1
2
3
4 **Gene organization of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region in**
5 ***Morganella morganii***
6
7
8

9 B. de las Rivas, A. Marcobal and R. Muñoz*

10
11
12
13 Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales, CSIC, Juan
14 de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain
15
16
17

18 RUNNING HEADLINE: *ORNITHINE DECARBOXYLASE IN M. MORGANII*
19
20
21

22 *Correspondence to: R. Muñoz, Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de
23 Fermentaciones Industriales, CSIC, C/ Juan de la Cierva, 3. 28006, Madrid, España.
24 Tel.: + 34-91-5622900; fax: + 34-91-5644853. (e-mail: rmunoz@ifi.csic.es)
25

ABSTRACT

Aims: The production of putrescine is a relevant property related to food quality and safety. *Morganella morganii* is responsible for putrescine production in fresh fish decomposition. The aim of this study was gain deeper insights into the genetic determinants for putrescine production in *M. morganii*.

Methods and Results: The 6972 bp DNA region showed the presence of three complete and two partial open reading frames all transcribed in the same direction. The second and third genes putatively coded for an ornithine decarboxylase (SpeF) and a putrescine-ornithine antiporter (PotE), respectively, and constituted an operon. The *speF* gene has been expressed in *E. coli* HT414, an ornithine decarboxylase defective mutant, resulting in ornithine decarboxylase activity. The genetic organization of the SpeF-PotE encoding region in *M. morganii* is different to that of *E. coli* and several *Salmonella* species.

Conclusions: The *speF* gene cloned from *M. morganii* encodes a functional ornithine decarboxylase involved in putrescine production. Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA showed that ornithine decarboxylase activity is not related to a specific phylogenetic tree branch in Enterobacteriaceae.

Significance and Impact of the study: The identification of the DNA region involved in putrescine production in *M. morganii* will allow additional research on their induction and regulation in order to minimize putrescine production in foods.

Keywords: Biogenic amines; Putrescine; Ornithine; Quality index; Enterobacteriaceae; *Morganella morganii*.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Biogenic amines in foods are of concern in relation to both food safety and food
3 spoilage since they have been implicated in food poisoning incidents, usually from the
4 consumption of fermented foods and, in addition, they have been reported as indicators
5 of a deterioration process and/or defective elaboration (Silla, 1996).

6 Biogenic amine production has been most extensively studied with respect to
7 histamine and tyramine, probably the two most important biogenic amines of bacterial
8 origin in food, due to their toxicological effects (Halász *et al.* 1994). Putrescine and
9 cadaverine are also investigated since they may potentiate the toxicity of the above
10 amines, and they even might serve as indicators of poor hygienic quality in some food
11 substrates (Bardócz, 1995). Biogenic amines do not usually represent any health hazard
12 to individuals unless large amounts are ingested, or the natural mechanism for the
13 catabolism of the amines is inhibited or genetically deficient. Typical symptoms may be
14 observed in certain individuals, and include nausea, sweating, headache and hyper- or
15 hypotension (Halász *et al.* 1994).

16 The usefulness of biogenic amines as a quality index will depend on the nature
17 of the product; results tend to be more satisfactory in fresh and heat-treated products
18 than in fermented products (Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004). Biogenic
19 amines have been used as quality indexes and indicators of unwanted microbial activity
20 in fish and meat products. A combination of putrescine and cadaverine has been
21 suggested as an index of acceptability in fresh meat, because their concentration
22 increase prior to spoilage and correlate well with the microbial load (Ruiz-Capillas and
23 Jiménez-Colmenero, 2004).

24 Biogenic amines are mainly formed by decarboxylation of the corresponding
25 amino acids by microorganisms through substrate-specific decarboxylase enzymes. The

1 occurrence and distribution of amino acid decarboxylase activity within different genera
2 and species of the Enterobacteriaceae, mainly isolated from fish, has been extensively
3 reported. The distribution of the decarboxylase of lysine, arginine, and ornithine differs
4 for the groups of Enterobacteriaceae, and this distribution generally characterized the
5 individual groups (Møller, 1954). Therefore, studies on decarboxylase activity
6 distribution have already provided some valuable chemotaxonomic information within
7 the Enterobacteriaceae family (Brenner, 1984). Out the 63 species of Enterobacteriaceae
8 analysed on the current edition of the Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology,
9 47.6% were positive for ornithine decarboxylase activity. Among these species,
10 *Morganella morganii* strains decarboxylate ornithine to produce putrescine. Putrescine-
11 producing *M. morganii* strains were prominent in decomposed seafood (Benner *et al.*
12 2004) and fish (Veciana-Nogués *et al.* 2004).

13 The purpose of the present work was to gain deeper insight into genetic
14 determinants for putrescine production by *M. morganii* given that the production of
15 putrescine is a relevant property related to food quality and safety. We reported here the
16 genetic characterization of a DNA region containing the gene coding for an ornithine
17 decarboxylase in *M. morganii* ATCC 25830. This gene has been expressed in an
18 ornithine decarboxylase defective mutant of *Escherichia coli* and biochemically
19 characterized.

