



## New evidence for the reawakening of Teide volcano

J. Gottsmann,<sup>1,2</sup> L. Wooller,<sup>3</sup> J. Martí,<sup>1</sup> J. Fernández,<sup>4</sup> A. G. Camacho,<sup>4</sup> P. J. Gonzalez,<sup>4,5</sup> A. García,<sup>6</sup> and H. Rymer<sup>3</sup>

Received 17 July 2006; revised 13 September 2006; accepted 19 September 2006; published 25 October 2006.

[1] Geophysical signals accompanying the reactivation of a volcano after a period of quiescence must be evaluated as potential precursors to impending eruption. Here we report on the reactivation of the central volcanic complex of Tenerife, Spain, in spring 2004 and present gravity change maps constructed by time-lapse microgravity measurements taken between May 2004 and July 2005. The gravity changes indicate that the recent reactivation after almost a century of inactivity was accompanied by a sub-surface mass addition, yet we did not detect widespread surface deformation. We find that the causative source was evolving in space and time and infer fluid migration at depth as the most likely cause for mass increase. Our results demonstrate that, even in the absence of previous baseline data and ground deformation, microgravity measurements early in developing crises provide crucial insight into the dynamic changes beneath a volcano. **Citation:** Gottsmann, J., L. Wooller, J. Martí, J. Fernández, A. G. Camacho, P. J. Gonzalez, A. Garcia, and H. Rymer (2006), New evidence for the reawakening of Teide volcano, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L20311, doi:10.1029/2006GL027523.

### 1. Introduction

[2] Anomalous geophysical signals at dormant volcanoes, or those undergoing a period of quiescence, need to be evaluated as potential precursors to reawakening and possible eruption [White, 1996]. There are several recent examples of volcanic re-activation after long repose intervals culminating in explosive eruption [Nakada and Fujii, 1993; Robertson *et al.*, 2000], but non-eruptive behaviour is equally documented [De Natale *et al.*, 1991; Newhall and Dzurisin, 1988]. The dilemma scientists are confronted with is how to assess future behaviour and to forecast the likelihood of an eruption at a reawakening volcano, when critical geophysical data from previous activity is missing due to long repose periods. In Spring 2004, almost a century after the last eruption on the island, a significant increase in the number of seismic events located inland on the volcanic island of Tenerife (Figure 1) marked the reawakening of the

central volcanic complex (CVC), the third-highest volcanic complex on Earth rising almost 7000 m from the surrounding seafloor [García *et al.*, 2006]. The increase in onshore seismicity, including five felt earthquakes, coincided with both an increase in diffuse emission of carbon dioxide along a zone known as the Santiago Rift [Pérez *et al.*, 2005] and increased fumarolic activity at the summit of the 3718 m high Teide volcano [García *et al.*, 2006].

### 2. Integrated Geodetic Network on Tenerife

[3] As a reaction to the developing crises, we installed the first joint ground deformation/microgravity network on the island in early May 2004, two weeks after the start of increased seismicity. The network consists of 14 benchmarks, which were positioned to provide coverage of a rather large area (>500 km<sup>2</sup>) of the CVC, including the Pico Viejo-Pico Teide complex (PV-PT), the Las Cañadas caldera (LCC) as well as the Santiago Rift (SR) (Figures 1 and 2). The network was designed to meet rapid response requirements, i.e., the network can be fully occupied to a precision of less than 0.01 mGals of individual gravity readings and less than 0.04 m in positioning errors within six working days despite the frequently rugged terrain. The first reoccupation of the network was performed in July 2004, followed by campaigns in April 2005 and July 2005. Benchmark locations and cumulative ground deformation and gravity changes between May 2004 and July 2005 are given in Table 1 in the auxiliary material.<sup>1</sup> All results are given with respect to a reference located south of the LCC (benchmark LAJA). Within the average precision of benchmark elevation measurements ( $\pm 0.03$  m), using two dual-frequency GPS receivers during each campaign, we did not observe widespread ground deformation. However, between May 2004 and July 2005, four benchmarks, two located in the eastern sector of the LCC (MAJU and RAJA), one marking the northern-most end of the network and also the lowest elevation (766 m; CLV1) and finally a benchmark located on an isolated rock spur on the western LCC rim (UCAN, supporting online material) did show ground uplift above measurement precision. Residual gravity changes (corrected for the theoretical Free-Air effect), observed during the May–July 2004, May 2004–April 2005, and May 2004–July 2005 periods are listed in the supporting online material and shown in Figure 2.

