**CONSERVATION TILLAGE: SHORT AND LONG-TERM EFFECTS ON SOIL
CARBON FRACTIONS AND ENZYMATIC ACTIVITIES UNDER DRYLAND
MEDITERRANEAN CONDITIONS**

Sebastiana Melero^{*}, Rosa López-Garrido, José Manuel Murillo, Félix Moreno

1 Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS-CSIC). Avenida
Reina Mercedes 10.P.O.Box 1052, 41080 Sevilla, Spain.

Telephone number: +34 954624711

Fax: +34 954624002

E mail address: smelero@irnase.csic.es

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

Short- and long-term field experiments are necessary to provide important information about how soil carbon sequestration is affected by soil tillage systems; such systems can also be useful for developing sustainable crop production systems. In this study, we evaluated the short- and long-term effects of conservation tillage (CT) on soil organic carbon fractions and biological properties in a sandy clay loam soil. Both trials consisted of rainfed crop rotation systems (cereal-sunflower-legumes) located in semi-arid SW Spain. In both trials, results were compared to those obtained using traditional tillage (TT). Soil samples were taken during flowering and after harvesting of the pea crop and collected at three depths (0-5, 5-10 and 10-20 cm). The soil organic carbon fractions were measured by the determination of total organic carbon (TOC), active carbon (AC) and water-soluble carbon (WSC). Biological status was evaluated by the measurement of soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and enzymatic activities [dehydrogenase activity (DHA), *o*-diphenol oxidase activity (DphOx), and β -glucosidase activity (β -glu)].

The contents of AC and MBC in the long-term trial and contents of AC in the short-term trial were higher for CT than TT in the upper layer. Furthermore, DHA and β -glucosidase values in the July sampling were higher in the topsoil under conservation management in both trials (short- and long-term). The studied parameters decreased as depth increased for both tillage system (TT and CT) and in both trials with the exception of the DphOx values, which tended to be higher at deeper layers.

Values of DHA and β -glu presented high correlation coefficients (r from 0.338 to 0.751, $p \leq 0.01$) with AC, WSC and TOC values in the long-term trial. However, there was no correlation between either TOC or MBC and the other parameters in the short-

term trial. In general, only the stratification ratios of AC were higher in CT than in TT in both trials. The results of this study showed that AC content was the most sensible and reliable indicator for assessing the impact of different soil management on soil quality in the two experiments (short- and long-term).

Conservation management in dryland farming systems improved the quality of soil under our conditions, especially at the surface layers, by enhancing its storage of organic matter and its biological properties, mainly in the long-term.

Key-words: sustainable agriculture; tillage; soil active carbon; enzymatic activities; microbial biomass carbon.

1. Introduction

Long-term traditional tillage (TT) practices may result in significant losses of soil organic matter, thus inducing an increase in soil erosion and a loss of soil structure (Álvarez and Álvarez, 2000; Nachtergaele, et al., 2002). Consequently, agricultural practices that reduce soil degradation are needed to improve soil quality and agricultural sustainability. Conservation tillage (CT) planting with minimal soil disturbance combined with crop rotation protects the soil against degradation toward sustainability (Balota et al., 2004). CT and, in particular, no-tillage (NT) induce changes in the distribution of organic pools in the soil profile (Álvarez and Álvarez, 2000). In general, the long-term effects of soil management practices on the size and activity of the microbial biomass have been found to be closely related to changes in total soil organic matter content (Haynes and Beare 1996). In long-term field experiments, marked stratification of the total soil microbial biomass and its activity has been observed as a

1 consequence of the application of NT to previously tilled soils. In short-term field
2 experiments, it is often difficult to detect changes in soil organic matter following the
3 implementation of new management practices (Álvarez and Álvarez, 2000). The short-
4 term (≤ 10 years) effects of management on soil organic carbon (SOC) are complex and
5 vary with soil conditions such as soil texture, climate, cropping system and kind of crop
6 residue, as well as with the management itself (Paustian et al., 1997; Al-Kaisi et al.,
7 2005; Muñoz et al., 2007). NT practices generally increase the sequestration of soil
8 carbon (C), but this increase might not be apparent for approximately five to ten years
9 (West and Post, 2002; Franzluebbers and Arshad, 1996). However, Franzluebbers and
10 Arshad (1996) noted that there was little or no detectable increase in SOC content in the
11 first two to five years after implementing conservation tillage. Weil et al. (2003) found
12 active carbon (AC) to be a more sensitive indicator of soil management than TOC.

13 Soil microbial properties such as microbial biomass and soil enzymes, have been
14 used to predict soil biological status and the effects of farm management as it relates to
15 soil quality (Eivazi et al., 2003). Soil enzyme activities have also been used as
16 discriminatory indicators for a wide range of soil management practices (Eivazi et al.,
17 2003; De la Horra et al., 2003; Roldán et al., 2005; Melero et al., 2008 a,b). Researchers
18 have observed a marked stratification in total soil microbial biomass and its activity as a
19 consequence of the application of no-tillage to previously tilled soils in long-term
20 experiments (fourteen years) (Álvarez et al. 1995).

