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ABSTRACT
Titanium carbide attracts growing interest as a substrate for graphene growth and as a component of the composite carbon materials for supercapacitors, an electrode material for metal-air batteries. For all these applications, the surface chemistry of titanium carbide is highly relevant and being, however, insufficiently explored especially at atomic level is a subject of our studies. Applying X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to clean (111) and (755) surfaces of TiC, we were able to obtain the detailed spectroscopic pattern containing information on the plasmon structure, shake up satellite, the peak asymmetry and, finally, surface core level shift (SCLS) in C 1s spectra. The latter is essential for further precise studies of chemical reactions. Later on, we studied interface between TiC (111) and (755) and graphene and found the SCLS variation due to strong chemical interaction between graphene and substrate. The latter is also reflected in the peculiar band structure of graphene probed by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES). Based on LEED data the structure is close to (7√3×7√3)R30°, with graphene being slightly corrugated. We found that similarly to the graphene on metals, the chemical interaction between graphene and TiC can be weakened by means of intercalation of oxygen atoms underneath of graphene.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: yashina@inorg.chem.msu.ru (Lada Yashina)
1. Introduction

Titanium carbide (TiC) is an iconic example of the so-called metallic “interstitial compounds”. The covalent radius of the carbon atom is almost twice less than that of titanium, and carbon atoms occupy octahedral holes in the fcc metal lattice, forming a rock salt structure. Upon carbon insertion, the metal–metal distances in TiC become slightly higher, by 0.1 Å, and comprise 3.05 Å [1]. The Ti-C bond is covalent with a notable contribution of ionicity due to a charge transfer from titanium to carbon. Such a mixed bonding results in a unique combination of material properties, which are rather different from those of metallic titanium, such as high melting point of about 3100°C against 1670°C for Ti, high hardness of 2900–3200 kg/mm², i.e. 30 times higher than that of Ti. Besides, metallic conductivity is preserved to a certain degree due to overlapping of d orbitals of the adjacent Ti atoms. Namely, the electrical resistivity of TiC is 68 µΩcm against 43 µΩcm for metallic Ti.

In addition to the physical properties discussed above, the incorporation of carbon atoms into titanium lattice and their ordering give rise to drastic changes in chemical reactivity. Namely, moderate chemical reactivity of TiC in comparison to that of metallic titanium leads to much better catalytic activity similar to that of noble metals in such processes as transformation of hydrocarbons and desulfurization reactions [2]. Together with some other carbides, TiC is an attractive substrate for graphene [3-5] or carbon nanoribbons [6] if vicinal surfaces are used. Currently titanium carbides are widely studied as a component of composite carbon materials for supercapacitors [7, 8] and as a promising electrode material for Li-air batteries [9, 10].

The surface chemistry of TiC plays an essential role in all cases mentioned above, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) appears to be the most powerful tool to probe it. The spectral pattern of the Ti 2p and C 1s core levels for clean surface should be investigated in detail and properly quantified. This would allow to avoid misinterpretation and, finally, to precisely evaluate the surface reactivity of TiC. Titanium is a light 3d element, therefore several spectral features are expected for its 2p peak in metallic Ti-containing compounds, such as shake-up satellites, developed plasmon structures, peak asymmetry, and the surface core level shift (SCLS). For the spectra related to single crystals, photoelectron diffraction phenomena should be also taken into account. Consistent interpretation of the TiC photoemission spectra still remains a challenge due to the complexity of their quantification and peak shape analysis, especially for high resolution spectra.
Fortunately, titanium carbide is a well-studied material with respect to its chemical bonding and surface structure [1, 11, 12]; such data provide a platform for the XP-spectra interpretation. For TiC possessing a formal $d^0$ configuration, in contrast to TiN with a formal $d^1$ configuration and high density of states at the Fermi level, such spectral features as shake-up satellites, dominating for TiN [13, 14], and peak asymmetry are questionable, it is anticipated that they should be much less pronounced [15]. It should be noted that such formal presentation is far from reality due to high contribution of covalent bond. Certain information on plasmon structure is provided in the earlier EELS studies [16]. The SCLS of about -0.3 eV was found in the C 1s spectra of many metallic carbides both for the (100) and the (111) surfaces [17, 18], however, the information on TiC (111) is still missing. Clean TiC surfaces are known to be rather unstable towards oxidation and sensitive to the base pressure level in the vacuum chamber, and oxidation-related peaks overlap with the shake-up satellites as well as the surface plasmon spectral features.

Graphene grown on singular and different vicinal TiC surfaces has been studied earlier by LEED and STM to uncover the atomic structure of the system [3-5]. Carbon nanoribbons can be grown on the vicinal surfaces like (755) when the surface coverage is below 100% [6]. However, the electronic properties of these systems have not been deeply explored. Moreover, even less is known on the interaction between graphene and its substrate.

