for lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from Spanish grape must and wine. For the detection of LAB that potentially produce volatile phenols we developed a PCR assay. Synthetic degenerate oligonucleotides for the specific detection of the *pdc* gene encoding a phenolic acid decarboxylase were designed. The *pdc* PCR assay amplifies a 321 bp DNA fragment from phenolic acid decarboxylase. The *pdc* PCR method was applied to 85 strains belonging to the six main wine lactic acid bacteria species. *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus brevis*, and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* strains produce a positive response in the *pdc* PCR assay; whereas *Oenococcus oeni*, *Lactobacillus hilgardii*, and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* strains did not produce the expected PCR product. The production of vinyl and ethyl derivatives from hydroxycinnamic acids in culture media was determined by high performance liquid chromatography. A relation was found between *pdc* PCR amplification and volatile phenols production, so that the lactic acid bacteria strains that gave a positive *pdc* PCR response produce volatile phenols, whereas strains that did not produce a PCR amplicon neither produce volatile phenols. The proposed method could be useful for a preliminary identification of lactic acid bacteria strains able to produce volatile phenols in wine.

KEYWORDS: Wine; lactic acid bacteria; LAB; *pdc* gene; volatile phenols; hydroxycinnamic acids; PCR detection method

INTRODUCTION

Hydroxycinnamates are the major phenols in grape juice and the major class of phenolics in white wine (1). These acids are also the first to be oxidized and subsequently initiate browning, a problem in white wines. There are three common hydroxycinnamates in grapes and wine, *p*-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid, based on *p*-coumaric, caffeic, and ferulic acids, respectively. In grape berries the simple hydroxycinnamic acids noted above are not found. Instead these acids exist as esters of tartaric acid, *p*-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid, respectively. These substances are found in the flesh of the fruit, and thus are found in all grape juices and consequently in all wines. The naturally occurring esters are susceptible to hydrolysis, and this occurs in the aqueous acidic solution of wine, releasing the simple hydroxycinnamic acids (1).

In terms of wine sensory qualities, the hydroxycinnamates appear to have no perceptible bitterness or astringency at the levels found in wine. However, some of their derivatives (e.g. volatile phenols) greatly influence the aroma of wine. The most important molecules in this class are 4-vinylphenol and 4-ethylphenol originated from *p*-coumaric acid, and 4-vinylguaiacol and 4-ethylguaiacol originated from ferulic acid. In wine, these compounds are potential contributors to aroma, because of their low sensory thresholds and are responsible of characteristics of some wines such as “traminer” (2). Above certain levels, these compounds negatively affect wine quality, imparting animal, leather, and “horse sweat” odors.

The origin of volatile phenols involves the sequential action of two enzymes on a hydroxycinnamic acid (*p*-coumaric, caffeic or ferulic acid). It is generally assumed that, first hydroxycinnamate decarboxylase decarboxylates these hydroxycinnamic acids

into their vinyl derivatives, and then by a reductase they are reduced to ethyl derivatives.

Previous works described that only certain yeasts can form important concentrations of ethylphenols in the presence of hydroxycinnamic acids (3). The presence of ethylphenols in wine seems not to be linked to the incidence of malolactic fermentation (4); although certain bacteria may possess hydroxycinnamate decarboxylase activity, none is capable of forming significant quantities of ethylphenols in the wines (3, 5). The ability of wine lactic acid bacteria (LAB) to produce volatile phenols had been studied (3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9), and *L. plantarum* has been shown to synthesize an inducible phenolic acid decarboxylase (PDC), which decarboxylates *p*-coumaric, caffeic and ferulic acids into their vinyl derivatives (10, 11, 12, 13). In addition, van Beek and Priest (2000) (14), established the distribution of similar *pdc* genes in various strains of *Lactobacillus* isolated from whisky fermentations. Since no molecular detection methods have been applied to different wine LAB genera to detect their potential to produce volatile phenols, the aims of this study were i) to establish the presence of the gene encoding PDC in wine LAB, ii) to correlate the presence of the *pdc* gene to the production of volatile phenols in laboratory media, and iii) to propose the detection of the *pdc* gene in a LAB strain as a molecular method to determine its potential to produce volatile phenols in wine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. The strains analysed in this study are showed in **Table 1**. Most of these strains were isolated from grape must and wine samples at the Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales (15). These strains were formerly named

