**Noise levels and signals observed on submarine fibers in the Canary Islands using
DAS**

Arantza Ugalde⁽¹⁾, Carlos Becerril⁽²⁾, Antonio Villaseñor⁽¹⁾, César R. Ranero^(1,*), M. R.
Fernández-Ruiz⁽²⁾, S. Martin-Lopez⁽²⁾, Miguel González-Herráez⁽²⁾, Hugo F. Martins⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ Institute of Marine Sciences, ICM-CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, 08003
Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: a.ugalde@icm.csic.es; antonio.villasenor@csic.es;
cranero@cmima.csic.es

⁽²⁾ Department of Electronics, University of Alcalá, 28805 Alcalá de Henares, Spain. E-mail:
carlos.becerril@uah.es; rosario.fernandezr@uah.es; sonia.martinlo@uah.es;
miguel.gonzalezh@uah.es

⁽³⁾ Instituto de Óptica, CSIC, 28006 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: hugo.martins@csic.es

^(*) Also at: Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, ICREA, Passeig de Lluís
Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Arantza Ugalde, Institute of Marine Sciences, ICM-CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la
Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: a.ugalde@icm.csic.es

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors acknowledge there are no conflicts of interest recorded.

ABSTRACT

In this study we investigate 70 days of Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS) recordings in the Canary Islands using an undersea fiber-optic telecommunication cable that links the islands of Tenerife and Gran Canaria. Two DAS interrogators connected to both ends of the cable turned the fiber into an array of 11,968 strain sensors covering a total length of ~ 120 km. We present the details of the experiment, noise analysis, and examples of recorded signals. Seismic ambient noise levels assessment indicates poor local coupling of the cable due to the irregular bathymetry that results in high-amplitude acoustic oscillations in some channels. The DAS array recorded several types of non-seismic (vehicles, surface gravity waves, ships) and seismic signals. Local and regional earthquakes were detected with magnitudes $m_b Lg \geq 2$. Surface waves from teleseismic events at a distance of $\sim 3,000$ km were also identified in the strain recordings. Here we report the first observations with DAS of hydroacoustic T waves generated by oceanic earthquakes located at the Central Mid-Atlantic Ridge and the Cape St. Vincent region. Events had magnitudes from M_w 4.2 to 6.9 and the hydroacoustic waves were recorded at epicentral distances from 780 to 3,400 km. Our findings show that submarine fiber-optic cables can effectively be used to assess the seismic activity in remote oceanic areas.

INTRODUCTION

From July 21 to October 1, 2020 we carried out an undersea experiment to monitor the seismicity in the Canary Islands using fiber-optic DAS. This technology has the ability of turning a km-length fiber-optic cable into a very dense array of synchronized strainmeters oriented along the fiber. Effectively, an independent strain rate measurement is obtained every few meters along the fiber. It is an emerging technology

with strong potential for seismic monitoring (see Zhan, 2019; and Lindsey and Martin, 2021, for a review). Fiber-optic seismology gained popularity in the oil and gas industry a decade ago but its interest is growing rapidly in a variety of geophysical applications. Some of them include: active-source hydroacoustic monitoring in the ocean (Matsumoto *et al.*, 2021), CO₂ storage monitoring (Trautz *et al.*, 2020), imaging of marine sediments (Spika *et al.*, 2020), urban seismology (Lindsey *et al.*, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2021), seismic properties of glaciers (Booth *et al.*, 2020), teleseismic studies (Yu *et al.*, 2019), characterization of thunder-induced ground motions (Zhu and Stensrud, 2019), active-source seismic tomography (Parker *et al.*, 2018), and ambient noise interferometry and earthquake seismology (Ajo-Franklin *et al.*, 2019).

Previous studies using DAS on subsea fibers (Lindsey *et al.*, 2019; Sladen *et al.*, 2019; Williams *et al.*, 2019) have revealed a variety of seismic signals with frequencies ranging from mHz to Hz on the strain recordings and consistency with the response of nearby broadband seismometers, thus strengthening the potential of this technology for marine seismology. However, the quality of the recordings is dependent on the cable coupling to the seafloor (e.g., Lior *et al.* 2021) and on the cable sensitivity related to its internal structure. In this study, we took advantage of one of the existing submarine telecommunication cables that are deployed between the islands of Tenerife and Gran Canaria. Two DAS interrogator units were connected to both landing ends of the fiber continuously recording the seismic wavefield. The submarine cable, owned by CANALINK-Canarias submarine link S.L., has a total length of ~176 km and lies over the seafloor reaching a maximum depth of 3,570 m below the sea level (Figure 1). We interpolated the fiber positions from the cable locations provided by CANALINK and extracted the corresponding bathymetric profile from the available bathymetry grid (see “Data and Resources”). The profile plotted in Figure 1 corresponds to the undersea

section of the cable, and does not include the on-land path from the shore to the housing/interconnection center where the DAS interrogators were installed (~7 km in Tenerife and ~4 km in Gran Canaria). Thus, the DAS spatial axis has the origin (“0 meter”) set to the cable position at the shoreline.

In this work, we present the instrumentation used and explore the features of the signals recorded in different sections of the cable in the submarine environment of the Canary Islands. We also analyze the noise levels of the DAS recordings. Then we report a variety of observations of seismic and non-seismic sources recorded during a monitoring period of 70 days, including regional and teleseismic earthquakes and hydroacoustic signals from ships. We also show that the DAS technology is capable of recording, with high sensitivity, *T* waves from a number of submarine earthquakes in the Atlantic Ocean.

THE FIBER-OPTIC CABLE

The submarine cable used in this study is a 12-fiber, URC2 14 mm cable with a total length of ~176 km (G654, see Figure S1 in the electronic supplement to this article). The specified maximum attenuation is 0.18 dB/km (at 1550 nm wavelength). The cable is largely composed of a single mechanical piece with no periodical junctions or optical splices that was laid on the ocean floor (i.e., not buried). The cable is passive, with no optical amplifiers or electrical power. Along the cable, the mechanical protection varies significantly, ranging from lightweight cable in deep water, lightweight protected cable, single armored cable and double armored cable at shallow depths close to the shoreline (Figure 1, bottom). While the mechanical isolation/coupling of the fiber cores is not specified, it is expected to vary significantly depending on the type of protection.

INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PREPROCESSING

In this experiment, two chirped-pulse DAS (CP-DAS) interrogators (see text S1 in the electronic supplement to this article for the instrument technology background) monitored 5,984 channels each (equivalent to 11,968 independent seismometers) with a spatial resolution (optical and gauge length) of 10 meters in a single-ended configuration, i.e., the first 60 km of fiber starting from the coastline and into the sea (Figure 1). Raman amplification was used to mitigate the fiber losses (Pastor-Graells *et al.*, 2017) and flatten the instrumental performance along the fiber. We set the instrumental data acoustic sampling frequency to 1 kHz (imposed by the repetition rate of the train of pulses launched into the fiber and related to the fiber size). The recorded strain data were later downsampled to 50 Hz, determined to be enough to accurately depict the seismic phenomena of interest, and in order to reduce the dataset size. To isolate the acoustic band of interest, we applied a bandpass filter between 0.05-24 Hz to the data in post-processing.