21 Although several studies have been published comparing the effects of different
22 tillage systems on soil biological properties (De la Horra et al., 2003; Balota et al.,
23 2004; Roldán et al., 2005), there is comparatively less information (short- and long-
24 term) on the soil biological status found in rainfed-agriculture under semi-arid
25 Mediterranean conservation agriculture systems. In Spain, dryland crops constitute a

much larger agricultural area than irrigated crops and are thus particularly economically important. Our objective was to study the evolution of the soil organic C fractions (total organic carbon, active carbon, and water-soluble carbon), microbial biomass carbon, and enzymatic activities (β -glucosidase, *o*-diphenol oxidase activity and dehydrogenase activity) in short- and long-term field experiments in which CT and TT were compared. We hypothesised that CT would have a positive effect on soil quality by increasing soil organic matter and enhancing soil microbial functionality, especially over the long-term. We also discussed these parameters as reliable indicators of change in soils with both long and short histories of conservation management.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Localization of the experimental area and tillage systems

Short- and long-term field trials using soil conservation management have been conducted on a sandy clay loam soil, Entisol (Xerofluvent, Soil Survey Staff, 1999), at the experimental farm at the ‘Institute of Natural Resources and Agrobiology at Seville (IRNAS-CSIC) (37° 17’ N, 6° 3’ W), located 13 km southwest of the city of Seville (Spain). The soil has a pH of around 7.8 (calcareous), and a clay content of about 22% (15% montmorillonite, 6% illite, and 4% caolinite). The climate of the zone is typically Mediterranean, with mild rainy winters (484 mm mean rainfall) and very hot and dry summers. The mean annual daily temperature is around 17° C, with maximum and minimum temperatures of 33.5 °C and 5.2 °C in July and January, respectively.

An area of about 2500 m² was selected for establishing the experimental plots in 1991. In autumn of that year, wheat was grown. After harvesting the wheat in June

1 1992, the area was divided into six plots of approximately 300 m² (22 m x 14 m) each in
2 a completely randomised experimental design (three replicates per treatment). In 2005,
3 a short-term experiment was established in the same area following the same
4 experimental design, but with 200 m² plots.

5 Two tillage treatments were compared: TT and CT. In both short- and long-term
6 trials, TT consisted of mouldboard ploughing (to a depth of 30 cm) after the straw of the
7 preceding crop had been burned. We should note here that straw burning has not
8 occurred since 2003, when it was banned by the local government. In the long-term
9 trial, CT was characterized by lack of mouldboard ploughing and a reduction in the
10 number of tillage operations (retaining only chiselling at a depth of 25-30 cm) as well as
11 by leaving the crop residues on the soil surface. CT in the short-term trial was
12 characterized by the absence of tillage (direct drilling) in which the residue is left on the
13 soil surface until it decays, except sunflower stalks, which were broken into smaller
14 pieces before the next crop was sown.

15 At the beginning of the long-term trial, a wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.)–sunflower
16 (*Helianthus annuus*, L.) crop rotation was established for both TT and CT. However, in
17 2005, a fodder pea crop (*Pisum arvense*, L.) was included in the rotation for both tillage
18 methods. Thus, from 2005 on, the annual crop rotation consisted of a basic cereal-
19 sunflower-legumes rotation for both trials and treatments.

20 The sunflower and fodder pea crops were not fertilized (as is traditional in this zone),
21 while wheat received deep fertilization with 400 kg ha⁻¹ of a complex fertilizer (15N–
22 15P₂O₅– 15K₂O) before sowing and a top dressing with 200 kg ha⁻¹ urea (46% N).
23 Since 2002, fertilization has been reduced to 100 kg ha⁻¹ (fertilizer complex) with no top
24 dressing fertilizer. Weeds are controlled by tillage in TT and by the application of pre-

emergence herbicides in CT, at a rate of 2 l ha⁻¹ trifluraline (18%) (sunflower) and 4 l ha⁻¹ glyphosate (18%) (wheat, fodder pea).

2.2 Sampling and soil chemical and biochemical analysis

In both short- and long-term field trials, soil sampling was carried out in March 2008 during the pea crop-growing period and in July 2008 after harvesting at three sites of each individual plot (a total of nine samples per treatment); soil was collected at three depths: 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm and 10-20 cm. The moist field soil was sieved (2 mm) and divided into two sub-samples. One was immediately stored at 4 °C in loosely tied plastic bags to ensure sufficient aeration and prevent moisture loss prior to assaying for microbiological and enzymatic activities. The other was air-dried for chemical analysis. Biochemical analyses were carried out within two weeks.