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“BIFI”, and later renamed as “RM”. By sequencing their 16S rDNA some of these strains were reclassified, e. g. *Oenococcus oeni* BIFI-28 that was currently classified as *Lactobacillus plantarum* RM28 (16), and *Lactobacillus buchneri* BIFI-77 that was reclassified as *Lactobacillus hilgardii* RM77 (17). Some of the strains that were not classified previously by biochemical methods could be classified by the sequencing of their 16S rDNA, such as *Lactobacillus* sp. BIFI-62, BIFI-63, BIFI-66, and BIFI-79, which were classified as *L. hilgardii* strains (17).

Pure cultures of LAB control strains were purchased from the Spanish Type Culture Collection (CECT). Strains of *Oenococcus oeni* were grown on medium for *Leuconostoc oenos* (MLO medium) (18) supplemented with 10% tomato juice. The other LAB tested were routinely grown in MRS broth (Difco, France). All bacteria were incubated at 30 °C in microaerophilic conditions.

Bacterial DNA extraction. Bacterial chromosomal DNA was isolated from overnight cultures using a protocol previously described (16). Briefly, LAB cells grown in liquid culture media were pelleted by centrifugation, and resuspended in TE solution (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 1 mM EDTA) (19) containing 10 mg/ml of lysozyme (Sigma, Germany). Cells were lysed by adding SDS (1%) and proteinase K (0.3 mg/ml). Crude DNA preparation was purified by performing two phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and one chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1) extractions. Chromosomal DNA was precipitated by adding two volumes of cold ethanol. Finally, the DNA precipitate was resuspended in an appropriate volume of TE solution to achieve, approximately, 1 mg/ml concentration.

PCR amplification of phenolic acid decarboxylase. The *pdc* gene encoding the phenolic acid decarboxylase was amplified by PCR using 10 ng of chromosomal DNA. PCR reactions were performed in 0.2 ml microcentrifuge tubes in a total volume of 25 μ l containing 1 μ l of template DNA (aprox. 10 ng), 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 1 U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, and containing 1 μ M of each primer. The PCR reactions were performed using the degenerate primers 49 (5'- GANAAYGGNTGGGARTAYGA) encoding the PDC sequence (D/E)NGWEYE, and primer 50 (5'-GGRTANGTNGCRTAYTTYT) encoding EKY(A/E)TYP, where R: G or A, Y: G, C, or A, and N: G, A, C, or T. These degenerate primers were based on well-conserved domains approximately 100 amino acids apart of the PDC proteins (**Figure 1**). The reactions were performed in a GeneAmp PCR System 2400 (Perkin Elmer, USA) using the following cycling parameters: initial 5 min denaturation at 94 °C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 50 °C for 30 sec and extension at 72 °C for 30 sec. The expected size of the amplicon was 321 bp. Fragments of the expected size were resolved on a 2% agarose gel.

Hydroxycinnamic acids degradation assay. Selected bacteria belonging to different species of wine LAB were cultivated in MRS broth. The selected *O. oeni* strain, *O. oeni* CECT 4100^T, was grown in MLO media. For the degradation assays, the media was supplemented with filter sterilized hydroxycinnamic acid to a 1mM final concentration. The inoculated media were incubated at 30 °C, in darkness, under microaerophilic conditions, without shaking, for 10 days. Incubated media with cells and without phenolic compound and incubated media without cells and with phenolic compounds were used as controls. From the supernatants, the phenolic products

obtained were extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The hydroxycinnamic acids assayed were *p*-coumaric acid (Sigma C9008), caffeic acid (Sigma C0625), or ferulic acid (Sigma F3500).

The hydroxycinnamic acids derivatives 4-vinylphenol (Lancaster L10902), 4-vinylguaicol (Lancaster A13194), 4-ethylphenol (Fluka 04700), 4-ethylcatechol (Lancaster A12048), 4-ethylguaiacol (Lancaster A12048), phloretic acid (Sigma H52406), hydrocaffeic acid (Lancaster A12069), and hydroferulic acid (Lancaster A12069) were used as standard for the identification of the degradation compounds.

