Contourite characterization and its discrimination from other deep-water deposits in the Gulf of Cadiz contourite depositional system
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ABSTRACT

Despite numerous efforts to properly differentiate between contourites and other deep-water deposits in cores and outcrops, reliable diagnostic criteria are still lacking. The co-occurrence of downslope and along-slope sedimentary processes makes it particularly difficult to differentiate these relatively homogeneous deposits. The main aim of this paper is to identify differences in deep-water sediments based on Principal Component Analysis of grain size and geochemistry, sedimentary facies, and reinforced by microfacies and ichnofacies. The sediments studied were obtained from two International Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 339 sites in mounded and sheeted drifts in the Gulf of Cadiz. The statistical approach led to the discernment of hemipelagites, silty contourites, sandy contourites, bottom current reworked sands, fine-grained turbidites and debrites over a range of depositional and physiographic elements. These elements are linked to contourite drifts, the drift-channel transition, the contourite channel and distal upper slope. When bottom currents or gravity-driven flows are not the dominant depositional process, marine productivity and continental input settling forms the main depositional mechanism in deep-water environments. This is reflected by a high variability of the first principal component in hemipelagic deposits. The stacked principal component variability of these deposits evidences that the contourite drift and the adjacent contourite channel were influenced by the interrelation of hemipelagic, gravitational and bottom current induced depositional processes. This interrelation questions the paradigm that a drift is made up solely of muddy sediments. The interrelation of sedimentary processes is a consequence of the precession-driven changes in the intensity of the Mediterranean Outflow Water related to Mediterranean climate variability, which are punctuated by millennial-scale variability. Associated vertical and lateral shifts of the Mediterranean Outflow Water, and therefore of its interface with the East North Atlantic Central Water, controlled sediment input and favoured turbulent sediment transport in the middle slope. During
the interglacial precession maxima/insolation minima, a more vigorous upper core of the Mediterranean Outflow Water and the enhanced impact of the East North Atlantic Central Water – Mediterranean Outflow Water interface allowed for the development of the sandier contourite deposits.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The pioneering study on deep-water mass circulation is from Wüst (1936). Decades later, direct evidence of the action of bottom currents was obtained through photographs of the seafloor (Heezen et al., 1954; Heezen et al., 1959; Pettijohn & Potter, 1964; Dzulynski & Walton, 1965; Heezen & Hollister, 1971). Since then, there has been an exponential boom in deep-marine research and the characterization of bottom current deposits, yet considerable controversy still exists when discerning contourites from turbidites and hemipelagic deposits.

A commonly accepted paradigm holds that deep-marine environments, if not affected by gravitational processes, are predominantly made up of very fine-grained sediment (Stow & Lovell, 1979; Gonthier et al., 1984; Stow & Piper, 1984). Thus, deep-marine environments feature currents and oceanographic processes that may be energetic, can alter the morphology of the seafloor and may even form coarse-grained sandy deposits (McCave & Tucholke, 1986; Viana et al., 1998; Rebesco et al., 2014). Indeed, changes in the hydrodynamic characteristics of bottom currents may repeatedly shift between bedload-dominated and suspension-load-dominated deposition, with episodes of erosion, winnowing and reworking (McCave, 2008; Stow et al., 2008; Hűneke et al., 2020).

The criteria used to distinguish between contouritic, turbiditic and hemipelagic deposits, especially in the fossil record, have been the subject of a debate that continues to the present day. The co-occurrence of biogenic and terrigenous sediment settling plus downslope and along-slope sedimentary processes makes it particularly hard to differentiate. In the context of contourites, two different concepts have been proposed for interpretation. The first bases the diagnosis of contourites on the presence and abundance of bioturbation (Lovell & Stow, 1981; Stow, 1982; Gonthier et al., 1984). The second argues that primary sedimentary structures should be the basic criterion for contourite recognition (Shanmugam et al., 1993a,b; Shanmugam, 2000; Martin-Chivelet et al., 2008). The coexistence or intermittence of deep-marine sedimentary processes responsible for the formation of these deposits needs to be further explored, and the controlling factors evaluated.

The interest in distinguishing among contourites, turbidites and hemipelagites based on: (i) the role of mixed turbiditic-contouritic systems in deep-water petroleum plays (Sansom, 2018; Fonnesu et al., 2020); (ii) implications for mineral resources and for the judicial determination of a continental shelf’s outer limits since contourites shape continental shelf morphology (Rebesco et al., 2014; Mosher et al., 2017); (iii) the environmental impact of bottom current deposits in the accumulation of microplastics (Courtene-Jones et al., 2019; Kane et al., 2020); (iv) the close link between contourites and deep-water ecosystems (Hebbeln et al., 2016; Lüdemann et al., 2016; Lozano et al., 2020); and (v) slope stability and other geohazards (Laberg & Camerlenghi, 2008; Miramontes et al., 2018). Despite this interest, there is still no clear criteria for contourite characterization and its discrimination from other deep-water deposits.

The geological setting of the Gulf of Cadiz continental margin is influenced by the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) along the middle slope (Maldonado et al., 1999), giving rise to the interaction of hemipelagic, along-slope and downslope sedimentary processes. This work documents the nature of closely interbedded contouritic, turbiditic and hemipelagic deposits within contourite drift successions in the proximal and central sectors of the Gulf of Cadiz through the study of two sites drilled in the middle slope during the International Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Expedition 339 (Fig. 1). Three main objectives are pursued in the present work: (i) the sediment facies interpretation as the diagnostic criteria used to discriminate contourites from other deep-water deposits; (ii) characterization of the diversity of lateral and
vertical associations of hemipelagites, turbidites and fine-grained and coarse-grained contourites in view of their sedimentary texture and geochemical signals in the study area; and (iii) establishment of the controlling factors behind deposition of these deep-marine deposits and how they contribute to overall drift construction.

MORPHOSEDIMENTARY AND OCEANOGRAPHIC FRAMEWORK

The northern continental margin of the Gulf of Cadiz runs from the Strait of Gibraltar to the south-west tip of Portugal (Fig. 1A). Along the middle slope, between 400 to 500 m and 1200 m water depth, a large contourite depositional system (CDS) has developed since the early Pliocene (Faugères et al., 1984; Nelson et al., 1999; Llave et al., 2001, 2007, 2019; Hernández-Molina et al., 2003, 2006, 2016). This CDS comprises five major morphosedimentary sectors, with erosional elements prevailing close to the Strait of Gibraltar transitioning towards depositional features found distally and northward (Llave et al., 2001, 2007; Hernández-Molina et al., 2003). This study focuses on the proximal and central sectors, respectively, at Sites U1388 and U1389 of IODP Expedition 339 (Fig. 1A). Site U1388 lies in the proximal scour and sand ribbon sector near the Strait of Gibraltar; and Eastern North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW; black arrows) inflow towards the Mediterranean Sea (adapted from Hernández-Molina et al., 2014; Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017). International Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Expedition 339 site locations are indicated by black dots, and the U1388 and U1389 (in the proximal and central sectors, respectively) are indicated by pale yellow dots. (B) Circulation of the MU and ML along the Gulf of Cadiz middle slope with the present day interplay of morphological features generated by along-slope and downslope processes. Pie chart for the main deposit percentages at each site are included. MU; Mediterranean Upper, ML; Mediterranean Lower.
Gibraltar, which consists of a thick (ca 815 m) sandy sheeted drift (Buitrago et al., 2001; Stow et al., 2013a; Hernández-Molina et al., 2016). Site U1389 is in the channel and ridge sector of the relative topographic high of the Huelva mound drift (Stow et al., 2013a; Hernández-Molina et al., 2016). The drift is currently elevated 50 to 250 m above the adjacent contourite channels (Figs 1 and 2A). This central sector hosts depositional and erosional contouritic features that interact with marginal valleys (García et al., 2009; Lozano et al., 2020).

The hydrodynamic setting is dominated by the exchange of Atlantic and Mediterranean water masses across the Strait of Gibraltar (Ochoa & Bray, 1991; Bryden et al., 1994). This strait acts as a confined gateway that allows flow of the North Atlantic Surficial Water (NASW) between 0 m and 100 m water depth, and the Eastern North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW) between 100 m and 300 m water depth (Bellonco & Sánchez-Leal, 2016) into the Mediterranean (Fig. 2), overriding the warm and highly saline MOW flowing into the Atlantic. After exiting the Strait of Gibraltar, the MOW moves in a north-westward direction along the middle slope at water depths between 300 m and 1200 m (Fig. 1A). The Mediterranean upper water (MU) flows at depths between 300 m and 800 m along the base of the upper slope, while the Mediterranean lower water (ML) flows at depths between 800 m and 1200 m (Figs 1 and 2). The MU constitutes the most relevant water mass in the upper to middle slope transition and flows with an average velocity of 0.4 to 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017).

DATASET AND METHODS

Core data and age model

The studied cores were collected from IODP Expedition 339 Sites U1388 and U1389 (Expedition 339 Scientists, 2012; Hernández-Molina et al., 2013; Stow et al., 2013b) (Table 1; Fig. 1). Sedimentary analysis focused on cores from Holes U1389A (0 to 390 metres composite depth, mcd) and U1388B (0 to 225 mcd). Sedimentary facies and facies associations were interpreted by means of high-resolution core imaging according to colour, bed boundaries, sedimentary structures, ichnological features, microfacies and major geochemical elements. This study uses the age model published by Sierro et al. (2020) and six \(^{14}\)C dates from the upper part (Bahr et al., 2015) for Site U1389.

Textural analysis

Grain-size analysis was conducted at 25 cm sampling intervals, resulting in 512 samples with a total length of 106.5 m from Site U1388B and 1316 samples with a total length of 335.5 m from Site U1389A. Analysis was performed on bulk sediment using a Malvern Mastersizer S laser microgranulometer (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK; EPOC, University of Bordeaux, France), ranging from 0.02 to 2000 \(\mu\)m (clay to sand). Grain-size parameters were obtained using Gradistat software (Blott & Pye, 2001). The D50, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis were calculated using a geometric graphical method after Folk & Ward (1957) and Martins (2003).