TOC was analysed by dichromate oxidation and titration with ferrous ammonium sulphate (Walkley and Black, 1934). WSC was determined in a 1/10 aqueous extract using a TOC-V-CSH/CSN analyser. AC was determined by oxidation with 0.2 M KMnO₄ in 1M CaCl₂ (pH 7.2) and non-reduced Mn⁷⁺ was colorimetrically determined at 550nm (Weil et al., 2003).

MBC content was determined by the chloroform fumigation-extraction method modified by Gregorich et al. (1990). *o*-Diphenol oxidase activity was measured following the procedure described by Perucci et al. (2000). Dehydrogenase activity was determined according to Trevors (1984), and β-glucosidase activity was measured as indicated by Eivazi and Tabatabai (1988).

Stratification ratios were calculated from soil properties (TOC, MBC and enzymatic activities) at 0-5 cm divided by those at a deeper layer (10-20 cm) (Franzluebbers, 2002).

For each microbiological analysis, three replicates per collected sample were done. Results were based on the oven-dried weight of the soil.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 11.0 for Windows, and the results were expressed as mean values. Significant differences between management systems (TT, CT) were shown by a Student's t-test at $p < 0.05$. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to assess the spatial variability of all parameters for each individual treatment. A correlation matrix of different properties was based on Pearson correlation coefficients ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$).

Data normality was tested prior to analysis; when necessary, variables were transformed logarithmically. If, after transformation, the data still did not have a normal distribution, we used non-parametric tests: the Mann-Whitney U test for comparison of mean values and the Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by ranks test for variance analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Soil TOC fractions to long- and short-term.

In the long-term trial, only AC and MBC mean values were statistically different between treatments at 0-5 cm depth for both sampling periods and at 5-10 cm depth in March (Table 1). AC and MBC mean values in March and TOC, AC, WSC and MBC values in July showed differences between different soil depths under CT, whereas only

WSC mean values showed significant differences between the different soil depths in soils under TT in both sampling periods (Table 1).

In the short-term trial, only AC mean values presented statistical differences between treatments (CT and TT) at a depth of 0-5 cm in both sampling periods (Table 2). Under conservation tillage, significant differences between different depths were found for AC in the March samples and for AC, WSC and MBC in the July samples (Table 2).

3.2 Enzymatic activities in long- and short-term trials

In the March samples during the long-term trial, no significant differences in enzymatic activity values were found between treatments, although the highest enzymatic activity was observed in soils under CT. In the July samples, significant differences were observed in DHA and β -glucosidase values between treatments at 0-5 cm depth (Table 3), with the highest values in soils under CT. Significant differences among the different depths were observed only for β -glucosidase activity in both soil management systems in March, while DHA and β -glucosidase activity showed significant differences between the different soil depths in soils under CT in the July samples (Table 3).

In the short-term, DphOx values were higher in soils under TT than in soils under CT in March and July samples (Table 4), but not at long-term. In July, DHA and β -glucosidase values showed significant differences between treatments (CT and TT) at 5-10 and 10-20 cm depth, showing the highest values in soils under TT (Table 4). In both sampling periods, significant differences among different depths were observed only for DHA values in both treatments and in DphOx values under TT (Table 4).

On the whole, we observed a decrease in the studied properties as depth increased (Tables 1 to 4) in both trials (long- and short-term) and in both treatments (TT and CT)

with the exception of DphOx. DphOx values tended to be higher in lower layers than in upper layers, with significant differences in the short-term trial and with the TT treatment (Tables 3 and 4).

3.3 Correlation coefficients among soil properties and stratification ratio values in the long- and short-term.

In the long-term trial, β -glucosidase and DHA were highly correlated with AC, WSC and TOC contents (r from 0.338 to 0.751, $p \leq 0.01$), as well as with each other ($r = 0.751$, $p \leq 0.01$). DphOx was found to be positively correlated with only AC ($r = 0.348$, $p < 0.05$) and MBC ($r = 0.624$, $p < 0.01$). In the short-term trial, lower correlations were found between both TOC and MBC and other properties, while AC and WSC contents were positive correlated with β -glucosidase and DHA activities. DphOx showed a negative correlation with AC, WSC, β -glucosidase and DHA (Table 5).

In general, stratification ratio values of the studied variables were greater in CT than in TT in both trials, although the differences were not always significant (Fig. 1). Only AC content showed significant differences in all trials (long- and short-term) and in both sampling periods (Fig. 1).

4. Discussion

The climatic conditions in southern Spain (mild winters, warm springs and high temperatures during summer) are the limiting factor for the accumulation of organic carbon in the top layer of soil. However, CT may limit mitigation of TOC losses due to an increase in C inputs through crop residues left on the soil surface.