### 3. Results

[4] The observed gravity changes do not fit a simple symmetrical pattern as observed, for example, during cal-

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera”, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Barcelona, Spain.


<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Open University, Milton Keynes, UK.

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Astronomy and Geodesy, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>5</sup>Environmental Research Division, Institute of Technology and Renewable Energies, Tenerife, Spain.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Volcanology, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid, Spain.



**Figure 1.** Perspective view of Tenerife island located in the Canarian Archipelago off the coast of northwest Africa (inset), using a colour-coded digital elevation model (DEM; elevation in meters). Highest point is Teide volcano (3718 m a.s.l.) located at 28.27°N and 16.60°W. Black open squares indicate epicentres of seismic events recorded between May 2004 and July 2005 by the National Geographic Institute (available at <http://www.ign.es>). Black rectangle identifies the area covered by the joint GPS/gravity network. LCC indicates the location of the Las Cañadas caldera.

dera unrest at the Campi Flegrei [Gottsmann *et al.*, 2003] or at Long Valley [Battaglia *et al.*, 2003]. The spatial distribution of gravity changes across the area under investigation is asymmetrical. The smallest gravity changes were observed in the central and eastern depression of the LCC, where cumulative changes over the 14-month period were only slightly higher than the precision level ( $\pm 0.015$  mGal on average;  $1 \text{ mGal} = 10 \mu\text{m/s}^2$ ). A marked positive gravity anomaly, with a maximum amplitude of around 0.04 mGal, developed in the Northwest of the covered area between May and July 2004, while a negative anomaly was found to the east, centered on station MIRA. The gravity increase noted between the first two campaigns (benchmarks C774 and CLV1) was followed by a decrease sometime between July 2004 and April 2005. During the same period, a N-S trending positive anomaly appears northwest of the PV-PT summit area between, reaching the western part of the LCC (Figures 2a–2b). In addition, gravity increased significantly along the northern slopes of Pico Teide, including benchmarks TORR and FUEN located close to the La Orotava valley between July 2004 and April 2005, adding to the impression of a spatio-temporal evolution of the causative source. It is interesting to note that on 5 December 2004 a new fissure with fumarole emission appeared in the Orotava valley (further information available at <http://www.iter.es>). A gas plume emanating from the summit fumaroles of Pico Teide was particularly noticeable during October 2004 [García *et al.*, 2006], between surveys 2 and 3. In summary, significant gravity changes occurred mainly across the northern flanks of the PV-PT and along a ca. 6 km wide zone along the western side of the volcanic complex into the westernmost parts of the LCC between May 2004 and

July 2005 (Figure 2c). During the same time, a marked gravity decrease was recorded at the intersection of the Orotava Valley (OV) and the LCC (Figure 2c).

[5] Except for two benchmarks (MAJU and RAJA) where observed gravity changes can be explained by free-air effects (gravity changes due to elevation changes), mass/density changes in the sub-surface appear to cause the major part of the perturbation of the gravity field.


#### 4. Effect of Water Table Fluctuations

[6] Data from two drill holes, located in the eastern half of the LCC (Figure 2d), provide information on water table fluctuations during the period of interest. A drop of ca. 5 cm/month between surveys 1 and 4 was recorded in one drill hole located close to benchmarks 3RDB and MAJU, which is similar to the average monthly drop in water level due anthropogenic extraction over the past 3 years [Farrujia *et al.*, 2004]. Water levels decreased by 22 cm/month on average between February 2000 and January 2004 in a drill hole located close to benchmark MIRA. The gravity decrease of 0.025 mGal recorded between May 2004 and July 2005 at benchmark MIRA, located at the intersection of the Las Cañadas caldera and the Orotava valley, can be explained by a net water table decrease ( $\delta h$ ) of 3 m, consistent with this earlier trend, assuming a permeable rock void space ( $\phi$ ) of 20% and a water density ( $\rho$ ) of  $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  ( $\Delta g_w = 2\pi G\rho\phi\delta h$ ) [Battaglia *et al.*, 2003]. Following the same rationale, gravity changes at 3RDB and MAJU are corrected by  $-0.008$  mGal to account for the recorded water table fall in the nearby borehole. Hence, any gravity change observed within the central and eastern parts of the LCC (3RDB, MAJU, RAJA, MIRA) can be fully attributed to changes in (shallow) groundwater levels and we treat the net mass change as zero for this area in the computation of overall mass changes in the following sections (Figure 2d).

