Thermal evolution of ferroelectric behavior in epitaxial $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$

J. W. Adkins^{1,2,5}, I. Fina³, F. Sánchez³, S. R. Bakaul^{2*}, and J. T. Abiade^{1,4,5}

¹*Department of Civil and Materials Engineering, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA*

²*Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA*

³*Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB-CSIC), Campus UAB, Bellaterra 08193, Barcelona, Spain*

⁴*Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA*

⁵*Laboratory for Oxide Research and Education, 842 W. Taylor Street, Chicago, IL 60607, USA*

[*] Corresponding author, sbakaul@anl.gov

Abstract

Herein we report a cryogenic-temperature study on the evolution of ferroelectric properties of epitaxial $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ thin films on silicon. Wake-up, endurance, and fatigue of these films are found to be intricately correlated, strongly hysteretic, and dependent on available thermal energy. Field-dependent measurements reveal a decrease in polarization with temperature, which has been determined not to be an intrinsic change of the material property, rather, a demonstration of the increase in the coercive bias of the material. Our findings suggest that a deficiency in thermal energy suppresses the mobility of defects presumed to be oxygen vacancies during wake-up and trapped injected charge during fatigue, which is responsible for polarization evolution during cycling. This permits accelerated wake-up and fatigue effects at high temperatures where thermal energy is abundant but delays these effects at cryogenic temperatures.

Since the discovery of ferroelectric in Si-doped HfO₂ by Börscke et al in 2011¹, researchers have endeavored to explore its origin and nuances, as well as its practical application in industries like electrocaloric energy storage^{2,3} and nonvolatile memory^{4,5}. Following Si-doped HfO₂, a number of other solid solutions were discovered to exhibit ferroelectricity, with dopants such as Al⁶, Gd⁷, Sr⁸, Y⁹, and most ubiquitously, Zr¹⁰. Of import to any practical application of HfO₂-based ferroelectrics is endurance. This quality is reflected in the evolution of the ferroelectric polarization with electric cycling, termed “wake-up”¹¹ and “fatigue”^{12–14}. Wake-up is the process by which the ferroelectric polarization increases from a sub-optimal initial value¹¹. Following wake-up, the polarization stabilizes for a variable number of electric field cycles, then begins to decrease as fatigue sets in^{12–14}.

Wake-up and fatigue characteristics have been extensively studied in HfO₂-based ferroelectrics grown via atomic layer deposition^{11,14,15}, but these characteristics are less documented for pulsed laser deposition (PLD)-grown films. In the case of HfO₂-based ferroelectrics prepared by ALD, the presence of mixed phases is expected to play a significant role in wake-up behavior. In their pristine state, polycrystalline HfO₂ solid solutions often occur as a mixture of both a ferroelectric orthorhombic phase and a paraelectric monoclinic phase, the latter of which is believed to be partially responsible for the low initial polarization¹⁶. According to Grimley *et al.*, exposing ferroelectric hafnia to enough switching cycles has the effect of facilitating a monoclinic-orthorhombic phase transition in the bulk, and a tetragonal-orthorhombic phase transition at the film-electrode interface¹⁷. Because neither the monoclinic nor tetragonal phase contributes to ferroelectricity in HfO₂-based systems, their diminishment with cycling (and corresponding increase in the orthorhombic phase volume) increases polarization and “awakens” the material. In the case of some epitaxial HfO₂, the evolution of polarization with cycling is less a matter of phase changes, and more a matter of charge-carrier domain wall interactions. This position has recently been taken by Chouprik *et al.*¹⁸, albeit in ALD-grown films with negligible monoclinic phase fraction, who pointed to the minimization of oxygen vacancy- and trapped-charge-mediated domain wall pinning as a plausible origin of wake-up. As PLD growth of oxide thin films often requires high-vacuum and high-temperature conditions, the resulting vacancy defect density can be quite different than in HfO₂-based ferroelectrics prepared with ALD^{19,20}, which will also influence phenomena mediated by oxygen vacancies. In both ALD- and PLD-grown films, accumulation of defects in the bulk of the film after extended cycling, e.g. by means of charge injection, results in repining of domains and the onset of fatigue.

