**Advances in the determination of humification degree in peat since Achard (1786):
applications in geochemical and paleoenvironmental studies**

Claudio Zaccone ^{a,*}, César Plaza ^{b,c}, Claudio Ciavatta ^d, Teodoro M. Miano ^e, and William
Shotyk ^f

^a *Department of the Sciences of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Foggia, via
Napoli 25, 71122 Foggia, Italy*

^b *Instituto de Ciencias Agrarias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano
115 bis, 28006, Madrid, Spain*

^c *Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica, Escuela Superior de
Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Spain*

^d *Department of Agricultural Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, viale
Fanin 40, 40127 Bologna, Italy*

^e *Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari “Aldo Moro”, via
Amendola 165/A, 70126 Bari, Italy*

^f *Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, 348B South Academic Building,
T6G 2H1, Edmonton, Canada*

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: claudio.zaccone@unifg.it; URL: <http://www.claudiozaccone.net>; ORCID: 0000-0003-4391-354X

21 **Abstract**

22 The humification process is one of the least understood and most intriguing aspects of humus
23 chemistry and vital to the global carbon (C) cycle. Peatlands represent the largest terrestrial
24 reservoirs of organic C and support a unique biodiversity, but are also natural archives of
25 climate and environmental changes. In fact, cores from ombrotrophic peatlands are commonly
26 used to reconstruct environmental impacts by human activities during the past decades,
27 centuries and millennia. Understanding the extent to which bogs may serve as reliable archives
28 is of paramount importance in order to ensure that chronological information about natural and
29 human-induced environmental changes are effectively preserved in peat deposits rather than
30 irretrievably affected by humification. Structural changes of the organic matter which
31 constitutes peat are often evaluated by various indirect measures of the degree of humification.
32 Numerous methods and proxies have been proposed and used during the last century, often
33 providing contrasting or inconsistent results. Here we tested multiple physical, chemical,
34 spectroscopic and thermal approaches using peat samples collected from nine bogs on four
35 continents. Despite the different climatic conditions, botanical composition, depth and age of
36 peat formation, we show that the H/C ratio is the simplest, most widely valid and cost-effective
37 humification proxy and should find universal application to multi-proxy geochemical and
38 paleoenvironmental studies. Moreover, we show that three-quarters of the organic C in the
39 studied bogs is in a comparatively undecomposed state, and thus vulnerable to climate
40 changes.

41

42 Keywords: C cycle; decomposition; organic matter decay; bogs; H/C

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 The humification process, whereby remains of plants and animals are transformed through
46 biochemical and abiotic pathways into complex and heterogeneous mixtures of polydispersed
47 materials known as humic substances, was defined by Stevenson (1982) as “*one of the least*
48 *understood aspects of the humus chemistry and one of the most intriguing*”. More than 230
49 years after the first extraction of organic matter (OM) carried out by Achard (1786) for the
50 study of a peat soil, the nature, structure and fate of OM is today more controversial than ever,
51 and often under debate (Tan, 2003; Schmidt et al., 2011; Schnitzer and Monreal, 2011;
52 Lehmann and Kleber, 2015).

53 Understanding the evolution of OM residues into humic substances is of key importance
54 in elucidating carbon (C) biogeochemistry and all ecosystem services provided by organic
55 soils and wetlands, including C sequestration and climate change mitigation. These are
56 particularly important in peatlands, as they represent the largest terrestrial global reservoirs of
57 organic C, approaching one-third of the global soil C stock (Gorham, 1991; Jenkinson et al.,
58 1991). Here, the accumulation of OM as peat is a function of the imbalance between net
59 productivity and decay rate (Clymo, 1983), and is not uniform in peatlands even in the same
60 climatic region or even within the same peatland. Generally speaking, although vegetation in
61 peatlands may grow at different rates, depending for example on moisture and availability of
62 nutrients, the net accumulation of organic C in these environments (~550 PgC in boreal
63 peatlands alone; Yu et al., 2010) is mainly due to very slow decomposition processes, that are
64 in turn driven mainly by temperature, oxygen availability and water saturation, litter quality
65 and pH (Waksman, 1930; Clymo, 1991; Philben et al., 2015; Bragazza et al., 2016). Actually,
66 while the paradigm of OM recalcitrance controlling long-term decomposition rates in soils
67 has been roundly criticized during the last decades (Schmidt et al., 2011; Lehmann and
68 Kleber, 2015), peatlands are an interesting exception to the principles that are being

69 developed to explain long-term preservation of OM in mineral soils. In fact, peat is a complex
70 material that consists of partly fossilized plants differing in botanical composition and degree
71 of decomposition and humification, along with a minor component of amorphous and
72 crystalline inorganic compounds (Fig. 1). Consequently, as in peat the mineral content is very
73 low, the OM preservation in the peatland ecosystem, all environmental conditions being equal
74 (mainly pH and oxygen availability), is conditioned by the inherent recalcitrance of organic
75 inputs rather than to the physical and chemical stabilization by OM-mineral associations.

76 Ombrotrophic (*i.e.*, rain-fed) bogs are unique archives of past environmental conditions
77 (Damman, 1978; Clymo, 1983). Since pioneering studies by Aiton (1805), and for at least two
78 centuries, peat has been recognized as an excellent record of both natural and human-induced
79 environmental changes. Although hundreds of studies have been carried out during the last
80 decades using cores from bogs in order to reconstruct past climatic and vegetation changes
81 (*e.g.*, van Geel, 1978; Barber et al., 1994; Tareq et al., 2004; Chambers et al., 2012), as well
82 as the historical depositional trend of trace elements, dusts and organic pollutants from natural
83 processes as well as anthropogenic activities (*e.g.*, Shotyk et al., 1998; Martínez-Cortizas et
84 al., 1999; Björck and Clemmensen, 2004; Sapkota et al., 2007; Zacccone et al., 2009a; Shotyk
85 et al., 2016). However, the scientific literature is still rather controversial about their
86 reliability to record some forms of environmental change because of concerns about selective
87 enrichments or losses of chemical constituents during OM decay.

88 Actually, problems related to the rate of peat formation and the relationship between
89 growth rate and degree of humification have troubled scientists since the beginning of
90 investigations on raised bogs at the start of the 19th century (Aaby and Tauber, 1975). In fact,
91 variations of environmental signals (such as concentrations, enrichment factors and isotopic
92 composition) within a given peat profile are not only the result of changing rates or sources of
93 atmospheric deposition, but may also be consequences of differences in the rate of plant

94 growth and peat accumulation, of changes in the botanical composition of peat-forming
95 vegetation, as well as differences in peat decomposition, humification and mineralization over
96 time (Zaccone et al., 2012, 2013). Consequently, understanding whether paleoenvironmental
97 information is effectively preserved in peat deposits rather than irretrievably lost during
98 humification becomes a fundamental aspect to be considered before using bogs as
99 environmental archives. However, despite the universal importance of the problem, it has
100 received remarkably little attention.

101 One of the main drawbacks in discussing and comparing changes in peat decomposition
102 and humification addressed in many studies is that a small number of proxies or indices are
103 compared, and often neglect the possibility that humification may evolve in different
104 directions mainly depending on differences in peat-forming vegetation, climatic conditions,
105 peat depth, trophic status, pH, and age. In short, complex relationships among all these factors
106 result in multiple pathways in peat chemistry. In addition to the limited number of
107 humification methods and/or proxies generally compared, studies are generally carried out
108 among cores from the same geographical area or having similar features.

109 Here, we test and compare an array of physical, chemical, spectroscopic and thermal
110 approaches to describe peat humification using samples collected from nine bogs on four
111 continents. The sites selected for this study are characterized by extremely different climatic
112 conditions, botanical composition, trophic status, depth and age of formation. Our main
113 objective is to identify the simplest, most representative, robust and cost-effective humification
114 proxy to be applied as a part of geochemical and paleoenvironmental studies. Furthermore, due
115 to the distribution of our study sites, we provide some new insights into the humification
116 process at global scale.

117 118 **2. Determining the degree of humification in peat: an historical background**

The evolution of OM occurring during peat formation is difficult to quantify and has been generally assessed by indirect measurements of the degree of humification, *i.e.*, approximated using a measure of one or more of the physical or chemical characteristics that change with advanced decomposition. “*One of the main reasons for this situation probably lies in the fact that we have no very clear conception of the exact meaning of the degree of humification: we do not know what we try to determine*” (Kaila, 1956). For that reason, to date there is no universally accepted definition of humification (Table 1).

The first isolation and fractionation of humic materials was carried out by Achard (1786), who treated peat with potassium hydroxide, added acid to the dark solution and obtained an amorphous dark precipitate. He noted that more precipitate was obtained from the darker (more humified) layers rather than from the less decayed plant debris from the upper layers. However, a full understanding of the transformations of OM in soils was hindered because developments in microbiology lagged behind those of chemistry; the advances in microbiology focussed interest on the roles that microorganisms have in the transformations of organic debris in soils (Hayes and Swift, 2018). Several studies carried out in the second half of 19th century (*e.g.*, von Post, 1862; Ramann, 1888) clearly indicated that the genesis of humus was a biological process.

Several methods later became very popular, but some of them were highly dependent on the skill and experience of the investigator. The von Post scale (H1-H10), despite its simplicity, has proven to be effective for describing peat humification in the field, based on the colour and turbidity of squeezed porewaters and the structure of remaining solid material (von Post, 1922, 1924). In the lab, two methods became popular: the pyrophosphate test, based on the percentage of peat soluble in pyrophosphate (Kaila, 1956), and the morphologically-defined decomposition scale (fibric, mesic and sapric), based on the amount and size of fiber material (Farnham and Finney, 1965).

Most of the studies about peat humification were carried out during the first half of the 20th century, especially in order to classify organic soils for agricultural management, but also to help characterize peat for fuel. Melin and Odén (1916) probably developed one of the first quantitative colorimetric approaches for the determination of the degree of peat humification, resulting in a relative value obtained by comparing the colour intensity of the alkali (NaOH) extract at boiling temperature of a sample with that of a standard preparation (*Acidus huminicum*, by Merck). This method was criticized by Springer (1940), who proposed the ratio, in %, between acetyl bromide insoluble C and total organic C or, in samples poor in ash, the ratio of insoluble organic material to the loss of ignition (LOI). Some of the oversimplifications of these methods were later overlooked by Kaila (1956) who used diluted sodium pyrophosphate (0.025 M) to extract, from 220 peat samples, in a semi-quantitative way, the dark-coloured products of decomposition; the colour intensity of filtered and diluted extracts was then measured using a colorimeter (with a monochromatic light filter having a transmission peak at 550 nm), resulting in the well-known pyrophosphate index.

In the 1920s-30s, Keppeler (1920, 1932) proposed as a rapid way for measuring the degree of decomposition the total amount of reducing sugars in the acid hydrolysate of the peat sample compared to that found for an undecomposed *Sphagnum* moss. Various methods were also mentioned by Waksman (1930, 1932, 1938), all of them based either on the amount of residual carbohydrate or accumulation of lignins and other C-rich compounds (*e.g.*, cellulose, hemicellulose, uronic acids) or on the change in colour. Bouyoucos (1934) developed a novel method for determining the degree of decomposition of plant debris (including *Sphagnum* and sedge moss and peat samples) by comparing samples as found with their fresh state. In detail, a volatilization index, obtained by dividing the percentage of loss at 310 °C (due to the easily decomposable substances) by the percentage of LOI at 550 °C

(recalcitrant fraction), was calculated for both fresh and corresponding decomposed plant material.

In Finland, Salmi (1949) observed that the calorific value of peat was affected by peat type and ash content, and that it increased with humification, as determined according to the von Post method. According to Segeberg (1952), the increase in the volume weight of the ash-free dry matter (expressed as a percentage of the corresponding weight of the volume for the sample to be analysed) and the maximum water holding capacity provided estimations of the degree of humification of peat samples.

In the 1960s, Schnitzer and co-authors suggested that the concentration of oxygen-containing functional groups (Schnitzer and Desjardins, 1965, 1966), the peak height in differential thermogravimetric curves around 300 °C (Schnitzer and Hoffman, 1966; Stewart et al., 1966) and the proportion of C concentrations in humic (HA) vs. fulvic (FA) acids (Schnitzer, 1967) could all be used as diagnostic tools for estimating the humification degree in peat samples, as determined by solubility in diluted pyrophosphate solution. A few years later, several authors observed a strong correlation between bulk density of peat and the degree of decomposition, both expressed as the amount and size of peat fiber (Boelter, 1965, 1969), as well as the von Post degree of humification (Päivänen, 1969; Puustjärvi, 1970).

Using the method proposed by Bahnson (1968) (modified from Overbeck, 1947), which consisted of a colorimetric determination carried out using UV absorbance on an alkaline extract of peat, Aaby and Tauber (1975) measured differences in the degree of humification based on the colour intensity of extracts. Following this study, several other approaches, involving diluted alkaline extraction followed by UV-Vis absorption, were developed, and several proxies proposed (*i.e.*, molar extinction coefficients and specific UV absorbance values at several wavelengths) (*e.g.*, Chen et al., 1977; Chin et al., 1994; Weishaar et al.,

2003; Chambers et al., 2011). A similar approach has been also used to characterize the dissolved OM in the porewater, *i.e.*, in the liquid phase of peat.

The free radical (commonly or predominantly substituted semiquinones) content of alkaline extracts of peat was suggested by Schnitzer and Lévesque (1979) as a humification proxy; in fact, signal height in Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) spectra was found to be positively correlated with the degree of humification, as measured by solubility of the peat in dilute sodium pyrophosphate solution. In the same year, comparing various means of measuring the degree of decomposition of virgin peat materials, Lévesque and Mathur (1979) included the rate of biodegradation, expressed as the concentration of C lost through aerobic soil respiration over time, as a novel index.

One of the common proxies used to describe the humification pattern in contemporary studies of peat profiles is the C/N ratio (*e.g.*, Malmer and Holm, 1984; Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; Zacccone et al., 2007a; Biester et al., 2014; Schellekens et al., 2015): this approach is based on the observation that, during OM decay, an enrichment of N relative to C generally occurs, thus resulting in a decrease in the C/N ratio (Waksman, 1938; Stevenson, 1982). Other atomic ratios (*i.e.*, H/C and O/C) have also been adopted (*e.g.*, Outridge and Sanei, 2010; Zacccone et al., 2011a; Biester et al., 2014; Magnan et al., 2018), and data frequently reported in the form of a van Krevelen (1950) diagram.

