Adaptation of super-sweet maize to cold conditions: mutant $\times$ genotype interaction

B. ORDÁS, V. M. RODRÍGUEZ, M. C. ROMAY, R. A. MALVAR, A. ORDÁS
AND P. REVILLA*

Misión Biológica de Galicia (CSIC), Apartado 28, 36080 Pontevedra, Spain

(Revised MS received 16 December 2009; Accepted 27 January 2010; First published online 19 April 2010)

SUMMARY

Super-sweet maize (*shrunk2*, *sh2*) has a longer post-harvest life than standard sweetcorn (*sugary1*, *su1*), but is less well-adapted to cold conditions. The objective of the present work was to determine if the replacement of *su1* by *sh2* alters the combining abilities of sweetcorn inbreds for adaptation to early planting under cold conditions. Two diallel sets of *su1* and *sh2* near-isogenic inbred lines were evaluated in a cold chamber and by early field planting. For most of the traits related to adaptation, except silking date, there were significant mutant $\times$ genotype interactions and the estimates of general combining ability (GCA) of each version of the same inbred were different, probably due to epistasis. Therefore, to widen the genetic base of the super-sweet germplasm for adaptation, conversion of the earliest *su1* inbreds to *sh2*, ignoring other characteristics such as emergence or early vigour in early planting or cold tests, is proposed.

INTRODUCTION

Standard sweetcorn (*Zea mays* L.), grown in temperate areas, is homozygous for the mutant *sugary1* (*su1*) gene, while what is known as super-sweet maize is homozygous for *shrunk2* (*sh2*) (Tracy 1997, 2001). Super-sweet hybrids have a longer-post harvest life and, therefore, maintain their eating quality longer after harvest than standard sweet hybrids (Marshall & Tracy 2003). However, diverse studies have shown that super-sweet hybrids and inbreds have lower proportions of emergence and lower early seedling vigour, particularly in cold conditions, than standard sweet hybrids or inbreds (Douglass *et al.* 1993; Azanza *et al.* 1996; Hassell *et al.* 2003), but, because the mutants in these studies are not located in the same genetic background, the effects of the mutants and the genetic background are confounded. Young *et al.* (1997) and Zan & Brewbaker (1999), using isogenic lines and hybrids, have shown that the mutant *su1* have, effectively, a positive effect on emergence when compared to *sh2*, both under optimum conditions in the field and under cold conditions in a chamber. However, the conclusions of those two studies cannot be generalized to situations where seeds and seedlings

are suffering from cold in the field, given the low correlation between data from optimum and cold conditions or between data from cold tests and from field experiments (Waters & Blanchette 1983; Ordas *et al.* 2006), but see Ali *et al.* (2006). The genetic background also plays an important role in the emergence and early seedling vigour of super-sweet maize, as indicated by a successful breeding programme for improving these traits (Tracy & Juvik 1988).

In areas with cool and humid springs and relatively short summers such as, for instance, Atlantic Europe, Canada and some areas of the US Corn Belt, the cultivation of super-sweet maize hybrids is limited by their reduced emergence and poor early seedling vigour. Breeding standard sweetcorn for adaptation to early sowing or to cold conditions has been successful by using the same methods as for the other types of maize (Tracy 2001; Revilla *et al.* 2005).

With standard sweetcorn the works of Hotchkiss *et al.* (1997) and Revilla *et al.* (2005), demonstrating the existence of sources of adaptation to cold conditions, and the genetic study of Revilla *et al.* (2003), showing the significance of the general combining ability (GCA) for cold tolerance traits, indicate that favourable genes for adaptation are present in the genetic background of standard sweetcorn. Adapted standard sweetcorn could be useful for improving the adaptation of super-sweet maize if the genes that

