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#### Abstract

The AT-rich mitochondrial DNA (kDNA) of trypanosomatid parasites is a target of DNA minor groove binders. We report the synthesis, antiprotozoal screening, and SAR studies of three series of analogues of the known antiprotozoal kDNA binder 2-((4-(4-((4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-3-ium-2-yl)amino)benzamido) phenyl)amino)-4,5-dihydro-1 H -imidazol-3-ium (1a). Bis(2-aminoimidazolines) (1) and bis(2-aminobenzimidazoles) (2) showed micromolar range activity against Trypanosoma brucei, whereas bisarylimidamides (3) were submicromolar inhibitors of $T$. brucei, Trypanosoma cruzi, and Leishmania donovani. None of the compounds showed relevant activity against the urogenital, nonkinetoplastid parasite Trichomonas vaginalis. We show that 

\section*{SAR studies} PhysChem: $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$, logP,solubility DNA binding NUNU Hepatic metabolism Antiprotozoal activity: T. brucei: $\mathrm{EC}_{50}<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ T. cruzi: $\mathrm{EC}_{50}<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ L. donovani: $\mathrm{EC}_{50}<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ T. vaginalis series 1 and 3 bind strongly and selectively to the minor groove of AT DNA, whereas series 2 also binds by intercalation. The measured $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ indicated different ionization states at pH 7.4 , which correlated with the DNA binding affinities $\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ for series 2 and 3 . Compound 3a, which was active and selective against the three parasites and displayed adequate metabolic stability, is a fine candidate for in vivo studies.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Neglected tropical diseases caused by kinetoplastid parasites (i.e., Trypanosoma cruzi, Trypanosoma brucei, and Leishmania) are a great cause of suffering around the world. American and African trypanosomiases, as well as leishmaniasis, threaten millions of people mainly in the least developed countries. ${ }^{1-4}$ Available therapies to treat these illnesses are not satisfactory as they often present poor efficacy against drug-resistant parasite strains or a particular stage of the disease or patient condition (e.g., chronic Chagas disease, late-stage rhodesiense sleeping sickness, and HIV/leishmaniasis coinfection), and habitually require prolonged treatment regimens and high doses, with associated severe side effects. ${ }^{4-6}$ In addition, T. brucei rhodesiense, T. cruzi, and Leishmania spp. are all zoonotic and cause disease in various domestic animals as well, for which the treatment options are even more limited. ${ }^{7-9}$ Hence, new antiprotozoal drugs are needed to improve this far from ideal therapeutic arsenal.
Several classes of promising new chemical entities (NCE) are currently in clinical development under the aegis of the Drugs for Neglected Diseases initiative (DNDi). ${ }^{10}$ For instance, the polyadenylation specificity factor 3 (CPSF3) inhibitor acoziborole has reached phase IIb/III for the singledose oral treatment of gambiense human African trypanoso-
miasis (HAT), ${ }^{11}$ and its derivative DNDI-6148 is a lead candidate in phase I for leishmaniasis ${ }^{12}$ and Chagas disease. ${ }^{13}$ Other NCEs being developed for visceral leishmaniasis include the nitroimidazole DNDI-0690, the proteasome inhibitors LXE408 and GSK3494245/DDD01305143, and the cdc2related kinase 12 (CRK12) inhibitor GSK899/DDD853651. ${ }^{13}$

Bis(2-aminoimidazolines) are a class of AT-rich DNA minor groove binders (MGBs) with established in vitro and in vivo efficacy against $T$. brucei. The $N$-phenylbenzamide derivative 1a, which was curative by oral administration in an acute mouse model of African trypanosomiasis, is the prototype of this series (Chart 1). ${ }^{14-18}$ Strong experimental evidence suggested that this compound can displace High Mobility Group (HMG)-box-containing proteins that are essential for kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) function from their DNA binding sites. This interaction led to the disruption of kDNA and
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Chart 1. Prototype of Bis(2-aminoimidazoline) DNA Minor Groove Binder Active against T. brucei (1a) and New Series (1, 2, and 3) Prepared for SAR Studies

eventually to the death of the parasite. ${ }^{19}$ Hence, these compounds were chosen as starting points to develop new molecules against all three main kinetoplastid parasite species, and potentially also against parasites containing AT-rich nuclear DNA (e.g., Trichomonas vaginalis).

In spite of their action on kDNA and their excellent activity against T. brucei, bis(2-aminoimidazolines) are significantly less active against Leishmania or T. cruzi. ${ }^{16,17}$ For instance, lead compound 1a displays a 5 -fold lower activity against promastigotes of $L$. donovani $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=4.29 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ than against bloodstream forms of T. b. brucei $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.83 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{20}$ and was inactive against T. cruzi (Table 1). Since a drug targeting the kDNA of intracellular amastigotes of Leishmania or T. cruzi will have to cross 4 membranes (host cell membrane, parasitophorous vacuole membrane, parasite cell membrane, and mitochondrial membranes) to reach its target, optimum membrane permeability (or efficient, mediated drug uptake) will be required to observe antiparasitic activity against these intracellular forms. We reported before that the chemical modification of bis(2-aminoimidazoline) compounds to lower their $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ can lead to a notable improvement in membrane permeability and antitrypanosomal activity of these dicationic compounds. ${ }^{17,20}$
In this study, we decided to combine different chemical strategies to modify the physicochemical properties of lead compound 1a in order to improve its activity against the intracellular parasites L. donovani and T. cruzi and conserve its binding affinity toward kDNA. We have shown earlier that the three NH groups of each 2 -aminoimidazolinium group of $\mathbf{1 a}$ are crucial for binding to AT-rich DNA because they form hydrogen bonds with thymine and adenine from both DNA strands. ${ }^{18,19}$ In addition, the nitrogen atoms that are not hydrogen bonded to DNA are associated with water molecules. ${ }^{19}$ Thus, new derivatives replacing the 2 -aminoimidazoline rings of 1 a by the more acidic 1 H -benzimidazol-2ylamine (series 2) or pyridine-2-carboxamidine (series 3)
heterocycles were synthesized. The central scaffold was also modified by incorporating lipophilic and/or electronegative substituents ( F and Cl ) and large electron-donating substituents ( $\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ ) (Chart 1). Hence, three families of compounds with structural characteristics related to 1a were prepared, their physicochemical properties ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}, \log P$, and solubility) were determined, and the compounds were assayed in vitro against four protozoan parasites (T. brucei, T. cruzi, L. donovani, and T. vaginalis) for SAR studies. To evaluate the potential of the new compounds to target kDNA, we also assessed their DNA binding affinity and mode of binding with AT-rich and GC-rich DNA using different biophysical techniques. Finally, the metabolic stability of one selected candidate, active against all three trypanosomatid parasites, was measured in vitro to assess its potential for further in vivo studies.

## 2. RESULTS

2.1. Chemistry. The starting material dianilines $\mathbf{5 b}-1$ were synthesized in two steps from commercially available 4nitroanilines that were reacted with 4-nitrobenzoyl chlorides to give the 4 -nitro- N -(4-nitrophenyl)benzamide intermediates $\mathbf{4 b} \mathbf{- 1}$ in good yield ( $65-96 \%$ ) (Scheme 1). ${ }^{20}$ The noncommercially available 2 -isopropoxy-4-nitrobenzoic acid was synthesized as reported earlier. ${ }^{21}$ The reduction of the nitro groups was carried out by Parr hydrogenation with $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ or with $\operatorname{tin}$ (II) chloride dihydrate $/ \mathrm{HCl}_{\text {cat. }}$ in EtOH at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{22}$ for chlorine-containing compounds ( $\mathbf{5 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{g}$ ). Diamine $\mathbf{5 a}$ was commercially available. Bis(imidazolidin-2-imine) derivatives $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{i}$ were synthesized from diamines $\mathbf{5 c - i}$ using an excess of di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ${ }^{23}$ (3 equiv) in the presence of an excess of triethylamine ( 7 equiv, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (3 equiv) in dry DMF (Scheme 1). ${ }^{20}$ Since this reaction proceeds slowly at room temperature when working with poorly nucleophilic anilines (e.g., a low conversion rate was observed by HPLC-MS after 7 days),
Table 1. In Vitro Antiprotozoal Activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{a}$ and Cytotoxicity $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Bis}($ imidazolidin-2-imines) 1a and 1c-i (Series 1$)$


 | घ |
| :--- |
| $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
|  | T. vaginalis $^{j}$

JH31A\#
nt
$>40$
$>40$
$>40$
$>40$
$>40$
$>40$
$>40$空 $\stackrel{\square}{a}$
 ${ }^{a}$ Data are means $\pm$ SEM from three independent experiments $(n=3) .{ }^{b}$ Bloodstream trypomastigotes of $T$. $b$. brucei wild-type strain $s 427$. The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: $\mathrm{SI}^{3}=\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ (HEK)/EC ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{WT}) .{ }^{c} T . b$. brucei strain resistant to pentamidine. ${ }^{30}{ }^{d}$ Resistance factor $=\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{~B} 48) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (WT). ${ }^{e}$ Human endothelial kidney cells. ${ }^{f}$ Epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of $T$. cruzi strain CL-B5 lacZ (DTU TcVI). The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: $=\mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mathrm{~L} 929) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{cruzi}) .{ }^{g}$ Cytotoxicity on $\mathrm{L929}$ fibroblasts. ${ }^{h}$ Promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of $L$. donovani strain HU3. The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: $=\mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mathrm{THP}-1) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}\left(L\right.$. donovani). ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Cytotoxicity}$ on THP-1 cells. ${ }^{j} T$. vaginalis isolate JH31A\#4. The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: $=\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ (Vero CCL-81)/EC $\mathrm{E}_{50}$ (T. vaginalis). ${ }^{k}$ Not tested. ${ }^{l}$ Pentamidine. ${ }^{m}$ Diminazene. ${ }^{n}$ Phenylarsine oxide. ${ }^{o}$ Benznidazole. ${ }^{p}$ Amphotericin B. ${ }^{q}$ Metronidazole.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Starting Material Diamines 5b-1 and Target Bis(imidazolidin-2-imine) Derivatives 1c-i ${ }^{a}$



| Cmpd | X | Y | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | CH | CH | H | H | H | H |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | CH | $\mathbf{N}$ | H | H | H | H |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | N | N | H | H | H | H |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | CH | CH | Cl | H | Cl | H |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | CH | CH | Cl | H | H | Cl |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | CH | CH | H | Cl | Cl | H |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | CH | CH | H | Cl | H | Cl |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | CH | CH | H | $\mathrm{O}^{i}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $\mathrm{O}^{i}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | H |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | CH | CH | F | H | F | H |
| $\mathbf{j}$ | CH | CH | F | H | H | F |
| $\mathbf{k}$ | CH | CH | H | F | F | H |
| $\mathbf{l}$ | CH | CH | H | F | H | F |

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) carboxylic acid, $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 f}-\mathbf{l}$ ), or $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}_{\text {cat }} 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for $\mathbf{4 c}$ ); (ii) aniline, DIPEA, dry toluene, rt; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HCl}_{\text {cat }}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for $5 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd-C $5 \%$, EtOAc, rt (for $\mathbf{5 b}-\mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{sh}-\mathrm{l}$ ); (v) $5 \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}$, di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate, $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~h}-7$ days (20-88\%); (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{TFA}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(23-85 \%)$.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of $\operatorname{Bis}\left(2\right.$-aminobenzimidazoles) $2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}, 12$, 13, and $16^{a b}$



${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions. (i) $\mathrm{CSCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$, rt (24-92\%); (ii) benzene-1,2-diamine, EDC•HCl, DMF, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15-61 \%) .{ }^{b}$ See Scheme 1 and Table 2 for substituent patterns of $2 \mathbf{a}$ and $2 \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{g}$.
heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was required to reduce the reaction time. The progress and completion of the reaction were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and by HPLC-MS.
In most cases, the reaction did not go to completion, and a mixture of mono- and disubstituted compounds was obtained. The crude Boc-protected products were purified by centrifugal PTLC on silica plates previously deactivated with a $9: 1$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ mixture ( $\mathbf{6 c}-\mathbf{h}$ ) or by column chromatography using neutral aluminum oxide (6i) (Scheme 1). Removal of the Boc-protecting groups was accomplished with TFA at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
to yield the corresponding bis(imidazolidin-2-imine) compounds $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{i}$ as trifluoroacetate salts. In this reaction, working at low temperature in the absence of the protic solvent (e.g., using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was important to avoid the rupture of the $\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}$ bond, resulting in the loss of the imidazoline ring and the generation of the amine starting material. Compound $\mathbf{1 i}$ was obtained in low yield because several recrystallizations were necessary to remove traces of the monosubstituted byproduct.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) 3a-1, 18, 19, and 23 ${ }^{a b}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) diamine ( $\mathbf{5 a - c}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3: 1)$, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}-5$ days ( $6-39 \%$ ); (ii) diamine 5 a , picolinyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, rt (94\%); (iii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MW}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h (12-67\%); (iv) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{TFA}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $76-97 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{\mathrm{g}}$-dioxane solution, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $65-67 \%$ ); (vi) DMF, rt, 2-12 days ( $61-83 \%$ ). ${ }^{b}$ See Scheme 1 and Table 3 for the substituent pattern of $3 \mathrm{c}-1$.
$\operatorname{Bis}(2$-aminobenzimidazoles) $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}-\mathrm{g}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and 16 were synthesized by reaction of the isothiocyanate precursors (7a, $7 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}, 10,11$, and 15 , respectively) with benzene-1,2-diamine, followed by in situ cyclization of the thiourea intermediate using EDC hydrochloride as a promoting agent (Scheme 2). Isothiocyanates $7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}, 10,11$, and 15 were prepared from the corresponding diamines ( $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}, 8,9$, and 14 ) using thiophosgene. ${ }^{15}$
The synthesis of bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) 3a, 3b, 18, and 19 was carried out in low yield ( $6-39 \%$ ) by the reaction of S-(2-naphthylmethyl)-2-pyridylthioimidate hydrobromide ${ }^{24} 17$ with diamines $5 \mathbf{5 a - c}, \mathbf{8}$, and 9 in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (3:1) following a reported protocol (Scheme 3). ${ }^{25}$ With the
aminopyridine scaffolds $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$, the monosubstituted derivatives $\mathbf{3 b} \_\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c} \_\mathbf{c}$ were isolated as major byproducts of the reaction by silica chromatography. With 5 c , no disubstituted product (3c) was isolated, although it was observed $(\approx 20 \%)$ by HPLC-MS in the crude reaction mixture. The substitution pattern of $\mathbf{3 b} \_\mathbf{b}$ and $3 \mathbf{c} \_\mathbf{c}$ was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ 2D NMR spectroscopy (COSY, HSQC, and HMBC). For compound 3c_c, the broad peak at 6.21 ppm integrating for 2 H (i.e., free $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ) shows strong cross peaks with C-2 (134.5 ppm) and C-3 (119.4 ppm) of the picolinamide ring, implying that 3 c _c is substituted via the C $2^{\prime}$ amino group (Scheme 3). The substitution pattern of $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{b}$ is similar to that of 3 c _c, as shown by the HMBC cross peak
Table 2. In Vitro Antiprotozoal Activity ( $\left.\mathrm{EC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{a}$ and Cytotoxicity $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Bis}(2$-aminobenzimidazoles) 2a-g, 12, 13 and 16 (Series 2)

| Nero CCL-81 |
| :---: |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| nt |
| 41.4 |




$\qquad$ $\underset{1}{\circ}$ $>40$
$>40$ $33.2 \pm 2.7$
$(1.2)$


| THY- $1^{i}$ <br> nt <br> $>50$ <br> $>50$ <br> $>50$ <br> $>50$ <br> $>50$ <br> $14.1 \pm 4.4$ <br> $>50$ <br> $12.1 \pm 2.6$. |
| :--- |


$\rightarrow$

(330) (121.6)
$23.1 \pm 4.0$

| $2.56 \pm 0.58$ | $>300$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(>117)$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Data are means $\pm$ SEM from three independent experiments $(n=3) .{ }^{b}$ Bloodstream trypomastigotes of $T$. b. bruce wild-type strain s427. The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: SI $=$ CC ${ }_{50}$ (HEK)/EC ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{WT}) .{ }^{c}$ T. b. bruce strain resistant to pentamidine. ${ }^{30}{ }^{d}$ Resistance factor $=\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{~B} 48) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{WT}) .{ }^{e}$ Human endothelial kidney cells. ${ }^{f}$ Epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of $T$. cruzi strain CL-B5 lacK (DTU TcVI). The selectivity index is indicated between brackets: $=\mathrm{CC}_{50}(\mathrm{L929}) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{cruzi}) .{ }^{g}$ Cytotoxicity on L 929 fibroblasts. ${ }^{h}$ Promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of $L$.
 index is indicated between brackets: $=\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ (Verso CCL-81)/EC 50 (T. vaginalis). ${ }^{k}$ Not tested. ${ }^{1}$ Pentamidine. ${ }^{m}$ Diminazene. ${ }^{n}$ Phenylarsine oxide. ${ }^{o}$ Benznidazole. ${ }^{p}$ Amphotericin B. ${ }^{q}$ Metronidazole.
between the pyridine $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}(8.53 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the amidine quaternary $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}(151.7 \mathrm{ppm})$ carbon. Of note, the chemical shifts of the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(3 \mathrm{c} \_\mathbf{c}: 6.21 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathbf{3 b} \_\mathbf{b}: 5.21 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ were consistent with the experimental values observed in the starting material diamines 5 c $(6.12 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $5 \mathbf{b}$ ( 5.06 ppm ), respectively.
For the synthesis of halogen-containing bis(pyridine-2carboxamidines) $3 \mathrm{c}-1$, dianiline precursors $5 \mathrm{c}-1$ were reacted with N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)pyridine-2-carbimidothioate (21) in the presence of $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Scheme 3). ${ }^{26}$ We have shown recently that this protocol is useful for the synthesis of bis(arylimidamides) from electron-poor dianilines, where reagent 17 failed to provide the expected products. ${ }^{26}$ Using an excess of the reagents and microwave irradiation as the heating source ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ), 24c-1 were obtained smoothly by silica and/or reverse phase chromatography. Boc group removal was performed with TFA or with $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{g}$-dioxane solution. This protocol also works satisfactorily with different anilines such as $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 h}, \mathbf{8},{ }^{26} 9$, and $\mathbf{1 4}$.