[7] Outside the LCC, comprehensive monitoring data on groundwater level is lacking and correction for groundwater level variations is difficult. Groundwater is collected and extracted along several hundred (sub)horizontal tunnels (*galerías*) protruding into the upper slopes of the CVC [Marrero *et al.*, 2005]. Since 1925, a decrease of several hundred meters in the groundwater level has been noted for the area covered by the northern and western slopes of the CVC (available at <http://www.aguastenerife.org>). We therefore consider it very unlikely that the gravity increase noted in the north and west of the CVC is related to an increase in the groundwater table, and hence infer deeper processes to be the most probable cause of gravity change in this region.

#### 5. Interpretation

[8] The coincidence of earthquake epicenter concentration (a mixture of volcano-tectonic events and regional earthquakes with pure volcanic events such as tremors and long-period signals) in the area of gravity increase over the same time period (Figure 2d), suggests that both signals are related to the same or linked phenomena. Unfortunately, precise data on earthquake hypocentres are not available, but a semi-qualitative analysis suggests a depth of several kilometres (R. Ortiz, personal communication, 2005). The




**Figure 2.** Residual gravity changes between (a) May and July 2004, (b) May 2004 and April 2005, and (c) May 2004 and July 2005. (d) Same as Figure 2c but corrected for the effect of water table changes. Gravity changes are draped over a DEM of the central volcanic complex (CVC) of Tenerife. Black line in Figure 2a delineates the Las Cañadas caldera (LCC) wall. Benchmark locations (crosses) and identification are shown as well as the prominent topographic features of the Santiago Rift, Teide volcano, and the Orotava Valley (OV). Uncertainty in gravity changes are on average  $\pm 0.015$  mGal ( $1 \text{ mGal} = 10 \mu\text{m/s}^2$ ). In Figure 2c the area to the east of the CVC, where a gravity decrease was detected, coincides with the intersection of the Las Cañadas caldera with the collapse scar of the Orotava valley. This zone represents a major hydrological outlet of the caldera. In Figure 2d stars represent epicentres of seismic events recorded between May 2004 and July 2005. Both gravity increase and seismicity appear to be spatially and temporally correlated. Line A-B represents datum for profile shown in Figure 4.

spatial coverage of the benchmarks does not allow the wavelength of the May 2004–July 2005 gravity anomaly to be assessed precisely. In particular, the lower limit of the wavelength along the northern slopes of the PV-PT complex cannot be unambiguously retrieved on the basis of the available data. The maximum wavelength of the gravity anomaly is on the order of 17 km if defined by both observed and interpolated (kriging) data (Figure 2d) on the northern slopes of the PV-PT complex, which implies a maximum source depth of between 2.5 to 5.2 km below the surface, assuming simple axisymmetrical source geometries [Telford *et al.*, 1990]. This would place the source to within the depth of the shallow magma reservoirs beneath

the PV-PT complex believed to host chemically evolved magma [Ablay *et al.*, 1998]. However, since the positive anomaly is only defined by four benchmarks (CLV1, C774, CRUC, and TORR) its actual wavelength could be smaller than 17 km and the source depth could be shallower than inferred above. Furthermore, ambiguities remain on the actual amplitude of the anomaly, which is defined only by data observed at CRUC. The continuation of the positive anomaly in the western part of the LCC (Figure 2c) shows a shorter wavelength indicating a shallow (few km deep) source.

