Journal Pre-proof

Epigenetic differences in the innate response after immune stimulation during zebrafish sex differentiation

J. Moraleda-Prados, M. Caballero-Huertas, A. Valdivieso, S. Joly, J. Ji, N. Roher, L. Ribas

PII: S0145-305X(20)30403-1

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2020.103848>

Reference: DCI 103848

To appear in: *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*

Received Date: 6 June 2020

Revised Date: 27 August 2020

Accepted Date: 27 August 2020

Please cite this article as: Moraleda-Prados, J, Caballero-Huertas, M, Valdivieso, A, Joly, S, Ji, J, Roher, N, Ribas, L, Epigenetic differences in the innate response after immune stimulation during zebrafish sex differentiation, *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2020.103848>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Epigenetic differences in the innate response after immune stimulation during zebrafish sex differentiation

Moraleda-Prados J^{1Ψ}, Caballero-Huertas M^{1Ψ}, Valdivieso A¹, Joly S¹, Ji J^{2,3}, Roher N², Ribas L^{1*}

¹ Institut de Ciències del Mar, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC)

Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37–49. 08003 Barcelona, Spain

² Institut de Biotecnologia i Biomedicina (IBB) and Dep. de Fisiologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

³ Present address: Department of Marine Science and Engineering, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

^Ψ authors participate equally to this manuscript

*correspondence:

Dr Laia Ribas. Tel. +34–932309547; e-mail lribas@icm.csic.es

Keywords: gonads, immune, LPS, methylation, reproduction, sex ratio, zebrafish

Abstract (150 words)

Infections are able to trigger epigenetic modifications; however, epigenetic-mediating infections in the immune system in fish is currently unavailable. Within this purpose, zebrafish were immune-stimulated with three lipopolysaccharides (LPS) during sex differentiation. Methylation patterns of three immune genes were studied by a candidate gene approach together with gene expression analysis, and in adulthood, sex ratios were determined. It was shown that the entrance of LPS was through the gills and accumulated in the pronephros. Significant hypomethylation levels of *CASP9* and a significant CpG site for *IL1 β* after *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LPS exposure were found. No methylation difference was observed for *TNF α* . Gene expression and correlation data differed among studied genes. Sex ratios showed a feminization in dose and LPS strain-dependent manner. Here, it is provided epigenetic regulatory mechanisms derived by innate response and the first evidence of possible epigenetic interactions between the immune and reproductive systems.

Introduction

Epigenetic modifications occur in the host genome after infections altering the transcriptome and the corresponding signaling pathways (Marr et al., 2014). The understanding of epigenetic host-pathogen interactions is a flourishing research field to untangle the sophisticated cellular strategies (Gomez-Diaz et al., 2012; Zhang and Cao, 2019). In humans, plenty of studies give evidence of rapid change in DNA methylation of the innate immune cells to face infections (Sinclair et al., 2015; Wiencke et al., 2016; Pacis et al., 2019) and the importance of the epigenetic mechanisms in the immunity reprogramming (Netea et al., 2016; Binnie et al., 2020). In contrast, less data is found in fish. Findings in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) showed changes in DNA methylation dynamics after host-parasite interactions (Hu et al., 2018). In Atlantic salmon (*Salmon salar*) it is shown that stress response together with immune challenges altered transcriptome and methylome of the gills through immune responses (Uren Webster et al., 2018). In zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), viral infections showed that histone modification were able to increase methylation levels of the gene promoters associated with an innate immune response in head kidney, liver, spleen and heart (Medina-Gali et al., 2018). Surprisingly, to our knowledge, there is a lack of data regarding the epigenetic-mediating infections in the immune system in fish, and more in particular, in the fish gonads.

The interaction between reproduction and the immune system is present in fish although it is far to be understood. To prevent germ cells against pathogens, gonads are considered as immune-privileged organs (Maddocks and Setchell, 1990). The presence of immune cells has been described along the gonadal development in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.) (Chaves-Pozo et al., 2008; Chaves-Pozo et al., 2009), in turbot (*Scophthalmus maxima*) (Ribas et al., 2016) and in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (Ribas et al., 2019). Further, the pro-inflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor alpha (*TNF α*) and interleukin 1 beta (*IL1 β*) are important to regulate goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) testicular steroid biosynthesis (Lister and van der Kraak, 2002). In zebrafish, it is known that the undifferentiated gonad, first developed as an ovarian-like organ to latter, half of the population develop into testicular phenotype throughout the activation of apoptotic pathways (Liew and Orban, 2014). The nuclear factor kappa beta (NF- κ B) has a crucial role by blocking apoptotic pathways promoting cell survival and not allowing to activate the testicular pathway

and, consequently, the ovarian-like organ became ovaries (Orban et al., 2009). By treating zebrafish with heat-killed *Escherichia coli* during the sex differentiation (~15–40 days post fertilization, dpf), a skewed sex ratio towards females was observed, thus demonstrating the existence that the activation of the immune system has consequences in the final sexual phenotype (Pradhan et al., 2012). Strikingly, this is the only available study showing that the activation of the immune system during gonadal development is able to skew sex ratios in fish.

