

Emotional Processing of Gifted Children: An Unresolved Matter

Ana Fernández-Mera¹, José Antonio Hinojosa^{2,1}, Jon Andoni Duñabeitia^{1,3}

Abstract

Recent studies have demonstrated the strong influence emotions have on the holistic growth of children with conventional development. Moreover, there is an increasing body of evidence suggesting that the affective-emotional component could play a major role in the cognitive and psychological wellbeing of children and adolescents with nonstandard development or atypical skills. In this regard, in the current opinion article we discuss the likelihood that the affective-emotional component is one of the definitory elements of gifted children and teenagers showing high intellectual capacities. While some authors claim that intellectual giftedness is associated with strong social-emotional capacities and relate high intellectual ability with the acquisition and development of techniques to compensate for potential psycho-social issues, other authors suggest that gifted children are potentially more vulnerable and have additional social-emotional needs as compared with their peers. The current uncertainty in the literature is a barrier to adequately inform and orient psychological and educational actions, and empirical data is required to fully comprehend the genuine meaning of giftedness and its relationship with emotional processing.

Keywords: Giftedness; talent; high ability; gifted education; emotion; intelligence.

Psicológica (2022)

DOI 10.20350/digitalCSIC/14778

Corresponding author

Fernández-Mera, A.
Universidad Nebrija, Calle
del Hostal s/n 28248,
Hoyo de Manzanares,
Madrid

<u>afernandezm24@alumnos.ne</u> <u>brija.es</u>

© Fernández-Mera, Hinojosa & Duñabeitia, 2022



Introduction

We are more and more conscious of the impact emotions have on the integral development of children with a typical development, and even more so, with those with an untypical development or with differential abilities. In this framework, and considering that untypical development also accounts for outstanding abilities, the following question arises: Is emotional processing of gifted children different from their peers with a typical development?

Far from trivial, this question raises some fundamental issues about the relationship between intellectual capacity and emotional development. To be able to understand giftedness as potential, as well as its impact in education, we need to know certain aspects which still lack clear scientific development. One of which, undoubtedly, is the way gifted children process emotional stimuli in their

¹Centro de Investigación Nebrija en Cognición (CINC), Universidad Antonio de Nebrija (Madrid, Spain)

²Instituto Pluridisciplinar, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (Madrid, Spain)

³UiT The Arctic University of Norway (Tromsø, Norway)

context, both as children and teenagers. Therefore, the collection of reviewed literature is focused on these developing stages, including Primary and Secondary Education. The affective-emotional component has a great importance in the psychosocial variables such as performance, motivation and well-being, and the literature about emotions and giftedness is inconclusive up to now.

For years, the terms "gifted", "talented" or "more capable" have been considered synonymous, but they refer to different constructs that coercively define the way we approach the issue. For Alesi et al. (2015), the term giftedness refers to an ability in a specific field, whilst talent expresses the result of giftedness, and capability is understood as the capacity to develop. Gagné (2015) also differentiates between giftedness and talent. Hence, the definition of giftedness continues to be an unsolved topic, and in this case, the difficulty in reaching a scientific agreement is not due to the lack of definitions, but to the existence of too many. Researchers such as Pfeiffer (2017, 2001) indicate that the term gifted is a social construct related to the conversion into a productive member of society, and Reis and Renzulli (2018) understand high abilities as a set of behaviours focused on problem-solving (see Tourón, 2019, for a review of current conceptualisations). However, what authors do agree on is that giftedness can be considered as a multidimensional construct which involves cognitive, affective and social variables.

To clarify this article's targeted population, we will refer to the definition given by the National Association for Gifted Children (2019)¹, who awards a fundamental importance to the different factors that enhance or inhibit the development and self-expression of this group. In this respect, in this article we will elaborate on the possibility that the affective-emotional component is one of those factors.

One of the educational objectives is that children are guided to reach their maximum potential. Thus, states have the responsibility to meet the needs of the most vulnerable, such as those with disabilities. At this point, it is worth considering if gifted children show differential emotional vulnerability than their peers, therefore requiring a specialised psychoeducational response given that the different contexts in which a minor develops influence their individual growth and therefore the grounds for

tudonts with sifts and t

¹ "Students with gifts and talents perform - or have the capability to perform - at higher levels compared to others of the same age, experience, and environment in one or more domains. They require modification(s) to their educational experience(s) to learn and realize their potential. Student with gifts and talents: come from all racial, ethnic, and cultural populations, as well as all economic strata; require sufficient access to appropriate learning opportunities to realize their potential; can have learning and processing disorders that require specialized intervention and accommodation; Need support and guidance to develop socially and emotionally as well as in their areas of talent; require varied services based on their changing needs" (NAGC, 2022, "What is Giftedness?" section).