21 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

23 **Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions**

24 *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 (CECT 173), type strain, was purchased from the Spanish
25 Type Culture Collection (CECT). *M. morganii* DSM 46262 and DSM 30117 (ATCC

8019) were purchased from the DSMZ collection. *E. coli* XL1-Blue MRF' [$\Delta(mcrA)183$
 $\Delta(mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr) 173$ *endA1 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac* [F' proAB
lacIqZAM15 Tn10 (Tet^r)] and *E. coli* XL0LR [$\Delta(mcrA)183$ $\Delta(mcrCB-hsdSMR-mrr) 173$
endA1 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 lac [F' proAB *lacI^qZAM15 Tn10 (Tet^r) Su⁻ λ'*] were
supplied with the ZAP Express Predigested Gigapack® Cloning kit (Stratagene) used in
the construction of a *M. morganii* DNA library. *E. coli* DH5 α F' [F' end A1 *hsdR17* (r_k^-
 m_k^+) *supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA(Nal^r) relA1* $\Delta(lacIZYA-argF)U169$ *deoR*
($\Phi 80dlac\Delta(lacZ)M15$; Promega] was used for all DNA manipulations. *E. coli* HT414
[*thr-1, fhuA21, lacY1, glnV44(AS), λ^- , $\Delta(speB-speA)97$, $\Delta(speC-glcB)63$, rplL9(L?), thi-*
1, hsdS1] was used for expression of ornithine decarboxylase since it has a deletion in
the ornithine decarboxylase gene (Tabor *et al.* 1981). This strain was generously
provided by the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center as CGSC strain # 6856. Plasmid pIN-
III(lpp^P-5)A3 is an expression vector carrying isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside
(IPTG)-inducible promoter used for the expression in *E. coli* (Inouye and Inouye, 1985).

M. morganii was routinely grown in nutrient agar or nutrient broth (Difco) at 37
°C with shaking. Putrescine production was detected by growing *M. morganii* in the
decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine (Difco). *E. coli* cells were incubated in Luria-
Bertani (LB) medium (Sambrook *et al.* 1989) for bacterial streak and glycerol stocks.
For bacterial cultures prior to phage attachment LB medium was supplemented with
maltose and magnesium for optimal lambda phage receptor expression on the surface of
the host cell. The medium used for agar plates and top agar for plaque formation was
NZY (Sambrook *et al.* 1989). When required ampicillin, tetracycline, kanamycin, and
streptomycin were added to the medium at 100, 12.5, 50, and 50 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, respectively.

DNA manipulations and hybridisation.

Chromosomal DNA and plasmid preparation were carried out as described elsewhere (Muñoz *et al.* 1999). Restriction endonuclease *Sau3A1* (Roche) was used according to the recommendations of the suppliers. Gel electrophoresis of plasmids, restriction fragments, and PCR products were carried out in agarose gels as described (Sambrook *et al.* 1989).

To construct the *M. morganii* DNA library, chromosomal DNA was partially digested with *Sau3AI* restriction enzyme and ligated to the ZAP Express® vector (Stratagene) digested with *BamHI*. The ZAP Express vector can accommodate inserts ranging from 0 to 12 kbp. The packaging and titering of the recombinant lambda phages, the amplification of the library, and the *in vivo* excision of the pBK-CMV phagemid vector from the ZAP Express vector, were performed according to the recommendations of the suppliers. The lambda plaques were screened by hybridisation to a digoxigenin-labelled probe and chemiluminescently detected by using the DIG High Prime DNA labelling and detection Starter Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The probe was a 1.4 kbp *M. morganii* DNA fragment previously PCR amplified by using the degenerate oligonucleotides 3 (5'-GTNTTYAAYGCNGAYAARACNTAYTTYGT) and 16 (5'-TACRCARAATACTCCNGGNGGRTANGG). Oligonucleotides 3 and 16 were based on alignments of bacterial ornithine decarboxylases sequences, and were designed to amplify genes coding for ornithine decarboxylases in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (Marcobal *et al.* 2005; De las Rivas *et al.* 2005). PCR amplifications were performed as previously described (Marcobal *et al.* 2005; De las Rivas *et al.* 2005).

DNA sequencing was carried out by using an Abi Prism 3700™ DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequence similarities searches were carried out using Basic

Local alignment search tool (BLAST) (Altschul *et al.* 1997) on the EMBL/GenBank databases. Computer promoter predictions carried out at the Internet site http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html. Signatures, pI/MW, etc. were analysed on EXPASY (<http://www.expasy.ch>) site and multiple alignments were done using CLUSTAL W on EBI site (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk>) after retrieval of sequences from GenBank and Swiss-Prot. Phylogenetic trees and RNA secondary structure predictions were carried out by the GeneBee program (<http://www.genebee.msu.su/genebee.html>).

RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from exponentially growing *M. morganii* ATCC 25630 cultures by the RNeasy Mini kit (Quiagen) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturers. The RNA integrity was checked by standard denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis. To remove any contaminating DNA, RNA was treated for 2 h at 37 °C with rDNase1 from the DNA-free kit (Ambion).

DNase-treated RNA was subjected to reverse transcription into cDNA with SuperScriptTM Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogene). For RT-PCR, ten percent of the total cDNA was then PCR amplified with *Taq* DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems) with three sets of primers designed to amplify internal regions of *speF* (primers 110 and 338) and *potE* (primers 195 and 340), and the region spanning the *speF-potE* gene junction (primers 114 and 339). The oligonucleotides primers used were: 110 (5'-CAACTGGGTACGTATGACGG), 114 (5'-GGTATACCATCCGTCAGTTATGTCAGG), 195 (5'-CGCTGGGTATCTGTATTGC), 338 (5'-CAGGATTTCAGCAGCAACTTACG), 339 (5'-CAAAGATAAGACAGTACCGAACACTG), and 340 (5'-CGGAGCAGGACATAATCATCAG). The PCR products were run on a 1% agarose

gel. As a control, PCR of DNase-treated RNA was performed with the same primers to check for any DNA contamination.