In contrast to mammals in which one master gene leads the sex of an individual (the sex determination region of the Y chromosome, *Sry*), in fish, the genetic but also environmental factors are responsible to determine the final phenotypic sex (Penman and Piferrer, 2008). In the last decade, it has been explored the role of epigenetics in the fish gonads, most of them focus on the temperature effects, demonstrating that the final sexual phenotype depends on the epigenetic-environment interactions (Piferrer, 2019).

In fish, the innate immune response is the most important mechanism to cope with immune challenges (Press and Evensen, 1999), although the adaptive immunity cannot be underestimated by gaining shreds of evidence during the last years of research (Secombes and Belmonte, 2016). The innate immune response is the first response when an infection occurs and requires the pathogen recognition through germline encoded by the pathogen recognition receptors (PRR) (Rauta et al., 2014). This recognition is fast and characterized by activating inflammatory pathways (Bayne and Gerwick, 2001) in which several cytokines, such as *IL1 β* together with interleukin 6 (*IL6*) and *TNF α* , are ones of the main players (Smith et al., 2000; Brocker et al., 2010). Caspases, including *CASP9*, are also important actors in the innate responses in fish by activating apoptotic pathways and the signaling pathways controlled by the inflammasome (McIlwain et al., 2013; Shalini et al., 2015).

Bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is the major outer surface membrane component present in almost all the Gram-negative bacteria and LPS is considered as a good model for studying acute inflammation in fish as most of the regulatory genes are conserved between zebrafish and mammals (Forn-Cuni et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the receptors triggering cellular activation are not the same. Once it is recognized by the cells, the response to LPS in fish is immediate as gene expression is altered in already 30 minutes

(min) (Novoa et al., 2009) and can last for several hours (h) (MacKenzie et al., 2008) or even for days (Abdel-Mageid et al., 2020).

The main objective of the present study was to evaluate epigenetic modifications undertaken/initiated by the activation of the innate immune system during the gonadal development. Consequently, influences of early immune activation during sex differentiation on the final sexual phenotypes were evaluated. To address it, zebrafish, a well-known experimental animal, was used to develop an *in vivo* model by using different LPS strains from three of the most common bacteria (i.e., *E. coli*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). First, we ensured LPS entrance in the zebrafish larva by using LPS conjugated with fluorescence; secondly, we performed dose-response experiments to determine the sensitivity to LPS treatments and the final consequences on sex ratios. Following, the DNA methylation patterns of three major players of the fish innate immune system (i.e., *IL1 β* , *TNF α* and *CASP9*) were studied in larvae after LPS immune stimulation. For this purpose, a candidate gene approach method (Multiplex Bisulfite Sequencing, MBS) that allows determining epigenetic changes at the level of single CpG sites (Anastasiadi et al., 2018b), were performed. In parallel, gene expression of the three immune genes together with their correlations with methylation levels were determined.

Materials and methods

1. Ethics statement

The experimental protocol was approved by the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) Ethics Committee within the project AGL2015-73864-JIN and licensed by the Bioethical Committee of the Generalitat de Catalunya under reference code 9977. European regulations of animal welfare (ETS N8 123, 01/01/91) were respected regarding fish maintenance. Likewise, ICM facilities were validated for animal experimentation by the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries (certificate number 08039-46-A) in accordance with the Spanish law (R.D. 223 of March 1988).

2. Animal rearing conditions

AB (ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENO-960809-7) laboratory strain zebrafish were housed in the animal facilities of the experimental aquariums at the Institute of Marine Sciences (ICM-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain). Zebrafish were reared in an *ad hoc* closed recirculating

system built for this purpose with a water pump of 3000 l h⁻¹ and a UV light system to eliminate any possible bacteria in the water. This system was placed in a chamber with a photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness, an air temperature of 26 ± 1°C and a humidity of 50 ± 3%. Physicochemical parameters were monitored daily, staying at appropriate conditions (Ribas and Piferrer, 2014), which included temperature (28 ± 0.2°C), pH (7.2 ± 0.5), conductivity (750–900 µS), and dissolved oxygen (6.5–7.0 mg l⁻¹). Sulfite, sulfate, nitrate and ammonia quality parameters were checked weekly using commercial kits and periodically by the water analysis service of the ICM-CSIC.