Major geochemical elements

Major elements were measured by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) scanning that spanned a total length of 106.5 m at Site U1388B and 335.5 m at Site U1389A (Table 1). The XRF core data were collected at 3 cm intervals using a XRF Core Scanner II (Avaatech Serial No. 2; Avaatech B.V., Dodewaard, The Netherlands) in the MARUM laboratories of the University of Bremen (Germany). Further technical details about the XRF equipment, data acquisition and processing can be found in de Castro et al. (2020).
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Sites U1388B and U1389A were analyzed in 2016 with generator settings of 10 kV and 30 kV, respectively, at 0.4 mA and 1.0 mA. Sampling time was 20 s at the split core surface. Site U1389A was re-analyzed in 2017 with generator settings of 10 kV and 30 kV at 0.2 mA and 0.75 mA, respectively, and a sampling time of 10 s. Areas of coarse-grained sediment with shell fragments (debrites) were not scanned. Data were retained only from elements that gave a consistently good signal quality (Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, Br, S, Fe, Sr and Zr). These elements were standardized according to mean values and standard deviations at each site for the different years in which measurements were conducted. Usually elements are normalized to Al, but because this element is at the limit of Avaatech measurement, an alternative element divisor characteristic of the detrital phase was used (K or Ti) (Tjallingii et al., 2007).

### Statistical analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated at Sites U1388 and U1389 to identify significant relationships and element associations. To investigate the different factors influencing the geochemical composition and grain size of the sediment, and to distinguish between sedimentary processes, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used in conjunction with PAST 4.0 software (Hammer et al., 2001): PCA is a well-established dimension-reducing statistical technique, applied for pattern recognition in multivariate datasets (Wold et al., 1987; Zou et al., 2006; Abdi & Williams, 2010). The calculated eigenvalues give a measure of the variance accounted for by the corresponding components (axes of the PCA). Principal components (PCs) with eigenvalues of <8.0 were excluded from the interpretation as they represent minor variance. The PCA was performed on the major elements and all particle sizes (clay to very coarse-grained sand), which were combined in a single array of samples (Site U1388 n = 512 and Site U1389 n = 1316) for each variable and core depth.

### Thin sections

Forty thin sections (4 × 1 cm) were prepared at Royal Holloway University of London (RHUL, UK) to document microfacies and small-scale sedimentary structures. Modal analysis was conducted by Blackbourn Geoconsulting (Bo’ness, UK) on 14 samples and on 26 samples at RHUL. Modal and petrographic analysis were used to determine the composition of 300 points for each thin section by means of a stepping stage. The proportions of components provided in Table S1 were re-normalized to 100%.

### Ichnological analysis

Ichnological analysis of core samples was conducted after digital enhancement of high-resolution images to increase visibility of biogenic structures. This procedure is described in Dorador et al. (2014a,b) and Dorador & Rodríguez-Tovar (2018). Orientation, shape, size, distribution, infilling material and degree of bioturbation were recorded.

### Nomenclature

For this study, the nomenclature for contourites after Fauquéres & Stow (2008) and Rebescoc et al. (2014) was adapted. The classification of clastic contourite deposits as silty (4 to 63 μm) and sandy contourites (63 to 2000 μm) is based on Rebescoc et al. (2014) and Brackenridge et al. (2018). Hemipelagic deposits follow the definitions and criteria from Hesse (1975), O’Brien.

---

Table 1. Sites U1388 and U1389 core summary (Expedition 339 Scientists, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Longitude/Latitude</th>
<th>Water depth (m bsl)</th>
<th>Holes retrieved</th>
<th>Studied holes</th>
<th>Hole recovery (%)</th>
<th>Core length (m)</th>
<th>XRF scan analysis (m)</th>
<th>Grain size</th>
<th>Thin sections</th>
<th>Age model (kyr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U1388</td>
<td>36°16.142’N/6°47.648’W</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>225.7</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1389</td>
<td>36°25.515’N/7°16.683’W</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>A, B, C, D, E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>357.0</td>
<td>335.5</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Types of the seven facies differentiated by physical, geochemical and ichnological character, as well as their interpretation in terms of sedimentary processes. BCRS, bottom current reworked sands. The asterisk in F7 represents that X-ray fluorescence (XRF) measurements were made in the matrix of the bioclastic conglomerate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
<th>F5</th>
<th>F6</th>
<th>F7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Massive</td>
<td>Mottled</td>
<td>Mottled/patchy</td>
<td>Heterolithic/rippled</td>
<td>Massive</td>
<td>Normal-graded</td>
<td>Chaotic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioturbation</td>
<td>Scarce</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Scarce</td>
<td>Scarce/absent</td>
<td>Scarce</td>
<td>Scarce/absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain size</td>
<td>Fine silt</td>
<td>Fine silt</td>
<td>Medium silt to very fine sand</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>Medium sand</td>
<td>Medium silt to very fine sand</td>
<td>Fine to coarse sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorting</td>
<td>Poorly sorted</td>
<td>Very poorly sorted</td>
<td>Very to poorly sorted</td>
<td>Poorly to moderately sorted</td>
<td>Well-sorted</td>
<td>Very poorly sorted</td>
<td>Very poorly sorted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>Next to zero</td>
<td>Negative (contourite), Positive (turbidite)</td>
<td>High negative</td>
<td>High negative</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>Negative or next to zero</td>
<td>Positive or next to zero</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>Negative or next to zero</td>
<td>Positive or next to zero</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>High positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br/Ti</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High (contourite), Low (turbidite)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Ca</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr/Ti</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Transitional</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Transitional to high</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/K</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Hemipelagic</td>
<td>Silty contourite</td>
<td>Fine-grained sandy contourite</td>
<td>BCRS</td>
<td>Coarse-grained sandy contourite</td>
<td>Fine-grained turbidite</td>
<td>Cohesive debrite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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et al. (1980) and Stow & Tabrez (1998); fine-grained turbidites are based on Middleton & Hampton (1973), Lowe, (1982) and Stow & Piper (1984). Bottom current reworked sands are based on the definitions from Shanmugam et al. (1993a,b) and cohesive debris-flow deposits (debrites) based on criteria from Middleton & Hampton (1973) and Lowe (1979).

**RESULTS**

**Sedimentary facies description**

Seven facies were identified based on their sedimentary, ichnological and petrological characteristics (Table 2).
Fig. 4. High-resolution core images of the sedimentary sequences showing sedimentary facies, vertical facies associations, trace fossils and bioturbation horizons. (A) Facies 1 (F1), massive fine-grained to medium-grained silt characterized by locally discrete trace fossils (*Planolites*, *Pl*). The darker layers in F1 receive the name *gravy* and are an effect of the extended core barrel (XCB) drilling method. (B) Facies 2 (F2) mottled fine-grained silt and medium-grained to coarse-grained silt. Note the fine-grained intervals with fine-grained filled traces (*Planolites* and *Scolicia*, *Sc*), but without coarse infilled traces. The coarser beds show a gradational base and top and are totally bioturbated (*Planolites* and *Thalassinoides*, *Th*). (C) Facies alternation between F1 and F2 (Facies Association A). (D) Normal and inverse graded (bi-gradational) Facies Association B. The high-resolution core images are contiguous downcore from the top (U1389A 25X3) to the bottom (U1389A 25X6). Note the highly bioturbated F3 interval which alternates between discrete traces (*Planolites* and *Thalassinoides*) and bioturbation horizons. BCRS, bottom current reworked sands. Normal-graded (E) and inverse-graded (F) Facies Association B. (G) Facies Association C. (H) Massive Facies 5 (F5) medium-grained sands, without differentiated traces. Note the presence of coarse-shell lags at the base of the section (red lines). (I) Facies association D with Facies 6 (F6) intercalations and (J) Facies association D with F6 and Facies 7 (F7) intercalations. Several unfragmented gastropods are present in F7, and irregular pockets/clasts of mud occur.
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Fig. 5. Facies and grain-size parameters. (A) Cross-plot of sorting ($\Phi$) against D50 showing a second trend in Site U1388 representing sediment input from a different source, which decreases the sorting efficiency. This cross-plot shows that F1 and F2 are characterized by a general decrease in sediment sorting with increasing mean grain size (trend a), whereas the opposite holds for F3 to F4 (trend b).

Although the sites provide evidence for these distinct trends, some samples from Site U1388 emphasize a divergence to a characteristic parallel trend of less-sorted sediments from F1 to F4. The best-sorted sands are from certain samples of F4 and F5; and the coarse-grained sandy contourites associated with dune facies (F5) are totally isolated from the rest of the dataset. (B) Sorting versus skewness likewise presents the second trend in Site U1388. (C) Kurtosis versus skewness. The difference in colours between U1388 and U1389 highlights the distinctive trends between sites. BCRS, bottom current reworked sands.
**Facies 1 (F1): homogeneous fine-grained silt**

Facies 1 is characterized by massive fine-grained to medium-grained silt (3.6 to 13.9 μm) with sharp or gradational bottom and top contacts (Figs 3 and 4A). Facies thickness is highly variable but never exceeding 17 m. This facies is characterized by the presence of scarce discrete trace fossils, mainly *Planolites*, infilled by silt similar to the host material, making it difficult to distinguish traces (Fig. 4A). The sediment is poorly to very poorly sorted (Fig. 5A and B). The grain-size distribution is predominantly unimodal, mesokurtic, with symmetrical skew at Site U1388 and coarse to symmetrical skew at Site U1389 (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve shows a smooth S-shaped curve with a narrow base and top (Fig. 7A).