NOISE LEVELS

For frequencies above a few Hz, the acoustic noise floor was largely set by instrumental noise along the fiber (see the Power Spectral Density, PSD @ 10 Hz vs. instrumental noise in Figure 2), with the exception of a few persistent sections, with high noise peaks related to environmental noise. The instrumental PSD noise floor varies less than 10 dB (between 0.01-0.1 ng^2/Hz) over the span of 60 km. This is the maximum range of the CP-DAS at the sampling frequency of 50 Hz.

A visual inspection of the continuous raw strain data from the fiber array along the 70-day recording period finds a low Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) at some channels, while

others have a high SNR, especially in Tenerife (see Figure 3), a behavior that remained throughout the duration of the experiment. At frequencies lower than 1 Hz (see Figure 2), the acoustic noise alternated between instrumental noise and ambient noise along the cable, varying by several orders of magnitude from point to point. Furthermore, several sections where lower ambient acoustic noise was systematically registered, correlated with the sections where the seismic events were detected with lower amplitudes. This appears to indicate that the mechanical strain coupling between the cable and the ground (and, possibly, also local ambient noise) is highly variable along the cable.

To assess quantitatively the typical seismic ambient noise levels, we analyzed the data spectral characteristics of several channels of the array by computing the PSD over a 12-hour-length time segment at 22-min-long, 50%-overlapped intervals, on a quiet day. As an example, Figure 4a shows the median power spectra for 4 noisy channels (0.4 km; 7.2 km; 17.7 km; and 42.2 km) and 2 relatively quiet locations (-6.3 km onshore, and 37.7 km offshore) on the Tenerife array (TF). It can be observed that the PSDs at the two quietest locations almost overlap in the frequency band 0.05-24 Hz, being essentially set by instrumental noise. Noise levels at 7.2 km and 17.7 km are also comparable to the quiet channels for frequencies greater than 2 Hz. In general, the noisy channels show high amplitudes for frequencies below 2 Hz. The primary (frequencies below 0.1 Hz) and secondary (frequencies in the range 0.1-1 Hz) microseisms are not visible in the PSDs, even in the quietest channels. A pronounced noise peak is observed at 0.4 km, at ~24-meter-water depth, in the 0.07-0.2 frequency band. These oscillations are caused by surface gravity waves that affect the strain recordings at shallow depths (less than ~100 m; e.g., Sladen *et al.*, 2019). A marked noise peak is also noticeable at 42.2 km, at a water depth of ~2,800 m, for frequencies between 1 and 2 Hz. To investigate the spectral characteristics of this noise peak we computed the root mean

square (rms) strain amplitudes using 1-hour-long, 50%-overlapped windows for 22 days of continuous data filtered in the 1-2 Hz frequency band. Autospectral analysis shows dominant diurnal (1 cycle/day) and semidiurnal (2 cycles/day) periodicities, which support a tidal modulation of the dominant strain amplitudes in this location (Figure 4b). We also computed the Probability Density Function according to McNamara and Buland (2004), for the entire dataset recorded at a quiet position (35700 m and ~2500-meter-water depth) of Gran Canaria array (GC). The primary and secondary microseisms can be observed (Figure 4c).

OBSERVATIONS

In the following we report the detection of a variety of signals in the 70-day recordings of the Canary Islands submarine DAS array.

Non-seismic signals (ships)

Ocean Bottom Seismometers (OBS) and hydrophone arrays commonly record seismic and hydroacoustic signals from ships. These signals have been used, for instance, as a source of opportunity for locating and orienting ocean bottom instrumentation (*e.g.*, Trabattoni *et al.*, 2020). Due to its geostrategic location, the Canary archipelago presents intensive ship traffic. Ugalde *et al.* (2019) already reported a large number of ship signatures on OBS and hydrophone recordings at depths between 709 and 1,355 m in this region. Rønnekleiv *et al.* (2019) showed that DAS is capable of recording acoustic waves from ships, too. Rivet *et al.* (2021) further explored the potential of this technology to detect and track ships. They concluded that the strain changes in the cable can be caused directly by pressure waves traveling through the water layer or through the sediments for slightly buried sections of the cable. In our experiment, the DAS

arrays recorded maritime traffic with high SNR. Figure 5 shows an example of a vessel recorded at GC (fiber position 11,020 m, ~760-meter-water depth). The spectrogram shows the typical pattern and tonals of ship-radiated acoustic signals. The ship's signature, which is mainly related to the characteristics of the engine and propeller rotation, can be observed for more than one hour. Doppler spectral gliding, generated by the relative motion between the source and the receiver, is clearly observed as the ship approaches the fiber. At the closest point of approach, narrow spectral lines span from about 6 to 24 Hz (the maximum frequency that could be investigated). A diesel generator aboard the ship, which commonly has rotation speeds of 720 rpm, causes the acoustic noise peak at 6 Hz. These observations confirm the capability of DAS for monitoring ocean vessels. This technology has also potential as a future preventive technology for submarine cable protection from human hazards (trawlers, anchor hits), a field in which a very limited experimental work has been performed to date (Rønnekleiv *et al.*, 2019).

Local and regional earthquakes

The Canary Islands are an active volcanic archipelago that presents moderate seismicity. A fault system located between the islands of Tenerife and Gran Canaria is the most seismically active structure in the region (Figure 1) and was the source of the largest instrumentally recorded earthquake in the archipelago (M 5.2; Mezcua *et al.*, 1992). The Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN, network code ES) operates the permanent, land-based, seismic network in the Canary Islands. Since the first seismic station was installed in Tenerife in 1964, the network in the archipelago has been improved over the years and is now composed of 48 seismic stations, of which there are 20 in Tenerife and 3 in Gran Canaria. However, in spite of the significant increase in instrumentation, locations of earthquakes in offshore areas are still poorly constrained,

particularly in depth, because of the lack of nearby stations. Therefore, the availability of DAS data through submarine cables could provide very valuable constraints for earthquake location.

We tried, initially, an approach used in traditional seismology to detect seismic signals. Taking into account the noise levels observations, we used a triggering algorithm based on short-term average (STA)/long-term average (LTA) (FilterPicker; Lomax *et al.*, 2012) on low-noise fiber locations. However, the algorithm only was able to detect the strongest events of the IGN catalogue in this region. Therefore, an analyst visually inspected the data using two approaches: (1) examining the whole dataset and spectrograms of some individual low-noise channels, and (2) looking at all the traces using the time segments of the events reported in the IGN catalogue. For the period of time the experiment was in progress, the IGN land seismic network located a total of 125 earthquakes offshore Tenerife and Gran Canaria, with m_bLg magnitudes ranging from 0.6 to 3.1. Among them, the 9 events of the catalogue having magnitudes $m_bLg \geq 2.1$ and 3 $m_bLg \geq 2.0$ events were confirmed visually by the analysis of the fiber data. They are plotted with red solid circles in Figure 1. The fiber array also recorded the strongest seismic event of the catalogue during this period, a m_bLg 3.2 earthquake that occurred in the Atlantic at about 169 km north from the cable. Figure 6 shows an example of the raw DAS array recordings during the m_bLg 3.1 earthquake occurred in the fault between Tenerife and Gran Canaria at 34 km depth. The direct-wave arrivals of the earthquake signals are clearly observed as they propagate along the array. The change in the slope of the traveltimes curves from about 35 km to the end of the fiber marks the change in the direction of the cable that can be observed in Figure 1. Figure 7 plots the strain recorded at a single fiber location, compared to the recordings of a land station at about the same epicentral distance (MACI, equipped with a Streckeisen STS-

1V/VBB seismometer, see the station location in Figure 1). *P*, *S* and coda waves can be clearly identified in both recordings. The spectrograms show that the earthquake is seen between 2 and 15 Hz in the DAS recordings, whereas the frequency content is higher in the land station. It can also be noted that *S*-wave coda amplitudes show lower attenuation for the oceanic path (strain recordings at 37.7 km in ~2,700-m water depth) than for the island seismic station.