At the time of mating for natural egg fertilization, one female per male coming from different families were placed in crossing tanks in order to maintain the interfamilial variation observed in this fish species (Ribas et al., 2017a). The total number of fertilized eggs was counted to guarantee fertility according to the reference values for this species and fish post-hatch survival agreed with OECD's guidelines for the Fish Sexual Development Test (OECD, 2011). About 50 eggs were arranged per Petri dish with E3 embryonic medium (pH 7.2 ± 0.5) supplemented with 0.1% methylene blue (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) at 26 ± 1°C until 6 dpf. Then, 25–35 larvae were transferred into 2.8 liter plastic tanks (Aquaneering, mod. ZT280) to avoid masculinization due to rearing density effects (Ribas et al., 2017b).

Fishes were fed three times a day according to their stages of development: 6–15 dpf received specific larvae dried food (17.FO.CE.0611, Sparos I&D Nutrition in Aquaculture) and from 15 dpf onwards, they were fed with pellets of increasing size (AquaSchwarz, Göttingen, Germany). In all stages up to 10 dpf, diets were complemented with *Artemia nauplii* (AF48, INVE Aquaculture, Dendermonde, Belgium).

3. Purification of LPS

Commercial LPS from *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* (L2630 and L9143, respectively, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) were dissolved in Milli-Q water at 1 µg ml⁻¹ and, subsequently, kept at –20°C. In order to obtain *A. hydrophila* LPS, bacteria were grown on LB agar plates containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. A single isolated colony was inoculated first in 50 ml LB overnight (O/N) culture to later grown O/N in 2.5 l of LB for the large-scale production. The *A. hydrophila* culture was centrifuged at 6,000 rpm,

4°C, 30 min in a Beckman JLA 8.1000 rotor. Then resuspended sequentially in PBS, ethanol, acetone and diethyl ether to finally prepare the dried biomass. Then a phenol-water extraction method was used to separate the LPS from the biomass into two phases after performing four centrifugations at 4°C. The LPS was further dialyzed at 4°C for seven days and its concentration was checked using NanoDrop One spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). The solution was diluted to obtain a final working $1 \mu\text{g} \mu\text{l}^{-1}$ concentration.

4. LPS entrance to larvae body

The LPS conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (*E. coli* O111: B4 LPS-FITC, F3665, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) was dissolved in Milli-Q water at a concentration of $1 \mu\text{g} \text{ml}^{-1}$ and, subsequently, kept in a refrigerator at 4°C for further uses. All procedures were done in the dark to avoid FITC degradation. To visualize the entrance of LPS-FITC, 20 dpf larvae were bath exposed to *E. coli* LPS-FITC in 1.5 ml glass vials for 3 h (1 larva per tube). The four groups were: control group (CT) (without LPS) (N = 4), $150 \mu\text{g} \text{ml}^{-1}$ of *E. coli* LPS (N = 4), and $150 \mu\text{g} \text{ml}^{-1}$ (N = 4) and $750 \mu\text{g} \text{ml}^{-1}$ (N = 4) of *E. coli* LPS-FITC (Fig. S1). After exposures, larvae were fixed with 500 μl of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.2 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS) in glass vials. Then, the samples were washed with 0.2 M PBS three times for ten min each. Once the fixation was completed, the 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) fluorescence staining (D9542, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) was added. For this purpose, 1 μl of DAPI was diluted in 3 ml of 0.2 M PBS remaining in darkness. Then, 500 μl of this solution was placed in borosilicate vials for 5 min. Finally, larvae were washed twice for one minute each with 0.2 M PBS and placed on a glass slide for microscopical analysis under the Zeiss LSM880 microscope using the software ZEN 2 pro.

5. Immune stimulation experiments

5.1. Dose-response experiments

These dose-response experiments were performed to obtain a non-lethal dose capable of trigger an immune response. LPS from three different bacteria species, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *A. hydrophila*, were used for dose-response experiments. A total of 16 larvae at 15 dpf per each of the two-three technical replicates were bath-immersed in different LPS concentrations of the different strains (Fig. S1). Two, four, and three

biological family pairs were used for *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *A. hydrophila*, respectively. The total number of larvae used for dose-response experiments were 192, 768, 1008 for *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *A. hydrophila*, respectively. For testing LPS from *E. coli*, larvae were bathed in 0, 150 and 300 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ LPS dilutions. For LPS from *P. aeruginosa*, larvae were subjected to concentrations of 0, 150, 175 and 200 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. Lastly, the tested concentrations of *A. hydrophila* LPS were 0, 25, 50, 75, 150, 200 and 300 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$.