Several other spectroscopic approaches, as well as improvements and revisions of the previous ones, have been proposed and used during the last five decades to find potential descriptors of the humification process in peat, including Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR), molecular fluorescence and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, Gas Chromatography (GC) and GC-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), and Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (IRMS) (*e.g.*, Preston et al., 1987; Lehtonen and Ketola, 1993; Cocozza et al., 2003; Zacccone et al., 2008, 2011b; Biester et al., 2014; Philben et al., 2015; Schellekens et al.,

2015), just to mention some of them. A brief (and not exhaustive) summary of proxies used to investigate peat humification is reported in Table 2.

3. Peat cores selection and determination of humification proxies

Nine bogs from four continents (America, $n = 3$; Asia, $n = 1$; Europe, $n = 4$; Oceania, $n = 1$) were included in this study (Fig. 2). These bogs were selected because of their great diversity in respect to climatic conditions (from polar to tropical), botanical composition (predominantly either mosses, grasses, shrubs or trees), extent of peat accumulation (from less than 1 m to >14 m) and age of formation (from a few decades to thousands of years). From each core, four to five representative peat samples were randomly collected at various depths (total $n = 40$; Table 3), and each of them was tested using a broad array of physical, chemical, spectroscopic and thermal proxies of humification (Table 4).

3.1. Study sites

1) Bracebridge Inlet (*BI*) is a typical Arctic peatland in the Polar Bear Pass National Wildlife Area (Bathurst Island, Nanavut, Canada). The coring site was located 5 km from the ocean, with an elevation of 130 m a.s.l. The surface layer of the peat deposit was fractured into large blocks along gullies, probably resulting from the melting of this layer. Here, a 90-cm peat core (code: BI2b) was collected in July 2000: the first 14 cm as blocks of non-frozen peat, whereas the other 76 cm as cores of frozen peat (Givelet et al., 2004). Samples were relatively uniform and well decomposed, and consisted of 70-80% small debris and 10-30% sedges roots (only two moss stems, probably *Tomenthypnum nitens*, were found); the whole core represented *ca.* 6,000 years of peat accumulation (Givelet et al., 2004). More information about peat stratigraphy on Bathurst Island are reported elsewhere (Blake et al., 1974). The samples included in this study are BI2b #32, #36, #47 and #52 (Table 3).

2) Sifton (*SIF*) is an acidic *Sphagnum* bog located in Ontario, Canada. The bog (*ca.* 28 ha of surface) is formed in a large depression, left behind as a glacial block melted about 13,000 years ago (Givelet et al., 2003). The peatland today consists of a small pond surrounded by a floating mat of *Sphagnum* moss, flanked by a marginal hardwood swamp (Givelet et al., 2003). The central portion of the bog contains as much as 10 m of peat accumulation (Warner and Charman, 1994). The thickness of peat accumulation at the sampling site was about 6 m; here, a short peat core (code: SIF) was collected in July 2000 (Givelet et al., 2003). The samples included in this study, namely SIF #02, #16, #32, #47 and #62, were selected at random from a 68 cm deep core (Table 3).

3) Harberton (*HB*) is a *S. magellanicum* bog east of Estancia Harberton along the eastern Beagle Channel, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (Markgraf and Huber, 2010). Here, a 1024-cm-long peat core (code: HB) was collected in 1987; it was composed of *Drepanoaladus* peat from the gravel base to 800 cm, overlain by sedge peat with few *Drepanocladus* moss fragments and abundant fungal remains, transitioning at 730 cm to *S. magellanicum* peat (Markgraf and Huber, 2010). More detailed information on this peatland and on the chemical features of peat with depth can be found in Schellekens and Buurman (2011) and Schellekens et al. (2015). The samples included in this study are HB #5-6, #225-250, #475-500 and #625-650 (Table 3).

4) Fleck's Loch (*FL*) is a blanket bog located on the Island of Foula (3 km wide and 5 km long), Shetland Islands, Scotland. The bog is *ca.* 500 m long and up to 275 m wide; the perimeter of the bog is extensively eroded, with some bare peat banks, deep gulleys, and small streams which frequently arise out from and disappear into the thick blanket peat (Shotyk, 1997). A peat core (code: 6b) was collected in September 1991 from the domed area, where the surface was 2 to 3 m higher than the edges of the bog (Shotyk, 1997). The stratigraphy of the top 100 cm of the profile was dominated by *Sphagnum-Eriophorum* peat

that, basing on the von Post scale, was poorly decomposed (H3) within the first 38 cm, and well decomposed (H7) below (Shotyk, 1997). The samples included in this study are 6b #03, #27, #61 and #85 (Table 3).

5) Loch Laxford (*LL*) is a small blanket bog located in Scotland, approximately 15 km from the open ocean. Here, a peat core (code: 7c) was collected in October 1991 (Shotyk, 1997). The stratigraphy of the top 100 cm of the profile was dominated by *Sphagnum-Eriophorum* peat, varying in degree of decomposition on the von Post scale (0-25 cm, H3; 25-39 cm, H5; 39-65 cm, H4; 65-100 cm, H6) (Shotyk, 1997). The samples included in this study are 7c #01, #26, #54 and #82 (Table 3).

6) Etang de la Gruère (*EGR*) is a bog located in the Jura Mountains of Switzerland, and represents the longest continuous record of ombrotrophic peat in the northern hemisphere. Here, 6.5 m of peat has accumulated during the past *ca.* 15,000 years on a small island of siliceous sediment (Shotyk et al., 1998). In the 17th century, an artificial dam was built to operate a mill, and drainage ditches were dug into some areas of the bog to improve soil fertility and provide a new grazing area; no drainage or peat cutting was carried out on the peninsula where peat cores used in several previous studies were taken (Roos-Barracough et al., 2004). In fact, EGR is one of the most well studied bogs, with several cores investigated in the last 25 years; detailed information about OM features and changes in peat humification with depth are reported elsewhere (Cocozza et al., 2003; Roos-Barracough et al., 2004; Zacccone et al., 2007a, 2008, 2011b). According to Steinmann and Shotyk (1997), the stratigraphy of the top 130 cm at EGR bog, with the degree of decomposition on the 10-point scale of von Post given in brackets, is: *Sphagnum*-dominated peat with *Eriophorum* down to 25 cm (H2); *Sphagnum*-dominated peat with few *Carex* and *Eriophorum* remains from 25 to 50 cm (H4); *Sphagnum-Eriophorum* peat from 50 to 60 cm (H4); *Sphagnum-Eriophorum* peat from 60 to 130 cm (H5). A peat core (code: 2T) was collected in June 2005 from the central,

domed area, where the surface peat layers are clearly elevated up to 4 m beyond the edge of the bog (Zaccone et al., 2007b). The samples included in this study, namely 2T #04, #34, #69 and #90 (Table 3), were selected at random from the first 100 cm, spanning >2,000 yr of peat accumulation (Zaccone et al., 2007b).

7) Posta Fibreno (PF) is a small, relic free-floating island located in central Italy, having an ombrotrophic core of *S. palustre* (Casella et al., 2010). This site can be classified as a transitional mire with a secondary ombrotrophic local dominance induced by buoyancy, where the *Sphagnum* development probably witnesses the moment of hydrogeological isolation of the inner-core of the free-floating island, *i.e.*, when precipitations become the only source of water and nutrients (Zaccone et al., 2017). With 4 m of peat accumulated in less than 700 yr, PF shows the thickest accumulation of peat reported for this type of ecosystem in the scientific literature, and an average growth rate 10-fold higher than many other peatland ecosystems (Zaccone et al., 2017). Moreover, this sub-Mediterranean site shows some microbial taxa characterized by an unusual concurrent species convergence with far Nordic or circumpolar environments (Concheri et al., 2017). Here, a peat core (code: PF2) was collected in July 2012 from the centre of the island (Zaccone et al., 2017). Five samples from PF, namely PF2 #01, #27, #62, #97 and B1#09, were included in this study. These samples, consisting of well preserved (almost undecomposed) *Sphagnum* material, were selected at random from the top 120 cm of depth that represent less than 60 years of peat accumulation (Zaccone et al., 2017). ²¹⁰Pb age dates of core PF peat samples are summarized in Table 3.

8) The peat deposit of Palangka Raya is located in the Central Kalimantan (KAL), Borneo, Indonesia. The peatland is strongly domed, with >12 m of peat accumulation in the centre; here, the dominant forest type is a low pole forest (Shepherd et al., 1997; Page et al., 1999). A peat core (code: SA6.5) was collected between August-September 1995. The samples included in this study, namely SA6.5 #01, #17, #28, #40 and #58, were selected at

random from the 10 m deep profile. According to Weiss et al. (2002), the first 80 cm consisted of poorly to moderately decomposed organic material, mainly peaty humus with some wood; the sections from 80 to 840 cm consisted of well-decomposed to very well-decomposed peat with wood and herbaceous material, whereas the sediment-peat interface occurred at 840-860 cm (SA6.5 #52; $22,120 \pm 320$ ^{14}C yr BP). Radiocarbon age dates of core SA6.5 peat samples are summarized in Table 3.

9) Kopouatai (*KOP*) is an essentially unmodified restiad bog of *ca.* 10,000 ha, situated in the Hauraki Lowlands on North Island, New Zealand. The raised nature of the bog is evident: 1500 m from its edge the bog surface is 4 m above surrounding ground level (Shearer, 1997). Peat in the deposit reaches maximum thicknesses of about 8 m in the north and 12 m in the south, resulting in an age at base of peat ranging from 6,000-13,000 yr BP (Newnham et al., 1995; Shearer, 1997). According to Shearer (1997), peat character displays a vertical trend, with peat being less degraded up the core, *i.e.* the younger the peat the less degraded it was. A peat core (code: KOP 1500) was collected in 1995. The samples included in this study are KOP #03, #19, #37, #84 and #115 (Table 3). The area where this core was collected developed more than 6,000 BP after marine transgression covered the majority of Kopouatai bog (Newham et al., 1995).

3.2. Determination of humification proxies

Bulk density was determined by dividing the dry weight (obtained at 105 °C overnight) by the volume of each peat slice. The ash content, expressed as a percentage of the original dry weight, was determined for each peat sample by combustion in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 12 h.

Dried peat samples were ground using a Retsch agate ball mill (PM 400, Haan, Germany) with 250 mL jars containing three 30 mm balls at 300 rpm for four x 2.5 minutes.

Total C, H, N and S concentrations in peat samples were determined in triplicate, using dry combustion with an elemental analyzer (Fisons EA1108, Milan, Italy). The instrument was calibrated using both BBOT [2,5-Bis-(5-tert-butylbenzoxazol-2-yl)-thiophen] and Phenanthrene Enriched standard (Fison/Carlo Erba Italia s.p.a.). Total organic C was determined by difference between total C and inorganic C ($\text{TOC} = \text{TC} - \text{IC}$), the latter determined, using the same elemental analyzer, on peat samples pre-dried at 420 °C for 12 h; in this case, urea was used as standard. Oxygen content was calculated by difference: $\text{O}\% = 100 - (\text{C} + \text{H} + \text{N} + \text{S})\%$. All samples were analyzed in triplicate, and obtained data corrected for ash and moisture content. Ratios between elements (*i.e.*, C/N, H/C and O/C) were determined as atomic ratios, considering as C concentration those of the TOC.

For the determination of total extractable C (TEC), humic acid C (C_{HA}), and fulvic acid C (C_{FA}), 40 mL of a solution 0.1 M $\text{Na}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$ and 0.1 M NaOH were added to 150 mg of ground peat sample and the mixture shaken for 24 h at room temperature. The supernatant solution (TEC fraction) was then separated by centrifugation and filtration through Whatman GF/C filter, and analysed for organic C content using a Shimadzu TOC-VCSH. Twenty-five mL of the supernatant were acidified to pH 1.5 with 95–98% H_2SO_4 to precipitate the HA fraction. The acidic supernatant (FA + non-humic fraction) was separated from the HA fraction by centrifugation and analysed for the organic C content with a Shimadzu TOC-VCSH. Humic acid C (C_{HA}) content was calculated by subtracting C_{FA} from TEC content.

Solid-state Cross-Polarization Magic Angle Spinning ^{13}C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (^{13}C CPMAS NMR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker AVANCE II 400 MHz spectrometer operating at a MAS frequency of 5,000 Hz, a recycle delay of 1 s, and a contact time of 1 ms. The free induction decay signal was digitized and multiplied by an exponential function corresponding to 80 Hz line broadening in the final transformed spectrum. The spectra were then baseline corrected, calibrated relative to adamantane, and integrated into the

following chemical shift regions: 0–45 ppm, unsubstituted alkyl C; 45–65 ppm, N-substituted alkyl/methoxyl C; 65–95 ppm, O-substituted alkyl C; 95–110 ppm, anomeric C; 110–160 ppm, aromatic/phenolic C; 160–220 ppm, carboxyl, amide and carbonyl C (Simpson and Simpson, 2009).

Isotopic ratios $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ were determined on dried peat samples by Continuous Flow-Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (CF-IRMS, Delta Plus, ThermoFisher) coupled with an elemental analyser (CHNS-O mod. EA 1110 ThermoFisher). The Elemental Analyser was interfaced with the IRMS through the ConFlo III (Thermo) dosing the samples and the reference gases (N_2 and CO_2). The pyrolyser was equipped with a PorapackQS (GC column). Isotopic values were expressed in $\delta(\text{‰})$ relative to V-PDB (Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite) for carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$), and AIR (Atmospheric nitrogen) for nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$), according to the formula:

$$\delta (\text{‰}) = [(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}})-1] \times 1000$$

where R_{sample} and R_{standard} are the $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ or $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios of sample and standard, respectively. All analyses were carried out in triplicate.