1 The increase in TOC under CT in the long-term has been observed by other
2 researchers (De la Horra et al., 2003; Madejón et al., 2007; Melero et al., 2008 b). In
3 short-term studies, several authors have found an increase in TOC in the top layer when
4 using NT in the first three years of transition from TT to NT (McCarty et al. 1998;
5 Muñoz et al., 2007). However, Liang et al. (2007) reported that in the short-term (3-
6 year), NT tended to stratify TOC, but did not lead to a significant increase in TOC in
7 topsoil (0-5 cm) as compared to TT. Franzluebbers and Arshad (1996) also noted little
8 or no detectable increase in TOC content during the first two to five years, but a
9 significant increase often occurred five to ten years after converting from TT to CT. In
10 our experiments, we recorded a noticeable increase in TOC in the soil upper layer (0-5
11 cm depth) only under CT (compared to TT) in the long-term trial (1.1 fold in March and
12 1.4 fold in July). These increases were not found in the short-term trial. The highest
13 accumulation of crop residues in the soil occurred under NT could be because poor
14 residue-soil contact reduces the decomposition of structural plant constituents through
15 delayed colonisation by microorganisms degrading cellulose and hemicellulose (Roldán
16 et al., 2005). In general, these results suggest that CT is an effective soil management
17 technique for increasing sequestration of soil C, especially in the long-term.

18 Monitoring soil properties is a key point for the technical changes implied by
19 conservation tillage, since farmers have to adapt their practices to the new states of the
20 system. This requires the development of indicators characterizing this system; these
21 indicators cannot be the same ones used in conventional agriculture. Suitable indicators
22 of conservation tillage are required (Murillo et al., 2006). In our case, AC was the only
23 soil property that showed a significant increase in the topsoil in both trials, with a
24 significantly greater stratification ratio under conservation tillage than under traditional

tillage. The AC variable also showed a better correlation with the other studied variables (Table 5).

Thus, this study shows that under our experimental conditions, AC content is the most sensible and reliable indicator for assessing the impact of different soil management techniques on soil quality for both the short and long-term. Oyonarte et al. (2007) also proposed AC as a good indicator of the organic fraction in environmental monitoring programmes for arid environments. AC determination (Weil et al. 2003) is a relatively simple and promising method that could be adopted by soil test laboratories for use in routine soil analyses.

Microbial biomass may also represent a useful indicator of tillage-induced changes (Álvarez and Álvarez, 2000). The distribution of MBC may be related to the placement of crop residues. Álvarez et al. (1995) observed marked stratification in total soil microbial biomass and activity as a consequence of the application of NT to previously tilled soils in long-term experiments. Álvarez and Álvarez (2000) reported that total microbial biomass did not reflect the changes in the management of residues at 0-5 cm depth in the first crop cycle after implementing NT; therefore, total microbial biomass does not seem to be an early indicator of changes across soil management techniques. In contrast, Gupta et al. (1994) found higher values of microbial biomass in the first 5 cm of the soil profile under NT than under CT after one year of conservation management. Our results showed more MBC in the upper layers for soils under CT in the long-term trial, whereas the reported results in the short-term trial reflect those obtained by Álvarez and Álvarez (2000).

In general, in both trials (short and long-term), enzymatic activity (DHA and β -glucosidase) was found to be higher under CT than under TT. The same results have been observed by several other authors (Eivazi et al., 2003; De la Horra et al., 2003;

1 Roldán et al., 2005). Eivazi et al. (2003) reported that changes in enzyme activities in
2 the profiles of tilled and no-tilled plots may be a consequence of large relative changes
3 in the populations of aerobic and facultative anaerobic microorganisms. These changes
4 may be due to the fact that the biochemical environments of no-tilled soils are less
5 oxidative than those of soils under TT.

6 Moreover, the long-term effects of crop rotation could also have a positive influence
7 on the accumulation of organic matter in the upper layers in both tillage systems,
8 especially CT due to crop residues left on the surface (Magdoff and Weil, 2004). The
9 crop rotation effects (different exudates, organic components from root systems and
10 crop residues) also influence microbial activity (Balota et al., 2004). The high
11 concentration of residue and roots of previous crops in the surface soil under CT can
12 affect microbial activity. One of the benefits derived from conservation tillage may
13 occur due to the “rhizosphere effect”, which probably contributes significantly to higher
14 enzyme activity than TT (Balota et al., 2004).

15 In both short- and long-term trials, β -glucosidase was the soil enzymatic activity with
16 more pronounced statistical differences between depths under conservation tillage in
17 both sampling periods. The same finding has been observed by other authors as well
18 (De la Horra et al., 2003). This can be associated with a decrease in the easily
19 decomposable organic C contents (grass roots and top material) with depth under CT.
20 The accumulation of organic carbon in surface soils, as well as greater accumulation of
21 inorganic nutrients under no tillage, tends to increase enzyme activities, especially β -
22 glucosidase (De la Horra et al., 2003). This may be due to the fact that β -glucosidase is
23 closely involved in the C cycle and is related to the composition, transformation and
24 recycling of soil organic matter. In contrast, greater DphOx values were found at deeper
25 layers, which may be related to a greater proportion of less available, humified soil