In the present paper, we focus on the photoemission study of clean and graphene covered TiC (111) and (755) surfaces. First, we consider contributions of different effects like shake-up satellites, peak asymmetry, plasmons, and, finally, the surface core level shift (SCLS). Second, for the graphene-covered surface we study the structure, chemical bonding, and electronic properties. We found the SCLS variation to be caused by graphene growth, which evidences strong interaction between graphene and TiC due to partial hybridization of the C 2$p$ and Ti 3$d$ orbitals. This interaction is clearly reflected in the band structure comprehensively evaluated by ARPES. Moreover, the graphene-substrate coupling is strongly supported by graphene substrate decoupling upon oxygen intercalation. Third, we observed that covered by graphene highly reactive titanium carbide surfaces become essentially more robust against oxidation that makes them further interesting for industrial applications.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Clean TiC (111) and (755) surfaces
2.1.1. Surface structure and chemical bonding

TiC (111) is a polar surface that can be either C or Ti-terminated; the latter situation is commonly observed after surface preparation in UHV by heat treatment [19]. The TiC (755) surface is a vicinal plane that deviates from the (111) plane by about 9°, i.e. it has a stepped structure with (111) terraces that are approximately 1.3 nm wide. The corresponding atomic model is shown in Fig. 1a. The LEED pattern for the (111) surface displayed in Fig. 1b corresponds to a hexagonal (1x1) atomic structure. For the TiC (755) surface the presence of atomic steps causes splitting of spots and appearance of vertical stripes [6] (Fig. 1c). A simulated LEED pattern shown in Fig. 1d is in a good accordance with the experimental observations.

Figure 1. Atomic model for the TiC (755) surface (a), LEED patterns for TiC (111) (b), TiC (755) (c) obtained at an electron energy of 125 eV; simulated pattern for the (755) surface (d).

Figures 2b and 2c display the near-edge X-ray absorption (NEXAFS) spectra for the C-K and Ti-L core levels, correspondingly. The photon absorption is accompanied by transfer of core shell electrons to unoccupied valence band states above the Fermi level. Therefore, the absorption spectra can be interpreted on the basis of the corresponding molecular orbital or band-structure calculations above the Fermi level in the excited state. Generally, strict theoretical description of X-ray absorption process in solid is not straightforward since it is difficult to describe the excited system with the core hole adequately within the standard density functional theory (DFT). One can take into account that, first, for titanium carbide the bonding is dominated by a strong directional covalent Ti-C bond [11, 20]. Second, transfer of electrons from Ti to C takes place, thus implying a certain degree of ionic bonding. Third, as it follows from Fig. 2a the DOS does not vanish at the Fermi energy, therefore giving the compounds a metallic character. The dominating covalent bonding allows one to interpret the absorption spectra in terms of molecular orbitals in the first approximation as shown in
According to this simplified approach, the low energy feature in the C-K spectrum in Fig. 2b corresponds to a resonant transition from the C-K core level to the unoccupied $\pi^*$ $2t_{2g}$ molecular orbital composed by the Ti $t_{2g}$ and C $2p$ atomic orbitals; other features and their energies are summarized in Table 1. This strongly simplified molecular orbital scheme is useful to give a hint on hybrid orbital shape and generally agrees well with the partial densities of states obtained by our DFT modeling in the initial state approximation of bulk TiC as presented in Fig. 2a. The calculated DOS above the Fermi level, in turn, describes well C-K absorption spectrum and, below the Fermi level, reasonably fits the valence band shape presented in the left panel of Fig. 2b. Quantitative comparison of the corresponding energies based on our DFT modelling is given in Table 1. Minor discrepancies in energies are probably attributed to the final state contribution.

For the Ti-L absorption spectrum in Fig. 2c the spin-orbit doublet structure should be taken into account, since it screens the features at energies higher than 10 eV above the Fermi
level. Furthermore, the NEXAFS spectroscopy will be applied as a complimentary method to photoemission spectroscopy in order to prove the graphene formation and to probe the nature of interaction between TiC and graphene grown on its surface.

2.1.2. Photoemission spectra: background and plasmons

The X-ray photoemission spectra are presented in Fig. 3 for TiC (755) and in Fig. S1 of the Supporting information for TiC (111). For the correct interpretation of the background we recorded the spectra in a wide range of binding energies. The background was described by Tougaard three-parameter universal cross section for transition metals using parameters $C=1000$, $D=1330$ as determined from the REELS data [22], whereas $B$ was varied since it may be influenced by photoelectron diffraction effects. This procedure, however, does not provide a proper description of plasmon losses for the metallic TiC. Plasmons are caused by interaction of the emitted electrons with free electron gas. During photoemission free electrons are excited into specific states that can be described as collective oscillations with certain characteristic frequencies, as shown previously for different metals, e.g. Al [23], Mg [24], Ag, Au [25] etc.