their success (Hébert, 2021). Studies related to emotional and social performance amongst the population of gifted children have resulted in contradictory findings. Whilst authors such as Francis et al. (2016) claim that these children are potentially vulnerable, with social-emotional needs, poor relationships with their peers, anxiety, depression and even self–injury, other studies have suggested that gifted children are more socially adjusted than their peers with typical development (Eklund et al., 2015; França-Freitas et al., 2014; Neihart, 1999; Neihart et al., 2016; Neihart, 2019). On this bottom line, Urben et al. (2018) state that intelligence at high levels is a protective factor towards internalising problems, and gifted children do not manifest either greater emotional adaptation to changes in their environment or increased behavioural difficulties than those presented by typically developed peers, and that only a minority require special attention. In this line, Resche and Komodromou (2021) used standardized tools and observed a lack of correlation between the use of maladaptive emotion regulation strategies and intelligence in gifted children.

Subotnik et al. (2019) suggest that the reason for these contradictory results is due to the different conceptualisation of the giftedness. While certain authors consider giftedness as a social and emotional fragility trait, and a greater psychological vulnerability (Bain et al., 2006), others refuse the use of the word trait and defend that the outstanding achievements depend on finding the appropriate opportunity and having sufficient practice, facts indirectly linked to psychological vulnerability (Arnold et al., 1996; Kover & Worrell, 2010; Matthews & Dai, 2014).

Terrassier (1985), Neihart (1999; 2019) and Winner (2000) propose that psychosocial and mental health adjustment deficiencies in this group are due to intellectual-effective asynchronies, intellectual giftedness being a risk factor for emotional or psychosocial development leading to a high stress level, that may eventually result in mental or behavioural problems. This line of research arises from Dabrowski's (1966) early studies, concerning the overexcitement, resulting from a high sensibility towards the emotional information observed in gifted minors, in comparison to other children with an ordinary development. Hence, some authors relate giftedness to academic failure, depression, or loneliness (Baudson & Preckel, 2016), as well as maladaptive perfectionism or low self-esteem (Plucker & Levy, 2001; Plucker & Stocking, 2001; Masse & Gagné 2002; Rinn & Majority, 2018; Abdulla Alabbasi et al., 2020; Algaba-Mesa & Fernández-Marcos, 2021). Bullying has a higher prevalence rate amongst gifted students in regulated academic environments (Peterson & Ray, 2006; González-Cabrera et al., 2019; but see Rondini & Silva, 2021). Opposing this view, harmony theory supporters, such as Lubinski (2016, cf. Terman, 1925), who defined the "gifted" with a balanced personality profile, high motivation for achievements and excellent academic results. In this same harmonic view, Sternberg and Davidson (2005) proposed that gifted individuals, over and above their success at school or in their careers, they

are also emotionally and socially balanced (see also Plucker & Callahan, 2008). More recently, Francis et al. (2016) proposed that gifted children show fewer social-emotional and behavioural difficulties as compared to their typically developed peers, except for children with very high IQ (140-145), and depending on their ethnic groups. Studies regarding extremely gifted minors show a relation between giftedness and difficulties with self-concept, motivation and relationships with their peers (Gross, 2000; 2002).

In sum, while some authors conclude that gifted teenagers show an unbalance between their high intellectual capacities and their low emotional abilities (e.g., Urben et al., 2018), others defend that gifted teenagers have a greater control of their behaviour and better emotional self-regulation abilities (e.g., Geake, 2009). Undoubtedly, gifted minors' population is a diverse group in itself (Zeidner & Matthews, 2017), and the influence of modulator variables in gifted minors' emotional processes is still to be clarified. Gender, for instance, is one of the factors under discussion. While there are studies showing evidence of a positive relationship between certain emotional aspects and female gender (Abdulla Alabbasi et al., 2020; Litster & Roberts, 2010), other studies conclude that this is not a generalized modulator of the emotional processes in gifted minors (Hoge & Renzulli, 1993; Paniagua et al., 2019; Rinn & Wininger, 2007).

Conclusion

Giftedness research is in the spotlight of many psychological, education, and cognitive science professionals. Studies on gifted children's emotional processes are built on unstable grounds where disagreements on definitions and antithetic conclusions exist. Currently, there is a lack of solid scientific evidence to support a measurable difference between gifted and non-gifted students regarding their cognitive processes related to their affective skills. In parallel, professionals working in the areas of applied science, as well as gifted children's families, explicitly refer to these processes as key elements of the observational differences.

Certain authors reveal that gifted children have higher intellectual abilities and lower social-emotional abilities compared to those of their peers. Others, on the contrary, claim that intellectual giftedness is accompanied by high social-emotional abilities, suggesting that a high intellectual capacity allows children to develop strategies which compensate possible psycho-social difficulties.