Heterologous expression of *speF* in *E. coli*

To clone the *Morganella morganii speF* gene in the absence of its own promoter, the gene was first PCR amplified from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 DNA by using *Pfu* DNA polymerase and oligonucleotides based in the nucleotide sequence previously determined. To amplify *speF* we used primer 294 (5'-ACTCTAGAGGGTATTAATAATGAAAAGTTATAAAATCGCTGTCAGC) and 229 (5'-CCCAAGCTTTTAACTAACTCAGTGTTTAATTAC) (the underlined sequences indicate restriction sites for *Xba*I in primer 294, and *Hind*III in 229). The amplified fragment was digested with *Xba*I and *Hind*III and ligated to the expression vector pIN-III(lpp^P-5)A3 previously digested with the same enzymes. The ligation mixture was introduced by transformation into the strain *E. coli* DH5αF'. The recombinant plasmid pURI5 obtained from *E. coli* DH5αF', was later used to transform the ornithine decarboxylase defective strain *E. coli* HT414.

Ornithine decarboxylase activity determination

Cell extracts for SpeF enzymatic assay were obtained from induced cultures. Briefly, *E. coli* HT414 cells harbouring the control or the recombinant plasmid pURI5 were grown in LB broth supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹) and streptomycin (50 µg ml⁻¹) at 37 °C to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6, the cultures were shifted to 30 °C, and gene expression was induced by adding IPTG to 0.05 mol l⁻¹ (final concentration). After 3 h of induction, samples of the cultures were harvested by centrifugation and the pelleted bacteria were resuspended in 0.05 mol l⁻¹ phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and

disrupted by sonication. The insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation (15,000 x g, 15 min), and the supernatants were used for enzyme assay.

The assay to determine ornithine decarboxylase activity was performed similarly to the tyrosine decarboxylase assay described previously (Moreno-Arribas and Lonvaud-Funel, 2001) in the presence of 0.0036 mol l⁻¹ ornithine and 0.4 mM PLP, the cofactor of both decarboxylase reactions, but in 0.050 mol l⁻¹ phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) instead of in 0.2 mol l⁻¹ sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0). The reaction was incubated at 37 °C during 1 h. After the incubation time, the putrescine formed in the reaction was derivatized, and fractionated and detected by thin-layer chromatography by the method described by García-Moruno *et al.* (2005).

RESULTS

Gene organization of the *speF* locus in *Morganella morganii* ATCC 25830

We used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that *Morganella morganii* ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain. As expected, a clear positive reaction was obtained (data not shown). In order to identify the gene responsible of this decarboxylase activity, we amplified an 1.4 kbp internal fragment of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene by using oligonucleotides 5 and 16 (De las Rivas *et al.* 2005). This fragment was sequenced and similarity searches showed that it contains an incomplete ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene (*speF*) sequence. In order to clone the complete *speF* gene a phage library of *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 genomic DNA was created. The screening of this library using the 1.4 kbp internal DNA fragment as a probe rendered two positive phages. A total of 6972 bp *M. morganii* DNA fragment was sequenced from pRIV5 and pRIV9 plasmids, derived from the positive phages (Fig. 1).

The sequence from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 was deposited in GenBank under the accession no. AM087552.

Sequence analysis of this DNA fragment showed the presence of three complete and two partial open reading frames (ORFs) all transcribed in the same direction (Fig. 1). Only one putative promoter was detectable upstream of the second ORF. Computer promoter predictions showed the sequence (nucleotide 1556) TTGAgg-15 pb-TATgAa (1582) that could function as a promoter and also predicted the putative transcription start site at 9 nucleotides after the –10 consensus sequence. Putative transcription terminators followed the stop codon of the first, third and fourth ORFs. The analysis of this nucleotide sequence suggested that the second and third genes are organized as a single operon.

The first ORF, incomplete, possibly codes for a lysyl-tRNA synthetase (or lysine-tRNA ligase) since the 118 amino acid overlapping is more than 90% identical to lysyl-tRNA synthetases from other Enterobacteriaceae (Table 1). The second ORF is predicted to encode a 78.5-kDa ornithine decarboxylase since the product of this gene is 76% identical to pyridoxal phosphate-dependent ornithine decarboxylases (SpeF) from several species of Enterobacteriaceae. The third ORF (1298 bp) was identified as the *potE* gene. It encodes a 432-amino acid residue, 45.7-kDa, protein showing 82.3% identity to putrescine-ornithine antiporters (PotE) of several *Salmonella* species. The 633 bp ORF downstream of *potE*, and separated by a putative transcription terminator, encodes a 22.8-kDa (210-amino acid residue) protein. The identity observed between this protein and TetR family transcriptional regulators from *Idiomarina loihiensis* and *Geobacter sulfurreducens* suggests that this ORF codes for a TetR protein (Table 1). Finally, homology searches carried out with the fifth and uncomplete ORF, ORF1 in

Table 1, only reveals similarity to hypothetical membrane proteins from *Fusobacterium nucleatum* subsp. *vincentii* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* subsp. *nucleatum* (Table 1).