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.
Email: previlla@mbg.cesga.es

confer adaptation when combined with *su1* are also favourable when combined with *sh2*. On the other hand, if the favourable genes for adaptation have different effects when combined with *su1* than when combined with *sh2*, the knowledge of the cold tolerance of the standard sweetcorn genotypes cannot be useful for improving super-sweet maize and the conversion of *su1* genotypes to *sh2* will give unpredictable results. As pointed out by Monneveux *et al.* (2008), the choice of parental lines is critical in the further development of tolerant hybrids. Therefore, the objective of the present work is, by means of near-isogenic inbred lines, to determine if the replacement of *su1* by *sh2* alters the combining abilities of sweetcorn inbreds for adaptation to planting under cold conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The near-isogenic set of *sugary1* and *shrunk2* inbred lines 101t, C23, C40, Ia453 and P39 (Soberalske & Andrew 1978) were used. The five *su1* and *sh2* inbreds were crossed in two independent diallel designs without reciprocals in 2004, in Pontevedra (42°24'N, 8°38'W, 20 m asl), northwestern Spain. The crosses of the two diallels were made in the same experimental field and the rows with the *su1* inbreds were placed adjacent to the rows with the *sh2* inbreds. The seed of the two diallels was harvested, cleaned and stored under the same conditions. To evaluate the adaptation to cold conditions of the genotypes, a cold chamber with controlled temperature conditions was used. In addition, the genotypes were evaluated in early sowings in the field.

The 20 m³ cold chamber had three shelves, 0.5 m apart. Conditions were set at 14 h with light (provided by seven very high output (VHO) fluorescent lamps with a photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) of 228 µmol/m²/s) at 14 °C, and 10 h without light at 8 °C. Both diallel sets (*su1* and *sh2*) were evaluated in two adjacent experiments, each experiment following a randomized complete block design with three replications (one replication on each shelf). In the growth chamber, the evaluation was carried out for a period of 30 days. Genotypes were planted in 21 litre trays filled with 12 litres of sterilized and watered peat (Gramoflor GmbH & Co. KG, Vechta, Germany). Sowing depth was 20 mm and seeds were planted in rows spaced 50 mm apart with 20 mm between seeds. All trays were watered again with 2.5 litres of water 20 days after planting, which was enough to keep the plants turgid. Adaptation to cold conditions was assessed by the proportion of emergence and an early seedling vigour score. The estimation of early seedling vigour takes into account size, colour and canopy development approximately 1 month after planting, using a scale from 1 (weak plants) to 9 (vigorous plants), where 5 represents the average plant devel-

opment of the experiment, following Revilla *et al.* (1999).

Planting of the hybrids and inbred parents in the field was made in two sites at Pontevedra. In each site, the *su1* and the *sh2* diallels were evaluated in adjacent experiments bordered by several rows of *su1* or *sh2* plants, depending on the mutant carried by the genotypes. This design was chosen because it allows for better separation of mutants and makes pollen contamination (which, given the recessive nature of the mutants, would affect some of the traits, particularly grain moisture) more difficult. The dates of planting were 12 and 18 May 2005, for the experiments in the first and second site, respectively. Prior to sowing, the field had pH=5.3 and 75 g organic matter/kg at the first site and pH=6.4 and 61 g organic matter/kg at the second site. During the 10 days following sowing, the first site received 198 litres of rain/m² with maximum temperatures of 17–20 °C and minimum temperatures of 6–12 °C, while the second site received 12 litres of rain/m², with maximum temperatures of 19–25 °C and minimum temperatures of 6–10 °C. The field experiments followed a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of two rows with 17 plants per row. Plants were spaced 0.21 m apart and rows were 0.80 m apart, corresponding to a density of c. 60 000 plants/ha. Hills were over-planted and thinned after emergence to achieve the desired plant density. The traits measured were: proportion of emergence, early seedling vigour (visual scale from 1 to 9, as previously explained), plant height, days to silking, grain moisture (g/kg), ear length, ear rows and ear visual appearance (visual scale from 1 to 9, where 1 was the score of the poorest ears, 5 was the score of the average ears in the trial and 9 was the score of excellent ears). The scores were assessed following the usual criteria of the fresh market as stated by Marshall & Tracy (2003).