As clearly seen in Fig. 3, the plasmon structure is pronounced both in C 1s and Ti 2p spectra. In detail, for the C 1s core level spectrum in Fig. 3a the plasmon peaks are well-resolved. This is clearly seen in the upper inset. To distinguish between the bulk and surface plasmon positions we used the EELS data reported earlier in Ref [16]. Plasmon losses are marked in Fig. 3a. The peaks corresponding to the surface plasmons are at binding energies lower than the corresponding bulk plasmon approximately by $\sqrt{2}$ times. The spectral features are summarized in Table 2. The same plasmon loss features can be found in Ti 2s spectrum, however, they are much less intense (see Supporting Information, Fig. S2). For the Ti 2p spectrum in Fig. 3b the situation is more complicated because of the overlaying losses that correspond to the $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ spin orbit components. Nevertheless, the plasmon peaks are located at the same binding energies with respect to the main $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ peaks. One should stress that the plasmon structure for (755) is the same as that for the (111) surface, thus demonstrating negligible effect of the atomic steps. It is important to note that the intensity of the plasmon peaks is not included into quantification of the surface composition.

Table 1. A summary of the features in the NEXAFS spectra and the valence band presented in Fig. 2.
FeaturePhoton energy/binding energy, eVEnergy from $E_F$, eVAssignment from molecular orbitals for the TiC$_6^{20-}$ cluster [21]The calculated DOS feature and assignment, eV

### Occupied states Valence band

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Photon energy/binding energy, eV</th>
<th>Energy from $E_F$, eV</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>The calculated DOS feature and assignment, eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.6-12</td>
<td>9.6-12</td>
<td>$1e_g = C\ 2s + Ti\ 3d$; $1t_{1u} = C\ 2s + Ti\ 4p$; $1a_{1g} = C\ 2s + Ti\ 4s$;</td>
<td>-10.7 eV (Ti 4s) -9.7 (Ti 4p3d + C 2s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5-6.4</td>
<td>4.5-6.4</td>
<td>$2e_g = C\ 2p\ \sigma + Ti\ 3d$; $2t_{1u} = C\ 2p\ \sigma + Ti\ 4p$; $2a_{1g} = C\ 2s + Ti\ 2s$;</td>
<td>-3.8 (Ti 4s3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>$t_{1g} = C\ 2p\ \pi$; $t_{2g} = C\ 2p\ \pi + Ti\ 4p$; $1t_{2g} = C\ 2p\ \pi + Ti\ 3d$;</td>
<td>-2.4 (Ti 4p3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unoccupied states C-K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Photon energy/binding energy, eV</th>
<th>Energy from $E_F$, eV</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>The calculated DOS feature and assignment, eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>285.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$\pi^* 2t_{2g} = Ti\ 3d + C\ 2p$;</td>
<td>3.1, 3.7 (Ti 3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$\sigma^* 3e_g = Ti\ 3d + \pi C\ 2p$;</td>
<td>5.6, 6.6 (Ti 3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>$\sigma^* 3a_{1g} = Ti\ 4s + \pi C\ 2p$;</td>
<td>14.2 (Ti 4p3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>$\pi^* 4t_{1u} = Ti\ 4s + \pi C\ 2p$;</td>
<td>16.5 (Ti 4p + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unoccupied states Ti-L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Photon energy/binding energy, eV</th>
<th>Energy from $E_F$, eV</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>The calculated DOS feature and assignment, eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>457.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$L_{III}\ \pi^* 2t_{2g}$;</td>
<td>3.1, 3.7 (Ti 3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>$L_{III}\ \sigma^* 3e_g$;</td>
<td>5.6, 6.6 (Ti 3d + C 2p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>$L_{II}\ \pi^* 2t_{2g}$;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>$L_{II}\ \sigma^* 3e_g$;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.3. Photoemission spectra: Shake-up satellite

The main Ti 2p doublet has a complex shape. First, the 2p$_{1/2}$ to 2p$_{3/2}$ intensity ratio is not 1:2; it depends on electron kinetic energies. In detail, the kinetic energies of 2p$_{3/2}$ electrons of 200, 150, 100, 56 eV show the ratios of 0.66, 0.65, 0.48 and 0.87, correspondingly. We suppose that this ratio is strongly influenced by photoelectron diffraction. Second, detailed inspection reveals that this doublet cannot be fitted satisfactory using a single doublet of Gaussian-Lorenzian convolution peak shape even after introducing the Doniah-Sunjic peak asymmetry and treating the contamination-related features accurately. The peak asymmetry seems to be reasonably low ($\alpha=0.08$) taking into account the low electron density of states at the Fermi level.
Figure 3. C 1s (a), and Ti 2p (b) X-ray photoemission spectra for the clean TiC (755) surface obtained at an electron kinetic energy of 200 eV in a wide range of binding energies. The inserts show the plasmon structure and the main peak shape.