Ultraviolet–visible (UV-Vis) and fluorescence spectroscopic analyses were conducted on solutions of 10 mg L^{-1} of dried and milled peat at a pH of 7 and an ionic strength of 0.1 M. Stocks solutions were prepared by dissolving 10 mg of dried peat in 70 mL of deionized distilled water and 10 mL of 1 M KOH. The mixture was stirred for 30 min under N_2 gas, and then 10 mL of 1 M HCl was added. Subsequently, the pH was adjusted to a value of 7 by addition of 0.1 M KOH and the volume was finally brought to 100 mL with deionized distilled water. Stocks solutions were 1:10 diluted with 1 M KCl at a pH of 7 for analysis. UV-Vis analysis was conducted using a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. The absorbance and the corresponding specific absorptivity values were measured at several wavelengths. The molar absorptivity (or molar extinction coefficient) was recorded at 280 nm

(ϵ_{280}) ($\text{L mole OC}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), *i.e.*, in the region where the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electron transitions occur for a number of aromatic substances (Chin et al., 1994), and at 540 nm (ϵ_{540}) (Chambers et al., 2011). Specific UV absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA_{254}) ($\text{L g OC}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was calculated by normalizing the absorbance at this wavelength by the concentration in organic C of the corresponding sample (Weishaar et al., 2003). Finally, the E_4/E_6 ratio was calculated as the ratio of absorbance at 465 and 665 nm (Chen et al., 1977). Fluorescence spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer LS55 luminescence spectrometer equipped with FL WinLab software (version 4.00.03). Emission and excitation slit widths were set at 10 nm, and a scan speed of 500 nm min^{-1} was selected for the emission monochromator. The wavelength emission range was from 250 to 600 nm, while the excitation wavelength was increased sequentially from 250 to 600 nm by 5-nm steps. A fluorescence-based humification index (HIX) was calculated from the fluorescence emission spectrum obtained at an excitation wavelength of 254 nm by dividing the area from 435 to 480 nm by the area from 300 to 345 nm (Zsolnay, 2003) after correcting for both primary and secondary inner filter effects (Ohno, 2002).

Simultaneous thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermal (DTA) analyses were performed using the TG-DTA92B instrument managed by a SETSOFT2000 software (Setaram, France) on all samples to record continuous weight losses during sample heating and the corresponding energy changes as endothermic or exothermic reactions. The beginning and the end of mass loss for each reaction was calculated by DTG (the first derivative of TG curve, which is the rate at which the mass of decomposing samples changes with respect to its changing temperature). DTG curve allows converting the TG trace into well defined peaks. Two high purity standards indium and aluminum (both 99.99%) were used to calibrate TG-DTA for both temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and enthalpy (J g^{-1}). A heating rate of $10 ^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ from 30 to

800 °C under dynamic air flow (8 L h⁻¹) was used (Montecchio et al., 2006). All analyses were carried out in triplicate.

Statistical correlations were performed using the Statistica Version 7 software (StatSoft Inc., 2004), considering a significance level of $p < 0.05$.

4. Variability of humification proxies

The variability of each humification proxy selected for this study is summarized in Table 5.

4.1. Physical proxies

Physical parameters, such as dry bulk density and ash content, are easy to determine and could represent simple and useful humification proxies. Density is generally assumed to increase during humification and this impacts the hydraulic conductivity (Boelter, 1965); in fact, as decomposition proceeds, the volume of the organic residues decreases due to physical degradation and biochemical reactions, resulting in the reduction of noncapillary pore spaces and greater bulk density (Boelter, 1969). Density has been commonly reported to increase with depth, because of the weight of the overlying peat column. Here, density ranges from 0.024 (at PF, living layer) to 0.258 g cm⁻³ (at FL) (Table 5), with greater values of this parameter sometimes found in the central part of the profile rather than at the bottom (Supplementary Fig. S1); in general, no significant linear correlation was found between peat density and depth (Table 6).

During decomposition and humification, a loss of mass occurs through OM mineralization, resulting in a relative increase of the ash content. For this reason, the ash content has been proposed as a possible humification proxy (Leifeld et al., 2011). However, in peat cores it is difficult to distinguish between changing rates of dust deposition over time vs. increases in ash content due to OM mineralization (Zaccone et al., 2012, 2013). For example,

many bogs worldwide show episodic increases in volcanic ash shards and aeolian dusts (*e.g.*, Weiss et al., 2002; Björck and Clemmensen, 2004; Shotyk et al., 2005; Sapkota et al., 2007), thus limiting the possibility to use the bulk mineral matter content as an index of humification. In fact, Steinmann and Shotyk (1997), analyzing both the ash and the acid-insoluble ash (AIA) fraction along a peat core at EGR, demonstrated that most of inorganic solids (*i.e.*, 23-86%, mean 49%) consisted of atmospheric soil dusts derived from the weathering of crustal rocks; similar values (*i.e.*, 28-84%, mean 55%) were found by Zacccone et al. (2013) analyzing another core from the same bog. The observed positive and significant correlation ($p<0.05$) between ash content and depth (Table 6) is due to the transition, generally occurring in deeper layers, between ombrotrophic (*i.e.*, rain-fed) and minerotrophic (*i.e.*, groundwater-fed) conditions during terrestrialization (*i.e.*, basin-filling). This phenomenon is particularly evident in deeper layers at HB, KAL and KOP (Supplementary Fig. S1).

4.2. Chemical proxies

Atomic ratios are probably the humification proxy more commonly used in paleoenvironmental and geochemical studies than any other parameter (*e.g.*, Malmer and Holm, 1984; Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; Zacccone et al., 2007a; Outridge and Sanei, 2010; Zacccone et al., 2011a; Biester et al., 2014). Generally speaking, it is assumed that the increase in peat humification is associated with a decrease in C/N, H/C and O/C ratios in response to a relative enrichment of total N relative to organic C, as well as a residual enrichment of more recalcitrant aromatic and aliphatic compounds (Zolcinski, 1928; Stevenson, 1982). Here, the H/C and the O/C ratios show a similar trend throughout each profile and a variability on the order of 2 times (0.91-1.88 and 0.44-0.96, respectively), whereas a different pattern and a greater variability (20-110) was observed for the C/N ratio (Table 5 and Supplementary Fig. S2).

Total extractable C (TEC) represents the organic C fraction soluble in alkaline solution, *i.e.*, a mixture of humic (HA) and fulvic (FA) acids, but also containing a small quantity of some other, non-humic substances (non-HS) (Sequi et al., 1986). The TEC, in this study, ranges between 43 and 282 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5), meaning that the humified organic C fraction accounts for 4 to 28% of bulk peat. These values increase (9.9-45.6%) when TEC is normalized to total organic C (TOC), illustrating how the C content in the humified fraction may represent anywhere from 10% (at PF) to 46% (at KOP) of TOC (Supplementary Fig. S3). Moreover, according to most of the conventional theories, humification may evolve in different directions depending on environmental conditions and OM sources, thus resulting in different proportions of C stored in HA (C_{HA}) rather than in FA (C_{FA}) (Stevenson, 1982). Pioneering works carried out by Schnitzer (1967) on twenty organic soil samples suggested that the more the OM was humified, the more FA it contained, and that the principal reaction mechanism governing humification was the oxidative degradation of HA to FA. Consequently, ratios like C_{HA}/TEC, C_{HA}/TOC and C_{HA}/C_{FA} may provide useful indications about the degree of humification of peat as well as about the dominance of fragmentation *vs.* aggregation and/or polymerization processes among humic molecules. Here, the C_{HA} represents, on average, more than 60% of the TEC, with greatest values ranging from 75 to 95% at KAL; at the same time, the C_{HA} accounts on average for only 14±6% of the TOC, with values ranging from <6% (at PF) to 43% (at KAL) (Table 5 and Supplementary Fig. S4). With only a few exceptions, HA is the humus fraction storing most of the TEC (on average, twice that of FA+non-HS); values of C_{HA}/C_{FA} ratio range between 0.7 at SIF and 17.8 at KAL (Table 5), probably mirroring the different climatic conditions that characterize our study sites and that drive the humification process.

4.3. Spectroscopic proxies

Solid-state ^{13}C CPMAS NMR spectroscopy has been utilised to characterise changes in chemical composition of C found in a wide range of natural organic residues including peat. In particular, it was observed that, with increasing extent of peat decomposition, the proportion of aromatic and alkyl C increase, while that of O- and N-substituted alkyl C decreased (Baldock et al., 1997). As a consequence, A/O-A (unsubstituted alkyl-C / O substituted alkyl-C), A/O,N-A (unsubstituted alkyl-C / O, N substituted alkyl-C) and Ar/O,N-A (sp^2 -hybridized C / O, N substituted alkyl-C) ratios generally increase during humification. Here, these three proxies behave similarly and are significantly ($p < 0.001$) correlated with each other (Table 6), identifying common zones along the profiles characterized by more humified peat (Supplementary Fig. S5). Generally speaking, A/O-A, A/O,N-A and Ar/O,N-A ratios of more humified peat differ by one unit of magnitude compared to undecomposed peat material (*e.g.*, from 0.16 to 1.79 in the case of A/O-A; Table 5). Smaller variations of these ratios were observed at PF, while larger differences were found at KAL and KOP (Supplementary Fig. S5).

The use of C and N stable isotopes fail to provide unambiguous measures of peat humification (Biester et al., 2014). For example, degradation of OM generally produces a ^{13}C -enrichment in residual material because microorganisms responsible will preferentially metabolize the ^{12}C -rich fraction, thus resulting in an increase of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ yielding less negative values (*e.g.*, Balesdent et al., 1987, 1993). At the same time, however, the C isotope composition of plant detritus gradually changes during decomposition and humification processes as polysaccharides (*e.g.*, cellulose) are preferentially destroyed, leaving behind a material that is relatively enriched in lignin-derived C and depleted in ^{13}C (*e.g.*, Benner et al., 1987; Zaccone et al., 2011b). Thus, decay processes in peatlands can act to both increase and decrease $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ simultaneously. Values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in the bogs studied here range between -30.2 to -23.2‰ (C_3 plants show a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of *ca.* -27‰), without revealing a common trend; the same

occurs for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, whose values range from -6.8 to 1.7‰ (Table 5 and Supplementary Fig. S6).

The stable isotope ratios of C and N do not show a significantly correlated signature (Table 6).

Following elemental analysis and the determination of atomic ratios (C/N, O/C and H/C), the utilization of an aqueous alkaline extract examined using either UV-Vis or molecular fluorescence spectroscopy is probably the most common approach to estimate, in a simple and inexpensive way, the humification degree of peat samples (Table 2). Generally speaking, and with the exception of the E_4/E_6 ratio, all the spectroscopic proxies investigated in this study were in agreement with one another (Supplementary Figs. S7 and S8), showing a range of variation, between minimum and maximum values, on the order of 10-50 times (Table 5).

4.4. Thermal proxies

Thermal analysis was used since the 1950s in order to investigate the characteristics of peat and coal as fuels. Analysing peat and plant samples, Lévesque and Dinel (1978) found three main regions of weight loss in DTG curves: a first region (275-325 °C), associated with a variety of readily oxidizable compounds such as sugars and cellulosic materials, a second (360-460 °C) region associated with humic substances, and a third region (500-560 °C) due to non-hydrolyzable residues (Schnitzer and Hoffman, 1966). Consequently, these soil scientists found a general occurrence of exothermic peaks due to decomposition and combustion reactions of organic compounds having different thermal stability and this, in turn, reflected the degree of humification.

Here, the first peak (Exo_1), generally associated with less humified compounds, occurred in all samples between 316 and 357 °C and represented between 4% (at KAL) and 67% (at PF) of the sample; the second peak (Exo_2), generally associated with OM having a greater humification degree, occurred between 362 and 530 °C and ranged between 9 and 59%

of the sample (both at KAL) (Table 5). The Exo₂/Exo₁ ratios ranged from 0.15 at PF to 2.17 at KAL (Table 5; Supplementary Fig. S9).

5. Comparing humification proxies

The extremely high variability of peat humification degree from our sample collection is evident in Table 5, where, for most of the proxies included in this study, there is up to one order of magnitude difference between the minimum and the maximum value recorded.

5.1. Humification degree vs. botanical composition of peat

Contrary to mineral soils, the OM recalcitrance, intrinsic of each peat-forming plant tissue, may have a major role in peatlands, affecting both humification process and its measurement. For example, long ago Overbeck (1947) demonstrated that the light absorbance values on NaOH extracts from fresh bog species were different, while Garcia et al. (1993) reported different extraction yields and HA chemical properties for *Carex* vs. *Sphagnum* peats. Coulson and Butterfield (1978) shown that rates of decomposition were correlated to the chemistry of peat-forming plants, while Johnson and Damman (1991) suggested different decay rates even among *Sphagnum* species. In contrast, Lévesque and Dinel (1978), analyzing both bulk peats and peat-forming plants by thermal methods, concluded that thermal curves of fresh plant materials were not related to those of partially decomposed remains, *i.e.*, that specific features of TG curves cannot be tied back to dominant plant species in peat.

Although our sites were characterized by peat showing a different botanical composition, most of selected proxies included in this study are significantly correlated with each other and show common patterns, thus suggesting that peat-forming vegetation either did not affect them, or (most likely) affected these proxies in the same way (Supplementary Table S1) thus making them comparable.

5.2. *Humification degree vs. peat depth*

On the opposite, selected proxies rarely correlated with either depth or age (Table 6 and Supplementary Table S1; Supplementary Figs. 1-9). It means that peat humification does not consistently increase with depth, and this finding is in agreement with several surveys on peat stratigraphy reporting poorly decomposed peat underlying well decomposed layers (*e.g.*, Shotyk et al., 1990; Williams and Yavitt, 2003; Roos-Barraclough et al., 2004; Zacccone et al., 2007a; Payne and Blackford, 2008; Magnan et al., 2018; Supplementary Fig. S10). Within a given climatic periodic, peat humification might increase with depth but, because a peat profile may reflect accumulation of OM through various climate periods, humification does not simply increase with depth. In fact, the environmental conditions governing peat accumulation vary during peatland development, and the resulting changes in peat-forming vegetation may have a strong impact on decomposition rates of plant debris. Therefore, the occurrence of relatively undecomposed deep peat layers is probably the result of environmental conditions in the past that were more conducive to rapid peat accumulation (*i.e.*, slower decomposition rates) (Williams and Yavitt, 2003), also when a profile is characterized by botanically similar peat. In summary, while there may often be an overall trend toward more humified peat with depth, the data presented here show that peat humification does not always and unconditionally increase with depth and age.

5.3. *Relationships among proxies of peat humification degree*

We note further that there is a general lack of correlation between the C/N ratio and most of the other humification proxies (Table 6). While the C/N ratio is often used, the pioneering study by Kivinen (1933) already showed long ago that the C/N ratio and the humification index (determined by the von Post method) failed to agree. Ash content also is not correlated with

most of the humification proxies, suggesting that, in the ombrotrophic peatlands included in this study, variations in ash content are mainly the result of changes in the rate of supply of dust particles rather than due to differences in the degree of OM mineralization. As a consequence, bulk density values, which depend upon the ash contents, do not always reflect changes in OM humification.