Analyses of variance were performed for each trait using PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute 2005). Sources of variation included experiments, replications within experiments, mutants (*su1* and *sh2*), genotypes (the inbred lines 101t, C23, C40, Ia453 and P39) and the appropriate interactions. All sources of variation, except mutants, genotypes and their interaction, were considered random. When differences between hybrids were significant, general and specific combining ability (GCA and SCA, respectively) analyses were made according to Model I, Method 4 of Griffing (1956) for *su1* and *sh2* hybrids, separately. The analyses of the diallel designs followed the methods described by Zhang *et al.* (2005).

RESULTS

Super-sweet maize hybrids had, on average, 25% lower emergence than the standard sweetcorn hybrids

Table 1. Means $\pm$ S.E. of sugary1 and shrunken2 hybrids for several traits from two diallels of *su1* and *sh2* inbred lines of sweetcorn grown in a cold chamber and in early sowing at the field

	<i>sugary1</i> means	<i>shrunken2</i> means	Differences between <i>sugary1</i> hybrids*	Differences between <i>shrunken2</i> hybrids*	Interaction mutant $\times$ genotype*
Growth chamber					
Emergence	0.66 $\pm$ 0.036	0.42 $\pm$ 0.036	ns	ns	
Early seedling vigour (1–9)†	5.0 $\pm$ 0.58	4.2 $\pm$ 0.575	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Field					
Emergence	0.90 $\pm$ 0.047	0.65 $\pm$ 0.047	ns	<0.05	<0.05
Early seedling vigour (1–9)†	5.1 $\pm$ 0.17	3.8 $\pm$ 0.17	<0.05	ns	<0.05
Silking date (days)	75 $\pm$ 0.48	80 $\pm$ 0.48	<0.05	<0.05	ns
Moisture (g/kg)	250 $\pm$ 0.73	310 $\pm$ 0.80	ns	ns	

* ns, not significantly different from zero, according to an *F* test (for details see Materials and Methods).

† Visual scale for early seedling vigour from 1 (weak plants) to 9 (vigorous plants).

both in the laboratory cold test and in early field plantings (Table 1). Early seedling vigour was also negatively affected in the *sh2* hybrids compared to the *su1*, but not in the laboratory cold test. The *sh2* hybrids had higher kernel moisture at harvest.

There were significant differences between the standard sweetcorn hybrids and between the super-sweet maize hybrids in their early vigour evaluated in cold chamber and silking date (Table 1). However, there were no significant differences between the standard sweetcorn hybrids and between the super-sweet maize hybrids for emergence evaluated in the cold chamber and grain moisture. In the early planting, there were significant differences in emergence between *sh2* hybrids, but not between *su1* hybrids. The opposite was true for early seedling vigour. For these traits and also for early seedling vigour evaluated in the cold chamber, there was a significant mutant $\times$ genotype interaction (Table 1). The Spearman correlation coefficients (r_s) for these traits between the *su1* hybrids and the same hybrids converted to *sh2* were not significant ($0.03 < r_s < 0.31$ and $0.45 < P < 0.94$).

There was no significant mutant $\times$ genotype interaction for silking date (Table 1) and no significant correlation between the *su1* hybrids and the same hybrids converted to *sh2* ($r_s = 0.58$ and $P = 0.08$). For other traits not directly related to adaptation such as plant height, ear visual appearance, ear length and row number, there were no significant differences between the *su1* and the *sh2* hybrids and there was no mutant $\times$ genotype interaction (data not shown).

Analysis of the diallels for early vigour in the cold chamber showed that although GCA was significant in both diallels, the estimates of GCA of each version of the same inbred were different (Table 2).

The estimates of GCA for silking date of each version of the same inbred were relatively similar.