One of the possible contributions that have not yet been discussed above is a shake-up satellite. Strong satellites have been observed earlier in photoemission spectra of 3d metals.
and some of their compounds like TiN [13, 14]. According to Hüfner [26], a shake-up is a peak with lower kinetic energy than that of the main line, which corresponds to a discrete intrinsic electron–electron interaction. It is described by the Kotani-Toyozawa model [27]. According to this model, for 3d metals the coulomb interaction of the core photohole with the valence d band leads to a situation when an empty d level is pulled below the valence band maximum, thus giving formally an additional positive charge. This state is called a two-hole state (a core photohole plus a hole due to the empty d level). It can be screened in two different ways: (i) by a charge moving rapidly from the broad sp conduction band or (ii) by a charge transferring from the sp band to the lowered d level. The system response to the core hole is instantaneous and will result in two final states of the emitted photoelectron: a ground state (the main peak) and an excited state (the shake-up). Since the localized d level is more efficient in screening than the extended sp band, this second final state has a lower binding energy than the first one. The second scenario results in the main photoemission peak, whereas the first mechanism gives rise to a shake-up satellite. In our case these two final states correspond to (i) the screening of the 3d^2 hole by Ti 4s^2 and C 2s^22p^2 electrons or (ii) the filling of the 3d^2 hole with Ti 4s^2 or C 2s^22p^2 electrons.

There are different ways to figure out whether the shake-up satellite contributes to the spectrum [28]. One of them is resonant photoemission which is excited by a photon with an energy corresponding to a certain electron transition [29]. In our case one can use the Fano resonance conditions, when an electron emitted from the valence band interferes with the Auger CVV electrons at a photon energy corresponding to an electron transition from 3p to 3d orbital. In this case after the relaxation the final state is the same as for the shake-up satellite. It can be described by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{hv} + 3p^63d^2 & \rightarrow 3p^53d^3 \rightarrow 3p^53d^1[4s] + e^- (E_{\text{kin}} = E_{3p} - E_{\text{sat}}), \\
\text{hv} + 3d^2 & \rightarrow 3d^1[4s] + e^- (E_{\text{kin}} = \text{hv} - E_{\text{sat}}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{hv} \) is the photon energy, \( E_{\text{kin}} \) is the kinetic energy of the photoelectron, \( E_{\text{sat}} \) is the satellite energy.

According to the resonant photoemission data obtained for TiC in Ref. [15], the Fano resonance is indeed revealed at energy loss of 2-3 eV relative to the 3d peak; however, it is difficult to determine its exact energy because the resonance is much weaker than that for pure titanium.

To sum up, if an additional feature is introduced into the Ti 2p spectra, the resulting peak has a relative intensity of 7% and is split by 2.2 eV from the main line. We assign it to
the shake-up satellite described above. Compared to the spectrum for TiN, the shake-up peak intensity is essentially lower in our case due to the difference in the 3d band population (formal Ti$^{4+}$ against formal Ti$^{3+}$)[1] and lower electron density of states at the Fermi level. One should stress that all spectral features for the (755) and (111) surfaces are fully identical. Their comparison is given in Table 2.

2.1.4. Photoemission spectra: surface core level shift (SCLS)

One of the typical features for clean surfaces of many metals and ionic crystals is the so-called surface core-level shift (SCLS), which is revealed due to the fact that the electron density of the undercoordinated atoms at the surface differs from that of the bulk atoms even in the absence of any surface reconstruction. Detailed analysis of the Ti 2$p$ and C 1$s$ spectra obtained at the ultimate resolution demonstrates that the SCLS of +0.25 eV does occur for carbon atoms. The spectra obtained for the (755) and (111) surfaces are exhibited in Fig. 4a,b. In detail, both C 1$s$ spectra contain two components split by 0.25 eV and their ratio depends on the emission angle (Fig. 4c) and the photon energy (Fig. 4d). This data supports the surface nature of the component at higher binding energy, which can be proven by comparing spectra obtained at different electron kinetic energies and electron emission angles. One should stress that there is no notable difference between the spectra obtained for the (111) and (755) surfaces, which evidences minor contribution of the step edge atoms. Precise quantitative analysis is impossible since the fast surface contamination influences the surface-to-bulk intensity ratio in the UHV at the base pressure of 4 $10^{-10}$ mBar. In the Ti 2$p$ spectra the SCLS, if it exists, cannot be resolved.