[9] Due to the spatial separation of benchmarks an assessment of sub-surface mass addition is greatly biased



**Figure 3.** (a) Predicted and (b) residuals between observed and predicted gravity changes (mGal) for the period May 2004 to July 2005. Predicted values are derived from inversion for an infinite horizontal cylinder as an approximation of the zone undergoing a mass/density increase at the northern and western slopes of the PV-PT complex. Observed gravity changes were corrected for the effect of water table fluctuations in the central and eastern part of the LCC prior to inversion. Red colours indicate that the model is predicting higher gravity changes than observed, blue colours indicate the opposite. Green colours indicate match between predictions and observations.


on the selection of the area affected by gravity increases. We define a maximum area by a kriging-based interpolation of the gravity changes between May 2004 and July 2005 in the northern and western parts of the CVC. A Gaussian Quadrature integration over this area gives a mass addition of  $1.1 \cdot 10^{11}$  kg, with lower and upper 95% confidence bounds of  $8.4 \cdot 10^9$  kg and  $2.0 \cdot 10^{11}$  kg, respectively. These values should be regarded as maximum values.

[10] In theory, subsurface volume changes derived from ground deformation data can be correlated to sub-surface mass changes from gravity data to infer the density of the causative source. However, in the absence of significant surface deformation, the source density cannot be determined directly and the nature of the source remains ambiguous. However, three scenarios are worth considering when assessing causative processes for the observed gravity increase: (1) arrival of new magma at depth, (2) migration of hydrothermal fluids, and (3) a hybrid of both. Volcanic eruptions of the CVC over the past few centuries were dominantly fed by basic and intermediate magmas in the form of fissure eruptions along the Santiago Rift [Ablay and Marti, 2000], implying shallow dyke emplacement along this NW-SE trending extension zone. The observed gravity increase between May 2004 and April 2005 (Figure 2) appears to denote a zone at a  $45^\circ$  angle to the strike of the rift. The wavelength of the anomaly in the western and central parts of the LCC (Figure 2d) is not consistent with shallow dyke emplacement to perhaps within a few tens or hundred meters depth. There is also no other direct geophysical or geochemical evidence in support of magma emplacement in the form of a shallow dyke over the 14-month observation time. However, dyke emplacement at greater depth (a few km below the surface) into the Santiago Rift (with partial contribution to the gravity increases at benchmarks CLAV1, C774 and CRUC), perhaps recharging an existing reservoir, cannot be unambiguously excluded for the period May–July 2004, coinciding with the peak in

the number of earthquakes recorded by the National Geographic Institute (available at <http://www.ign.es>). Dykes along the Santiago Rift are on average less than 1 m wide. Ground deformation caused by an individual dyke of this size a few km below the surface would be below the precision of our GPS measurements. Thus, a magma injection into a conjugated fault system, perhaps at some angle to the Santiago Rift, cannot be unambiguously ruled out as the trigger for the reawakening of the volcanic complex in May 2004. There is, however, little evidence to support the idea that the mass increase observed during campaigns 2 and 3 is caused solely by magma movement.

[11] An alternative explanation for the observed gravity increase is fluid migration through the CVC. Volcano-tectonic events detected in the seismic record [García *et al.*, 2006; Tárrega *et al.*, 2006] may have triggered the release and upward migration of hydrothermal fluids from a deep magma reservoir. Alternatively, fluid migration may have resulted from (1) the perturbation of an existing deep hydrothermal reservoir and resultant upward movement of fluids due to magma injection or (2) from pressurising seawater saturated rocks.

[12] Migration of hydrothermal fluids through a permeable medium causes little surface deformation, but the filling of pore space increases the bulk density of the material resulting in a gravity increase at the ground surface. To explore this scenario, and as a first order approximation, we performed an inversion of the gravity change recorded between May 2004 and July 2005 along the northern and western slopes of the PV-PT complex for a source represented by a N-S striking infinite cylindrical horizontal body [Telford *et al.*, 1990]. The approximation of an infinite body is valid as long as the radius of the cylinder is far smaller than its length. The model results depend linearly on density change but non-linearly on both the radius and depth of the body. Using a global optimization iterative method [Sen and Stoffa, 1995] with various initial values for depth and



**Figure 4.** Cross-section through the CVC along the profile A-B shown in Figure 2d, including a conceptual model of events between May 2004 and July 2005. (1) Likely injection of magma during peak of onshore seismic activity two weeks before installation of network (May 2004). (2) Release of fluids or perturbation of existing hydrothermal system causing migration of fluids from NW to SE (May–July 2004; Figure 2a) and later (July 2004–April 2005 and further into July 2005) along a N-S striking zone (Figures 2b–2d). (3) Upward migration of fluids along the upper surface of the high density/low permeability Boca Tauce magmatic body situated beneath the western caldera [Ablay and Kearey, 2000]. (4) Fluid migration into an overlying aquifer, located at a depth greater than 900 m beneath the LCC floor and thought to feed the PT summit fumaroles [Araña *et al.*, 2000], can explain the increased fumarolic activity of Teide in 2004. The western caldera boundary fault may act as a pathway for fluids to shallower depth.

