Comments to the Second Review of the new version of the manuscript “*Not so Fast: Orienting to Crossmodal Semantic Congruence Depends on Task Relevance and Perceptual Load*” by Daria Kvasova, Charles Spence & Salvador Soto-Faraco:

Thanks to the authors for thoroughly reviewing the previous version of the paper. They have addressed all concerns and comments suggested in my previous review, and I think it is now ready to be accepted in the *Psicológica* Journal. I do believe it will be a great contribution to the journal and to the cognitive psychology community.

I have just found a few typos that the authors can set before the final version is published if finally accepted by the editor. Here are the typos found:

- Page 3, line 3 from the bottom: enhanced should be replaced by enhanced.
- Page 7, line 7 from the bottom: ben should be replaced by been.
- Page 7, line 3 from the bottom: “this may not the only” should be replaced by “this may not BE the only”
- Footnote 1 on page 7, there is a comma missing after “presented”.
- Figure 1. There is a dashed blue line that I do not understand. I think it could be removed; it is easily understandable now, and I think it does not give more information. Also, the orange lines are not necessary and show a bit of a mess in the figure. But it is just a suggestion; it is more a question of aesthetics, which can be maintained.
- Page 28, line 10-11 from the top, “or at least cannot be not under the measurement conditions”. There are two negatives that make the sentence non-understandable; rewrite, please.
- Page 34, line 14 from the top, the word maybe I think should be separated, “may be”.

Beatriz Gil-Gómez de Liaño