As mentioned above, both TiC (111) and TiC (755) surfaces are polar and in our case a Ti-termination is typical for our surface preparation procedure [19]. According to the calculations reported in Ref.[11, 20], the Ti terminated surface attains a relatively large positive charge of 0.8 electron per atom. Surface formation is accompanied by strong contraction of the first interatomic distance, by 17% in our DFT calculations (see the inset in Fig.4b and Fig.S3 of Supporting information), which, however, does not provide complete charge compensation at the surface. Higher binding energy for the atoms in the upper carbon layer, therefore, is due to the weaker charge transfer from the surface Ti atoms to the subsurface C atoms as compared to the charge transfer in the bulk TiC. The calculated SCLS for C 1$s$ is +0.33 eV and for Ti 2$p$ is +0.43 eV for 12 layer slab modelling TiC (111) surface (SI, Fig. S3). This is in reasonable agreement with the experimental observations.
Table 2. A summary of XP-spectral features for clean TiC (755) and TiC (111) surfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak and assignment</th>
<th>Binding energy, eV</th>
<th>Relative intensity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiC (755)</td>
<td>TiC (111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C 1s</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiC_{bulk}</td>
<td>281.5</td>
<td>281.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiC_{surface (SCLS)}</td>
<td>281.75 (+0.25)</td>
<td>282.11 (+0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized species</td>
<td>282.6 (+1.1)</td>
<td>282.8 (+1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventitious</td>
<td>284.6 (+3.1)</td>
<td>284.4 (+2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st surface plasmon</td>
<td>291.9 (+10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st bulk plasmon</td>
<td>305.9 (+24.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd surface plasmon</td>
<td>320.2 (+38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd bulk plasmon</td>
<td>329.5 (+48.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ti 2s</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiC</td>
<td>560.2</td>
<td>562.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized species</td>
<td>561.1 (+1.0)</td>
<td>562.4 (+2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shake up</td>
<td>562.4 (+2.2)</td>
<td>564.4 (+2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st bulk plasmon</td>
<td>582.5 (+12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd bulk plasmon</td>
<td>608.3 (+48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ti 2p</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiC</td>
<td>454.8</td>
<td>455.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized species</td>
<td>455.8 (+1.0)</td>
<td>455.9 (+0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shake up</td>
<td>457.0 (+2.2)</td>
<td>457.2 (+2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st bulk plasmon</td>
<td>467.1 (+12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd bulk plasmon</td>
<td>502.9 (+48.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. High resolution C 1s photoemission spectra for the TiC (755) (a) and (111) (b) surfaces at a photon energy of 330 eV, c) angular dependence of the component ratio for a photon energy of 485 eV in C 1s spectra for TiC (755), d) C 1s spectra obtained for TiC (755) at two different kinetic energies, 45 and 200 eV. Surface contamination peak, not avoidable at 4·10⁻¹⁰ mbar base pressure, is treated using parameters determined from oxidation behavior. The insert in (b) shows the calculated atomic geometry for the TiC (111) surface (see SI for details).

To sum up the XP-spectral features, we can conclude that for the clean TiC (111) and (755) surfaces the photoemission spectra demonstrate bulk and surface plasmons, shake-up satellites revealed in the Ti 2p and Ti 2s spectra, and the surface core-level shift of 0.25 eV in the C 1s spectra.
2.2. Graphene on TiC (111) and (755)

2.2.1. Structure

As a result of the CVD growth, graphene layers were obtained both on the (111) and (755) surfaces. The angular dispersion of the C-K absorption spectra in Fig. 5 - as received (a) and after subtraction of the substrate signal (b) - evidences the formation of a flat layer of $sp^2$-carbon. For the latter the peak intensity related to the $\pi^*$-state transition in graphene decreases when the angle between the polarization vector of the synchrotron light and the surface plane increases; it vanishes at 90°. In addition, we found no dispersion in the Ti-L spectra (as shown in Fig.S4 of Supporting Information).

Figure 5. Graphene on the TiC (755) surface: the C K absorption spectra obtained at different incidence angles (a) and after the substrate spectrum subtraction (b). LEED patterns obtained for graphene on the (111) (c) and (755) (d) surfaces at an electron energy of 125 eV; schematic representation of the experimental LEED pattern (e) and modeling results for the (111) surface (f).