Teleseismic events

During the experiment, the DAS array also recorded teleseismic events. The largest one had a magnitude of $M_w 6.9$ and occurred in the Central Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 10 km depth, according to the USGS. Figure 8d shows the DAS array teleseismic observations of this earthquake. The epicentral distance is 3,281 km to the midpoint of the Tenerife's segment of the array. Figure 8a,b,c shows, as a comparison, the recordings at MACI land seismic station. The main body-wave arrivals, which are clearly recorded at MACI, are close to the noise level in DAS, which is capable of recording the energy of surface waves, as shown in Figure 8d. The raw strain data shows a strong late arrival at about 2200 s from the earthquake origin time that also appears at MACI when the seismogram is high-pass filtered at 1 Hz. This strong energy corresponds to hydroacoustic *T* waves, and will be discussed in the next section.

Hydroacoustic phases

T waves (the label *T* for tertiary arrival) are seismically generated acoustic waves that propagate in the SOFAR (for SOund Fixing And Ranging) channel of minimum sound velocity through the oceans. They can be excited by earthquakes through the conversion of elastic energy into acoustic waves at the solid-liquid interface (e.g., Talandier and Okal, 1998; Park et al., 2001). *T* waves recorded on seismograph and hydrophone networks have been used in a large number of studies including: small earthquake

detection and retrieval of seismic source properties in marine environments (Okal and Talandier, 1986), detection of volcanic activity (Talandier and Okal, 1987), underwater landslides (Fryer *et al.*, 2004), or global climate change (Wu *et al.*, 2020). *T* phases are useful to locate seismicity at mid-oceanic ridges because, due to the low attenuation at the SOFAR channel, they can transmit energy from relatively small sources over large epicentral distances. By combining the *T*-wave amplitude and duration, they can be also used to estimate the source size and to discriminate the source type.

During this experiment, the DAS array recorded hydroacoustic *T* waves from several earthquakes, most of them located in the Central Mid-Atlantic Ridge at shallow depths (10 km) and magnitudes M_w 4.5 to 6.9 at distances from ~3,100 to ~3,400 km (USGS). We also identified *T* waves from a M_w 4.6 earthquake located in the Azores Islands region at a distance of ~1,900 km (USGS). The closest event that generated *T* waves and was detected by the DAS arrays occurred in Cape St. Vincent region at 780-km-distance and had a magnitude M_w 4.2 (IGN). Figure S2 of the supplemental material to this article shows the location of the *T*-waves earthquake sources recorded by the DAS arrays..

Figure 9 shows the DAS recordings of the M_w 6.9 Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake as recorded by the two DAS arrays. Surface waves are visible both in TF and GC; however, hydroacoustic *T* waves are only recorded in TF. This is because of the hydroacoustic bathymetric blockage by the Gran Canaria volcanic edifice (see Figure S2 in the supplemental material to this article for the great circle paths between the earthquake and the two arrays). *T* waves are non-impulsive signals with long-duration wave trains of high frequency. Figure 10 shows the raw strain recordings of the hydroacoustic waves for one single channel of the array, compared with the seismic *T* waves observed at MACI land seismic station. The *T* waves are recorded with DAS

with a high signal-to-noise ratio and duration of about 5 minutes. Strong acoustic energy is observed in the range 1 to 10 Hz, as derived from the spectral analysis. The T waves recorded have strain values greater than those of P , S and surface waves (Figure 8). To determine the propagation velocity from source to receiver, we picked the peak amplitude of the T wave packet envelope; however, the range of observed distances is very small, which does not allow to calculate the apparent velocity through regression (see Figure S3 of the supplemental material to this article). This is because the hydroacoustic wavefront is nearly parallel to the TF section of the cable, as it can be observed in Figure S2 in the supplemental material to this article. Hence, we considered a different approach where we computed the average velocity from the mean arrival times and distances, which gave a more robust estimation of the average data trend. We obtained a velocity value of 1.51 km/s, which is consistent with a propagation path almost entirely in water along the great circle from the epicenter to the fiber. The shape of the T wave package recorded inland, which is very similar to the seafloor DAS recordings, suggests a very efficient acoustic-to-seismic conversion at the steep slopes of Tenerife Island (*e.g.*, Talandier and Okal, 1988). Figure S4 of the supplemental material to this article shows another example of T waves recorded at GC array..

DISCUSSION

Noise analysis

The strain recordings at the DAS arrays show alternating high and low SNR channel bands persistent over the time duration of the experiment. This observation is especially sharp for the Tenerife array, which presents a higher contrast between noisy and quiet bands than for Gran Canaria (Figures 2 and 3). The first high-noise band observed in TF and in GC marks the shoreline crossing of the cable (0 km). The dominant noise in

these bands is caused by pressure changes induced by the depth reached by ocean surface waves that affect the recordings up to ~ 100 m depth (Figure 3, zoom). The width of this noise band is 0.8 km in TF and 3.6 km in GC, because of the steeper slopes of Tenerife that can be appreciated in the bathymetry map of Figure 1.

The morphology and structure of the submarine region of the volcanic edifices of Tenerife and Gran Canaria are irregular (Figure 1), with steep slopes at places, large canyons, slide blocks and volcanic cones, covered by debris, volcanoclastic and biogenic sediments (e.g., Ranero *et al.*, 1995; Funck and Schminke, 1998), which may result in specific local mechanical cable resonances, poor cable coupling or hanging of the cable in the water column. This can be seen by abrupt changes from high to low SNR sections, and existence of strong local resonant frequencies (that also change abruptly) in some sections, as seen in TF between 15 km and 20 km (Figure 3, zoom). For instance, the broad high-noise band observed in GC between 26 and 27 km (Figure 4) corresponds to an abrupt change in the bathymetry (km 150 in Figure 1b). Note that instrumental noise (shown to have low variability along the cable in Figure 2) is not adequate to explain these features also owing to its spatial profile: these “quiet” sections can extend for several km and switch to noisy points (with clear and distinctive acoustic resonances) in a matter of meters.