The signatures of stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) were not correlated with most of the humification proxies (Table 6), confirming how the contrasting indications obtained by their evolution render them unsuitable universal measure of humification. On the opposite, a general agreement is found among remaining spectroscopic (UV-Vis, molecular fluorescence, NMR) indicators of OM humification, with the only exception being the E_4/E_6 ratio (Table 6); in fact, this index was proposed by Chen et al. (1977) to obtain information on humic material rather than on bulk (peat) OM. At the same time, being some of them (*i.e.*, SUVA_{254} , ϵ_{280} , ϵ_{540} , HIX) determined on peat extracts (generally in alkali) or on peat liquid phase (*i.e.*, porewaters), they may represent artifacts or be not in agreement with the information recovered from the corresponding solid phase, respectively. However, despite there is some evidence that alkali extraction procedure may have an influence (*e.g.*, Stevenson, 1982; Caseldine et al., 2000; Yeloff and Mauquoy, 2006; Zacccone et al., 2007d), these spectroscopic proxies generally follow the same trend obtained using chemical and thermal methods, thus making them still attractive for researchers.

Although similar criticisms could be raised when TEC , C_{HA} and C_{FA} are extracted, these proxies allowed to quantify the C content in humified peat. Based on our survey of selected peat samples from a diverse array of bogs, we estimate that, on average, only $22 \pm 6\%$ of TOC is stored in humic molecules (*i.e.*, extractable in alkali), and that C stored in the HA fraction represents only the $14 \pm 6\%$ of the total C in peat (Table 5). In short, three-quarters of the organic C is in a comparatively undecomposed state, the result of current hydrological

circumstances and climatic conditions. However, as climate changes and surface and groundwater hydrology along with it, there is a vast store of OM which is vulnerable to decomposition and humification, and this may have important consequences for the global C cycle (e.g., Hodgkins et al., 2014). Of the peatlands studied here, the frozen peat from Bathurst Island, in the high Arctic of Canada, is probably the most vulnerable to climate change.

A simplified scheme summarizing the trend of the most reliable proxies of humification degree, as resulted from the present study, is reported in Fig. 3.

5.4. Comparison with high resolution records from other studies

Matching peat cores is extremely complex and often dangerous because of differential growth rates, variable compression during coring, micro-topographic differences, etc., even if they are collected from the same bog and during the same year, and especially when they differ in resolution or detailed age dating are lacking (Zaccone et al., 2012).

Although only few samples for each site were included in this study, a general agreement was found with detailed percentage light transmission (at 550 nm) and C/H profiles reported by Roos-Barraclough et al. (2004) [core 2G; 1991] and Zaccone et al. (2007a) [core 2H; 1991], respectively, for the EGR bog (samples included in this study were selected from a third core [2T] collected in 2005), with detailed C/H ratios reported by Zaccone et al. (2017) for the PF floating mire, and detailed C/N ratios reported by Schellekens et al. (2015) for the HB bog. However, measurements of the humification degree are perfectly comparable exclusively when different proxies are determined on the same peat slice.

6. Implications for (paleo)environmental reconstructions and geochemical studies

Although the degree of humification is a very important property of peat, probably none of the methods proposed during the last two centuries for assessing it is completely satisfactory, with each having both benefits and drawbacks. The choice is much more complex when disturbing factors (*e.g.*, fire events, permafrost aggradation) affect the OM chemistry (*e.g.*, Zaccone et al., 2014; Magnan et al., 2018), as all proxies could be irretrievably compromised. At the same time, there is a need for a simple means of measuring humification, especially in geochemical and paleoenvironmental studies, or when highly degraded samples are collected from peatlands where plant macrofossils and testate amoebae are not well preserved. Moreover, in contrast to visible peat stratigraphy, which may suggest abrupt changes, humification degree shows generally a gradual pattern, although ecological knowledge and botanical changes may strongly help interpreting chemical proxies (*e.g.*, Schellekens et al., 2015; Magnan et al., 2018; van Bellen et al., 2018).

Additionally, detailed paleoenvironmental reconstructions may be carried out using peat profiles that could be 10 m or more deep. If the peat cores are cut into 1 cm slices for high resolution reconstructions, many hundreds of samples need to be analysed for a broad range of parameters. Given that peat corers typically collect samples which are only 5-10 cm in diameter, that peat *in situ* consists up to 95% of water, and that the dry bulk density of this material may be as low as 0.02 g cm⁻³, the amount of sample available per analysis is extremely limited. Moreover, state-of-the-art, detailed reconstructions involve a broad range of physical, chemical and biological analyses, commonly including some combination of radiometric age dating (¹⁴C and ²¹⁰Pb for recent peat layers), pollen and plant macrofossils (including charcoal particles), testate amoebae, elemental composition, ash content (including volcanic ash), major and trace elements, persistent organic pollutants, stable and radiogenic isotopes; ideally, all of these measurements are undertaken using the same slice of peat (*e.g.*, Givelet et al., 2004). In consequence, there is generally insufficient material for all of the analyses of interest, especially

when Hiller (Fries and Hafsten, 1965) or Belarus (Jowsey, 1966) corer are used, and each analytical procedure is constrained by the amount of sample available for study. A simulation of sample availability for peat slice collected using a Belarus vs. a Wardenaar (Wardenaar, 1987) corer is reported in Supplementary Table S2.

As a result, the index or proxy used to summarize a complex phenomenon such peat humification needs not only to be simple and representative of the process, but also cost-effective and consume the smallest possible amount of material at the same time. The H/C ratio seems to meet all these requirements, and is a good compromise among quantity of sample required (generally 3-8 mg per replicate), duration of the analysis (a few minutes per sample) and cost (10-15 USD per sample) (Fig. 4). Examples of detailed H/C ratio profiles for EGR and PF are reported in Zacccone et al. (2007a) and Zacccone et al. (2017), respectively. Moreover, elemental analysis (CHNS-O) does not require any sample dissolution (*i.e.*, thus avoiding any risk of possible artifact creation) and also allows data to be organized in the form of the well-known van Krevelen plot (Fig. 5).

While the thermal parameter Exo_2/Exo_1 could also be used as a reliable surrogate to describe humification throughout peat profiles, a larger quantity of sample (15-20 mg per replicate) and longer time of analysis (more than 70 min per sample, at 10 °C min⁻¹) are required to have reliable data. Finally, also UV-Vis and fluorescence based proxies are still attractive for researchers, being their determination cost-effective and requiring small quantity of sample; however, the possible influence of the alkali extraction procedure needs to be considered.

It is clear that more powerful analytical techniques (*e.g.*, NMR) may provide detailed, structural information, but they generally need hundreds of mg of sample (not always available), are quite expensive (200-300 USD per sample) and are time-consuming (hours per analysis).

7. Fundamental studies on peat humification

Significant advances in studies of peat chemistry can be obtained, for example, using Electrospray Ionization Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry (ESI-FTICR-MS), allowing to assign accurate molecular weights and formulas to thousands of individual components without the need for prior separation (Hartman et al., 2015), as well as by X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Structure (XANES) spectroscopy, allowing the determination of C compound classes or ratios of C compound classes (*e.g.*, phenols:ketones and carboxylates:ketones) (Gillespie et al., 2014). Also (Pyrolysis-)GC-MS provides, besides molecular biomarkers allowing the reconstruction of vegetation changes occurring in the past (Pancost et al., 2002), semi-quantitative data about the relative abundance of easily degradable versus more recalcitrant compounds, as resulting during burial and diagenesis of plant matter in bogs (Lehtonen and Ketola, 1990, 1993; Schellekens and Buurman, 2011).

While these (or others) sophisticated tools and approaches help us to much better understand the humification process, they have limited availability (*e.g.*, FTICR-MS), are far too time-consuming and expensive, and provide a huge quantity of data to be managed; that makes them difficult to be used as a routine measure of peat humification as part of a paleoenvironmental reconstruction or a geochemical study. Consequently, they could be extremely useful when detailed or fundamental studies of the humification pattern need to be carried out on individual samples rather than on complete, deep peat profiles.

Acknowledgements

C.Z. and C.C. thank Dr. Paola Gioacchini and Dr. Daniela Montecchio, University of Bologna, Italy, for CF-IRMS and TG-DTA measurements. Part of this work was carried out by C.Z. during his research stay at the UoA, Canada (June 2013-May 2014), with a Research

Associate position funded by Alberta Innovates (with special thanks to Drs. John Zhou and Brett Purdy) to study the humification processes in peat bogs of Central and Northern Alberta. C.P. acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 654132. This paper is dedicated by C.Z. to the memory of his father.

Author contributions

C.Z. designed and granted funding for this study, provided samples from two peat cores (EGR, PF), interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript. C.P. led fluorescence, ¹³C CPMAS NMR and UV-Vis analysis. C.C. led CF-IRMS and TG-DTA analysis. C.Z. and T.M.M. led physical and elemental analysis. W.S. provided samples from seven peat cores (BI, SIF, HB, FL, LL, KAL, KOP) and edited the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final version of the paper.

Supplementary Information

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Supplementary information accompanies this paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.Z.

References

- Aaby B, Tauber H (1975) Rates of peat formation in relation to degree of humification and local environment, as shown by studies of a raised bog in Denmark. *Boreas* 4:1-15.
- Achard FK (1786) Chemische Untersuchung des Torfs. *Chem Ann Freunde Naturlehre, Arzneigel Haushalt Manufact* 2:391-403.
- Aiken GR, McKnight DM, Wershaw RL, MacCarthy P (1985) *Humic substances in soil, sediments and water. Geochemistry, Isolation and Characterization*. Wiley Interscience, New York, pp. 1-9.
- Aiton W (1805) *A treatise on the origin, qualities and cultivation of moss-earth* (Niven, Napier and Khull, Trongate, Glasgow).
- Andersson RA, Meyers P, Hornibrook E, Kuhry P, Morth C-M (2012) Elemental and isotopic carbon and nitrogen records of organic matter accumulation in a Holocene permafrost peat sequence in the East European Russian Arctic. *J Quat Sci* 27:545-552.
- Bahnsen H (1968) Kolorimetriske bestemmelser af humificeringstal i højmosetørv fra Fuglsø mose på Djursland. *Medd Dansk Geol Foren* 18:55-63.
- Baldock JA, et al. (1997) Assessing the extent of decomposition of natural organic materials using solid-state ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy. *Aust J Soil Res* 35:1061-1083.
- Balesdent J, Guillet B, Mariotti A (1993) Site-related $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of tree leaves and soil organic matter in a temperate forest. *Ecology* 74:1713-1721.
- Balesdent J, Mariotti A, Guillet B (1987) Natural ^{13}C abundance as a tracer for studies of soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil Biol Biochem* 19:25-30.
- Barber KE, Maddy D, Stoneman R (1994) A sensitive high-resolution record of late-Holocene climatic change from a raised bog in northern England. *Holocene* 4:198-205.
- Benner R, Fogel ML, Sprague EK, Hodson RE (1987) Depletion of C in lignin and its implications for stable carbon isotope studies. *Nature* 329:708-710.

755 Biester H, Knorr K-H, Schellekens J, Basler A, Hermanns Y-M (2014) Comparison of
 756 different methods to determine the degree of peat decomposition in peat bogs.
 757 *Biogeosciences* 11:2691-2707.

758 Björck S, Clemmensen LB (2004) Aeolian sediment in raised bog deposits, Halland, SW
 759 Sweden: a new proxy record of Holocene winter storminess variation in southern
 760 Scandinavia? *Holocene* 14:677-688.

761 Blackford JJ, Chambers FM (1993) Determining the degree of peat decomposition for peat-
 762 based palaeoclimatic studies. *Int Peat J* 5:7-24.

763 Blake W Jr (1974) Studies of glacial history in Arctic Canada. II. Interglacial peat deposits on
 764 Bathurst Island. *Can J Earth Sci* 11:1025-1042.

765 Boelter DH (1965) Hydraulic conductivity of peats. *Soil Sci* 100:227-231.

766 Boelter DH (1969) Physical properties of peats as related to degree of decomposition. *Soil Sci*
 767 *Soc Am J* 33:606-609.

768 Bouyoucos GJ (1934) A method for determining the degree of decomposition that unknown
 769 decayed vegetable organic materials have already undergone in nature. *Soil Sci* 38:477-
 770 482.

771 Bragazza L., et al. (2016) Persistent high temperature and low precipitation reduce peat
 772 carbon accumulation. *Glob Change Biol* 22:4114-4123.

773 Caseldine CJ, Baker A, Charman DJ, Hendon D (2000) A comparative study of optical
 774 properties of NaOH peat extracts: implications for humification studies. *Holocene*
 775 10:649-658.

776 Casella L, Agrillo E, Spada F (2010) The floating island of Posta Fibreno: an example of a
 777 relic mire in Central Italy. *Ann Bot*, 9 pp.

778 Cavani L, Ciavatta C, Gessa C (2003) Identification of organic matter from peat, leonardite
779 and lignite fertilisers using humification parameters and electrofocusing. *Bioresour*
780 *Technol* 86:45-52.

781 Chambers FM, Beilman DW, Yu Z (2010-2011) Methods for determining peat humification
782 and for quantifying peat bulk density, organic matter and carbon content for palaeostudies
783 of climate and peatland carbon dynamics. *Mires Peat* 7: article 7.

784 Chambers FM, et al. (2012) Development and refinement of proxy-climate indicators from
785 peats. *Quat Int* 268:21-33.

786 Chen Y, Senesi N, Schnitzer M (1977) Information provided on humic substances by E₄/E₆
787 ratios. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 41:352-358.

788 Chin Y-P, Alken G, O'Loughlin E (1994) Molecular weight, polydispersity, and
789 spectroscopic properties of aquatic humic substances. *Environ Sci Technol* 28:1853-1858.

790 Ciavatta C, Govi M, Bonoretti G, Gessa C (1996) Identification of peat and leonardite using
791 humification parameter and isoelectric focusing (IEF): a first approach. *Fertil Res* 44:225-
792 230.

793 Clymo RS (1983) Peat. *Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen and Moor, Ecosystems of the World, 4A*, ed.
794 Gore AJP (Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co, New York), pp. 159-224.

795 Clymo RS (1991) Peat growth. *Quaternary Landscapes*, eds. Shane LCK, Cushing, EJ
796 (University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis), pp. 76-112.

797 Coccozza C, D'Orazio V, Miano TM, Shotyk W (2003) Characterization of solid and aqueous
798 phases of a peat bog profile using molecular fluorescence spectroscopy, ESR and FT-IR,
799 and comparison with physical properties. *Org Geochem* 34:49-60.