Compared to the clean surfaces, the LEED patterns for graphene grown on (111) and (755) surfaces presented in Fig. 5c,d reveal additional reflexes, i.e. superstructure spots. Fig. 5e summarizes all features observed in the LEED patterns obtained at different energies.
To determine the surface structure, we simulated the LEED pattern for the (111) surface as shown in Fig. 5f. In our model we assumed that graphene is corrugated according to the previous STM observations [3] with a peak-to-peak amplitude of 0.5 Å and a period of TiC (111) (3.06 Å). The closest commensurate approximation to the graphene/TiC (111) structure was found to be $(7\sqrt{3}\times7\sqrt{3})R30^\circ$.

For the (755) surface the LEED pattern in Fig. 5d can be easily presented as a superposition of graphene and the TiC (755) 1x1 patterns rotated by $30^\circ$ with respect to each other and a number of superstructure spots [3]. The absence of any streaks near the spots from the layer indicates that graphene forms a continuous layer rather than ribbons.

2.2.2. Graphene–substrate interaction and band structure

The photoemission spectra for graphene covered (755) and (111) surfaces are presented in Fig. 6a and 6b correspondingly. In the Ti $2p$ spectra there are no remarkable changes upon deposition (for details refer to Fig. S5 of the Supporting information file). In the C $1s$ spectra we observed an additional pronounced feature related to graphene. It is rather broad (FWHM is 0.6 eV), i.e. much broader than that for graphene on Ir(111) (FWHM is 0.3eV) [30], for which the distance between carbon and Ir atoms is different due to corrugation. The peak maximum is positioned at a binding energy of 285 eV, which is 0.5 eV higher than that of free-standing graphene. This fact already pinpoints some kind of interaction between graphene and the substrate, which seems to be varying for different graphene atoms taking into account the large peak width. It should be noted that the work function of TiC is very close to that typical for undoped graphene [31, 32], therefore, we do not anticipate any charge redistribution between graphene and the substrate within the rigid band model. As discussed above, the Ti-terminated TiC (111) surface is positively charged with the corresponding net charge of +0.8 electron per atom according to the calculations [11]. The graphene layer on such a surface can also acquire a positive charge. This, at first sight, fits the experimental observation of the positive binding energy shift. However, in the case of pure electrostatic interaction the subsurface carbon layer should acquire a more negative charge compared to the clean surface, since the electron density is partially shifted from graphene to the surface Ti atoms. This is definitely not the case as one can clearly see that the SCLS in the C $1s$ spectra becomes notably larger, by 0.1 eV, for the graphene-covered surface. The reason for such increase of the SCLS value upon graphene growth remains unclear. If it is not due to electrostatic interaction, then it can be explained solely by hybridization between graphene and the substrate. This is in line with the larger peak width
of the C 1s spectra for our system than that for the Ir(111) substrate where the coupling is negligible. The hybridization concept is also supported by the C-K absorption spectra shown in Fig.5b, which differ from those for the free-standing graphene. In detail, additional spectral features are observed between the π and σ resonances. As already investigated experimentally [33] and theoretically [34] for graphene grown on Ni, these features indicate hybridization between graphene and substrate. Here, again we found no notable difference between the spectra obtained for the (111) and (755) surfaces, which evidences the negligible effect of the atomic steps.

In order to learn more about chemical peculiarities of interaction between graphene and the TiC surface, we studied the band structure of the graphene/TiC (755) by means of angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES). Fig. 7a shows the resulting band structure of the graphene-covered TiC (755) measured along high symmetry directions ΓM and ΓK of the graphene surface Brillouin zone (SBZ). Traces that indicate the direction for the dispersion measurement are sketched in the inset depicting SBZ by red lines.

The overall band structure in Fig. 7a shows that the π-band of graphene (labelled in the figure as gr-π) is strongly shifted toward higher binding energies as compared to the electronic structure of freestanding graphene [35, 36]. Furthermore, this shift is non-rigid and is different in various high-symmetry points of the SBZ. Extrema of the graphene band dispersions are denoted in Fig. 7a with blue horizontal ticks and labelled with exact values of their binding energy. One can see that the deviation from the ideal freestanding graphene at Γ point is about 1.5 eV. At the borders of the SBZ the energy shifts toward higher binding energy are much larger. At the M point [left part of Fig. 7(a)] the measured shift of graphene band, determined as a medium energy between bonding and antibonding states π and π*, is about 3 eV. This value can be determined precisely since the shift is so large that π* (labelled in Fig. 7 as gr-π*) moves below the Fermi level and can be accessed by ARPES.
Figure 6. C 1s photoemission spectra for the pristine and graphene covered TiC (755) (a) and (111) (b) surfaces recorded at an electron kinetic energy of 200 eV.

The energy shift at the $\overline{K}$ point can be determined from the measurements of the band structure performed along the direction perpendicular to $\overline{\Gamma K}$ of the graphene SBZ. Such geometry allows one to observe both sides of the $\pi$ band due to the Brillouin zone effects that affect the photoelectron interference [37]. Dispersion of the Dirac bands within the energy-momentum space outlined with a red dashed rectangle in Fig. 7a, but measured perpendicular to $\overline{\Gamma K}$ and precisely through the $K$ point, is shown in Fig. 7b. Surprisingly, in spite of the strong interaction between graphene and TiC, one sees a perfectly intact and gapless Dirac cone with the Dirac point located at the binding energy of 2.35 eV. Considering that in the ideal graphene Dirac and Fermi energies coincide, we define this value as a magnitude of the energy shift at $\overline{K}$.

Such giant and non-rigid energy shift of the graphene band structure is an indication of strong interaction between graphene and TiC. This interaction is largely driven by covalent bonding that depends on availability and energy position of certain states in the valence band of TiC and to a lesser extent by the charge transfer between graphene and its substrate [38]. The impact of orbital hybridization between graphene and TiC on non-rigid energy shift and the overall width of the $\pi$ band has been suggested earlier [14, 39]. In our present findings, this covalent bonding is manifested through rich hybridization effects denoted by black arrows in Fig. 7. The formation of hybridization gaps and kinks in the dispersion of $\pi$ band near the $\overline{K}$ point is observed for the binding energies of 0.5 –1.0 eV (Figs. 7a and 7b) and in the dispersion of the $\pi^*$ band near the $\overline{M}$ point – at the binding energy of 0.3-0.4 eV.
In view of strong electronic hybridization between graphene and TiC the intact Dirac cone observed in Fig. 7b should indeed be considered as a hybrid cone with significant contributions from the TiC states – similarly to the case of intact Dirac cones observed in graphene on Ni(111) and Co(0001), which are substantially intermixed with the 3d states of Ni and Co [40, 41].

Another important fact which we learned from the ARPES data is lateral integrity of the graphene overlayer. Indeed, the dispersion of the $\pi$ band measured along $\Gamma K$, which is perpendicular to the terraces of TiC (755), is continuous (right panel in Fig. 7b). In the case of individual graphene nanoribbons it should have fallen apart into a spectrum of discrete energy levels due to lateral quantization in nanoribbon potential wells [42, 43]. This proves that graphene covers the terraces of TiC (755) as a continuous carpet and not as an array of nanoribbons decorating individual terraces. On the other hand, even in the case of continuous but 1D-modulated (by the steps of TiC) carpet one may expect the appearance of the renowned umklapp replica of the $\pi$ band, similar to that observed in moiré-modulated graphene on Ir(111) [44] and Au/Fe(110) [45]. The absence of such replica in our ARPES data can be explained by poor lateral coherency of the graphitized TiC steps, which is also seen in the LEED pattern (Figs. 5c and d).

Figure 7. The electronic structure of graphene-covered TiC(755) studied by angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES): (a) the overall band structure measured along the $\Gamma \overline{M}$ and $\Gamma \overline{K}$ directions of the surface Brillouin zone (SBZ) of graphene. These directions are marked by red lines in the sketch of the SBZ in the inset. The $\Gamma \overline{K}$ direction is perpendicular to the orientation of the TiC terraces. The antibonding graphene band $\pi^*$ is seen at the $\overline{M}$ point right below the Fermi level; (b) zoom of the graphene $\pi$ band dispersion near the $\overline{K}$ point.
within the frame denoted by a red dashed rectangle in (a) but measured in the direction perpendicular to \( \overline{\Gamma K} \) (the red line in the inset depicting the SBZ). At this experimental geometry both sides of the Dirac cone are visible. A perfectly intact Dirac cone is observed. Hybridization of graphene \( \pi \) band with TiC (black arrows) is seen in the vicinity of the Fermi level far from the Dirac point.

2.2.3. **Surface reactivity**

Another way to check the graphene-substrate hybridization is to weaken it by intercalation of foreign atoms between graphene and the substrate. Here, we used molecular oxygen for this purpose. It is known that oxygen intercalation results in graphene and Ni substrate decoupling. To probe this, we exposed graphene on TiC (755) to oxygen at different pressures for 30 min. We found no spectral changes up to \(~10^{-4}\) mbar. At higher pressures, oxygen is intercalated under graphene causing its partial decoupling and appearance of a component at 284.5 eV corresponding to areas of free-standing graphene, which grows upon further heating. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 8.