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Diode Array Detector-Electrospray Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-DAD/ESI-MS) analysis. Samples were injected in a Thermo (Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) chromatograph as described previously (8). The identification of degradation compounds was carried out by comparing the retention times and spectral data of each peak with those of standards from commercial suppliers or by high-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector-electrospray mass spectrometry (HPLC-DAD/ESI-MS) as reported previously (8). The ESI parameters were as follows: drying gas (N₂) flow and temperature 19 l/min at 340 °C; nebulizer pressure, 40 psi; capillary voltage, 4000 V. The ESI was operated in negative mode, scanning from 100 to 3000 *m/z* using the following fragmentator voltage gradient: 100 V from 0 to 200 *m/z* and 200 V from 200 to 3000 *m/z*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1
2
3 169 **Presence of a phenolic acid decarboxylase encoding gene (*pdc*)**. Recently,
4
5 170 Couto et al. (2006) screened 35 strains of wine LAB for their ability to produce volatile
6
7 171 phenols in culture medium from the corresponding phenolic acids, *p*-coumaric and
8
9 172 ferulic acid (7). Since van Beek and Priest (2000) established the wide distribution of
10
11 173 the gene (*pdc*) encoding a phenolic acid decarboxylase (PDC) in various strains of
12
13 174 *Lactobacillus* isolated from whisky fermentations (14), the aim of this study was
14
15 175 determine the presence of the *pdc* gene in LAB strains isolated from wine and grape
16
17 176 must as well as in control collection strains. The 85 LAB strains analysed belonged to
18
19 177 the six most frequently isolated species from wine and must, such as *Oenococcus oeni*
20
21 178 (42 strains), *Lactobacillus plantarum* (12 strains), *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* (18
22
23 179 strains), *Lactobacillus hilgardii* (7 strains), *Lactobacillus brevis* (5 strains), and
24
25 180 *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (1 strain) (**Table 1**).

26
27 181 Previously, primers PDC 489F and PDC 813R, coding for NGWEY and VVPEF
28
29 182 respectively, based on the alignment of three decarboxylase genes (*pdc* from *L.*
30
31 183 *plantarum*, ferulate decarboxylase from *Bacillus pumilus*, and phenolic acid
32
33 184 decarboxylase from *Bacillus subtilis*) were designed by van Beek and Priest (2000)
34
35 185 (14). These primers amplified a *pdc* DNA region coding from amino acid residues 22 to
36
37 186 134. However, these primers are only one nucleotide sequence, and, taking into account
38
39 187 that amino acid residues could be determined by several codons, in this study new and
40
41 188 degenerate oligonucleotides primers were synthesized. To design primers to amplify the
42
43 189 *pdc* gene encoding the phenolic acid decarboxylase, sequences included in the database
44
45 190 from the recently sequenced LAB genomes were aligned. Two conserved domains were
46
47 191 selected to design the degenerate oligonucleotides 49 and 50 (**Figure 1**). These
48
49 192 oligonucleotides amplified a 321 bp DNA region encoding from amino acid 21 to 127.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

DNA extracted from the 85 LAB strains analyzed was used as template in PCR reactions using oligonucleotides 49 and 50. As showed in **Table 1**, all the strains belonging to the same species showed an identical PCR response. Strains belonging to the *L. brevis*, *L. plantarum*, and *P. pentosaceus* species gave a 321 bp amplicon on the PCR assay, suggesting the presence of the corresponding PDC protein (**Figure 2**). These results are in agreement with those obtained previously in relation to volatile phenols production by these LAB species (3, 5, 6, 7, 14).

However, *pdc* amplification was not obtained from strains belonging to *O. oeni*, *L. mesenteroides*, and *L. hilgardii* species (**Table 1, Figure 2**). The results obtained from *O. oeni* and *L. mesenteroides* strains were expected since in previous studies strains from these LAB species were not able to produce volatile phenols (5, 6, 7).

These results were in agreement with the information obtained from the complete genome sequences of representative strains from these LAB species. The genome sequence of *L. plantarum* WCFS1, *L. brevis* ATCC 367, and *P. pentosaceus* ATCC 25745 strains revealed the presence of a *pdc* gene copy. In addition, the genome sequence from *O. oeni* PSU-1 and *L. mesenteroides* subsp. *mesenteroides* ATCC 8293 strains, revealed the absence of a *pdc* gene copy on their complete genomes.