800 Concheri G, et al. (2017) Rapid peat accumulation favours the occurrence of both fen and bog
801 microbial communities within a Mediterranean, free-floating peat island. *Sci Rep* 7:8511.

802 Damman AWH (1978) Distribution and movement of elements in ombrotrophic peat bogs.
803 *Oikos* 30:480-495.

804 Delarue F, et al. (2013) Can Rock-Eval pyrolysis assess the biogeochemical composition of
805 organic matter during peatification? *Org Geochem* 61:66-72.

806 Farnham RS, Finney HR (1965) Classification and properties of organic soils. *Adv Agron*
807 17:115-162.

808 Francioso O, et al. (2003) Quantitative estimation of peat, brown coal and lignite humic acids
809 using chemical parameters, ¹H-NMR and DTA analyses. *Bioresour Technol* 88:189-195.

810 Fries M, Hafsten U (1965) Asbjornsen's peat sampler - the prototype of the Hiller sampler.
811 *Geol Foeren. Stockholm Foerh* 87:307-313.

812 Garcia D, Cegarra J, Bernal MP, Navarro A (1993) Comparative evaluation of methods
813 employing alkali and sodium pyrophosphate to extract humic substances from peat.
814 *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 24:1481-1494.

815 Gillespie AW, et al. (2014) Perennially and annually frozen soil carbon differ in their
816 susceptibility to decomposition: Analysis of Subarctic earth hummocks by bioassay,
817 XANES and pyrolysis. *Soil Biol Biochem* 68:106-116.

818 Givelet N, Roos-Barracough F, Goodsite ME, Cheburkin AK, Shotyk W (2004) Atmospheric
819 mercury accumulation between 5900 and 800 calibrated years BP in the high arctic of
820 Canada recorded by peat hummocks. *Environ Sci Technol* 38:4964-4972.

821 Givelet N, Roos-Barracough F, Shotyk W (2003) Predominant anthropogenic sources and
822 rates of atmospheric mercury accumulation in southern Ontario recorded by peat cores
823 from three bogs: Comparison with natural "background" values (past 8000 years). *J*
824 *Environ Monit* 5:935-949.

825 González JA, et al. (2003) Preferential accumulation of selectively preserved
826 biomacromolecules in the humus fractions from a peat deposit as seen by analytical
827 pyrolysis and spectroscopic techniques. *J Anal Appl Pyrolysis* 68-69:287-298.

828 Gorham E (1991) Northern peatlands; role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to
829 climatic warming. *Ecol Appl* 1:182-195.

830 Hansson SV, Rydberg J, Kylander M, Gallagher K, Bindler R (2013) Evaluating paleoproxies
831 for peat decomposition and their relationship to peat geochemistry. *Holocene* 23:1666-
832 1671.

833 Hartman BE, Chen H, Hatcher PG (2015) A non-thermogenic source of black carbon in peat
834 and coal. *Int J Coal Geol* 144-145:15-22.

835 Hayes MHB, Swift RS (1978) The chemistry of soil organic colloids. *The chemistry of soil*
836 *constituents*, eds. Greenland DJ, Hayes MHB (Wiley, Chichester), pp. 179-320.

837 Hayes MHB, Swift RS (2018) An appreciation of the contribution of Frank Stevenson to the
838 advancement of studies of soil organic matter and humic substances. *J Soils Sediments*
839 18:1212-1231.

840 Hodgkins SB, et al. (2014) Changes in peat chemistry associated with permafrost thaw
841 increase greenhouse gas production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:5819-5824.

842 IHSS (2018) What are humic substances ([http://humic-substances.org/what-are-humic-](http://humic-substances.org/what-are-humic-substances-2/)
843 [substances-2/](http://humic-substances.org/what-are-humic-substances-2/)).

844 Jenkinson DS, Adams DE, Wild A (1991) Model estimates of CO₂ emissions from soil in
845 response to global warming. *Nature* 351:304-306.

846 Johnson LC, Damman AWH (1991) Species controlled Sphagnum decay on a south Swedish
847 raised bog. *Oikos* 61:234-242.

848 Jowsey PC (1966) An improved peat sampler. *New Phytol* 65:245-248.

849 Kaila A (1956) Determination of the degree of humification in peat samples. *J Scient Agric*
850 *Soc Finland (Maataloustieteellinen Aikakauskirja)* 28:18-35.

851 Keppeler G (1920) Bestimmung des Vertorfungsgrades von Moor- und Torfproben. *J Landw*
852 68:43-73.

853 Keppeler G (1932) Zur Kenntnis des Vertorfungsvorganges. *Angew Chemie* 45:473-476.

854 Keys D (1983) Effect of peat type and decomposition on the calorific value of some New
855 Brunswick peats. *Testing of peats and organic soils*, ed. Jarrett PM (American Society for
856 Testing and Materials), pp. 111-121.

857 Kivinen E (1933) Untersuchungen über den Gehalt an Pflanzenährstoffen in Moorpflanzen
858 und an ihren Standorten. *Acta Agr Fennica* 27:1-140.

859 Klavins M, Sire J, Purmalis O, Melecis V (2008) Approaches to estimating humification
860 indicators for peat. *Mires Peat* 3: article 7.

861 Klemetti V, Keys D (1983) Relationships between dry density, moisture content, and
862 decomposition of some New Brunswick peats. *Testing of Peats and Organic Soils*, ed.
863 Jarrett PM (American Society for Testing and Materials), pp. 72-82.

864 Kononova MM (1966) *Soil organic matter: its nature, its role in soil formation and in soil*
865 *fertility*, 2nd edn. Pergamon Press, Oxford.

866 Krosshavn M, Southon TE, Steinnes E (1992) The influence of vegetational origin and degree
867 of humification of organic soils on their chemical composition, determined by solid-state
868 ¹³C NMR. *J Soil Sci* 43:485-493.

869 Krüger JP, Leifeld J, Glatzel S, Szidat S, Alewell C (2015) Biogeochemical indicators of
870 peatland degradation - a case study of a temperate bog in northern Germany.
871 *Biogeosciences* 12:2861-2871.

872 Kuhry P, Vitt DH (1996) Fossil carbon/nitrogen ratios as a measure of peat decomposition.
873 *Ecology* 77:271-275.

874 Lehmann J, Kleber M (2015) The contentious nature of soil organic matter. *Nature* 528:60-
875 68.

876 Lehtonen K, Ketola M (1990) Occurrence of long-chain acyclic methyl ketones in Sphagnum
877 and Carex peats of various degrees of humification. *Org Geochem* 15:275-280.

878 Lehtonen K, Ketola M (1993) Solvent-extractable lipids of Sphagnum, Carex, Bryales and
879 Carex-Bryales peats: content and compositional features vs peat humification. *Org*
880 *Geochem* 20:363-380.

881 Leifeld J, Gubler L, Grünig A (2011) Organic matter losses from temperate ombrotrophic
882 peatlands: an evaluation of the ash residue method. *Plant Soil* 341:349-361.

883 Lévesque M, Diné H (1978) Applicability of thermal methods for characterization of peats
884 and plants. *Geoderma* 20:201-213.

885 Lévesque M, Mathur SP (1979) A comparison of various means of measuring the degree of
886 decomposition of virgin peat materials in the context of their relative biodegradability.
887 *Can J Soil Sci* 59:397-400.

888 Lozbin VI, Smolyaninov V (1974) Some of the peculiarities of the thermal decomposition of
889 peat. *Izv Tomskogo Politekh Inst* 198:38-40.

890 Lynn WD, McKinzie WE, Grossman RB (1974) Field laboratory tests for the characterization
891 of Histosols. *Histosols: Their Characterizations, Use and Classification*, eds. Aandahl, et
892 al. (SSSA Special Publication 6. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America), pp. 11–
893 20.

894 Magnan G., et al. (2018) Impact of the Little Ice Age cooling and 20th century climate change
895 on peatland vegetation dynamics in central and northern Alberta using a multi-proxy
896 approach and high-resolution peat chronologies. *Quat Sci Rev* 185:230-243.

897 Makila M (1980) *Tutkimus Toholammin turvevarojen kayttokelpoisuu-desta ja turpeen eri*
898 *ominaisuuksien valisista riippuvuuksista (summary: The peat resources of Toholampi*

899 *municipality and their potential use*). Report P13.6/80/5. Geological Survey of Finland,
900 Espoo.

901 Malmer N, Holm E (1984) Variation in the C/N-quotient of peat in relation to decomposition
902 rate and age determination with ^{210}Pb . *Oikos* 43:171-182.

903 Markgraf V, Huber UM (2010) Late and postglacial vegetation and fire history in Southern
904 Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol* 297:351-366.

905 Martínez-Cortizas A, Pontevedra-Pombal X, Garcia-Rodeja E, Novoa-Munoz JC, Shotyk W
906 (1999) Mercury in a Spanish peat bog: archive of climate change and atmospheric metal
907 deposition. *Science* 284:939-942.

908 Melin E, Odén S (1916) *Kolorimetrische Untersuchungen über Humus and Humifizierung*
909 (Sveriges Geol. Unders., C 278, Stockholm).

910 Meyers PA (1994) Preservation of elemental and isotopic source identification of sedimentary
911 organic matter. *Chem Geol* 114:289-302.

912 Montecchio D, et al. (2006) Thermal analysis (TG-DTA) and drift spectroscopy applied to
913 investigate the evolution of humic acids in forest soil at different vegetation stages. *J*
914 *Therm Anal Calorim* 83:393-399.

915 Newnham RM, de Lange PJ, Lowe DJ (1995) Holocene vegetation, climate and history of a
916 raised bog complex, northern New Zealand, from palynology, plant macrofossils and
917 tephrochronology. *Holocene* 5:267-282.

918 Ohno T (2002) Fluorescence inner-filtering correction for determining the humification index
919 of dissolved organic matter. *Environ Sci Technol* 36:742-746.

920 Outridge PM, Sanei H (2010) Does organic matter degradation affect the reconstruction of
921 pre-industrial atmospheric mercury deposition rates from peat cores? – A test of the
922 hypothesis using a permafrost peat deposit in northern Canada. *Int J Coal Geol* 83:73-81.

- 923 Overbeck F (1947) Studien zur Hochmoorentwicklung in Niedersachsen und die Bestimmung
 924 der Humifizierung bei stratigraphisch-pollenanalytischen Moorunter-suchungen. *Planta*
 925 35:1-56.
- 926 Page SE, Rieley JO, Shotyk W, Weiss D (1999) Interdependence of peat and vegetation in a
 927 tropical swamp forest. *Philos Trans R Soc London B* 354:1885-1897.
- 928 Päivänen J (1969) The bulk density of peat and its determination. *Silva Fenn* 3:1-19.
- 929 Pancost RD, Baas M, Van Geel B, Sinninghe Damsté JS (2002) Biomarkers as proxies for
 930 plant inputs to peats: An example from a sub-boreal ombrotrophic bog. *Org Geochem*
 931 33:675-690.
- 932 Payne RJ, Blackford JJ (2008) Peat humification and climate change: a multi-site comparison
 933 from mires in south-east Alaska. *Mires Peat* 3:article 9.
- 934 Philben M, et al. (2015) Temperature, oxygen, and vegetation controls on decomposition in a
 935 James Bay peatland. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 29:729-743.
- 936 Piccolo A (2001) The supramolecular structure of humic substances. *Soil Sci* 166:810-832.
- 937 Preston CM, et al. (1987) Comparison of ¹³C CPMAS NMR and chemical techniques for
 938 measuring peat the degree of decomposition in virgin and cultivated peat profiles. *Can J*
 939 *Soil Sci* 67:187-198.
- 940 Puustjärvi V (1970) Degree of decomposition. *Suo* 14:48-52.
- 941 Raitio H, Huttunen A (1976) Turpeen maatumisasteen maeeritysmenetelmistae (Methods of
 942 determining the humification degree of peat). *Suo* 27:19-23.
- 943 Roos-Barracclough F, van der Knaap WO, van Leeuwen JFN, Shotyk W (2004) A Late-glacial
 944 and Holocene record of climatic change from a Swiss peat humification profile. *Holocene*
 945 14:7-19.
- 946 Salmi M (1949) Physical and chemical peat investigations on the Pinomäensuo bog, SW
 947 Finland. *Geol Surv Finland, Bull* 145:1-31.

948 Sapkota A, Cheburkin AK, Bonani G, Shotyk W (2007) Six millenia of atmospheric dust
 949 deposition in southernmost South America (Isla Navarino, Chile). *Holocene* 17:561-572.

950 Schellekens J, Buurman P (2011) n-Alkane distributions as palaeoclimatic proxies in
 951 ombrotrophic peat: the role of decomposition and dominant vegetation. *Geoderma*
 952 164:112-121.

953 Schellekens J, et al. (2015) Preferential degradation of polyphenols from Sphagnum – 4-
 954 Isopropenylphenol as a proxy for past hydrological conditions in Sphagnum-dominated
 955 peat. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 150:74-89.

956 Schmidt MW, et al. (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property.
 957 *Nature* 478:49-56.

958 Schnitzer M (1967) Humic-fulvic acid relationships in organic soils and humification of the
 959 organic matter in these soils. *Can J Soil Sci* 47:245-250.

960 Schnitzer M, Desjardins JG (1965) Carboxyl and phenolic hydroxyl groups in some organic
 961 soils and their relation to the degree of humification. *Can J Soil Sci* 45:257-264.

962 Schnitzer M, Desjardins JG (1966) Oxygen-containing functional groups in organic soils and
 963 their relation to the degree of humification as determined by solubility in sodium
 964 pyrophosphate solution. *Can J Soil Sci* 46:237-243.

965 Schnitzer M, Hoffman I (1966) A thermogravimetric approach to the classification of organic
 966 soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am Proc* 30:63-66.

967 Schnitzer M, Hoffman I (1967) Significance of cellulose components in the thermogravimetry
 968 of organic soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am Proc* 31:708-709.

969 Schnitzer M, Lévesque M (1979) Electron spin resonance as a guide to the degree of
 970 humification of peats. *Soil Sci* 127:140-145.

971 Schnitzer M, Monreal CM (2011) Quo Vadis soil organic matter research? A biological link
 972 to the chemistry of humification. *Adv Agron* 113:143-217.