Eventually, we noticed that the yields of the synthesis of bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) using reagent 17 were greatly improved when DMF was used as solvent instead of the "classical" mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. In fact, even the fluorine-containing compounds $3 \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{l}$ could be obtained in $61-78 \%$ yield using this solvent and 4.5 equiv of 17 (Scheme 3, see method C2 in the Experimental Part).
2.2. Biology. 2.2.1. Antiprotozoal Activity. The activity of the three series of compounds was tested in vitro against three trypanosomatid parasites (T. brucei, T. cruzi, and L. donovani) and against the urogenital human parasite T. vaginalis (Tables 1 , 2, and 3). Unspecific cytotoxicity against different mammalian cell lines (i.e., HEK, L929 fibroblasts, THP-1, and Vero CCL-80) was also tested to determine the selectivity indexes (SI) against the parasites.

The bis(2-aminoimidazolines) and the bis(2-aminobenzimidazoles) (series 1 and 2 , respectively) were mostly active against T. b. brucei with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the micromolar range (Tables 1 and 2), whereas the bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) (series 3) displayed low micromolar to submicromolar range activities against all trypanosomatid parasites (Table 3 and SAR studies below). In contrast, only two compounds from series 1 and 2 ( $1 \mathrm{~h}: \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{O}^{i} \operatorname{Pr}$; and 12) showed antileishmanial effects in the low micromolar range against promastigotes of $L$. donovani with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=7.6 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{THP}-1 / L . d .)}\right)$ $>6.5)$ and $8.7 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{SI}_{\mathrm{THP}-1 / L . d .}=1.6\right)$, respectively. Moreover, the compounds were inactive against amastigotes of $L$. donovani at the highest concentration tested (i.e., $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). None of the compounds from series $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 showed appreciable activity against epimastigotes of T. cruzi CL-B5 (DTU TcVI), and as such, they were not assayed on intracellular amastigote forms.

Few compounds displayed marginal trichomonacidal activity: the fluorene-derived bisbenzimidazole 16 and the bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) 3c, 3e, 3g, and 31 exhibited $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the range $25.7-33.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$, whereas derivatives 3 i and $3 \mathbf{j}$ were slightly more potent with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=11.5$ and $17 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). According to the sequential procedure in this antiparasitic model, ${ }^{27}$ the unspecific cytotoxicity of the above-mentioned compounds was tested against Vero CCL-81 cells. After 24 h in contact with mammalian cells, $\mathbf{1 6}$ caused the reduction of about $50 \%$ of the cell culture at the highest concentration evaluated (i.e., 40 $\mu \mathrm{M})$, while $\mathbf{3 e}, \mathbf{3 i}$, and $3 \mathbf{j}$ presented higher cytotoxicity $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right.$
$=10-30 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Only 3 g and 31 exhibited minimal cytotoxic effects on mammalian cells at $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$. According to the present data, we conclude that these compounds have little or no selective antitrichomonal activity $\left(\mathrm{SI}_{(\text {VeroCCL-81/T.v. })}<7\right)$. As such, we focus below on their antikinetoplastid properties.
2.2.2. SAR Studies. Bis(2-aminoimidazoline) Series 1 (Table 1). Most of the chlorine-containing derivatives ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{e}-$ g ) showed activity against both WT and drug-resistant (B48) strains of T. b. brucei in the low to medium micromolar range $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=5.7\right.$ to $\left.48.4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Compound 1e $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $5.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), with both chlorine atoms in positions ortho to the imidazoline rings, was approximately 2 - and 8 -times more potent than the analogues with just one Cl atom ortho to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathbf{1 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 g}$, respectively). In fact, $\mathbf{1 e}$ was the most active and selective compound among the bis(2aminoimidazoline) derivatives with $\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{HEK} / \text { T.b. })}>35$. The introduction of two fluorine atoms in positions $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ led to a drastic drop (1i) of the anti-T. brucei activity with respect to the unsubstituted lead $\mathbf{1 a}$. In contrast, the introduction of hydrophobic isopropoxy moieties in the scaffold ( $1 \mathrm{~h}: \mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{3}=$ $\left.\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)$ provided a micromolar range trypanocide $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=17.6\right.$ $\left.\mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{SI}_{(\text {HEK /T.b. })}>5.6\right)$. Replacement of the $N$-phenylbenzamide scaffold of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with a $N$-(pyridin-2-yl)picolinamide skeleton (1c) was detrimental (25.5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, SI > 5.7). Importantly, the cytotoxicity assays against both HEK cells and L929 fibroblasts showed that the bis(imidazolidin-2amino) derivatives are nontoxic to mammalian cells, consistent with previous observations. ${ }^{18,20,28}$ Although 1i was borderline more active against B 48 parasites ( $\mathrm{RF}=0.73$; $P=0.051$ ), the compounds were equipotent against the multidrug-resistant strain B 48 (i.e., RF $\approx 1, P>0.05$ ), indicating that the P 2 and aquaporin-2 (AQP2) transporters are not involved in its uptake, unlike the melaminophenyl arsenical class of trypanocides and the diamidine minor groove binders like diminazene, DB75, and pentamidine. ${ }^{29-31}$ As resistance to those drugs is associated with changes in the P2 and AQP2 transporters, nondependence on these drug transporters ensures that no cross-resistance with the existing diamidine and arsenical trypanocidal drugs is likely to occur. ${ }^{32}$
2.2.3. Bis(2-aminobenzimidazole) Series 2 (Table 2). Six compounds ( $\mathbf{2 d}-\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{1 2}$, and 16) displayed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values $<6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against both the WT and B48 strains of T. brucei, with selectivity indexes vs HEK cells ranging from 2.9 (2f) to 12.1 (2e). This represented $a>15$-fold increase in activity compared to unsubstituted compound $2 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=85.6 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Like series 1, chlorine atoms ortho to both 2 -aminobenzimidazole moieties produced the best anti-T. brucei compound ( $2 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{R}_{2} /$ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.68 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{HEK} / \text { T.b.) }}=12.1\right)$. In contrast, the presence of one ( $\mathbf{2 g}$ ) or two chlorine atoms ( $\mathbf{2 f}$ ) ortho to the amide linker was unfavorable, with a loss of activity [ 1.5 -fold $\left(P=5.4 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and 3.5 -fold $\left(P=1.1 \times 10^{-6}\right)$, respectively, $n$ $=5)]$ and selectivity ( $3-4$ fold) relative to 2 e . Replacement of the $N$-phenylbenzamide scaffold with $N$-(pyridin-2-yl)picolinamide (2c) also resulted in a loss of activity against $T$. $\operatorname{brucei}\left(P=3.8 \times 10^{-11}, n=5\right)$, as well as a decrease of the cytotoxicity against mammalian (HEK) cells $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}=80.9 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, $P=1.2 \times 10^{-4}, n=3$ ). Replacement of the $N$-phenylbenzamide scaffold with 1,2 -diphenylethane (12) or $9 H$ fluorene (16) maintained the potency against $T$. brucei compared to the best compound $2 \mathbf{e}(P>0.05)$. In contrast, a 1,3 -diphenylurea scaffold (13) led to an almost complete loss of activity vs 2 e as well as the lowest cytotoxicity against HEK cells. The effect of replacing the 2 -aminoimidazoline rings with
Table 3. In Vitro Antiprotozoal Activity ( $\left.\mathrm{EC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{a}$ and Cytotoxicity ( $\left.\mathrm{CC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ of Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) 3a-1, 18, 19, 20, and 23 (Series 3)

| cpd | T. brucei |  |  | $\mathrm{HEK}^{e}$ | T. cruzif |  | L929 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | L. donovani ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  | THP-1 ${ }^{i}$ | T. vaginalis ${ }^{j}$ | Vero CCL-81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{WT}^{\text {b }}$ | B48 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{RF}^{\text {d }}$ |  | epimast. | amast. |  | promast. | amast. |  |  |  |
| 3a | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \pm 0.02 \\ & (>500) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \pm 0.03 \\ & (>1250) \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | >200 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \pm 0.02 \\ & (424) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \pm 0.34 \\ & (69.6) \end{aligned}$ | $89.1 \pm 8.3$ | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \pm 0.20 \\ & (>76.9) \end{aligned}$ | >50 | >40 | $n t^{k}$ |
| 3b | $\begin{aligned} & 23.7 \pm 0.7 \\ & (>4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $24.0 \pm 0.4$ | 1.01 | >100 | >40 | nt | >200 | $1.47 \pm 0.46$ | >10 | >50 | >40 | nt |
| 3b_b | nt | nt |  | >100 | >40 | nt | >200 | >20 | nt | >50 | >40 | nt |
| 3c | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \pm 0.01 \\ & (118) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \pm 0.02 \\ & (40.5) \end{aligned}$ | 2.92 | $14.2 \pm 0.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \pm 0.07 \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | nt | $0.79 \pm 0.08$ | $0.97 \pm 0.24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \pm 0.06 \\ & (20.3) \end{aligned}$ | $11.2 \pm 3.3$ | 32.5 | nt |
| 3 c _c | nt | nt |  | >100 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.23 \pm 0.91 \\ & (10.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >10 \\ & (<8.4) \end{aligned}$ | $84.4 \pm 8.9$ | >20 | nt | $40.2 \pm 3.3$ | nt | nt |
| 3d | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \pm 1.9 \\ & (4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $12.5 \pm 2.0$ | 1.2 | $46.0 \pm 0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \pm 0.00 \\ & (1495) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \pm 0.09 \\ & (77.3) \end{aligned}$ | $44.9 \pm 7.6$ | $1.63 \pm 0.29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \pm 0.30 \\ & (20.4) \end{aligned}$ | $18.6 \pm 2.4$ | >40 | nt |
| 3 e | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \pm 0.04 \\ & (134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.69 \pm 0.16 \\ & (48.4) \end{aligned}$ | 2.76 | $33.4 \pm 3.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06 \pm 0.00 \\ & (159.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55 \pm 0.17 \\ & (17.4) \end{aligned}$ | $9.55 \pm 1.50$ | $1.44 \pm 0.13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.78 \pm 0.11 \\ & (21.1) \end{aligned}$ | $16.4 \pm 1.3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.7 \\ & (1.1) \end{aligned}$ | 28.0 |
| 3f | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \pm 2.7 \\ & (>6.5) \end{aligned}$ | $28.1 \pm 2.6$ | 1.81 | >100 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.7 \pm 1.8 \\ & (>6.1) \end{aligned}$ | nt | >200 | >20 | nt | >50 | >40 | nt |
| 3 g | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \pm 0.96 \\ & (3.7) \end{aligned}$ | $7.6 \pm 0.8$ | 0.81 | $35.1 \pm 3.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \pm 0.00 \\ & (3454) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.26 \pm 0.04 \\ & (398) \end{aligned}$ | $103.6 \pm 10.3$ | $0.89 \pm 0.22$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.56 \pm 0.41 \\ & (19.3) \end{aligned}$ | $49.4 \pm 6.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.1 \\ & (>1.1) \end{aligned}$ | >40 |
| 3h | $\begin{aligned} & 5.07 \pm 0.03 \\ & (13.6) \end{aligned}$ | $3.96 \pm 0.22$ | 0.78 | $69.0 \pm 1.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.52 \pm 0.26 \\ & (11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \pm 0.08 \\ & (24.3) \end{aligned}$ | $85.2 \pm 4.8$ | $3.00 \pm 0.51$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.19 \pm 0.57 \\ & (>11.9) \end{aligned}$ | >50 | $>40$ | nt |
| 3 i | nt | nt |  | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \pm 0.02 \\ & (34.1) \end{aligned}$ | nt | $7.51 \pm 1.65$ | nt | nt | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \\ & (1.7) \end{aligned}$ | 19.5 |
| 3 j | nt | nt |  | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \pm 0.08 \\ & (<11.8) \end{aligned}$ | nt | <6.25 | nt | nt | $n t$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & (1.6) \end{aligned}$ | 10.7 |
| 3k | nt | nt |  | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \pm 0.04 \\ & (33.9) \end{aligned}$ | nt | $15.9 \pm 0.7$ | nt | nt | nt | >40 | nt |
| 31 | nt | nt |  | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \pm 0.01 \\ & (165) \end{aligned}$ | nt | $24.7 \pm 4.1$ | nt | nt | nt | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \\ & (>1.4) \end{aligned}$ | >40 |
| 18 | >100 | $50.1 \pm 2.7$ | <0.5 | >100 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.33 \pm 0.65 \\ & (>46.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.66 \pm 0.75 \\ & (>26.1) \end{aligned}$ | >200 | $0.33 \pm 0.06$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11 \pm 0.23 \\ & (>45.0) \end{aligned}$ | >50 | >40 | nt |
| 19 | $11.09 \pm 0.49$ | $10.77 \pm 0.88$ | 0.98 | nt | nt | nt | nt | nt | nt | nt | nt | nt |
| 20 | > 100 | > 100 |  | >100 | >40 | nt | >200 | >20 | nt | nt | >40 | nt |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \pm 0.3 \\ & (10.8) \end{aligned}$ | $5.0 \pm 0.3$ | 0.93 | $58.5 \pm 6.2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \pm 0.13 \\ & (71.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.26 \pm 0.30 \\ & (47.5) \end{aligned}$ | $59.8 \pm 8.4$ | $0.77 \pm 0.19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \pm 0.12 \\ & (47.4) \end{aligned}$ | $46.5 \pm 3.5$ | >40 | nt |

Table 3. continued

| cpd | T. brucei |  |  | $\mathrm{HEK}^{e}$ | T. cruzif |  | L929 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | L. donovani ${ }^{h}$ |  | THP-1 ${ }^{i}$ | T. vaginalis ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Vero CCL-81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{WT}^{\text {b }}$ | B48 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{RF}^{\text {d }}$ |  | epimast. | amast. |  | promast. | amast. |  |  |  |
| Penta. ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00034 \pm 0.00002 \\ & (3852) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.111 \pm 0.003 \\ & (11.8) \end{aligned}$ | 325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimi. ${ }^{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.010 \pm 0.0007 \\ & (131) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.012 \pm 0.0008 \\ & (109) \end{aligned}$ | 1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PAO}^{n}$ |  |  |  | $1.31 \pm 0.22$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzn. ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \pm 2.1 \\ & (>7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54 \pm 0.1 \\ & (>370) \end{aligned}$ | >200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AmB}^{p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \pm 0.01 \\ & (330) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \pm 0.05 \\ & (121.6) \end{aligned}$ | $23.1 \pm 4.0$ |  |  |
| Metro. ${ }^{\text {q }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.56 \pm 0.58$ | >300 |







2-aminobenzimidazole heterocycles was dramatic, with a 100 fold lower activity against T. brucei for analogue $\mathbf{2 a}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ $85.6 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to lead 1a $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.83 \mu \mathrm{M}, P=2.0 \times\right.$ $10^{-6}$ ).
2.2.4. Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidine) Series 3 (Table 3). Within this series, the anti-T. brucei activity was disparate. Three compounds ( $3 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{R}_{1}-\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{e}: \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl}$, and 3 c : $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N}$ ) displayed submicromolar $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values against $T$. brucei WT (i.e., $0.4,0.25$, and $0.12 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) with $\mathrm{SI}_{\text {(HEK/WT) }}>118$. The rest of the compounds were either effective in the micromolar range but relatively poorly selective (3b, 3d, 3f-h, 1,3-diphenylurea 19, and fluorene 23) or inactive (1,2-diphenylethane $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 20). Several compounds, 3a, 3h, and 18, were marginally more active against B48 ( $\mathrm{RF}<$ 0.81 ), whereas compounds 3 c and 3 f were slightly less potent against the strain B 48 ( $\mathrm{RF}=1.81$ and 2.92, respectively; $P<$ 0.05 ), although the modest difference, compared to pentamidine controls ( $\mathrm{RF}=325$ ), appears to indicate that uptake mechanisms other than TbAQP2 and TbAT1/P2 are principally involved. The rest of the active compounds ( $3 \mathbf{b}$, $3 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{e}, 3 \mathrm{~g}$, and 23 ) were equipotent against the multidrugresistant strain B 48 (i.e., $\mathrm{RF} \approx 1 ; P>0.05$ ), and it is clear that the P2 and TbAQP2 transporters do not play a major role in the uptake of this chemical class. Compound $3 a\left(R_{1}-R_{4}=H\right)$, which displayed high efficacy and selectivity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.16 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, $\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{HEK} / \mathrm{B} 48)}>1250$ ) similar to the control drug pentamidine $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.11 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ against the multidrug resistant strain B48 and much more active than most of the other current trypanocides against wild-type $T$. brucei (e.g., nitrofuran nifurtimox, $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, nitroimidazole fexinidazole, 1.0 $\mu \mathrm{M},{ }^{33}$ difluoromethylornithine, $22 \mu \mathrm{M}^{34}$ ), emerged as a promising lead compound against $T$. brucei.