radius, we find convergence of the inversion results at a depth of  $1990 \pm 120$  m below the surface using residual gravity data from all benchmarks. While depth is insensitive to the assumed source density change, the radius scales to the inverse of density. Assuming a volume fraction of 30% which is fully permeable, filling this void space with (hydrothermal) fluids of density  $1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  would produce a bulk density increase of  $0.3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The resultant source radius is around  $80 \pm 20$  m. Although the fit to the data is within errors very good (Figure 3), we find that the positive anomaly in the eastern part of the LCC cannot be satisfyingly modelled. For this area, we conclude on either a local effect or, more likely, an error in the GPS measurements during the installation of benchmark RAJA, since the reported gravity increase results from the free-air effect of the  $7 \pm 4$  cm inflation detected over the 14 months period. Ignoring the potentially erroneous GPS measurement, the gravity residual for RAJA matches those of neighbouring benchmarks MAJU and 3RDB. Combining all available geophysical information, we conclude that migration of hydrothermal fluids along a permeable N-S striking zone is the most likely cause of the observed perturbation of the gravity field. A conceptual model of mass migration covering the 14-month observation period is shown in Figure 4.

## 6. Conclusions

[13] While magma recharge at depth into the northwestern rift zone of Tenerife is likely to have triggered the reawakening of the CVC, the cause of the 14-month perturbation of the gravity field is most probably not related to magma flow. A more likely scenario is the migration of

fluids inside the complex triggering the observed gravity changes.

[14] We demonstrate that time-lapse microgravity monitoring of active volcanoes can provide vital insights into their sub-surface dynamics, particularly where structural complexities and heterogeneous mechanical properties of the subsurface do not obey a simple linearly elastic relationship of stress generation and resultant ground deformation [Dvorak and Dzurisin, 1997]. Arrival of a small batch of magma at depth and the release and upward migration of hot fluids may be a common trigger of reactivation after long repose periods and may be quantifiable by perturbations in the gravity field but may not be accompanied by ground deformation. Quantification of sub-surface mass/density changes must be regarded as essential for the detection of potential pre-eruptive signals at reawakening volcanoes before ground deformation or other geophysical signals become quantifiable [Rymer, 1994].

[15] **Acknowledgments.** This research is part of the TEGETEIDE project funded by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (MEC) under contract CGL2003-21643-E. J.G. also acknowledges support from a “Ramon y Cajal” grant by the MEC and a Royal Society University Fellowship. L.W. acknowledges the support of an Open University Research Development Fund fellowship. J.F., A.G.C., and P.J.G. also acknowledge support from EU projects ALERTA (MAC/2.3/C56) of the INTERREG III B Program and from Spanish MEC projects REN2002-12406-E/RIES and GEOMOD (CGL2005-05500-C02). The authors thank the Consejo Insular de Aguas de Tenerife (CIATFE) and I. Farrujia for granting access to water table data on Tenerife and N. Perez for providing cGPS data.