organic matter present in deeper soil layers (Haynes, 1999). The *o*-diphenol oxidase is an oxidoreductase that catalyses the oxidation of phenolic compounds to quinines, participates in the formation of humic acids, and is an important measure of the soil microflora capacity to degrade recalcitrant organics (Perucci et al., 2000). In general, few differences were found in DphOx activity between tillage systems, which seems to indicate that DphOx is a poor indicator for soil quality in our experimental conditions. Some studies have found that seasonal changes affect soil microbial communities in agroecosystems (Schloter et al., 2003). In general, we observed greater MBC contents in the March sampling than in the July sampling in both long- and short-term trials, while DHA values showed the opposite trend. Schloter et al. (2003) found that the amount of microbial biomass in summer was reduced, which was closely related to the low water content and the high temperature in the topsoil. In addition, the DHA content was higher in July than March, which could be related to situational stress that strengthened the defence mechanisms of the microorganism population by increasing their activity (Gianfreda and Bollag, 1996). This shows the importance of taking into account the seasonal variation of biochemical parameters when these are used as indicators of soil responses to specific treatments.

5. Conclusion

In our study, conservation tillage promoted an accumulation of crop residues at soil upper layers, increasing the storage of organic matter and improving biological properties, especially in the long-term. Thus, conservation tillage may contribute to the long-term sustainability of agricultural ecosystems under dryland semi-arid Mediterranean conditions.

1 In both trials, active carbon content was an appropriate soil indicator of changes by
2 different soil tillage systems, showing a significant increase under conservation tillage.
3 Therefore, AC could be utilised as a sensible and early warning indicator for assessing
4 the impact of soil quality under conservation tillage, a key point to overcoming farmer
5 resistance to the establishment of these new conservation management techniques.

6 7 **Acknowledgements**

8 Authors want to thank to Commission Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnologia
9 (CICYT) through project (AGL2005- 2423 and AGL2008-00424/AGR), which allowed
10 this work to be carried out. S. Melero thanks to CSIC for her contract through program
11 “JAE-Doc” and R. López-Garrido thanks to Science and Technology Ministry for
12 funding her grant.

13 14 **References**

- 15 Al-Kaisi, M. M., Yin, X. H. and Licht, M. A., 2005. Soil carbon and nitrogen changes
16 as influenced by tillage and cropping systems in some Iowa soils. *Agric. Ecosyst*
17 *Environ.* 105, 635–647.
- 18 Álvarez, R., Díaz, R.A., Barbero, N., Santanatoglia, O.J., Blotta, L., 1995. Soil organic
19 carbon, microbial biomass and C-CO₂ production from three tillage systems. *Soil Till*
20 *Res.* 33, 17–28.
- 21 Álvarez, C.R., Álvarez, R., 2000. Short-term effects of tillage systems on active soil
22 microbial biomass. *Biol Fertil Soils.* 31, 157-161.
- 23 Balota, E. L., Kanashiro, M., Filho, A.C., Andrade, D.S., Dick, R.P., 2004. Soil enzyme
24 activities under long-term tillage and crop rotation systems in subtropical agro-
25 ecosystems. *Braz J. Microbiol.* 35, 300-306.

1 De la Horra, A.M., Conti, M.E., Palma, R. M., 2003. B-glucosidase and proteases
2 activities as affected by long-term management practices in a Tepic Argiudoll soil.
3 Comm. Soil. Sci. Plant Anal. 34, 2395-2404.

4 Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. Soil
5 Biol.Biochem. 20, 601-606.

6 Eivazi, F., Bayan, M.R., Schmidt, K., 2003. Select soil enzyme activities in the historic
7 sanborn field as affected by long-term cropping systems. Comm. Soil. Sci. Plant
8 Anal. 34, 2259-2275.

9 Franzluebbers, A. J. and Arshad, M. A., 1996. Soil organic matter pools during early
10 adoption of conservation tillage in northwestern Canada. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 60,
11 1422–1427.

12 Franzluebbers, A.J., 2002. Soil organic matter stratification ratio as an indicator of soil
13 quality. Soil Till. Res. 66, 95-106.

14 Gianfreda, L., Bollag, J.M., 1996. Influence of natural and anthropogenic factors on
15 enzyme activity in soil. In: Soil Biochemistry vol 9 (Stotzky G., Bollag J.M., eds).
16 Marcel Dekker, NY. pp. 123-193.

17 Gregorich, E.G., Wen, G., Voroney, R.P., Kachanoski, R.G., 1990. Calibration of rapid
18 direct chloroform extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil
19 Biol. Biochem. 22, 1009–1011.

20 Gupta, VVSR., Roper, MM., Kirkegaard, JA., Angus, JF., 1994. Changes in microbial
21 biomass and organic matter levels during the first year of modified tillage and
22 stubble management practices on a red earth. Aust. J Soil Res. 32:1339–1354.

23 Haynes, R.J., 1999. Size and activity of the soil microbial biomass under grass and
24 arable management. Biol Fertil Soils. 30, 210-216.