973 Segeberg H (1952) Ein Verfahren zur Beurteilung des Vertorfungsgrades von
 974 Hochmoortorfen mit Hilfe des Volumengewichtes. *Landw Forsch* 3:144-155.
 975 Sequi P, De Nobili M, Leita L, Cercignani G (1986) A new index of humification.
 976 *Agrochimica* 30:175-179.
 977 Shearer JC (1997) Natural and anthropogenic influences on peat development in
 978 Waikato/Hauraki Plains restiad bogs. *J R Soc New Zeal* 27:295-313.
 979 Shepherd PA, Rieley JO, Page SE (1997) The relationship between vegetation and peat
 980 characteristics in the upper catchment of Sungai Sebangau, Central Kalimantan,
 981 Indonesia. *Biodiversity and Sustainability of Tropical Peatlands*, eds. Rieley JO, Page SE
 982 (Samara Publishing, Cardigan, UK), pp. 191-210.
 983 Sheppard JD, Forgeron DW (1987) Differential thermogravimetry of peat fractions. *Fuel*
 984 66:232-236.
 985 Shotyk W (1997) Atmospheric deposition and mass balance of major and trace elements in
 986 two oceanic peat bog profiles, northern Scotland and the Shetland Islands. *Chem Geol*
 987 138:55-72.
 988 Shotyk W, et al. (1998) History of atmospheric lead deposition since 12,370 ¹⁴C yr BP from a
 989 peat bog, Jura Mountains, Switzerland. *Science* 281:1635-1640.
 990 Shotyk W, et al. (2005) Accumulation rates and predominant atmospheric sources of natural
 991 and anthropogenic Hg and Pb on the Faroe Islands. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 69:1-17.
 992 Shotyk W, et al. (2016) Peat bogs in northern Alberta, Canada reveal decades of declining
 993 atmospheric Pb contamination. *Geophys Res Lett* 43:9964-9974.
 994 Shotyk W, Nesbitt HW, Fyfe WS (1990) The behaviour of major and trace elements in
 995 complete vertical peat profiles from three Sphagnum bogs. *Int J Coal Geol* 15:163-190.
 996 Silc T, Stanek W (1977) Bulk density estimation of several peats in northern Ontario using
 997 the von Post humification scale. *Can J Soil Sci* 57:75.

998 Simpson AJ, Simpson MJ (2009) Nuclear magnetic resonance analysis of natural organic
 999 matter. *Biophysico-chemical Processes Involving Natural Nonliving Organic Matter in*
 1000 *Environmental Systems*, eds. Senesi N, Xing B, Huang PM (John Wiley & Sons,
 1001 Hoboken), pp. 589-651.

1002 Springer U (1940) Humifizierung und Zersetzung und ihre Bestimmung in Torfen,
 1003 Stallmisten und anderen organischen Bildungen. *Bodenk u Pflanzenernähr* 18:129-167.

1004 Stanek W, Silc T (1977) Comparison of four methods for determination of degree of peat
 1005 humification (decomposition) with emphasis on the von Post method. *Can J Soil Sci*
 1006 57:109-117.

1007 Steinmann P, Shotyk W (1997) Chemical composition, pH and redox state of sulfur and iron
 1008 in complete vertical porewater profiles from two Sphagnum peat bogs, Jura Mountains,
 1009 Switzerland. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 61:1143-1163.

1010 Steinmann P, Shotyk W (1997) Geochemistry, mineralogy, and geochemical mass balance on
 1011 major elements in two peat bog profiles (Jura Mountains, Switzerland). *Chem Geol*
 1012 138:25-53.

1013 Stevenson FJ (1982) *Humus Chemistry. Genesis, Composition, Reactions* (Wiley & Sons,
 1014 New York).

1015 Stewart JM, Birnie AC, Mitchell BD (1966) The characterization of a peat profile by thermal
 1016 methods. *Agrochimica* 11:92-104.

1017 Tan KH (2003) *Humic Matter in Soil and the Environment - Principles and Controversies*
 1018 (Marcel Dekker, New York).

1019 Tareq SM, Tanaka N, Ohta K (2004) Biomarker signature in tropical peat: lignin phenol
 1020 vegetation index (LPVI) and its implication for reconstructing paleoenvironment. *Sci*
 1021 *Total Environ* 324:91-103.

- 1022 Tolonen K (1982) Usefulness of five common methods of determining the degree of
1023 decomposition in estimating the amount and energy content of fuel peat in Finland. *Suo*
1024 33:133-142.
- 1025 van Bellen S, et al. (2018) Testate amoeba records indicate regional 20th-century lowering of
1026 water tables in ombrotrophic peatlands in central-northern Alberta, Canada. *Glob Chang*
1027 *Biol* (doi: 10.1111/gcb.14143).
- 1028 van Geel B (1978) A palaeoecological study of Holocene peat bog sections in Germany and
1029 the Netherlands. *Rev Palaeobot Palynol* 25:1-120.
- 1030 van Krevelen DW (1950) Graphical–statistical method for the study of structure and reaction
1031 processes of coal. *Fuel* 29:269-284.
- 1032 von Post L (1922) Sveriges geologiska undersöknings torvinventering och några av dess
1033 hittills vunna resultat. *Svenska Mosskulturföreningens Tidskrift* 1:1-27.
- 1034 von Post L (1924) Das genetische System der organogenen Bildungen Schwedens (Memoires
1035 sur la nomenclature et la classification des sols). *Comité International de Pédologie, IV*
1036 *Commission (Helsinki)* 22:287-304.
- 1037 Wardenaar ECP (1987) A new hand tool for cutting peat profiles. *Can J Bot* 65:1772-1773.
- 1038 Waksman SA (1930) Chemical composition of peat and the role of microorganisms in its
1039 formation. *Am J Sci* 19:32-54.
- 1040 Waksman SA (1932) *Principles of soil microbiology* (The Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore,
1041 2nd ed.).
- 1042 Waksman SA (1938) *Humus. Origin, chemical composition, and importance in nature*
1043 (Williams & Wilkins).
- 1044 Waksman SA, Stevens KR (1930) A system of proximate chemical analysis of plant
1045 materials. *Ind Eng Chem, Anal Ed* 2:167-173.

1046 Warner BG, Charman DJ (1994) Holocene changes on a peatland in northwestern Ontario
 1047 interpreted from testate amoebae (Protozoa) analysis. *Boreas* 23:270-273.

1048 Weishaar JL, et al. (2003) Evaluation of specific ultraviolet absorbance as an indicator of the
 1049 chemical composition and reactivity of dissolved organic carbon. *Environ Sci Technol*
 1050 37:4702-4708.

1051 Weiss D, et al. (2002) The geochemistry of major and selected trace elements in a forested
 1052 peat bog, Kalimantan, SE-Asia, and its implications for past atmospheric dust deposition.
 1053 *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 66:2307-2323.

1054 Wershaw RL (2004) *Evaluation of conceptual models of natural organic matter (humus) from*
 1055 *a consideration of the chemical and biochemical processes of humification*. US
 1056 Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2004-5121, pp. 49.

1057 Wikander G, Nordén BO (1998) Investigations of paramagnetic species in peat. *Soil Sci*
 1058 145:289-297.

1059 Williams CJ, Yavitt JB (2003) Botanical composition of peat and degree of peat
 1060 decomposition in three temperate peatlands. *Ecoscience* 10:85-95.

1061 Yeloff D, Mauquoy D (2006) The influence of vegetation composition on peat humification:
 1062 implications for palaeoclimatic studies. *Boreas* 35:662-673.

1063 Yu ZC, Loisel J, Brosseau DP, Beilman DW, Hunt SJ (2010) Global peatland dynamics since
 1064 the Last Glacial Maximum. *Geophys Res Lett* 37:L13402.

1065 Zacccone C, Cocozza C, Cheburkin AK, Shotyk W, Miano TM (2007b) Highly organic soils
 1066 as ‘witnesses’ of anthropogenic Pb, Cu, Zn, and ¹³⁷Cs inputs during centuries. *Water Air*
 1067 *Soil Pollut* 186:263-271.

1068 Zacccone C, Cocozza C, Cheburkin AK, Shotyk W, Miano TM (2007c) Enrichment and
 1069 depletion of major and trace elements, and radionuclides in ombrotrophic raw peat and
 1070 corresponding humic acids. *Geoderma* 141:235-246.

1071 Zaccone C, D'Orazio V, Shotyk W, Miano TM (2009b) Chemical and spectroscopic
 1072 investigation of porewater and aqueous extracts of corresponding peat samples
 1073 throughout a bog core (Jura Mountains, Switzerland). *J Soils Sediments* 9:443-456.

1074 Zaccone C, et al. (2007d) Influence of extractant on quality and trace elements content of peat
 1075 humic acids. *Talanta* 73:820-830.

1076 Zaccone C, et al. (2011b) Evaluating the 'conservative' behaviour of stable isotopic ratios
 1077 ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$) in humic acids and their reliability as paleoenvironmental proxies
 1078 along a peat sequence. *Chem Geol* 285:124-132.

1079 Zaccone C, et al. (2014) Smouldering fire signatures in peat and their implications for
 1080 palaeoenvironmental reconstructions. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 137:134-146.

1081 Zaccone C, et al. (2017) Highly anomalous accumulation rates of C and N recorded by a relic,
 1082 free-floating peatland in Central Italy. *Sci Rep* 7:43040.

1083 Zaccone C, Gallipoli A, Cocozza C, Trevisan M, Miano TM (2009a) Distribution patterns of
 1084 selected PAHs in bulk peat and corresponding humic acids from a Swiss ombrotrophic
 1085 bog profile. *Plant Soil* 315:35-45.

1086 Zaccone C, Miano TM, Shotyk W (2007a) Qualitative comparison between raw peat and
 1087 related humic acids in an ombrotrophic bog profile. *Org Geochem* 38:151-160.

1088 Zaccone C, Miano TM, Shotyk W (2012) Interpreting the ash trend within ombrotrophic bog
 1089 profiles: atmospheric dust depositions vs. mineralization processes. The Etang de la
 1090 Gruère case study. *Plant Soil* 353:1-9.

1091 Zaccone C, Pabst S, Senesi GS, Shotyk W, Miano TM (2013) Comparative evaluation of the
 1092 mineralogical composition of Sphagnum peat and their corresponding humic acids, and
 1093 implications for understanding past dust depositions. *Quat Int* 306:80-87.

- 1094 Zaccone C, Said-Pullicino D, Gigliotti G, Miano TM (2008) Diagenetic trends in the phenolic
1095 constituents of Sphagnum-dominated peat and its corresponding humic acid fraction. *Org*
1096 *Geochem* 39:830-838.
- 1097 Zaccone C, Sanei H, Outridge PM, Miano TM (2011a) Studying the humification degree and
1098 evolution of peat down a Holocene bog profile (Inuvik, NW Canada): A petrological and
1099 chemical perspective. *Org Geochem* 42:399-408.
- 1100 Zolcinski J (1928) A new genetic physico-chemical theory of the formation of humus, peat
1101 and coal. The role and significance of biological factors in these processes. *Proc. and*
1102 *Papers of the First Intern. Congr. Soil Sci., Commission III and IV*, pp. 335-338.
- 1103 Zsolnay A (2003) Dissolved organic matter: artefacts, definitions, and functions. *Geoderma*
1104 113:187-209.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Examples of peat heterogeneity, showing the diversity of peat-forming plants even in the same slice (*i.e.*, same depth) (photos by C.Z.).

Figure 2. Location of the bogs selected for this study. Numbers in brackets indicate the number of peat samples collected from each core. **1)** Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (BI; $n = 4$); **2)** Sifton, Ontario, Canada (SIF; $n = 5$); **3)** Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (HB; $n = 4$); **4)** Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (FL; $n = 4$); **5)** Loch Laxford, Scotland (LL; $n = 4$); **6)** Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (EGR; $n = 4$); **7)** Posta Fibreno, Italy (PF; $n = 5$); **8)** Setya Alam, Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (KAL; $n = 5$); **9)** Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (KOP; $n = 5$).

Figure 3. Simplified scheme summarizing the trend of the most reliable humification proxies (according to results obtained in the present study).

Figure 4. 3D scatterplot showing the relationship among quantity of sample, cost and time required per analysis. Data are obtained averaging values obtained from 5 laboratories, and consider also sample preparation (if needed).

Figure 5. Van Krevelen diagrams. Relationships between O/C vs. H/C atomic ratios for the studied peat samples (a). In panel (b), the peat age, when available (Table 3), is provided as z -axis. When age is provided as third dimension (b), it becomes clear that variations in the humification degree cannot be attributed simply, or exclusively, to differences in the age of

1129 formation and, consequently, in peat depth. Corresponding bi-dimensional plots are reported in
1130 Supplementary Fig. S11.

Table 1. Some of the most commonly used definitions of humification (and/or humic substances). A more comprehensive review can be found in Hayes and Swift (2018).

Kononova (1966)	“...a complex two-stage process in which organic residues of plant and animal origin undergo profound transformations involving: 1. The decomposition of the original components of tissues and their conversion by micro-organisms into simpler chemical compounds and partially to products of complete mineralization (CO ₂ , NO ₂ , NO ₃ , NH ₃ , CH ₄ , H ₂ O, etc.). 2. The synthesis of organic compounds with the formation of high molecular-weight humus substances of specific nature”
Hayes and Swift (1978)	“Transformed products, humus, that bear no morphological resemblances to their source materials. These are generally referred to as humified products. The humified products are further subdivided into (a) amorphous polymeric, brown-coloured humic substances (differentiated on the basis of their solubility characteristics into [...]) and (b) compounds belonging to recognisable classes, such as carbohydrates, peptides, altered lignins, fats, waxes, cutins and cutans. These can be synthesised by microorganisms, or they can arise from alterations of similar materials in the original debris”
Stevenson (1982)	“...a series of high-molecular-weight, yellow to black substances formed by secondary synthesis reactions”
Aiken et al. (1985)	“...a category of naturally occurring, biogenic, heterogeneous organic substances that can be generally characterized as being yellow-to-black in color, of high molecular weight, and refractory”
Piccolo (2001)	“...supramolecular associations of self-assembling heterogeneous and relatively small molecules deriving from the degradation and decomposition of dead biological material”
Wershaw (2004)	“...a three step process of (1) degradation of components of plant tissue followed by (2) reassembly of the degradation products into NOM, and (3) the degradation of the NOM formed in step 2.”
Schnitzer and Monreal (2011)	“...a portion of the total SOM that is extracted and solubilized with dilute alkali”
IHSS (2018)	“...complex and heterogeneous mixtures of polydispersed materials formed in soils, sediments, and natural waters by biochemical and chemical reactions during the decay and transformation of plant and microbial remains (a process called humification)”

Table 2. A short (not exhaustive) summary of main humification proxies used for peat.