In order to know if the genetic organization showed by *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 was shared by all *M. morganii* strains, several DNA amplification experiments spanning all the *speF* chromosomal region were performed in *M. morganii* DSM 46262 and DSM 30117 strains. DNA amplifications were done by PCR using oligonucleotides designed on the basis of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830. All the three *M. morganii* strains analysed amplified PCR fragments that were apparently identical among them (data not shown). In addition, the *speF* genes from *M. morganii* DSM 46262 and DSM 30117 were PCR amplified by using primers 294 and 229, were completely sequenced and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers AM397058 and AM397059, respectively. The results confirmed that the three *M. morganii* strains were nearly identical since only one nucleotide change was found in the nucleotide triplet coding for Leu-644, which was a CTG codon in *M. morganii* DSM 46262 and a TTG codon in *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 and DSM 30117 strains.

The *speF* and *potE* genes in *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 are cotranscribed.

As mentioned above, the sequence analysis of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region in *M. morganii* suggested that the *speF* and *potE* genes are organized as a single operon (Fig. 1). To confirm this hypothetical operonic organization, RT-PCR was carried out with mRNA prepared from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830. RT-PCR using primers 114 and 339 (Fig. 3) confirmed the cotranscription of *speF* and *potE* genes. As showed in Figure 3, when primers 110 and 338, 114 and 339, and 195 and 340 were used, the expected amplimers were obtained. However, PCR products were not observed with primers designed to amplify regions spanning *lysS-speF* or *potE-tetR*

gene junctions (data not shown). No PCR products were detected in control reactions that were designed to detect chromosomal DNA contamination. The transcriptional analysis results are consistent with the DNA sequence study.

Enzymatic analysis of the *speF* gene

The *speF* gene of *M. morganii* was expressed in *E. coli* following the strategy described in Materials and Methods section. The correct sequence and insertion of *speF* into recombinant plasmid pURI5 was verified by restriction analysis and DNA sequencing.

Cells extracts were prepared from *E. coli* HT414 harbouring the control and the recombinant plasmid pURI5 as described above. The extracts were used to detect the presence of hyperproduced proteins by SDS-PAGE analysis. None of the cell extracts analysed showed an apparent protein band of the expected size, 78.5 kDa (data not shown). Even not obvious protein hyperproduction was achieved, the supernatant of sonicated cell lysates prepared from *E. coli* HT414 harbouring the recombinant plasmid pURI5 was able to decarboxylate the ornithine present in the reaction to putrescine, whereas extracts prepared from control cells containing the vector plasmid alone did not. Fig. 4 showed a TLC analysis of the enzymatic reactions. Thus, we could prove experimentally that the *speF* gene encodes an ornithine decarboxylase.

Structure of the *speF-potE* region in Enterobacteriaceae

Since *M. morganii* SpeF and PotE proteins showed the highest identities scores to SpeF and PotE proteins from different *Salmonella* strains (Table 1), we revised the genetic organization of the *speF-potE* region on these strains. Fig. 1 showed that although *M. morganii* SpeF and PotE proteins possessed high identity to *Salmonella* proteins, they showed a different genetic organization on their encoding locus.

1 In the sequenced *Salmonella* strains, the genes encoding the two-component
2 regulatory system, KdpD and KdpE, that regulates the *kdp* operon which encodes a
3 high-affinity potassium translocating ATPase, are localized upstream *speF*.
4 Downstream *potE* was found a gene encoding a putative cytoplasmic protein, annotated
5 as possible 5'-nitroimidazole antibiotic resistance in *S. paratyphi*, and it was followed
6 by a phosphoglucomutase encoding gene (*pgm*) (Fig. 1). This common genetic
7 organization was not conserved in *E. coli*. A 51-amino acid residues hypothetical
8 protein was located immediately upstream *E. coli speF*. Moreover, the putative
9 cytoplasmic protein located downstream *potE* was absent in the *E. coli speF* region
10 (Fig. 1).

11 In order to know if the ability to decarboxylate ornithine was associated to a
12 specific branch in a Enterobacteriaceae evolutive tree, some of the members of this
13 family, from which ornithine decarboxylase activity was previously described, were
14 used to construct a phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences (Fig. 5).
15 As showed in Fig. 5, tree based on 16S rDNA shows that *Proteus* spp., *Morganella*
16 *morganii* and *Providencia alcalifaciens* formed a coherent clade which rooted other
17 Enterobacteriaceae. However, as showed in Fig. 5 the ability to decarboxylate ornithine
18 is not associated with a specific branch of the phylogenetic tree since putrescine-
19 producer strains could be found in all the tree branches.

21 DISCUSSION

22 The occurrence of relatively high levels of certain biogenic amines has been reported as
23 indicators of a deterioration process and/or defective elaboration. Benner et al. (Benner
24 et al. 2004) reported that *M. morganii* was responsible for putrescine production in
25 shrimp decomposed at 24 °C and 36 °C. Gingerich et al. (1999) reported that fresh

bluefish did not contain detectable levels of putrescine; however, putrescine levels increased with time, reaching a maximum level in *M. morganii*-inoculated samples stored at 10 °C. Typically, *Morganella morganii* (formerly, *Proteus morganii*) strains contains a strong ornithine decarboxylase activity (Møller, 1954). Since the gene responsible for putrescine production in this species has not been genetically characterized, we decided to elucidate it. Firstly, we used the decarboxylase broth Møller with ornithine to confirm that *Morganella morganii* ATCC 25830 was a putrescine producing strain as previously described (Gingerich *et al.* 1999). By using a previously described PCR method to detect putrescine producing gram-negative bacteria (De las Rivas *et al.* 2005), and by the construction of a genomic library, we have determined the sequence of a 6972 bp *M. morganii* DNA fragment containing *speF*, the ornithine decarboxylase encoding gene. Moreover, by expressing the *speF* gene in a *E. coli* ornithine decarboxylase mutant we proved experimentally that *speF* encodes a functional decarboxylase capable of producing putrescine from the amino acid L-ornithine.