The mobility of charged defects is strongly dependent upon temperature, which likely contributes to some of the temperature-sensitive endurance behavior already reported in hafnia-based ferroelectrics. However, many of these reports investigate endurance at room temperature or higher, where charged defect mobility is high. There are few low-temperature investigations of wake-up and endurance in HfO₂, the majority of which involve films grown via ALD^{21–24}. Therefore, considering the noted differences anticipated between ALD- and PLD-grown HfO₂ solid solutions, the role of charged defects on the wake-up and fatigue behavior of HfO₂-based ferroelectrics may be further elucidated by careful study of epitaxial PLD-grown films. Herein we present a systematic study of the influence of temperatures down to 33 K on the ferroelectric properties and endurance behavior of Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂. Polarization vs. voltage (P-V) hysteresis,

positive-up-negative-down (PUND), and cyclic electric fatigue measurements are employed to depict the evolution of the material's properties with temperature and contextualize the behavior within the current understandings of wake-up and fatigue in HfO₂-based ferroelectrics.

Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ thin films were deposited on La_{2/3}Sr_{1/3}MnO₃/ LaNiO₃/ CeO₂/ yttria-stabilized zirconia-buffered Si(100) substrates via PLD using a 248-nm KrF excimer laser^{25,26}. The 5.6-nm thick HZO ferroelectric layers were deposited at a repetition rate of 2 Hz, PO₂ = 0.1 mbar, and T_s = 800 °C, then cooled under 0.2 mbar O₂. Platinum top electrodes of thickness t = 20 nm and diameter d = 20 μm were deposited through a stencil mask with dc magnetron sputtering. Structural characterization of the thin films via x-ray diffraction is presented in Fig. 1, where the presence of only (00h)-oriented atomic planes, along with the peak at 2θ = 30.4 ° corresponding to (111)-oriented orthorhombic HZO, indicates epitaxial film growth. Representative field-dependent polarization and capacitance hysteresis loops are presented in Fig. 2. and Fig. S1, respectively. The presence of switching current peaks and butterfly-shaped trend of capacitance change confirm the ferroelectric behavior of these films. We note that due to materials and electrodes quality variations, a maximum of ±5% deviations from the measured polarization values is possible, as revealed by multiple measurements performed on several devices at same experimental condition.

All further temperature-dependent hysteresis loops were collected with an applied bias V_{app} = 3 V, hysteresis period of 3 ms, and frequency of 333 Hz. Positive-Up-Negative-Down (PUND) data were collected by applying a 79-cycle set of two switching and non-switching positive and negative voltage pulses, incrementally increasing the maximum drive bias from V_{app} = 0.25 to 4 V (E_{app} = 0.45 – 7.14 MV/cm) which each cycle. The pulse width was fixed at 1 ms and pulse delay at 1000 ms for all cycles. Fatigue cycling was achieved with the use of a square-wave pulse profile with V_{max} = 3 V (E_{max} = 5.36 MV/cm), pulse frequency of 5 kHz, and pulse width of 0.05 ms; the number of cycles was controlled by varying the duration of the pulse application. Post-fatigue PUND measurements were collected with V_{app} = 3V, pulse width = 1 ms, and pulse delay = 1000 ms. For field-dependent fatigue cycling, the maximum applied bias was varied from 3 V to 6 V (E_{app} = 5.36 – 10.71 MV/cm), with the same waveform characteristics as temperature-dependent fatigue cycling.

The results of variable-temperature measurements are featured in Fig. 3. Near room temperature (T = 280 K), the pristine HZO (Fig. 3a) exhibits a memory window of approximately 12 μC/cm² and coercive field E_c of ± 1.36 V. These low values are commonly reported in pre-cycled HfO₂-based ferroelectrics and are related to the pinned domains and unfavorable defect distribution present in their as-fabricated state¹⁸. Furthermore, the hysteresis loops do not show saturation, because the low thickness, 5.6 nm, restricts the application of exceedingly high voltage for breakdown prevention. As the material is cooled from 280 K to 33 K, the memory window and apparent coercive bias of the pristine material decrease (Fig. 3d). At T = 33 K, 2P_r is 4.9 μC/cm², ~ 48 % of P_{r,280 K}. Similarly, the apparent E_c decreases to ± 0.80 MV/cm. This trend is unexpected because Landau-Devonshire Theory suggests that the polarization order parameter in ferroelectrics should increase as the system's temperature moves further below the order-disorder transition²⁷. However, recent modeling efforts by Vopsaroiu et al predicts that a ferroelectric's coercive bias should also increase as temperature decreases²⁸. For example, Wang *et al.* observed an

approximate 50% increase in Zr-doped HfO₂ as the temperature decreased from 300 K to 100 K²⁴, and based upon a theoretical model²⁷, we anticipate an increase of similar magnitude for our own films. Thus, the fixed 5.36 MV/cm field we employ for hysteresis measurements may be insufficient to keep up with evolving coercive bias at decreasing temperature, which prevents the complete switching of the ferroelectric and leads to the decreasing polarization at cryogenic temperatures.

An additional comparison of the behavior of the switchable polarization with decreasing temperature that fixes the ratio of the applied field to the measured coercive field (E_{app}/E_c) at about 3.5 is included in section S2 of the Supplementary Material. The polarization still decreases from 280 K to 33 K, but does so to a smaller extent, decreasing by ~24% at a fixed field ratio rather than by 48 % at the fixed applied field previously mentioned. We suspect the apparent coercive bias reported here for hysteresis loops collected at low temperatures represent impartial switching of the ferroelectric. This is made apparent in both the lack of saturation behavior of the pristine material, as well as the PUND switching behavior featured in Fig. 3b. Under a 5.36 MV/cm field generated by a 3 V pulse at 280 K, the positive switchable polarization is approximately 12 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$; to achieve a similar polarization at 33 K requires a ~ 4 V (which generates a 7.14 MV/cm field) pulse. Zhou et al. observed a similar trend of low-temperature polarization-dependence on poling field in ALD-grown Si-doped HfO₂²³, suggesting that this behavior is common among hafnia-based ferroelectrics regardless of growth method.

Following the 79-cycle PUND measurements (Post-PUND) at all temperatures, the HZO exhibits an increase in memory window and coercive bias – the wake-up effect. (Fig. 3c). This results from the redistribution of oxygen vacancies during PUND cycling, which de-pin the static domains present in the pristine state and enable better switching behavior^{18,29}. Due to the suspected participation of oxygen vacancies and the influence of temperature on their mobility²², the wake-up effect is temperature-dependent, represented in Fig. 3c by the difference in hysteresis behavior at each measurement temperature. This trend is most apparent in Fig. 3d, where comparison of coercive bias and switchable polarization evolution after PUND cycling reveals a ~100 % increase in memory window to ~24 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ at 280 K and much smaller increase in memory window (~ 50 %) to 7.7 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ at 33 K.

To determine if similar temperature trends in ferroelectric switching behavior exist in long-term performance of HZO, a series of fatigue measurements were conducted from 293 K to 33 K. Fig. 4a presents the polarization as a function of fatigue cycles for varying temperatures. Across the temperature range $T = 293 \text{ K}$ to $T = 130 \text{ K}$, temperature-dependent fatigue behavior is made apparent. At $T = 293 \text{ K}$, fatigue begins immediately following 5000 fatigue cycles, with any wake-up effects occurring in the cycles before then; device failure occurs after 10^6 cycles, and no further data were acquired. At $T = 220 \text{ K}$, wake-up again occurs within 5000 cycles, but steady fatigue onset is delayed by about 30000 cycles. Cycling at $T = 160 \text{ K}$ and 130 K produces observable wake-up until about 15000 cycles, after which polarization remains relatively stable until gradual fatigue sets in after ~75000 cycles.