Based on the thin section analysis, F1 shows a massive, matrix-supported texture with quartz and feldspar grains ranging from coarse-grained silt to (scarce) very fine-grained sand (Table S1). Whilst the matrix consists of brownish detrital clays, it also has a variety of other components (Fig. 8A). They include silt-grade quartz and feldspar grains, and opaques including authigenic pyrite. Carbonates occur in the form of both fine-grained bioclastic material and microcrystalline authigenic material. Apart from occasional planktonic foraminifera, bioclasts constitute abundant small, often recrystallized, fragments (Fig. 8A).

**Facies 2 (F2): alternated fine-grained to coarse-grained silt**

Facies 2 consists of mottled fine-grained to coarse-grained silt (4.7 μm and 67.3 μm). Bed boundaries are usually indistinct (Fig. 3). This facies is comprised of 4 to 10 m thick intervals. Fine-grained silt intervals are characterized by scarce fine-grained filled traces (*Planolites* and *Scolicia*), and locally with coarse infill (*Planolites* and *Thalassinoides*) (Fig. 3B). Some intervals of F2 consist of well-developed bedsets (up to 5 m thick; Fig. 9) with four to six beds, individually 3 to 60 cm thick. These bedsets show a coarsening–fining upward trend of medium-grained to coarse-grained silt. Each bed may exhibit: (i) a sharp base and gradational top, (ii) a sharp base and top; or (iii) a gradational base and top. Each individual bed is fully bioturbated with abundant *Planolites* and *Thalassinoides* filled by coarser sediment (Fig. 4B and C). The sediment is very poorly sorted (Fig. 5A and B). The grain-size distribution is bimodal and either mesokurtic or platykurtic, with a predominantly symmetrical skew (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve is smoothly S-shaped with a narrow base and top (Fig. 7B).

Microfacies show a matrix-supported texture (Table S1) with coarse-grained silt, although there are irregular pods of more argillaceous material (Fig. 8B). F2 can present thin irregular lamination composed of dark brown to black pyritized material that is also enriched in silt (Fig. 8B). At Site
Fig. 7. Cumulative frequency curves for F1 to F6. The curves show an important change in the dominant transport process (suspended and saltation load) between hemipelagic (F1) and coarse-grained sandy contourites (F5), represented by a change in the trend of the curve as proposed by Brackenridge et al. (2018). The cumulative frequency axis is in reverse order.
U1388, F2 includes 0.25 to 0.5 mm argillaceous pellets similar to the matrix but devoid of sand grains and with distinctive concentric dark bands rich in organic matter (Fig. 8C).

**Facies 3 (F3): mottled coarse-grained silts and fine-grained sands**

Facies 3 consists of mottled, medium-grained silt to fine-grained sand (12.4 to 157.0 μm) (Fig. 3). Beds may be: (i) normally and inversely graded; (ii) normally graded with a sharp base; (iii) inversely graded with a sharp top; or (iv) massive with both a sharp base and top.

The thickness of F3 varies between 3 cm and 2 m thick. This facies is intensely bioturbated, with coarse-grained filled traces (Planolites) distributed in horizons (Fig. 4D to F). The sediment is very poorly sorted (Fig. 5A and B) and has a bimodal grain-size distribution that ranges from platykurtic to very leptokurtic, with a fine to very fine skew (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve shows two parts with different gradients. The upper part presents a concave-up shape and the lower one an S-shape (Fig. 7C).

Thin section analysis shows that the matrix content is lower than in other facies (Table S1). Irregular patches that are matrix-rich and matrix-poor are common (Figs 3, 8D and 8E). Some samples include an irregular, sub-horizontal, wavy band up to 2 mm thick, composed mainly of the argillaceous matrix with just a small proportion of coarser particles (Fig. 8D). Subcircular and elongate particles are mostly fragments of foraminifera tests and thin-shelled bivalves. Brown glauconite pellets (Fig. 8G) comprise up to 4.7% of this facies, the highest value among facies.

**Facies 4 (F4): cross-laminated sands**

Facies 4 consists of rhythmic alternations between coarse-grained silt and lenticular-shaped laminae of medium silt to medium-grained sand (17.9 to 352.2 μm). This facies, between 4 to 10 cm thick, features boundaries with a sharp base and a gradational top (Fig. 4G). Sandy lenses are typically less than 0.5 cm thick but may reach up to 1 cm (Figs 3 and 4G). F4 shows scarce bioturbation, consisting of coarse-grained filled Planolites (Fig. 4G). The sedimentsorting ranges from poorly sorted in coarse-grained silt intervals to moderately sorted in fine-grained sand intervals (Fig. 5A and B). The grain-size distribution of this facies is bimodal and ranges from platykrustic to very leptokurtic with a predominantly very fine skew (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve shows two parts having contrasting gradients and an accentuated diminution of the base. The upper part has a gentle gradient that abruptly changes to a much steeper lower part (Fig. 7D).

Thin section analysis gives a lower matrix content compared to most of the other facies (Table S1). The rhythmic alternations consist of irregular sub-horizontal banding, 1 to 5 mm thick, associated with varying sand to matrix proportions (Fig. 3). The two interlaminar elements include very fine grain-supported sand and mud-supported sand (Fig. 8D). The grain-supported laminae consist of subrounded to angular and moderately to well-sorted monocrystalline quartz grains (Fig. 8H). The matrix-supported laminae also consist primarily of subrounded to angular but only moderately sorted monocrystalline quartz grains. Bioclast fragments are relatively abundant, mostly in the form of sand-sized unclassified fragments, many of which are recrystallized. The modal analysis indicates that opaques are more abundant here (1.8%) than in other facies (Table S1; Fig. 8F).

**Facies 5 (F5): massive medium-grained sands**

Facies 5 consists of massive fine-grained to coarse-grained sands (181.8 to 544.5 μm), occasionally interbedded with beds consisting predominantly of shell fragments (Figs 4H and 6H). Discrete trace fossils are difficult to differentiate in this relatively coarse-grained facies. The sediment is predominantly well-sorted but occasionally poorly sorted in the shell-rich beds (Fig. 5A and B). The interbedded beds with shell fragments display inverse grading from fine-grained to medium-grained sand, and then normal grading from medium-grained to fine-grained sand (Fig. 4H). The grain-size distribution of this facies is unimodal and ranges from mesokurtic to very leptokurtic with a predominantly very fine skew (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve shows two different gradients: the upper part is almost horizontal, whereas the lower part is sharp (Fig. 7E). F5 is distinguished only in Site U1388 (between 0 mcd and 3.5 mcd).

Thin sections from F5 show unconsolidated clean sand (Fig. 3). Quartz and feldspar grains are mostly of fine-grained to medium-grained sand size. Bioclasts make up a large proportion of this facies (Table S1) and consist of relatively large (several millimetres) fragments of bivalves, foraminifera, echinoids and occasional...
smaller fragments of probable calcareous algae (Fig. 8I). Zircons are abundant in this facies (Fig. 8I).

**Facies 6 (F6): normally graded thin-bedded sands**

Facies 6 consists of fine-grained silt to fine-grained sand (11.7 to 201.54 μm) beds (Fig. 3). Beds are either: (i) massive with both a sharp base and top (Fig. 4C); or (ii) normally graded with a sharp base (Fig. 4I). The bed thickness of F6 usually amounts to 3 to 4 cm. This facies is scarcely bioturbated with coarse-grained filled Planolites and Thalassinoides at the top of the beds (Fig. 4I). The sediment is very poorly sorted (Fig. 5A and B) and the grain-size displays a predominantly platykurtic bimodal distribution with a fine to very fine skew (Figs 5C and 6). The cumulative frequency curve shows two different gradient trends, similar to the F3 distribution; yet here the upper part shows a concave-up shape (Fig. 7D).

**Facies 7 (F7): bioclastic conglomerate**

This facies consists of bioclastic fragments in a coarse-grained silt to very fine-grained sand matrix (34.63 to 109.5 μm). The facies has sharp basal and top contacts (Fig. 4J). The thickness of F7 ranges from 10 to 35 cm (Fig. 4J). No trace fossils were observed. The sediment is structureless and predominately composed of both fragmented and complete shells, generally between 0.5 mm and 3.0 cm in size (Fig. 4J). The matrix sediment is very poorly sorted (Fig. 5A and B), with a polymodal grain-size distribution that is predominantly platykurtic with a fine to very fine skew (Figs 5C to 6). F7 is only present in Site U1388 (54.43 to 55.57 mcd).

**Facies Associations**

Some of the seven identified facies form vertically related facies associations (FA-A, FA-B, FA-C and FA-D). These associations are described below.

Facies Association A (FA-A) involves F1 and F2 (Fig. 4C) and comprises the largest recovered proportion of the cores at both sites. Both F1 and F2 are either present as isolated facies (14X in Fig. 9; 8H in Fig. 10) or, more commonly, they are intercalated with each other over significant intervals with thicknesses from 5 to 10 m (13X and 14X in Fig. 9; 8H to 9H, 14X in Fig. 10). This facies association frequently forms inversely-graded and normally-graded rhythmic bedsets (F1–F2–F1) anywhere between 10 cm and 125 cm thick (Fig. 4C). The contact between F1 and F2 is gradual (Fig. 4C). FA-A is rare in Site U1388 (n = 4; Fig. 11A) compared to Site U1389 (n = 22; Fig. 11B). In this facies association, F1 intervals present scarce fine-grained traces, whereas F2 intervals show fine-infilled (possibly Planolites and Scolicia) or coarse-infilled (Planolites and Thalassinoides) traces (Fig. 4C).

Facies Association B (FA-B) consists of ten sequences involving F1, F2 and F3 at Site U1388, and 53 sequences at Site U1389 (Fig. 4D to F). They show either: reverse and normal grading (Fig. 4D), normal grading (Fig. 4E), or reverse grading (Fig. 4F).