However, unexpected results were obtained with *L. hilgardii* strains. Previously, van Beek and Priest (2000) (14) in a *L. hilgardii* strain, isolated from malt whisky fermentation, amplified a fragment of the *pdc* gene copy and included its sequence on the databases (accession number AF257158). However, unexpectedly, this strain was unable to decarboxylate *p*-coumaric acid as well as ferulic acid. Later, Couto et al. (2006) (7) assayed eight *L. hilgardii* strains and none of them showed decarboxylation or activity. The absence of decarboxylase activity was in agreement with the absence of

a *pdh* gene copy as revealed in our study. The availability of the complete genome sequence from a *L. hilgardii* strain will help to solve this issue.

Degradation of hydroxycinnamic acids by wine LAB strains in culture

media. So far, the results obtained in this work seem to indicate that the molecular screening for the presence of a *pdh* gene copy could result in an adequate method to detect the potential production of volatile phenols by wine LAB. In order to ascertain this finding, one strain from each one of the species screened by the PCR assay was selected. Therefore, *L. brevis* CECT 4121^T, *L. hilgardii* CECT 4786^T, *L. plantarum* CECT 748^T, *L. mesenteroides* CECT 912^T, *O. oeni* CECT 4100^T, and *P. pentosaceus* CECT 4695^T strains, were selected for the degradation assay.

The ability to decarboxylate hydroxycinnamic acids has been mostly tested on *p*-coumaric and ferulic acid; however, it has been demonstrated that the PDC decarboxylase from *L. plantarum* is also able to decarboxylate caffeic acid, producing vinylcatechol (11, 13). Therefore, selected strains were grown in culture media containing one of the three hydroxycinnamic acids, *p*-coumaric, caffeic, or ferulic acids. **Figure 3** and **Table 2** showed the results obtained. As expected from the *pdh* PCR assay, the selected *L. hilgardii*, *L. mesenteroides*, and *O. oeni* strains were not able to decarboxylate any of the hydroxycinnamic acid assayed (**Figure 3**). These results confirm the results obtained by previous authors (6, 7) who described that strains belonging to these species did not decarboxylated hydroxycinnamic acids. However, *L. hilgardii* CECT 4786^T was able to reduce partially the *p*-coumaric acid present to produce phloretic acid.

As described previously, *L. plantarum* CECT 748^T strain decarboxylates the three hydroxycinnamic acids to their vinyl derivatives. Vinylphenol and vinylcatechol

242 were subsequently reduced to their ethyl derivatives, ethylphenol and ethylcatechol. The
243 chromatograms obtained from each hydroxycinnamic acid in *L. plantarum* showed the
244 presence of both derivatives simultaneously (**Figure 3, A, and B**). As described
245 previously, *L. plantarum* partially decarboxylates ferulic acid to vinylphenol (8, 9).
246 However, the vinylguaiacol produced from ferulic acid was not reduced to
247 ethylcatechol, and a partial reduction of ferulic acid to hydroferulic acid was observed
248 (**Figure 3, C**). This result was in disagreement with our previous results that indicated
249 that ethylguaiacol is produced from the reduction of vinylguaiacol (9); however, the
250 different behaviour observed could be due that, in both experiments, *L. plantarum*
251 cultures were grown in different culture conditions that might affect gene expression. It
252 have been reported that the presence of glucose in the media, as in the media used in
253 this work, could induce a carbon catabolite repression mechanism on the aromatic
254 degradative pathways in *Acinetobacter baylyi* (21). Therefore, the effects of different
255 culture conditions need be investigated to determine their influence on the *pdc* gene
256 expression. It has been previously described that *L. plantarum* PDC showed activity on
257 *p*-coumaric, caffeic and ferulic acids (9, 13, 22), and that *L. plantarum* displays acid
258 phenol reductase activity to produce the ethyl derivatives (22).