The alignment of *M. morganii* SpeF to some SpeF proteins included in the databases showed an absolute conservation among amino acid residues involved in cofactor and substrate-binding domains (Fig. 2). Based on the three-dimensional structure of the *Lactobacillus* 30a ornithine decarboxylase (Momany *et al.* 1995b) the sequence ³¹⁸FDSA³²²W in *M. morganii* SpeF forms part of a β -strand and turns immediately behind the cofactor and is conserved among the decarboxylases. In *Lactobacillus* 30a, the phosphate of the PLP interacts with a cluster of hydroxyls from serine and threonine residues, as well as main-chain amide nitrogens. Charge stabilization of the PLP is provided by the dipole moment arising from helices plus the presence of H³⁵⁵ in the classic decarboxylase fingerprint sequence, SXHL. In *M.*

1 *morganii* SpeF, all these residue are conserved, with exception of the residue
2 corresponding to S¹⁹⁹ which is substitute by a threonine residue (T²⁰¹) able to provide
3 the hydroxyl group (Momany *et al.* 1995a). A critical amino acid residue that is also
4 conserved is H²²⁶ which is involved in cofactor binding and is a possible proton donor
5 in decarboxylases.

6 Kashiwagi *et al.* (1991) described that in *E. coli* the gene coding for PotE (*potE*)
7 together with the gene for ornithine decarboxylase (*speF*) constitutes an operon
8 (Kashiwagi *et al.* 1991). A similar organization occurs in *M. morganii*. PotE protein
9 encodes a putrescine-ornithine antiporter and, can catalyse both the uptake and
10 excretion of putrescine (Igarashi and Kashiwagi. 1999). PotE are proteins containing 12
11 transmembrane segments linked by hydrophilic segments of variable length with the N-
12 and C-termini located in the cytoplasm (Momany *et al.* 1995a; Igarashi and Kashiwagi.
13 1999). Site-directed mutagenesis in *E. coli* PotE was used to identify the amino acids
14 involved in the transport activity of PotE. Three glutamic acid residues located at the
15 cytoplasmic side of PotE, are involved in both, the uptake and the excretion of
16 putrescine (Kashiwagi *et al.* 1997). In *M. morganii* PotE, only E⁷⁶ and E²⁰⁶ residues are
17 conserved (data not shown).

18 Although *M. morganii* SpeF and PotE proteins showed the highest identities
19 scores to SpeF and PotE proteins from different *Salmonella* strains, they showed a
20 different genetic organization on their encoding locus. We assumed that Figure 1 might
21 reflects evolutionary relationship between these Enterobacteriaceae species.

22 Phylogenetic studies on Enterobacteriaceae and other prokaryotes have used rRNA
23 sequences. Figure 5 showed a phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences
24 and revealed that the ability to decarboxylate ornithine was not associated to a specific
25 branch in the Enterobacteriaceae evolutive tree. Several authors have suggested that

1 sometimes there is no correlation between 16S rRNA gene and protein-coding genes
2 when the latter is more dependent on selection pressure or had been horizontally
3 transferred across groups.

4 Figure 5 showed that *Proteus* spp., *M. morganii* and *Providencia alcalifaciens*
5 formed a coherent tree clade. The phylogenetic position of these species was identical in
6 the phylogenetic trees based on *gyrB*, RNA polymerase β -subunit (*rpoB*) and
7 translational initiation factor 2 (*infB*) sequences (Møller *et al.* 1997; Hedergaard *et al.*
8 1999). Until recently, the members of the *Morganella* were classified as *Proteus* and
9 were thus considering in the light of their membership in that genus rather than as a
10 separate group.

11 The obtained phylogenetic tree also confirms the close position between *E. coli*
12 and *S. enterica*, this relationship might justified the high similarity observed between
13 their *speF* regions (Fig. 1). Moreover, the relatively distant position of *M. morganii* is
14 coherent with a different genetic organization of the *speF* region.

15
16 In summary, the production of putrescine is a relevant property related to food
17 quality and safety. Since *M. morganii* is responsible for putrescine production in fresh
18 fish decomposition, we have elucidated the molecular basis for this property. We have
19 demonstrated that *M. morganii* ATCC25830 possess a gene that encodes a functional
20 decarboxylase capable of producing putrescine from the amino acid L-ornithine. We
21 have found that the genetic organization of the ornithine decarboxylase encoding region
22 in *M. morganii* is different to that of *E. coli* and several *Salmonella* species, according
23 to their relatively distant phylogenetic position. Additional and further research on
24 ornithine decarboxylase induction and regulation will help to improve the food safety

and/or quality of fish products in order to prevent putrescine formation and accumulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grant RM03-002 (INIA), AGL2005-00470 (CICYT), and S-0505/AGR/000153 (CAM). We thank E. Díaz and B. Galán for their help with the RNA experiments. We thank R. González and A.V. Carrascosa for their critical reading of the manuscript. The technical assistance of M. V. Santamaría and A. Gómez is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D. J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucl Acid Res* **25**, 3389-3402.
- Bardócz, S. (1995). Polyamines in food and their consequences for food quality and human health. *Trends Food Sci Technol* **6**, 341-346.
- Benner, R.A.Jr., Staruszkiewicz, W.F. and Otwell, W.S. (2004) Putrescine, cadaverine, and indole production by bacteria isolated from wild and aquacultured penaeid shrimp stored at 0, 12, 24, and 36 °C. *J Food Prot* **67**, 124-133.
- Brenner, D.J. (1984) *Enterobacteriaceae*. In *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, vol 1. ed. Krieg, N.R. and Holt, J.G. pp. 408-516. Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins.
- De las Rivas, B., Marcobal, A. and Muñoz, R (2005) Improved multiplex-PCR method for the simultaneous detection of food bacteria producing biogenic amines. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **244**, 367-372.