1 Inversely-graded and normally-graded sequences have a lower inversely-graded vertically stacked F1–F2–F3 along with an upper normally-graded vertically stacked F3–F2–F1 (Fig. 4D). This association is more abundant and has the most variable thickness in Site U1389 (n = 27; Fig. 11B). The sequences are usually

---

**Fig. 8.** (A) Matrix-supported F1 texture. F1 is rich in detrital particles. No significant lamination is present but occasionally consists of several small irregular patches of matrix-rich material. (B) Matrix-supported F2 texture with thin irregular bands that are slightly richer in elongated particles which mark poorly defined sub-horizontal lamination (pink lines). A few fragments and thin irregular laminae of dark-brown to black pyritized material occur, as seen in the lower left of this image. (C) Matrix-supported F2 texture. Note the presence of pellets of argillaceous material with concentric organic matter bands (pink arrows). Small green glauconite pellets are moderately abundant within this facies (yellow arrows). (D) and (E) Irregular patches of matrix-rich and matrix-poor material that characterize F3, probably representing an originally more regular sedimentary lamination that was disturbed by bioturbation. Foraminifera infilled with brown glauconite and pyrite are common (pink arrows). (F) Small foraminifera infilled by framoidal pyrite, and (G) the abundant brown glauconite grains present in F3. (H) Grain-supported F4 texture with abundant opaque minerals (blue arrows) that mainly consist of pyrite, especially within foraminifera, along with pyritized fragments. (I) Image of F5 showing the occurrence of completely disaggregated medium-grained sand. Most of the field-of-view is comprised mounting resin, which accounts for the very high modal-analysis porosity. Lithic fragments are relatively abundant (3.95%), and mainly consist of mica schist. Zircons are abundant in this facies (pink arrow). Microfacies images were taken with plane polarized light (PPL).
Fig. 9. Grain-size distribution curve and sedimentary facies log plotted against PC distribution and elemental ratios downcore of Site U1388. Coloured bands indicate different geochemical element association intervals. Site U1388 gave poor recovery because of the occurrence of unconsolidated sands (Expedition 339 Scientists, 2012), missing intervals encased in hemipelagites and silty contourites.
Fig. 10. Grain-size distribution curve, and sedimentary facies log-plotted against PC distribution and elemental ratios downcore of Site U1389 between 0 m and 395 mcd. Coloured bands indicate different geochemical element association (GEA) intervals.
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Fig. 10. (continued).
Discrimination of contourites and other deep-water deposits

Fig. 10. (continued).
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between 25 cm and 100 cm thick, but they can reach 350 cm in Site U1389 (11H, 25X and 26X in Fig. 10). They are less common in Site U1388 (n = 9; Fig. 11A), where they range from 30 cm up to 160 cm in thickness. In this facies association, F1 is characterized by scarce fine-grained filled traces, and the lower F2 shows coarse-grained filled traces (Fig. 4D), whereas the upper F2 is characterized by both fine-grained and coarse-grained filled traces; and, finally, F3 presents abundant coarse-grained infilled Planolites and Thalassinoides, in some cases distributed in fine horizons (Fig. 4D).

2 Normally-graded sequences consist of the vertical stacking of F3–F2–F1 (Fig. 4E). The contact between F1 and F3 is sharp at the base but more gradual between the transition from F3 to F2 and F1. This association only occurs in Site U1389, where it is the second most common facies association (n = 20; Fig. 11B). The thickness of these sequences varies considerably, between 3 cm and 67 cm (Fig. 4E).

3 Inversely graded sequences present a gradual shift from F2 to F3 and a sharp top contact with F1 (Fig. 4F). They are thicker and comprise more variability in thickness at Site U1389 (from 30 to 225 cm thick; Fig. 11B) as opposed to Site U1388 (from 15 to 35 cm thick; Fig. 11A), where they comprise the less common facies association (n = 3; Fig. 11A).

Facies Association C (FA-C) consists of a lower inversely-graded vertically stacked F6–F4 and an upper normally-graded and vertically stacked F4–F3–F2–F1 (Fig. 4G). The transition from muddy (F1) to sandier deposits (F6) is abrupt in the lower part of the sequence, then more gradual for the transition from sandier to muddy sediments in the upper part (F4 to F1). These sequences are most often between 20 cm and 100 cm thick, but they reach 160 cm at Site U1388 (Fig. 11). In this facies, F1 shows a scarce presence (Thalassinoides) or absence of discrete trace fossils, while F2, F3, F4 and F6 present coarse-grained filled burrows (Planolites and Thalassinoides) that may be isolated or concentrated in one or several horizons (Planolites) (Fig. 4G).

Facies Association D (FA-D), present only in Site U1388, consists of vertically stacked F1–F6–F1–F7 (Fig. 4I and J). It shows either: (i) massive F6 or F7 with sharp top and bottom contacts (Fig. 4C) or; (ii) normally-graded F6 with a sharp and erosive base and gradual top contact (Fig. 4I). Massive sequences are rare (n = 4) and between 3 cm and 35 cm thick, whilst normally-graded beds are more abundant (n = 22; Fig. 11A) and can be as much as 72 cm thick, although usually between 3 cm and 5 cm thick (Fig. 4I). F1 and F7 intervals are not bioturbated; F6 intervals present scarce coarse-grained filled Planolites and Thalassinoides (Fig. 3I).

Statistical analyses

The PCA gave three significant principal components (PC1, PC2 and PC3) for Site U1388 and for Site U1389 (Table S2). The first three axes of the PCA in Site U1388 yield respective variance percentages of 46.9%, 12.8% and 10.0%, accounting for 69.7% of the total variability (Table S2).
At Site U1389, the first three axes of the PCA represent variance percentages of 55.7%, 11.3%, and 8.1%, which amount to 75.1% of the total (Table S2).

The main positively loaded PC1 at Site U1388 are Al, K, Ti, Fe and Rb in the silt–clay fraction against the main negatively loaded Ca and Sr in the sand fraction (Fig. 12A). The main positively loaded PC1 at Site U1389 are Al, Si, K, Ti, Fe and Rb in the silt and clay fractions, as opposed to the main negative Ca and Sr in the sand fraction (Fig. 12D). The cross-plot of sorting against PC1 is very significant for discriminating the sedimentary facies (Fig. 13).

The main positively loaded PC2 at Site U1388 are Si and Rb in the sand fraction against the main negatively loaded S, Ca and Sr in the silt–clay fraction (Fig. 12B). In U1389, PC2 shows a dominant positive loading of Zr and Ti in the sand fraction as opposed to the negatively loaded Ca and S in the silt and clay fractions (Fig. 12E).

At Site U1388, the main positive loadings for PC3 are Si and Zr in the silt–clay fractions against S, Fe and Br in the sand fraction (Fig. 12C). The main positive loadings for PC3 in Site U1389 are S and Br slightly associated with the sand fraction, as opposed to negative Al, Si and Ca in the silt–clay fractions (Fig. 12F).

Geochemical element associations

The three PCs were plotted against the seven differentiated facies, element ratios and grain-size distribution (Figs 9 and 10). In view of the relationships between the PCs and element proxies, three geochemical element associations (GEA-1, GEA-2 and GEA-3) can be discerned (Fig. 14).

GEA-1 consists of high positively loaded PC1 intervals co-occurring with either positively loaded PC2-U1388 or negatively loaded PC2-U1389 (Figs 9 and 10). This element association usually presents high Ti/Ca values and low Br/Ti, Zr/Ti, Zr/Al, Si/K and Sr/Ca ratios, which present the lowest values downcore (Figs 9 and 10). The intervals with this element association are not relatable to any previously defined facies association. However, when
PC2 is negative, it generally consists of F1 and F6 (8X in Fig. 9; 23X and 30X in Fig. 10) and when PC2 is positive, it consists of F2 (20X in Fig. 9; 2H and 18X in Fig. 10).

GEA-2 consists of negatively loaded or zero values for PC1 combined with positively loaded or zero values for PC2-U1389 (coarse sand with heavy minerals) and PC2-U1388 (fine carbonates) and peaks in PC3-1389. This element association shows parallel trends between Zr/Ti, Zr/Al, Sr/Ca and Si/K without important changes in Br/Ti and Ti/Ca (19X in Fig. 9; 14X and 32X in Fig. 10). These intervals are usually recognized in F.A-A. Although minimum Zr/Ti values are found in F1, intervals with F2 laminations are also characterized by slightly elevated Zr/Ti values (Fig. 10).

GEA-3 consists of strong negatively loaded PC1 in combination with positively loaded PC2 and PC3 values. It usually presents high Br/Ti and low Ti/Ca ratios: Sr/Ca, Si/K, Zr/Ti and Zr/Al show the highest values in this geochemical element association (1H and 4X in U1388, Fig. 9; 25X and 35X in U1389, Fig. 10). This association coincides with facies F3, F4 and F5. Br/Ti exhibits some variability within F4 and F5 (between 0.04 and 0.08), with relative higher ratio values in F3 (up to 1.0 Br/Ti ratio) (Fig. 14). F3 and F5 present the lowest values in Ti/Ca (Figs 9 and
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The Zr/Ti ratio varies between 0.4 and 1.5, its highest values pertaining to F3.

**INTERPRETATION**

**Principal component analysis interpretation**

The positively loaded PC1 corresponds to aluminosilicates in the fine-grained fraction, indicating a terrigenous input (Fig. 12A and D). The negative loaded PC1 (Figs 9, 10 and 15) corresponds to the sandier fraction of the sediment associated with carbonate input (Ca and Sr), suggesting a marine supply. PC1 can therefore be interpreted, at both sites, as a measure of carbonate versus terrigenous sediments as suggested by previous studies based on marine systems (e.g. Bahr et al., 2014; van den Berg et al., 2018).

PC2 shows different loading characteristics for the two sites. The Site U1388 negative loadings for PC2 represent the carbonate fine-grained fraction of the sediment (foraminifera and coccoliths), whilst the positively loaded elements represent the detrital coarser fraction of the sediment (Fig. 12B). Therefore, PC2 signals a different carbonate sediment supply for Site U1388. In turn, the PC2 of Site U1389 is characterized by a high positive loading for Zr with some input of Si in the fine-grained sands (Fig. 12E). During sediment transport, the Zr tends to become more concentrated in fine-grained sand and coarse-grained silt fractions, as does the Ti in finer fractions (Veldkamp & Kroonenberg, 1993; Dypvik & Harris, 2001; Campagne et al., 2016). Therefore, PC2 primarily represents the accumulation of heavy minerals, such as zircon, in siliciclastic fine-grained sands. Their accumulation would indicate reworking and winnowing under the influence of bottom currents (Giresse & Wiewióra, 2001; Gonthier et al., 2003; Giresse, 2008).

The significance of PC3 is minor (<10%), and at Site U1388 it resembles the PC2 of Site U1389 in terms of the accumulation of heavy minerals (Fig. 12C and E). However, in PC3-U1388 the accumulation of Zr and the enrichment of Si only affect the finest fraction of the sediment. These proxies have been related to enrichment in fine heavy minerals accompanied by fine-grained quartz, owing to aeolian sedimentary input from the Sahara Desert (Moreno et al., 2006; Scheuven et al., 2013; Jiménez-Espejo et al., 2014). At Site U1389, PC3 shows a clear, strong positive loading of Br (Fig. 12F) that is related to the accumulation and/or preservation of marine organic matter (Ziegler et al., 2008; Nieto-Moreno et al., 2011; Bahr et al., 2014).

**Sedimentary facies interpretation**

**Facies 1: hemipelagites.** The fine-grained, matrix-supported texture of this facies and the symmetrical skewness values (Brackenridge et al., 2018) suggest hemipelagic sedimentation from deposition by suspension fallout and lateral advection (Hesse, 1975; O’Brien et al., 1980; Martins, 2003). This interpretation is supported by the dominant presence of detrital grains; high peaks in fine-grained detrital input (Ti/Ca) and high PC1 loading further evidence a hemipelagic origin. The occurrence of distinct peaks in detrital input moreover support that these deposits could be traced to river plumes or glacial meltwater diffusion over specific periods (Stow & Smillie, 2020). However, efficient regional
nepheloid transport from slope to basin (McCave & Hall, 2002) should not be discarded.

Ichnological features – such as the scarcity of discrete traces and the difficulty in discerning a subtle mottled texture in homogeneous sediment – reflect unfavourable conditions for trace-maker identification. Moreover, the lack of evidence of anoxia or oligotrophic conditions points to

Fig. 15. (A) $\delta^{18}$O of *G. bulloides* (dark blue), Zr/Al (light blue) and PC1 (pale blue) from IODP Site U1389 in mcd (metres composite depth) up to ca 250 ka BP. Note a high coherence between the $\delta^{18}$O *G. bulloides* curve and glacial–interglacial cycles. PC1 loading displays fine-scale millennial variations that mirror the planktonic $\delta^{18}$O curve. A strong correlation exists between the planktonic $\delta^{18}$O curve, Zr/Al and PC1 curves during cold stages MIS 5c, 5e, 7d, 7e and 8a. During these periods, PC1 is strongly negatively loaded and Zr/Al curves show their maxima corresponding to cold events during interglacials. The sandier deposits (bold font: MIS 5c, 5e, 7d and 8a) match increases in Zr/Al and high negative loadings in PC1 (black arrows and pale blue bands). There are less important peaks in Zr/Al and negative PC1 loaded intervals that do not present sands. (B) $\delta^{18}$O of *G. bulloides* (purple) and sedimentation rates for F1 (hemipelagic), F2 (fine-grained contourites) and FA-A and FA-B from IODP U1389 in age (kyr). Stages MIS 2 and MIS 3 entail fine-grained sedimentation. Stage MIS 6 is characterized by FA-A. The stacking of facies and facies associations shows glacial–interglacial variability with fine-grained sedimentation (F1 and FA-A) during glacial periods, and alternations between F1 and FA-B during interglacial periods. Interglacial sedimentation variability is, however, not uniform throughout all interglacial periods, with FA-B during MIS 5c, 5e, 7d, 7e and 8a. The duration of marine isotope substages (stadials) is based on Railsback *et al.* (2015).
relatively high sedimentation rates, which stand as the main parameter impeding intensive bioturbation (i.e., Ekdale et al., 1984; Wetzel & Uchman, 2012).

Hemipelagites represent the lowest energy depositional environment. This interpretation furthermore agrees with the low-negatively loaded PC2-U1389 and PC3-U1388. High PC1 loadings in hemipelagites are a key feature of the GEA-1, linked to the absence of bottom currents (see further discussion below and in Fig. 15). When bottom current reworking or gravity-driven flows are not dominant sedimentary processes, marine productivity and continental input constitute the main mechanism of sedimentation.

Facies 2: silty contourites. Visual discernment between F1 and F2 is difficult at core-scale (Fig. 3B and C). The symmetrical skewness and platykurtic to mesokurtic kurtosis (Fig. 4B), suggests that F2 was deposited through settling from weak bottom currents (0.1 m s$^{-1}$) with a dominantly fine suspension load (Fig. 7B) (Brackenridge et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2020). The alternating Planolites horizons and Thalassinoides isolated burrows (Fig. 4B) signals increasing substrate cohesion from softground to firmground conditions, thus indicating that the presence of omission surfaces (Rodriguez-Tovar et al., 2019), is more difficult to see in F1. A lower sedimentation rate with omission surfaces would support continuous, sparsely distributed bioturbation.

In hemipelagites, fine-grained detritic settling is the main sedimentary process within the middle slope. When the intensity of a bottom current is slightly enhanced, quartz-rich detrital grains and heavy minerals start to concentrate, showing an increase in Si and Zr (positively loaded PC2), and the fine-grained detritics are reworked and winnowed. In addition, whilst the hemipelagic deposits are massive, silty contourites show distinctive rhythmical grain-size alternations in most intervals of 3 to 60 cm (5H in Fig. 10). This facies contains well-developed bedsets of coarse-grained silt with sharp or gradual basal and top contacts, suggesting a different depositional process than hemipelagites. The rhythmical variation suggests fluctuations in the bottom current intensities (Stow et al., 2002; Martín-Chivelet et al., 2008; Rebesco et al., 2014; Hünke et al., 2020), in agreement with the sparsely distributed bioturbation. For these reasons, F2 deposits are interpreted as fine-grained contourites or, as the silty contourite division of Gonthier et al. (1984), corresponding to the C2 and C4 intervals of Stow & Faugères (2008).

Facies 3: fine-grained sandy contourites. Fine-grained sandy contourites are characterized by a general lack of primary sedimentary structures, very poorly sorted sediment and a high level of mixing produced by extensive bioturbation. In contrast to F1 and F2, the inverse relationship between sorting and grain size in this facies occurs approximately at 50 to 60 μm (Fig. 5A), indicating an increase in bedload transport by saltation and the onset of significant winnowing, as suggested by Brackenridge et al. (2018) and Yu et al. (2020). This is also observed in the cumulative frequency curve: the gradient shift marks a decrease in deposition from suspension, with a greater fraction of sediment coming from the saltation load (Fig. 7C). These are typical depositional trends for sandy contourites, as velocity capacity increases and the finest fraction remains in suspension (Brackenridge et al., 2018). This facies furthermore presents a major concentration of brownish glauconite grains (Table S1; Fig 8G). The presence of glauconite in contourites is noteworthy as bottom currents usually favour long periods of cation exchange across the seawater/sediment interface, thereby promoting glauconite growth (Giresse, 2008; Tallobre et al., 2019; Hovikoski et al., 2020). The brownish colour of glauconite in this facies (Fig. 8G) could correlate to low sedimentation rates and moderately energetic bottom currents on the seafloor (Tallobre et al., 2019).

Extensive bioturbation resulted in sediment mixing, leading to irregular patches of matrix-rich and matrix-poor sediment (Fig. 5C). Such patches are interpreted as relics of alternating sub-horizontal lamination of well-sorted and less-moderately sorted laminae severely disturbed by bioturbation (de Castro et al., 2020). Several horizons with abundant coarse-grained detritus (F2), the alternation of Planolites/Thalassinoides as isolated burrows points to increasing substrate cohesion, from softground to firmground conditions, indicating breaks in sedimentation (Rodríguez-Tovar et al., 2019).

The geochemical signal of this facies features a decrease in PC1 and an increase in PC2 coinciding with periods of enhanced bottom current.
activity (GEA-3; Figs 9 and 10). When the current speeds up, the fine-grained fraction is winnowed (low Ti/Ca, negatively loaded PC1), and the sediment is enriched in quartz and coarser bioclasts (high Si/K and Sr/Ca, positively loaded PC2), common for sandy contouritic facies (Nelson et al., 1999; Stow et al., 2013a; Capella et al., 2017; Brackenridge et al., 2018). Therefore, the primary PC1 terrigenous input signal is completely overprinted (PC2 in Fig. 12E; PC3 in Fig. 12C). This observation agrees with previous models for contourites, where coarser beds are often degraded by bioturbation and are found in a host sediment once relatively rich in organic matter (Mulder et al., 2013; Stow et al., 2013a). The increase in marine organic matter (Br/Ti) is observed in most of the sandy contourite beds (Fig. 10), where enhanced bottom currents provide higher amounts of nutrients and/or organic matter. It has been proposed that internal waves, facilitate the lateral transport of particulate organic matter, and hence substantially improve the supply of food to sessile organisms (Monteiro et al., 2005; Duineveld et al., 2007; Hebbeln et al., 2016; Lim et al., 2018). In addition, peaks in Zr/Ti indicate higher heavy mineral concentrations evoked by stronger bottom currents. Therefore, F3 is the result of bottom current processes pertaining to the sandier central interval of the bi-gradational sequence defined by Gonthier et al. (1984), corresponding to the C3 division of Stow & Faugères (2008).

**Facies 4: bottom current reworked sands.** The locally well-preserved parallel and wavy cross-lamination of F4 are indicative of bedload transport. Lenticular bedding of well-sorted very fine-grained sand lacking matrix is interpreted as ripples. Scarce bioturbation agrees with a high-energy depositional context. This is consistent with predominant deposition from saltation load as interpreted from the cumulative frequency curve (Fig. 7D). This facies usually shows high negative PC1 loading and high positive loading in PC2-U1389 and PC3-U1388, signalling strong winnowing (Fig. 10). Moreover, F4 has strong peaks of Br/Ti, Zr/Al and Zr/Ti, suggesting an increase in marine organic matter and heavy minerals as observed in sandy contourites (F3) (Figs 9 and 10). Bottom currents with higher, but intermittent, velocities would play a role in the deposition of sandy contourites (F3) by enhancing the lateral transport and developing ripples (de Castro et al., 2020). Syndepositional trace fossils (Planolites; Fig. 4G) can be explained by the influence of a sustained bottom current system involving variable strength and sediment concentration (Hovikoski et al., 2020). This facies was previously recognized, studied and interpreted as bottom current reworked sands (BCRS) by de Castro et al. (2020).

**Facies 5: coarse-grained sandy contourites.** The absence of bioturbation in this facies agrees with a fluidized nature of the sand impeding preservation of discrete trace fossils (Expedition 339 Scientists, 2012). Massive and local coarse lag lenses (Fig. 4H) are typical features described for contourite sands deposited in high-energy contourite channels (Brackenridge et al., 2018). The cumulative frequency curve suggests that saltation is the dominant transport process (Fig. 7E): as current velocity and carrying capacity increase, more of the finest fraction remains in suspension and bedload transport becomes more important (Brackenridge et al., 2018). However, the irregular distribution and position of shell fragments in some beds suggests a turbulent process. This facies has moderate sorting: and although it lacks any matrix content, it exhibits varying skewness and kurtosis distributions, which explains the probable action of winnowing at high current speeds (Table S1). The geochemical signature of this facies is characteristic for sandy contourites (F3) and BCRS (F4) with a terrigenous input (PC1) totally overprinted. The strong loading in PC2-U1388 and high Sr/Ca ratios would mark an additional carbonate sediment source—different from Site U1389, where carbonates mainly consist of planktonic foraminifera. In addition, F5 shows high Zr/Al and Sr/Ca ratios, signs of strong bottom current activity and substantial bioclastic input.

These sands were deposited during the Holocene and are sourced from the northern contourite channel of the Gulf of Cadiz, where sandy two-dimensional dunes are identified in a high-energy contouritic channel (Hernández-Molina et al., 2014). Therefore, following the classification of Brackenridge et al. (2018), this facies represents coarse-grained sandy contourites resulting from strong winnowing and local erosion at current speeds over 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) (Stow et al., 1998, 2002).

**Facies 6: very fine-grained turbidites.** Based on erosional features, normal-grading and increasing-upward biogenic structures (Fig. 4C, G and I), F6 is interpreted in the context of waning, dilute, low-density turbidity currents giving
rise to thin-bedded, fine-grained turbidites (Piper, 1978; Stow & Shanmugam, 1980). F6 is poorly sorted, as generally occurs in turbidites (Piper, 1972; Mulder, 2011), due to the concentrated and cohesive nature of mud, trapping silt grains at the head of the turbidity current (Shanmugam, 2002; Mulder, 2011). This is also reflected in the cumulative frequency curve, where the sediment indicates suspended and saltation loads (Fig. 7F). F6 may be visually similar to F3, as some F3 beds share a sharp base and normal grading. However, the geochemical signal is markedly different. Fine-grained sandy contourites are negatively loaded in PC1 and positively loaded in PC2, this being indicative of winnowing (Figs 9, 10 and 13). In contrast, fine-grained turbidites are less sorted and have higher positive loading in PC1 (Fig. 13A and B), indicating a detrital silty/clay terrigenous fraction with a carbonate sandy fraction (PC1 in Fig. 12A). In the cross-plot of sorting versus PC1 (Fig. 13A and B), fine-grained turbidites show a trend opposite to that of fine-grained sandy contourites, with a strong loading of PC1 and less sorting, meaning that the currents did not affect its deposition (Fig. 13). Fine-grained turbidites are additionally characterized by high PC2-U1388 loading, which indicates that they are unusual for the depositional environment, a chief criterion proposed to distinguish fine-grained turbidites (Stow & Piper, 1984). In a setting of turbiditic deposition, isolated coarse-infilled discrete traces in F6 (Planolites and Thalassinoides) could support multiple fine-grained turbiditic events.

**Facies 7: cohesive debrites.** Facies 7 is interpreted as cohesive debris-flow deposits (Lowe, 1982; Shanmugam, 2012; Pickering & Hiscott, 2015). Mud pockets within the debrite would reflect floating mudstone clasts, indicating freezing of the debris flow in a laminar state (Shanmugam, 2006). The high peak of Sr/Ca and Ti/Ca is related to high contents in shallow-marine bioclasts enriched in aragonite (high Sr) over foraminifera and a terrigenous-rich matrix (Figs 4 and 9). The preservation of shells and lack of fragmentation support a debris flow interpretation, since shells would fragment within turbulent flow. The presence of shallow-marine shells indicates reworking of sediments sourced from the outer shelf/upper slope (Ducassou et al., 2016). An absence of trace fossils evokes unfavourable environmental conditions for trace makers. The fact that these facies are bound by hemipelagic deposits (F1) suggests they were emplaced during periods without an important influence of bottom currents (GEA-1).

**DISCUSSION**

**Decoding the hydrodynamic energy settings from the geochemical element associations**

The PCA score and loading plots are useful in discerning multi-variable elemental changes related to different depositional conditions. The repeated succession of distinctive geochemical element associations (GEA, Figs 9 and 10) can be connected to a sequence of three hydrodynamic energy settings, each characterized by the onset of typical sedimentary processes tied to bottom current activity (Fig. 16).

**GEA-1: absence of bottom current**

GEA-1 entails fine-grained sediments, indicating low-energy conditions (<0.1 m s⁻¹; Fig. 16). Under these conditions, when the sediment is composed of fine-grained detrital grains, coarse-grained carbonate is scarce. High loadings in PC1 and peaks in Ti/Ca ratio indicate a dominant supply of terrigenous sediments reflecting low-energy hemipelagic deposition. Low-energy conditions are likewise evident from the low Zr/Ti ratio and negatively loaded PC2-U1389 and PC3-U1388 (Figs 9 and 10), meaning that currents were not able to significantly winnow away the fine-grained particles from the sediment. Within the context of the Gulf of Cadiz, these results indicate that sediments of GEA-1 reflect periods of deposition unaffected by the MOW. During these conditions, hemipelagites, fine-grained turbidites, cohesive debrites and FA-A and FA-D were deposited.

**GEA-2: weak bottom current**

In GEA-2, PC1 and PC2 values have next to zero loading, with slight divergences in small intervals (13X to 16X in Fig. 9; 4H, 5H, 6H, 21X and 22X in Fig. 10). When the effect of the MOW is gentle, the sediment shows a minimum in PC1 and a minor increase in PC2, indicating a slight enrichment in carbonates (probably foraminifera and coccoliths). In such a trend, the primary PC1 terrigenous input signal is not entirely overprinted by the bottom current activity, instead developing a mixed geochemical signature; and an increase in terrigenous input (Ti/Ca) may be...
accompanied by less pronounced Zr/Ti peaks. The Sr/Ca ratio likewise presents peaks, suggesting some enrichment in coarse bioclastic particles. The MOW activity affects the detrital input and slightly overprints its geochemical signal (0.1 to 0.2 m s\(^{-1}\); Fig. 16). GEA-2, including predominantly silty contourites, is also related to FA-B.

Fig. 16. Conceptual depositional model for the continuous development of facies associations, geochemical element associations and depositional processes in different contouritic physiographic domains. The bottom current velocities in the different contouritic physiographic domains are based on present day measurements (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017). The location of Site U1388 is a lateral projection, as it is located in a more proximal part of the contourite system.
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GEA-3: vigorous bottom current

Corresponding mostly to negative loadings in PC1 (1H in Fig. 9; 11H in Fig. 10), GEA-3 is interpreted as the overprinting of bottom current processes related to PC2-U1389 and PC3-U1388 (Fig. 12C and E). Given these conditions, low values of Ti/Ca compared with GEA-1 and GEA-2 mark a decrease in terrigenous material. When the MOW is enhanced, the coarse-grained carbonate fraction is mainly concentrated (high Sr/Ca) and gives minimum values in PC1. This implies that the coarser carbonates are the most difficult to transport by the MOW on the slope, while the fine-grained detrital material would be more easily winnowed. In addition, these periods witness an increase in Si/K that can be attributed to either a higher siliciclastic input or winnowing of fine-grained particles and concentration of quartz grains.