The DAS recordings in this experiment are not able to detect the primary and secondary microseismic noise peaks in TF whereas the opposite is true in GC. Observations from other underwater cables (e.g. Matsumoto *et al.*, 2021; Sladen *et al.*, 2019; Williams *et al.*, 2019) showed that primary and especially secondary microseismic activity was visible all along the cable. This difference in TF with previous observations is probably due to several factors, among them the low strain coupling between cable and ground. In our case the cable is lying loose on the seafloor, while in Williams *et al.* (2019) the

cable is buried, and at large water depths. Note that the PSD of the microseisms recorded in Williams *et al.*, 2019 (reaching [20dB , 30 dB] rel. to $1 \text{ ne}^2/\text{Hz}$, in the vicinity of 1 Hz) is several orders of magnitude above the acoustic noise floor registered here (reaching [-20 dB, -10 dB] rel. to $1 \text{ ne}^2/\text{Hz}$ @ 1 Hz, Figure 2).

Earthquake signals

We also noted that the fiber does not respond equally to the earthquake signals along the array. As it can be observed in Figure 6, no earthquake signal is recorded in TF up to ~1700 m, even for low ambient noise level locations. Lior *et al.* (2021) observed a similar behavior in their dataset and concluded that the lack of earthquake signals in the on-land segment of the cable was related to the higher apparent seismic wave velocities, that causes lower strain recordings as compared to slow velocities. In our case, the lack of seismic signals is observed over the on-land (i.e., [-7.3 km, 0 km]) and shallow underwater ([0 km, 1.7 km]) sections of the cable. Taking into account the uncertainty in the mechanical coupling of the cable on-land and near the shoreline: (1) the cable protection is increased nearing coast, with double armour in the vicinity of the shoreline and (2) the installation conditions on-land change drastically, a high degree of experimental uncertainty is present that deserves further analyses.

The remarkable decrease in local and regional earthquake detection observed in this DAS experiment with respect to the land-based seismic network may be related to different factors. The composition of the submarine cable may provide weak responses and/or the coupling between the fiber and seafloor sediments (known to have an irregular morphology) may affect the quality of DAS seismic recordings. Another critical factor is the orientation of the fiber-optic cable, because this technology only senses in the direction of the fiber. Last but not least, broadband noise levels at the

seafloor are high in comparison to land stations (*e.g.*, Frontera *et al.*, 2010), which may increase the detection threshold.

Hydroacoustic *T* waves

The most novel result of this experiment is the identification, for the first time in this type of data, of hydroacoustic *T* waves from oceanic earthquakes at teleseismic and regional distances. The DAS arrays did not detect hydroacoustic waves from earthquakes located in the Central Mid-Atlantic Ridge between latitudes 7.683°N and 36.968°N (USGS) (see Figure S2 in the supplemental material to this article). The reason may be the blockage of the hydroacoustic paths by the islands of the archipelago for sources generated at these latitudes.

Hydroacoustic *T* waves are observed here at abyssal depths up to 3,570 m, well below the SOFAR axis depth, that is about 1,000 m (Northrop and Colborn, 1974). *T* phase events have been detected at similar depths in ocean bottom seismometer recordings at the southern East Pacific Rise (Shen, 2002) and at depths of 4,000–5,000 m in French Polynesia (Ito *et al.*, 2012). Butler and Lomnitz (2002) and Butler (2006) explained the detection of *T* phases in the abyssal Pacific Ocean by a coupling mechanism through which seismoacoustic *Ti* waves propagate along the interface between water and sediment at the sound speed of water. Recently, Chen *et al.* (2017) simulated the propagation of *T* waves in deep ocean basin environments and showed that hydroacoustic energy can propagate effectively from the SOFAR to the abyssal seafloor.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we analyzed 70 days of DAS strain data recorded along an existing submarine fiber optic cable in the Canary Islands. Our main findings are:

- Instrumental noise presents a low variability along the cable. The high SNR channels observed persistently, with strong acoustic resonances, may be caused by abrupt bathymetry changes and the installation conditions, with the cable lying loose on the seafloor.
- We confirmed the feasibility of using DAS for underwater seismic detection. The quality of DAS seismic recordings and the detection threshold are affected by the composition and orientation of the submarine cable, and the high ambient noise levels at the seafloor.
- In addition to the detection of vehicles along the on-land section of the cable and surface gravity waves, we also verified that DAS is able to detect signals from ships at abyssal depths, which opens the door to explore new applications of this technology in the field of preventive maintenance of submarine cables.
- The experiment revealed signals of hydroacoustic *T*-waves, which are clearly visible at depths quite below the SOFAR. *T*-waves are valuable for detecting volcanism and earthquake activity in oceanic regions. They are usually recorded by coastal and island-based seismic stations and, more effectively, by ocean bottom seismometers and hydrophone arrays. Here we demonstrate that the availability of fiber optic recordings across the oceans can provide inexpensive and powerful detection capabilities for a comprehensive understanding of hydroacoustic energy propagation in remote oceanic regions.

DATA AND RESOURCES

The IGN catalogue is publicly available and was searched through <http://www.ign.es/web/ign/portal/sis-catalogo-terremotos> (last accessed February, 2021). Some Figures of this work were plotted using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) software (Wessel et al., 2013). EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2020) provided bathymetric data. Supplementary information on the instrument technology and additional figures are compiled as Supplemental Material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to CANALINK-Canarias submarine link S.L. (<http://www.canalink.tel/>; last accessed February 2021), part of group ITER (Instituto Tecnológico y de Energías Renovables) and Cabildo de Tenerife, for allowing the use of the optical fiber and support during the DAS deployment. We gratefully acknowledge RedIRIS (<https://www.rediris.es/>; last accessed February 2021), particularly Esther Robles and Maribel Cosín, for negotiating the permission for using the submarine link and the accommodation spaces. We sincerely thank the Editor and two anonymous reviewers whose comments and suggestions helped improve and clarify this manuscript. This is a contribution of the Barcelona Center for Subsurface Imaging that is a Grup de Recerca de la Generalitat de Catalunya. With funding from the Spanish government through the “Severo Ochoa Centre of Excellence” accreditation (CEX2019-000928-S), Comunidad de Madrid and FEDER Program under grant SINFOTON2-CM: P2018/NMT-4326, the European Research Council (OCEAN-DAS: ERC-2019-POC-875302), the Spanish Government under projects RTI2018-097957-B-C31, and RTI2018-097957-B-C33. M.R.F.R. and H.F.M. acknowledge financial support from the