1 Haynes R.J, Beare M.H., 1996. Aggregation and organic matter storage in meso-
2 thermal, humid soils. In: Carter MR, Stewart BA (eds) Advances in soil science.
3 Structure and organic matter storage in agricultural soils. CRC Lewis, Boca Raton,
4 pp 213–262.

5 Liang, A.Z., Zhang, X.P., Fang, H.J., Yang, X.M., Drury, C.F., 2007. Short-term Effects
6 of Tillage Practices on Organic Carbon in Clay Loam Soil of Northeast China.
7 *Pedosphere*. 17(5), 619-623.

8 Madejón, E., Moreno, F., Murillo, J.M., Pelegrín, F., 2007. Soil biochemical response to
9 long-term conservation tillage under semi-arid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Till.*
10 *Res.* 94, 346-352.

11 Magdoff, F. Weil, R., 2004. Soil Organic Matter Management Strategies. In: Soil
12 Organic matter in sustainable agriculture. pp 45-65. Magdoff, F and Weil, R.R (eds).
13 CRC Press LLC. New York.

14 McCarty, G., WLyenko, N. and Starr, J. L., 1998. Short-term changes in soil carbon
15 and nitrogen pools during tillage management transition. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 62, 1
16 564–1571.

17 Melero, S., Madejón, E., Herencia, J.F., Ruiz, J.C., 2008a. Effect of Implementing
18 Organic Farming on Chemical and Biochemical Properties of an Irrigated Loam Soil.
19 *Agron. J.* 100, 136-144.

20 Melero, S., Vanderlinden, K., Ruiz, J.C., Madejón, E., 2008b. Long-term effect on soil
21 biochemical status of a Vertisol under conservation tillage system in semi-arid
22 Mediterranean conditions. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 44, 437-442.

23 Muñoz. A., López-Piñeiro, A., Ramírez, M., 2007. Soil quality attributes of
24 conservation management regimes in a semi-arid region of south western Spain. *Soil*
25 *Till. Res.* 95, 255-265.

1 Murillo, J.M., Moreno, F., Madejón, E., Girón, I., Pelegrín, F., 2006. Improving soil
2 surface properties: a driving force for conservation tillage under semi-arid
3 conditions. *Span. J. Agric. Res.* 4, 97-104.

4 Nachtergaele, F.O.F., G.W.J. Van Lynden, and N.H. Batjes., 2002. Soil and terrain
5 databases and their applications with special reference to physical soil degradation
6 and soil vulnerability to pollution in Central and Eastern Europe. *Sustainable Land
7 Manage.-Environ. Protection.* 35, 45–55.

8 Oyonarte, C., Mingorance, MD., Durante, P., Piñero, G., Barahona, E., 2007. Indicators
9 of change in the organic matter in arid soils. *Sci Total Environ.* 378, 133-137.

10 Paustian, K., Collins, H.P., Paul, E.A., 1997. Management controls in soil carbon. In:
11 Paul, E.A., Paustian, K., Elliott, E.T., Cole, C.V. (Eds.), *Soil Organic Matter in
12 Temperate Ecosystems: Long Term Experiments in North America.* CRC Press,
13 Boca Raton, FL, pp. 15–49.

14 Perucci, P., Casucci, C., Dumonet, D., 2000. An improved method to evaluate O-
15 diphenol oxidase activity of soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1927-1933.

16 Roldán, A., Salinas-García, J.R., Alguacil, M.M., Díaz, E., Caravaca, F., 2005. Changes
17 in soil enzyme activity, fertility, aggregation and C sequestration mediated by
18 conservation tillage practices and water regime in a maize field. *Appl Soil Ecol*, 30,
19 11-20.

20 Schlöter, M., Bach, H.J., Metz, S., Sehy, U., Munich, J.C., 2003. Influence of precision
21 farming on the microbial community structure and functions in nitrogen turnover.
22 *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 98, 295-304.

23 Soil Survey Staff., 1999. *Soil Taxonomy*, 2nd ed., USDA Ag. HBK. 437, Washington.

24 Trevors, J.T., 1984. Dehydrogenase activity in soil: a comparison between the INT and
25 TTC assay. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 16, 673–674.

- 1 Walkley, A., Black J.A., 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for
2 determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid
3 titration method. Soil Sci. 37, 29-38.
- 4 Weil, R.R., Islam, K. R., Stine, M.A., Gruver, J.B., Samson-Liebig, S.E., 2003.
5 Estimating active carbon for soil quality assessment: A simplified method for
6 laboratory and field use. Am J. Altern Agric. 18, 3-17.
- 7 West, T. O., Post, W. M., 2002. Soil organic carbon sequestration rates for crops with
8 reduced tillage and enhanced rotation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 66, 1 930–1 946.

Table 1.

Mean values $\pm$ standard errors of total organic carbon (TOC), active carbon (AC), water soluble carbon (WSC) and microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in soil under traditional tillage (TT) and conservation tillage (CT) in the long-term experiment. Results of a one way analysis of variance for each soil property (^a $p < 0.05$) at the different depths are also included.

Treatment		Depth (cm)			F (2,24)	^a P value
		0-5	5-10	10-20		
March 2008						
TOC(g kg ⁻¹)	TT	9.84±0.97	9.03±1.00	8.23±1.60	0.43	0.66
	CT	10.8±0.78	8.87±0.30	8.65±0.70	3.70	0.06
AC(mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	780±49.5	694±0.90	695±1.47	3.02	0.09
	CT	1680 [*] ±205	1039 [*] ±19.0	693±3.10	9.54	0.006 ^a
WSC(mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	60.4±5.20	50.7±1.60	42.4±0.01	8.24	0.01 ^a
	CT	82.9±24.0	65.6±8.15	46.6±3.20	1.51	0.27
MBC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	814±41.7	806±40.0	780±53.40	0.15	0.86
	CT	1058 [*] ±32.2	978 [*] ±52.5	879±25.1	5.44	0.03 ^a
July 2008						
TOC (g kg ⁻¹)	TT	9.30±0.56	9.26±0.35	8.08±0.91	1.12	0.38
	CT	12.7 [*] ±0.49	9.35±0.26	7.62±0.30	50.48	0.00 ^a
AC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	700±3.17	702±2.07	698±3.60	0.37	0.70
	CT	1380 [*] ±2.40	704±1.20	694±2.07	40192	0.00 ^a
WSC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	72.4±3.70	61±3.60	52.7±5.30	5.34	0.04 ^a
	CT	147±31.6	70.1±7.80	53.7±4.00	6.88	0.03 ^a
MBC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	406±27.0	387±41.4	325±36.8	1.42	0.31
	CT	654 [*] ±40.0	405±16.8	283±19.6	47.6	0.00 ^a

Differences between treatments are indicated by (*) ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2.

Mean values $\pm$ standard errors of total organic carbon (TOC), active carbon (AC), water soluble carbon (WSC) and microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in soil under traditional tillage (TT) and conservation tillage (CT) in the short-term experiment. Results of a one way analysis of variance for each soil property (^a $p < 0.05$) at the different depths are also included.

Treatment		Depth (cm)			F (2,24)	^a P value
		0-5	5-10	10-20		
March 2008						
TOC(g kg ⁻¹)	TT	9.4±0.78	9.6±0.33	9.22±0.45	1.93	0.20
	CT	9.98±0.50	9.54±1.50	9.53±0.20	0.07	0.92
AC(mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	705±2.70	692±7.80	644±37.0	2.12	0.17
	CT	1368*±2.90	700±1.04	696±0.90	42638	0.00 ^a
WSC(mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	56.9±2.11	56.3±3.31	51.06±0.95	1.90	0.20
	CT	81.1±11.2	62.6±7.55	56.8±1.38	2.61	0.13
MBC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	791±215	790±252	550±241	0.34	0.72
	CT	354±148	156±52.2	127±29.5	1.78	0.22
July 2008						
TOC (g kg ⁻¹)	TT	9.49±0.60	9.22±0.18	9.32*±0.26	0.13	0.88
	CT	9.46±0.58	8.10±0.38	7.72±0.39	3.91	0.08
AC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	704±1.20	704±1.20	702±2.10	0.80	0.49
	CT	1360*±4.10	696±2.40	692±3.20	13417	0.00 ^a
WSC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	77.2±5.60	58.0±2.40	58.5±0.90	9.43	0.01 ^a
	CT	83.1±2.20	62.8±6.60	50.8±3.50	13.15	0.006 ^a
MBC (mgkg ⁻¹)	TT	472±53.0	363±13.6	362±13.0	1.56	0.28
	CT	509±23.8	360±52.0	291±18.6	10.3	0.01 ^a

Differences between treatments are indicated by (*) ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3.

Mean values $\pm$ standard errors of enzymatic activities (dehydrogenase, diphenol oxidase, β -glucosidase), in soil under traditional tillage (TT) and conservation tillage (CT) in the long-term experiment. Results of a one way analysis of variance for each soil property (^a $p < 0.05$) at the different depths are also included.

Treatment		Depth (cm)			F(2,24)	*P value
		0-5	5-10	10-20		
March 2008						
DHA	TT	1.16±0.31	0.66±0.20	0.49±0.18	2.12	0.17
	CT	1.15±0.39	0.72±0.20	0.26±0.20	2.50	0.14
DphOx	TT	1.64±0.03	1.82±0.03	1.87±0.15	1.79	0.22
	CT	2.53±0.37	2.31±0.34	1.75±0.02	1.85	0.21
β-Glu	TT	140±18.8	84.2±11.0	55.6±11.0	4.94	0.04 ^a
	CT	169±19.5	108±13.0	98.8 [*] ±4.70	13.5	0.002 ^a
July 2008						
DHA	TT	2.41±0.43	1.79±0.41	1.24±0.46	1.78	0.24
	CT	4.32 [*] ±0.19	1.35±0.15	0.43±0.29	82.2	0.00 ^a
DphOx	TT	1.33±0.04	1.43±0.48	1.41±0.04	1.45	0.30
	CT	1.55±0.09	1.39±0.03	1.61±0.13	1.44	0.31
β-Glu	TT	122±24.6	115±19.0	84±18.60	0.92	0.44
	CT	236 [*] ±20.5	136±27.0	66±16.4	15.2	0.004 ^a

DHA: Dehydrogenase activity (mg TPF dwt kg⁻¹ h⁻¹); DphOx: Diphenol oxidase (mg catechol 10 min⁻¹ g⁻¹ dwt); β -Glu: β -glucosidase activity (mg p-nitrophenol kg⁻¹ dwt h⁻¹).