The anti-T. cruzi activity of series 3 was outstanding, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values on epimastigotes in the midnanomolar range and $\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{L} 929 / \text { epi })}$ from 159 to 3454 for the chlorine-containing derivatives $3 e\left(R_{1} / R_{4}=C l\right)<3 d\left(R_{1} / R_{3}=C l\right)<3 g\left(R_{2} / R_{4}=\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl})$. These were $9-, 7$-, and 5 -fold more active than the fluorine-containing counterparts $3 \mathbf{j}$, $3 \mathbf{i}$, and $3 \mathbf{l}$, respectively. In contrast, the fluorine-containing analogue $3 k\left(R_{2} / R_{3}=F\right)$ was 69 times more potent than its chloro analogue 3f. This difference could be due to the capacity of fluorine atoms in position ortho to the amide bridge to form intramolecular hydrogen bonds (IMHB) with the NH group, thus shielding polarity and improving membrane permeability. ${ }^{35}$ Other compounds displayed activities in the submicromolar [i.e., 3a $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}-\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}\right)>$ fluorene $\left.23>3 c(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N})\right]$ and low micromolar range $(\mathbf{1 8}>\mathbf{3 h})$. The anti- $T$. cruzi activity against intracellular amastigotes was maintained for most series 3 compounds, although a loss of potency of $\sim 6$ to 20 -fold was observed for 3a, 3d, 3e, and $\mathbf{3 g}$; even in those cases, however, the activity remained very promising (amastigote $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.26-$ $1.28 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Remarkably, the activity of compound $3 \mathbf{h}$, which has two large hydrophobic isopropoxy groups ( $\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ ), was twice as active against intracellular amastigotes as against epimastigotes, probably indicating that these substituents are favorable for crossing the host cell membrane (i.e., to reach the intracellular parasites), although the mechanism by which this occurs has not yet been studied and the compound was nontoxic to HEK cells. This trend was confirmed with intracellular amastigotes of L. donovani, which were equally susceptible to 3 h as promastigotes, and it could be noted that the addition of such groups would be deleterious to recognition by at least some protozoan drug transporters. ${ }^{36,37}$

Table 4. Physicochemical Parameters and DNA Binding Affinity: Thermal Melting Increases ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) and Binding Constants Determined by SPR-Biosensor Experiments

| cmpd | physicochemical parameters |  |  | DNA binding affinity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \pm \mathrm{SD}^{a}$ | \% ionization | $\log P^{d}$ | $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{f}$ |  | $\mathrm{M}^{g}$ |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}\right)^{b}$ | at $\mathrm{pH} 7.4^{c}$ | $(\exp . \log P)^{e}$ | $(\mathrm{AT})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}{ }^{h}$ | (CG) ${ }_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1a | $\begin{aligned} & 9.29 \pm 0.07^{20} \\ & (9.20 \pm 0.02 ; 10.26 \pm 0.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.7 \\ & (98.4 ; 99.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.62 \\ & (0.21)^{e} \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | $0.17{ }^{i}$ | $>100^{j}$ |
| 1c | $8.17 \pm 0.07$ | 85.5 | -0.91 | 8.2 | 0.38 | >100 |
|  | $\left(1.03 \pm 0.01^{\circ} ; 8.08 \pm 0.00 ; 9.24 \pm 0.03\right)$ | (82.7; 98.6) |  |  |  |  |
| 1d | $8.20 \pm 0.10$ | 86.3 | 1.65 | 7.3 | $45^{k}$ | $-1$ |
|  | (8.05 $\pm 0.05 ; 9.13 \pm 0.03)$ | (81.7; 98.2) | $(1.36)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 e | $8.24 \pm 0.03$ | 87.4 | 1.65 | 0.9 | 0.75 | $>100^{j}$ |
| 1f | $8.60 \pm 0.25$ | 94.1 | 1.65 | 5.5 | 3.2 | $>100^{j}$ |
| 1 g | $8.82 \pm 0.23$ | 96.3 | 1.65 | 7.7 | 3.5 | $>100^{j}$ |
| 1h | $9.09 \pm 0.06$ | 98 | 1.62 | 3.1 | $55^{k}$ | $-1$ |
|  | (8.87 $\pm 0.04 ; 10.09 \pm 0.02)$ | (96.6; 99.8) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 i | nd |  | 0.9 | nd | 0.55 | $-1$ |
| 2a | $4.49 \pm 0.08$ | 0.12 | 5.82 | 4.9 | $-1$ | $-1$ |
| 2c | $3.85 \pm 0.16$ | 0.03 | 4.3 | 5.6 | $-1$ | $-1$ |
|  | $\left(4.62 \pm 0.01 ; 5.79 \pm 0.01 ; 11.49 \pm 0.00^{p}\right)$ | (0.16; 2.4) |  |  |  |  |
| 2d | $3.39 \pm 0.07$ | 0.01 | 6.86 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 2 e | $\left(5.06 \pm 0.01 ; 6.20 \pm 0.04 ; 11.83 \pm 0.11^{p}\right)$ | (0.45; 5.9) | 6.86 | 5.1 | ${ }^{1}$ | -1 |
|  |  |  | $(3.21)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 f | $3.41 \pm 0.06$ | 0.01 | 6.86 | 4 | $-1$ | $-1$ |
| 2 g | $3.38 \pm 0.16$ | 0.01 | 6.86 | 5.3 | - 1 | $-1$ |
| 12 | $5.64 \pm 0.08$ | 1.71 | 7.24 | 6.1 | - ${ }^{m}$ |  |
|  | (5.43 $\pm 0.03 ; 6.68 \pm 0.08)$ | (1.1; 16.0) | $(4.32)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 13 | $5.93 \pm 0.05$ | 3.38 | 6.23 | 7 | - ${ }^{m}$ |  |
| 16 | $5.69 \pm 0.08$ | 0.05 | 6.49 | 5.1 | $-^{m}$ |  |
|  | ( $5.40 \pm 0.05 ; 6.75 \pm 0.06$ ) | $(1.0 ; 18.3)$ | $(0.84){ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 a | $7.18 \pm 0.13$ | 37.6 | 3.73 | 5.9 | 0.58 | >100 |
|  | $(6.61 \pm 0.02 ; 7.68 \pm 0.05)$ | (14.0; 71.0) | $(2.76)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3b | $(3.07 \pm 0.09 ; 6.59 \pm 0.05)$ | ( $0.0 ; 13.4$ ) | 3.12 | 1.8 | $-1$ | >100 |
| 3 c | $(5.27 \pm 0.04 ; 6.50 \pm 0.04)$ | (0.7; 11.2) | 2.21 | 2.3 | $-{ }^{-}$ | >100 |
|  |  |  | $(2.57)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3d | $5.90 \pm 0.14$ | 3.1 | 4.77 | 5.2 | $>100^{j}$ | >100 |
|  | $(5.45 \pm 0.04 ; 6.82 \pm 0.04)$ | $(1.1 ; 20.8)$ | $(3.94)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 e | $n$ | $n$ | 4.77 | 1.6 | $>100^{j}$ | >100 |
| 3 f | $(5.99 \pm 0.03 ; 6.81 \pm 0.03)$ | (3.7; 20.4) | 4.77 | 5.1 | $>100^{j}$ | >100 |
|  |  |  | $(3.67)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 g | $6.46 \pm 0.02$ | 10.3 | 4.77 | 8.9 | $>100^{j}$ | >100 |
| 3h | $4.76 \pm 0.24$ | 0.2 | 4.74 | 6.1 | ${ }^{1}$ | >100 |
|  | ( $4.19 \pm 0.01 ; 7.66 \pm 0.01)$ | (0.0; 64.5) | $(4.55)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 i | ( $5.71 \pm 0.02 ; 7.09 \pm 0.03)$ | (2.0; 32.8) | 4.01 | nt | nt | nt |
|  |  |  | $(3.39)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3 j | $(5.70 \pm 0.01 ; 6.91 \pm 0.03)$ | (2.0; 24.4) | 4.01 | nt | nt | nt |
|  |  |  | $(3.45)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 3k | $(6.00 \pm 0.02 ; 7.15 \pm 0.03)$ | (3.8; 36.2) | 4.01 | nt | nt | nt |
|  |  |  | -3.74 |  |  |  |
| 31 | $(5.97 \pm 0.01 ; 6.91 \pm 0.04)$ | (3.6; 24.4) | 4.01 | nt | nt | nt |
|  |  |  | $(3.44)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 18 | $6.70 \pm 0.05$ | 16.6 | 5.15 | 8 | $23.6{ }^{\text {k }}$ | >100 |
|  | (6.58; 7.65) | (13.1; 63.8) | $(2.75)^{e}$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | ( $7.71 \pm 0.08 ; 8.44 \pm 0.01)$ | (67.1; 91.6) | $(3.25)^{e}$ | nt | nt | nt |
| 23 | $4.23 \pm 0.10 ; 6.91 \pm 0.12$ | 0.1; 24.5 | 4.39 | 11 | $9.82^{k}$ | 13 |

${ }^{a}$ Experimental $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values measured by UV-spectrophotometry using the 96 -well plate method $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{52}$ only one (average) $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value could be measured for both heterocyclic rings using this technique. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{UV}$-metric titration using the SIRIUS T3 apparatus ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values indicated between brackets) allowed to distinguish two $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values corresponding to the imino N of each side of the molecule. ${ }^{c} \%$ ionization $=100 \times\left[10^{(\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{pKa})}\right] /$ $\left[10^{(\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{pKa})}+1\right]$ for $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}>\mathrm{pH} ; \%$ ionization $=100 \times\left[10^{(\mathrm{pKa}-\mathrm{pH})}\right] /\left[10^{(\mathrm{pKa}-\mathrm{pH})}+1\right]$ for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}<\mathrm{pH}$. ${ }^{d}$ Calculated using MarvinSketch (ChemAxon) Version 23.4. ${ }^{e}$ Measured in octanol/water using the SIRIUS T3 apparatus. ${ }^{f}$ The increment in DNA thermal melting ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was measured with the oligonucleotide hairpin CGATATATATCGTCTCCGATATATATCG $\left[\left(\mathrm{AT}_{4}\right)\right]$. The melting temperature of (AT) $4_{4}$ DNA in sodium

## Table 4. continued

phosphate buffer ( 10 mM ) was $43.6 \pm 0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{g}$ Primary binding constant for fitting to a two-site binding model. ${ }^{h}$ DNA hairpins used in the SPR experiments (the loop is underlined): $5^{\prime}$-biotin-CGAATTCGTCTCCGAATTCG-3' [ $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ ]. $5^{\prime}$-biotin-CGCGCGCGTTTTCGCGCGCG-3' $\left[(\mathrm{CG})_{4}\right] .{ }^{i}$ Taken from ref $42 .{ }^{j}$ Unspecific binding. ${ }^{k}$ Fitting to a one-site binding model. ${ }^{l}$ No binding at low concentration and unspecific binding to the chip dextran matrix at high concentration. ${ }^{m}$ Aggregate or precipitate. ${ }^{n}$ Not measured due to the lack of sample. ${ }^{o} \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value corresponding to the pyridine $\mathrm{N} .{ }^{p}{ }_{\mathrm{p}} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value corresponding to the heterocyclic NH .

Moreover, the rigid tricyclic fluorene derivative 23 was equally active against epimastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of $T$. cruzi $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.84\right.$ and $1.26 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively; $\left.P>0.05\right)$. Importantly, all the compounds were selective toward T. cruzi with $\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{L} 929 / a m a s t)}$ ranging from $17.4(\mathbf{3 e})$ to $398(3 \mathrm{~g})$ against intracellular amastigotes. Compound $3 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.26 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{L} 929 / \mathrm{amast})}=398, \mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{L} 929 / \mathrm{epi})}=3454\right)$, which is twice as potent and displays a similar SI as the reference drug benznidazole against amastigotes, emerged as a very interesting lead compound against T. cruzi.

All of the bis(arylimidamides), except 3f, inhibited the growth of promastigotes of $L$. donovani with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values ranging from $0.26(3 a)$ to $3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}(3 \mathrm{~h})$. This represented a clear improvement in antileishmanial activity versus the bis(2aminoimidazoline) lead compound 1a ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=4.29 \mu \mathrm{M} ; P<$ $0.05, n=3$ ). In general, the antileishmanial activity was maintained against intracellular amastigotes, although with a small loss of potency (approximately 1.5 to 3 -fold) for $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{3 g}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 18$, and 23 (amastigote $\left.\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.65-4.19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The best activities were in the same range as those of the reference drug amphotericin $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In contrast, the presence of chlorine atoms ortho to the 4-picolinimidamido groups (3d: $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 0.91 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3 \mathrm{e}: \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl} ; 0.78 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) or two nitrogen atoms next to the amide linker ( $3 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N}$ ) promoted the antileishmanial activity against intracellular amastigotes, as shown by the nearly 2 -fold lower $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values versus promastigotes $[(P=0.0424, P=0.0404$, and $P=$ 0.0026 , respectively, $n=3$ )] (Table 3). Remarkably, the closely related analogue $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N})$ was ineffective against amastigotes of $L$. donovani and epimastigotes of $T$. cruzi, probably accounting for its inability to form an IMHB with the NH of the amide group (vide supra).
Moreover, the compounds displayed a good selectivity toward intracellular forms of $L$. donovani, with $\mathrm{SI}_{\text {(THP-1/amast) }}$ from $>11.9$ for the least active compound 3 h to $>76.9$ for the most effective one (3a). Thus, compound 3a, which displayed submicromolar efficacy against amastigotes and excellent selectivity, emerged as a new antileishmanial hit compound. The lack of antiprotozoal activity of $\mathbf{2 0}$ versus 3a indicates that the amidine structure is crucial for the activity of this series. Moreover, two pyridine-2-carboxamidine groups are needed for the compounds to display potent antitrypanosomatid activity, as shown by the lack of activity of the monosubstituted compounds $\mathbf{3 b} \_\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ _c versus $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$, respectively. This is consistent with previous reports showing that monoarylimidamide derivatives are generally less potent than bis(arylimidamides), even though submicromolar in vitro activities against $L$. donovani have been reported for several compounds. ${ }^{38,39}$
2.2.5. DNA Binding Affinity. Thermal Melting Assays. Since kDNA is a potential target of these compounds, we assessed their binding properties with AT-containing DNA using thermal melting ( $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) assays. Thermal denaturation can be used to measure the stabilization effect (i.e., the binding affinity) produced by a molecule on binding to duplex DNA. Hence, the $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ increase $\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ for compound complexes
relative to uncomplexed DNA provides an estimation of the DNA binding affinity of a compound. $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were determined by circular dichroism spectroscopy with a DNA hairpin duplex containing CGATATATATCG ["(AT) ${ }_{4}$ "] (Table 4). With the exception of $1 \mathrm{e}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=0.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ increases measured for $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}$ ranged from $3.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 h})$ to $8.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 c})$ and were larger than $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ of lead $\mathbf{1 a}\left(1.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This showed that the introduction of $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ or nitrogen atoms in the scaffold positively affected the binding affinity of bis(2-aminoimidazoline) derivatives to (AT) 4 DNA. No binding to the (CG) -containing hairpin was observed, as illustrated by $1 \mathrm{~g}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, which indicated selective binding to AT-DNA. Of note, the big difference between the value reported for lead 1a with poly $(\mathrm{dA} \cdot \mathrm{dT})_{2}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=47.1\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{18}$ and our value ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=1.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) may be attributed to the different oligonucleotides used in the two experiments. DNA containing an A-tract has a narrow minor groove and can bind minor-groove agents without a significant average change in groove width or local helix axis bends in solution. In contrast, straight alternating AT sequences (i.e., $(\mathrm{AT})_{4}$ used in our assays) require the minor groove to narrow with bending of the helix upon binding minor-groove agents. ${ }^{40}$
$\operatorname{Bis}(2-$ aminobenzimidazoles) $\mathbf{2 a - g}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, and 16 showed $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ values in the range $4.0-7.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that this series also binds to the (AT) ${ }_{4}$-containing hairpin DNA (Table 4). With the exception of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 d}$, the binding affinities of the bis(2-aminobenzimidazoles) were lower than those of their bis(2-aminoimidazoline) counterparts, which may be related to the mostly uncharged nature of the benzimidazole series ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}<$ 6.75) at physiological pH (Table 4). Indeed, positive charge(s) and a crescent-shaped molecule are known to promote kDNA minor groove binding. ${ }^{14,41}$ The replacement of the amide bond linker of $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ by a more flexible ethylene chain (12) produced a $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ increase of $1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with respect to $2 \mathrm{a}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=4.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. In contrast, replacing the $N$-phenylbenzamide skeleton with a rigid and planar fluorene scaffold (16) resulted in an insignificant (within the experimental error) increase in $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ versus 2 a .

The $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ increases for $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h}$ were in the range $1.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{e})$ to $11{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (23), confirming that all the synthesized bis(arylimidamides) bind to AT-containing DNA, albeit with various affinities (Table 4). Most of the compounds except 3e showed larger $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ increases than the bis(2-aminoimidazoline) lead 1a upon binding to the (AT) ${ }_{4}$ DNA hairpin. According to these experiments, the strongest binder was the rigid fused-ring tricyclic fluorene derivative $23\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=11.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This data agreed with the value reported by Boykin and co-workers for 23 complexed with poly $\left(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T})_{2}\right)\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=15.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{25}$ The chlorinated derivatives $\mathbf{3 d}$, $\mathbf{3 f}$, and $\mathbf{3 g}$ also showed good affinity with $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ values in the range $5.1-8.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In general, except for $3 g\left(R_{2} / R_{4}=C l\right)$, which displayed one of the strongest binding affinities $\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=8.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the series, the introduction of chlorine ( $\mathbf{3 d} \mathbf{- f}$ ) or pyridine rings into the scaffold ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$ ) led to a drop in binding affinity with respect to the unsubstituted compound 3a.


Figure 1. SPR binding affinity of bis(2-aminoimidazolines) $\mathbf{1 d} \mathbf{- g}$. Sensorgrams for binding of chloro analogues $\mathbf{1 d} \mathbf{- g}$ to $(A) A_{2} T_{2}$ and ( $B$ ) (CG) ${ }_{4}$ hairpin duplexes using increasing concentrations of the ligand in the range: $0.25-80 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 e}), 1-100 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 f})$, or $1-600 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 g})(\mathrm{from}$ bottom to top). (C) SPR binding plots of $\mathbf{1 d}-\mathbf{g}$ for $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and (CG) hairpins. The SPR response (RU) at equilibrium in the sensorgrams was converted to $r$ (moles of bound compound per mole of DNA hairpin duplex; $r=R U / R U_{\max }$ ) and plotted against the free compound concentration, $C_{f}$, flowing on the chip surface. The binding constants were determined by fitting the values to single-site or two-site binding models according to eq 1 (see Experimental Part).