Larvae were placed in 3 ml glass containers for 24 h with the corresponding LPS concentration for the three LPS strains, except for the control group. Glass vessels containing larvae were incubated at $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in an oven chamber allowing circadian rhythms (12 h light:12 h dark). After 3 h of *P. aeruginosa* LPS treatment, larvae were washed with PBS and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C for further DNA methylation (N = 10) and gene expression (N = 10) analyses. The same procedure was followed for *A. hydrophila* LPS treated larvae after 3 h for gene expression analyses (N = 10). During the following hours, survival for the three LPS strains was recorded.

5.2. Immune stimulations during sex differentiation

Based on the observed survival results from dose-response experiments, to study sex ratio resulting from immune stimulations during sex differentiation we repeated the *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* treatments as previously reported with the 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ concentration (Fig. S1). A set of larvae of 15 dpf were treated with *E. coli* LPS and other two batches of larvae of 15 and 25 dpf were treated with *P. aeruginosa* LPS for 24 h. Between two to three technical replicates in one and two different biological family pairs for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* LPS, respectively, were used. The total initial larvae used for this experiment was 96 and 320 for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* LPS, respectively. Once LPS treatments finished, larvae were reared in tanks and placed in the rack system until adulthood to study sex ratios (120 dpf).

Due to the lack of sex ratio differences in adulthood observed from larvae exposed for 24 h of immune stimulation from both *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* strains, we extended the treatment along gonadal development based on the methodology reported in Ribas et al. (2017d) to obtain sex ratio differences. Thus, 18 dpf fish were immersed 7 times during sex differentiation period (18–32 dpf) for 3 h using *A. hydrophila* LPS using

concentrations of 25, 75 and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (Fig. S1). A total of seven family pairs ($N = 2$, $N = 2$ and $N = 3$) for concentrations of 25, 75 and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of *A. hydrophila* LPS, respectively) were used. For each family pair, three technical replicates were performed and a total of 816 initial number of fish was used. After 3h of immune stimulation baths, larvae were washed using the same procedure as described above. At the end of the treatments, fish of 32 dpf were reared in tanks and placed in the rack system until 120 dpf for sex ratio analyses. To evaluate possible stress originated from the experimental procedures, a negative control group (mock) was added to the experiment consisting of offspring of the same batch that was never removed from the tanks.

6. Methylation analysis

Methylation levels of larvae at 15 dpf treated 3 h with *P. aeruginosa* LPS at 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ were studied for three immune genes i.e., *IL1 β* , *CASP9* and *TNF α* by MBS technique following the procedures described elsewhere in (Anastasiadi et al., 2018b; Caballero-Huertas et al., 2020). Briefly, genomic DNA from five larvae for each group was extracted by 1 μg of proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) overnight at 65 °C and the following day, a standard phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol protocol with ribonuclease A (PureLink RNase A, Life Technologies) was performed and bisulphite converted. The targeted portion of the promoter was amplified with two PCR rounds by using costumed primers for the three immune genes (Table S1). Primer specificities were previously validated by Sanger sequencing of amplicons from a pool of samples. Amplicon regions included the promoter, the first exon and the first intron were included to the extent possible. Adaptor sequences for 16S metagenomic library preparation (Illumina) were added to the 5' ends of the primers designed:

Forward-TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG and Reverse-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG. The target regions included a total of nine for *IL1 β* , 19 for *CASP9* and seven for *TNF α* CpGs, respectively.

Resulting PCR products were indexed by Nextera XT index Kit Set A (Illumina; FC-131–2001) according to Illumina's protocol for 16 S metagenomic library preparation and were pooled in an equimolar manner to obtain a single multiplexed library which was sequenced in a MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, California) using the paired-end (PE) reads 250 bp protocol at the National Center of Genomic Analysis (CNAG, Barcelona).

Raw sequencing data were submitted in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) from NCBI (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) with the accession number: GSE134400.