- 1 García-Moruno, E., Carrascosa, A. V. and Muñoz, R. (2005) A rapid and inexpensive
2 method for the determination of biogenic amines from bacterial cultures by thin-
3 layer chromatography. *J Food Prot* **68**, 625-629.
- 4 Gingerich, T. M., Lorca, T., Flick, G.J., Pierson, M. D. and McNair, H. M. (1999)
5 Biogenic amine survey and organoleptic change in fresh, stored, and
6 temperature-abused bluefish (*Pomatomus saltatrix*). *J Food Prot* **62**, 1033-1037.
- 7 Halász, A., Baráth, A., Simon-Sarkadi, L. and Holzapfel, W. (1994). Biogenic amines
8 and their production by microorganisms in food. *Trends Food Sci Technol* **5**, 42-
9 49.
- 10 Hedergaard, J., Steffensen, S. A., Norskov-Lauritsen, N. and Mortensen, K. K. (1999)
11 Identification of *Enterobacteriaceae* by partial sequencing of the gene encoding
12 translation initiation factor 2. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **49**, 1531-1538.
- 13 Igarashi, K. and Kashiwagi, K. (1999) Polyamine transport in bacteria and yeast.
14 *Biochem J* **344**, 633-642.
- 15 Inouye, S. and Inouye, M. (1985) Up-promoter mutations in the *lpp* gene of *Escherichia*
16 *coli*. *Nucl Acids Res* **13**, 3101-3110.
- 17 Kashiwagi, K., Suzuki, F., Furuchi, T., Kobayashi, H. and Igarashi, K. (1991)
18 Coexistence of the genes for putrescine transport protein and ornithine
19 decarboxylase at 16 min on *Escherichia coli* chromosome. *J Biol Chem* **266**,
20 20922-20927.
- 21 Marcobal, A., de las Rivas, B., Moreno-Arribas, M.V. and Muñoz, R. (2005) Multiplex
22 PCR method for the simultaneous detection of histamine-, tyramine-, and
23 putrescine-producing lactic acid bacteria in foods. *J Food Prot* **68**, 874-878.
- 24 Møller, V. (1954) Distribution of amino acid decarboxylases in *Enterobacteriaceae*.
25 *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* **35**, 259-277.

- 1 Mollet, C., Drancourt, M. and Raoult, D. (1997) *rpoB* sequence analysis as a novel basis
2 for bacterial identification. *Mol Microbiol* **26**, 1005-1011.
- 3 Momany, C., Ernst, S., Ghosh, R., Chang, N.L., and Hackert, M.L. (1995a)
4 Crystallographic structure of a PLP-dependent ornithine decarboxylase from
5 *Lactobacillus* 30a to 3.0 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol* **252**, 643-655.
- 6 Momany, C., Ghosh, R. and Hackert, M. L. (1995b) Structural motifs for pyridoxal-5'-
7 phosphate binding in decarboxylases: an analysis based on the crystal structure
8 of the *Lactobacillus* 30a ornithine decarboxylase. *Pro Sci* **4**, 849-854.
- 9 Moreno-Arribas, M. V. and Lonvaud-Funel, A. (2001) Purification and characterization
10 of tyrosine decarboxylase of *Lactobacillus brevis* IOEB 9809 isolated from
11 wine. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **195**, 103-107.
- 12 Muñoz, R., López, R., de Frutos, M. and García, E. (1999). First molecular
13 characterization of a uridine diphosphate galacturonate 4-epimerase: an enzyme
14 required for capsular biosynthesis in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* type 1. *Mol*
15 *Microbiol* **31**, 703-713.
- 16 Ruíz-Capillas, C. and Jiménez-Colmenero, F. (2004) Biogenic amines in meat and meat
17 products. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **44**, 489-499.
- 18 Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F. and Maniatis, T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory*
19 *Manual*, second ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor,
20 NY.
- 21 Silla, M. H. (1996) Biogenic amines: their importance in foods. *Int J Food Microbiol*
22 **29**, 213-231.
- 23 Tabor, H., White, C., Cohn, M. S. and Hafner, E. W. (1981) Streptomycin resistance
24 (*rpsL*) produces and absolute requirement for polyamines for growth of an

- 1 *Escherichia coli* strain unable to synthesize putrescine and spermidine [$\Delta(\textit{speA}$ -
2 *speB)* $\Delta\textit{speC}$]. *J Bacteriol* **147**, 702-704.
- 3 Veciana-Nogués, M.T., Bover-Cid, S., Mariné-Font, A. and Vidal-Carou, M.C. (2004)
4 Biogenic amine production by *Morganella morganii* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* in
5 tuna. *Eur Food Res Technol* **218**, 284-288.