DISCUSSION

Emergence and early seedling vigour are affected by genetic factors, both at planting and during seed production (Tracy 2001). The present data confirm the negative effect of the *sh2* allele on germination and early seedling vigour in cold conditions compared to the *su1* allele (Zan & Brewbaker 1999). Because *sh2* confers longer eating quality after harvest than *su1*, other genes that increase the adaptation of the genotypes when they are in combination with *sh2*, without decreasing the longer eating quality after harvest of the super-sweet hybrids are of interest. There were significant differences in emergence, early seedling vigour and silking date between the standard sweetcorn hybrids and between the super-sweet maize hybrids when they were evaluated in the cold chamber or by early planting. Therefore, in the expression of these traits, genes other than *su1* and *sh2* might play an important role. However, genes other than *su1* and *sh2* do not seem to play an important role for grain moisture, at least in the inbreds used in the present study, given that significant differences between hybrids within each mutant were not detected.

In general, differences between hybrids depend on the GCA and SCA of the parental lines. In turn, GCA and SCA depend on the additive and dominance effects of the genes whose alleles varied between the parental lines of the hybrids and on epistatic effects. In both the *su1* and the *sh2* diallels, the genes that have different alleles in the parental lines of the hybrids are the same because of its isogenic nature. Thus, in the absence of epistasis or interactions

Table 2. GCAs $\pm$ s.d. for several traits related to adaptation evaluated in early planting and cold test for the parents of two diallels of *su1* and *sh2* inbred lines of sweetcorn

Proportion of emergence*		Early seedling vigour (1–9)*,†			Silking (days)	
Field trials		Cold tests		Field trials	Field trials	
Parent	<i>sh2</i>	<i>su1</i>	<i>sh2</i>	<i>su1</i>	<i>su1</i>	<i>sh2</i>
101t	0.08 $\pm$ 0.012	0.13 $\pm$ 0.113	–0.24 $\pm$ 0.137	0.29 $\pm$ 0.091	–2.06 $\pm$ 0.228	–0.53 $\pm$ 0.226
C23	0.07 $\pm$ 0.012	0.24 $\pm$ 0.113	–0.02 $\pm$ 0.137	–0.82 $\pm$ 0.091	1.67 $\pm$ 0.228	0.91 $\pm$ 0.226
C40	–0.1 $\pm$ 0.012	0.36 $\pm$ 0.113	0.76 $\pm$ 0.137	0.84 $\pm$ 0.091	–0.89 $\pm$ 0.228	–1.68 $\pm$ 0.226
I453	–0.0 $\pm$ 0.012	–0.09 $\pm$ 0.113	–0.36 $\pm$ 0.137	0.46 $\pm$ 0.091	1.28 $\pm$ 0.228	1.19 $\pm$ 0.226
P39	–0.04 $\pm$ 0.012	–0.64 $\pm$ 0.113	–0.13 $\pm$ 0.137	–0.77 $\pm$ 0.091	0.00 $\pm$ 0.228	0.10 $\pm$ 0.226
GCA‡	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.05	<i>P</i> < 0.05
SCA‡	<i>P</i> < 0.05	ns	ns	ns	<i>P</i> < 0.05	ns

* For those cases where the differences between hybrids were not significant, the GCA of the parents and the mean squares associated with GCA and SCA were not calculated because they also were not significant.

† Visual scale for early seedling vigour from 1 (weak plants) to 9 (vigorous plants).

‡ ns, not significant mean squares associated with GCA and SCA in the analysis of Model I, Method 4 of Griffing (1956).