415 Spanish MICINN under contract no. IJC2018-035684-I, and IJCI-2017-33856,
416 respectively.

417

418 REFERENCES

- 419 Ajo-Franklin, J. B., S. Dou, N. J. Lindsey, I. Monga, C. Tracy, M. Robertson, V.
420 Rodriguez Tribaldos, C. Ulrich, B. Freifeld, T. Daley, X.Y. Li (2019). Distributed
421 acoustic sensing using dark fiber for near-surface characterization and broadband
422 seismic event detection, *Sci. Rep.* **9** 1328, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-36675-8.
- 423 Booth, A. D., P. Christoffersen, C. Schoonman, A. Clarke, B. Hubbard, R. Law, R., S.
424 H. Doyle, T. R. Chudley, and A. Chalari (2020). Distributed Acoustic Sensing
425 of seismic properties in a borehole drilled on a fast-flowing Greenlandic outlet
426 glacier. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47** e2020GL088148, doi: 10.1029/2020GL088148.
- 427 Butler, R. (2006). Observations of polarized seismoacoustic *T* waves at and beneath the
428 seafloor in the abyssal Pacific Ocean, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **120** 3599–3606,
429 doi:10.1121/1.2354066.
- 430 Butler, R., and C. Lomnitz (2002). Coupled seismoacoustic modes on the seafloor,
431 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29**(10) 1418, doi:10.1029/2002GL014722.
- 432 Costa, L., H. F. Martins, S. Martin-Lopez, M. R. Fernández-Ruiz, and M. Gonzalez-
433 Herraiz (2019). Fully distributed optical fiber strain sensor with $10^{-12}\epsilon/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
434 sensitivity, *J. Light. Technol.* **37**(18) 4487–4495,
435 doi:10.1109/JLT.2019.2904560.
- 436 Chen, C.-W., C.-F. Huang, C.-W. Lin, and B.-Y. Kuo (2017). Hydroacoustic ray
437 theory-based modeling of *T* wave propagation in the deep ocean basin offshore
438 eastern Taiwan. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44** 4799–4805, doi:10.1002/2017GL073516.

439 EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2020). *EMODnet Digital Bathymetry (DTM)*,
440 doi:10.12770/bb6a87dd-e579-4036-abe1-e649cea9881a.

441 Frontera, T., A. Ugalde, C. Olivera, J. J. Jara and X. Goula (2010). Seismic ambient
442 noise characterization of a new permanent broadband Ocean Bottom
443 Seismometer site offshore Catalonia (Northeastern Iberian Peninsula). *Seism.*
444 *Res. Lett.* **81**(5) 740-749, doi: 10.1785/gssrl.81.5.740.

445 Fryer, G.J., P. Watts, and L.F. Pratson (2004). Source of the great tsunami of 1 April
446 1946—a landslide in the upper Aleutian forearc. *Marine Geology* **203** 201–218,
447 doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(03)00305-0.

448 Funck, T., and H.-U. Schmincke (1998). Growth and destruction of Gran Canaria
449 deduced from seismic reflection and bathymetric data. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**(B7)
450 15393-15407, doi:10.1029/98JB00388.

451 Ito, A., H. Sugioka, D. Suetsugu, H. Shiobara, T. Kanazawa, and Y. Fukao (2012).
452 Detection of small earthquakes along the Pacific-Antarctic Ridge from T-waves
453 recorded by abyssal ocean-bottom observatories. *Mar Geophys Res* **33**, 229–238
454 doi:10.1007/s11001-012-9158-0.

455 Lindsey, N. J., T. C. Dawe, and J. B. Ajo-Franklin (2019). Illuminating seafloor faults
456 and ocean dynamics with dark fiber distributed acoustic sensing. *Science*
457 **366**(6469) 1103–1107, doi: 10.1126/science.aay5881.

458 Lindsey, N. J., S. Yuan, A. Lellouch, L. Gualtieri, T. Lecocq, and B. Biondi (2020).
459 City-scale dark fiber DAS measurements of infrastructure useduring the
460 COVID-19 pandemic. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47** e2020GL089931,
461 doi:10.1029/2020GL089931.

462 Lior, I., A. Sladen, D. Rivet, J.-P. Ampuero, Y. Hello, C. Becerril, H. F. Martins, P.
 463 Lamare, C. Jestin, S. Tsagkli, and C. Markou (2021). On the detection
 464 capabilities of underwater DAS. *J. Geophys. Res.* (in press).

465 Lomax, A., C. Satriano and M. Vassallo (2012). Automatic picker developments and
 466 optimization: FilterPicker - a robust, broadband picker for real-time seismic
 467 monitoring and earthquake early-warning, *Seism. Res. Lett.* , **83**, 531-540,
 468 doi:10.1785/gssrl.83.3.531.

469 Matsumoto, H., E. Araki, T. Kimura, T. *et al.* (2021). Detection of hydroacoustic
 470 signals on a fiber-optic submarine cable, *Sci Rep* **11** 2797, doi:10.1038/s41598-
 471 021-82093-8.

472 McNamara, D., and R. P. Buland (2004). Ambient noise levels in the continental United
 473 States, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* **94**(4) 1517-1527, doi:10.1785/012003001.

474 Mezcuca, J., E. Bufo, A. Udías, and J. Rueda (1992). Seismotectonics of the Canary
 475 Islands, *Tectonophysics* **208**(4) 447–452, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(92)90440-H.

476 Okal, E. A. and J. Talandier (1986). T-wave duration, magnitudes and seismic moment
 477 of an earthquake: Application to tsunami warning, *J. Phys. Earth* **34** 19-42,
 478 doi:10.4294/jpe1952.34.19.

479 Northrop, J., and J. G. Colborn (1974). Sofar channel axial sound speed and depth in the
 480 Atlantic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* **79**(36) 5633-5641, doi:
 481 10.1029/JC079i036p05633.

482 Parker, L. M., C. H. Thurber, X. Zeng, P. Li, N. E. Lord, D. Fratta, H. F. Wang, M. C.
 483 Robertson, A. M. Thomas, M. S. Karplus, A. Nayak, and K. L. Feigl (2018).
 484 Active-Source Seismic Tomography at the Brady Geothermal Field, Nevada,

485 with Dense Nodal and Fiber-Optic Seismic Arrays. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* **89** (5)
486 1629–1640, doi:10.1785/0220180085.

487 Pastor-Graells, J., J. Nuno, M. R. Fernández-Ruiz, A. Garcia-Ruiz, H. F. Martins, S.
488 Martin-Lopez, and M. Gonzalez-Herraez (2017). Chirped-Pulse Phase-Sensitive
489 Reflectometer Assisted by First-Order Raman Amplification, *J. Light. Technol.*
490 **35**(21) 4677–4683, doi:10.1109/JLT.2017.2756558.

491 Ranero, C. R., M. Torne, and E. Banda (1995). Gravity and Multichannel Seismic
492 Reflection Constraints on the Lithospheric Structure of the Canary Swell.
493 *Marine Geophysical Research*, **17**, 519-534 doi:10.1007/BF01204342.

494 Rivet, D., B. de Cacqueray, A. Sladen, A. Roques, and G. Calbris (2021). Preliminary
495 assessment of ship detection and trajectory evaluation using distributed acoustic
496 sensing on an optical fiber telecom cable. *J. Acoustic Soc. Am.* **149**(4) 2615-
497 2627, doi:10.1121/10.0004129.

498 Rønnekleiv, E., J. K. Brenne, O. H. Waagaard, and B. Moussakhani (2019). Distributed
499 Acoustic Sensing For Submarine Cable Protection. *SubOptic 2019 Conference*,
500 New Orleans, Louisiana, USA (2019), paper OP4-1.

501 Shen, Y. (2002). Seismicity at the southern East Pacific Rise from recordings of an
502 ocean bottom seismometer array. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**(B12) EPM 9-1-EPM 9-
503 11, doi: [10.1029/2001JB001742](https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB001742).