Table 1
speF locus-encoded proteins: properties and similarities to proteins in the databases

Protein	Location in nucleotide sequence	Predicted protein (aa*/kDa)	Proposed function	Similar polypeptide (aa)	Database accession no.	Degree of identity (%)	Organism
LysS	< - 360	-	Lysyl-t-RNA synthetase	LysS (504)	NP_930765.1	93% (in a 118 aa overlap)	<i>Photorhabdus luminescens</i>
SpeF	1647-3815	722 / 78.5	Ornithine decarboxylase	LysS (505)	NP_670571.1	91% (in a 118 aa overlap)	<i>Yersinia pestis</i> KIM
				SpeF (732)	Q8ZQW6	76.7%	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> LT2
				SpeF (732)	Q57RN4	76.6%	<i>Salmonella enterica</i>
				SpeF (732)	Q5PCJ3	76.3%	<i>Salmonella paratyphi</i> A
PotE	3879-5177	432 / 45.7	Putrescine-ornithine antiporter	PotE (439)	Q8ZQW7	82.3%	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> LT2
				PotE (439)	Q57RN5	82.3%	<i>Salmonella enterica</i>
				PotE (439)	Q5PCJ2	82.3%	<i>Salmonella paratyphi</i> A
TetR	5329-5961	210 / 22.8	Transcriptional regulator	Hypothetical (162)	Q881C5	47.5%	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>
				TetR (208)	Q5R0E4	36.9%	<i>Idiomarina loihiensis</i>
				TetR (196)	Q74F39	35.6%	<i>Geobacter sulfurreducens</i>
ORF1	6046- >	-	Hypothetical membrane protein	FNV1001 (427)	ZP_00144255	29% (in a 260 aa overlap)	<i>Fusobacterium nucleatum</i>

*aa, amino acid residues

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1 Genetic organization of the *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 7-kbp DNA region containing the ornithine decarboxylase gene (*speF*). The sequence from *M. morganii* ATCC 25830 was deposited in GenBank under the accession no. AM087552. The *speF* region corresponding to *E. coli* ATCC 700928 (accession NC_004431, positions 762821-755849), *S. typhimurium* LT2 (accession NC_003197, positions 767224-760252), *S. enterica* (accession NC_006905, positions 809116-816088), and *S. paratyphi* ATCC 9159 (accession NC_006511, positions 2123320-2130292). Arrows indicate genes. Genes having putative identical functions are represented by identical shading. The localization of putative promoter (vertical bent arrow) and transcription terminator regions (ball and stick) are also indicated. The plasmids used in this study are also shown.

Fig. 2 Comparison of protein sequence SpeF from *M. morganii* (MMO), *E. coli* (ECO) (accession Q8FJV8), *S. typhimurium* (STY) (accession Q8ZQW6), *S. enterica* (SEN) (accession Q57RN4), and *S. paratyphi* (SPA) (accession Q5PCJ3). Clustal W program was used to compare predicted sequences. Residues involved in PLP-binding (▼) are typed in boldface and are underlined in the *M. Morganii* sequence. Asterisks indicated amino acid identity, and dashed, gaps introduced to maximize similarities.

Fig. 3. RT-PCR amplification with three sets of primers designed to amplify internal or intergenic regions: 0.45 kb internal *speF* region, primers 110 and 338 (lane 1); 0.62 kb *speF-potE* intergenic region, primers 114 and 339 (lane 2); 0.53 kb internal *potE* region, primers 195 and 340 (lane 3). Negative controls were conducted without reverse

transcriptase (lanes 4, 5, and 6, respectively). The 100-bp DNA Ladder was used as a molecular weight marker.

Fig. 4 TLC detection of putrescine produced by soluble cells extracts of IPTG-induced cultures of *E. coli* HT414 bearing recombinant plasmid pURI5. The putrescine produced during the enzymatic reactions was dansylated and separated on a precoated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plate. Lane 1, control putrescine standard solution; lane 2, reaction from *E. coli* HT414 bearing the control pIN-III(lpp^P-5)A3 plasmid; lane 3, reaction from *E. coli* HT414 bearing the recombinant pURI5 plasmid. The arrow indicates the putrescine produced.

Fig. 5 Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree obtained from 16S rRNA gene sequences and ornithine decarboxylase activity. The 16S rRNA sequences were obtained from GenBank and EMBL databases: *Citrobacter freundii* (accession no. M59291), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (AB004750), *Enterobacter cloacae* (AJ251469), *Escherichia coli* (X80725), *Hafnia alvei* (M59155), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (X87276), *Morganella morganii* (AJ301681), *Proteus mirabilis* (AJ301682), *Proteus vulgaris* (AJ301683), *Providencia alcalifaciens* (AJ301684), *Salmonella enterica* (AF332600), *Serratia ficaria* (AJ233428), *Serratia fonticola* (AJ233429), *Serratia marcescens* (AJ233431), *Serratia odorifera* (AJ233432), *Serratia plymuthica* (AJ233433), and *Serratia rubidaea* (AJ233436). The scale bar represents an estimated 5 base substitutions per 1000 nt positions. The ornithine decarboxylase data were obtained from the Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Brenner *et al.* 1984). The Møller media was used for the decarboxylase test and symbols represents: +, 90-100% of strains are positive; d, 26-75% positive; [-], 11-25% positive; -, 0-10% positive.