The emotional-affective component in students is one of the issues that concern schools the most and where no scientifically oriented educational protocols exist. Progressing in gifted children's research

will allow us to adapt educational strategies, maximising their talent and their well-being. Awareness of the potentially different affective-emotional processing characteristics of gifted children will diminish the socio-educational gap between these students and their typical developed peers. The currently existing ambiguity in the literature is an obstacle for helping our youngsters optimising their talent, and scientific evidence is needed to understand the true meaning of giftedness. Stereotyped misperceptions lacking research evidence should be avoided. Gifted minors' population is a diverse group in which each individual has unique characteristics; some show high levels of social-emotional competence, while others show psychological vulnerability. All in all, identifying people with a high capacity will only make sense in a context in which we are fully able to support them in developing their potential.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Disclaimer

Formatting, following the templates provided by Psicológica, spelling, grammar-checking and correct referencing are the sole responsibility of the authors.

References

Abdulla Alabbasi, A. M., A. Ayoub, A. E., & Ziegler, A. O. (2020). Are gifted students more emotionally intelligent than their non-gifted peers? A meta-analysis. *High Ability Studies*, 1–29.

https://doi.org/10.1080/13598139.2020.1770704

Ackerman, C. M. (2009). The Essential Elements of Dabrowski's Theory of Positive Disintegration and How They Are Connected. *Roeper Review*, 31(2), 81–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/02783190902737657

Alesi, M., Rappo, G., & Pepi, A. (2015). Emotional Profile and Intellectual Functioning. *SAGE Open*, 5(3), 215824401558999. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244015589995

Algaba-Mesa, A., & Fernández-Marcos, T. (2021) Características socioemocionales en población infanto-juvenil con altas capacidades: una revisión sistemática. (2021). *Revista de Psicología Y Educación - Journal of Psychology and Education*, *16*(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.23923/rpye2021.01.202

Arnold, K. D., Kathleen Diane Noble, & Rena Faye Subotnik. (1996). Remarkable women: perspectives

on female talent development. Hampton Press.

Bain, S. K., Choate, S. M., & Bliss, S. L. (2006). Perceptions of developmental, social, and emotional issues in giftedness: Are they realistic? *Roeper Review*, 29(1), 41–48.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02783190609554383

Baudson, T. G., & Preckel, F. (2016). Teachers' Conceptions of Gifted and Average-Ability Students on Achievement-Relevant Dimensions. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 60(3), 212–225.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986216647115

Dabrowski, K. (1966). The theory of positive disintegration. *International Journal of Psychiatry, 2*(2), 229-249.

Eklund, K., Tanner, N., Stoll, K., & Anway, L. (2015). Identifying emotional and behavioral risk among gifted and non-gifted children: A multi-gate, multi-informant approach. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 30(2), 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000080

França-Freitas, M. L. P. de, Del Prette, A., & Del Prette, Z. A. P. (2014). Social skills of gifted and talented children. *Estudos de Psicologia (Natal)*, 19(4), 288–295. https://doi.org/10.1590/s1413-294x2014000400006

Francis, R., Hawes, D. J., & Abbott, M. (2015). Intellectual Giftedness and Psychopathology in Children and Adolescents. *Exceptional Children*, 82(3), 279–302. https://doi.org/10.1177/0014402915598779

Gagné, F. (2015). De los genes al talento. Revista de Educación, 368, 66-91.

Geake, J. G. (2009). Neuropsychological characteristics of academic and creative giftedness. *In International handbook on giftedness* (pp. 261-273). Springer, Dordrecht.

González-Cabrera, J., Touron, J., Machimbarrema, J.M., León-Mejía, A., GutiérrezOrtega, M., (2019). Exploratory study on bullying in gifted students: prevalence and psychological wellbeing. *Revista de Educación*, *86* (8), 187-206. https://doi.org/10.4438/1988-592X-RE-2019-386-432

Gross, M. U. (2000). Exceptionally and profoundly gifted students: An underserved population. *Understanding Our Gifted, 12*(2), 3-9.

Gross, M. U. (2002). Exceptionally gifted children. Routledge.

Hébert, T. P. (2021). Understanding the social and emotional lives of gifted students. Routledge.

Hoge, R. D., & Renzulli, J. S. (1993). Exploring the Link Between Giftedness and Self-Concept. *Review of Educational Research*, 63(4), 449–465. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543063004449

Kover, D. J., & Worrell, F. C. (2010). The Influence of Instrumentality Beliefs on Intrinsic Motivation: A Study of High-Achieving Adolescents. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 21(3), 470–498.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1932202x1002100305

Litster, K., & Roberts, J. (2010). The self-concepts and perceived competencies of gifted and non-gifted students: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, *11*(2), 130–140._ https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-3802.2010.01166.x

Lubinski, D. (2016). From Terman to Today. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 900–944. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316675476

Massé, L., & Gagné, F. (2002). Gifts and talents as sources of envy in high school settings. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 46(1), 15-29.