504 Spica, Z. J., K. Nishida, T. Akuhara, F. Pétrélis, M. Shinohara, and T. Yamada (2020).
505 Marine sediment characterized by ocean-bottom fiber-optic seismology.
506 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47** e2020GL088360, doi:10.1029/2020GL088360.

507 Sladen, A., D. Rivet, J. P. Ampuero, L. De Barros, Y. Hello, G. Calbris, and P. Lamare
508 (2019). Distributed sensing of earthquakes and ocean-solid Earth interactions on

509 seafloor telecom cables. *Nat. Comm.* **10**(1) 1–8, doi: 10.1038/s41467-919 019-
510 13793-z.

511 Talandier, J. and E. A. Okal (1987). Seismic detection of underwater volcanism: The
512 example of French Polynesia, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **125** 919-950
513 doi:10.1007/BF00879361.

514 Talandier, J. and E. A. Okal (1998). On the mechanism of conversion of seismic waves
515 to and from *T* waves in the vicinity of island shores, *Bull. Siesm. Soc. Am.* **88**(2)
516 621-632 doi:10.1007/BF00879361.

517 Trabattoni, A., G. Barruol, R. Dreio, A. O. Boudraa, and F. R. Fontaine (2020).
518 Orienting and locating ocean-bottom seismometers from ship noise analysis.
519 *Geophys. J. Int.* **220**(3) 1774-1790, doi:10.1093/gji/ggz519.

520 Trautz, R., T. Daley, D. Miller, M. Robertson, G. Koperna Jr., D. Riestenbergd (2020).
521 Geophysical monitoring using active seismic techniques at the Citronelle
522 Alabama CO₂ storage demonstration site, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* **99**
523 103084, doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2020.103084.

524 Ugalde, A., B. Gaite, M. Ruiz, A. Villaseñor, and C. R. Ranero (2019). Seismicity and
525 noise recorded by passive seismic monitoring of drilling operations offshore the
526 Eastern Canary Islands, *Seism. Res. Lett.* **90** (4) 1565–1576,
527 doi:10.1785/0220180353.

528 Villaseñor, A., A. Ugalde, M. Gonzalez-Herraez, H. F. Martins, and M. Cosin (2020).
529 Canary Islands seismic monitoring with Distributed Acoustic Sensing [Data set].
530 International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks.
531 doi:10.7914/SN/ZI_2020.

532 Wessel, P., W.H.F. Smith, R. Scharroo, J. F. Luis, and F. Wobbe (2013). Generic
 533 Mapping Tools: Improved version released. *EOS Trans. AGU* **94** 409-410, doi:
 534 10.1002/2013EO45000.

535 Williams, E. F., M. R. Fernández-Ruiz, R. Magalhaes, R. Vanthillo, Z. Zhan, M.
 536 González-Herráez, and H. F. Martins (2019). Distributed sensing of microseisms
 537 and teleseisms with submarine dark fibers, *Nat. Comm.* **10** 5778,
 538 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13262-7.

539 Wu, W., Z. Zhan, S. Peng, S. Ni, and J. Callies (2020). Seismic ocean thermometry,
 540 *Science* **369** (6510) 1510-1515, doi:10.1126/science.abb9519.

541 Yu, C., Z. Zhan, N. J. Lindsey, J. B. Ajo-Franklin, and M. Robertson (2019). The
 542 potential of DAS in teleseismic studies: Insights from the Goldstone experiment.
 543 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46** 1320–1328, doi:10.1029/2018GL081195.

544 Zhan, Z. (2020). Distributed Acoustic Sensing Turns Fiber-Optic Cables into Sensitive
 545 Seismic Antennas, *Seismol. Res. Lett.* **91** (1) 1–15, doi:10.1785/0220190112.

546 Zhu, T. and D. J. Stensrud (2019). Characterizing Thunder-Induced Ground Motions
 547 Using Fiber-Optic Distributed Acoustic Sensing Array, *J. Geophys. Res.* **124**
 548 12,810–12,823, doi:10.1029/2019JD031453.

549 Zhu, T., J. Shen, and E. Martin (2021). Sensing Earth and environment dynamics by
 550 telecommunication fiber-optic sensors: an urban experiment in Pennsylvania,
 551 USA, *Solid Earth* **12** 219-235, doi: 10.5194/se-12-219-2021.

552

553 **FULL MAILING ADDRESS FOR EACH AUTHOR**

554 **Arantza Ugalde**, Institute of Marine Sciences, ICM-CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la
555 Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: a.ugalde@icm.csic.es

556 **Carlos Becerril**, Department of Electronics, University of Alcalá, 28805 Alcalá de
557 Henares, Spain. E-mail: carlos.becerril@uah.es

558 **Antonio Villaseñor**, Institute of Marine Sciences, ICM-CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la
559 Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: antonio.villasenor@csic.es

560 **César R. Ranero**, Institute of Marine Sciences, ICM-CSIC, Pg. Marítim de la
561 Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: cranero@cmima.csic.es

562 Also at: Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, ICREA, Passeig de
563 Lluís Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain.

564 **M. R. Fernández-Ruiz**, Department of Electronics, University of Alcalá, 28805 Alcalá
565 de Henares, Spain. E-mail: rosario.fernandezr@uah.es

566 **S. Martin-Lopez**, Department of Electronics, University of Alcalá, 28805 Alcalá de
567 Henares, Spain. E-mail: sonia.martinlo@uah.es

568 **Miguel González-Herráez**, Department of Electronics, University of Alcalá, 28805
569 Alcalá de Henares, Spain. E-mail: miguel.gonzalezh@uah.es

570 **Hugo F. Martins**, Instituto de Óptica, CSIC, 28006 Madrid, Spain. E-mail:
571 hugo.martins@csic.es

572

573

LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Top: Location map of the telecommunication fiber optic cable between the islands of Tenerife (TF) and Gran Canaria (GC). Two DAS interrogators acquired data along ~60-km segments (red lines) of the total ~176-km-long cable (dark line). Seismicity occurred in the study region from July to September 2020 according to the IGN catalogue (see Data and Resources) is plotted with solid circles. Earthquakes are scaled by magnitude in the range $-0.1 \leq m_b Lg \leq 3.1$. Red circles mark the epicenters with magnitude greater than 2.1. Solid triangles indicate the location of the permanent seismic stations of the Spanish National Seismic Network. The yellow triangle plots the location of the land station MACI, in Tenerife, used for waveform comparison. Bottom: Bathymetric profile along the fiber-optic cable route. The dashed vertical lines separate sections of lightweight (LW), lightweight protected (LWP), single armored (SA) and double armored (DA) cable. For bathymetric data see “Data and Resources”.

Figure 2. Power spectral density (PSD) of the recorded acoustic signal at 1 Hz and 10 Hz compared to the estimated instrumental noise along the 60 km-length fiber. Interrogated in: (a) Tenerife and (b) Gran Canaria. For each spatial point along the fiber, the PSD is computed using a time window of 1 hour, recorded at 3 a.m in order to minimize the anthropogenic noise. The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) gives the theoretical instrumental acoustic noise floor. This can be computed from the optical power profile recorded along the fiber (Costa *et al.*, 2019).