To model the activation energy associated with the fatigue mechanism, a temperature-dependent fatigue model first introduced by Brennan *et al.*³⁰ and later adapted by Huang *et al.*³¹ is

considered. This model is reproduced in Eq. 1, and presents the polarization $P(N)$ of a ferroelectric as a function of the temperature-dependent Arrhenius function $R(T)$ in Eq. 2 and the number of fatigue cycles N . A reinterpretation of Eq. 1 into Eq. 3 instead presents the fatigue of the ferroelectric $f(N)$ as a function of $R(T)$ and N , whose slope is approximately equal to $R(T)$:

$$P(N) = P_0[1 - R(T)\log(N)] \quad (1)$$

$$R(T) = Ae^{\frac{-E_a}{k_B T}} \quad (2)$$

$$f(N) = 1 - R(T)\log(N) \quad (3)$$

Here, $f(N) = \frac{P(N)}{P_0}$. The magnitude of the function $R(T)$ determines the rate of fatigue at a given temperature, and we have calculated its approximate value at $T = 293$ K, 250 K, 220 K, 190 K, 160 K, and 130 K using the fatigue data presented in Fig. 4a. By taking the natural logarithm of Eq. 2., a linear function whose slope is proportional to the thermal activation energy is produced:

$$\ln[R(T)] = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{k_B} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \quad (4)$$

By taking the natural logarithm of $R(T)$ at each of the temperatures and plotting them against the inverse of temperature, we calculate and approximate thermal activation energy E_a of the mechanism influencing fatigue in our HZO film: ~ 23.4 meV (Fig 4a. inset). Further details regarding these calculations may be found in section S3 of the Supplementary Material. This value, while too low to be associated with oxygen vacancy movement during fatigue^{30,31} is close to some reported values of the thermal activation energy associated with pinning of domain walls by injected charge carriers^{15,30} trapped during electric field, which suggests that they may play a role in the fatigue in our material, as well.

At lower temperatures ($T = 100$ K and $T = 33$ K), no fatigue is observed after 10^7 cycles, but a minuscule improvement in polarization characteristic of wake-up appears. Fig 4b. presents these observations as a function of temperature. At 100 K, total remanent polarization values increase from $6.6 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ to $9.9 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ over 10^7 cycles, presenting a 50% increase in polarization during this wake-up regime. At 33 K, the increase is almost half as small, with total remanent polarization increasing from $4.4 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ to $5.3 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ ($\sim 25\%$ increase). At these temperatures, a deficiency in thermal energy can suppress charge mobility and delay both wake-up and fatigue.

We finally investigate the field-dependence of the switching and endurance of HZO at cryogenic temperatures, a series of variable-field hysteresis and fatigue measurements were conducted. From Fig. 5a., it is shown that the initial remanent polarization and coercive field increase with increasing applied field, reaching a relative maximum at $E_{\text{app,max}} = 10.71$ MV/cm; above this value device breakdown occurs. This suggests that ferroelectric switching is improving, as the larger field compensates for the increased coercive bias of HZO and suppressed switching at such low temperatures, despite the lack of sharp switching and saturation in the hysteresis loops acquired. In addition to suppressed switching, the behavior of the charged defects whose pinning of domain walls may contribute to fatigue are also influenced by temperature. Electric-field

sensitive cycling behavior has been previously reported in yttria-doped HfO_2 ²³, and is attributed to the maximization of domain wall density present for pinning when applying fatigue pulses close the E_c of the material; at higher fields, domains are larger and fewer domains are present, which suppresses pinning-based fatigue by accumulated charged defects^{23,31}. From Figs. 5b. and 5c, some polarization loss is observed when cycling at low voltages but is quite small (<5% loss of $2P_r$ over 10^7 cycles). However, the strongest endurance performance is achieved at $E_{\text{app}} = 10.71$ MV/cm. Here, the field is large enough to initiate de-pinning of static domains locked in their initial configurations, causing wake-up. The absence of wake-up behavior in the device at lower fields may be related to the decreased mobility of charged defects partially responsible for wake-up, whose thermal and electric energy starvation at low applied bias and temperature suppresses depinning of domain walls.