### 2.2.6. Surface Plasmon Resonance-Biosensor Assays.

 Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was used to measure the binding affinities of the compounds to two DNA hairpin duplexes containing CGAATTCG [" $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ "] and CGCGCGCG [" CG$\left.)_{4}{ }^{3}\right]$ sequences. ${ }^{42}$ All of the $N$-phenyl-benzamide-based bis(2-aminoimidazoline) derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{i}$ ) bound to the $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ sequence with $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ values in the submicromolar to the micromolar range (Table 4, Figures 1 and S 1 ), in agreement with the $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ experiments and with previous results obtained with similar compounds. ${ }^{19,42}$ The stoichiometry of binding was $1: 1$ for compounds $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{1 f}, \mathbf{1 h}$, and $\mathbf{1 i}$, and $>1: 1$ for $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 e}$, and $\mathbf{1 g}$, as shown by $r$ values (mole of bound compound per mole of DNA hairpin duplex) $\geq 1$, respectively (Figures 1 and S1). Bis(2-aminoimidazoline) analogues with substituents on the N -phenylbenzamide rings were $>2$-fold weaker binders than 1a ( $K_{\mathrm{D}}=0.17 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ ), ${ }^{42}$ as shown by primary binding constants (i.e., high affinity site) ranging from $0.38 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{1 c}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{N})$ to $45 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ for the dichloro analogue $\mathbf{1 d}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Cl}\right)$ (Table 4). Secondary binding constants were 80 - to 30 -times weaker, respectively. In these experiments, secondary binding generally accounts for nonspecific binding interactions with the DNA hairpin loop, as previously reported. ${ }^{19}$The relative position and size of the substituents (i.e., Cl , $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}$, and F ) had a clear influence on the binding strength to the minor groove of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$-DNA. The compounds with chlorine ( $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 f}$, and $\mathbf{1 g}$ ) or $\mathrm{O}^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{1 h})$ groups in positions ortho to the amide bond $\left(R_{2} / R_{3}\right)$ had 4 - to $>40$-times weaker binding affinity than the molecules with the Cl atoms next to the 2aminoimidazoline group ( $\left.\mathbf{1 e}, \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl}\right)$. This could be due
to the conformational restriction and/or steric clash imposed by the presence of these groups near the amide bridge of the molecule. The fact that the fluorine atom ortho to the amide bond ( $\mathbf{1 i}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{F}$ ) hardly affected the binding to DNA ( $K_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $0.55 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to 1 a is consistent with the hypothesis that small size groups are preferred in this position. These compounds were AT-specific, as no significant binding to (CG) ${ }_{4}$ was observed up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 1 and S1; Table 4).

For the bis(2-aminobenzimidazole) derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 a - g}, \mathbf{1 2}$, 13, and 16) no binding to $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ or (CG) sequences was observed at the highest concentration tested $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Unspecific binding to the chip dextran matrix (compounds $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathrm{g}$ ) or aggregation/precipitation (12, 13, and 16) was observed instead, indicating that SPR-biosensor assays are not adequate to determine the binding affinity of this class of poorly water-soluble compounds.

Bis(arylimidamides) 3a and $\mathbf{1 8}$ (amide and ethylene linkers, respectively) bound selectively to $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$-containing DNA versus CG-containing sites with primary binding constants in the submicromolar range comparable to those of lead compound 1a (Table 4). For compound 18, this represents a 10 -fold increase in affinity compared with its bis(2-aminoimidazoline) analogue reported earlier. ${ }^{42}$ In contrast, the fluorene derivative 23 showed little sequence selectivity with similar binding constants for $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{CG})_{4}$ oligonucleotides, which is consistent with a mixed intercalative/groove binding mode of interaction. ${ }^{43}$ The binding stoichiometry for compounds $3 \mathbf{a}$, 18, and 23 was 2 mol of bound compound per mole of ATcontaining DNA hairpin duplex (Figure S2), which is similar to lead 1a and other related bis(imidazolin-2-imine) deriva-
tives. ${ }^{19,42,44}$ From these SPR experiments, we did not detect significant binding to $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and (CG) $)_{4}$-containing sequences at the highest concentration tested ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for the chlorinecontaining compounds $3 \mathbf{d}-\mathrm{g}$, whereas $3 \mathbf{b}$, $3 \mathbf{c}$, and 3 h showed nonspecific binding to the chip dextran matrix. This apparent discrepancy with the thermal melting assays, which showed binding of $3 \mathbf{d}-\mathbf{g}$ to (AT) ${ }_{4}$ DNA (vide supra) might indicate strong sequence selectivity for these derivatives. The $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ sequence used in the SPR assays has a very narrow minor groove of 3.5-4.0 $\AA$ in the center of the sequence (vs 5.16$6.79 \AA$ for $\left.(\mathrm{AT})_{4}\right),{ }^{45}$ which tends to accommodate a more planar conformation of the bound ligand and favors van der Waals interactions with the walls of the groove. ${ }^{42}$ Hence, the presence of large chlorine substituents may be unfavorable for such interactions with this minor groove.
2.2.7. DNA Binding Mode. Once the DNA binding affinity and AT-selectivity of the compounds were established, we explored the binding modes of series $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ using flow linear dichroism (LD). ${ }^{46}$ Positive-induced LD signals are indicative of minor groove binding, whereas intercalating molecules induce a negative LD signal. LD spectra were recorded for natural DNA (salmon testes) titrated with representative compounds 1 g and 3 a in phosphate buffer at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ working with a base pair/drug ( $\mathrm{Bp} / \mathrm{D}$ ) ratio of $0 / 1,1 / 1$, and $1 / 5 .{ }^{47} \mathrm{~A}$ positive-induced LD signal was observed at $\approx 310 \mathrm{~nm}$ (where the DNA does not absorb) upon addition of increasing concentrations of 1 g and 3a (Figure 2). Altogether, the results of LD, SPR, and CD experiments indicate that 1 g and 3a bind specifically to the minor groove of AT-containing DNA, like lead 1a


Figure 2. LD spectra for natural DNA $(378.8 \mu \mathrm{M})$ titrated with 3a (A) and 1 g (B) using a $\mathrm{Bp} / \mathrm{D}$ ratio of $0 / 1,1 / 1$, and $1 / 5$ in phosphate buffer at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A positive induced LD signal indicative of groove binding is observed at 310 nm .
2.2.8. Fluorescent Intercalator Displacement (FID) Assay. We sought to determine whether the bis(2-aminobenzimidazole) series 2 compounds could bind DNA by intercalation. A FID assay utilizing the displacement of the DNA-bound fluorescent intercalator ethidium bromide was accomplished with compounds $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{2 c}, \mathbf{2 d}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 6}$, and 3a as controls (see Supporting Information). ${ }^{48}$ The addition of compounds 2a, 2c, and $\mathbf{1 2}$ to ( AT$)_{4}$ and (CG) $4_{4}$ hairpins resulted in $>50$ and $>87 \%$
decreases in fluorescence, respectively, indicating strong intercalation (Table S2B). Binding of 2d, 13, and 16 to $(\mathrm{AT})_{4}$ and (CG) $)_{4}$ reduced the fluorescence $(F)$ by 25 and $\geq 43 \%$, respectively, indicating that the compounds intercalate, although to a lower extent. In contrast, the addition of 3 a to $(\mathrm{AT})_{4}$ and $(\mathrm{CG})_{4}$ did not decrease the $\% F$, consistent with its specific minor groove mode of binding.
2.2.9. Determination of Physicochemical Parameters ( $p K_{a}, \log P$, and Solubility). The protonation state of drugs in the body has a profound influence on their ADMET properties and their binding to biological targets. ${ }^{49}$ For DNA MGBs, the (di)cationic nature of the ligand, in addition to a crescent shape matching the curve of the groove, is crucial to allowing the best fitting between the MGB and the groove through van der Waals and hydrogen bonding interactions. ${ }^{50}$ Therefore, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the compounds were measured by UV spectrophotometry using the 96 -well plate methodology developed in our group. ${ }^{51,52}$ This allowed the determination of the average $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of these dibasic compounds (Table 4). For several compounds, the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ was also determined with the Sirius T3 apparatus, which allowed distinguishing the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of both heterocyclic moieties within the same molecule (i.e., pyridine-2-carboxamidine, 2 -aminoimidazoline, and 2 aminobenzimidazole) (Table 4).

In contrast to the predicted values (6.54-7.70, calculated with the Chemicalize ChemAxon LLC software), the experimental $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the bisbenzimidazole derivatives $2 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{g}$ was in the range 3.38-6.75 (i.e., \% ionization at pH 7.4 ranging from 0.01 to 18.3), indicating that the compounds are mostly neutral at physiological pH (Table 4). These measured $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were much lower (i.e., approximately $1.5-2 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units) than the predicted ones, highlighting the limits of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ prediction tools for compounds containing a large number of possible tautomeric states. ${ }^{53,54}$ In contrast, the bis(2-aminoimidazolines) $\mathbf{1 a - i}$ are mostly dicationic, with experimental $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in the range 8.0510.26 (i.e., $>85.5 \%$ ionized) that is approximately $>1 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit higher than the predicted ones. Replacement of the 2aminoimidazoline heterocycles by pyridine-2-carboxamidine substituents led to a reduction of the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the molecules by $>2 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units, giving mean values in the range 4.19 (3h)-8.44 (19). Accordingly, the derivatives showed disparate percentages of ionization at pH 7.4 ranging from $0.2 \%$ ( $3 \mathbf{h}$ ) up to $71 \%$ (3a) and $91.6 \%$ (19). The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ SAR for these series followed the trends of previous series of bis(2-aminoimidazolines) containing similar scaffolds. ${ }^{20,51,52,54}$ Interestingly, a positive correlation between the high $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of each compound and $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ was observed for series 2 (2c, 2e, 12, 13, and 16) and 3 ( $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathrm{d}, \mathbf{3 f}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, and 18) (Figure $\mathrm{S} 3 ; r^{2}=0.76$ ), indicating that an increased percentage of ionization favored binding to DNA. This is consistent with the well-established central role played by the positively charged ends of DNA MGBs in enhancing electrostatic interactions with DNA. ${ }^{55}$ The absence of a similar correlation with series $\mathbf{1}$ is probably due to the high basicity of the bis(2-aminoimidazoline) compounds, which are almost totally dicationic at pH 7.4 .

The $\log P$ of 11 representative compounds from the different series was measured, and the calculated values were also determined for all the compounds. Other physicochemical parameters are listed in Table S2. All of the new compounds display higher $\log P$ values than lead 1a, with most of them being <5. It is noteworthy that calculations tend to overestimate $\log P$ values from $0.3-0.4$ (series 1) or $0.2-2.4$

Table 5. Solubility and Physicochemical Parameters of Selected Compounds

| cmpd | MW | HBD | HBA | $\log P^{a}$ | "Ro5" ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | kinetic solubility ${ }^{c}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | pH 1.2 | pH 5.5 | pH 7.4 |
| 3a | 435 | 5 | 7 | 2.76 | + | $107.3 \pm 10.7$ | $107.8 \pm 10.8$ | $6.35 \pm 0.63$ |
| 3d | 504 | 5 | 7 | 3.94 | + | $104.9 \pm 10.5$ | nd | $46.3 \pm 4.6$ |
| 18 | 420 | 4 | 6 | 2.75 | + | $101.6 \pm 0.2$ | nd | $9.95 \pm 0.51$ |

${ }^{a}$ Measured in octanol/water using the SIRIUS T3 apparatus. ${ }^{b}$ Compounds that fulfill Lipinski's "rule of 5" for oral bioavailability are marked +. ${ }^{c}$ At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in buffer with $1 \%$ DMSO after 2 h stirring.
(series 3) up to 3.6 for series 2 (Table 4). Based on these estimated values, compounds 2 e (6.86), 12 (7.24), 16 (6.49), or 18 (5.15) would break the "rule of 5" (i.e., $\log P<5$ ) for this parameter, whereas the experimental $\log P$ is much lower than predicted (3.21, 4.32, 0.84 , and 2.75 , respectively). This is especially relevant for series 2 , whose most predicted values were $>5$. Of note, there was no apparent correlation between the activity against $T$. brucei and the experimental $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\log P$ of the molecules.
The aqueous kinetic solubility of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$, 3 d , and 18 was determined by UV spectroscopy in 96 multiwell plates (Table 5). As expected, the solubility of these basic compounds increased substantially (2-, 16-, and 7 -fold) at low pH (1.2). Altogether, these compounds fulfill the R05 for oral bioavailability and are potential candidates for in vivo studies.
2.2.10. Microsomal and Plasma Stability. The microsomal stability toward metabolism by cytochrome P450 (Phase-I metabolism) and uridine glucuronosyl-transferase (UGT) (Phase-II metabolism) of the selected hit compound 3a was studied in the presence of NADPH and UDPGA. Compound 3a was apparently not metabolized by human and mouse (CD1) liver microsomes, as we did not observe the formation of any significant metabolite during the course of the reaction, i.e., up to 120 min , indicating a half-life $>2 \mathrm{~h}$. In comparison, human liver microsomes rapidly metabolized (high intrinsic clearance) diclofenac under the same conditions with a half-life $<30 \mathrm{~min}$ (Table S1). Compound 3a was not apparently modified in human serum, and it was stable for 1 h of incubation. Thus, under the experimental conditions used in this study, compound $3 a$ is metabolically stable in human and mouse microsomal fractions and in human serum.

## 3. DISCUSSION

AT-specific DNA MGBs have a record of success as chemotherapeutic agents against human and animal infections caused by trypanosomatid parasites. ${ }^{41,56,57}$ In particular, the bis(2-aminoimidazoline) class of DNA MGBs, such as lead 1a, are very effective in vitro and in vivo against $T$. brucei. ${ }^{14,18,28}$ However, like diamidines, ${ }^{58,59}$ these compounds are much less active against the intracellular parasite Leishmania. The high polarity (i.e., dicationic nature) of the compounds is probably not the sole reason for reduced cidal activity against intracellular parasites. In fact, Leishmania does not express particularly good transporters for diamidines, including pentamidine, ${ }^{80,61}$ but expression of T. brucei AQP2 in Leishmania renders them just as susceptible to pentamidine as trypanosomes. ${ }^{62}$ The same hypersensitization occurs with expression of TbAQP2 or TbAT1 in Trypanosoma congolense, which are otherwise relatively insensitive to diamidines. ${ }^{63}$ Hence, the different parasites express transporters with different substrate preferences and affinities, which will influence the SAR from cell to cell.

In this work, we studied the effect of scaffold modifications that lower the basicity and/or increase the lipophilicity of 1a on the antiparasitic activity and DNA binding properties. For the bis(2-aminoimidazolines) $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{i}$, which displayed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the micromolar range against $T$. brucei but were mostly inactive against $T$. cruzi and Leishmania (except 1h), the introduction of $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$, pyridine rings, 1,2-diphenylethane, ${ }^{18}$ or 1,3 -diphenylurea ${ }^{18}$ did not improve the antikinetoplastid activity versus 1a. From this series, the dichloro derivative 1e, which was the most active and selective compound against both WT and pentamidine-resistant (B48) T. brucei strains, was approximately 7 -times less potent than 1a against this parasite. In previous studies, we observed that the introduction of one chlorine atom in position ortho to the imidazoline rings ( $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{Cl}$ ) increased the anti-T. brucei activity by nearly 4 -fold. ${ }^{20}$ Hence, the presence of two halogen atoms in the bis(2-aminoimidazoline) scaffold appears to be counterproductive for antiprotozoal activity.

In contrast, the same scaffold modifications for the benzimidazole series 2 ( $2 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}, 12,13$, and 16), led to an up to 50 -fold increase in anti-T. brucei activity with respect to the unmodified $N$-phenylbenzamide analogue 2 a . The replacement of the 2 -aminoimidazoline groups by 2 -aminobenzimidazole heterocycles afforded compounds with the same hydrogen bond-forming capacity as series 1 , but with much lower $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values (3.38-6.75) and higher lipophilicity (calcd. $\log P=$ 4.30-7.24). However, these structural modifications did not improve the in vitro antiparasitic activity against extracellular (T. brucei) or intracellular (T. cruzi, Leishmania) kinetoplastid parasites with respect to lead 1a or the monochlorinated analogues reported earlier. ${ }^{20}$ The moderate selectivity indexes and the nondifferentiation against cells lacking the high affinity transporters TbAT1 and TbAQP2 for diamidines, ${ }^{61,64}$ melaminophenyl arsenicals, and other trypanocidal drugs suggest a lack of high affinity carriers for this class of molecules in T. cruzi and Leishmania spp., consistent with earlier reports. ${ }^{61}$ The absence of specific protozoal transporters is exacerbated by the lack of a strong accumulative driving force for the neutral bis(2-aminobenzimidazole) compounds, potentially impacting the translocation across both plasma membrane and mitochondrial membranes. A large body of evidence suggests that pentamidine and other dicationic MGBs derive their antiprotozoal selectivity from selective uptake by the parasites. ${ }^{29,32,62,63,65}$ This concerns probably mostly uptake over the plasma membrane, but accumulation of dicationic drugs in kinetoplastid parasites is ultimately driven by the mitochondrial membrane potential, driving a buildup of the dications in the mitochondria, ${ }^{61,66-68}$ where MGBs interfere with the functioning and replication of the kinetoplast. ${ }^{69-71}$ On the other hand, the neutral compounds might be expected to remain cytosolic rather than accumulate disproportionally in the mitochondria and therefore act on AT-rich nuclear rather than kinetoplast DNA, albeit at a much lower level of
accumulation. This dynamic of mitochondrial segregation of cationic MGBs and low accumulation of neutral MGBs is certainly part of the explanation for the lower toxicity of such compounds against mammalian cells, in addition to the relatively inefficient uptake by organic cation transporters with OCT1-3 displaying $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values between 10 and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for pentamidine ${ }^{64}$ compared to 35 nM for TbAQP2. ${ }^{30}$ Nothing is currently known about transporters for diamidines or other MGBs in Trichomonas spp.
Interestingly, the main SAR results (e.g., effect of Cl atoms) seemed to apply to both series $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. However, none of the series 2 compounds showed activity against T. cruzi or $L$. donovani, except 12, which had marginal activity against promastigotes of $L$. donovani $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=8.7 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, albeit with low selectivity $\left(\mathrm{SI}_{(\mathrm{THP}-1 / \text { promast. })}=1.6\right)$. As a whole, the chemical modification 2 -aminoimidazoline $\leftrightarrow 2$-aminobenzimidazole provided no improvement in antiprotozoal activity or DNA binding affinity, which is probably related to the low $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the bis(2-aminobenzimidazoles).