Method and/or proxy	References (examples)
<i>Physical and thermal approaches</i>	
Colour and turbidity of squeezed porewaters and structure of remaining solid material	Von Post, 1922, 1924
Water holding capacity	Segeberg, 1952
Bulk density	Boelter, 1965; Boelter, 1969; Päivänen, 1969; Silc and Stanek, 1977
Fiber content and dimensions	Farnham and Finney, 1965; Lynn et al., 1974
Loss of Ignition (LOI)	Bouyoucos, 1934; Leifeld et al., 2011
Calorific value	Salmi, 1949; Makila, 1980; Keys, 1983
Thermogravimetry	Schnitzer and Hoffman, 1966, 1967; Stewart et al., 1966; Lozbin and Smolyaninov, 1974; Lévesque and Dinel, 1978; Sheppard and Forgeron, 1987; Francioso et al., 2003
<i>Chemical and spectroscopic approaches</i>	
Colorimetric approaches; UV-Vis absorbance measurements of alkaline peat extracts	Melin and Odén, 1916; Kaila, 1956; Bahnson, 1968; Aaby and Tauber, 1975; Blackford and Chambers, 1993; Caseldine et al., 2000; Roos-Barraclough et al. 2004; Yeloff and Mauquoy, 2006; Klavins et al., 2008; Payne and Blackford, 2008; Chambers et al., 2011
Proportion of oxygen-containing functional groups	Schnitzer and Desjardins, 1965, 1966
Extraction of humified C	Schnitzer, 1967; Ciavatta et al., 1996; Cavani et al., 2003
ESR and EPR: abundance of free radicals	Schnitzer and Lévesque, 1979; Wikander and Nordén, 1988; Coccozza et al., 2003
Isoelectric focusing	Ciavatta et al., 1996; Cavani et al., 2003
Atomic ratios (C/N, O/C and H/C), determined using elemental analysis	Malmer and Holm, 1984; Meyer, 1994; Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; Zacccone et al., 2007a; Klavins et al., 2008; Zacccone et al., 2011a; Andersson et al., 2012; Biester et al., 2014; Schellekens et al., 2015; Magnan et al., 2018
Rock Eval© Pyrolysis	Outridge and Sanei, 2010; Zacccone et al., 2011a; Delarue et al., 2013; Biester et al., 2014
GC and (Pyr-)GC-MS; HPLC	Lehtonen and Ketola, 1990, 1993; González et al., 2003; Williams and

	Yavitt, 2003; Zacccone et al., 2008; Schellekens and Buurman, 2011; Biester et al., 2014; Philben et al., 2015; Schellekens et al., 2015
IRMS: signature of stable (mainly C, N) isotopes	Meyer, 1994; Zacccone et al., 2011b; Andersson et al., 2012; Biester et al., 2014; Krüger et al., 2015
Solid State NMR	Preston et al., 1987; Krosshavn et al., 1992; Baldock et al., 1997; González et al., 2003
Fluorescence properties of peat extracts, peat humic molecules and porewaters	Cocozza et al., 2003; Zacccone et al., 2007c, 2009b
FT-IR	Cocozza et al., 2003; González et al., 2003; Zacccone et al., 2007a, 2011a; Delarue et al., 2013; Biester et al., 2014
<i>Biological approaches</i>	
Biodegradation	Lévesque and Mathur, 1979; Gillespie et al., 2014
<i>Comparisons among (more than two) methods</i>	
	Kaila, 1956; Raitio and Huttunen, 1976; Stanek and Silc, 1977; Levesque and Mathur, 1979; Schnitzer and Lévesque, 1979; Tolonen, 1982; Klemetti and Keys, 1983; Preston et al., 1987; Blackford and Chambers, 1993; Francioso et al., 2003; González et al., 2003; Yeloff and Mauquoy, 2006; Klavins et al., 2008; Zacccone et al., 2011a,b; Hansson et al., 2013; Biester et al., 2014; Gillespie et al., 2014; Schellekens et al., 2015

Table 3. Details of the cores and samples included in this study.

Sample code	Depth	Age		References
	(cm)	(¹⁴ C yr BP)	(cal. yr BP; AD/BC)	
1) Bracebridge Inlet, Canada (BI) [core code: BI2b; collected in 2000]				
BI2b #32	31-32	4,585±60	3386-3093	Givelet et al., 2004
BI2b #36	35-36		3760 BC [†]	
BI2b #47	46-47	5,305±60	4255-3985 BC	
BI2b #52	51-52		4000 BC [†]	
2) Sifton, Ontario, Canada (SIF) [core code: SIF; collected in 2000]				
SIF #02	0-1.1	-785±45 (bomb pulse)	AD 1999-2000	Givelet et al., 2003
SIF #16	14.9-15.9	-1,460±55 (bomb pulse)	AD 1958-1959	
SIF #32	31.9-32.9		AD 1925±10	
SIF #47	47.8-48.9		AD 1888±25	
SIF #62	63.7-64.8		AD 1812±125	
3) Harberton, Argentina (HB) [core code: HB; collected in 1987]				
HB #5-6	5-6			Markgraf and Huber, 2010
HB #225-250	225-250			
HB #475-500	475-500			
HB #625-650	625-650	A volcanic ash at 678 cm depth is most likely the Hudson H1 ash (6850±160 ¹⁴ C BP).		
4) Fleck's Loch, Scotland (FL) [core code: 6b; collected in 1991]				
6b #03	2-3			Shotyk, 1997
6b #27	26-27			
6b #61	60-61			
6b #85	84-85			
5) Loch Laxford, Scotland (LL) [core code: 7c; collected in 1991]				
7c #01	0-1		AD 1991	Shotyk, 1997
7c #26	25-26			
7c #54	53-54			
7c #82	81-82			
6) Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (EGR) [core code: 2T; collected in 2005]				
2T #04	2.1-3.1		AD 2002±1	Zaccone et al., 2007b
2T #34	34.0-35.2		AD 1845±20	
2T #69	77.5-78.9			
2T #90	101.2-103.8	For comparison, sample 2T #91 (103.8-110.0 cm of depth) showed a radiocarbon age of 2,110±30 ¹⁴ C yr BP		
7) Posta Fibreno, Italy (PF) [core code: PF2; collected in 2012]				
PF2 #01	2.9-3.9		AD 2012±1	Zaccone et al., 2017
PF2 #27	24.6-25.4		AD 1996±2 [†]	
PF2 #62	57.3-58.4		AD 1976±3 [†]	
PF2 #97	87.6-88.4		AD 1966±4	
PF2 B1#09	110.6-112.5	130.9±0.3 pMC	AD 1963±4	

8) Kalimantan, Indonesia (KAL) [core code: SA6.5; collected in 1995]				
SA6.5 #01	0-3			Weiss et al., 2002
SA6.5 #17	170-190	3,960±80	4,417	
SA6.5 #28	390-410	8,280±100	9,279-9,395	
SA6.5 #40	610-630	9,060±100	10,177-10,358	
SA6.5 #58	960-980	20,350±130		
9) Kopouatai, New Zealand (KOP) [core code: KOP 1500; collected in 1995]				
KOP #03	0-3			Shearer, 1997
KOP #19	48-51			
KOP #37	135-140	For comparison, sample KOP #46 (180-185 cm of depth) seems to correspond to 700 BP (Kaharoa eruption)		
KOP #84	380-390			
KOP #115	690-700	For comparison, sample KOP #109 (630-640 cm of depth) seems to correspond to 6,150 BP (Tuhua eruption)		
† Interpolated ages (samples not directly measured)				

Table 4. Humification proxies considered in this study.

Type of index	Technique	Humification proxies
Physical		Depth, density
	Combustion	Ash content
	LB- γ spectrometry (^{210}Pb), AMS (^{14}C)	Age
Chemical	Flash combustion (Elemental Analysis)	Atomic ratios (C/N, H/C, O/C)
	Extraction and determination of humified C fractions	TEC, TEC/TOC, $\text{C}_{\text{HA}}/\text{TOC}$, $\text{C}_{\text{HA}}/\text{TEC}$, $\text{C}_{\text{HA}}/\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$ *
Spectroscopic	^{13}C CPMAS NMR	A/O-A, A/O,N-A, Ar/O,N-A ratios ‡
	UV-Vis	E_4/E_6 , SUVA_{254} , ϵ_{280} , ϵ_{540} †
	Molecular fluorescence	HIX ‡
	CF-IRMS	Signature of stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$)
Thermal	TG-DTA	Exo_1 , Exo_2 , $\text{Exo}_2/\text{Exo}_1$ §

* **TEC:** total extractable organic C; **TOC:** total organic carbon; **C_{HA}**, **C_{FA}**: organic C in the humic and fulvic fraction, respectively

‡ **A/O-A:** unsubstituted alkyl-C (0-45 ppm) / O substituted alkyl-C (65-110 ppm); **A/O,N-A:** unsubstituted alkyl-C (0-45 ppm) / O, N substituted alkyl-C (45-110 ppm); **Ar/O,N-A:** sp^2 -hybridized C (110-160 ppm) / O, N substituted alkyl-C (45-110 ppm)

† **E₄/E₆:** ratio of absorbance at 465 and 665 nm; **SUVA₂₅₄:** Specific UV Absorbance at 254 nm; **ϵ_{280} , ϵ_{540} :** Molar absorptivity (or molar extinction coefficient) at 280 and 540 nm, respectively

‡ **HIX:** ratio between the area in the upper quarter (435–480 nm) and the area in the lower quarter (300-345 nm) of the emission spectra

§ **Exo₁**, **Exo₂**, **Exo₂/Exo₁:** weight loss associated with the main exothermic peaks, and their ratio

Table 5. Minimum, maximum, average ($\pm$ st.dev.) and median values recorded for each proxy.

	Proxy		Min	Max	Average	St.dev.	Median
Physical	Depth	(cm)	0.5	970.0			
	Age	(yr)	1	>20,000			
	Density	(g cm ⁻³)	0.024	0.258	0.085	0.055	0.068
	Ash	(%)	0.2	85.2	8.2	16.1	2.4
Chemical	C/N	-	20	110	55	23	53
	H/C	-	0.91	1.88	1.41	0.17	1.41
	O/C	-	0.44	0.96	0.66	0.12	0.63
	TEC	(g kg ⁻¹)	43.0	281.7	116.3	40.1	114.9
	TEC/TOC	(%)	9.9	45.6	21.8	6.4	21.8
	C _{HA} /TEC	(%)	41.5	94.7	63.9	11.7	64.6
	C _{HA} /TOC	(%)	5.8	43.2	14.1	6.4	12.6
	C _{HA} /C _{FA}	-	0.7	17.8	2.4	2.7	1.8
Spectroscopic	A/O-A	-	0.16	1.79	0.55	0.41	0.39
	A/O,N-A	-	0.14	1.26	0.42	0.28	0.31
	Ar/O,N-A	-	0.08	0.85	0.22	0.18	0.16
	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	(‰)	-30.2	-23.2	-27.0	1.5	-27.0
	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$	(‰)	-6.8	1.7	-1.8	2.1	-1.6
	$\delta^{13}\text{C}/\delta^{15}\text{N}$	-	-309	288	4	71	10
	E ₄ /E ₆	-	1.3	9.7	5.3	2.1	5.4
	SUVA ₂₅₄	(L g OC ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	4.2	42.0	12.0	6.8	10.6
	ϵ_{280}	(L mole OC ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	37.7	428.5	125.3	70.9	110.8
	ϵ_{540}	(L mole OC ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	0.8	32.3	6.9	5.6	5.7
	HIX	-	2.6	119.8	9.6	18.0	6.3
Thermal	Exo ₁	(%)	4.2	67.1	46.1	11.8	47.8
	Exo ₂	(%)	9.1	58.8	33.5	12.1	34.5
	Exo ₂ /Exo ₁	-	0.15	2.17	0.82	0.46	0.75

Table 6. Statistical correlations and significance among the humification proxies under investigation. More details are reported in Supplementary Table S1.

	Physical parameters				Chemical parameters								Spectroscopic parameters											Thermal parameters		
	Depth	Age	Density	Ash	C/N	H/C	O/C	TEC	TEC/TOC	C _{HA} /TEC	C _{HA} /TOC	C _{HA} /C _{FA}	A/O-A	A/O ₂ N-A	Ar/O ₂ N-A	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁵ N	δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	E ₄ /E ₆	SUVA ₂₅₄	ε ₂₈₀	ε ₅₄₀	HIX	ExO ₁	ExO ₂	ExO ₂ /ExO ₁
Depth																										
Age	***																									
Density	NS	**																								
Ash	*	NS	*																							
C/N	NS	NS	*	**																						
H/C	**	NS	*	NS	NS																					
O/C	*	**	***	NS	**	***																				
TEC	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	***	***																			
TEC/TOC	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**	***																		
C _{HA} /TEC	***	*	**	NS	NS	***	***	*	*																	
C _{HA} /TOC	NS	NS	**	NS	NS	***	***	***	***	***																
C _{HA} /C _{FA}	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	***	*	***	***	***	***															
A/O-A	***	***	***	*	NS	***	***	*	NS	***	**	***														
A/O ₂ N-A	***	***	***	*	NS	***	***	*	NS	***	***	***	***													
Ar/O ₂ N-A	***	***	**	*	NS	***	***	*	NS	***	**	***	***	***												
δ ¹³ C	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS											
δ ¹⁵ N	*	**	*	**	**	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	NS										
δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	NS	NS	NS	NS	*	**	NS	*	*	*	*	***	NS	NS	**	NS	NS									
E ₄ /E ₆	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	***	**	***	**	*	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS								
SUVA ₂₅₄	*	NS	*	NS	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*	NS	**	**							
ε ₂₈₀	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*	NS	**	**	***						
ε ₅₄₀	**	*	*	NS	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	NS	NS	*	NS	***	***					
HIX	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	***	*	***	***	**	***	***	**	**	***	NS	NS	***	NS	***	***	***				
ExO ₁	***	***	**	***	NS	***	***	NS	NS	***	NS	*	***	***	***	NS	**	NS	NS	**	**	**	NS			
ExO ₂	NS	NS	NS	***	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	**	*	NS	NS	NS	***	***	***	**	*	NS		
ExO ₂ /ExO ₁	***	***	**	NS	NS	***	***	*	NS	***	**	***	***	***	***	NS	*	NS	*	***	***	***	**	***	***	
	Depth	Age	Density	Ash	C/N	H/C	O/C	TEC	TEC/TOC	C _{HA} /TEC	C _{HA} /TOC	C _{HA} /C _{FA}	A/O-A	A/O ₂ N-A	Ar/O ₂ N-A	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁵ N	δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	E ₄ /E ₆	SUVA ₂₅₄	ε ₂₈₀	ε ₅₄₀	HIX	ExO ₁	ExO ₂	ExO ₂ /ExO ₁

***, **, *: $p < 0.001$, 0.01 and 0.05 , respectively; NS: not significant

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

**Advances in the determination of humification degree in peat since Achard (1786):
applications in geochemical and paleoenvironmental studies**

Claudio Zaccone*, César Plaza, Claudio Ciavatta, Teodoro M. Miano, and William Shotyk

Supporting Information Appendix

(15 pages, 11 figures, 2 tables)

- 1. Variation of humification proxies in the peat bog profiles selected for this study**
- 2. Statistical correlations**
- 3. Sample availability for peat slice: a simulation**
- 4. Peat humification vs. depth**
- 5. Variation of H/C and O/C atomic ratios vs. peat age**

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: claudio.zaccone@unifg.it; *URL:* <http://www.claudiozaccone.net>; ORCID: 0000-0003-4391-354X

1. Variation of humification proxies in the peat bog profiles selected for this study

SI Figure S1. Variation of density and ash content trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S2. Variation of atomic ratios (C/N, H/C and O/C) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S3. Variation of humified C (TEC/TOC and C_{HA}/TOC) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S4. Variation of humified C (C_{HA}/TEC , C_{HA}/C_{FA}) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S5. Variation of NMR ratios (A/O-A, A/O,N-A, Ar/O,N-A) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S6. Variation of stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) signature in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S7. Variation of UV-Vis indices (SUVA₂₅₄, ϵ_{540} and E_4/E_6) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. The trend of ϵ_{280} was not reported, but it is highly correlated with both SUVA₂₅₄ and ϵ_{540} (see Table S1). Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S8. Variation of the humification index (HIX) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

SI Figure S9. Variation of thermal indices (Exo₁, Exo₂ and Exo₂/Exo₁) trend in the peat bog profiles selected for this study. Bracebridge Inlet, Bathurst Island, Canada (*BI*); Sifton, Ontario, Canada (*SIF*); Harberton, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina (*HB*); Fleck's Loch, Island of Foula, Shetland Islands, Scotland (*FL*); Loch Laxford, Scotland (*LL*); Etang de la Gruère, Switzerland (*EGR*); Posta Fibreno, Italy (*PF*); Kalimantan (Borneo), Indonesia (*KAL*); Kopouatai, North Island, New Zealand (*KOP*).

2. Statistical correlations

SI Table S1. Linear regression coefficients (R) and significance (p) among the humification proxies under investigation. Significant ($p < 0.05$) correlations are reported in red.

	Physical proxies				Chemical proxies									Spectroscopic proxies											Thermal proxies		
	Depth	Age	Density	Ash	C/N	H/C	O/C	TEC	TEC/TOC	C _{HA} /TEC	C _{HA} /TEC	C _{HA} /C _{FA}	A/O-A	A/O,N-A	Ar/O,N-A	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁵ N	δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	E ₄ /E ₆	SUVA ₂₅₄	ε ₂₈₀	ε ₅₄₀	HIX	Exo ₁	Exo ₂	Exo ₂ /Exo ₁	
Depth																											
Age	0.8400 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)																										
Density	0.3230 <i>p</i> =0.076 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.5788 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =23)																									
Ash	0.3740 <i>p</i> =0.017 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.1642 <i>p</i> =0.443 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.4420 <i>p</i> =0.013 (<i>n</i> =31)																								
C/N	-0.0835 <i>p</i> =0.608 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3635 <i>p</i> =0.081 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.4177 <i>p</i> =0.019 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.4706 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)																							
H/C	-0.4601 <i>p</i> =0.003 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3660 <i>p</i> =0.079 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.3552 <i>p</i> =0.050 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.1759 <i>p</i> =0.277 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0323 <i>p</i> =0.843 (<i>n</i> =40)																						
O/C	-0.3441 <i>p</i> =0.030 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5613 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.6565 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.1837 <i>p</i> =0.256 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5251 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7275 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)																					
TEC	0.0264 <i>p</i> =0.871 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0020 <i>p</i> =0.993 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3983 <i>p</i> =0.026 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.2417 <i>p</i> =0.133 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1616 <i>p</i> =0.319 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6256 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6020 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)																				
TEC/TOC	-0.0609 <i>p</i> =0.709 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1052 <i>p</i> =0.625 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3124 <i>p</i> =0.087 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.2880 <i>p</i> =0.072 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1499 <i>p</i> =0.356 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5204 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4838 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9822 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)																			
C _{HA} /TEC	0.6131 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4418 <i>p</i> =0.031 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.4676 <i>p</i> =0.008 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.0622 <i>p</i> =0.703 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0399 <i>p</i> =0.807 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8076 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6362 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5083 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3796 <i>p</i> =0.016 (<i>n</i> =40)																		
C _{HA} /TOC	0.1945 <i>p</i> =0.229 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0676 <i>p</i> =0.754 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.4026 <i>p</i> =0.025 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.2395 <i>p</i> =0.187 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0392 <i>p</i> =0.810 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7178 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5891 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9537 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9049 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7098 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)																	
C _{HA} /C _{FA}	0.3148 <i>p</i> =0.048 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2570 <i>p</i> =0.225 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3355 <i>p</i> =0.065 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.0700 <i>p</i> =0.668 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2070 <i>p</i> =0.200 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7027 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4653 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6980 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5933 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7279 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8283 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)																
A/O-A	0.7291 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7966 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.7098 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.3284 <i>p</i> =0.039 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1725 <i>p</i> =0.287 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7133 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7107 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3789 <i>p</i> =0.016 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2345 <i>p</i> =0.145 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8205 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5168 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6456 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)															
A/O,N-A	0.7106 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7936 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.7340 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.3290 <i>p</i> =0.038 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1913 <i>p</i> =0.237 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7176 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7267 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3928 <i>p</i> =0.012 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2486 <i>p</i> =0.122 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8220 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5271 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6424 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9977 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)														
Ar/O,N-A	0.6996 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7935 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.4981 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.3736 <i>p</i> =0.018 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0188 <i>p</i> =0.908 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7045 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5649 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3772 <i>p</i> =0.016 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2445 <i>p</i> =0.128 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7451 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5228 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7700 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9004 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8900 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)													
δ ¹³ C	0.1031 <i>p</i> =0.527 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2034 <i>p</i> =0.340 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.1876 <i>p</i> =0.312 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.3567 <i>p</i> =0.024 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2119 <i>p</i> =0.189 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3140 <i>p</i> =0.048 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0476 <i>p</i> =0.771 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1744 <i>p</i> =0.282 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1330 <i>p</i> =0.413 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1787 <i>p</i> =0.270 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2144 <i>p</i> =0.184 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3337 <i>p</i> =0.035 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1129 <i>p</i> =0.488 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0865 <i>p</i> =0.596 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1786 <i>p</i> =0.270 (<i>n</i> =40)												
δ ¹⁵ N	0.3455 <i>p</i> =0.029 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6282 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3899 <i>p</i> =0.030 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.4388 <i>p</i> =0.005 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5176 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1723 <i>p</i> =0.288 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4505 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0873 <i>p</i> =0.592 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0022 <i>p</i> =0.989 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.1899 <i>p</i> =0.240 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0922 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2082 <i>p</i> =0.197 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4728 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4695 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4061 <i>p</i> =0.009 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2192 <i>p</i> =0.174 (<i>n</i> =40)											
δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	0.1285 <i>p</i> =0.429 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0522 <i>p</i> =0.809 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.0677 <i>p</i> =0.717 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.2522 <i>p</i> =0.116 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3893 <i>p</i> =0.013 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4569 <i>p</i> =0.003 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0576 <i>p</i> =0.724 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3745 <i>p</i> =0.017 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3315 <i>p</i> =0.037 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3466 <i>p</i> =0.028 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4641 <i>p</i> =0.003 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6317 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2235 <i>p</i> =0.166 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2162 <i>p</i> =0.180 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4130 <i>p</i> =0.008 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2544 <i>p</i> =0.113 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1378 <i>p</i> =0.396 (<i>n</i> =40)										
E ₄ /E ₆	-0.0739 <i>p</i> =0.651 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0403 <i>p</i> =0.852 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.2932 <i>p</i> =0.109 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.3758 <i>p</i> =0.017 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1011 <i>p</i> =0.535 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5424 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5097 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5423 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5153 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3569 <i>p</i> =0.024 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4870 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3065 <i>p</i> =0.054 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2581 <i>p</i> =0.108 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2717 <i>p</i> =0.090 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2094 <i>p</i> =0.195 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2354 <i>p</i> =0.144 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0087 <i>p</i> =0.957 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0845 <i>p</i> =0.604 (<i>n</i> =40)									

SUVA ₂₅₄	0.3915 <i>p</i> =0.012 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3160 <i>p</i> =0.133 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3547 <i>p</i> =0.050 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.1530 <i>p</i> =0.346 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0027 <i>p</i> =0.987 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8135 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6600 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7661 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6622 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7948 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8604 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8774 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7008 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6963 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7221 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3693 <i>p</i> =0.019 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2969 <i>p</i> =0.063 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4989 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4347 <i>p</i> =0.005 (<i>n</i> =40)							
ε ₂₈₀	0.3707 <i>p</i> =0.019 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2995 <i>p</i> =0.155 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.3537 <i>p</i> =0.051 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.1745 <i>p</i> =0.281 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0151 <i>p</i> =0.926 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8030 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6603 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7765 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6777 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7864 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8644 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8577 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6809 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6766 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6931 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3579 <i>p</i> =0.023 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2849 <i>p</i> =0.075 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4758 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4487 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9974 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)						
ε ₃₄₀	0.5056 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4399 <i>p</i> =0.031 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.4033 <i>p</i> =0.024 (<i>n</i> =31)	0.0612 <i>p</i> =0.708 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.0058 <i>p</i> =0.972 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7053 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5709 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6729 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5654 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7697 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7953 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8771 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7471 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7398 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7890 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1850 <i>p</i> =0.253 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2919 <i>p</i> =0.068 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4972 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.1839 <i>p</i> =0.256 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9292 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9205 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)					
HIX	0.1390 <i>p</i> =0.392 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.1724 <i>p</i> =0.420 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.2980 <i>p</i> =0.104 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.0331 <i>p</i> =0.839 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.1806 <i>p</i> =0.265 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5501 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.3513 <i>p</i> =0.026 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6930 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6162 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5116 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7680 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9471 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4818 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4827 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6590 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2658 <i>p</i> =0.097 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2029 <i>p</i> =0.209 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6369 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2646 <i>p</i> =0.099 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7912 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7730 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8069 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)				
Exo ₁	-0.7209 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8377 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)	-0.5860 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.5926 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2595 <i>p</i> =0.106 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5370 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6174 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1365 <i>p</i> =0.401 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0109 <i>p</i> =0.947 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5703 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2375 <i>p</i> =0.140 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4049 <i>p</i> =0.010 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8283 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8197 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8043 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0234 <i>p</i> =0.886 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4570 <i>p</i> =0.003 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0696 <i>p</i> =0.669 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0568 <i>p</i> =0.728 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4618 <i>p</i> =0.003 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.4403 <i>p</i> =0.004 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.5489 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2700 <i>p</i> =0.092 (<i>n</i> =40)			
Exo ₂	0.1604 <i>p</i> =0.323 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0480 <i>p</i> =0.824 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.2117 <i>p</i> =0.253 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.5266 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0208 <i>p</i> =0.864 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8130 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6302 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7165 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6572 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6556 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7381 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5222 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4236 <i>p</i> =0.006 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4322 <i>p</i> =0.005 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3298 <i>p</i> =0.038 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.2852 <i>p</i> =0.074 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.0057 <i>p</i> =0.972 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3016 <i>p</i> =0.059 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6709 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6924 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7033 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5091 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3819 <i>p</i> =0.015 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1386 <i>p</i> =0.394 (<i>n</i> =40)		
Exo ₂ /Exo ₁	0.7779 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7261 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =24)	0.5420 <i>p</i> =0.002 (<i>n</i> =31)	-0.2201 <i>p</i> =0.172 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1014 <i>p</i> =0.533 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.7989 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.6726 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3880 <i>p</i> =0.013 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2631 <i>p</i> =0.101 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8187 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5193 <i>p</i> =0.001 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6151 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9275 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.9149 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.8973 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.1296 <i>p</i> =0.425 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3367 <i>p</i> =0.034 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.2593 <i>p</i> =0.106 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.3322 <i>p</i> =0.036 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7069 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.6929 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.7272 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.4353 <i>p</i> =0.005 (<i>n</i> =40)	-0.8270 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	0.5425 <i>p</i> =0.000 (<i>n</i> =40)	
	Depth	Age	Density	Ash	C/N	H/C	O/C	TEC	TEC/TOC	C _{HA} /TEC	CH _A /TEC	CH _A /C _{FA}	A/O-A	A/O ₂ N-A	Ar/O ₂ N-A	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁵ N	δ ¹³ C/δ ¹⁵ N	E ₄ /E ₆	SUVA ₂₅₄	ε ₂₈₀	ε ₃₄₀	HIX	Exo ₁	Exo ₂	Exo ₂ /Exo ₁

3. Sample availability for peat slice: a simulation

SI Table S2. Availability of sample for peat slice. Two sampler devices are compared. This simulation was carried out considering a peat dry density of 0.068 g/cm³ (median value; this study; Table 5), a water content of 90%, and a resolution (thickness) of 1 cm.

Belarus corer	Wardenaar corer
Section: semi-cylinder	Section: square
Size: d = 10 cm; thickness: 1 cm	Size: 12×12×1 cm
Volume = 39.3 cm ³	Volume = 144 cm ³
Dry weight: 2.7 g	Dry weight: 9.8 g

4. Peat humification vs. depth

SI Figure S10. Example of contrasting variation of peat humification with depth throughout two cores (MIL = Mildred; AZ = Anzac) from Alberta, Canada. It is possible to observe that, while the MIL core shows a humification degree that visually increases with depth, the AZ core is characterized by some poorly humified peat underlying well decomposed layers (photo by C.Z.). More details about these cores are reported in Magnan et al. (2018).

5. Variation of H/C and O/C atomic ratios vs. peat age

SI Figure S11. Variation of H/C and O/C atomic ratios vs. peat age. Age dates, when available, are reported in Table 3.