We note that although oxygen vacancies and trap charges are expected to play significant role in determining the wake-up effects, there is a possibility of additional influence of temperature-dependent strain (due to the difference in thermal expansion coefficients of HZO and LSMO)^{32–35} on the temperature-dependent behavior reported here. Strain calculations for HZO and LSMO are included in Section S5 of the Supplementary Material and reveal that the HZO layer may experience an increase in compressive strain upon cooling from room temperature to 33 K (i.e. from -2.65 % to -4.44%). This increase in compressive strain may further reduce the mobility of oxygen vacancies, due to reported influences of such strain on the migration energy for oxygen vacancies in fluorite-based oxide materials^{42,43}. In addition, such thermally-induced strain can also directly affect polarization rotation and wake-up effects through complicated electromechanical coupling.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that PLD-grown $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ films exhibit a reduction in apparent polarization and coercive bias as temperature decreases from near room temperature to 33 K when studied under electric fields below those required for saturation at all temperatures. The magnitude of the wake-up effect is similarly affected, with the greatest increase in polarization after cycling exhibited at room temperature, and the lowest at 33 K. Additionally, under a 5.36 MV/cm field, the material's longevity under cyclic electrical loading increases at low temperature, with characteristics of fatigue manifesting millions of cycles later than when studied near room temperature. Increasing the applied field to 10.71 MV/cm at 33 K results in a significant increase in coercive bias and switchable polarization but does not appear to cause saturation. Application of a larger field at 33 K has little effect on the fatigue behavior but facilitates more rapid wake-up. The apparent reduction in ferroelectric properties with temperature is explained by the increase in HZO's theoretical coercive bias with decreasing temperature, which results in incomplete switching under the 5.36 MV/cm field in our experiments. It is possible that the temperature-dependent endurance of HZO is due to the deficiency in thermal energy at cryogenic temperatures, which suppresses the mobility of defects suspected to be oxygen vacancies during wake-up and trapped injected charges during fatigue. Further transport measurements are required to isolate the exact mechanism. Wake-up and fatigue are accelerated at high temperatures where thermal energy is abundant but delayed at cryogenic temperatures where thermal energy is scarce. Increases in compressive strain may additionally further suppress wake-up by way of reducing the mobility of oxygen vacancies, and further analysis of wake-up behavior at lower temperatures may

provide a greater understanding of its mechanism. These findings highlight the temperature-sensitive ferroelectric switching in epitaxial HZO prepared via PLD and provide insight into the behavior of HfO₂-based ferroelectrics across previously understudied range of operating temperatures.

Supplementary Material

See supplementary material for capacitive hysteresis, an additional comparison of the behavior of the switchable polarization with decreasing temperature that fixes the ratio of the applied field to the measured coercive field, details regarding the determination of the activation energy of the thermally-driven fatigue process, temperature-dependent leakage current measurements, and considerations for the influence of thermally-induced strain on the temperature-dependent ferroelectric behavior of Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂.

Acknowledgements

Work at Argonne (J. W. Adkins, S. R. Bakaul, electronic transport experiments, data analysis and contribution to manuscript writing) was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. Use of the Center for Nanoscale Materials was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under contract no. DE-AC02-06CH11357. J. T. Abiade acknowledges financial support from the U. S. National Science Foundation under grant number NSF-DMR-1508220. Financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, through the “Severo Ochoa” Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (SEV-2015-0496) and the MAT2017-85232-R (AEI/FEDER, EU), and MAT2015-73839-JIN projects, and from Generalitat de Catalunya (2017 SGR 1377) is acknowledged. J. W. Adkins acknowledges University of Illinois Chicago Pipeline to an Inclusive Faculty (PIF) Program. I. Fina acknowledges Ramón y Cajal contract RYC-2017-22531.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Coupled XRD scan of $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2/\text{La}_{0.66}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3/\text{LaNiO}_3/\text{CeO}_2/\text{YSZ}$ thin films on Si (100) substrates.