during seed production, similar differences would be expected between hybrids, with similar mean squares associated with GCA and SCA, and similar GCA values of the parental lines in both diallels. In the absence of epistasis, a positive correlation would also be expected between the *su1* hybrids and the same hybrids converted to *sh2*, and no mutant $\times$ genotype interaction. In the present data, the differences between hybrids, the mutant $\times$ genotype interactions, the correlations between versions of the hybrids and the values of GCA suggest that epistatic interactions between the *su1* and *sh2* and other genes might be important for traits related to adaptation as emergence or early vigour. Zan & Brewbaker (1999) also found significant differences in emergence between super-sweet hybrids, but no significant differences between standard sweet hybrids in the analysis of two diallels with isogenic hybrids for *su1* and *sh2*. Revilla *et al.* (2000, 2006) found that the seed viability (a trait related to germination) of each mutant depends on the genetic background. From a practical point of view, the present results indicate that the knowledge of the cold tolerance of the standard sweetcorn genotypes cannot be useful for improving super-sweet maize and that the conversion of *su1* genotypes to *sh2* will give unpredictable results, independently of the adaptation of the *su1* genotypes.

The lack of significant mutant $\times$ genotype interaction in silking date suggests that epistasis of *su1* and *sh2* with other genes is not important. There is also a positive relationship between the *su1* hybrids and the same hybrids converted to *sh2*. Alonso Ferro *et al.* (2008) have also found that epistatic effects are not important for agronomic and quality traits in hard endosperm maize. Thus, the present data suggest the earliest *su1* hybrids tend also to be the earliest when

converted to *sh2*. Furthermore, because the estimates of GCA for silking date of each version of the same inbred were relatively similar, the knowledge of the GCA of the *su1* inbreds could be useful to improve the *sh2* inbreds. Early flowering genotypes have several advantages. It is possible to adapt the growing cycle of the plant to more favourable climatic conditions either during the earlier stages or during maturation, which is particularly critical in areas with cool and humid springs and relatively short summers. In addition, by reducing the days to silking, the moisture at harvest can be reduced (Ordás *et al.* 1996). This is advantageous because seed drying is costly and time-consuming (Tracy 2001). Finally, with earlier genotypes it is possible to spread the harvesting season to meet consumer demand.

The traits not directly related to adaptation (plant height, ear visual appearance, ear length and row number) are not expected to change when the *su1* inbreds are converted to *sh2* because there were no differences between the *su1* and the *sh2* hybrids and there was no mutant $\times$ genotype interaction.

The main conclusion from the present work is that, for most of the traits related to adaptation, the estimates of GCA of each version of the same inbred were different, probably due to epistasis, making the knowledge of the GCA of the *su1* inbreds of no use for improving the *sh2* inbreds. However, for silking date, the estimates of GCA of each version of the same inbred were similar. Therefore, to widen the genetic base of the super-sweet germplasm for adaptation, it is proposed to convert the earliest *su1* inbreds to *sh2*; the choice of *su1* inbreds to convert could be made without consideration of other characteristics such as emergence or early vigour in laboratory cold tests or early field plantings.