In addition, we revealed that a continuous graphene layer makes the TiC surfaces much more robust towards oxygen (at least up to \(10^{-7}\) mBar), whereas a clean TiC surface is known to be rather unstable even in the UHV at a base pressure of \(10^{-10}\) mBar, when some oxidation is already detected in the spectra acquired at a kinetic energy of 50 eV even after a few minutes of measurements. It is commonly known that graphene forms reliable surface protection in many cases [46, 47], however, for TiC this works only at moderate vacuum conditions typical for processing.
3. Conclusions

We describe comprehensively the spectral features in the XP-spectra of the clean TiC (111) and (755) surfaces including the plasmon structure, the peak asymmetry, the shake up satellite and, finally, the surface core level shift (SCLS) in C 1s spectra, which are important for further detailed studies of surface reactions involving TiC.

We synthesized graphene on both (111) and (755) surfaces and we found the SCLS variation upon graphene growth. Based on LEED data we found that the structure is close to (7√3×7√3)R30° and graphene is slightly corrugated. In both cases graphene forms continuous layers rather than nanoribbon expected for (755) surface. Surprisingly, graphene on TiC has perfectly intact and gapless Dirac cone with Dirac point located at the binding energy of 2.35 eV. Giant and non-rigid energy shift of graphene band structure is an indication of strong interaction between graphene and TiC. This interaction is largely driven by covalent bonding which depends on availability and energy position of peculiar states in the valence band of TiC and to less extent by the charge transfer between graphene and its substrate. Therefore, the SCLS variation in C 1s spectra, new features appearing in NEXAFS spectra, ARPES data and oxygen intercalation experiments, which resulted in decoupling, evidence prominent chemical interaction between graphene and substrate. All in all, graphene on TiC (111) is a
new example of strongly coupled systems. Such strong interaction is typical for Ni (111) and Co (0001) substrates. In contrast to these systems, where graphene is strained, graphene on TiC (111) is slightly corrugated with amplitude 0.5 Å due to the mismatch with the substrated TiC(111) surface.

5. Experimental section

We used polished single crystals of TiC cut along the (111) and (755) planes (MaTecK). Clean TiC surfaces were prepared by heating at 1150°C for 20 min at oxygen pressure of $10^{-7}$ mbar followed by cooling down to the room temperature and subsequent fast heating (flashing) up to 1300°C for several times. The surface composition was well reproducible (Table S3 in the Supporting information file). It should be noted that titanium carbide has asymmetric and broad homogeneity range from about 35 to 50 at.% C. Potentially it can lose some amount of carbon upon surface treatment. Unfortunately, from the photoelectron diffraction effects we cannot exactly quantify surface composition. Nevertheless, we believe that the we still have stoichiometric TiC based on (i) valence band data which are very sensitive to stoichiometry [48] and (ii) the fact that we can detect easily carbon when photoelectron kinetic energy corresponds to ultimate surface sensitivity i.e. around 50 eV.

Graphene was grown by chemical vapor deposition on clean TiC (755) surfaces from propylene ($C_3H_6$) at 850-1180 °C and the $C_3H_6$ pressure of $10^{-6}$ mbar during about 5 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature at a rate of 1 – 2°C/min.

The oxidation of the crystal surface was carried out at room temperature under the molecular oxygen pressure of $P_{O_2} = 1\cdot10^{-6} – 8\cdot10^{-5}$ mbar for 30 min.

X-ray photoemission studies were performed using several facilities at Helmholtz Zentrum, Berlin (HZB). The XPS studies were performed at the RGBL beamline (HZB). High resolution Ti 2p, C 1s, and Ti 2s core level spectra were acquired at a photoelectron kinetic energy of 50 eV to provide the ultimate surface sensitivity and at 200 eV for composition quantification using a SPECS Phoibos 150 electron energy analyzer. The photon energies were calibrated using the second-order diffraction reflection from the plane grating.

All spectra were fitted by Gaussian/Lorentzian convolution functions using Unifit 2014 software. The background was optimized using a combination of Shirley and Tougaard functions simultaneously with the spectral fitting. Atomic fractions were calculated from the integral peak intensities obtained at a fixed kinetic energy (200 eV) normalized by photoionization cross-sections [23] and the photon flux (see Supplementary Information for
details). Layer thickness was estimated using the Hill equation [18] taking into account the corresponding values of inelastic mean free path calculated using the TPP 2M formula [24] and the atomic density.

The ARPES measurements of the band structure of graphene-covered TiC(755) were performed at the endstation ARPES 12 installed at the beamline UE112-PGM2 at BESSY II and equipped with a Scienta R8000 analyser. Linearly polarized light with photon energies between 50 and 90 eV was used. Measurements were performed at room temperature. The transfer of samples between the preparation, XPS and ARPES chambers was realized with the help of a Ferrovac UHV vacuum suitcase.
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