Fig. 2. P-E hysteresis and corresponding I-E behavior for pristine ferroelectric $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$. Data were collected using a max bias of 4 V (7.14 MV/cm) and a hysteresis period of 1 kHz.

Fig. 3. (a) P-E hysteresis of pristine $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ from 280 K to 33 K. (b) PUND evolution of switchable polarization up to 7.14 MV/cm from 280 K to 33 K. (c) P-E hysteresis of PUND-cycled $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ from 280 K to 33 K. (d) Switchable polarization (P_{sw}) and coercive field (E_c) from 280 K to 33 K before and after PUND measurements.

Fig. 4. (a) Evolution of memory window of $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ with fatigue cycles from 293 K to 33 K. Inset: Plot of the temperature-dependent function $\ln R(T)$ vs. $1000/T$ for $T = 293, 250, 220, 160, \text{ and } 100$ K. (b) Memory window vs. temperature from $T = 293$ K to 33 K at select cycling milestones.

Fig. 5. (a) P-E hysteresis of pristine $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ at 33 K and $E_{\text{app}} = 5.36 - 10.71$ MV/cm. (b) Normalized memory window vs. fatigue cycles at $E_{\text{app}} = 5.36 - 10.71$ MV/cm. (c) Plot of normalized memory window vs E_{app} for pristine $\text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ (black circles) and after 10^7 cycles (red squares).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

References

- ¹ T.S. Böske, J. Müller, D. Bräuhäus, U. Schröder, and U. Böttger, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, (2011).
- ² M. Hoffmann, U. Schroeder, C. Künneth, A. Kersch, S. Starschich, U. Böttger, and T. Mikolajick, *Nano Energy* **18**, 154 (2015).
- ³ M.H. Park, H.J. Kim, Y.J. Kim, T. Moon, K. Do Kim, Y.H. Lee, S.D. Hyun, and C.S. Hwang, *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 7956 (2016).
- ⁴ J. Müller, T.S. Böske, D. Bräuhäus, U. Schröder, U. Böttger, J. Sundqvist, P. Kcher, T. Mikolajick, and L. Frey, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 0 (2011).
- ⁵ Z. Fan, J. Chen, and J. Wang, *J. Adv. Dielectr.* **6**, 1 (2016).
- ⁶ S. Mueller, J. Mueller, A. Singh, S. Riedel, J. Sundqvist, U. Schroeder, and T. Mikolajick, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **22**, 2412 (2012).
- ⁷ S. Mueller, C. Adelmann, A. Singh, S. Van Elshocht, U. Schroeder, and T. Mikolajick, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.* **1**, N123 (2012).
- ⁸ T. Schenk, S. Mueller, U. Schroeder, R. Materlik, A. Kersch, M. Popovici, C. Adelmann, S. Van Elshocht, and T. Mikolajick, *Eur. Solid-State Device Res. Conf.* 260 (2013).
- ⁹ T. Shimizu, K. Katayama, T. Kiguchi, A. Akama, T.J. Konno, O. Sakata, and H. Funakubo, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 1 (2016).
- ¹⁰ J. Müller, T.S. Böske, U. Schröder, S. Mueller, D. Bräuhäus, U. Böttger, L. Frey, and T. Mikolajick, *Nano Lett.* **12**, 4318 (2012).
- ¹¹ D. Zhou, J. Xu, Q. Li, Y. Guan, F. Cao, X. Dong, J. Müller, T. Schenk, and U. Schröder, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, (2013).
- ¹² W.L. Warren, B.A. Tuttle, and D. Dimos, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **67**, 1426 (1995).
- ¹³ C. Brennan, *Ferroelectrics* **150**, 199 (1993).
- ¹⁴ T. Schenk, U. Schroeder, M. Pešić, M. Popovici, Y. V. Pershin, and T. Mikolajick, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **6**, 19744 (2014).
- ¹⁵ M. Pešić, F.P.G. Fengler, L. Larcher, A. Padovani, T. Schenk, E.D. Grimley, X. Sang, J.M. LeBeau, S. Slesazeck, U. Schroeder, and T. Mikolajick, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **26**, 4601 (2016).
- ¹⁶ M.H. Park, T. Schenk, C.M. Fancher, E.D. Grimley, C. Zhou, C. Richter, J.M. Lebeau, J.L. Jones, T. Mikolajick, and U. Schroeder, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **5**, 4677 (2017).
- ¹⁷ E.D. Grimley, T. Schenk, X. Sang, M. Pešić, U. Schroeder, T. Mikolajick, and J.M. LeBeau, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2**, (2016).
- ¹⁸ A. Chouprik, M. Spiridonov, S. Zarubin, R. Kirtaev, V. Mikheev, Y. Lebedinskii, S. Zakharchenko, and D. Negrov, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* **1**, 275 (2019).
- ¹⁹ J.M.D. Coey, M. Venkatesan, P. Stamenov, C.B. Fitzgerald, and L.S. Dorneles, *Phys. Rev. B* -

Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **72**, 3 (2005).

²⁰ E. Hildebrandt, J. Kurian, M.M. Miller, T. Schroeder, H.J. Kleebe, and L. Alff, Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, (2011).

²¹ M.H. Park, C.C. Chung, T. Schenk, C. Richter, M. Hoffmann, S. Wirth, J.L. Jones, T. Mikolajick, and U. Schroeder, Adv. Electron. Mater. **4**, 1 (2018).

²² S. Starschich, S. Menzel, and U. Böttger, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, (2016).

²³ D. Zhou, Y. Guan, M.M. Vopson, J. Xu, H. Liang, F. Cao, X. Dong, J. Mueller, T. Schenk, and U. Schroeder, Acta Mater. **99**, 240 (2015).

²⁴ D. Wang, J. Wang, Q. Li, W. He, M. Guo, A. Zhang, Z. Fan, D. Chen, M. Qin, M. Zeng, X. Gao, G. Zhou, X. Lu, and J. Liu, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **58**, 0 (2019).

²⁵ J. Lyu, I. Fina, R. Bachelet, G. Saint-Girons, S. Estandía, J. Gázquez, J. Fontcuberta, and F. Sánchez, Appl. Phys. Lett. **114**, 0 (2019).

²⁶ J. Lyu, T. Song, I. Fina, and F. Sánchez, Nanoscale **12**, 11280 (2020).

²⁷ A.F. Devonshire, Adv. Phys. **3**, 85 (1954).

²⁸ M. Vopsaroiu, J. Blackburn, M.G. Cain, and P.M. Weaver, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **82**, 1 (2010).

²⁹ M.H. Park, H.J. Kim, Y.J. Kim, Y.H. Lee, T. Moon, K. Do Kim, S.D. Hyun, F. Fengler, U. Schroeder, and C.S. Hwang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **8**, 15466 (2016).

³⁰ C.J. Brennan, R.D. Parrella, and D.E. Larsen, Ferroelectrics **151**, 33 (1994).

³¹ F. Huang, X. Chen, X. Liang, J. Qin, Y. Zhang, T. Huang, Z. Wang, B. Peng, P. Zhou, H. Lu, L. Zhang, L. Deng, M. Liu, Q. Liu, H. Tian, and L. Bi, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **19**, 3486 (2017).

³² N. Farag, M. Bobeth, W. Pompe, A.E. Romanov, and J.S. Speck, Phys. Status Solidi Appl. Mater. Sci. **202**, 44 (2005).

³³ R.P. Haggerty, P. Sarin, Z.D. Apostolov, P.E. Driemeyer, and W.M. Kriven, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **97**, 2213 (2014).

³⁴ D. de Ligny and P. Richet, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **53**, 3013 (1996).

³⁵ H. Inaba, Int. J. Thermophys. **21**, 249 (2000).

³⁶ R.A. De Souza, A. Ramadan, and S. Hörner, Energy Environ. Sci. **5**, 5445 (2012).

³⁷ J. Jiang, X. Hu, W. Shen, C. Ni, and J.L. Hertz, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, (2013).