7. Gene expression analysis

RNA was extracted from larvae treated for 3 h with *P. aeruginosa* LPS at 150, 175 and 200 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ concentrations and with *A. hydrophila* LPS at 25, 75 and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$. RNA was individually extracted from 5 larvae each group with TRIzol (T9424, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) according to manufactured procedures. RNA pellets were suspended in 25 μl DEPC-water and kept at -80°C . RNA concentration was determined by ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies) and RNA quality was checked on a 1% agarose/formaldehyde gel. By following supplier protocols, 200 ng of RNA were treated with DNase I, Amplification Grade (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) and retrotranscribed to cDNA with SuperScript III RNase Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Spain) with Random hexamer (Invitrogen, Spain). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was carried out in technical triplicates for each sample with the SYBR Green chemistry (Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix; Applied Biosystems). The conditions in the thermocycler: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min in a 384-well plate (CFX-386, Touch BioRad). The qPCRs were run in optically clear 384-well plates. Finally, a temperature-determining dissociation step was performed at 95°C for 15 seconds (sec), 60°C for 15 sec and 95°C for 15 sec at the end of the amplification phase. Dissociation step, primers efficiency curves and PCR product sequencing confirmed the specificity for each primer pair. The qPCR primers used in this analysis are shown in Table S2.

8. Bioinformatics analysis

Raw data from the sequencer were demultiplexed based on the index codes by the Illumina software and adapters were removed using the Trim Galore! software (v. 0.4.5) (Babraham Bioinformatics). Quality controls of the samples were carried out during pre- and post-trimming using the FastQC software (v. 0.11.8) (Andrews, 2010) to ensure adapters were cut off correctly (Ewels et al., 2016). Low-quality bases were filtered (Phred score <20) and only PE reads were used for this analysis.

We used *in silico* bisulfite-converted zebrafish genome (danRer11, GCA_000002035.4) as a reference to align the PE using both steps procedures Bismark software (v.20.0) (Krueger and Andrews, 2011). Bisulfite conversion efficiency was calculated for each sample with a minimum threshold of 99.0%. All samples passed the minimum threshold. The “*BSgenome.Drerio.UCSC.danRer11*” package was used to obtain the coordinate positions of all CpG sites (TBD, 2019). Data were cleaned up, labelled and tabulated using the Python 3 web-based environment Jupyter Notebook (v. 5.7.4). Every single CpG site coordinate was checked after deleting those whose coverage was below 10 reads. Then, we calculated the methylation levels by averaging the CpG site values in each gene for each sample and then were averaged by treatment. For individual CpGs sites, the methylation values were averaged by the coordinate CpG position by the treatment.

9. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using RStudio (v. 1.1.456). Data were expressed as mean $\pm$ S.E.M and the differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$. Graphs were generated either by Sigma Plot software (v. S13.0) and by using the “*ggplot2*” package (v. 3.1.0) (Wickham, 2009).

DNA methylation data

To work with a methylation database, the “*dyplir*” package were used (Wickham et al., 2020). DNA methylation differences between control and LPS groups were determined by Student t-tests. Previously, homoscedasticity was checked by Levene’s test for every single group, as well as normality was tested by the Shapiro-Wilk test for each group. When normality was not followed, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed.

Gene expression and correlation analyses

Data obtained from qPCR were collected by SDS 2.3 and RQ Manager 1.2 software. For each sample, the relative quantity (RQ) values of the genes of interest were used to normalize against the geometric mean value of two references genes (*EF α* and *RPL3A*) validated for zebrafish (Tang et al., 2007) and the fold change was calculated using the $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). One-way ANOVA was used to detect differences in gene expression between treatments. Previously, homoscedasticity was checked by Levene’s test for every single group, as well as normality was tested by the

Shapiro-Wilk test for each group. When normality was not followed, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed. Tukey's test was used to perform *post hoc* multiple comparisons. Correlation analyses between methylation and gene expression were performed by a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r_s) test using the *corrplot* package (v. 0.84).

Survival data from dose-response experiments

For dose-response experimental data, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov's and Levene's tests were used to check data normality and the homoscedasticity of variances, respectively. Then, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect possible differences among groups. Tukey's test was used to perform *post hoc* multiple comparisons.

Sex ratio statistics from immune stimulation experiments

Statistical significance of the resulting sex ratio was calculated by using the Chi-square test (χ^2) with the application of the Yates correction (Yates, 1934).

Results

1. LPS penetrates through the gills and recruited in the pronephros

Positive LPS-FITC signal was observed inside the larvae, in particular in the pronephros area, after 3 h of bath incubation at $150 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1C). No signal was observed in the negative control group neither in none-LPS conjugated (Fig. 1B and D). Likewise, after bathing with a higher concentration ($750 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$), fluorescence was observed in the gill arches (Fig. 1E). To facilitate the observation of LPS localization inside the larvae, figure 1A is a diagram of the dorsal view of illustrating the location of pronephros, branchial arches and developing gonad.

2. Fish survival depends on LPS strain

E. coli LPS treatments did not affect larva survival as both concentrations used showed similar results as the control group after 24, 48 and 72 h post-treatment (Fig. S2A). No differences in larva survival were observed during the 24 h LPS treatment (data not shown).

In *P. aeruginosa* LPS immune stimulation experiments, the larva survival rate behaved in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. S2B). After 3 h onwards at $200 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ a significant decrease in survival was observed when compared to control group. At $175 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ a

significant decrease in larva survival was observed after 24 h whereas no differences were found at 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$.

Survival observed from *A. hydrophila* LPS experiments showed a clear dose-dependent pattern although not enough biological replicates were obtained for all the concentrations (Fig. S2C). Nevertheless, with the dose of 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, a significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) in fish survival was observed after 24 h.

3. LPS altered the DNA methylation of immune genes

After treating 15 dpf larvae for 3 h with *P. aeruginosa* LPS at 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, no significant differences in *IL1 β* mean methylation were found between control and LPS groups (Fig. 2A). However, at individual CpG sites, a significant ($P = 0.00902$) methylation difference were found in the CpG9 site (position +189 after first ATG) with hypomethylation in the LPS group (Fig. 2B).

Significant differences ($P = 0.001305$) in mean DNA methylation between control and LPS groups were detected in *CASP9* (Fig. 2C), being methylation levels in LPS group lower than the control group. The same pattern was found in all CpG sites were individually studied and concretely, CpG11 site (position -95 to first ATG) was significantly hypomethylated in LPS group when compared to control ($P = 0.01337$) (Fig. 2D). *TNF α* did not show significant differences in DNA methylation levels between control and treatment groups both by the mean or by CpG sites analysis as DNA methylation patterns were not altered (Fig. 2E and F).

4. Gene expression varies for each immune gene and depends on LPS strain

No significant up or downregulation in gene expression of the three studied genes were found at 15 dpf larvae treated with *P. aeruginosa* LPS. *IL1 β* gene was repressed more than four and seven times after 3 h of incubation at 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ and 175 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ being the former concentration almost significant ($P = 0.0512$) (Fig. 3A).

Gene expression of *IL1 β* gene in larvae treated 3h with *A. hydrophila* LPS upregulated significantly ($P < 0.01$) for 75 and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ concentrations when compared to control group. Gene expression was significantly upregulated in a dose-dependent manner with more than twenty-fold change when compared to the lowest concentration (i.e., 25 μg

ml⁻¹) (Fig. 3B). No significance in gene expression differences was observed in *CASP9* (Fig. 3D) while TNF α expression was significantly downregulated in the highest dose (Fig. 3F).

5. Negative correlation observed are mostly not significant

Correlation analyses were studied between DNA methylation and gene expression levels in *P. aeruginosa* LPS treated larvae during 3h at 150 μ g ml⁻¹. Results for five out of the six studied groups showed a negative tendency (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, only one correlation was significant in *TNF α* LPS group ($P = 0.01667$; $r_s = -1$). No significant correlations between methylation and gene expression levels were found in *IL1 β* ($P = 0.35$; $r_s = 0.6$ and $P = 0.6833$; $r_s = -0.3$, in control and LPS, respectively) or in *CASP9* ($P = 0.35$; $r_s = -0.1$ and $P = 0.6833$; $r_s = -0.3$, in control and LPS, respectively).

6. Feminization depends on the duration of the exposure and the LPS strain

Percent of males of control groups of the eleven families used for immune stimulation ranged among standard levels in AB strain (53–78%). Larvae of zebrafish treated during 24 h with *E. coli* or *P. aeruginosa* LPS at 150 μ g ml⁻¹ was not able to skew sex ratios in populations (Fig. 5A and B). However, in the *P. aeruginosa* LPS treatments a feminization tendency was observed. Likewise, 25 μ g ml⁻¹ repeated exposures for 3 h during seven times along the gonadal development with *A. hydrophila* LPS did not interfere sex ratio (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, those larvae treated with a concentration of 75 μ g ml⁻¹ of *A. hydrophila* LPS showed a significant ($P < 0.01$) increase in the number of females (Fig. 6B). In contrast, this feminization tendency was not observed after increasing the concentration up to 150 μ g ml⁻¹ of *A. hydrophila* LPS but without statistical significance (Fig. 6C). Nevertheless, a significant difference was found in the mock group when compared to control and LPS treated ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion

In the present work, we used the LPS, a molecule described as a good inflammatory model in zebrafish (Yang et al., 2014), to activate the immune system in the developing gonads to study DNA methylation impact and the possible consequences on the final sexual phenotype. To ensure that the LPS penetrated the larvae body, as well as to visualize which tissues it interacts with, larvae were submerged during 3 h in water with

E. coli LPS conjugated with FITC. It was observed that LPS penetrated through the gills, with clear evidence in the highest LPS concentration. Penetration of labelled antigens by bath immersion through the gills was observed in other fish species such as rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) or common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) (Kiryu et al., 2000; Huising et al., 2003). It is known that gills, together with the nose, the skin, the gut and the urogenital system constitute the first line of fish defense (Rombout et al., 2014). Gills are mucosal tissues with rapid renewing allowing to recover from minor epithelial damages (Lyndon and Houlihan, 1998), and after infections, locally secreted factors allowing the migration of circulating leukocytes such as neutrophils (Huising et al., 2003) or lymphocytes (Castro et al., 2014). In addition, LPS fluorescence was detected near the head of the larvae where pronephros is located (Outtandy et al., 2019). Pronephros consists of glomerulus connected by two bilateral ducts and persist in the same position during the first month after fertilization (Drummond et al., 1998). The role of pronephros is essential in the osmoregulation in fish but also in functions as an immune organ with the presence of B lymphocytes and immunoglobulins two weeks after fertilization (Zapata et al., 2006). In common carp, pronephros increased up to 53% of the platelet-forming cells when infected (Rijkers et al., 1980). After ontogenic development, pronephros becomes the head kidney which is considered the principal immune organ in fish and it is homologous to mammalian bone marrow (Meseguer et al., 1995; Rauta et al., 2012). In adult fish, LPS insults in the head kidney increased cell proliferation to cope with the infection (Ribas et al., 2008). Therefore, our observation in the pronephros belongs to the recruitment of labeled LPS-FITC by the activation of the immune cells responsible to cope with the insult. No fluorescence was detected in the abdominal area where the differentiating gonads are located at any of the concentrations of LPS tested, maybe due to the short exposure time or the experimental procedure. Liposomes containing labeled LPS showed accumulation in zebrafish gills after bath immersion but an intraperitoneal injection was required to be visible in the internal tissues (i.e., spleen) (Ruyra et al., 2013). With the help of flow-cytometry, observation of the liposome positive cells were also possible in the head kidney (Ruyra et al., 2014). Thus, to identify labeled LPS in the developing gonads further experimental procedures should be accomplished.

Once we knew that LPS was inside the larvae, we studied the DNA methylation levels of three important innate immune-related genes at 15 dpf zebrafish when sex

differentiation takes place in this fish species. In all of the genes studied, LPS was able to reduce DNA methylation levels on the promoter regions after only 3 h of treatment, although only a significant alteration of mean DNA methylation was observed for *CASP9* gene. These results showed the rapid activation of the immune system by epigenetic regulatory mechanisms. When looking in *CASP9* at individual CpG sites, only the CpG11 was differentially hypomethylated when compared to the control group although hypomethylation tendency was observed in all the 19 CpGs studied. In Atlantic salmon fry, *in silico* associations between transcriptomic data obtained after *A. aeruginosa* LPS treatment with methylation data obtained after chronic stress treatments showed that some immune genes, including *CASP3a*, suffered hypermethylation and reduced expression, although other were hypomethylated (Uren Webster et al., 2018). Thus, denoting that in fish there is a crosstalk between the environment and DNA methylation of genes related to the immune system.

Here, despite not finding differences in *IL1 β* global methylation levels between control and LPS groups, there were significant DNA hypomethylation differences in the CpG9 site after LPS treatment. The rest of the CpG sites showed similar methylation levels between control and LPS groups. In adult zebrafish, dimorphic differences in the methylation patterns of *IL1 β* between ovaries and testes were observed (Caballero-Huertas et al., 2020). Strikingly, all CpGs studied in the mature zebrafish gonads showed significant dimorphic differences except for the CpG9 site, in which similar methylation levels were found in both sexes. Recent findings in mice gonads showed dimorphic epigenetic marks in the Wnt/beta-catenin signalling pathway that allows activating the ovary formation and maintenance (Koth et al., 2020). Overall, and although more research is needed specifically in infected adult gonads, CpG9 site might function as an important player of the immune response in fish and acts independently to the sex of an individual.