## References

- Ablay, G. J., and P. Kearey (2000), Gravity constraints on the structure and volcanic evolution of Tenerife, Canary Islands, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *105*, 5783–5796.
- Ablay, G. J., and J. Marti (2000), Stratigraphy, structure, and volcanic evolution of the Pico Teide-Pico Viejo formation, Tenerife, Canary Islands, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *103*(1–4), 175–208.
- Ablay, G. J., M. R. Carrol, M. R. Palmer, J. Marti, and R. S. J. Sparks (1998), Basanite-phonolite lineages of the Teide Pico Viejo volcanic complex, Tenerife, Canary Islands, *J. Petrol.*, *39*(5), 905–936.
- Araña, V., A. G. Camacho, A. Garcia, F. G. Montesinos, I. Blanco, R. Vieira, and A. Felpeto (2000), Internal structure of Tenerife (Canary Islands) based on gravity, aeromagnetic, and volcanological data, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *103*(1–4), 43–64.
- Battaglia, M., P. Segall, and C. Roberts (2003), The mechanics of unrest at Long Valley caldera, California. 2. Constraining the nature of the source using geodetic and micro-gravity data, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *127*(3–4), 219–245.
- De Natale, G., F. Pingue, P. Allarde, and A. Zollo (1991), Geophysical and geochemical modelling of the 1982–1984 unrest phenomena at Campi Flegrei caldera (southern Italy), *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, *48*(1–2), 199–222.
- Dvorak, J. J., and D. Dzurisin (1997), Volcano geodesy: Search for magma reservoirs and the formation of eruptive vents, *Rev. Geophys.*, *35*, 343–384.
- Farrujia, I., J. L. Velasco, J. Fernandez, and M. C. Martin (2004), Evolución del nivel freático en la mitad oriental del acuífero de Las Cañadas del Teide: Cuantificación de parámetros hidrogeológicos, paper presented at VIII Simposio de Hidrogeología, Assoc. Esp. de Hidrogeol., Zaragoza, Spain.
- García, A., J. Vila, R. Ortiz, R. Macia, R. Sleeman, J. M. Marrero, N. Sánchez, M. Tárraga, and A. M. Correig (2006), Monitoring the reawakening of Canary Islands’ Teide Volcano, *Eos Trans. AGU*, *87*(6), 61.
- Gottsmann, J., G. Berrino, H. Rymer, and G. Williams-Jones (2003), Hazard assessment during caldera unrest at the Campi Flegrei, Italy: A contribution from gravity-height gradients, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, *211*(3–4), 295–309.
- Marrero, R., P. Salazar, P. A. Hernández, N. M. Pérez, and D. López (2005), Hydrogeochemical monitoring for volcanic surveillance at Tenerife, Canary Islands, *Geophys. Res. Abstr.*, *7*, Abstract 09928, sref-id: EGU05–A-09928.

- Nakada, S., and T. Fujii (1993), Preliminary report on the activity at Unzen Volcano (Japan), November 1990–November 1991: Dacite lava domes and pyroclastic flows, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 54(3–4), 319–333.
- Newhall, C. G., and D. Dzurisin (1988), *Historical Unrest at Large Calderas of the World*, 1108 pp., U.S. Gov. Print. Off., Washington, D. C.
- Pérez, N. M., et al. (2005), Premonitory geochemical and geophysical signatures of volcanic unrest at Tenerife, Canary Islands, *Geophys. Res. Abstr.*, 7, Abstract 09993, sref-id:EGU05–A-09993.
- Robertson, R. E. A., W. P. Aspinall, R. A. Herd, G. E. Norton, R. S. J. Sparks, and S. R. Young (2000), The 1995–1998 eruption of the Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat, WI, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 358(1770), 1619–1637.
- Rymer, H. (1994), Microgravity change as a precursor to volcanic activity, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 61(3–4), 311–328.
- Sen, M. K., and P. L. Stoffa (1995), *Global Optimization Methods in Geophysical Inversion*, 294 pp., Elsevier, New York.
- Tárraga, M., R. Camiel, R. Ortiz, J. M. Marrero, and A. García (2006), On the predictability of volcano-tectonic events by low frequency seismic noise analysis at Teide-Pico Viejo volcanic complex, Canary Islands, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 6(3), 365–376.
- Telford, W. M., L. P. Geldart, and R. E. Sheriff (1990), *Applied Geophysics*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- White, R. A. (1996), Precursory deep long-period earthquakes at Mount Pinatubo: Spatio-temporal link to a basaltic trigger, in *Fire and Mud: Eruptions and Lahars of Mount Pinatubo, Philippines*, edited by C. G. Newhall and R. S. Punongbayan, pp. 307–326, Univ. of Wash. Press, Seattle.
- 
- J. Gottsmann, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queens Road, Bristol BS8 1RJ, UK. (j.gottsmann@bristol.ac.uk)
- H. Rymer and L. Wooller, Department of Earth Sciences, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK.
- J. Martí, Institute of Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera,” CSIC, Lluís Solé Sabaris s/n, Barcelona 08028, Spain.
- A. G. Camacho, J. Fernández, and P. J. Gonzalez, Institute of Astronomy and Geodesy, CSIC-UCM, Ciudad Universitaria, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- A. Garcia, Department of Volcanology, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, C/José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain.