

Cancer Biology

Reviewed Preprint

Published from the original preprint after peer review and assessment by eLife.

About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint posted 9 May 2023 (this version)

Posted to bioRxiv 24 February 2023

Sent for peer review 22 February 2023

Vitamin D induces SIRT1 activation through K610 deacetylation in colon cancer

José Manuel García-Martínez, Ana Chocarro-Calvo, Javier Martínez-Useros, María Jesús Fernández-Aceñero, M. Carmen Fiuza, Jose Cáceres-Rentero, Antonio De la Vieja, Antonio Barbáchano, Alberto Muñoz, María Jesús Larriba, Custodia García-Jiménez 📽

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain • Translational Oncology Division, OncoHealth Institute, Health Research Institute-University Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz-Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain • Department of Surgical Pathology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, 28040 Madrid, Spain • Department of Surgery, University Hospital Fundación Alcorcón-Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain • Unidad de Tumores Endocrinos (UFIEC), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 28220 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain • CIBER de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain • Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas "Alberto Sols", Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28029 Madrid, Spain • Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain

- **d** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_access)
- ⓒ (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Abstract

Posttranslational modifications of epigenetic modifiers provide a flexible and timely mechanism for rapid adaptations to the dynamic environment of cancer cells. SIRT1 is an NAD⁺-dependent epigenetic modifier whose activity is classically associated with healthy aging and longevity, but its function in cancer is not well understood. Here, we reveal that 1α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1,25(OH)₂D₃, calcitriol), the active metabolite of vitamin D (VD), promotes SIRT1 activation through auto-deacetylation in human colon carcinoma cells, and identify lysine 610 as an essential driver of SIRT1 activity. Remarkably, our data show that the post-translational control of SIRT1 activity mediates the antiproliferative action of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. This effect is reproduced by the SIRT1 activator SRT1720, suggesting that SIRT1 activators may offer new therapeutic possibilities for colon cancer patients who are VD deficient or unresponsive. Moreover, this might be extrapolated to inflammation and other VD deficiency-associated and highly prevalent diseases in which SIRT1 plays a prominent role.

eLife assessment

This is an **important** study demonstrating that vitamin D-bound VDR both increases SIRT1 expression and directly interacts with SIRT1 to cause auto-deacetylation on Lys610, thereby regulating activation of SIRT1 catalytic activity. The data presented to support the presented conclusions are **convincing**, and the findings are particularly relevant to the actions of VDR on colorectal cancer.



Introduction

Over the last decades the study of genetic lesions in tumour cells provided key insights into the deregulated signalling pathways that promote cell proliferation and invasiveness and suppress senescence. In addition, increasing evidence from epidemiological studies suggests a significant impact of non-genetic modifiable factors on the oncogenic process by affecting cell epigenetics and thus, gene expression and phenotype. The key metabolic sensor SIRT1 acts an NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase and a critical post-translational modifier and epigenetic regulator. As such, SIRT1 promotes efficient energy utilisation and cellular defences in response to environmental challenges, whereas its dysregulation accelerates age-related diseases such as diabetes and cancer (Bonkowski and Sinclair, 2016).

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most diagnosed malignancy in women, the third in men, and a major leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, with an incidence estimated to increase by 60% by 2030 (Bray et al., 2018; Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012). Many observational/epidemiological studies suggest that vitamin D (VD) deficiency is a risk factor for developing and dying of cancer, particularly for CRC (Feldman et al., 2014; Grant et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2022; 2021), albeit data from supplementation studies in the human population are controversial. Confirmation of a clinically relevant anti-CRC effect of VD in well-designed prospective randomized studies is still pending (Chandler et al., 2020; Henn et al., 2022; Manson et al., 2020; Muñoz and Grant, 2022; Song et al., 2021)

VD in humans has two origins: synthesis in the skin by the action of solar ultraviolet radiation on 7-dehydrocholesterol and the diet. The active VD metabolite is 1α ,25dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1,25(OH)₂D₃, calcitriol), which results from two consecutive hydroxylations of VD, the first in the liver and the second in the kidney or in many epithelial and immune cell types (Bikle and Christakos, 2020; Feldman et al., 2014). VD actions are mediated by 1,25(OH)₂D₃ binding to a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily of transcription factors, the VD receptor (VDR). Upon ligand binding, VDR regulates the expression of hundreds of target genes, many of which are involved in calcium and phosphate homeostasis, bone biology, immune response, metabolism, detoxification, and cell survival, proliferation and differentiation (Bikle and Christakos, 2020; Carlberg and Muñoz, 2022; Carlberg and Velleuer, 2022; Feldman et al., 2014; Fernández-Barral et al., 2020).

Consistent with a protective role of VD against CRC, the colonic epithelium expresses high levels of VDR. Many studies in CRC cells and associated fibroblasts and in experimental animals have shown a large series of VDR-mediated VD anti-CRC effects (Carlberg and Muñoz, 2022; Carlberg and Velleuer, 2022; Feldman et al., 2014; Fernández-Barral et al., 2020; Ferrer-Mayorga et al., 2019) In support, germline deletion of *Vdr* in mice with constitutively active Wnt/β-catenin signalling, as a main driver of CRC, results in increased intestinal tumour load (Larriba et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2012).

Notably, certain evidence links SIRT1 and VD. First, decreased SIRT1 activity has been linked to the pathogenesis of CRC (Ren et al., 2017; Strycharz et al., 2018). However, using SIRT1 level as a marker of malignancy is controversial since both increased or decreased SIRT1 tumour expression have been described in different studies (Carafa et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2014; Firestein et al., 2008), which points to a discrepancy between SIRT1 level and activity. Second, SIRT1 deacetylates the VDR to enhance its activity in kidney and bone cells (Sabir et al., 2017) and, consequently, decreased SIRT1 activity may drive VD insensitivity. Third, promoter-bound VDR increases *SIRT1* gene expression in kidney and liver cells (Yuan et al., 2022) and thus, VD deficiency may decrease SIRT1 levels leading to reduced activity. However, whether VD alters SIRT1 activity *per se* and/or SIRT1 protein expression in CRC remains unclear.



Here we reveal that $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ induces specific SIRT1 activity at multiple levels in human CRC cells. Notably, liganded VDR post-translationally increases SIRT1 activity through auto-deacetylation. Mechanistically, SIRT1 K610 is identified as a critical player for $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. enhanced VDR-SIRT1 interaction, SIRT1 auto-deacetylation and activation. This occurs independently of increased SIRT1 RNA and protein expression and elevated cofactor (NAD⁺) levels. Discrepant SIRT1 level and activity may explain the controversial role of SIRT1 as tumor suppressor or tumor promoter in CRC. Our results provide a new mechanistic insight into the association of VD deficiency with CRC and suggest potential therapeutic benefit for SIRT1 activators in SIRT1-positive CRC.

Results

1,25(OH)₂D₃ increases SIRT1 level via the VDR in CRC cells

The question of whether VD governs SIRT1 activity in CRC was first approached in two well characterized CRC cell lines, HCT 116 and HT-29. Immunofluorescence analyses (Fig. 1A) revealed that SIRT1 protein level doubled in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, a result confirmed by Western blotting of nuclear extracts (Fig. 1B). The increased expression of SIRT1 protein by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ could be explained by a 2-fold upregulation of SIRT1 RNA revealed by RT-qPCR (Fig.1C). The induction of *CYP24A1* (Fig. 1D), a major VDR target gene in many cell types served as control for $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ activity.





Fig. 1.

1,25(OH)₂D₃ increases SIRT1 levels in CRC cells and VDR is required to ensure basal levels.

(A)-(D) HCT 116 or HT-29 CRC cells cultured under standard conditions and where indicated, treated with 1 α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1,25(OH)₂D₃), 100 nM, added 24 hours before harvesting. (E)-(H) ShVDR cells were derived from HCT 116 by stable and specific knockdown of vitamin D receptor (VDR) using specific shRNA and are compared to ShControl cells that contain normal VDR levels (Larriba et al., 2011).

Cell extracts were fractionated in all cases.

(A) Confocal imaging of SIRT1 (green) in CRC (HCT 116 and HT-29) cells and fluorescence intensity quantification of 3 independent experiments using ImageJ software. For each experiment 3 different fields were evaluated per slide. Scale bars:25µm.

(B) Western-blot analysis of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ effects on levels of SIRT1 in nuclear extracts (NE) from indicated CRC cells. Representative blots and statistical analysis using TBP as loading controls.

(C)-(E) RT-qPCR analysis of the effect of 1,25 (OH)₂D₃ in indicated colon cancer cells. Values normalized with endogenous control (18S RNA) are referred as fold induction over cells without $1,25(OH)_2D_3$.

(C) Effect of 1,25 (OH)₂D₃ on SIRT1 gene expression.

(D) validation of 1,25 $(OH)_2D_3$ activity on the canonical target gene cytochrome P450 family 24 subfamily A member 1, CYP24A1, in HT-29 colon cancer cells.

(E) Requirement of vitamin D receptor (VDR) for 1,25 $(OH)_2D_3$ induction of SIRT1 gene expression.

(F) Effect of VDR knock-down on SIRT1 protein content evaluated by confocal imaging of ShControl and ShVDR HCT 116 colon cancer cells to detect SIRT1 (green). Scale bars represent 25 µm. On the right, quantification of fluorescence intensity using ImageJ software; for each experiment, 3 different fields were evaluated per slide.

(G) Western blot analysis of the effect of $1,25 (OH)_2 D_3$ on nuclear accumulation of SIRT1 in cells depleted (ShVDR) or not (ShControl) of VDR.

(H) Specificity of 1,25 (OH)₂D₃ effects on SIRT1 by western blot analysis of the alternative nuclear sirtuin, SIRT7.

Statistical analysis by Student t-test (A) to (D) and One-Way ANOVA (E)-(F) of 3 independent experiments; values represent mean ± SEM of triplicates; * p< 0.05; ** p< 0.01; *** p< 0.001.

VDR expression is the main determinant of cell responsiveness to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ and is downregulated in a proportion of patients with advanced CRC, implying that these patients



would probably not benefit from the anticancer effects of 1,25(OH)₂D₃(Ferrer-Mayorga et al., 2017; Larriba and Muñoz, 2005; Pálmer et al., 2004; Peña et al., 2005). To model CRCs patients with low VDR tumour level or with VD deficiency, we used an HCT 116-derived cell line stably depleted of VDR using shRNA (hereafter named ShVDR) (Larriba et al., 2011). Induction of SIRT1 gene expression by 1,25(OH)₂D₃ was confirmed by RT-qPCR analysis in ShControl but not in ShVDR cells, although basal SIRT1 levels were similar (Fig. 1E). At the protein level, confocal imaging showed reduced SIRT1 protein in ShVDR as compared to ShControl cells (Fig. 1F), suggesting that VDR contributes to maintaining basal SIRT1 protein expression. Western blot analyses on nuclear extracts confirmed that ShControl cells responded to 1,25(OH)₂D₃ with increased SIRT1 protein levels in contrast to the unresponsiveness of ShVDR cells (Fig. 1G). Moreover, the upregulating effect of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ was specific for SIRT1, since the expression of the other nuclear sirtuin, SIRT7, was unaffected (Fig. 1H). Together, these data indicated that 1,25(OH)₂D₃ specifically increased SIRT1 mRNA and protein levels in CRC cell lines via VDR. This is important because SIRT1 depletion and/or sirtuin activity decay might represent an important neglected mediator of VD deficiency and a target for intervention in CRC. Whether VD controls sirtuin activity independently of sirtuin level in CRC is a critical issue that deserved further exploration.

1,25(OH)₂D₃ induces SIRT1 deacetylase activity in CRC cells

Evaluation of global sirtuin activity in the nuclei of CRC cells revealed that treatment with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ increased NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity 1.5-fold as compared to control untreated cells (Fig. 2A). NAD⁺ is a cofactor required for sirtuin activity. Metabolic control of the level of NAD⁺ represents an important node for sirtuin regulation and could explain the induction of general sirtuin activity by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. Indeed, nuclear NAD⁺ level doubled in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in human CRC cells (Fig. 2B). However, whether $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ could specifically increase SIRT1 activity remained unknown.





Fig. 2.

1,25(OH)₂D₃ induces specific SIRT1 deacetylase activity independently of levels, in CRC cells.

Cells were cultured as in Fig 1.

(A) and (G) NAD⁺-dependent
deacetylase activity, (B) Evaluation of
nuclear NAD⁺ levels. (C) to (F)
Western blot analysis using TBP or
H3 as loading controls.
Representative western blots and
statistical analysis of 3 independent
experiments.

(A) NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity measured on nuclear extracts of HT-29 cells. Relative luciferase

units (RLU) were calculated as fold induction relative to the corresponding control.

(B) Evaluation of nuclear NAD⁺ levels in HT-29 CRC cells in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, with data expressed as fold induction over the control, untreated cells.

(C) $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ effects on the interaction between vitamin D receptor (VDR) and SIRT1. Input (5%) and flow through (FT) are shown

(D) $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ effects on the acetylation status of the SIRT1 substrate FoxO3a in nuclear extracts immunoprecipitated with anti-acetyl lysine antibodies. Input (5%) and flow through (FT) are shown.

(E) $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ effects on the nuclear acetylation of the SIRT1 substrate H3K9 (Ace H3K9).

(F) Requirement of VDR for deacetylation of Ace H3K9 in response to 1,25(OH)₂D₃

(G) Quantification of NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity on SIRT1 immunoprecipitates from nuclear extracts (NE) of HCT 116 CRC cells treated or not with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. Values represent mean ± SEM of n=3 independent experiments.

Statistical analysis by Student t test, $n \ge 3$ and values represent mean \pm SEM; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; *** p<0.001.

Liganded VDR interacts with SIRT1 in kidney and bone cells (Sabir et al., 2017) suggesting that $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ might alter SIRT1 expression or activity via post-transcriptional mechanisms. Since protein-protein interactions regulate stability and activity of proteins, the ability of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ to promote VDR-SIRT1 interaction in CRC cells was evaluated by coimmunoprecipitation assays. The results revealed that $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ potently (4-fold) enhanced VDR/SIRT1 complex formation (Fig. 2C). To test if this interaction alters SIRT1 activity, the acetylation status of two specific SIRT1 substrates (FOXO3a and H3K9) was evaluated as a read out of SIRT1 deacetylase activity in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. Acetyllysine immunoprecipitation followed by Western blotting demonstrated efficient deacetylation of FOXO3a in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ (Fig. 2D). Likewise, the level of acetylated histone H3K9 (Ace H3K9) dramatically decreased in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ (Fig.



2E), indicating specific activation of SIRT1 by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. The effect of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ was mediated by VDR since ShVDR cells did not show Ace H3K9 deacetylation in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, whereas ShControl cells maintained the response (Fig. 2F). Importantly, the specific SIRT1 activator SRT1720 reduced Ace H3K9 levels in both ShControl and ShVDR cells, thus mimicking $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ action independently of VDR (Fig. 2F).

The ability of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ to increase protein deacetylation mediated by SIRT1 could be driven either by elevated SIRT1 level or by increased SIRT1 activity. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we performed an *in vitro* deacetylase assay using equivalent amounts of immunoprecipitated SIRT1 from cells treated or not with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. SIRT1-specific deacetylase activity was enhanced 3-fold in cells treated with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ despite similar levels of immunoprecipitated SIRT1 (Fig. 2G).

Together, these results indicated that 1,25(OH)₂D₃ induces nuclear SIRT1 activity. This implies that VD deficiency and/or loss of tumour VDR expression (both observed in a proportion of CRC patients) (Evans et al., 1998; Ferrer-Mayorga et al., 2019; Giardina et al., 2015; Larriba et al., 2013; 2009; Pálmer et al., 2004; Peña et al., 2005) may negatively impact SIRT1 deacetylase activity and prompted us to examine samples of CRC patients.

CRC biopsies exhibit discrepancies between SIRT1 protein levels and deacetylase activity

Changes in SIRT1 RNA and protein expression and enzymatic activity were analysed in samples of CRC patients. First, we compared available data (TCGA-COAD database) from 160 pairs of human colon adenocarcinoma samples matched with their normal adjacent tissue. TNM plot analysis revealed that both *VDR* and *SIRT1* gene expression decreased from normal to tumour colonic tissue in a highly significant fashion (*P*<0.001) (Fig. 3A-B).





Fig. 3.

CRC biopsies exhibit discrepancies between SIRT1 protein levels and deacetylase activity

(A)-(B) TNM plot analysis of VDR (A) or SIRT1 (B) gene expression in 160 paired samples of non-cancer adjacent tissue (normal) versus adenocarcinoma tissue (tumour). Data was collected from TCGA-COAD database. SIRT1 or VDR expression is shown as transcripts per million (TPM) in log2. Tumour and control samples were compared with Mann-Whitney U test. The statistical significance cut off was set at p < 0.01.

(C) Association analysis between VDR and SIRT1 protein levels in human colon cancer samples, stage 2. Cut-off points to separate proteins between high- or low-expression levels were for SIRT1 expression median of Histoscore, and negative *versus* positive staining for VDR expression. Statistical analysis was performed with Chi-square test.

(D) Representative micrographs at 40X from immunostaining for vitamin D receptor (VDR) or SIRT1 on human samples of healthy colon or CRC patients. Scale bars: 20µm. A detailed amplification is shown at the bottom left of each micrograph.

(E) Profile for SIRT1 content from healthy to colon cancer human samples. The profile was obtained from Histoscore variations. Tumour and control samples were compared with Mann-Whitney U test. The statistical significance cut off was set at p < 0.01.

(F) Western-blot analysis of the levels of SIRT1 and its substrate Ace H3K9 in total lysates from intestinal healthy (HIEC6) or CRC cells. Representative western blot with ERK as loading control.

(G) Western-blot analysis from human patient samples, with patient number indicated at the top. CRC samples (T) and adjacent nontumor tissue (NT) were probed for SIRT1 and its substrate Ace H3K9 (as in F). Frozen samples were obtained from HUFA patients.

(H) NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity on immunoprecipitated SIRT1 from patient lysates. Values for T and NT samples were corrected according to immunoprecipitated SIRT1 levels shown on the western underneath the graph. Values for T samples were referred to values of NT and data (duplicates) are expressed as fold induction. Patient number is indicated at the bottom.

(I) Western-blot analysis for SIRT1 and its substrate Ace H3K9 levels in total lysates from alternative human CRC samples (as in G). Patient number is indicated at the top.

(J) NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity on immunoprecipitated SIRT1 from patient lysates presented in (I). Levels of immunoprecipitated SIRT1 are presented in the bottom panel. SIRT1 deacetylase activity in patients is presented as fold induction, referred to the first patient sample (patient #10). Patient number is indicated at the bottom.



Immunohistochemical analysis of human colon tumor tissue microarrays (TMAs) from the Biobank of Hospital Clínico San Carlos (HCSC, Madrid) revealed a significant (*P*=0.017) positive association between the levels of VDR and SIRT1 proteins (Fig. 3C). An additional TMA containing healthy colon samples was used to compare tumor and nontumor tissues. The level of VDR protein was usually lower in tumour samples (Fig. 3D), while SIRT1 protein expression showed high variability between tumors and high cellular intratumor heterogeneity (Fig. 3D-E). Globally, TMA data suggested a trend to decreased SIRT1 protein in tumor samples (Fig. 3E), but high variability hampered statistical significance even though for SIRT1 gene expression, differences were statistically significant as shown in Figure 3B.

Global acetylation also showed high cellular intratumor heterogeneity and variability between samples without statistically significant differences between tumor and nontumor samples (data not shown), showing that is not a valid, specific read out of SIRT1 activity. As an alternative approach, the levels of SIRT1 protein and its substrate Ace H3K9 were compared first in intestinal cell lines and then in human tumours. Surprisingly, SIRT1 protein level increased in CRC cells as compared to normal HIEC6 cells (Fig. 3F). However, increased SIRT1 levels coincided with elevated Ace H3K9 (Fig. 3F), indicating SIRT1 inactivation in CRC cells. The results in cell lines encouraged us to evaluate the potential discordance of SIRT1 and Ace H3K9 levels in fresh-frozen samples from paired tumor and nontumor colonic tissue of patients from Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón (HUFA). All tissues were evaluated to have at least 85% of tumor cells by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Western blotting allowed simultaneous detection of SIRT1 and Ace H3K9. Notably, whereas the level of SIRT1 protein variably increased or decreased from nontumor to tumor tissues in different patients, acetylation of its specific substrate H3K9 consistently increased in tumor samples (Fig. 3G), reflecting SIRT1 inactivation as found in carcinoma cell lines (Fig. 3F). In vitro deacetylation assays performed on SIRT1 immunoprecipitated from SIRT1positive patient samples confirmed a common decrease of SIRT1 activity in colon tumor tissue (Fig. 3H). Western blotting illustrated the variability of SIRT1 level among tumors and its correlation with VDR level (Fig. 3I), while Ace H3K9 levels are generally high, but variable. Importantly, in vitro deacetylation assays on SIRT1 immunoprecipitated from the same samples (Fig. 3]) confirmed Ace H3K9 as a *bona fide* read out of SIRT1 inactivation. The discrepancy between the levels of SIRT1 protein expression and activity in tumor samples may solve the controversy previously reported regarding the use of SIRT1 as a tumor biomarker and poses the question of how 1,25(OH)₂D₃ specifically enhances SIRT1 deacetylase activity.

1,25(OH)₂D₃ induction of SIRT1 activity is mediated through auto deacetylation

Since SIRT1 catalyses its auto deacetylation as a mechanism to increase its deacetylase activity towards other substrates (Fang et al., 2017) and 1,25(OH)₂D₃ facilitates VDR-SIRT1 interaction, we explored the possibility that liganded VDR increases SIRT1 activity by facilitating SIRT1 auto deacetylation. To evaluate SIRT1 acetylation status upon exposure to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, nuclear extracts from CRC cells challenged or not with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ were immunoprecipitated using anti-acetyl-lysine antibodies and subjected to Western blotting. $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ greatly reduced the nuclear pool of acetylated SIRT1 (Fig. 4A). Remarkably, the specific SIRT1 activator SRT1720 also drove SIRT1 deacetylation in HCT 116 CRC cells (Fig. 4B). Consistently, ShVDR cells did not reduce acetylated SIRT1 in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ but retain their response to SRT1720 (Fig 4C). These results indicated that $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ activation of SIRT1 is mediated through SIRT1 deacetylation.





Fig. 4.

1,25(OH)₂D₃ induces SIRT1 activity through auto deacetylation

HCT 116 CRC cells were cultured under standard conditions in DMEM containing LiCl (40 mM) to mimic Wnt signalling before addition of 1,25(OH)₂D₃, 100 nM or SRT1720 (20 μ M) for the last 24 h; after fractionation nuclear extracts (NE) were used. (A)-(C) and (E)-(F)

Immunoprecipitation (IP) using antiacetyl lysine antibody from nuclear extracts (NE) of indicated cells and western-blot analysis to evaluate changes in acetylation of SIRT1; TBP was used in the Flow Through (FT) as

loading control. Representative western-blots and statistical analysis are shown in all panels.

(A) Effect of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ on acetylation of SIRT1.

(B) Effect of SRT1720 on acetylation of SIRT1. (C) Requirement of VDR for $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ or SRT1720 effects on acetylation of SIRT1 in Sh Control and ShVDR HCT 116 cells.

(D) SIRT1 scheme with functional domains and putative acetylation sites (top) and sequence alignment. Conserved putative acetylation targets for activation/inactivation of SIRT1 shown at the bottom.

(E) Acetylation status of exogenous SIRT1 wild type (WT) or mutants (H363Y or K610R). HCT 116 were transiently transfected with pcDNA expression vectors: empty (–), myc-tagged SIRT1 wild type (WT) or mutants: H363Y inactive or K610R, 48 h before harvesting. Immunoprecipitation using anti-acetyl-lysine antibodies and western blot detection using myc antibodies. Representative western-blot and statistical analysis; MYC and TBP in the flow through (FT) serve as loading controls.

(F) Western-blot analysis of the interaction of SIRT1 wild type and mutants, with vitamin D receptor (VDR). Expression and analysis as in (E).

(G) Western-blot analysis of the effect of SIRT1 mutant expression on Ace H3K9.

(H) *In vitro* NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity assays on equivalent amounts of exogenous SIRT1 wild type (WT), K610R and inactive H363Y mutants immunoprecipitated using anti-myc antibodies.

Statistical analysis by One Way ANOVA of n=3 independent experiments. Values represent mean ± SEM; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; *** p<0.001.

Next, we sought to get further insight into the mechanism of regulation of SIRT1 activity by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. As the acetyltransferase EP300 acetylates and inactivates SIRT2 (Han et al., 2008) and is critical for CRC cell signalling (Chocarro-Calvo et al., 2013; Gutiérrez-Salmerón et al., 2020), we used the ASEB engine (Wang et al., 2012) to search for SIRT1 residues potentially acetylated by EP300. This approach identified K610, a lysine conserved from



mouse to human, as a potential EP300 target (Fig. 4D). The role of K610 in the regulation of SIRT1 activity was assessed by generating a non-acetylable K610R mutant, which should be resistant to inactivation through acetylation. We compared the enzymatic activity of K610R SIRT1 with that of wild type (WT) and inactive H363Y SIRT1 (Vaziri et al., 2001). Nuclear extracts from cells transfected with MYC-tagged SIRT1 WT or mutants were immunoprecipitated using anti-acetyl-lysine antibodies. Western blotting using an anti-MYC antibody revealed that exogenous WT SIRT1 was acetylated (Fig. 4E), as it was endogenous SIRT1 (Fig 4A). Of note, catalytically inactive H363Y SIRT1 mutant (Fig. 4E), This highlights the importance of K610 for the regulation of SIRT1 activity.

Since interaction with VDR was important for SIRT1 deacetylation activity, the ability of each mutant to interact with VDR was compared in immunoprecipitation assays. The results revealed that the K610R SIRT1 mutation facilitated the interaction with VDR, whereas the inactive H363Y SIRT1 showed low interaction with VDR (Fig 4F). This suggested that acetylation of SIRT1 might interfere with or destabilize its interaction with VDR, and that the ability of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ to favour VDR-SIRT1 interaction may allow SIRT1 auto deacetylation, and thus enhance its activity. The activity of mutant SIRT1 enzymes was first inferred from the level of Ace H3K9, which was lower in cells expressing K610R SIRT1 (Fig 4G). *In vitro* NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase assays were next used to compare the activity of WT and mutant SIRT1. Using equivalent amounts of immunoprecipitated MYC-tagged enzymes, we found a 10-fold higher deacetylase activity for the K610R mutant than for WT SIRT1, whereas as expected the H363Y inactive mutant exhibited very low activity as expected (Fig. 4H).

SIRT1 activation mimics the antiproliferative effects of 1,25(OH)₂D₃ in CRC cells unresponsive to VD

Collectively, our data indicated that the acetylation status of SIRT1 K610 is critically controlled and governs SIRT1 activity in the nuclei of CRC cells. By promoting VDR-SIRT1 interaction, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ facilitates SIRT1 auto deacetylation and enhances SIRT1 activity. These results suggest that SIRT1 is an effector of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ and prompted us to ask whether the pharmacological activation of SIRT1 would rescue CRC cells that are unresponsive to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$.

The anticancer effects of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ are exerted at least partially through the inhibition of tumor cell proliferation (Carlberg and Muñoz, 2022; Muñoz and Grant, 2022). $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ strongly reduced the percentage of CRC cells in S-G₂M cell-cycle phases (Fig. 5A) and consequently, it lengthened the cell cycle and reduced CRC cell proliferation. We aimed to analyse the effect of the modulation of SIRT1 activity on the proliferation of CRC cells and on the antiproliferative action of $1,25(OH)2D_3$. Inhibition of general sirtuin activity with Nicotinamide (NAA) abolished the antiproliferative effects of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ on CRC cells (Fig. 5B). Moreover, activation of SIRT1 by the small molecule SRT1720 mimicked the response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ and efficiently reduced the percentage of cells in S-G₂M cell cycle phases (Fig. 5C). These data indicate that induction of SIRT1 activity mediates $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -driven cell cycle lengthening in CRC cells.





Fig. 5.

SIRT1 activation rescues antiproliferative effects of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in unresponsive CRC cells.

Cells were cultured as in previous figures and treatments with NAA (300 uM), SRT1720 (20 μ M) and 1,25 (OH)₂D₃ (100 nM) also were for the last 24 h.

(A) Flow cytometry analysis of cell cycle from indicated cells treated (+) or not (–) with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. Numbers correspond to the percentage of cells in the indicated phases expressed as mean ± SEM.

(B) Effect of NAA on the $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -driven blockades of HCT 116 CRC cell proliferation.

(C) Effects of SRT1720 on cell cycle of HCT 116 CRC cells by flow cytometry analysis. Numbers correspond to the percentage of cells in the indicated phases expressed as mean ± SEM.

(D) Flow cytometry analysis of cell cycle response to $1,25 (OH)_2 D_3$ in ShControl and ShVDR HCT 116 cells. Numbers correspond to the percentage of cells in the indicated phases expressed as mean ± SEM.

(E) Proliferation curves of ShControl or ShVDR HCT 116 colon cancer cells in response to 1,25 $(OH)_2D_3$ (100 nM) (grey), compared to control with vehicle (green) or SRT1720 (red). Statistical analysis by One-Way ANOVA of 3 independent experiments; values represent mean ± SEM of triplicates; * p< 0.05; ** p< 0.01; *** p< 0.001.

As expected, in contrast with ShControl cells, ShVDR CRC cells did not extend the cell cycle in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ (Fig. 5D). Accordingly, an early and strong reduction in the proliferation rate in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ was noted in ShControl cells but it was almost absent in ShVDR cells (Fig. 5E). Specific SIRT1 activation with SRT1720 reduced the proliferation of ShControl cells at a comparable magnitude to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ and, importantly, also reduced proliferation of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -unresponsive ShVDR cells (Fig.5E).



Collectively, these results show that SIRT1 acts downstream of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -activated VDR in the inhibition of CRC cell proliferation. They also suggest that activation of SIRT1 in CRC patients with vitamin D deficiency or with VD unresponsive tumors (conditions frequently observed in advanced CRC), could be a therapeutic strategy to effectively antagonize cell proliferation.

Discussion

Here we reveal that $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ activates the epigenetic regulator SIRT1 by acting at multiple levels. Ligand-activated VDR post-translationally upregulates SIRT1 activity by facilitating its auto deacetylation. Mechanistically, SIRT1 K610 is identified as essential to control VDR-SIRT1 interaction and SIRT1 acetylation status and activity. Notably, both SRT1720 and $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ activate SIRT1 through auto deacetylation. Modulation of SIRT1 activity by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ through deacetylation occurs in addition to increased mRNA and protein levels as well as to an elevation of cofactor (NAD⁺) availability. Thus, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ activates SIRT1 activity, and these findings broaden our understanding of how VD deficiency is associated with CRC.

We also show that SIRT1 deacetylase activity mediates the anti-proliferative action of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in CRC, which is in line with the recent proposal that SIRT1 activity opposes several cancer cell hallmarks (Yousafzai et al., 2021). In cases of VD deficiency and/or unresponsiveness such as advanced colorectal tumor lacking VDR expression due to transcriptional repression by SNAIL1/2 (Pálmer et al., 2004; Larriba et al., 2009), the activation of SIRT1 by compounds other than $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ may be especially relevant. Clinical trials that explore SIRT1 activators in CRC are rare (https://clinicaltrials.gov). Some trials are designed to study doses and pharmacokinetics of resveratrol, a known sirtuin activator that has many other targets (Patel et al., 2011). Currently there are not known clinical trials using SRT1720 or alternative SIRT1 specific activators.

Consideration of SIRT1 protein level as a prognostic marker for cancer has received much attention, but conflicting results reporting both, tumor SIRT1 increases and decreases raised controversy (Ren et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Accordingly, some studies propose SIRT1 either as tumour suppressor or as tumor promoter in CRC (Carafa et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2017). Importantly, SIRT1 protein level might not always reflect SIRT1 activity, and some tumors could possibly increase SIRT1 protein expression to counteract inactivation. Our results, which indicate the existence of discrepancies between SIRT1 levels and activity in human colorectal tumors, may help to resolve the controversy. Interestingly, alternative posttranslational SIRT1 modifications may have a similar outcome. For example, proteolytic cleavage of SIRT1 induced by TNFα in osteoarthritis renders accumulation of a catalytically inactive 75 kDa resistant fragment (Oppenheimer et al., 2012) that could be detected by immunohistochemistry. Since inflammation (in general) and especially TNFα is an important mediator of CRC, understanding if this fragment is generated in CRC patients and whether its presence would also lead to decreased SIRT1 activity in samples strongly positive for SIRT1 remains as an interesting unexplored issue.

The ability of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ to activate SIRT1 may have further major implications for physiological and pathological processes other than CRC since SIRT1 target proteins control several processes such as mitochondria physiology, apoptosis, and inflammation (Strycharz et al., 2018). Thus, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ may not only regulate gene expression via direct transcriptional regulation of VDR-bound targets, but it can secondarily impact a wide range of events and processes via the control of SIRT1 activity. This may explain how $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ regulates the activity of FOXO3a, an important modulator of cell proliferation and the



immune response that is targeted by SIRT1 (An et al., 2010). SIRT1 also modulates the activity of the tumour suppressor p53, whose mutation is a relatively early event in a high proportion of CRCs (Polidoro et al., 2013; Strycharz et al., 2018). In this way, SIRT1 activation greatly broaden the known vitamin D regulatory action, albeit whether $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ directs SIRT1 activity towards specific substrates remains to be elucidated. The accumulating evidence for SIRT1 involvement in chronic inflammation (Mendes et al., 2017) suggests a potential benefit of SIRT1 activation by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in chronic inflammation-linked CRC and other diseases. Moreover, the mechanism revealed here for SIRT1 activation by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ may be relevant for diseases associated with VD deficiency beyond CRC, including autoimmune disorders and diabetes.

In conclusion, the multilevel activation of SIRT1 deacetylase by $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ broadens the range of known $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ targets and positions SIRT1 as an important mediator of the protective action of VD against CRC and potentially other neoplasias and non-tumoral diseases. These data support SIRT1 activators as useful agents in situations of dysfunction of the VD system.



Materials and methods

All materials and resources can be found in Table 1.

Table 1.

Key Materials & Resources.

Antibodies			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-		Cat# 9441	
Acetylated-lysine			
Rabbit monoclonal anti-		Cat # 9649	
Histone H3K9-Ace			
(C5B11)			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-	Cell Signaling	Cat # 9715	
Histone H3			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-		Cat #12550	
Vitamin D3 receptor			
(D2K6W)			
Rabbit monoclonal anti-		Cat# 2497	
FoxO3a (75D8)			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-		Cat# 9475	
SIRT1 (DID7)			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-		Cat#SC-15404	
SIRT1			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# SC-204	
TFIID-TBP (N12)			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-		Cat#SC365344	
SIRT7			
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-ERK		Cat# SC-154	
(C14)			
Mouse Monoclonal anti-		Cat# SC-40	
MYC (9E10)			
Donkey Anti-Rabbit-	Inc.:two and	Cat# A21206	
AlexaFluor488	Invitrogen		
Mouse monoclonal anti-		Cat# MA514739	
TBP (51841)			
Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG		Cat #170-6515	
(H+L) HRPO	BIO-KAD		
Goat Anti-Mouse IgG		Cat #170-6516	
(H+L) HRPO			
Mouse Monoclonal anti-	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T5168	
Tubulin Clone B-5-1-2	-		
EnVision + Dual Link System-	Dako (Agilent)	Cat#K4065	
HRP (DAB+)			
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins			
1α,25-DihydroxyVD ₃	Sigma-Aldrich	17936	
Lithium Chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# L9650	
BSA	Sigma-Aldrich	A7906	
Nicotinamide (NAA)	Sigma-Aldrich	N3376	
SRT1720	Selleckchem	S1129	
TRIzol reagent	Invitrogen	Cat#15596026	
Protease inhibitor Cocktail	Roche	Cat#04693132001	
7-AAD	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	SC-221210	



3-(4,5-dimetiltiazol-2-il)-2,5- difeniltetrazol (MTT)	Sigma-Aldrich		Cat#	# M5655
DMEM media	Lonza		Cat	# 12-604F
Bovine Fetal Serum	Sigma		Cat#	# F7524
JetPEI PolyPlus reagent	Genvcell Biotech		Cat	# 101-10N
JetPRIME PolyPlus reagent	Genycell Biotech		Cat	# 114-01
QuickChange Site-Directed	Stratagene		Cat	#200523
Mutagenesis Kit	5			
SIRT-Glo Assay kit	Promega		G6450	
NAD/NADH-Glo Assay Kit	Promega		G9071	
DYNAbeads Protein A	Invitrogen		Ref	10002D
DYNAbeads Protein G	Invitrogen		Ref	10004D
Bacterial Strains				
E. coli 5α	New England Biolabs		NEE	35α
Experimental Models: Cell Lines				
HCT 116 (Male) colorectal	ATCC	Cat#		CCL-247,
carcinoma		RRID:C	VCL	0291
HT-29 (Female). Rectosigmoid	ATCC	Cat# HT	B-38	8,
adenocarcinoma		RRID:C	VCL_	_0320
HCT 116 ShControl	In house	(Larriba	et al.	2011)
HCT 116 ShVDR	In house (Larriba et a		et al.	2011)
Oligonucleotides				
Human SIRT1 K610R mutagenesis pri	mers	Sigma		This study
F 5'-3':				
GGTTCTAGTACTGGGGAGAGAGAA	ATGAAAGAACTTCAGTGG			
R 5′-3′:				
CCAGCCACTGAAGTTCTTTCATT	CCTCTCCCCAGTACTAG	<i>a</i> :		
Human CYCLIN D1 (CCND1) qPCR primers		Sigma		This study
F 5 - 3 : AAGATCGTCGCCACCTGG				
R 5 -3 : GGAAGACCTCCTCCTCGC	AC	<i>a</i> :		
Human c-MYC qPCR primers		Sigma		This study
F 5 -3 : CHICICICCGICCICGGAI				
R 5 -3 : GAAGGIGATCCAGACICI	GACCIT	<i>a</i> .		
Human 18s qPCR primers		Sigma		This study
F 5 -3 : AGTCCCTGCCCTTTGTACA	ACA			
R 5'-3' GCCTCACTAAACCATCCA	ATCG			
CYP24A1 TaqMan [®] probe		Applied		Hs00167999_
		Biosyste	ms	ml
Sirt1 siRNA (h), 10µM		Santa Ci	uz	sc-45313
siRNA Control Oi		Qiagen		Cat# 1027281
Recombinant DNA			-	
pcDNA3 1-SIRT1-Myc-His	Gift from Prof Colin R Goding	r		N/A
pcDNA3 1-SIRT1H363Y- Myc-His	Gift from Prof. Colin R Goding			N/A
pcDNA3.1-SIRT1 K601R- Myc-His	This study			N/A
Software and Algorithms				
LAS AF software	Leica		SD5	
2720vl Analyzer	Applied Biosystem		1 3730XI	
STSUAT Analyzei	Applied Blosystem		AD	1 J / JUAL



GraphPad Prism software	GraphPad Software	https://www.graph
		pad.com
ImageLab	Bio-Rad	ChemiDoc XRS+
		System
CXP software	Becton-Dickinson	FACSCalibur
ImageJ software	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/down	N/A
-	load.html	
SPSS Statistics version 26	IBM	N/A

Experimental model and subject details

Human samples

Patient samples were derived from surgical removal at Fundación Jimenez Diaz University Hospital, General and Digestive Tract Surgery Department: 95 patient samples diagnosed with stage II CRC. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Fundación Jimenez Diaz Hospital, reviewed and approved the study, granting approval on December 9, 2014, act number 17/14.

Samples from seven healthy individuals were obtained from Hospital Clínico San Carlos (HCSC). IRB-HCSC act number 21/498-E granting approval on June 25th, 2021. Paired freshfrozen samples from tumor and nontumor adjacent tissue were collected from 18 patients at Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón (HUFA). IRB-HUFA, act number 17/68 granting approval on June 8th, 2017. Colon cancer tissues were collected using protocols approved by the corresponding Ethics Committees and following the legislation. All patients gave written informed consent for the use of their biological samples for research purposes. Fundamental ethical principles and rights promoted by Spain (LOPD 15/1999) and the European Union EU (2000/C364/01) were followed. All patients' data were processed according to the Declaration of Helsinki (last revision 2013) and Spanish National Biomedical Research Law (14/2007, July 3).

Colorectal cell panels

Human colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 and HCT 116 and HCT 116 derived ShControl and ShVDR cells were cultured under standard conditions, in 5%CO2 at 37°C with DMEM containing 25 mM glucose (unless specifically indicated) and 40 mM LiCl to mimic Wnt signalling and supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillinstreptomycin. Cells were treated as indicated for 24h. HCT 116 ShControl and ShVDR cell lines were derived previously (Larriba et al., 2011)

Transient transfections

cells were seeded in plates at 50% confluence for plasmid transfection, using JetPei PolyPlus reagent (Genycell Biotech), following the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 h cells were treated as indicated for 24 h.

For Sirt1 siRNA, cells plated in six well plates at 50% confluence were transfected with JetPRIME PolyPlus reagent (Genycell Biotech) following the manufacturer's instructions. After 2 days cells were treated 24 h with LiCl and then another 24 h with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ before harvesting to analyse by western blot.



Site directed mutagenesis

Directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Oligonucleotides containing the mutation (Table 1) were designed following the manufacturer's recommendations. 2.5 U of PfuTurbo DNA polymerase was added to each sample and they were amplified by performing the following cycles: 1 denaturation cycle at 95 ° C for 1 minute, 18 amplification cycles of 30 seconds at 95 ° C, 50 seconds at 60 ° C, 1 minute / kilobase of plasmid at 68 °C and the last extension cycle 7 minutes at 68^aC. Once amplified, 10 U of Dnp I enzyme were added and incubated at 37 ° C for one hour to digest the supercoiled double-stranded DNA and eliminate the parental molecules that are not mutated.

The correct introduction of the mutation was evaluated by plasmid sequencing using the BigDye Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems).

Preparation of cell extracts

Whole cell extracts

Cells were washed with iced PBS before extract preparation and scraped in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris HCl [pH 7.4], 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 1% Tryton X100, 10 mM Na₄P₂O₇ [pH 7.4], 10 mM NaF, 130 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0,5% Na-deoxycholate). After 5 min on ice, cells were pelleted (12000 rpm for 5 min, 4°C) and the supernatant was directly used as whole cell extract or frozen at -80 °C.

Fractionated cell extracts

After washing as before, cells were scraped in hypotonic buffer (20 mM Hepes, [pH 8.0], 10 mM KCl, 0,15 mM EDTA, 0,15 mM EGTA, 0,05% NP40 and protease inhibitors) and incubated on ice for 10 min before adding 1:2 vol of sucrose buffer (50 mM Hepes [pH=8.0], 0.25 mM EDTA, 10 mM KCl, 70% sucrose). Lysates were fractionated (5000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C) to obtain the cytoplasmic fraction in the supernatant. Nuclear pellets were washed twice with washing buffer (20 mM Hepes [pH 8.0], 50 mM NaCl, MgCl₂ 1.5 mM, 0,25 mM EDTA, 0,15 mM EGTA, 25% glycerol and protease inhibitors), pelleted at 5000 rpm, 5 min at 4°C and resuspended in nuclear extraction buffer (20 mM Hepes[pH 8.0], 450 mM NaCl, MgCl₂ 1.5 mM, 0,25 mM EDTA, 0,15 mM EGTA, 0,05% NP40, 25% glycerol and protease inhibitors) before centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C to pellet and discard cell debris. The supernatants were used as nuclear fractions.

SIRT1 Activity Assay

 $\rm NAD^+$ -dependent deacetylase activity was measured on nuclear extracts of HT-29 cells treated as indicated, using SIRT-Glo Assay kit (Promega), following the manufacturer's instructions. For each reaction, 1 µg of protein was incubated with SIRT-Glo Reagent Mix at room temperature for 3 min, and the luminescence was measured using a GloMax Microplate Reader (Promega). Relative luciferase units (RLU) were calculated as fold induction relative to the corresponding control.

Nuclear NAD⁺ abundance

The NAD/NADH-Glo Assay Kit (Promega) was used to measure NAD⁺ abundance in HCT 116 nuclear extracts. 20 μ g of protein were diluted in 50 μ l of lysis buffer (described for cell extracts) for each reaction and 25 μ l of 0.4 N HCl were added before incubation at 60 °C for



15 minutes. This exploits the high stability of NAD⁺ under acidic conditions, while NADH breaks down. Samples were cooled down to room temperature for 10 min and neutralized with 25 μ L 0.5 M Trizma®. NAD⁺ levels were then measured using a GloMax microplate reader (Promega) and following the manufacturer's instructions.

Immunoprecipitation

For immunoprecipitation from fractionated extracts, the hypotonic buffer was modified by adding 100mM NaCl and 0.1% NP40. For immunocomplex formation, protein A/G-coated magnetic beads (Invitrogen) were washed 3 times with the extraction buffer before coating with the primary antibody for 2 hr at 4 °C in a rotating wheel, followed by 2 washes with the same buffer to eliminates unbound antibody and then extracts were added O/N at 4°C in the rotating wheel. Immunocomplexes were washed twice and used for western blotting.

Western blotting

Proteins from lysed cells or immunoprecipitates were denatured and loaded on sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad). After blocking with 5% (w/v) BSA or milk the membrane was incubated with the corresponding primary and secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad). The specific bands were analyzed using Thyphoon or ChemiDoc Imaging Systems (Bio-Rad).

Immunoflorescence

Cells in cover slips were washed three times and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS [pH 7.4] for 10min, washed again, permeabilized (PBS [pH7.4], 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.2% BSA) for 5min, blocked (PBS [pH7,4, 0,05% Triton X-100, 5% BSA) for 1h at room temperature and incubated with primary antibody over night at 4°C. After three washes (5 min) cells were incubated with secondary antibody for 1h at room temperature. Slides were mounted and images were acquired using a SP5 confocal microscope (Leica) with a 63x objective. Fluorescence intensity was quantified using Image J software. For each experiment, 3 different fields were evaluated per slide.

Cell-growth curves and cell cycle

Cell growth was determined by colorimetry. For that, cells were seeded at a density of 20,000 cells per well in a Corning 12-well plate and treated as indicated for 1 to 6 days. After that cells were treated with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Sigma-.Aldrich) in a 1:10 ratio in a culture medium and incubated at 37 °C for 3 h. In living cells, MTT is reduced to formazan, which has a purple color. The medium was removed, and the formazan was resuspended in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), transferred to p96 plates and analyzed by a Spectra FLUOR (Tecan) at 542 nm. Viability was measured in four independent experiments and then duplicated.

For cell cycle analysis, cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed with PBS, fixed in 70% ethanol, stained with 7-AAD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 10 min at 37°C, and analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton-Dickinson). The percentages of cells at each cell cycle phase were analyzed using CXP software (Becton-Dickinson).

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from 3 replicates of colorectal cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription of $1\mu g$ of RNA was performed according to the



manufacturer's instructions Reagents and detection systems were from Applied biosystems. 18S ribosomal RNA primers served as a nonregulated control. Relative expression was calculated using the Ct method, expressed as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The PCR efficiency was approximately 100%.

TMA, immunohistochemistry, and quantification

Tissue microarrays (TMA) containing 92 cores of stage 2 human CRC samples, were constructed using the MTA-1 tissue arrayer (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie) for immunohistochemistry analysis. Each core (diameter 0.6 mm) was punched from preselected tumour regions in paraffin-embedded tissues. We chose central areas from the tumour, avoiding foci of necrosis. Staining was conducted in 2-um sections. Slides were deparaffinized by incubation at 60°C for 10 min and incubated with PT-Link (Dako, Agilent) for 20 min at 95°C in low pH to detect VDR, SIRT1 and acetyl lysines. To block endogenous peroxidase, holders were incubated with peroxidase blocking reagent (Dako, Agilent) and then with (1:50) dilutions of antibodies: anti-VDR, anti-SIRT1 and (1:300) anti-acetyl lysine, overnight at 4°C. All previously described antibodies presented high specificity. After that, slides were incubated for 20 min with the appropriate anti-Ig horseradish peroxidaseconjugated polymer (EnVision, Dako, Agilent). Sections were then visualized with 3,3'diaminobenzidine (Dako, Agilent) as a chromogen for 5 min and counterstained with Harrys' Haematoxylin (Sigma Aldrich, Merck). Photographs were taken with a stereo microscope (Leica DMi1). According to the human protein atlas (available at http://www.proteinatlas .org), a human colon tissue was used as a positive control for immunohistochemical staining to determine anti-VDR concentration and a human testis tissue for anti-SIRT1. Immunoreactivity was quantified blind with a Histoscore (H score) that considers both the intensity and percentage of cells stained for each intensity (low, medium, or high) following this algorithm (range 0–300): H score = $(low\%) \times 1 + (medium\%) \times 2 + (high\%) \times 3$. Quantification for each patient biopsy was calculated blindly by 2 investigators (MJFA and [MU]. VDR and SIRT1 showed nuclear staining, whereas acetyl lysines were nuclear and extranuclear. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 2.



Table 2.

Clinicopathological characteristics of CRC patients included in the study.

Patients Characteristic	N (%)	
	Stage II	Stage IV
Gender	-	
Male	57 (60%)	27 (48%)
Female	38 (40%)	23 (41%)
N/A	0	6 (11%)
Tumor Location		
Cecum	13 (14%)	3 (5%)
Right	25 (26%)	10 (18%)
l ransverse	7 (8%) E (E9()	3 (5%)
Sigma	つ (つ%) つれ (つ5%)	2 (4%) 21 (38%)
Rectum	24 (23%)	12 (21%)
N/A	0	5 (9%)
Crada Brimany Tumor	Ū	0 (0 /0)
	18 (19%)	15 (27%)
Moderately differentiated	69 (73%)	27 (48%)
Poorly differentiated	8 (8%)	5 (9%)
N/A	0	9 (16%)
Paired Liver Metastasis		54 (96%)
N/A		2 (4%)
Grade Metastasis Tumor		
Well differentiated		12 (21%)
Moderately differentiated		29 (52%)
Poorly differentiated		4 (7%)
N/A		11 (20%)
Metastasis at diagnosis		
Synchronous		35 (62%)
Metachronous		21 (38%)
Pt		
T1	3 (3%)	2 (4%)
T2	30 (32%)	5 (9%)
Т3	61 (64%)	42 (75%)
T4	1 (1%)	4 (7%)
N/A		3 (5%)
pN		
NO	95 (100%)	27 (48%)
N1		16 (29%)
IN∠ N2		9(16%)
N/A		3 (5%)

Bioinformatics analyses

Gene expression (RNA-seq) data from the TCGA colon adenocarcinoma cohort (COAD) was downloaded from the cBioportal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org) using



CGDS-R (Cerami et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2013) following TCGA guidelines (http:// cancergenome.nih.gov/publications/publicationguidelines). SIRT1 and VDR gene expression from samples of colorectal cancer and healthy tissue adjacent to the tumour were analysed with TNMplot.com (https://tnmplot.com/analysis/) tool (Bartha and Győrffy, 2021). An asymptotic Spearman correlation test using original log2 expression values was used to determine the significance of the Spearman rank correlation.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as fold induction, mean ± SEM from three biological replicates. To determine whether calculated Hscores for protein levels were well-modelled by a normal distribution, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Association between SIRT1 and VDR protein levels was performed with Chi-square test. Comparisons between two independent groups (healthy versus tumors) were performed with Mann-Whitney U-test. For statistical association, Hscore of antigens were categorized as high- or low-expression levels using the median as a cut-off point. Tests for significance between two sample groups were performed with Student's t test and for multiple comparisons, ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-test.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this work was provided by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (PID2019-104867RB-I00 / AEI/10.13039/501100011033, RTI2018-099343-B-100 and PID2021-127645OA-I00); Instituto de Salud Carlos III (CIBERONC, CB16/12/00273 and CB16/12/00326); Comunidad de Madrid (Ayudas Atracción de Talento 2017-T1/BMD-5334 and 2021-5A/BMD-20951; A385-DROPLET Young Reserchers R&D Project 2019 CAM-URJC; PRECICOLON-CM, P2022/BMD7212); Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (ADIPOMELM, Proyecto Puente de Investigación 2020).

We thank Lucille Banham for her valuable assistance in the preparation of the English manuscript and María Gutiérrez Salmerón for help making figures.



Additional Information

FUNDER	GRANT REFERENCE NUMBER	AUTHOR
	PID2019-110998RB-I00 AEI/10.13039/501100011033	Custodia Garcia-Jimenez
Agencia Estatal de Investigación	PID2019-104867RB-I00	Alberto Muñoz & María Jesús Larriba
	RTI2018-099343-B-100	Antonio De la Vieja
	PID2021-127645OA-I00	Ana Chocarro-Calvo
Instituto de Salud	CIBERONC CB16/12/00273	Alberto Muñoz
Carlos III	CIBERONC CB16/12/00326	Antonio De la Vieja
	PRECICOLON-CM P2022/BMD7212	Custodia García-Jiménez Alberto Muñoz
	Ayudas Atracción de Talento 2017- T1/BMD- 5334	
Comunidad de	Ayudas Atracción de Talento 2021-5A/BMD- 20951	Ana Chacarra Calva
Madrid	A385-DROPLET Young Researchers R&D Project 2019	
Universidad Rey Juan Carlos	ADIPOMELM, Proyecto Puente de Investigación 2020	

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication

Author contributions

CGJ, JMGM, AM, and MJL conceived the experiments, JMGM, ACC, AB, JMU, MJFA, MCF, JCR performed the experiments. JMGM and ADLV performed the Figs. All authors participated in the discussion. CGJ, AM and MJL wrote the manuscript and provided supervision.

Ethics

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) as specified under the paragraph Human samples in the Materials and Methods Section and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.



References

An B-S, Tavera-Mendoza LE, Dimitrov V, Wang X, Calderon MR, Wang H-J, White JH (2010) **Stimulation of Sirt1-Regulated FoxO Protein Function by the Ligand-Bound Vitamin D Receptor** *Mol Cell Biol* **30**:4890–4900 https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00180-10

Bartha Á, Győrffy B (2021) **Tnmplot.Com: A web tool for the comparison of gene expression in normal, tumor and metastatic tissues** *Int J Mol Sci* **22:**1–12 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22052622

Bikle D , Christakos S (2020) **New aspects of vitamin D metabolism and action** — **addressing the skin as source and target** *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **16:**234–252 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0312-5

Bonkowski MS, Sinclair DA (2016) **Slowing ageing by design: The rise of NAD+ and sirtuin**activating compounds *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **17:**679–690 https://doi.org/10.1038/NRM.2016.93

Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2012) **Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer** *Nature* **487:**330–337 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11252

Carafa V , Altucci L , Nebbioso A (2019) **Dual Tumor Suppressor and Tumor Promoter Action of Sirtuins in Determining Malignant Phenotype** *Front Pharmacol* **10:**38 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00038

Carlberg C , Muñoz A (2022) **An update on vitamin D signaling and cancer** *Semin Cancer Biol* **79:**217–230 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.05.018

Carlberg C , Velleuer E (2022) **Vitamin D and the risk for cancer: A molecular analysis** *Biochem Pharmacol* **196:** https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BCP.2021.114735

Cerami E , Gao J , Dogrusoz U , Gross BE , Sumer SO , Aksoy BA , Jacobsen A , Byrne CJ , Heuer ML , Larsson E , Antipin Y , Reva B , Goldberg AP , Sander C , Schultz N (2012) **The cBio Cancer Genomics Portal: An open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data** *Cancer Discov* **2**:401–404

https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0095

Chandler PD , Chen WY , Ajala ON , Hazra A , Cook N , Bubes V , Lee I-M , Giovannucci EL , Willett W , Buring JE , Manson JE (2020) **Effect of Vitamin D 3 Supplements on Development of Advanced Cancer** *JAMA Netw Open* **3**: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.25850

José Manuel García-Martínez et al., 2023 eLife. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.86913.1



Chen X, Sun K, Jiao S, Cai N, Zhao X, Zou H, Xie Y, Wang Z, Zhong M, Wei L (2014) High levels of SIRT1 expression enhance tumorigenesis and associate with a poor prognosis of colorectal carcinoma patients Sci Rep 4: https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP07481

Chocarro-Calvo A , García-Martínez JM , Ardila-González S , de la Vieja A , García-Jiménez C (2013) Glucose-Induced β-Catenin Acetylation Enhances Wnt Signaling in Cancer Mol Cell **49:**474-486

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.11.022

Evans SR , Nolla J , Hanfelt J , Shabahang M , Nauta RJ , Shchepotin IB (1998) Vitamin D receptor expression as a predictive marker of biological behavior in human colorectal cancer Clinical Cancer Research 4:1591–1595

Bray F., Ferlay J., Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries CA Cancer | Clin 68:394-424 https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492

Fang J , Ianni A , Smolka C , Vakhrusheva O , Nolte H , Krüger M , Wietelmann A , Simonet NG , Adrian-Segarra JM , Vaquero A , Braun T , Bober E (2017) Sirt7 promotes adipogenesis in the mouse by inhibiting autocatalytic activation of Sirt1 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706945114

Feldman D , Krishnan A V. , Swami S , Giovannucci E , Feldman BJ (2014) The role of vitamin D in reducing cancer risk and progression Nat Rev Cancer https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3691

Fernández-Barral A , Bustamante-Madrid P , Ferrer-Mayorga G , Barbáchano A , Larriba MJ , Muñoz A (2020) Vitamin D Effects on Cell Differentiation and Stemness in Cancer Cancers (Basel) **12:**2413–2432

https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12092413

Ferrer-Mayorga G , Gómez-López G , Barbáchano A , Fernández-Barral A , Peña C , Pisano DG , Cantero R, Rojo F, Muñoz A, Larriba MJ (2017) Vitamin D receptor expression and associated gene signature in tumour stromal fibroblasts predict clinical outcome in colorectal cancer Gut 66:1449-1462

https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310977

Ferrer-Mayorga G , Larriba MJ , Crespo P , Muñoz A (2019) Mechanisms of action of vitamin D in colon cancer | Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 185:1-6 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2018.07.002

Firestein R, Blander G, Michan S, Oberdoerffer P, Ogino S, Campbell J, Bhimavarapu A, Luikenhuis S , de Cabo R , Fuchs C , Hahn WC , Guarente LP , Sinclair DA (2008) The SIRT1 **Deacetylase Suppresses Intestinal Tumorigenesis and Colon Cancer Growth** *PLoS One* **3**: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002020



Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, Sun Y, Jacobsen A, Sinha R, Larsson E , Cerami E , Sander C , Schultz N (2013) Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal Sci Signal 6:1-34 https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2004088

Giardina C , Nakanishi M , Khan A , Kuratnik A , Xu W , Brenner B , Rosenberg DW (2015) Regulation of VDR expression in Apc-mutant mice, human colon cancers and adenomas *Cancer Prevention Research* **8:**387–399 https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-14-0371

Grant WB, Boucher BJ, al Anouti F, Pilz S (2022) Comparing the Evidence from Observational Studies and Randomized Controlled Trials for Nonskeletal Health Effects of Vitamin D Nutrients 14:1-31

Gutiérrez-Salmerón M, García-Martínez JM, Martínez-Useros J, Fernández-Aceñero MJ, Viollet B, Olivier S, Chauhan J, Lucena SR, de la Vieja A, Goding CR, Chocarro-Calvo A, García-Jiménez C (2020) Paradoxical activation of AMPK by glucose drives selective EP300 activity in colorectal cancer PLoS Biol 18:

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000732

Han Y, Jin YH, Kim YJ, Kang BY, Choi HJ, Kim DW, Yeo CY, Lee KY (2008) Acetylation of Sirt2 by p300 attenuates its deacetylase activity Biochem Biophys Res Commun 375:576-580 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.08.042

Henn M, Martin-Gorgojo V, Martin-Moreno JM (2022) Vitamin D in Cancer Prevention: Gaps in Current Knowledge and Room for Hope Nutrients 14:1–32

Kim H , Lipsyc-Sharf M , Zong X , Wang X , Hur J , Song M , Wang M , Smith-Warner SA , Fuchs C , Ogino S , Wu K , Chan AT , Cao Y , Ng K , Giovannucci EL (2021) Total Vitamin D Intake and Risks of Early-Onset Colorectal Cancer and Precursors Gastroenterology 161:1208–1217 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.07.002

Kim H , Yuan C , Nguyen LH , Ng K , Giovannucci EL (2022) Prediagnostic Vitamin D Status and Colorectal Cancer Survival by Vitamin D Binding Protein Isoforms in US Cohorts J Clin Endocrinol Metab https://doi.org/10.1210/CLINEM/DGAC742

Larriba MJ , González-Sancho JM , Barbáchano A , Niell N , Ferrer-Mayorga G , Muñoz A (2013) Vitamin D is a multilevel repressor of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in cancer cells Cancers (Basel)

https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers5041242

Larriba MJ , Martín-Villar E , García JM , Pereira F , Peña C , García de Herreros A , Bonilla F , Muñoz A (2009) Snail2 cooperates with Snail1 in the repression of vitamin D receptor in **colon cancer** *Carcinogenesis* **30**: https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp140

Larriba MJ , Muñoz A (2005) SNAIL vs vitamin D receptor expression in colon cancer: therapeutics implications Br / Cancer 92:985-989 https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602484



Larriba MJ, Ordóñez-Morán P, Chicote I, Martín-Fernández G, Puig I, Muñoz A, Pálmer HG (2011) **Vitamin D receptor deficiency enhances Wnt/β-catenin signaling and tumor burden in colon cancer** *PLoS One* **6:** https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023524

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method *Methods* 25:402–408 https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Manson JE , Bassuk SS , Buring JE , VITAL Research Group (2020) **Principal results of the VITamin D and OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL) and updated meta-analyses of relevant vitamin D trials** *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **198:**105522 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2019.105522

Mendes KL , Lelis D de F , Santos SHS (2017) **Nuclear sirtuins and inflammatory signaling pathways** *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* **38:**98–105 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2017.11.001

Muñoz A , Grant WB (2022) **Vitamin D and Cancer: An Historical Overview of the Epidemiology and Mechanisms** *Overview of the Epidemiology and Mechanisms Nutrients* **14:**1448

https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14071448

Oppenheimer H , Gabay O , Meir H , Haze A , Kandel L , Liebergall M , Gagarina V , Lee EJ , Dvir-Ginzberg M (2012) **75-kd sirtuin 1 blocks tumor necrosis factor α-mediated apoptosis in human osteoarthritic chondrocytes** *Arthritis Rheum* **64:**718–728 https://doi.org/10.1002/ART.33407

Pálmer HG , Larriba MJ , García JM , Ordóñez-Morán P , Peña C , Peiró S , Puig I , Rodríguez R , de La Fuente R , Bernad A , Pollán M , Bonilla F , Gamallo C , García De Herreros A , Muñoz A (2004) **The transcription factor SNAIL represses vitamin D receptor expression and responsiveness in human colon cancer** *Nat Med* **10:**917–919 https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1095

Patel KR , Scott E , Brown VA , Gescher AJ , Steward WP , Brown K (2011) **Clinical trials of resveratrol** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1215:**161–169 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05853.x

Peña C , Garciá JM , Silva J , García V , Rodríguez R , Alonso I , Millán I , Salas C , García de Herreros A , Muñoz A , Bonilla F (2005) **E-cadherin and vitamin D receptor regulation by SNAIL and ZEB1 in colon cancer: Clinicopathological correlations** *Hum Mol Genet* **14:**3361– 3370

https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi366

Polidoro L, Properzi G, Marampon F, Gravina GL, Festuccia C, di Cesare E, Scarsella L, Ciccarelli C, Zani BM, Ferri C (2013) **Vitamin D protects human endothelial cells from H2O2 oxidant injury through the Mek/Erk-sirt1 axis activation** *J Cardiovasc Transl Res* **6**:221–231 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-012-9436-x



Ren NSX , Ji M , Tokar EJ , Busch EL , Xu X , Lewis DA , Li Xiangchun , Jin A , Zhang Y , Wu WKK , Huang W , Li L , Fargo DC , Keku TO , Sandler RS , Li Xiaoling (2017) **Haploinsufficiency of SIRT1 Enhances Glutamine Metabolism and Promotes Cancer Development** *Current Biology* **27**:483–494

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.047

Sabir MS, Khan Z, Hu C, Galligan MA, Dussik CM, Mallick S, Stone AD, Batie SF, Jacobs ET, Whitfield GK, Haussler MR, Heck MC, Jurutka PW (2017) **SIRT1 enzymatically potentiates 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 signaling via vitamin D receptor deacetylation** *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **172:**117–129

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSBMB.2017.06.010

Song M, Lee IM, Manson JAE, Buring JE, Dushkes R, Gordon D, Walter J, Wu K, Chan AT, Ogino S, Fuchs CS, Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL (2021) **No Association Between Vitamin D Supplementation and Risk of Colorectal Adenomas or Serrated Polyps in a Randomized Trial** *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* **19**:128–135 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.013

Strycharz J , Rygielska Z , Swiderska E , Drzewoski J , Szemraj J , Szmigiero L , Sliwinska A (2018) SIRT1 as a Therapeutic Target in Diabetic Complications *Curr Med Chem* 25:1002–1035 https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867324666171107103114

Vaziri H , Dessain SK , Eaton EN , Imai SI , Frye RA , Pandita TK , Guarente L , Weinberg RA (2001) hSIR2SIRT1 functions as an NAD-dependent p53 deacetylase *Cell* 107:149–159 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00527-X

Wang L , Du Y , Lu M , Li T (2012) **ASEB: a web server for KAT-specific acetylation site prediction** *Nucleic Acids Res* **40:** https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks437

Wu S , Jiang J , Liu J , Wang X , Gan Y , Tang Y (2017) **Meta-analysis of SIRT1 expression as a prognostic marker for overall survival in gastrointestinal cancer** *Oncotarget* **8**:62589–62599

https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19880

Yousafzai NA , Jin H , Ullah M , Wang X (2021) **Recent advances of SIRT1 and implications in chemotherapeutics resistance in cancer** *Am J Cancer Res* 5233–5248

Yuan Q , Zhang R , Sun M , Guo X , Yang J , Bian W , Xie C , Miao D , Mao L (2022) Sirt1 Mediates Vitamin D Deficiency-Driven Gluconeogenesis in the Liver via mTorc2/Akt Signaling

https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1755563

Zheng W, Wong KE, Zhang Z, Dougherty U, Mustafi R, Kong J, Deb DK, Zheng H, Bissonnette M, Li YC (2012) **Inactivation of the vitamin D receptor in APC(min/+) mice reveals a critical role for the vitamin D receptor in intestinal tumor growth** *Int J Cancer* **130:**10–19

https://doi.org/10.1002/IJC.25992



Author information

José Manuel García-Martínez

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

Ana Chocarro-Calvo

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

Javier Martínez-Useros

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain, Translational Oncology Division, OncoHealth Institute, Health Research Institute-University Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz-Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

María Jesús Fernández-Aceñero

Department of Surgical Pathology, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, 28040 Madrid, Spain

M. Carmen Fiuza

Department of Surgery, University Hospital Fundación Alcorcón-Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

Jose Cáceres-Rentero

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

Antonio De la Vieja

Unidad de Tumores Endocrinos (UFIEC), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 28220 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain, CIBER de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

Antonio Barbáchano

CIBER de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas "Alberto Sols", Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28029 Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain

Alberto Muñoz

CIBER de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas "Alberto Sols", Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28029 Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain

María Jesús Larriba

CIBER de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas "Alberto Sols", Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28029 Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain



Custodia García-Jiménez

Area of Physiology, Faculty Health Sciences, University Rey Juan Carlos, 28922 Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

For correspondence:

custodia.garcia@urjc.es ORCID iD: 0000-0003-0146-4424

Editors

Reviewing Editor

Roger Davis University of Massachusetts Medical School, United States of America

Senior Editor

David James University of Sydney, Australia

Reviewer #1 (Public Review):

This study demonstrates that vitamin D-bound VDR increased the expression of SIRT1 and that vitamin D-bound VDR interacts with SIRT1 to cause auto-deacetylation on Lys610 and activation of SIRT1 catalytic activity. This is an important finding that is relevant to the actions of VDR on colorectal cancer. The data presented to support the presented conclusion is convincing.

A strength of the study is that it is focused on a narrow group of conclusions.

The major weakness of the study is that the site of SIRT1 regulatory lysine acetylation is defined by mutational analysis rather than by direct biochemical analysis. This issue is partially mitigated by previous reports of K610 acetylation using mass spec (https://www.phosphosite.org/proteinAction.action?id=5946&showAllSites=true). However, Fig. 4E is reassuring because it shows that the apparent acetylation of the K610 mutant SIRT1 appears to be lower than WT SIRT1

A second weakness of the study relates to the use of shRNA-mediated knockdown of VDR for some studies in which a previously reported cell line was employed. The analysis presented would be more compelling if similar data was obtained using more than one shRNA. Similarly, only a single siRNA for SIRT1 is presented in Table 1.

A third weakness of the study is that the conclusion that the VDR interaction with SIRT1 is the cause of auto-deacetylation rather than an associated event mediated by another mechanism would be more strongly supported by mutational analysis of SIRT1 and VDR residues required for the binding interaction. Will VDR increase SIRT1 activity when mutations are introduced to block the interaction? While the finding that catalytically inactive SIRT1 does not interact with VDR is helpful, this does not address the role of the binding surface.

A fourth weakness of the study is that it would be improved by testing the proposed hypothesis through in vitro reconstitution with purified proteins. Does VDR cause auto-deacetylation and activation of Sirt1 in vitro?



Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

The authors decipher the signaling between vitamin D and proteins that are downstream of SIRT1. The importance of vitamin D in physiology is clear. However, the link between vitamin D and cancer is less clear. This study provides very interesting and solid information on the link between vitamin D and colorectal cancer. It is likely that this study will provide insight into the importance of vitamin D in other types of cancer. It may also lead to new therapeutic strategies for specific cases.

The authors focus on vitamin D-mediated signaling through VDR, SIRT1 and Ace H3K9. They highlight the importance of K610 in SIRT1 in this process. This article is convincing, although the authors can improve their study as outlined below:

* The authors should specify which cell line was used to perform the experiment in Figure 1E,F. What would be the result in the presence/absence of 1,25(OH)2D3? In Figure 1G, what is the meaning of # and ###?

* Figure 2C, it would have been ideal to show the VDR-SIRT1 interaction after a Sirt1 IP.

* I understand the authors' overall message for this figure, but it is far from clear. This section needs to be improved. For example, in Figure 3G, does this mean that the level of AceH3K9 is independent of the level of SIRT1? Is there a contradiction? The authors should indicate the color of the different stainings for Figure 3D. Do the authors mean that the secondary antibody marks in brown/red? If so, these results are inconsistent with the text considering that hematoxylin was used for non-tumor tissue. This part needs to be clarified. What about the level of FOXO3A in these tissues/tumors? What is the level of 1,25(OH)2D3 in these patients? In Figure 3D, the following information is missing: "A detailed amplification is shown in the lower left of each micrograph." In Figure 3E, it says p=0.325, in the legend p<0.01, and in the text there is a trend. Which is the correct version?

* Figure 4F. The quality of the presented blots is not optimal. It needs to be improved. In addition, the number of independent biological experiments is not indicated. In general, the authors should better indicate the number of independent biological experiments performed, at least for some of them. For example, see Figure 1G. Regarding Figure 2C, we understand that the WB was performed 3 times. Is this the case for the PI? etc...