259 *L. brevis* and *P. pentosaceus* strains showed a similar metabolism; *p*-coumaric
260 and caffeic acids were completely decarboxylated and only their vinyl derivatives were
261 detected (**Fig. 3, A and B, and Table 2**); however, similarly to *L. plantarum* and
262 possibly due to a lower activity of the PDC on ferulic acid, the *L. brevis* and *P.*
263 *pentosaceus* cultures showed vinylguaiacol formation although undecarboxylated
264 ferulic acid still could be observed (**Fig. 3, C**). The observed results could indicate a
265 different growth rate, the presence of a less active reductase enzyme, or that the
266 reduction step was absent in both strains. Previously, Couto et al. (2006) found that the

reduction step was only found in the *Lactobacillus* genus, however in their study *L.*

brevis LMG7934 was not able to form 4-ethylphenol (7), similarly to the *L. brevis* type

strain assayed in this work.

In summary, an easy and fast PCR method to detect LAB possessing a phenol acid decarboxylase encoding gene was described. In this work, it was also demonstrated that the amplification of a *pdc* DNA fragment is an useful method to preliminarily identify the LAB strains able to produce volatile phenols in wine.

Acknowledgements

We thank I. Vaquero for his help with the PCR experiments. The technical assistance of M.V. Santamaría and A. Gómez is greatly appreciated.

References

1. Waterhouse, A. L. Wine phenolics. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **2002**, 957, 21-36.
2. Versini, G. Sull'aroma del vino "Traminer" aromatico o "Gewürztraminer". *Vignevini* **1985**, 57-65.
3. Chatonnet, P.; Dubourdieu, D.; Boidron, J.-N.; Pons, M. The origin of ethylphenols in wines. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1992**, 60, 165-178.
4. Di Stefano, R. Gli etil fénoli nei vini. *Vignevini* **1985**, 5, 35-38.
5. Chatonnet, P.; Dubourdieu, D.; Boidron, J. N. The influence of *Brettanomyces/Dekkera* sp. yeasts and lactic acid bacteria on the ethylphenol content of red wines. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1995**, 46, 463-468.

- 1
2
3 291 6. Cavin, J. F.; Andioc, V. ; Etievant, P. X.; Divies, C. Ability of wine lactic acid
4
5 292 bacteria to metabolize phenol carboxylic acids. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1993** 44, 76-
6
7 293 80.
8
9
10 294 7. Couto, J. A.; Campos, F. M.; Figueiredo, A. R.; How, T. A. Ability of lactic acid
11
12 295 bacteria to produce volatile phenols. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **2006**, 57, 166-171.
13
14
15 296 8. Rodríguez, H.; Landete, J. M.; de las Rivas, B; Muñoz, R. Metabolism of food
16
17 297 phenolic acids by *Lactobacillus plantarum* CECT 748^T. *Food Chem.* **2008**, 107,
18
19 298 1393-1398.
20
21
22 299 9. Landete, J. M.; Rodríguez, H.; de las Rivas, B.; Muñoz, R. High-added-value
23
24 300 antioxidants obtained from the degradation of wine phenolics by *Lactobacillus*
25
26 301 *plantarum*. *J. Food Prot.* **2007**, 70, 2670-2675.
27
28
29 302 10. Cavin, J. F.; Barthelmebs, L.; Guzzo, J.; van Beeumen, J.; Samyn, B.; Travers, J.
30
31 303 F.; Divies, C. Purification and characterization of an inducible *p*-coumaric acid
32
33 304 decarboxylase from *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **1997**, 147,
34
35 305 291-295.
36
37
38 306 11. Cavin, J. F.; Barthelmebs, L.; Diviès, C. Molecular characterization of an
39
40 307 inducible *p*-coumaric acid decarboxylase from *Lactobacillus plantarum*: gene
41
42 308 cloning, transcriptional analysis, overexpression in *Escherichia coli*,
43
44 309 purification, and characterization. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1997**, 63, 1939-
45
46 310 1944.
47
48
49 311 12. Barthelmebs, L.; Diviès, C.; Cavin, J. F. Expression in *Escherichia coli* of native
50
51 312 chimeric phenolic acid decarboxylases with modified enzymatic activities and
52
53 313 method for screening recombinant *E. coli* strains expressing the enzymes. *Appl.*
54
55 314 *Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, 67, 1063-1069.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 315 13. Rodríguez, H.; Landete, J. M.; Curiel, J. A.; de las Rivas, B.; Mancheño, J. M.;
4
5 316 Muñoz, R. Characterization of the *p*-coumaric acid decarboxylase from
6
7 317 *Lactobacillus plantarum* CECT 748^T. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, 56, 3068-
8
9 318 3072.
10
11
12 319 14. van Beek, S.; Priest, F. G. Decarboxylation of substituted cinnamic acids by
13
14 320 lactic acid bacteria isolated during malt whisky fermentation. *Appl. Environ.*
15
16 321 *Microbiol.* **2000**, 66, 5322-5328.
17
18
19 322 15. Moreno-Arribas, M. V.; Polo, M. C.; Jorganes, F.; Muñoz, R. Screening of
20
21 323 biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria isolated from grape must and
22
23 324 wine. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, 84, 117-123.
24
25
26 325 16. Vaquero, I.; Marcobal, A.; Muñoz, R. Tannase activity by lactic acid bacteria
27
28 326 isolated from grape must and wine. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, 96, 199-204.
29
30
31 327 17. Rodríguez, H.; de las Rivas, B.; Muñoz, R. Efficacy of *recA* gene sequence
32
33 328 analysis in the identification and discrimination of *Lactobacillus hilgardii* strains
34
35 329 isolated from stuck wine fermentations. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2007**, 115, 70-
36
37 330 78.
38
39
40 331 18. Caspritz, G.; Radler, F. Malolactic enzyme of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *J. Biol.*
41
42 332 *Chem.* **1983**, 258, 4907-4910.
43
44
45 333 19. Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E. F.; Maniatis, T. Molecular cloning: a laboratory
46
47 334 manual, 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor,
48
49 335 NY., **1989**.
50
51
52 336 20. Bartolomé, B.; Peña-Neira, A.; Gómez-Cordovés, C. Phenolics and related
53
54 337 substances in alcohol-free beers. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2000**, 210, 419-423.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 338 21. Fischer, R.; Bleichrodt, F. S.; Gerischer, U. C. Aromatic degradative pathways
4
5 339 in *Acinetobacter baylyi* underlie carbon catabolite repression. *Microbiology*
6
7
8 340 **2008**, 154, 3095-3103.
9
10 341 22. Barthelmebs, L.; Diviès, C.; Cavin, J. F. Knockout of the *p*-coumarate
11
12 342 decarboxylase gene from *Lactobacillus plantarum* reveals the existence of two
13
14
15 343 other inducible enzymatic activities involved in phenolic acid metabolism. *Appl.*
16
17 344 *Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, 66, 3368-3375.
18
19 345
20
21 346
22
23 347
24
25
26 348
27
28
29 349 **This work was supported by grants AGL2005-00470 and AGL2008-001052**
30
31 350 **(CICYT), FUN-C-FOOD Consolider 25506 (MEC), RM2008-00002 (INIA), and S-**
32
33 351 **0505/AGR/000153 (CAM). H. Rodríguez is a recipient of an I3P predoctoral**
34
35 352 **fellowship from the CSIC, J. A. Curiel and J. M. Landete are recipients of a**
36
37 353 **predoctoral and postdoctoral fellowships, respectively, from the MEC.**
38
39
40 354
41
42
43 355
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Comparison of amino acid sequences of PDC fragments from lactic acid bacteria. Multiple alignments were done using the program BioEdit after retrieval of sequences from the UniProtKB/trrEMBL database. The partial amino acid sequences are: *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 (LPL) (Q88RY7), *Lactobacillus fermentum* (LFE) (Q9KHI8), *Lactobacillus paracasei* (LPA) (Q9KHJ0), *Lactobacillus pentosus* (LPE) (Q9KHI9), *Lactobacillus crispatus* (LCR) (Q9KHJ1), *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *lactis* IL1403 (LLL) (Q9CEB3), *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *cremoris* MG1363 (LLC) (A2RN76), *Lactobacillus reuteri* 100-23 (LRE) (Q1UAS9), *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (PPE) (Q9F3X2), *Lactobacillus sakei* 23K (LSA) (Q38UX6), *Enterococcus faecium* DO (EFA) (Q3Y2T7), *Lactobacillus brevis* ATCC 367 (LBR) (Q03TU3), and *Lactobacillus hilgardii* (LHI) (Q9KHJ2). Asterisks indicated amino acid identity; dashed, gaps introduced to maximize similarities. The sequence encoded by oligonucleotides 49 and 50 is indicated in bold letters, and showed by an arrow.