Figure 3. One-hour of DAS array recordings at 3 a.m. (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) showing alternating noisy and quiet fiber positions at (a) TF and (b) GC arrays. Fiber position origin (0 km) is relative to the shoreline, and negative values correspond to the on-land fiber segment leading to the housing/interconnection center where the DAS interrogators were installed. The insets in the bottom are a zoom-in on

strain recordings from sections of the DAS array showing: on-land vehicle traffic, along with their estimated speed (left); surface gravity waves propagating at shallow depths (center); uneven cable strain coupling conditions, with sharp transitions between noisy/quiet sections and with different local resonating frequencies in neighboring points (right).

Figure 4. (a) Power spectral density (PSD, in dB related to ng^2/Hz) for 12 h of noise recordings at TF. The noise levels at fiber locations 400 m, 7200 m, 17700 m, 42200 m, and two quiet channels (-6300 m and 37700 m) are plotted. (b) PSD of the 1-2 Hz band-pass-filtered root-mean-square (rms) strain amplitude for 22 days of recordings at position 42200 m. Diurnal (1 cycle/day) and semidiurnal (2 cycles/day) periods are dominant. (c) Probability Density Function (PDF) at position 35700 m of GC array constructed using 9900 PSD during the period from July to September 2020. The black line plots the mode.

Figure 5. Strain observations (in units of nanostrain) and spectrogram at GC array. (a) Example of a vessel (fiber position 11 km, time in seconds from 09/08/2020 00:00:00). (b) Fin-whale vocalizations (fiber position 10.7 km, time in seconds from 30/07/2020 00:00:00).

Figure 6. 2-Hz-high-pass filtered DAS recordings (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) of the 27 July 2020 m_bLg 3.1 earthquake for the 5,984 locations of the TF array at 10 m spacing. Time is relative to the origin time of the earthquake. The channel depths are also plotted. Fiber position origin (0 km) is relative to the shoreline.

Figure 7. High-pass-filtered observations and spectrogram of the 27 July 2020 m_bLg 3.1 earthquake on DAS (fiber position 37.7 km of TF array) (a) and MACI land seismic station (E-W horizontal component) (b). Both data were recorded at about 45 km of epicentral distance and they are synchronized with respect to the P -wave arrival time.

Figure 8. Recordings of the 18/09/2020 $M_w=6.9$ Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake at MACI seismic station: (a) Radial, (b) Transversal component, (c) 1-Hz high-pass filtered radial component, and (d) raw strain recordings (stacked over 100 m). Main P , S , surface and hydroacoustic T -waves are labeled.

Figure 9. DAS array recordings (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) of the 18 September 2020 M_w 6.9 earthquake for the 5,984 locations of TF (top left) and GC (top right) arrays at 10 m spacing. Time is relative to the origin time of the earthquake. The channel depths are also plotted. Space origin (0 km) is relative to shoreline. In order to enhance visibility of the seismic event, the DAS channel locations, which featured persistent high noise levels, were substituted with white noise. The zoom-in in the bottom shows that strain energy recorded by the fiber presents high variability from section to section even during high energy seismic events.

Figure 10. (a) 1-Hz high-pass-filtered strain recordings and spectrogram of the hydroacoustic waves from the 18/09/2020 $M_w=6.9$ Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake recorded at TF (position 14.7 km). (b) Comparison with the recordings at MACI land station.

Figure 1. Top: Location map of the telecommunication fiber optic cable between the islands of Tenerife (TF) and Gran Canaria (GC). Two DAS interrogators acquired data along ~60-km segments (red lines) of the total ~176-km-long cable (dark line). Seismicity occurred in the study region from July to September 2020 according to the IGN catalogue (see Data and Resources) is plotted with solid circles. Earthquakes are scaled by magnitude in the range $-0.1 \leq m_b Lg \leq 3.1$. Red circles mark the epicenters with magnitude greater than 2.1. Solid triangles indicate the location of the permanent seismic stations of the Spanish National Seismic Network. The yellow triangle plots the location of the land station MACI, in Tenerife, used for waveform comparison. Bottom:

Bathymetric profile along the fiber-optic cable route. The dashed vertical lines separate sections of lightweight (LW), lightweight protected (LWP), single armored (SA) and double armored (DA) cable. For bathymetric data see “Data and Resources”.

Figure 2. Power spectral density (PSD) of the recorded acoustic signal at 1 Hz and 10 Hz compared to the estimated instrumental noise along the 60 km-length fiber. Interrogated in: (a) Tenerife and (b) Gran Canaria. For each spatial point along the fiber, the PSD is computed using a time window of 1 hour, recorded at 3 a.m in order to minimize the anthropogenic noise. The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) gives the theoretical instrumental acoustic noise floor. This can be computed from the optical power profile recorded along the fiber (Costa *et al.*, 2019).

Figure 3. One-hour of DAS array recordings at 3 a.m. (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) showing alternating noisy and quiet fiber positions at (a) TF and (b) GC arrays. Fiber position origin (0 km) is relative to the shoreline, and negative values correspond to the on-land fiber segment leading to the housing/interconnection center where the DAS interrogators were installed. The insets in the bottom are a zoom-in on strain recordings from sections of the DAS array showing: on-land vehicle traffic, along with their estimated speed (left); surface gravity waves propagating at shallow depths (center); uneven cable strain coupling conditions, with sharp transitions between noisy/quiet sections and with different local resonating frequencies in neighboring points (right).

Figure 4. (a) Power spectral density (PSD, in dB related to ng^2/Hz) for 12 h of noise recordings at TF. The noise levels at fiber locations 400 m, 7200 m, 17700 m, 42200 m, and two quiet channels (-6300 m and 37700 m) are plotted. (b) PSD of the 1-2 Hz band-pass-filtered root-mean-square (rms) strain amplitude for 22 days of recordings at

position 42200 m. Diurnal (1 cycle/day) and semidiurnal (2 cycles/day) periods are dominant. (c) Probability Density Function (PDF) at position 35700 m of GC array constructed using 9900 PSD during the period from July to September 2020. The black line plots the mode.

Figure 5. Strain observations (in units of nanostrain) and spectrogram at GC array of a vessel (fiber position 11 km, time in seconds from 09/08/2020 00:00:00).

Figure 6. 2-Hz-high-pass filtered DAS recordings (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) of the 27 July 2020 $m_b L_g$ 3.1 earthquake for the 5,984 locations of the TF array at 10 m spacing. Time is relative to the origin time of the earthquake. The channel depths are also plotted. Fiber position origin (0 km) is relative to the shoreline.

Figure 7. High-pass-filtered observations and spectrogram of the 27 July 2020 m_bLg 3.1 earthquake on DAS (fiber position 37.7 km of TF array) (a) and MACI land seismic station (E-W horizontal component) (b). Both data were recorded at about 45 km of epicentral distance and they are synchronized with respect to the P -wave arrival time.

?

?

Figure 8. Recordings of the 18/09/2020 $M_w=6.9$ Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake at MACI seismic station: (a) Radial, (b) Transversal component, (c) 1-Hz high-pass filtered radial component, and (d) raw strain recordings (stacked over 100 m). Main P , S , surface and hydroacoustic T -waves are labeled.