Figure 1

Figure 2

MMO	-MKMKSYKIAVSYDMSDYISTHRECVDILNTDFTDVAVIVISLDDIRDGKLDIEQHSFE	59
ECO	MNK*SEL*****RSCP*CF*****N*DKSNYI***A*IL*VN*VER****E*DATGYG	60
STY	---*SEL*****RHCP*CF*****NI*NVDESR*I***A**L*I***EH****E*DATGYG	57
SEN	---*SEL*****RHCP*CF*****NI*NVDESR*I***A**LSI***EH****E*DATGYG	57
SPA	---*SEL*****RHCP*CF*****NI*NVDESR*I***A**LSI***EH****E*DATGYG	57
MMO	QPVFAVISDHEVIPADVITRLTGVIDLNKKNKNGELYSKQLETAALKYEESSLPPFFGSMKK	119
ECO	I***IATENE*RV**EYLP*IS**FEHCESTRK*F*GR*****SH**TQ*R****RALVD	120
STY	I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD	117
SEN	I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD	117
SPA	I***VATH*EGRV*PEYLP*IS**FEY*ESRTAF*GR*****SH**TQLR****RALVD	117
MMO	YVEQGNsafDCPGHQGGEFFRRHPLGNQFAEYFGENLFRSDLCNADVSMGDLIIHEGAPC	179
ECO	**N*****A****V*****M*****A*****	180
STY	**N*****A****V*****T*****A*****	177
SEN	**N*****A****V*****T*****A*****	177
SPA	**N*****A****V*****T*****A*****	177
MMO	AAQQHAAKVFNADKTYFVLNG <u>TSS</u> SNKVVLNALLAPGDLVLFDRNN <u>H</u> KSNHHGALIQAGA	239
ECO	I*****T*****L****	240
STY	I*****T*****L****	237
SEN	I*****T*****L****	237
SPA	I*****T*****L****	237
MMO	IPVYLEAARNPFGFIGGIDAHCFEEDYLRSLIKEVAPERTGEKRPFR LAVIQLGTYDGTI	299
ECO	T*****T*****Y*****S***E**T***Q*AK*A*****	300
STY	T*****T*****Y*****E**S***Q*AR*A*****	297
SEN	T*****T*****Y*****E**S***Q*AR*A*****	297
SPA	T*****T*****Y*****RRS*****E**S***Q*AR*A*****	297
MMO	YNARQVVDKIGHLCDYIL <u>FDSA</u> WVGYEQFIPMMKDC <u>S</u> PLLELNENDPGILVTQSV <u>HK</u> QQ	359
ECO	*****A*****	360
STY	*****A*****	357
SEN	*****A*****	357
SPA	*****A*****	357
MMO	AGFSQTSQIHKKDSHIKQSRVYNHKRLNNAFMHAST <u>S</u> PFYPLFAALDVNAKMHEGKSG	419
ECO	*****Q***P***M*****I*****V**	420
STY	*****P***M*****V**	417
SEN	*****P***M*****V**	417
SPA	*****P***M*****V**	417
MMO	KRLWNDCVKTGIETRKLKSKCHIRPFIPETIDGRSWGDFETDVIANDLRFFNFVPGER	479
ECO	RNM*M***VN**NA***I*DN*Q*****V**LV**KP*QSY**AQ**V*****K*****H	480
STY	RNM*MD**VN**DA***I*EN*H*****V**LI**KP*QSY*SE**S*****H*****H	477
SEN	RNM*MD**VN**DA***I*EN*H*****V**LI**KP*QSY*SE**S*****H*****H	477
SPA	RNM*MD**VN**DA***I*EN*H*****V**LI**KP*QSY*SE**S*****H*****H	477

Fig.2 cont.

MMO	WHA FEGYEENQYFVDPCKLLLTTPGIDAKTGNYEAFGV PATILANYLRENNI IPEKCDLN	539
ECO	**S****A*****RN*E*****F*****G*****	540
STY	**A****A*H*****N*AS*E**DFGV*****F*****GVV*****	537
SEN	**A****A*H*****N*AS*E**DFGV*****F*****GVV*****	537
SPA	**A****A*H*****N*AS*E**DFGV*****F*****GVV*****	537
MMO	SILFLLTPAESMAKMQHLVAQIARFEQLLEQDAPLKEVLPSVYHAHEARYQGYTIRQLCQ	599
ECO	*****D***L*Q***LLV***K***A****A*****I*KQ**E**A***L*****	600
STY	*****D***L*Q***LL***K***A****A*****I*KQ*****A***L*****	597
SEN	*****D***L*Q***LL***K***A****A*****I*KQ*****AD**L*****	597
SPA	*****D***L*Q***LL***K***A****A*****I*KQ*****AD**L*****	597
MMO	EMHDMYVKFNQVLQKEMFRKSHFPQVSMLPQAANIAFVRGNAELVAVDQIEGRIAAEGA	659
ECO	****L*A*****E***R***N**E**Y*YL**EV***RLPDA*****	660
STY	****L*ARH*****K***N**E**Y*YL**EV***RLPEA*****	657
SEN	****L*ARH*****K***N**E**Y*YL**EV***RLPEA*****	657
SPA	****L*ARH*****K***N**E**Y*YL**EV***RLPEA*****	657
MMO	LPYP PGVLCVVPGEIWGGSVQQYFLALEEGINLFP GFSP ELQGVYIRCDEDGRQRAYGYV	719
ECO	*****A*LR**S*****L***A*****EEH-***KQVWC**	719
STY	*****LR**S*****L***A*****EEH-***KQVWC**	716
SEN	*****LR**S*****L***A*****EEH-***KQVWC**	716
SPA	*****LR**S*****L***A*****EEH-***KQVWC**	716
MMO	IKH-----	732
ECO	**PRDAQSTLLKGEKL	735
STY	**PRDAQRSLLKEEKL	732
SEN	**PRDAQRSLLKEEKL	732
SPA	**PRDAQRSLLKEEKL	732

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5