Figure 2. PCR amplification of the *pdc* gene from wine lactic acid bacteria. Chromosomal DNA from the following strains was used for PCR amplification with oligonucleotides 49 and 50: (a) *L. plantarum* CECT 748^T; (b) *L. plantarum* RM28; (c) *L. plantarum* RM35; (d) *L. plantarum* RM72; (e) *L. mesenteroides* CECT 912^T; (f) *L. mesenteroides* RM54; (g) *P. pentosaceus* CECT 4695^T; (h) *L. hilgardii* CECT 4786^T; (i) *L. brevis* CECT 4121^T; (j) *L. brevis* RM84; (k) *O. oeni* CECT 4100^T; (l) *O. oeni* RM4; (m) *O. oeni* RM17; (n) *O. oeni* RM25; and (o) *O. oeni* RM46. Products were subject to agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. Left lane, 50-bp

380 molecular weight ladder. Numbers indicate some of the molecular sizes (in bp). The
381 amplicon size (321 bp) is indicated by an arrow.
382
383 **Figure 3.** HPLC chromatograms of the degradation of hydroxycinnamic acids by wine
384 LAB strains. Chromatograms of supernatants from *L. mesenteroides* CECT 912^T, *L.*
385 *brevis* CECT 4121^T, and *L. plantarum* CECT 748^T grown for 10 days in presence of *p*-
386 coumaric (A), caffeic (B), or ferulic acid (C). The HPLC chromatograms were recorded
387 at 280 nm. pCA, *p*-coumaric acid; CA, caffeic acid; FA, ferulic acid; VP, vinylphenol;
388 VC, vinylcatechol; EP, ethylphenol; EC, ethylcatechol; HFA, hydroferulic acid.

Table 1

Table 1. Presence of a *pdh* gene in the wine LAB strains studied as determined by the PCR assay

Species	Strain number	PCR
<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i>	CECT 4121 ^T (ATCC 14869 ^T), CECT 5354 (ATCC 367), CECT 4669 (ATCC 8287), CECT 216 (DSMZ 1268), RM84	+
<i>Lactobacillus hilgardii</i>	CECT 4786 ^T (ATCC 8290 ^T), RM42, RM62, RM63, RM66, RM77, RM79	-
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	CECT 748 ^T (ATCC 14917 ^T), RM28, RM31, RM34, RM35, RM38, RM39, RM40, RM41, RM71, RM72, RM73	+
<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i>	CECT 912 ^T (ATCC 19255 ^T), RM43, RM44, RM45, RM47, RM48, RM49, RM50, RM51, RM52, RM53, RM54, RM55, RM57, RM60, RM61, RM70, RM74	-
<i>Oenococcus oeni</i>	CECT 4100 ^T (ATCC 23279 ^T), CECT 218, CECT 4725, CECT 4721, CECT 4028 (DSMZ 20255), CECT 4029 (DSMZ 20257), CECT 4728, CECT 4758, RM1, RM2, RM3, RM4, RM5, RM6, RM7, RM8, RM9, RM10, RM11, RM12, RM13, RM14, RM15, RM16, RM17, RM18, RM19, RM20, RM21, RM22, RM23, RM24, RM25, RM26, RM27, RM29, RM46, RM69, RM80, RM81, RM82, RM83	-
<i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i>	CECT 4695 ^T (ATCC 33316 ^T)	+

^T, type strain

Table 2

Table 2. Degradation of hydroxycinnamic acids by wine lactic acid bacteria

	<i>p</i> CA	CA	FA
<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> CECT 4121 ¹	VP	VC	VG
<i>Lactobacillus hilgardii</i> CECT 4786 ^T	ND ¹	ND	ND
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> CECT 748 ^T	VP, EP	VC, EC	VG ²
<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> CECT 912 ^T	ND	ND	ND
<i>Oenococcus oeni</i> CECT 4100 ^T	ND	ND	ND
<i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i> CECT 4695 ^T	VP	VC	VG

*p*CA, *p*-coumaric acid; CA, caffeic acid; FA, ferulic acid; VP, 4-vinylphenol; VG, 4-vinylguaiacol; EP, 4-ethylphenol; VC, 4-vinylcatechol; EC, 4-ethylcatechol. ND, non degraded.

¹, phloretic acid was observed

², hydroferulic acid was observed

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3