Matthews, D. J., & Dai, D. Y. (2014). Gifted education: changing conceptions, emphases and practice. *International Studies in Sociology of Education*, 24(4), 335–353.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09620214.2014.979578

National Association for Gifted Children. (2019). Nagc.org. https://www.nagc.org/

Neihart, M. (1999). The impact of giftedness on psychological well-being: What does the empirical literature say? *Roeper Review*, 22(1), 10–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/02783199909553991

Neihart, M., Pfeiffer, S. I., & Cross, T. L. (2016). *The social and emotional development of gifted children: what do we know?* Prufrock, Cop.

Neihart, M. (2019). *El desarrollo social y emocional de los alumnos con altas capacidades*. Unir Editorial, Diciembre De.

Nota, L., Soresi, S., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2004). Self-regulation and academic achievement and resilience: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 41(3), 198–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2005.07.001

Paniagua, Á. I., Ruíz, A. P., Perales, R. G., & Martínez, A. C. (2019). Autoconcepto y género en alumnado con altas capacidades: Revisión de estudios. *Variables Psicológicas y Educativas*, 143.

Peterson, J. S., & Ray, K. E. (2006). Bullying Among the Gifted: The Subjective Experience. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 50(3), 252–269. https://doi.org/10.1177/001698620605000305

Pfeiffer, S. I. (2001). Emotional intelligence: Popular but elusive construct. *Roeper Review, 23*(3), 138–142. https://doi.org/10.1080/02783190109554085

Pfeiffer, S. (2017). *Identificación y evaluación del alumnado con altas capacidades: Una guía práctica*. La Rioja: UNIR Editorial

Plucker, J. A., & Levy, J. J. (2001). The downside of being talented.

Plucker, J. A., & Stocking, V. B. (2001). Looking outside and inside: Self-concept development of gifted adolescents. *Exceptional children*, *67*(4), 535-548.

Plucker, J. A., & Callahan, C. M. (2014). *Critical issues and practices in gifted education: what the research says*. Prufrock Press Inc.

Reis, S. M., & Renzulli, J. S. (2018). The Five Dimensions of Differentiation. *International Journal for Talent Development and Creativity*, *6*, 87-94.

Rinn, A. N., & Wininger, S. R. (2007). Sports Participation among Academically Gifted Adolescents: Relationship to the Multidimensional Self-Concept. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, *31*(1), 35–56. https://doi.org/10.4219/jeg-2007-510

Rinn, A. N., & Majority, K. L. (2018). The social and emotional world of the gifted. *In Handbook of giftedness in children* (pp. 49-63). Springer, Cham.

Rondini, C. A., & Silva, A. A. da. (2021). Bullying and giftedness in school environment. *Gifted and Talented International*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/15332276.2021.1978351

Sternberg, R. J., & Davidson, J. E. (Eds.). (2005). *Conceptions of giftedness (2nd ed.)*. Cambridge University Press.

Subotnik, R. F., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Worrell, F. C. (2011). Rethinking Giftedness and Gifted Education. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 12(1), 3–54.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100611418056

Subotnik, R. F., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Worrell, F. C. (2019). Environmental Factors and Personal Characteristics Interact to Yield High Performance in Domains. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02804 Terman, L.M. (1925). Genetic studies of genius. Mental and physical traits of a thousand gifted children. Stanford Univ. Press.

Terrassier, J-C. (1985). Dyssynchrony uneven development. In J. Freeman (Ed.). *The psychology of gifted children*, 265-274.

Tourón, J. (2019). Las altas capacidades en el sistema educativo español: reflexiones sobre el concepto y la identificación. *Revista de Investigación Educativa, 38*(1), 15–32.

https://doi.org/10.6018/rie.396781

Urben, S., Camos, V., Habersaat, S., & Stéphan, P. (2018). Faces presenting sadness enhance self-control abilities in gifted adolescents. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 36(3), 514–520. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.12241

Winner, E. (2000). The origins and ends of giftedness. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 159–169. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.159

Wirthwein, L., Bergold, S., Preckel, F., & Steinmayr, R. (2019). Personality and school functioning of intellectually gifted and nongifted adolescents: Self-perceptions and parents' assessments. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 73, 16–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2019.04.003

Worrell, F. C., Subotnik, R. F., Olszewski-Kubilius, P., & Dixson, D. D. (2019). Gifted Students. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 70(1), 551–576. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102846

Zeidner, M., & Matthews, G. (2017). Emotional intelligence in gifted students. *Gifted Education International*, 33(2), 163–182. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261429417708879