Figure 9. DAS array recordings (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) of the 18 September 2020 M_w 6.9 earthquake for the 5,984 locations of TF (top left) and GC (top right) arrays at 10 m spacing. Time is relative to the origin time of the earthquake. The channel depths are also plotted. Space origin (0 km) is relative to shoreline. In order to enhance visibility of the seismic event, the DAS channel locations, which featured persistent high noise levels, were substituted with white noise. The zoom-in in the bottom shows that strain energy recorded by the fiber presents high variability from section to section even during high energy seismic events.

Figure 10. (a) 1-Hz high-pass-filtered strain recordings and spectrogram of the hydroacoustic waves from the 18/09/2020 $M_w=6.9$ Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake recorded at TF (position 14.7 km). (b) Comparison with the recordings at MACI land station.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Noise levels and signals observed on submarine fibers in the Canary Islands using DAS

Arantza Ugalde, Carlos Becerril, Antonio Villaseñor, César R. Ranero, M. R. Fernández-Ruiz, S. Martin-Lopez, Miguel González-Herráez, Hugo F. Martins

This file includes:

Text S1. Instrument: CP-DAS technology background.

Figure S1. Fiber-optic cable structure used.

Figure S2. Location map of the *T*-phase earthquake sources.

Figure S3. *T*-waves arrival times.

Figure S4. Example of *T* waves at GC array.

Text S1. Instrument: CP-DAS technology background

The most commonly used DAS technology relies on phase-sensitive optical time-domain reflectometry. The interrogator monitors the coherent Rayleigh backscattering signature in an optical fiber as modulated light is sent into it. This backscattering signature, or trace, remains unvaried from pulse to pulse if the fiber is unperturbed. An acoustic or vibrational perturbation on the fiber produces a nanometric strain, which affects the relative position of local scattering centers of the fiber. Consequently, the optical path length of that section of fiber is altered, modifying the relative phase of the backscattered light. The observed effect in the spectral domain is a frequency shift of the trace in the perturbed section. The fiber-optic interrogation system used in this project is based on a distributed acoustic sensor using chirped pulses as probe signal (Pastor-Graells *et al.*, 2016; Fernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2019). In chirped-pulse DAS (CP-DAS), the probe pulses have a quadratic phase modulation (i.e., a linear chirp) sufficiently high so that a frequency-to-time mapping takes place (Goodman, 1996; Fernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2019). Hence, the frequency shift is translated into a local temporal delay. In this way, applying moving correlations along the trace intensity over durations close to the pulse width, which determines the system resolution, identifies disturbances affecting fiber. CP-DAS has demonstrated superior features with respect to traditional DAS, which relies on the demodulation of the trace optical phase. First, as the trace is directly detected, the system avoids the need for coherent detection and polarization diversity schemes. In addition, CP-DAS has proven robust to laser phase noise, avoiding the stringent need for ultra-narrow linewidth lasers when targeting long distances (>10 km) and reducing the cost of the system (Fernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2018a; Costa *et al.*, 2019). Besides, a steady sensitivity in all points of the fiber has been demonstrated. In traditional DAS, 6% of the points have an acoustic signal-to-noise-

ratio (SNR) < 1 due to the trace fading caused by the interferometric nature of the trace, even for a healthy optical SNR (Fernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2018b). This is a key point for seismic applications, as raw strain data can be directly employed to extract the required information, with no need for denoising or smoothing algorithms to correct the phase in trace fading locations (Williams *et al.*, 2019; Fernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2020).

Figure S1. Fiber-optic cable structure (see “Data and resources”): (a) the cable is composed of 12 fibers. Along the installation, the fiber protection ranges from: lightweight cable (b), lightweight protected cable (c), single armored cable (d) and double armored cable (e).

Figure S2. (a) Location of the T -wave earthquake sources detected by the fiber-array. The great circle paths (dashed lines) from the epicenter of the 18 September 2020 M_w 6.9 earthquake in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge to TF and GC DAS arrays are plotted. (b) Zoom-in on the study region showing the great circle paths. The red lines are the DAS arrays. For bathymetric data see “Data and Resources”.

Figure S3. (a) DAS array recordings (time-domain, in units of nanostrain) of the T waves from the 18 September 2020 M_w 6.9 earthquake. Yellow dots mark the arrival times of T phases, calculated as the arrival times of the maximum amplitude of the T wave envelope; (b) Arrival time of the peak amplitude versus distance from the earthquake source.

Figure S4. Raw strain recordings and spectrogram of the 06/09/2020 $M_w=6.7$ Mid-Atlantic Ridge earthquake recorded at GC (position 35.7 km). *T*-wave packets are observed at around 2000 s, 2800 s and 3000 s.

REFERENCES

- EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2020). *EMODnet Digital Bathymetry (DTM)*, doi:10.12770/bb6a87dd-e579-4036-abe1-e649cea9881a.
- Goodman, J. W. (1996). Introduction to Fourier Optics, *Electrical Engineering Series*, McGraw-Hill.
- Fernández-Ruiz, M. R., J. Pastor-Graells, H. F. Martins, A. Garcia-Ruiz, S. Martin-Lopez, and M. Gonzalez-Herraez (2018a). Laser Phase-Noise Cancellation in Chirped-Pulse Distributed Acoustic Sensors, *J. Light. Technol.* **36**(4) 979–985, doi: 10.1109/JLT.2017.2766688.
- Fernández-Ruiz, M. R., H. F. Martins, L. Costa, S. Martin-Lopez, and M. Gonzalez-Herraez (2018b). Steady-Sensitivity Distributed Acoustic Sensors, *J. Light. Technol.* **36**(23), 5690–5696, doi: 10.1109/JLT.2018.2877849.
- Fernández-Ruiz, M. R., L. Costa, and H. F. Martins (2019). Distributed Acoustic Sensing Using Chirped-Pulse Phase-Sensitive OTDR Technology. *Sensors* **19**(20) 1–28, doi: 10.3390/s19204368.
- Fernández-Ruiz, M. R., M. A. Soto, E. F. Williams, S. Martín-López, Z. Zhan, M. González-Herráez, and H. F. Martins (2020). Distributed acoustic sensing for seismic activity monitoring, *APL Photonics* **5** 030901, doi:10.1063/1.5139602.
- Pastor-Graells, J., H. F. Martins, A. Garcia-Ruiz, S. Martin-Lopez, and M. Gonzalez-Herraez (2016). Single-shot distributed temperature and strain tracking using direct detection phase-sensitive OTDR with chirped pulses. *Opt. Express* **24**(12) 13121–13133, doi:10.1364/OE.24.013121.
- Williams, E. F., M. R. Fernández-Ruiz, R. Magalhaes, R. Vanthillo, Z. Zhan, M. González-Herráez, and H. F. Martins (2019). Distributed sensing of microseisms

and teleseisms with submarine dark fibers, *Nat. Comm.* **10** 5778, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13262-7.

DATA AND RESOURCES

CANALINK-Canarias submarine link S.L. (<http://www.canalink.tel/>; last accessed February 2021) provided the information on the cable structure. EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2020) provided bathymetric data.