Differences between treatments are indicated by (*) ($p < 0.05$).

Table 4.

Mean values $\pm$ standard errors of enzymatic activities (dehydrogenase, diphenol oxidase, β -glucosidase), in soil under traditional tillage (TT) and conservation tillage (CT) in the short-term experiment. Results of a one way analysis of variance for each soil property (^a $p < 0.05$) at the different depths are also included.

Treatment		Depth (cm)			F(2,24)	^a P value
		0-5	5-10	10-20		
March 2008						
DHA	TT	1.16±0.30	0.46±0.20	0.22±0.10	5.07	0.03 ^a
	CT	1.43±0.55	0.40±0.14	0.09±0.04	4.55	0.04 ^a
DphOx	TT	1.97*±0.07	2.04±0.04	2.26*±0.07	5.64	0.02 ^a
	CT	1.73±0.05	2.00±0.10	1.98±0.06	4.05	0.05
β-Glu	TT	97.2±5.60	71.6±9.70	48.2±8.40	9.15	0.007 ^a
	CT	103±12.4	50.1±7.36	36.5±3.90	16.7	0.001 ^a
July 2008						
DHA	TT	2.17±0.26	1.42*±0.10	1.29*±0.13	6.88	0.03 ^a
	CT	2.36±0.28	0.86±0.10	0.36±0.10	32.6	0.001 ^a
DphOx	TT	1.74*±0.05	1.79±0.02	1.94±0.04	6.52	0.03 ^a
	CT	1.50±0.01	1.62±0.22	1.76±0.23	0.53	0.61
β-Glu	TT	81.6±4.60	83.7*±3.50	85.3*±6.20	0.143	0.87
	CT	106±11.0	55.2±4.80	40.2±2.80	23.5	0.001 ^a

DHA: Dehydrogenase activity (mg TPF dwt kg⁻¹ h⁻¹); DphOx: Diphenol oxidase (mg catechol 10 min⁻¹ g⁻¹ dwt); β -Glu: β -glucosidase activity (mg p-nitrophenol kg⁻¹ dwt h⁻¹).

Differences between treatments are indicated by (*) ($p < 0.05$).

Table 5.

Correlation coefficients between the different variables in the long-term and short-term experiments.

Long-term experiment							
	TOC	AC	WSC	MBC	DHA	DphOx	β -Glu
TOC	-	0.540**	0.539**	0.233	0.568**	0.056	0.648**
AC		-	0.625**	0.475**	0.338*	0.348*	0.648**
WSC			-	0.024	0.677**	-0.147	0.751**
MBC				-	-0.182	0.624**	0.152
DHA					-	-0.241	0.751**
DphOx						-	-0.039
β -Glu							-

n= 108

** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

* correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

TOC: total organic carbon; AC: active carbon; WSC: water soluble carbon; MBC: microbial biomass carbon; DHA: dehydrogenase activity; Dph Ox: Diphenol oxidase activity, β -Glu: glucosidase activity.

Short-term experiment							
	TOC	AC	WSC	MBC	DHA	DphOx	β -Glu
TOC	-	0.149	0.065	0.148	-0.023	0.271	0.169
AC		-	0.649**	-0.068	0.495**	-0.469**	0.565**
WSC			-	-0.190	0.481**	-0.343*	0.414**
MBC				-	-0.180	0.301	0.168
DHA					-	-0.499*	0.744**
DphOx						-	-0.349*
β -Glu							-

n= 108

** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

* correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

TOC: total organic carbon; AC: active carbon; WSC: water soluble carbon; MBC: microbial biomass carbon; DHA: dehydrogenase activity; Dph Ox: Diphenol oxidase activity, β -Glu: glucosidase activity.

Figure Legends.

Figure 1.

Stratification ratio values (0-5cm/10-20cm) for: total organic carbon (TOC), active carbon (AC), water soluble carbon (WSC), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), and soil enzymatic activities (DHA: dehydrogenase activity; DphOx: Diphenol oxidase activity, Glu: β -glucosidase activity) under traditional tillage (TT) (white bars) and conservation tillage (CT) (grey bars). Mean values $\pm$ standard errors. Significant difference between treatments is indicated with asterisk (*) ($p < 0.05$).

Figure