Consistent with earlier results from Boykin and co-workers showing that the bis(arylimidamide) analogues of furamidinebased diamidines are especially active against the intracellular parasites Leishmania and T. cruzi, ${ }^{72-77}$ series 3 analogues were very potent inhibitors of T. cruzi and Leishmania, but also of $T$. brucei growth, with adequate selectivity. In particular, 3a, 3c-e, 18, and 23, which display submicromolar $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values and $\mathrm{SI}_{\text {(THP-1/amast.) }}>20$ against intracellular amastigotes of $L$. donovani in the same range as amphotericin $B$ and present druglike properties, can be considered as new antileishmanial hit compounds. These values are in the same range as furamidine's $\operatorname{bis}\left(\right.$ arylimidamide) derivative $\mathrm{DB} 766^{73}$ (i.e., $N, N^{\prime \prime}$-(furan-2,5-diylbis(3-isopropoxy-4,1-phenylene))dipicolinimidamide), and superior to analogues modified on the terminal group. ${ }^{72}$

Regarding the SAR of this series, the presence of nitrogen atoms in the central scaffold had diverse effects on the activity. The introduction of two pyridine rings (3c) increased the antileishmanial activity very efficiently, especially against amastigote forms $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.55 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In contrast, the closely related $N$-(pyridin-2-yl)benzamide analogue (3b), which was almost as active as 3 c against promastigotes $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.46 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, was ineffective against intracellular amastigotes of L. donovani. Since 3b and 3c have similar DNA binding affinities ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=$ 1.8 and $2.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively), this difference is probably related to dissimilar uptake of the compounds resulting from different membrane permeabilities or drug transport. The introduction of two chlorine atoms adjacent to the arylimidamide group (3d, 3e) or $\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ groups ( $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) on the $N$-phenylbenzamide scaffold also appeared as a good strategy to improve the activity against intracellular amastigotes of T. cruzi and, to a lesser extent, $L$. donovani. Of note, the positive effect of large O-alkyl groups was consistent with the SAR reported for furamidine-based bis(arylimidamides) series (e.g., DB766) despite being based on quite different central scaffolds. ${ }^{33,78,79}$ The 1,2-diphenylethane (18) is another interesting scaffold that displayed excellent activities and selectivity against intracellular amastigotes of L. donovani. The latter also worked as an N -phenylbenzamide surrogate for the bis(2-aminoimidazoline) series active against $T$. brucei. ${ }^{15,18}$

All of the compounds were also tested against the protozoan parasite T. vaginalis because its genome has a high content of AT base pairs, including an unusually high number of genomic repeats, ${ }^{80}$ which may potentially be targeted by DNA MGBs.

In previous studies, Crowell et al. showed that dicationic DNA MGBs at AT sites, such as aromatic diamidines, have potential as antitrichomonal agents with activities in the micromolar range. ${ }^{81}$ Among the compounds studied here, only seven showed a weak trichomonacidal effect $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=11.5-32.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ displaying a cytotoxic effect on mammalian cells, with the exception of 31 . We do not have an evidence-based explanation for the lack of trichomonacidal activity of these compounds, which are AT-specific DNA MGBs, but this is likely to be related to a poor uptake of these dicationic compounds in Trichomonas, as is the case for T. congolense, which is much less susceptible to diamidines than T. brucei. ${ }^{63}$

In the present study, we did not observe a correlation between the antiparasite activity of the new compounds and the DNA binding affinity $\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. On the one hand, such a correlation between the cellular activity and the binding affinity for the target depends on several factors (e.g., cell uptake differences, intracellular distribution, and distinct cellular targets) and is not always easy to demonstrate. ${ }^{69,82}$ On the other hand, we were able to confirm that kDNA is the cellular target of lead compound $\mathbf{1 a}$ in $T$. brucei using a combination of flow cytometry, imaging techniques, and biophysical experiments. ${ }^{19}$ Notwithstanding, in the present work, we showed that the three series of compounds stabilize AT-rich DNA duplexes upon binding, with affinity constants to $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ in the submicromolar to micromolar range similar to previously reported bis( 2 -aminoimidazolines) $)^{19,42}$ and diamidines (e.g., pentamidine, DB829). ${ }^{41}$ Most of series 1-3 compounds hold the basic structural features of MGBs, including a crescentshaped $N$-phenylbenzamide scaffold and positive charge(s) that can promote binding to kDNA for series $1\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=8.05-\right.$ $10.26)$ and $3\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=6.46-8.44\right)$, contrary to series 2 compounds ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=3.38-6.75$ ), which are mostly neutral at physiological pH . Linear dichroism experiments with selected molecules from series $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}(\mathbf{1 g}, 3 a)$ confirmed unequivocally that both compounds are minor groove binders like lead 1a. FID assays ruled out an intercalative mode of binding for 3a. These results were consistent with the SPR data showing AT base-pair specificity versus CG and, hence, a minor groove preference for these ligands. ${ }^{14,19,42,47,83-87}$ In contrast, the fluorene derivative 23, which bound to AT and GC-containing oligonucleotides with similar $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ values (9.8 and $13 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), displayed no base-pair sequence preference, which is consistent with previous findings reporting both intercalation and minor groove binding for the bis(2aminoimidazoline) analogue of $23 .{ }^{43}$ According to the FID assay, bis(2-aminobenzimidazole) compounds 2a, 2c, 2d, 12, 13, and 16 appeared to have an intercalative mode of binding, although a mixed "groove binding/intercalation" mode cannot be ruled out.

Despite their strong binding affinity and selectivity for ATrich DNA, the compounds reported here may have additional cellular target(s) besides kDNA. This is the case, for instance, for the dicationic DNA MGB pentamidine, whose effects against the nonkinetoplastid pathogens Plasmodium falciparum and Pneumocystis carinii point toward other cellular targets in those organisms, ${ }^{88}$ including RNA splicing in P. carinii ${ }^{89}$ and binding to ferriprotoporphyrin IX in P. falciparum. ${ }^{90}$ For some compounds, the level of human cell line toxicity supports this hypothesis. Daliry et al. showed that the trypanocidal activity of $\operatorname{bis}$ (arylimidamides) related to DB766 did not correlate with their binding affinity to $T$. cruzi kinetoplast DNA. They observed that a strong affinity with kDNA per se was not
sufficient to trigger the trypanocidal activity of the studied diamidines and speculated that "cell uptake differences and possibly distinct cellular targets need to be considered". ${ }^{1}$ As far as bis(arylimidamides) are concerned, the recently discovered cytochrome P450, CYP5122A1 (sterol 14-demethylase, an antifungal azole target), which is essential for $L$. donovani survival, ${ }^{92}$ could also be involved in their mode of action, as proposed by Werbovetz and co-workers. ${ }^{93}$

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Efforts to improve the activity of the DNA MGB lead compound 1a against intracellular kinetoplastid parasites were successful with the bis(arylimidamide) series 3 . The bis-(pyridine-2-carboxamidine) 3a was definitively the best in class, showing excellent activity and selectivity against T. brucei, T. cruzi, and L. donovani, as well as druglike properties. Hence, compound $3 \mathbf{a}$ is a candidate for further in vivo studies. Nonetheless, other compounds from this series were also highly efficacious in terms of activity and selectivity against intracellular amastigotes of $T$. cruzi (3a, 3d, 3g, and 23) and $L$. donovani ( $3 \mathrm{c}-\mathbf{e}, 3 \mathrm{~g}, 18$, and 23).

We showed that compounds from series $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are DNA MGBs, whereas compounds from series 2 appear to intercalate, even though a mixed mode of binding cannot be ruled out. Therefore, kDNA is a probable target of the new compounds reported here, although their exact MoA remains to be determined. The correlation observed between the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the DNA binding affinities ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) for series 2 and 3 showed that the ionization state of these molecules is a driving force that influences both the uptake into the parasites and the extent and mode of binding to DNA.

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL PART

5.1. Chemistry. All the commercial chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluorochem, Acros Organics, or Alfa Aesar and were used without further purification. Deuterated solvents for NMR use were purchased from Merck (Sigma-Aldrich). Dry solvents were either obtained from Acros Organics and Sigma-Aldrich in SureSeal bottles or were distilled using standard procedures, according to Vogel's Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry. Solvents for synthesis purposes were used at GPR grade. Reactions heated by microwaves were realized in a Biotage Initiator microwave oven reactor (frequency: 2045 GHz ). Chromatographic columns were run using Silica gel 60 ( $230-400$ mesh ASTM) or Aluminum Oxide (activated, Neutral Brockman I STD grade 150 mesh). Analytical TLC was performed using Merck Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ silica gel plates or Alugram© Alox $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{UV}_{254}$ aluminum oxide plates. TLC plates were visualized under UV light ( 254 and 365 nm ) and/or revealed with staining reagents (i.e., iodine, phosphomolybdic acid, and ninhydrin). Flash chromatography was performed in an Isolera One (Biotage) with Isolera 3.3 .0 version, using Biotage Sfär columns (silica D, duo $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) or SiliaSep Flash cartridges (SiliCycle) $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 60 \AA$. Reverse-phase chromatography was performed using LiChroprep RP-$18(25-40 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, Merck, and Claricep Screw-on Flash C18 columns (spherical, $20-35 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \AA, 122 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Centrifugal TLC was carried out with the Chromatotron using circular glass plates prepared with silica gel $60 \mathrm{PF}_{254}$ containing gypsum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-300, a Bruker DPX-400 Advance spectrometer, and a Varian-500. Chemical shifts of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were referenced to the residual peak of the deuterated solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm})$, methanol $-d_{4}(\delta 3.31 \mathrm{ppm})$, and DMSO- $d_{6}(\delta$ 2.5 ppm ). Chemical shifts of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta 77.16 \mathrm{ppm})$, methanol- $d_{4}(\delta 49.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, and DMSO- $d_{6}(\delta$ 39.52 ppm ). Signal multiplicity for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR is defined as singlet ( s ), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet ( q ), multiplet ( m ), and br (broad signal). Coupling constants $J$ are expressed in hertz (Hz). LC-MS
spectra were recorded on a WATERS apparatus integrated with a HPLC separation module (2695), PDA detector (2996), and a Micromass ZQ spectrometer using electrospray ionization (ESI ${ }^{+}$). Analytical HPLC was performed with a SunFire C18-3.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Mobile phase A: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+0.08 \%$ formic acid and $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.05 \%$ formic acid. UV detection was carried out over 190 to 440 nm . Melting points were determined using a Mettler Toledo MP70 digital melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Some of them show a broad melting range due to the low degree of crystallinity. Elemental analysis was carried out at the Microanalysis Laboratory, "Manuel Lora Tamayo" Organic Chemistry CentreCSIC. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded at the Elemental Microanalysis Unity at the Pharmacy College, Complutense University of Madrid. All of the biologically tested compounds were $\geq 95 \%$ pure by HPLC except 2d (93\%), 3b (94\%), 3b_b (91\%), 3g (91\%), and 20 (93\%).
5.1.1. Synthesis of Bis(imidazolidin-2-iminium) Salt Derivatives (1c-i). 5.1.1.1. Method A. Trifluoroacetic acid ( 2 mL ) was added to a cooled solution (ice-water bath) of the Boc-protected compound $\mathbf{6 c}-\mathbf{i}\left(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 60-220 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ scale) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2-6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at the same temperature. Trifluoroacetic acid and dichloromethane excesses were evaporated under vacuum. This process was repeated by dissolving the crude residue in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and evaporating the solvents under a high vacuum. The sticky solid was crushed with diethyl ether to precipitate the product as a powder.
5.1.1.2. 5-(Imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-N-(5-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)pyridin-2-yl)picolinamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1c). Compound $6 \mathrm{c}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A. 1c was obtained as a whitish solid ( 16.7 mg , $35 \%$ ). mp 214.0-226.8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.34$ (br s, 1H), 10.72 (br s, 1H), 10.44 (s, 1H), 8.95 (br s, 2H), 8.66 (d, J $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 161.5,158.5,157.8,148.8,145.1,144.6$, 142.9, 136.7, 135.1, 131.6, 129.1, 123.3, 113.6, 42.8, 42.7. HPLC $(\mathrm{UV})>95 \%$ LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 366.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] . \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m /$ $z: 366.1781[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}, 366.1785$ ).
5.1.1.3. 3-Chloro-N-(2-chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-phenyl)-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1d). Compound $\mathbf{6 d}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A. Compound $\mathbf{1 d}$ was obtained as a whitish solid ( $14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ). mp 222.4-223.8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 10.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67$ (br s, 2H), 8.58 (br s, 2H), $8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 163.5, 158.1, 157.8, 136.2, 135.1, 133.8, 132.5, 130.5, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 128.3, 128.0, 124.1, 122.3, 42.8, 42.7. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 432.4[M+H]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 432.1102[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 432.1101\right)$.
5.1.1.4. 3-Chloro-N-(3-chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-phenyl)-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1e). Compound $6 \mathbf{e}(212 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A. Compound 1e was obtained as a whitish powder ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>114.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 10.95$ (br s, 1H), $10.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70$ (br s, 2H), $8.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 (dd, $J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.6,158.6,158.1$, 139.6, 136.1, 134.2, 130.6, 129.7, 129.4, 129.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 121.2, 119.9, 42.8, 42.7. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z$ : $432.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 432.1093[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 432.1101$ ).
5.1.1.5. 2-Chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-N-(2-chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)phenyl)-benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1f). Compound $6 \mathrm{f}(98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A. Compound if was obtained as a whitish solid ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp} 196.5-207.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500

MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.03$ (br s, 1 H ), 10.76 (br s, 1 H$), 10.27$ (s, 1H), 8.74 (br s, 2H), $8.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 165.2,158.3,158.0$, 138.9, 135.0, 133.6, 132.9, 131.6, 130.7, 129.5, 128.8, 124.9, 124.0, 123.1, 121.7, 43.2, 43.1. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z$ : $432.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z: 432.1106[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$, 432.1101).
5.1.1.6. 2-Chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)- N -(3-chloro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)phenyl)-benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1g). Compound $\mathbf{6 g}(159 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A, yielding compound 1 g as a whitish solid (102 mg, 81\%). mp 190.2-201.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.5, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.7,158.6,157.5,139.5,138.6,133.3,131.0,130.1$, 129.6, 127.9, 123.5, 121.3, 120.3, 119.2, 42.8, 42.7. HPLC (UV): 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 432.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] . \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 432.1078[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 432.1101\right)$.
5.1.1.7. 4-(Imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-N-(4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-3-isopropoxyphenyl)-2-isopropoxybenzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1h). Compound $6 \mathrm{~h}(114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with TFA according to method A. 1h was obtained as a whitish solid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ). mp.: > $201{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ (hept, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (hept, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 162.0,158.2,157.6$, 156.3, 147.4, 140.8, 133.0, 131.6, 126.7, 121.4, 119.5, 116.0, 114.9, 109.7, 108.9, 73.2, 71.7, 42.7, 42.7, 22.0, 21.9. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 480.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 480.2707$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 480.2718$ ).
5.1.1.8. 3-Fluoro-N-(2-fluoro-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)-phenyl)-4-(imidazolidin-2-ylideneamino)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (1i). Compound 6i ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reacted with TFA according to method A . Compound 1 i was obtained as a beige solid after several recrystallizations from ${ }^{i} \mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $23 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.95-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , methanol $\left.-d_{4}\right): \delta 166.5,160.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.6$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 156.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.7$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.0$, $125.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $117.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 44.4$, 44.2. HPLC $(\mathrm{UV})>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 400.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z$ : $200.5887[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 200.5883$ ).
5.1.2. Synthesis of Bis(benzimidazoles) (2a, 2c-g, 12, 13, and 16). 5.1.2.1. Method B. The reaction was performed in a KIMAX tube. A solution of isothiocyanate ( $\mathbf{7 c}-\mathrm{g}, 10,11,13 ; 1$ equiv) and $o$ phenylendiamine ( 2.2 equiv) in anhydrous DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water bath) until complete formation of the thiourea intermediate (checked by TLC and HPLC-MS). Then, EDC hydrochloride ( 2.5 equiv) solid was added in one step, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Ice was added to the crude reaction mixture, and the tube was shaken vigorously. The solid precipitate was collected and purified by centrifugal PTLC using silica plates.
5.1.2.2. 4-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(4-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)phenyl)benzamide (2a). A solution of 7 a $(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ and o-phenylendiamine $(151 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 h until complete starting material consumption. Metallic iodine ( $405 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6$ mmol ) was added, followed by the addition of potassium carbonate $(221 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with
$5 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution ( 3.5 mL ), diluted brine ( 50 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ to yield a yellowish oil. Column chromatography using silica (Hexane: EtOAc, 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 40:60) yielded 2a as a brownish solid ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). mp.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.97$ (br s, 1 H ), 11.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $11.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49-7.42 (m, 4H), $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.6,147.9,146.9,139.9,137.6,131.3,129.7,129.6,123.7,123.5$, 121.7, 120.6, 112.3, 111.9. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z$ : $460.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 460.1872[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 460.1881$ ).
5.1.2.3. 5 -((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)- N -(5-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)pyridin-2-yl)picolinamide (2c). Method B. A solution of $7 \mathrm{c}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $o$-phenylendiamine $(15 \mathrm{mg}$, $140 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h until complete starting material consumption. EDC hydrochloride (30.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the solution, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Ice was added to the crude to facilitate product precipitation. The precipitate was filtered on a fritted plate and washed with cold water to yield the product as a brownish solid (13 $\mathrm{mg}, 44 \%) . \mathrm{mp} 210.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 11.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 161.5,150.3,149.2,144.5,142.9,142.5$, 140.8, 140.4, 137.5, 137.4, 134.4, 132.9, 132.7, 126.7, 123.7, 123.0, 120.9, 120.6, 119.9, 116.5, 116.0, 113.0, 110.0, 109.5. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+} m / z: 462.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS (ESI $) m / z$ : $462.1773[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}, 462.1785\right)$.
5.1.2.4. 4-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(4-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-2-chlorophenyl)-3-chlorobenzamide (2d). Compound $7 \mathbf{d}(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and o-phenylendiamine $(78 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.72 mmol ) were reacted according to method B for 14 h. EDC.HCl $(157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude product was precipitated by adding ice and was further purified by centrifugal PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 100: 0\right.$ $\rightarrow 70: 30$ ) to yield 2 d as a yellowish solid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). mp.: 209.8$219.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.08$ (br s, 1H), $9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7$, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta$ 163.9, 150.0, 149.5, 149.3, 139.6, 139.1, 130.4, 129.9, 129.4, 128.8, 128.5, 127.7, 127.4, 123.0, 121.0, 120.7, 118.6, 118.4, 117.2, 111.2. HPLC (UV) $=93 \%$. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 528.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 528.1170[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 528.1101\right)$.
5.1.2.5. 4-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)- N -(4-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-3-chlorophenyl)-3-chlorobenzamide (2e). Compound $7 \mathbf{e}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $o$-phenylendiamine $(64 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.59 mmol ) were reacted according to method B for 2 h . EDC.HCl $(131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Compound $2 \mathbf{e}$ was isolated following the general procedure and purified by centrifugal PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 100: 0\right.$ $\rightarrow 70: 30)$ to yield a yellowish solid ( 21 mg ; $15 \%$ ). mp 211.4-224.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 10.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 8.59-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 161.1$, 154.2, 152.1, 146.1, 144.6, 143.8, 140.7, 134.4, 133.6, 123.4, 112.9. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 528.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 528.1102[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 528.1101\right)$. 5.1.2.6. 4 -((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)- N -(4-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazole-2-yl)amino)-2-chlorophenyl)-2-chlorobenzamide (2f). Compound $7 \mathrm{f}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ and o-phenylendiamine ( 31 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were reacted according to method $B$ for 20 h . EDC. $\mathrm{HCl}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The product was isolated following the
general procedure and purified by centrifugal PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (saturated) }}, 100: 0 \rightarrow 80: 20\right)$ to yield 2 f as a yellowish solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ). mp $195.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $11.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2$, $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(101$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 165.2,150.0,149.5,143.2,142.8,142.6,139.9$, 132.6, 132.6, 131.0, 130.1, 129.2, 128.2, 127.5, 127.2, 120.7, 120.6, 120.3, 120.0, 117.0, 117.0, 116.3, 116.1, 115.9, 115.0, 109.8, 109.6. HPLC (UV): > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z: 528.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z: 528.1088[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 528.1101\right)$.
5.1.2.7. 4-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)- N -(4-((1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-3-chlorophenyl)-2-chlorobenzamide (2g). Compound $7 \mathrm{~g}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $o$-phenylendiamine $(52 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.48 mmol ) were reacted according to method B for 2 h . EDC•HCl ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . Compound 2 g was isolated following the general procedure and purified by centrifugal PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (saturated) }}, 100: 0 \rightarrow 90: 10\right)$ to yield a yellowish solid ( $68 \mathrm{mg} ; 59 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right): \delta 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol $\left.-d_{4}\right): \delta 168.0,152.4,151.1,144.9,135.9,134.5$, 133.2, 131.0, 129.9, 125.5, 123.0, 122.5, 122.4, 122.2, 120.8, 119.1, 116.7. HPLC (UV) > 94\%. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 528.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI $) m / z: 528.1073[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$, 528.1101).
5.1.2.8. $\quad N, N^{\prime}$-(Ethane-1,2-diylbis(4,1-phenylene))bis(1H-benzo-[d]imidazol-2-amine) (12). A solution of $10(225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ and o-phenylendiamine $(180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature. After 15 min , the brownish, transparent solution turned into a turbid, yellowish one. After 3 h , starting material consumption was revealed by TLC. EDC hydrochloride ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under vacuum to yield a brownish oil. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}(100: 0 \rightarrow 92: 8)$ to yield 12 as a whitish solid (182 $\mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp}>177.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.29$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 162.4, 150.8, 138.7, 133.8, 128.7, 120.0, 117.2, 36.7. HPLC $(\mathrm{UV})>95 \% . \operatorname{LRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 445.3[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 445.2125[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]\left(\right.$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$, 445.2135).
5.1.2.9. 1,3-Bis(4-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)phenyl)urea (13). Compound $11(103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $o$-phenylendiamine ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were reacted according to method B for 5 h . EDC. $\mathrm{HCl}(154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The precipitate was filtered on a fritted plate and washed with cold water. Centrifugal PTLC on a silica plate $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (saturated) }}(98.75: 1.25 \rightarrow 80: 20)\right.$ gave 13 as a yellowish solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg} ; 25 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>207.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 171.4,152.9,151.0,135.3,133.3,119.8,119.1,117.9$. HPLC $(\mathrm{UV})>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 475.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z$ : $475.1980[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}, 475.1989\right)$.
5.1.2.10. $\quad N^{2}, N^{7}$-Bis(1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-9H-fluorene-2,7diamine (16). Method B. A solution of $15(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and o-phenylendiamine ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 4 mL ) was stirred at room temperature. After 3 h , starting materials consumption was revealed by TLC. EDC hydrochloride ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ice was added to the crude to facilitate product precipitation. The precipitate was collected by filtration and purified by centrifugal PTLC on silica using
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}(100: 0 \rightarrow 70: 30)$ to yield 16 as a whitish solid (94 $\mathrm{mg} ; 61 \%$ ). mp 196.3-210.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 10.95 (br s, 2H), $9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (br s, 4H), $7.07-$ $6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 150.7,143.6,143.2,139.1,134.5,132.8$, 129.7, 120.4, 119.6, 119.2, 116.0, 115.8, 113.8, 109.4, 36. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$ LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 429.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z$ : 429.1811 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6}, 429.1822$ ).
5.1.3. Synthesis of Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) (3a-b,3i-I, 18, 19, 23). 5.1.3.1. Method C1. To a solution of diamine ( $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 8$, 9) $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a $3: 1$ mixture of anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water bath) was added slowly a solution of naphthalen-2-ylmethylpyridine-2-carbimidothioate hydrobromide (17) ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in 6 mL of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3: 1)$. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h . The solvents were removed under vacuum, and the crude product was purified as specified in each case.
5.1.3.2. Naphthalen-2-ylmethylpyridine-2-carbimidothioate Hydrobromide (17). A solution of pyridine-2-carbothioic acid amide (6 g, 1 equiv) and 2-(bromomethyl)-naphthalene ( $9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ equiv) in dry $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux $\left(65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 1.5 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled immediately in an ice-water bath and poured into cool $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(350 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was filtered, and the organic phase was concentrated until dryness to yield 17 as white solid. The spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature. ${ }^{94}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.85-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{ddt}, J=7.7,4.7,0.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{mp}$ decomposes at $192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, 56.83$; H, 4.21; N, 7.80; S, 8.92. Found: C, 56.51; H, 4.26; N, 7.82; S, 9.03.
5.1.3.3. 4-(Picolinimidamido)-N-(4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)benzamide (3a). The reaction was performed following method C1 with $5 \mathrm{a}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $17(1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, in 6 mL of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3: 1)$. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h . The solvents were removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using $n$-hexane:EtOAc $(20: 80 \rightarrow 0: 100)$. The free base of 3a was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65$ (ddt, $J=6.7,4.9,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ (dddd, $J=9.0,7.5,4.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.8,153.7,151.8,151.6,151.2,148.1$, 148.0, 145.6, 137.2, 137.1, 134.2, 129.0, 128.6, 125.6, 125.4, 121.6, 121.5, 121.4, 121.2, 113.7, 112.5. mp 246.9-259.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $) m / z: 436.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z:$ 436.1881 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 436.1880$ ).
5.1.3.4. 4-(Picolinimidamido)- N -(5-(picolinimidamido)pyridin-2yl)benzamide Hydrochloride Salt (3b). Method C1. Diamine 5b (86 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in anhydrous acetonitrile $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was cooled with an ice-water bath, and solid $17(284 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at once to the suspension. The thick, yellowish slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm up to room temperature. After 5 days of stirring at room temperature, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the reaction mixture. The precipitate was collected on a fritted plate and rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The precipitate was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the cooled solution (ice-water bath) was basified with 1 N NaOH until $\mathrm{pH} \approx 10$. The solution was concentrated under vacuum, and the product was partitioned between water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give a crude yellow residue. Silica chromatography ( 5 g SI cartridge) with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (sat.) }}-\mathrm{MeOH}: 0 \rightarrow 5 \%$ yielded a mixture $(\approx 75 / 25,38$ $\mathrm{mg})$ of the expected product $\mathbf{3 b}(M=436)$ and the monosubstituted product $3 \mathbf{b} \_\mathbf{b}(M=332)$. The mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \overline{\mathrm{~mL}})$, cooled with an ice bath, and treated with $\mathrm{HCl}($ sat. $)$ dioxane solution for 1 h with gentle stirring. The monosubstituted
product $\mathbf{3 b} \_\mathbf{b}$ that precipitated from the reaction mixture was collected by filtration and rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give a colorless solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ). The filtrate was evaporated under a vacuum, and product 3 b was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield $3 \mathbf{b}$ as a yellowish solid $(10 \mathrm{mg}$, $6 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.81(\mathrm{br}$ s, 1H), 11.27 ( s, 1H), 10.17 (br s, 2H), 9.48 (br s, 2H), 8.95-8.84 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49-8.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 165.3,160.2,151.8,149.9,148.5$, 145.9, 144.3, 138.5, 138.4, 138.3, 136.5, 130.0, 129.8, 129.0, 128.7, 125.7, 124.1, 123.9, 122.6, 119.8, 119.5, 115.3. mp > $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HPLC}$ (UV): $93 \%$. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 437.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z$ : $437.1824[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}, 437.1833\right)$.
5.1.3.5. 4-Amino-N-(5-(picolinimidamido)pyridin-2-yl)benzamide Hydrochloride Salt (3b_b). The isolated product contains $\approx 9 \%$ of $3 \mathbf{b}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.18$ (s, $0.1 \mathrm{H}), 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.56 (dd, $J=5.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 165.6, 160.1, 151.7, 149.9, 144.8, 144.0, 138.5, 138.4, 137.4, 129.9, 129.8, 128.8, 127.0, 125.7, 124.1, 118.8, 115.3. LRMS (ESI $) m / z: 333[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.3.6. 5-Amino-N-(5-(picolinimidamido)pyridin-2-yl)picolinamide (3c_c). Method C1. Diamine 5c (130 mg, 0.57 mmol ) was suspended in anhydrous acetonitrile $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and solid 17 ( $428 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at once to the suspension. The thick, brownish slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm up to room temperature. After 10 days of stirring at room temperature, the reaction was concentrated under vacuum, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The precipitate was collected on a fritted plate and rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give a beige solid $(257 \mathrm{mg})$. The solid was suspended in EtOH ( 10 mL ), and the cooled solution (ice bath) was basified with 1 N NaOH until $\mathrm{pH} \approx$ 10. The mixture was partitioned between water ( 20 mL ) and EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give an orangish residue. Silica chromatography ( 5 g SI cartridge) with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (sat.) }}$ - $\mathrm{MeOH}: 0$ $\rightarrow 5 \%$ yielded the pure monosubstituted product 3c_c as a brownish solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ). HPLC (UV) > 95\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO) : $\delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.05 (dd, $J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 162.0, 153.2, 151.2, 148.3, 148.1, 146.1, 141.5, 137.2, 136.0, 134.5, 131.3, 125.6, 123.4, 121.5, 119.4, 113.0. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 334.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.3.7. Method C2. Diamine $5 \mathbf{i}-1$ ( 1 equiv) and 17 ( 4.5 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2.5 mL ) in a KIMAX tube. The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere for several days. Water $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove organic byproducts. The aqueous layer was concentrated in vacuo to yield a brownish crude oil. Then, hexane was added, and the precipitate was collected by filtration over a Buchner funnel. The precipitate was redissolved in water, and a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added to precipitate the product. The precipitate was filtered over a filter plate, giving $\mathbf{3 i - 1}$ as white powder.
5.1.3.8. 3-Fluoro-N-(2-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide (3i). Method C2. Starting from a mixture of diamines $\mathbf{5 i}(23.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 7}(157.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol ). After 12 days of stirring at room temperature, 3 i was isolated as a white powder ( $25.9 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). HPLC (UV): $>95 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.42-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.62-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.1,156.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.6$ (d, $J=243.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.1,152.7,150.92,150.86,148.2,141.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.8,124.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.8$, $121.6,120.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.8,115.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). mp 228.8-230.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 472.1694[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$, 472.1692).
5.1.3.9. 3-Fluoro-N-(3-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide (3j). Method C2. Starting from a mixture of diamines $5 \mathbf{j}(13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $17(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26$ mmol ). After 12 days of stirring at room temperature, 3 j was isolated as a white powder ( $14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). HPLC (UV): $>95 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) : $\delta 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.35-$ $8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.51$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $163.9,153.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=240.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.1,153.0$, $151.2,150.9,148.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 141.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.3$ (d, $J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 108.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{mp} 242.1-$ $243.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z$ : $472.1693[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 472.1692$ ).
5.1.3.10. 2-Fluoro-N-(2-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide (3k). Method C2. Starting from a mixture of diamines $\mathbf{5 k}(15.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 7}(105.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29$ mmol ). After 5 days of stirring at room temperature, 3 k was isolated as a white powder ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). HPLC (UV): $>95 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ) : $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.39-9.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.00-$ $8.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{qd}, J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.25-3.25 (br, 2H), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.4,159.7$ (d, $J=250.9$ ), 159.7, 158.1, $157.8,157.5,154.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=248.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 149.9, 149.7, 145.3, 144.6, 138.4 (d, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.11 (d, $J=9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 131.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.0,125.78(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.9,123.8,122.4,121.7,118.7,115.7,114.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.3$ Hz ), $113.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{mp} 218.2-219.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z$ : $472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:=472.1696[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 472.1692$ ).
5.1.3.11. 2-Fluoro- $N$-(3-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide (3I). Method C2. Starting from a mixture of diamine $51(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $17(62.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol ). After 2 days of stirring at room temperature, 31 was isolated as a white powder ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). HPLC (UV): $>95 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ (dddd, $J=7.9,6.9,4.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (dd, $J$ $=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.1,160.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=249.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.8$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=240.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.7,151.5,148.7,148.6$, $137.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ), $131.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 122.1, 122.1, 118.6, 118.4, 116.5, $109.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 108.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 25.0 Hz ). mp $212.7-214.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$) $m / z:=472.1695[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$, 471.1692).
5.1.3.12. $N, N^{\prime \prime}$-(Ethane-1,2-diylbis(4,1-phenylene))dipicolinimidamide (18). The reaction was performed following the general Method C1 with commercial 4,4'-diaminobibenzyl ( 106 mg , $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $17(449 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ suspended in a $3: 1$ mixture of anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The solvent was eliminated under a vacuum, and the solid crude was purified by silica column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc $(100: 0 \rightarrow 10: 90)$ as the elution system. Product 18 was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.63$ (ddd, $J=4.8,1.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ (ddd, $J=7.8,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.33-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$