REFERENCES

- ALI, M. G., NAYLOR, R. E. L. & MATTHEWS, S. (2006). Distinguishing the effects of genotype and seed physiological age on low temperature tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Experimental Agriculture* **42**, 337–349.
- ALONSO FERRO, R. C., MALVAR, R. A., REVILLA, P., ORDAS, A., CASTRO, P. & MORENO-GONZALEZ, J. (2008). Genetics of quality and agronomic traits in hard endosperm maize. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **146**, 551–560.
- AZANZA, F., BAR-ZUR, A. & JUVIK, J. A. (1996). Variation in sweet corn kernel characteristics associated with stand establishment and eating quality. *Euphytica* **87**, 7–18.
- DOUGLASS, S. K., JUVIK, J. A. & SPLITTSTOESSER, W. E. (1993). Sweet corn seedling emergence and variation in kernel carbohydrate reserves. *Seed Science and Technology* **21**, 433–445.
- GRIFFING, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences* **9**, 463–493.
- HASSELL, R. L., DFAULT, R. J. & PHILLIPS, T. L. (2003). Low-temperature germination response of su, se, and sh2 sweet corn cultivars. *HortTechnology* **13**, 136–141.
- HOTCHKISS, J. R., REVILLA, P. & TRACY, W. F. (1997). Variation of cold tolerance among open-pollinated sweet corn cultivars. *HortScience* **32**, 719–723.
- MARSHALL, S. W. & TRACY, W. F. (2003). Sweet corn. In *Corn: Chemistry and Technology* (Eds P. J. White & L. A. Johnson), pp. 537–569. St. Paul, MN: American Association of Cereal Chemists.
- MONNEVEUX, P., SANCHEZ, C. & TIESSEN, A. (2008). Future progress in drought tolerance in maize needs new secondary traits and cross combinations. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **146**, 287–300.
- ORDAS, A., SANTIAGO, I., MALVAR, R. A. & VALES, M. I. (1996). Six cycles of selection for adaptation in two exotic populations of maize. *Euphytica* **92**, 241–247.
- ORDAS, B., PADILLA, G., MALVAR, R. A., ORDAS, A., RODRIGUEZ, V. M. & REVILLA, P. (2006). Cold tolerance improvement of sugary enhancer1 hybrids of sweet corn. *Maydica* **51**, 567–574.
- REVILLA, P., BUTRON, A., MALVAR, R. A. & ORDAS, A. (1999). Relationships among kernel weight, early vigor, and growth in maize. *Crop Science* **39**, 654–658.
- REVILLA, P., MALVAR, R. A., ABUIN, M. C., ORDAS, B., SOENGAS, P. & ORDAS, A. (2000). Genetic background effect on germination of *su1* maize and viability of the *su1* allele. *Maydica* **45**, 109–111.
- REVILLA, P., HOTCHKISS, J. R. & TRACY, W. F. (2003). Cold tolerance evaluation in a diallel among open-pollinated sweet corn cultivars. *HortScience* **38**, 88–91.
- REVILLA, P., BUTRON, A., CARTEA, M. E., MALVAR, R. A. & ORDAS, A. (2005). Breeding for cold tolerance. In *Abiotic Stresses. Plant Resistance through Breeding and Molecular Approaches* (Eds M. Ashraf & P. J. C. Harris), pp. 301–398. New York: The Haworth Press.
- REVILLA, P., MALVAR, R. A., RODRIGUEZ, V. M., BUTRON, A., ORDAS, B. & ORDAS, A. (2006). Variation of sugary1 and shrunken2 gene frequency in different maize genetic backgrounds. *Plant Breeding* **125**, 478–481.
- SAS Institute (2005). *The SAS System*. SAS Online Doc. HTML Format. Version eight. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- SOBERALSKE, R. M. & ANDREW, R. H. (1978). Gene effects on kernel moisture and sugars of near isogenic lines of sweet corn. *Crop Science* **18**, 743–746.
- TRACY, W. F. (1997). History, genetics, and breeding of supersweet (*shrunken2*) corn. *Plant Breeding Reviews* **14**, 189–236.
- TRACY, W. F. (2001). Sweet corn. In *Specialty Corns* (Ed. A. R. Hallauer), pp. 155–199. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- TRACY, W. F. & JUVIK, J. A. (1988). Electrolyte leakage and seed quality in a shrunken-2 maize selected for improved field emergence. *HortScience* **23**, 391–392.
- WATERS, L. & BLANCHETTE, B. L. (1983). Prediction of sweet corn field emergence by conductivity and cold test. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science* **108**, 778–781.
- YOUNG, T. E., JUVIK, J. A. & DEMASON, D. A. (1997). Changes in carbohydrate composition and α -amylase expression during germination and seedling growth of starch-deficient endosperm mutants of maize. *Plant Science* **129**, 175–189.
- ZAN, G. H. & BREWBAKER, J. L. (1999). Seed quality of isogenic endosperm mutants in sweet corn. *Maydica* **44**, 271–277.
- ZHANG, Y., KANG, M. S. & LAMKEY, K. R. (2005). DIALLEL-SAS05: A comprehensive program for Griffing's and Gardner-Eberhart analyses. *Agronomy Journal* **97**, 1097–1106.