The fact that PC2 (winnowing) is more strongly expressed at Site U1389 than at U1388 is most likely due to better sorting efficiency at the former (Fig. 5A) and the different nature of material and sedimentary sources reaching the two sites. The positive loading of PC2-U1388 under these conditions (1H, 3X and 20X in Fig. 9) signals the input of a carbonate-rich source, which does not provide sediment at Site U1389. High peaks in the Sr/Ca ratio are seen in this setting (1H in Fig. 9; 11H and 25X in Fig. 10), indicating enrichment in coarse bioclastic particles, as previously observed in sandy contourites in the Gulf of Cadiz (Nelson et al., 1999; Mulder et al., 2013; Stow et al., 2013a). Furthermore, the higher Br/Ti values represent an accumulation or higher preservation of marine organic matter. This is probably tied to lower oxygenation and/or higher organic matter input when the MOW is enhanced in this depositional setting (Jung et al., 1997). GEA-3 includes fine-grained sandy contourites, bottom current reworked sands and coarse-grained sandy contourites, and it is also related to FA-B and FA-C (0.3 to 0.8 m s⁻¹; Fig. 16).

Channel-drift physiographic domains

This shows that the integration of sedimentary facies with geochemical proxies can be used not only to differentiate between depositional environments and sub-environments, but also to establish hydrodynamic changes with respect to the distance of the bottom current core (channel position). Four domains were established (Fig. 16).

Contourite drift background sedimentation (FA-A)

FA-A represents background sedimentation within the middle slope, amounting to 72% of the total recovered core in Site U1388, and 86% in Site U1389 (Fig 1B), with a low sand/mud ratio of 20 to 30%. The gradual rhythmic intercalations between hemipelagic and silty contourites of FA-A resemble fine-grained bi-gradational sequences with divisions C1–C2 or C4–C5 of the standard contourite sequence (Stow & Fauèges, 2008). Hemipelagic deposits usually record a continuum of sedimentary settling and deposition from dilute, very low-density turbidity currents in areas with weaker energy conditions and are therefore placed distally with respect to the contourite channel (Fig. 16).

Hemipelagites are commonly intercalated with contourite muds, indicating low-energy depositional environments. In the same area, present day bottom currents resulting from MOW are very weak (zero or up to 0.1 m s⁻¹) along the drift as compared to adjacent contourite channels (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017). FA-A is generally tied to alternations of periods without bottom current activity (GEA-1 related to F1) and periods of weak bottom currents (GEA-2 related to F2). The alternations of fine-grained contourites with hemipelagites suggest that the same locations are rhythmically influenced by weak bottom currents supplying/transporting only fine-grained material (Stow & Lovell, 1979; Hüneke et al., 2020).

Contourite drift and drift-channel transition (FA-B and FA-C)

FA-B consists of the standard contourite sequences (C1–C5) proposed by Gonthier et al. (1984) and Stow & Fauèges (2008). The bi-gradational sequences of FA-B are related to a specific physiographic domain in the drift with respect to the core of the bottom current. Changes in sediment supply, bioturbation and energy conditions are registered, with a lack of primary sedimentary structures in the central (C3) sandier interval due to bioturbation.

The distinctive grain-size trend from F1 to F3, depicted in Figs 5 and 13, coincides with the contourite depositional trend defined by Brackenridge et al. (2018) and recently studied in detail by Yu et al. (2020). However, the presence of relics (Fig. 8D and E) of alternating sub-horizontal lamination – well-sorted and less well-sorted laminae, severely disturbed by
bioturbation – suggests an intermittent and fluctuating bottom current energy regime (Viana et al., 1998; Shamugam, 2000; Ito, 2002; Capella et al., 2017; Hünke et al., 2020). Hünke et al. (2020) suggest that fluctuating bottom currents characterize deposition in all contourite divisions, especially for the C3 division. It is proposed that this intermittency is tied to fluctuations of the main bottom current core energy hydrodynamics, enabling reworking and transport of particles from proximal areas to distal areas with respect to the bottom current core (Fig. 16). Partial sequences with the omission of one or more divisions are also common. In these sequences, normal-graded beds from sandy contourite to hemipelagic (Fig. 4E) are interpreted as base-cut-out sequences (C3–C5; Stow & Faugères, 2008) that reflect a gradual onset of deposition after a period of erosion. Inversely-graded beds, from hemipelagic to sandy contourite (Fig. 4F), are interpreted as top-cut-out sequences (C1–C3; Stow & Faugères, 2008) reflecting increased bottom current velocity up to a point where deposition is no longer possible. Such conditions characterize the drift-channel transitional domain associated with vigorous bottom currents (Fig 16).

Laminations are better expressed in FA-C, whose heterolithic facies is interpreted as BCRS. The transition from the contourite drift to the drift-channel involves higher velocities, resulting in pronounced winnowing and the development of traction structures. Thus, FA-B is interpreted as a lateral shift to FA-C, marking the drift-channel transition domain (Fig. 16). These BCRS are believed to reflect sedimentary condensation, influenced by both gravity flows and bottom currents (de Castro et al., 2020). The more energetic conditions of the drift-channel transitional domain can be attributed to the main core of the bottom current (see Viana & Faugères, 1998), which allows the finest fraction to remain in suspension. In this domain, bedload transport becomes more important and prevents disruption of primary sedimentary structures due to bioturbation (Fig. 4G). The presence of scarce Planolites points to low-sediment concentrations in an oxic setting (Hovikoski et al., 2020), in agreement with the influence of more vigorous bottom currents. The preservation of the turbidite remnant at the base of FA-C demonstrates the intermittent influence of down-slope gravity-driven flows to the contouritic drift (de Castro et al., 2020).

**Contourite channel (F5)**

Coarse-grained sandy contourites (F5) represent contourite channel facies resulting from the action of dominant bedload transport (Fig. 7E) and sediment winnowing at high bottom current speeds (Fig. 16). Bottom current energy is inferred from grain size and increased winnowing, in agreement with GEA-3. Present day bottom currents resulting from branches of the MOW along the modern contourite channels in the studied area can reach 1 m s⁻¹ (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017). The sandy facies along the contourite channels are associated with a suite of sandy bed forms that includes large sandy dunes (Kenyon & Belderson, 1973; Nelson et al., 1993; Hernández-Molina et al., 2006, 2014; Hanquiez et al., 2007; Stow et al., 2013a; Brackenridge et al., 2018; Lozano et al., 2020).

The sandy deposits within the contourite channels are richer in bioclasts than the other studied facies. Enhanced Sr may indicate the presence of high-Sr aragonite, which is common in shallow-water sediments with bivalves, gastropods and corals (Thomson et al., 2004; Rothwell et al., 2006). Hence, the Sr/Ca proxy may reflect a shallow-marine provenance and a more proximal shelf source. The irregular distribution of shell fragments in F5 suggests a turbulent process of sedimentation rather than traction. Accordingly, bioclastic sediment supply to the contourite channel would indicate modern or ancient shallow-marine deposits coming from the adjacent continental shelf or from the littoral outcropping deposits (Rodero et al., 1999; Lobo et al., 2000, 2010), which are characterized by temperate-carbonate-platform sediment (de Castro et al., 2017). The sediment was eroded, then transported by gravity flows (bioclastic debrites as F7), and finally reworked by the MOW along the contourite channels, as proposed previously by Takashimizu et al. (2016).

**Upslope side (FA-D)**

FA-D represents the upslope physiographic domain. This facies association is only present at Site U1388 because it is closer to the upper slope than U1389 and consists of the intercalation of thin-bedded turbidites and cohesive debrites with hemipelagites (Figs 4I, 4J and 16). The high PC1 positive loading of FA-D suggests that sediments were emplaced in a physiographic domain where the bottom current was not able to rework the sediment (GEA-1; Fig. 16). Low-concentration turbidity currents can feed material to bottom current environments at velocities <0.5 m s⁻¹.
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(Hüneke et al., 2020; Miramontes et al., 2020; Stow & Smillie, 2020). According to FA-D and these estimated velocities, bottom currents in this upper slope domain must have been very weak or inexistent (GEA-1; Fig. 9) for the hemipelagic, turbiditic and debritic deposits to be preserved.

Drifting towards a multiprocess depositional model

The range of depositional processes described here suggests that the middle slope of the Gulf of Cadiz has been affected by numerous processes and therefore the sedimentary stacking pattern of a contourite drift and contourite channel was not only built up by pure contourite facies. The frequency and amount of sediment input and the relative persistence and strength of bottom currents is determinant for the predominance of one or another deposit (Fuhrmann et al., 2020), including sediment reworking and winnowing.

Although U1388 is located in a contourite channel with high MOW current velocity at present (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017), it is adjacent to the upper slope, and therefore prone to be directly affected by gravity-driven flows (Figs 1 and 2). Additionally, because the contourite channel funnelled and concentrated part of the gravity-driven flow in passing, it recorded higher quantities of sediment deposited by gravity currents, so that the preservation potential of these deposits is higher. These findings suggest drastic fluctuations in the hydrodynamic behaviour of the MOW over time. Such intermittence in the long-term and short-term behaviour of the MOW has previously been reported for the late Miocene palaeo-MOW (de Weger et al., 2020).

At Site U1389, bi-gradational sequences are the most abundant type-beds, and they present the greatest variance in thickness (Fig. 11B). The presence of a strong bottom current is required to transport the fine-grained sands from the contourite channel to the drift. This mounded drift is found on the downslope side of a contourite channel (Huelva contourite channel, García et al., 2009), where the current velocity is reduced compared to the flow in the contourite channel (Sánchez-Leal et al., 2017). Recently, de Castro et al. (2020) proposed that sandy deposits in the drift represent overbank inputs from the adjacent contouritic channel; that is, they would be part of an external supply of low-density turbiditic flows from the slope during periods when the adjacent channel became more active owing to enhanced MOW. Most of the sandier beds at this site show increased Sr/Ca, following the same trend as grain size or Zr/Al, and thereby supporting that the sediment feeder of sandy contourites is shallow-marine in origin, led into the channel and the adjacent drift by turbiditic flows (de Castro et al., 2020). According to this proposed model, and especially in the drift-channel transition domain, the sandier overbank events can be traced to a single turbidite-bottom current interaction that exhibited lateral thinning and fining trends. The coarser-grained facies that dominate in proximal parts are seen to transition into finer grained facies in the medial and distal areas (away from the bottom current main core; Fig. 16). Once these sediments are deposited by a low-density turbidity current, bottom currents intermittently rework the sediment, generating parallel lamination and ripples while developing well-sorted sands as the BCRS that may or may not include a component of lateral displacement. More distally from the channel, over the drift, weaker energy conditions prevail, favouring bioturbation that distorts the preservation of primary structures. It is proposed that the C3 division of the contourite sequence entails a number of intermittent events with turbidite-bottom current interaction or alternation, wherein bounding surfaces became amalgamated or lost due to the intense bioturbation.

Time of deposition and controlling factors

The age model, sedimentation rates and their link with facies, facies associations and principal components is shown in Fig. 15. The proposed depositional model is clearly controlled by the hydrodynamics of the MOW (Figs 2, 15 and 16). Based on the results of this study, the intervals of vigorous bottom currents show pronounced astronomical cyclicity and occur at times of Northern Hemisphere summer insolation minima, which are more prominent at eccentricity maxima (Fig. 17). During these periods, an intensified MU flows (Voelker et al., 2015; Bahr et al., 2015; Lebreiro et al., 2015; Kaboth et al., 2016; Table S3) favouring the deposition of FA-B. In contrast, periods with weak or no current occur at times of precession minima/insolation maxima, or during glacials (deeper MOW?), favoured the deposition of FA-A (Figs 15B and 17) along the middle slope.

Consequently, the lateral and vertical distribution of facies associations on the drift would be linked to precession-driven changes in
Mediterranean hydrography punctuated by millennial-scale variability over the past 250 ka (Figs 15 and 17). Dry and cold climate periods during Northern Hemisphere summer insolation minima/precession maxima favoured dense deep-water formation in the Eastern Mediterranean, increasing MOW intensity near the Strait of Gibraltar (Sierro et al., 1999; Toucanne et al., 2007; Bahr et al., 2015; Sierro et al., 2020). Therefore, an intensive and denser MOW is registered during cold substages of Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5 (Thomson et al., 1999; Rogerson, 2002; Rogerson et al., 2012; Sierro et al., 2020), MIS 7 (Singh et al., 2015; Sierro et al., 2020), MIS 8a (Laskar et al., 2004).

Fig. 17. Comparison of $\delta^{18}$O of *G. bulloides* with eccentricity, precession and insolation curves from Laskar et al. (2004). Note the overall coincidence of geochemical element association (Mediterranean Outflow Water – MOW – activity) with astronomical cycles.

Fig. 18. Conceptual sketch of the scenario in which the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) shoals from cool glacial periods towards the interglacials. The location of Site U1388 is a lateral projection, as it is located in a more proximal part of the contourite system. The projected plan-view location of IODP sites with regard to MOW is based on previous work summarized in Table S3. (A) Interglacial at precession maxima with a slight deepening of the MOW, hence the ENACW–MOW interface would control sedimentary input and favour turbulent sediment transport in the middle slope. (B) Interglacial at precession maxima, where MOW would be slightly shallower. (C) Glacial where the MOW is denser and more saline and flows deeper (modified from Llave et al., 2006; Rogerson et al., 2006, 2012; Lofi et al., 2016; van Dijk et al., 2018). ENACW, Eastern North Atlantic Central Water; IF, Interface; ML, Mediterranean Lower core; MU, Mediterranean Upper core; NASW, Northern Atlantic Surface Water.
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et al., 2020), as well as MIS 8, MIS 9, MIS 11 and MIS 12. The intensification of MOW current during these intervals is not only supported by peaks in Zr/Al and high negative PC1-U1389 loadings (Fig. 15A), but also by GEA-3 (Fig. 17). In contrast, at times of insolation maxima/precession minima, the less negative water budget of the Mediterranean associated with higher Nile freshwater discharge reduced dense water formation in the eastern Mediterranean and decreased the intensity of the MOW at Gibraltar, favouring the deposition of FA-A (Fig. 15B) (Bahr et al., 2015; Sierra et al., 2020).

In addition to orbital control, during highstands, typically in interglacial stages (Fig. 18A), the MOW is less dense and located at shallower depths (Schönfeld & Zahn, 2000; Schönfeld et al., 2003; Rogerson et al., 2006, 2012; Llave et al., 2006; van Dijk et al., 2018), circulating over the distal part of the upper slope and the proximal part of the middle slope. This could explain why the most prominent contourite beds occurred during interglacial periods but only at times of precession maxima/insolation minima (Fig. 18B). Llave et al. (2006) and Lofi et al. (2016) proposed that during these stages, the lower MOW (ML) was regionally weaker than in glacial stages, whilst the upper core of the MU was more vigorous compared to glacial stages. However, during lowstands, a higher sediment supply from gravitational processes (Fig. 18C) is coeval with a denser MOW and a decrease in the vertical density gradient of Atlantic Intermediate Water. As a result, the MOW flows deeper (Schönfeld & Zahn, 2000; Schönfeld et al., 2003; Rogerson et al., 2005; Llave et al., 2006; Voelker et al., 2006; van Dijk et al., 2018; Sierra et al., 2020). Recently, García et al. (2020) and Mestdagh et al. (2020) proposed that the extension and depth of the ENACW deepened from the upper slope to the middle slope (Fig. 2), as does the MOW–ENACW interface.

Although orbital cyclicity controls sedimentation on the middle slope, changes in facies are also driven by millennial-scale climate variability. During cold and dry stadials (Fig. 18B) the MOW is enhanced, whereas in warm and wet interstadials (Fig. 18A) the MOW decreases (Figs 15A and 17). Sea-level has little influence, although variations in the vertical density gradient of the Atlantic water may bear considerable impact on the settling depth of the MOW (Sierro et al., 2020). Still, minor sea-level oscillations would control sediment input and would favour sediment transport towards the middle slope by gravity-flow processes (Fig. 18B).

In addition to the millennial scale changes in intensity of the MOW related to Mediterranean climate variability, the vertical and spatial variations of the ENACW–MOW and oceanographic processes associated with its upper interface (internal tides, waves, etc.) are important factors conditioning hydrodynamic energy variations at mid-depths (Vic et al., 2019). Internal waves and pulse-like density fronts arise from instabilities at the interface between highly stratified layers and are hypothesized to play a role in localized drift sedimentation (Preu et al., 2013; Hanebuth et al., 2015; Droghei et al., 2016). Internal waves could move stratified water up and down, creating turbulence able to erode and enhance the lateral transport of sediments and particulate organic matter (Cacchione et al., 2002; Titschack et al., 2009; Pomar et al., 2012; Wienberg & Titschack, 2017; Wienberg et al., 2020). It was recently demonstrated that the ENACW–MOW interface induces internal waves, increasing the delivery of sediments, and favouring the maintenance of coral mound formations at the Porcupine Seabight (Wienberg et al., 2020), or generates sediment waves in the Gulf of Cadiz’s upper slope (Mestdagh et al., 2020). Accordingly, associated vertical oscillations of the ENACW–MOW interface would control sedimentary endogenous input and facilitate turbulent sediment transport in the middle slope developing the sandier contourite deposits (Fig. 18B).

CONCLUSIONS

This contribution upholds the use of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as an essential and quick aid in discriminating hemipelagic deposits from other deep-water sediments affected by bottom current reworking and winnowing processes. The dominant facies and facies associations identified enabled characterization of the background, contourite drift, drift-channel transition, contourite channel and distal upper slope sedimentation. The drift itself is made up of hemipelagites, silty contourites, fine-grained sandy contourites, bottom current reworked sands and fine-grained turbidites. The channel deposits contain coarse-grained sandy contourites, fine-grained turbidites and debrites. They provide evidence that the sedimentary stacking pattern in both the drift and the channel are influenced by a spatial and
vertical interrelation of hemipelagic, gravitational and bottom current processes, seriously questioning the paradigm: drift is merely made up of muddy sediments. Sandy contourite beds are not only common deposits along contourite channels but are likewise present on the drift and in the drift-channel transition.

The time of deposition and controlling factors of the interaction between processes are determined by long-term glacial/interglacial oscillations, although the deposit framework is further defined by high-resolution fluctuations of the Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) intensity, sea-level and sedimentary input. Periods with weak or no current occurred at times of precession minima/insolation maxima or during glacial periods (possibly deeper MOW), favouring the deposition of hemipelagites and silty contourites along the middle slope. The periods of vigorous bottom currents that favour deposition of the standard bi-gradational sequence occur at times of precession maxima/insolation minima. Associated slight vertical shift oscillations of the MOW, hence the East North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW)–MOW interface, would control sediment input and favour turbulent sediment transport in the middle slope of the Gulf of Cadiz. During the interglacial and precession maxima/insolation minima stages, a vigorous upper core of the MOW plus the ENACW–MOW interface had a significant impact on the middle slope through development of sandier contourite deposits.

Further Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and detailed sedimentological, ichnological and geochemical analysis are needed to study contourite depositional systems in both modern and ancient sedimentary records. Drawing comparisons with other continental margins will lead to a better understanding of the importance of bottom current processes and deposits in margin construction overall.
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Table S1. Modal analysis data based on 300-point modal analysis in thin section from F1 to F5. Proportions of sediment components are re-normalized to total 100%.

Table S2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of integrated XRF-scan data and grain size: variable loadings for the three significant axes. Underlined bold: highest load of each variable in the corresponding axis. Mn is significant only for Axis 3. Variance explained: Axis 1 = 46.9%; Axis 2 = 12.8%; Axis 3 = 10.0% at Site U1388 and Axis 1 = 55.7%; Axis 2 = 11.3%; Axis 3 = 8.1% at Site U1389.

Table S3. Sites, coordinates, water depth, analyses and corresponding water mass of sites used for comparison in Fig. 18.