Hz, 4H), 6.81-6.10 (br, 4H) 2.87 (s, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 151.8,151.4,148.0,147.5,137.1,135.6,129.2,125.4$, 121.4, 121.3, 36.9. mp 174.7-180.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 421.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z:=421.2132[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6}, 421.2135$ ).
5.1.3.13. $N, N^{\prime}$-((Carbonylbis(azanediyl))bis(4,1-phenylene))dipicolinimidamide (19). Method C2 starting from a mixture of diamines $9(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $17(95.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 4 h stirring at room temperature, 19 was isolated as an off-white powder ( $24.7 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). mp: desc $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.65-8.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94$ (td, $J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.44$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.2(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 152.8,151.8,151.6,148.0,144.0$, 137.1, 134.7, 125.3, 121.9, 121.2, 119.3. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 451.3$ [M + H]. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z:=451.1981[\mathrm{M}+$ H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}$, 451.1989).
5.1.4. Synthesis of Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidine) Salts (3c-I, 23) Starting from the Boc-Protected Precursors (22, 24c-l). 5.1.4.1. Method D. To a cooled (ice-water bath) solution of the Boc-protected bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) (22, 24c-1) (scale: $10-50 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2-3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly TFA $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ or 4 M HCl -dioxane solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvents were removed under vacuum to give a crude oil which was dried under a high vacuum. The crude product was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to precipitate the bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidine) ( $3 \mathrm{c}-1,23$ ) as a powder.
5.1.4.2. 5-(Picolinimidamido)- N -(5-(picolinimidamido)pyridin-2yl)picolinamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (3c). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{2 4 c}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA following method D. Compound 3 c was obtained as a brownish solid ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.44$ (br s, 1H), 8.95-8.87 (m, 2H), 8.85-8.79 (m, 2H), 8.48-8.40 (m, 5 H ), 8.27 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.0,162.2,150.2$, $150.0,149.2,148.9,144.7,135.0,129.3,129.2,124.3,124.2,123.9$, 119.8, 114.2, 113.6. mp $135.0-138.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV): > 95\%. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 438.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS (ESI $) m / z: 219.0932[\mathrm{M}+$ $2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}, 219.0953$ ).
5.1.4.3. 3-Chloro-N-(2-chloro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (3d). The reaction was performed with $24 \mathrm{~d}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and TFA following method D . Compound 3 d was obtained as a yellowish solid $(45 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.0-11.4$ (br, 1H), $10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42-8.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (dd, $J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63 (br s, 2 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.8,159.6$, 149.9, 149.4, 144.6, 138.5, 138.2, 134.9, 130.1, 129.6, 129.3, 128.7, 128.1, 127.2, 125.2, 123.9, 123.1. mp 118.1-120.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 504.32\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:=$ $504.1087[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 504.1101$ ).
5.1.4.4. 3-Chloro- N -(3-chloro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (3e). The reaction was performed with $24 \mathrm{e}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and TFA following method D . Compound 3 e was obtained as a yellowish solid $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.0-11.5$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23-9.92(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.46-9.01(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84-8.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.29-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.1,158.0$, 150.0, 149.2, 144.2, 138.7, 138.4, 129.4, 128.8, 128.1, 123.7, 122.9, 121.3, 120.1. mp $130.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $504.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:=504.1087[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}($calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 504.1101$ ).
5.1.4.5. 2-Chloro- N -(2-chloro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4picolinimidamido)benzamide Dihydrochloride (3f). The reaction was performed with $24 \mathrm{f}(57 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$
and 4 M HCl -dioxane solution following method D . The crude product was crushed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield 3 f as a brownish solid ( 31 mg , $67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H), $9.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.28-$ $8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.9$, 154.3, 149.9, 149.8, 138.6, 131.2, 130.5, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 125.3, $123.9 . \mathrm{mp}>130.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 504.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z:=504.1098$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 504.1101\right)$.
5.1.4.6. 2-Chloro-N-(3-chloro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate Salt (3g). The reaction was performed with $24 \mathrm{~g}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and TFA according to method D. After 2 h , excess TFA was removed under a vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in MeOH , and the solvent was evaporated to give a solid that was dried under a high vacuum. The purplish solid was crushed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield pure 3 g as a whitish solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.05-11.61$ (br, 1H), $11.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23-9.83(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.47-9.15(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.92-8.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.89-8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-$ $8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 164.9,159.8,150.0,149.9,149.7$, $149.5,144.3,140.3,138.5,138.3,131.0,130.9,130.2,129.6,128.7$, 128.3, 124.5, 123.6, 120.6, 119.5. mp 214.0-222.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 504.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\right.$ESI $\left.^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:=504.1089[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}, 504.1101$ ).
5.1.4.7. 2-Isopropoxy- N -(2-isopropoxy-4-(picolinimidamido)-phenyl)-4-(picolinimidamido)benzamide Dihydrochloride (3h). The reaction was performed with $24 \mathrm{~h}(45 \mathrm{mg} ; 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 4 M HCl -dioxane solution following method D . The crude product was crushed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield 3 h as a brownish solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : : $\delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.2$, 159.5, 157.3, 149.8, 149.0, 148.6, 148.3, 147.4, 147.1, 144.5, 138.5, 138.4, 137.9, 133.1, 129.1, 128.6, 128.0, 126.5, 123.8, 122.6, 122.0, 121.4, 114.9, 108.6, 107.8, 72.2, 71.9, 22.0, 21.9. mp 142.9-150.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z: $552.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z:=552.2712[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 552.2718).
5.1.4.8. 3-Fluoro-N-(2-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate (3i). The reaction was performed with $24 i(10.7 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA according to method D. Compound 3 i was obtained as a yellowish solid (7.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.44(\mathrm{br}$ s, 1 H$), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.1(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-$ $7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .>95 \%$; LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.4.9. 3-Fluoro- N -(3-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate (3j). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{2 4 j}(6.50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA according to method D. Compound $3 \mathbf{j}$ was obtained as a yellowish solid (5.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz DMSO): $\delta 11.63$ (br s, 1H), 10.74 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-8.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47-$ 7.67 (m, 9H), 7.66-7.41 (m, 2H). HPLC (UV) > 95\%; LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.4.10. 2-Fluoro-N-(2-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate (3k). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{2 4 k}(15.3 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA according to method D. Compound $3 \mathbf{k}$ was obtained as a yellowish solid ( 12.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 94 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$; LRMS $\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right) m / z: 472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
5.1.4.11. 2-Fluoro- N -(3-fluoro-4-(picolinimidamido)phenyl)-4(picolinimidamido)benzamide Ditrifluoroacetate (3I). The reaction was performed with $241(12.7 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.019 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA according to method D. Compound 31 was obtained as a yellowish solid ( 10.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 93 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 11.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (UV) $=95 \%$; LRMS $(E S I+) m / z: 472$ [M + H].
5.1.4.12. N -(4-(4-(Picolinamido)benzamido)phenyl)picolinamide (20). A suspension of 5 a ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and picolinyl chloride ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 8 mL ) was stirred at room temperature. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise, turning the brown into a whitish solution. After 2 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ whereupon a whitish precipitate appeared. The product was collected by filtration as a whitish solid ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79-8.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 164.7,162.9,162.2,150.0,149.7,148.5,148.4,141.3,138.2$, 138.1, 135.3, 134.0, 129.9, 128.4, 127.2, 126.9, 122.6, 122.3, 120.6, 120.5, 119.5. HPLC (UV) $=93 \%$. LRMS $\left(E S I^{+}\right) m / z: 438.2[M+H]$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 438.1555[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left(\right.$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 438.1561).
5.1.4.13. N -(7-Benzimidamido-9H-fluoren-2-yl)picolinimidamide Dihydrochloride Salt (23). The reaction was performed with 22 (59 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 4 M HCl -dioxane solution following method D. Compound 23 was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature. ${ }^{25}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.18$ (br s, 2H), $9.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.14-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 159.8$, 149.7, 144.0, 143.9, 140.4, 138.5, 133.5, 128.6, 124.9, 124.2, 122.9, 121.8, 36.8. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 405.38[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z: 203.0943[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}\left(\right.$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$, 203.0948).
5.1.5. Synthesis of Boc-Protected Bis(imidazolidin-2-imines) Derivatives ( $\mathbf{6 c}$-i). 5.1.5.1. Method E. Mercury(II) chloride (3 equiv) was added to a cooled solution (ice-water bath) of di-tertbutyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ${ }^{23}$ (3 equiv), diamine $5 \mathrm{c}-\mathbf{i}$ ( 1 equiv., $100-300 \mathrm{mg}$ scale), and anhydrous triethylamine ( 7 equiv) in dry DMF ( $3 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the ice-water bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time specified in each case. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered over celite using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ $(1: 1,200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the crude product was diluted with EtOAc ( 100 mL ) and extracted with water $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under vacuum. The pure compounds were obtained by crystallization or by silica centrifugal thin-layer chromatography, as specified in each case.
5.1.5.2. Di-tert-butyl-2-((6-(5-((1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl))-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)picolinamido)pyridin-3-yl)imino)-imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6c). The reaction was performed with 5 c ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3dicarboxylate ( $907 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(813 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(708 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) according to method E. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days. The crude product was purified by centrifugal PTLC using a silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The elution system was prepared with a petroleum ether/EtOAc mixture ( $8: 2 \rightarrow 6: 4 \rightarrow 0: 1$ ). $6 \mathbf{c}$ was obtained as a brownish solid ( 358 mg ; $47 \%$ ). mp 93.0-103.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.34 (dd, $J=8.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.30
(br s, 36H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 161.5, 149.4, 149.2, 149.0, 145.2, 142.1, 142.0, 141.5, 141.4, 140.9, 140.6, 129.5, 127.8, 122.5, 112.7, 82.0, 81.7, 43.2, 43.1, 27.5, 27.4. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 766.8[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
5.1.5.3. Di-tert-butyl-2-((4-((4-)(1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-2-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl)-2-chlorophenyl)imino)imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6d). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{5 d}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $617 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $553 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(482 \mathrm{mg}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to method E. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days. The crude product was purified by centrifugal PTLC using silica plates previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$; petroleum ether/EtOAc was used as an elution system ( $8: 2 \rightarrow 6: 4 \rightarrow$ $0: 1$ ). 6d was obtained as an orangish solid ( $302 \mathrm{mg} ; 54 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>$ $115.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 8.32$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta 163.7,150.2,150.0$, 149.5, 145.2, 140.1, 140.0, 129.6, 128.8, 128.7, 126.2, 125.6, 123.1, 122.1, 121.9, 121.7, 120.8, 83.3, 83.2, 43.3, 42.9, 28.03, 28.02. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z: 832.7$ [M + H].
5.1.5.4. Di-tert-butyl-2-((4-(4-((1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl))-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-3-chlorobenzamido)-2-chlorophenyl)imino)imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6e). The reaction was performed with 5 e ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $617 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $553 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(482 \mathrm{mg}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to method E. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days. The crude product was purified by centrifugal PTLC using silica plates previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Petroleum ether/EtOAc was used as the elution system ( $8: 2 \rightarrow 6: 4 \rightarrow$ $0: 1)$ 6e was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $268 \mathrm{mg} ; 47.3 \%$ ) mp $188.7-211.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 36 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 163.5, 149.6, 149.4, 149.3, 141.7, $140.5,139.6,134.1,128.5,128.0,126.8,125.3,125.2,120.9,120.4$, 120.3, 119.2, 81.9, 81.6, 42.8, 42.7, 27.51, 27.50. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 832.7$ [M + H].
5.1.5.5. Di-tert-butyl 2-((4-(4-((1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-2-chlorobenzamido)-3-chlorophenyl)imino)imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6f). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{5 f}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, di-tert-butyl $2-$ thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $617 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $553 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(482 \mathrm{mg}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to method E. The reaction mixture was stirred 30 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified by centrifugal PTLC using a 2 mm silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$; Petroleum ether/EtOAc was used as the elution system ( $8: 2 \rightarrow 6: 4 \rightarrow$ $0: 1)$. 6 f was obtained as a whitish solid ( $440 \mathrm{mg} ; 78 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.79(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 165.1,158.0,157.3,157.0,155.6$, 155.5, 152.50, 152.46, 149.3, 148.4, 130.8, 129.8, 128.0, 118.3, 117.1, 116.4, 106.5, 82.5, 82.4, 45.10, 45.07, 27.8, 27.5. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z: 832.7 [M + H].
5.1.5.6. Di-tert-butyl-2-((4-(4-((1,3-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-2-chlorobenzamido)-2-chlorophenyl)imino)imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6g). The reaction was performed with $5 \mathrm{~g}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, di-tert-butyl 2 -thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $916 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ $(823 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(716 \mathrm{mg}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) according to method E . The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The crude product was filtered over florisil. The organic phase was concentrated and centrifugal PTLC was performed in a 2 mm silica plate using hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as the elution system
(80:20:0 $\rightarrow 0: 20: 10$ ) to yield the product as a whitish solid ( 739 mg ; $88 \%$ ). mp 192.4-196.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.87$ (m, 2H), $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (br s, 4H), 3.76 (br s, 4H), $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $166.2,164.7,151.4,149.6,149.4,141.8,140.8,139.7,134.2,130.4$, 129.5, 129.3, 125.3, 121.3, 120.5, 120.1, 119.3, 118.6, 81.8, 81.7, 43.1, 42.8, 27.5. HPLC (UV) 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 832.7$ [M + H].
5.1.5.7. Di-tert-butyl 2-((4-(4-((1,3-bis(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-2-isopropoxybenzamido)-2isopropoxyphenyl) imino)imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6h). The reaction was performed with $\mathbf{5 h}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$, di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $399 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $358 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(312 \mathrm{mg}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) according to method E. The reaction was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The crude product was purified by centrifugal PTLC using a silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The elution system was prepared with a hexane/EtOAc mixture ( $7: 3 \rightarrow 4: 6$ ). 6 h was obtained as a brownish solid ( 174 mg ; $45 \%$ ). $\mathrm{mp}>93.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 9.82$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (hept, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54 (hept, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 162.3, 156.2, 153.7, 149.7, 149.4, 147.1, 144.8, 140.6, 139.3, 131.8, 123.5, 120.7, 116.5, 114.5, 113.3, 106.9, 106.5, 81.7, 81.4, 72.5, 71.3, 43.0, 42.9, 27.5, 22.1, 21.9. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) m / z$ : 880.8 [M + H].
5.1.5.8. Di-tert-butyl 2-((4-((4-((1,3-bis(tert-Butoxycarbonyl))-imidazolidin-2-ylidene)amino)-2-fluorophenyl)carbamoyl)-2fluorophenyl)imino) imidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (6i). The reaction was performed with $5 \mathbf{5 i}(108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, di-tert-butyl 2-thioxoimidazolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.285 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) according to method E . The reaction mixture was stirred for 7 days at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with DMF ( 10 mL ) and filtered on celite. The filter cake was rinsed successively with DMF ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was evaporated under a vacuum, and the crude yellow oil was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give a crude yellow oil. Flash chromatography on neutral alumina eluting with hexane/EtOAc $(5: 1 \rightarrow 1: 1 \rightarrow 0: 1)$ yielded $6 \mathbf{i}$ as a grayish solid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , chloroform-d): $\delta 8.20$ (dd, J $=11.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, 4H), 1.31 (s, 18H), 1.30 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ). HPLC (UV) 85\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 800.6[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
5.1.6. Synthesis of Boc-Protected Bis(pyridine-2-carboxamidines) (24c-I). 5.1.6.1. Method F. A microwave vial was charged with diamine $5 \mathbf{c}-1$ ( $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv, $90-150 \mathrm{mg}$ scale), methyl N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)pyridine-2-carbimidothioate ${ }^{28}$ (21; 4 equiv), and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (4 equiv). The vial was sealed with a septum cap and purged with argon. Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2-4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by dry $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (4 equiv). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 1 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through celite and florisil. The filter pad was rinsed successively with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$ mixture ( 50 mL ). The solvents were evaporated under a vacuum, and the product was purified by chromatography, as described for each compound.
5.1.6.2. tert-Butyl-(N, N"-(9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl)dipicolinimidamide)carbamate (22). The reaction was carried out in a Kimax tube loaded with 9 H -fluorene-2,7-diamine ( 270 mg , 1.38 mmol ), 21 ( $870 \mathrm{mg}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(899 \mathrm{mg}, 3.31 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, and a mixture of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 7 h . Purification using centrifugal chromatography (silica plates previously deactivated with $n$-hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) using $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}(90: 10 \rightarrow 20: 80)$ gave 22 as a yellowish solid ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$
$9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 7.57 (dd, $J=7.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.97 (s, 2H), 1.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ). HPLC (UV) $=90 \%$. LRMS $\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right) m / z: 605(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
5.1.6.3. tert-Butyl-(-((6-((5-(-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)) picolinimidamido)pyridin-2-yl)carbamoyl)pyridin-3-yl)amino)-(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24c). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $\mathbf{5 c}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43$ mmol ), 21 ( $436 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $469 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$. Purification by medium pressure chromatography ( 4 g silica cartridge) using hexane/EtOAc (100:0 $\rightarrow$ 80:20) gave 24c as a yellowish solid ( $97 \mathrm{mg} ; 36 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (dd, $J=$ 8.7, $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 161.5,148.1,141.7,141.3,136.3,134.4$, 133.7, 123.1, 122.6, 119.3, 113.3, 57.7, 8.4. mp $187.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z: $638.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
5.1.6.4. tert-Butyl-(((4-((4-(-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl))-picolinimidamido)-2-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl)-2-chlorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24d). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $\mathbf{5 d}(150 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.5 mmol ), 21 ( $515 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(554 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid ( 800 mg ) was purified by reverse phase chromatography with a C18 (12 g) cartridge using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100: 0 \rightarrow 0: 100)$ as the elution system. The product was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.70$ (ddd, $J=4.8$, $1.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.62 (ddd, $J=3.6,1.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.08-7.98$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (ddd, $J=7.7,4.8$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.9,160.1,156.3,151.4,151.1$, 149.3, 149.1, 148.5, 138.5, 137.2, 130.2, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 127.0, 125.6, 125.0, 123.2, 123.1, 120.8, 119.5, 80.4, 79.0, 27.6, 27.5. mp 188.7-211.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 92\%. LRMS $\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right) m / z: 704.3[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.5. tert-Butyl-(()4-((4-(N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-3-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl)-2-chlorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24e). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $\mathbf{5 e}(79 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.3 mmol ), 21 ( $273 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid obtained $(512 \mathrm{mg})$ was purified by reverse phase chromatography with a $\mathrm{C} 18(12 \mathrm{~g})$ cartridge using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100: 0 \rightarrow 0: 100)$ as the elution system. The product was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86-8.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 8.55-8.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 163.6,151.1,148.5,148.3,141.6,137.1$, 128.6, 127.0, 125.5, 124.9, 123.1, 121.2, 120.7, 119.2, 80.4, 80.1, 27.6, 27.5. mp 244.1-269.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $704.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.6. tert-Butyl-(((4-((4-(-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-2-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl)-3-chlorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24f). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $\mathbf{5 f}(112 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}), 21(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(248 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(154 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid ( 372 mg ) was purified by circular chromatography using a 2 mm silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$; hexane/ EtOAC ( $6: 4 \rightarrow 4: 6$ ) was used as the elution system. The product was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $181 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 162.3,160.1,160.0,156.3,156.3,151.4,151.1$, 149.1, 149.1, 147.1, 139.8, 138.8, 138.2, 137.3, 137.2, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 125.7, 125.6, 124.2, 123.4, 123.1, 123.1, 120.9, 119.6, 118.6, 79.2, 79.0, 27.6, 27.6. mp $157.5-170.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 704.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.7. tert-Butyl-(((4-((4-(-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-3-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl)-3-chlorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24g). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $\mathbf{5 g}(109 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.37 mmol ), 21 ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(242 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid ( 539 mg ) was purified by circular chromatography using a 2 mm silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(15 \mathrm{~mL}) ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ EtOAC ( $95: 5 \rightarrow 90: 10$ ) was used as the elution system. The product was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.67-8.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-$ $6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 165.7,160.8,160.0,156.3,153.2,151.1,144.8,141.7$, 138.5, 137.3, 133.6, 129.5, 125.7, 123.1, 120.6, 119.9, 118.8, 113.6, 113.1, 80.1, 79.2, $27.51,27.47 . \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z: $704.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.8. tert-Butyl-(((4-(4-(-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-2-isopropoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-3-isopropoxyphenyl)amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate ( 24 h ). A solution of $5 \mathrm{~h}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), 21(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature, followed by the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(61 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.6 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred 6 days at room temperature. The crude was filtered through a pad of florisil rinsing with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and MeOH . The filtrate was extracted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The organic phase was concentrated and purified by circular chromatography using a 2 mm silica plate previously neutralized with $n$-hexane ( 235 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$; hexane/EtOAc (2:3) was used as the elution system. The product was obtained as a yellowish solid ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.70 (dd, $J=9.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.33 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.10-7.95$ (m, 3H), 7.77-7.65 (m, 3H), 7.59 (ddd, $J=12.4,7.9,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72$ (hept, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.59 (hept, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.25 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 162.3,160.1,160.0,156.3,156.3,151.4,151.1,149.1,149.1$, 147.1, 139.8, 138.8, 138.2, 137.3, 137.2, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 125.7, 125.6, 124.2, 123.4, 123.1, 123.1, 120.9, 119.6, 118.6, 79.2, 79.0, 27.6, 27.6. $\mathrm{mp} 96.3-102.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $752.45[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.9. tert-Butyl ((E)-((4-((4-((E)- $N^{\prime}$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-2-fluorophenyl)carbamoil)-2-fluorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methyleno)carbamate (24i). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $5 \mathbf{5 i}(51 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.19 mmol ), 21 ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(211 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(107 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid was purified by reverse phase chromatography ( 12 g cartridge, $\mathrm{C}-18$ ). The unreacted reagents and byproducts eluted first with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 90 / 10 \rightarrow$ $40 / 60$, whereas compound 24 i eluted with $100 \%$ DMSO. Lyophilization yielded $24 i$ as a yellowish powder ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.09-9.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4$. $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59-8.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24-7.05 (m, 1H), $1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 672[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.10. tert-Butyl ((E)-((4-((4-)(E)-N'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-3-fluorophenyl()carbamoyl)-2-fluorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24j). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $5 \mathbf{j}$ ( 51 mg , $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}), 21(197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(217 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(109 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid was purified by reverse phase chromatography ( 12 g cartridge, $\mathrm{C}-18$ ). The unreacted reagents and byproducts eluted first with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 90 / 10 \rightarrow$ $40 / 60$, whereas compound $24 j$ eluted with $100 \%$ DMSO. Lyophilization yielded $\mathbf{2 4 j}$ as a yellowish powder ( $28.2 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.09-9.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.82-8.44$ (m, 2H), 8.14-6.95 (m, 12H), $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (UV): > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 672[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
5.1.6.11. tert-Butyl ((E)-((4-((4-)-(E)-N'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-2-fluorophenyl)carbamoyl)-3-fluorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24k). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $5 \mathrm{k}(50 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}), 21(194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(208 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid was purified by reverse phase chromatography ( 12 g cartridge, $\mathrm{C}-18$ ). The unreacted reagents and byproducts eluted first with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 90 / 10 \rightarrow$ $40 / 60$, whereas compound 24 k eluted with $100 \%$ DMSO. Lyophilization yielded 24 k as a yellowish powder ( $48.4 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.88$ (d, $J=3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{tt}, J=5.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.83(\mathrm{t}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7,66(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (UV): > 95\%. LRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $m / z: 672[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
5.1.6.12. tert-Butyl ((E)-((4-((4-)(E)-N'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-picolinimidamido)-3-fluorophenyl)carbamoyl)-3-fluorophenyl)-amino)(pyridin-2-yl)methylene)carbamate (24I). The reaction was performed following the general Method F with diamine $51(50 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.19 mmol ), 21 ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(106 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude solid was purified by reverse phase chromatography ( 12 g cartridge, $\mathrm{C}-18$ ). The unreacted reagents and byproducts eluted first with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 90 / 10 \rightarrow$ $40 / 60$, whereas compound 24 k eluted with $100 \%$ DMSO. Lyophilization yielded 24k as a yellowish powder ( $27.8 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.56-10.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.31-$ $10.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.61-9.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-7.36$ (m, 10H), 7.35-6.45 (m, 1H), $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC (UV) $>95 \%$. LRMS $\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right) m / z: 672[M+H]$.
5.1.7. Spectrophotometric $p K_{a}$ Measurements. The $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ was measured using the 96 -well microtiter plate method reported earlier. ${ }^{51,52}$ Briefly, the compounds were dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 5 mM (stock solution) or less, ensuring that the maximum absorbance of the compound was below 1.5 AU during the assay. Each line of the UV-transparent 96 -well microplate (Thermo Scientific Nunc) was loaded with $196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffer solutions of increasing pH . Then, $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the compound stock solutions were added to each well with a micropipette (the resulting analyte solution was premixed with the micropipette). One blank solution was prepared for each buffer by adding $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO to $196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the corresponding buffer solution (i.e., free of analyte compounds) in the well. The 96 -well plate was loaded into the UV spectrophotometer (CLARIOStar Plus), incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and shaken at 700 rpm for 5 min in a double orbital mode before the reading was performed. UVspectra scans were recorded between 200 and 600 nm at 2 nm resolution. The raw UV-spectra scans were imported to the Excel template provided in Dardonville et al., ${ }^{51}$ and the data were processed, as reported. ${ }^{52,95}$ Buffer solutions ( pH from 1 to 12) of constant ionic strength ( 0.1 M KCl ) were prepared according to the online buffer calculator: https://www.biomol.net/en/tools/ buffercalculator.htm. The number and range of buffer solutions (e.g., every $0.2,0.5$, or 1 pH unit) needed to determine $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were adjusted depending on the compound tested. In general, a first screening with 12 buffers ranging from 3 to 12 should give an approximate $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value, which can be refined when repeating the experiment using buffers within $\pm 2 \mathrm{pH}$ units of the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value.
$\mathrm{p}_{s} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were measured with the Sirius T 3 apparatus at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a 0.15 M aqueous KCl solution under a nitrogen atmosphere using the UV-metric method, DMSO stock solutions of the samples, and methanol as a cosolvent. The aqueous $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ (at $0 \%$ co-solvent) was worked out using the Yasuda-Shedlovski extrapolation.
$\log P$ values were determined with the Sirius T3 apparatus at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the pH -metric method.
5.1.8. Kinetic Solubility Measurements. Ten $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of 10 mM stock solution (DMSO) of the compound (3a, 3d, and 18) was added to $990 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffer solution ( $\mathrm{pH} 1.2,5.5$, or 7.4 ) in an Eppendorf tube (DMSO final concentration was $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. Blank samples ( $1 \%$ DMSO in buffer) were also prepared. The samples (prepared in triplicate) were shaken at room temperature for 2 h and centrifuged at 135 rpm
for $15 \mathrm{~min} .170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the supernatant were transferred to a 96 -well plate and diluted by adding $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The absorption of the compounds and blank samples was read at 269 nm with a Multiscan Spectrum (Thermo Electron) UV spectrophotometer. The blank contribution was subtracted, and the solubility was worked out from a calibration curve obtained between 0 and $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for each sample. Results are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD.
5.2. Biophysical Experiments. 5.2.1. DNA and Oligonucleotides. Deoxyribonucleic acid sodium salt from salmon testes (i.e., unspecific DNA containing $41.2 \% \mathrm{GC}$ ) employed in LD experiments was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (ref. D1626). Hairpin oligonucleotides used in the SPR-biosensor assays were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, with reverse-phase HPLC purification (the loop is underlined): [Biotin]CGAATTCGTCTCCGAATTCG [i.e., $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)$ ] and [Biotin]CGCGCGCGTTTTCGCGCGCG [i.e., (CG) 4 ]. Oligonucleotides used in the thermal melting experiments were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT), with HPLC purity: $5^{\prime}$ -CATATATATCCCCATATATATG-3' [i.e., $(A T)_{4}$ ] and $5^{\prime}$ -CGCGCGCGTTTTCGCGCGCG-3' [i.e., (CG) $)_{4}$ ].
5.2.2. Thermal Melting Experiments. Frozen samples of lyophilized DNA oligonucleotides were removed from the fridge and warmed to room temperature over 5 min . These oligonucleotides were dissolved in 1 mL of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0 ) containing $\mathrm{NaCl}(100 \mathrm{mM})$ (oligonucleotide stock solution). The DNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer. CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco $8 \mathrm{~J}-810$ Spectropolarimeter using a 1 mm path-length quartz cuvette. The oligonucleotide (AT) $)_{4}$ or (CG) $4_{4}$ was diluted at $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration in a volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of oligonucleotide stock solution. Test compounds were prepared as $120 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solutions in DMSO. Scans from 320 to 220 nm were performed with a $50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ scanning speed. For each spectrum, an average of three spectra was taken, and the spectrum of the corresponding buffer was subtracted for baseline correction. The melting curves were obtained by recording the change of the molar ellipticity at 270 nm in a range of temperatures from 5 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was controlled using a Jasco Peltier, with the rate of temperature rising at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. The resulting melting temperatures were calculated by fitting the denaturing curves with the program Origin Pro 6.0.
5.2.3. SPR-Biosensor Assays. The compounds were dissolved in DMSO and diluted to the required concentrations with the buffer containing 10 mM MES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, and surfactant $\mathrm{P}-20$ at $0.005 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ at pH 6.25 . SPR binding experiments were performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a Biacore X100 apparatus (Biacore GE) using the filtered buffer described above. The DNA hairpins were immobilized on a streptavidin-derivatized gold chip (SA chip from Biacore) by injection of a 25 nM hairpin DNA solution with a flow rate of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ until $\sim 400 \mathrm{RU}$ were reached. Flow cell 1 was used as a reference, while flow cell 2 was immobilized with the hairpins in different chips. Direct binding was measured by the injection of increasing concentrations of each compound over the immobilized DNA surfaces at a flow rate of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ for a period of 60 s , followed by a dissociation period of 120 s . Regeneration of the surface was made with $\mathrm{NaCl} 200 \mathrm{mM} / \mathrm{NaOH} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ using a flow rate of 10 $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$ for 30 s . The binding affinity was determined by fitting the results to a two-site or one-site $\left(K_{2}=0\right)$ binding model according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\left(K_{1} C_{\mathrm{f}}+2 K_{1} K_{2} C_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}\right)}{\left(1+K_{1} C_{\mathrm{f}}+K_{1} K_{2} C_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the mole of bound compound per mole of DNA hairpin duplex, $C_{f}$ is the free concentration at equilibrium, and $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ the microscopic binding constants.
5.2.4. LD Experiments. The spectra were recorded for natural DNA (salmon testes) titrated with compounds 1a in phosphate buffer at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, following the methodology previously reported by Rozas' group. ${ }^{47}$ Titrations were carried out with a DNA concentration of $378.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ working with a $B p / D$ ratio of 0,1 , and 5 , varying the $B p / D$ ratio from 5 to 1 over 2 additions (Figure 2). Since the experiment was done in a
very small volume ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), the concentrations of DNA and the compounds were kept constant to avoid the dilution effect. ${ }^{47}$ Each solution was then prepared individually, and the corresponding spectra were recorded.
5.3. Biology. 5.3.1. Chemical Compounds. For the biological assays, stock solutions of synthesized compounds were prepared in DMSO as follows: 20 mM for both anti-T. cruzi and trichomonacidal experiments; 10 mM for assays against $T$. brucei and L. donovani. Amphotericin B (AmB), metronidazole, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), resazurin, chlorophenol red $\beta$-D-galactopyranoside (CPRG), and phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). LGlutamine and penicillin/streptomycin were obtained from Gibco. All chemicals were of the highest quality available. Benznidazole was kindly provided by LAFEPE (Laboratório Farmacêutico de Pernambuco, Pernambuco, Brazil). Pentamidine, diminazene, and phenylarsine oxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St-LouisMO).
5.3.2. In Vitro Activity against L. donovani. Leishmania donovani (MHOM/ET/67/HU3) was employed in the screening of synthesized compounds. This line was grown at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in RPMI $1640-$ modified medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with $10 \%$ heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (hiFBS) (Invitrogen), as described. ${ }^{96}$

The sensitivity of Leishmania promastigotes to the different compounds was determined after incubation for 72 h at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of increasing concentrations of the compounds. The concentration of compound required to inhibit $50 \%$ of parasite growth ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ) was calculated using the MTT colorimetric assay, as described previously. ${ }^{97}$ For the compound susceptibility analysis of the intracellular amastigote forms of $L$. donovani clinical isolates, stationary-phase promastigotes were used to infect macrophagedifferentiated THP-1 cells at a macrophage/parasite ratio of $1: 10$. After overnight infection at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in RPMI 1640 medium plus $5 \%$ hiFBS, extracellular parasites were removed by washing twice with PBS buffer. Infected macrophages were incubated with different concentrations of compounds in RPMI 1640 medium plus $10 \%$ hiFBS at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere for 72 h . Following incubation, the medium of the samples was removed and SDS $0.05 \%$ was added for around 10 min until lysis of macrophages occurred, liberating intact and viable intracellular amastigotes. We diluted the samples $1 / 10$ with RPMI modified medium supplemented with $10 \%$ hiFBS and incubated the samples for $4-7$ days at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in order to let the intracellular amastigotes transform into promastigote forms. Finally, the resazurin colorimetric assay was used to determine the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ in a similar way, as previously described. ${ }^{98}$ Data are means $\pm$ standard deviations from three independent experiments ( $n=3$ ).
5.3.3. Human Cell Lines Culture and Determination of Cellular Toxicity. The human myelomonocytic cell line THP-1 was grown in RPMI-1640 supplemented with $10 \%$ hiFBS, 2 mM glutamate, $100 \mathrm{U} /$ mL penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Five $\times 10^{5}$ THP- 1 cells per well in 24 -well plates were differentiated to macrophages with $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ of PMA treatment for 48 h followed by 24 h of culture in complete fresh medium. The cellular toxicity of all compounds was determined using the colorimetric MTT-based assay, ${ }^{99}$ as described for Leishmania promastigotes, with the exception of the incubation temperature, which was $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in this case.
5.3.4. In Vitro Activity against T. brucei. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined for bloodstream forms of both strain Lister $427^{100}$ and the multidrug-resistant strain B48, which is derived from Lister 427 by knockout of the TbAT1 aminopurine transporter ${ }^{101}$ and in vitro adaptation to high concentrations of pentamidine. ${ }^{30}$ Both strains were cultured in full HMI-9 media (Gibco) supplemented with $10 \%$ hiFBS at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \% \quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, as described. ${ }^{102}$ The drug sensitivity was determined using a resazurin-based assay exactly as described previously in 96 wells, with 23 doubling dilutions and no drug control for each compound. Each well was seeded with 2000 cells and incubated for 70 h with the drug before the addition of the resazurin indicator dye and a further incubation of $24 \mathrm{~h}(n=3) .{ }^{20}$ Results are expressed as the mean value of $\mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}$ (standard error of the mean).
5.3.5. Unspecific Cytotoxicity Assays. Cytotoxicity against human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells was determined exactly as described previously ${ }^{103}$ Briefly, cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Sigma) supplemented with $10 \%$ New-born Calf Serum (Gibco), $1 \%$ of a penicillin/streptomycin solution (Gibco), and $1 \%$ (vv) of 200 mM glutamax (Gibco) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} .96$-well plates were seeded with 30,000 cells/well in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium and incubated for 24 h to allow adhesion, after which an equal value of a drug serial dilution was added and the plates incubated for 30 h prior to the addition of resazurin solution, followed by a final 24 h incubation. Plates were read on a FLUOstar Optima fluorimeter (BMG Labteach, Durham, NC), and the data was analyzed using Prism 8.0 (GraphPad).
5.3.6. T. cruzi Epimastigote Susceptibility Assays. The activity profile of the compounds was explored on the T. cruzi CL-B5 lacZ strain (DTU TcVI) by applying the screening procedure previously described. ${ }^{104,105}$ Briefly, axenic cultures of log-phase epimastigotes in the Liver Infusion Tryptose (LIT) medium were seeded in 96 -well microplates at a density of $2.5 \times 10^{5}$ parasites $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and treated with compounds for 72 h at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stock solutions of either the studied compounds or the reference drug benznidazole were prepared in DMSO and added to the parasite cultures at a final concentration of the solvent lower than $0.2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Afterward, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of the chromogenic substrate CPRG prepared in $0.9 \%$ Triton X-100 (pH 7.4, final concentration $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added. After 3 h of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, absorbance was read at 595 nm (ELx808 ELISA reader, Biotek Instruments Inc.). Each assay was run in similar conditions three times separately $(n=3)$.
5.3.7. Unspecific Cytotoxicity Assays. The potential toxic effect induced by the studied compounds was investigated on cultures of L929 cells maintained in MEM (Sigma-Aldrich) medium at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Accordingly, 10,000 cells/well were distributed in 96well plates and incubated for $72 \mathrm{~h}\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ within the studied compounds previously dissolved in DMSO; the final concentration of the solvent in cell cultures was up to $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, which is not toxic to the cells. ${ }^{106}$ Then, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a resazurin solution prepared in PBS ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,2 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was added per well. After 3 h of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, fluorescence intensity was read at 535 nm (excitation) and 590 nm (emission) (Infinite 200 multifunctional microplate reader, Tecan). Each assay was performed separately three times $(n=3) .{ }^{104,105}$
5.3.8. T. cruzi Amastigotes Susceptibility Assays. Only those compounds with an activity profile on epimastigotes similar to that of benznidazole were moved to a more specific assay on the intracellular form of the parasite. ${ }^{59}$ First, 10,000 L929 cells/well were seeded in 48-well plates and, after attachment, infected with CL-B5 lacZ tissue culture-derived trypomastigotes at a ratio of 1:6 (cell/parasite). After 24 h of incubation at $33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, nonpenetrated parasites were rinsed with PBS, and then infected cultures were treated with compounds diluted in fresh MEM for 7 days at the same conditions of temperature and humidity. Finally, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of CPRG prepared in $3 \%$ Triton X-100 ( pH 7.4 , final concentration $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added, and after 3 h of incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, absorbance was read at 595 nm (Infinite 200 multifunctional microplate reader, Tecan). Each assay was run similarly for three $(n=3)$. Results are expressed as the mean value of $\mathrm{EC}_{50} \pm$ SD (standard deviation) (SPSS, v20, IBM).
5.3.9. Trichomonacidal Assays. The antiparasitic effect of the compounds was evaluated against the T. vaginalis isolate JH31A\#4 from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The parasite was cultured in TYM (trypticase-yeast extract-maltose) medium and supplemented with $10 \%$ hiFBS and antibiotics. The in vitro screening process was executed following the sequential procedure described previously. ${ }^{27,107}$ The compounds, diluted in DMSO at different concentrations, were added to $1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~T}$. vaginalis cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ cultures in the exponential growth phase. After 24 h in contact with the parasites at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each tube were seeded in sterile 96 -well flat-bottomed microplates. Then, culture media was discarded from the plates by centrifugation, and the parasites were subsequently resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The antiparasitic activity of each compound was
measured by a fluorometric method using the redox dye resazurin. For that, each well was incubated for 1 h in contact with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the redox dye ( 3 mM stock solution in PBS) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Finally, the fluorescence was read in an Infinite 200 Tecan fluorometer at $\lambda_{\text {excitation }} 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\lambda_{\text {emission }} 590 \mathrm{~nm}$. Each plate included a growth control and a positive control in which the reference drug metronidazole was evaluated at its $\mathrm{MIC}_{100}$ concentration $(24 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated at least two times ( $n \geq 2$ ).
5.3.10. Unspecific Cytotoxic Assays in the T. vaginalis Model. Compounds with trichomonacidal effects (i.e., $3 \mathrm{c}, 3 \mathrm{e}, 3 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{i}, 3 \mathrm{j}, 31$, and 16) were evaluated at the same concentrations against African green monkey kidney epithelial cells (Vero CCL-81, ATCC). Cells were previously cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ of hiFBS and antibiotics in a humidified atmosphere at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cytotoxicity experiments were executed as reported previously. ${ }^{27,104,108}$ Briefly, $5 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well were incubated in 96well flat-bottom microplates for 6 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Then, the compound was added at the same concentrations used for the susceptibility assays with $T$. vaginalis and incubated with mammalian cells for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Then, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of resazurin ( 1 mM stock solution in PBS) was added per well. After 3 h of incubation, the plates were read in the fluorometer at $\lambda_{\text {excitation }} 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\lambda_{\text {emission }}$ 590 nm (Infinite 200, Tecan). Each assay was performed at least two times $(n>2) .{ }^{63}$
5.3.11. Statistical Analysis. Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's unpaired- $t$ test. Differences were considered significant at a $P$ value of $<0.05$.
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