LPS mimics bacterial infections and consequently, a cascade of inflammatory pathways are triggered, including the expression of interleukins and cytokines (Bayne and Gerwick, 2001; Novoa et al., 2009). Gene expression levels of *IL1 β* gene was significantly increased after *A. hydrophila* LPS immersion treatments but not significance was found after *P. aeruginosa* LPS thus showing that *A. hydrophila* likely stimulated the innate response to a greater extent than *P. aeruginosa*. Nevertheless,

IL1 β gene expression at 175 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ in *P. aeruginosa* LPS was almost significant. Thus, in both LPS, *IL1 β* expression was upregulated after only 3h of LPS treatments in a dose dependent manner while no difference was found in *CASP9*. In contrast, *TNF α* was inhibited at the highest dose after 3h of *A. hydrophila* LPS treatment. Another published study in zebrafish larvae treated with *E. coli* LPS observed a decrease of *IL1 β* expression in a low dose but an increase in a high dose at 3 h (Novoa et al., 2009). These dose-related differences could be linked with the tolerance response to LPS in which it may protect the host from developing a shock syndrome caused by hyperactivation of the immune system (Henricson et al., 1991; Medvedev et al., 2000). Thus, when the immune system is hyperactivated, there is a suppression of the production of many cytokines which can reprogramme the immune cells setting up an adaptation to future bacterial infections (Shnyra et al., 1998). Thus, low doses of LPS may exert beneficial effects by enhancing the protection against different fish diseases and, in fact, LPS is used as adjuvant in some fish vaccines (LaFrentz et al., 2004; Selvaraj et al., 2009; Vallejos-Vidal et al., 2016).

The MBS methodology here performed for DNA methylation analyses was based on a high resolution at locus-specific but amplifies limited regions of DNA (~500 bp), and although, targeted regions intended to include promoters, first intron and first exon, the entire gene body and possible enhancer were not fully covered. Consequently, our correlation analyses were not significant in any of the genes tested except for *TNF α* in the LPS group. Nevertheless, data showed slight inverse correlations between DNA methylation levels and gene expression for *CASP9* and *TNF α* genes following the pattern dictated by the classical dogma; low DNA methylation of CpG-rich promoters is associated with the activation of the gene transcription machinery (Jones and Takai, 2001; Deaton and Bird, 2011). Nevertheless, current research shows that DNA methylation patterns are more dynamic and complex as hypomethylation of the promoters is associated with gene silencing through the blockage of the transcription initiation machinery (Ambrosi et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2020). Thus, other genomic elements rather than the promoters contribute to transcriptional regulation like the exons (Brenet et al., 2011), gene body (Blattler et al., 2014), the introns (Anastasiadi et al., 2018a), as well as post transcription modifications (Shilatifard, 2006). That could be the case of the pattern observed in the *IL1 β* correlation analysis.

In fish, abiotic factors such as temperature (Ribas et al., 2017a) or hypoxia (Shang et al., 2006) and biotic factors such as density (Ribas et al., 2017b, c) are able to skew sex ratios towards males in the final population. In contrast, zebrafish populations treated with chemical compounds i.e. demethylation agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC) (Ribas et al., 2017e), or with biotic factors such as *E. coli* heat-killed bacteria (Pradhan et al., 2012) during sex differentiation, showed sex ratio bias towards females. In this study, we have tested different bacterial strains at different concentrations to determine whether activation of the immune system was able to alter the final phenotypic sex in the developing zebrafish larvae. Larvae treated with *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* LPS at $150 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ for a short period (i.e., 24 h) during sex differentiation did not have any effect in the final sex ratios. However, repeated exposures along the sex differentiation with *A. hydrophila* LPS at $75 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ demonstrated a significant feminization of the populations ($P < 0.01$) in a similar manner previously described by Pradhan et al. (2012). Although we did not find significant feminization at lower ($25 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) and higher doses ($150 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$), a tendency towards females was observed in the former. Thus, higher number of biological family replications should be further tested. Nevertheless, overall sex ratio results showed the existence of a crosstalk between the immune system and the reproduction system in zebrafish.

Needs to be discussed that despite an observed trend towards the increase in the number of females under $150 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* LPS, significant results were only found when compared LPS treated and control groups to mock control group. Results indicated that experimental procedure performed to treat larvae of zebrafish with LPS along sex differentiation caused stress. It is known that cortisol released by stress response is able to masculinize fish populations (Fernandino et al., 2012) and in the case of zebrafish, synthetic cortisol was able to fully masculinize populations (Ribas et al., 2017b). Thus, based on the present data, LPS treatment might attenuate the masculinization by counteracting the stress, thus reinforcing that the activation of the immune system during sex differentiation is able to feminize zebrafish populations. Not finding sex ratio differences in other LPS strains tested or other doses might be explained by the fact that sensitivity to the LPS response depends on the LPS bacterial origin species, serotype, doses, experimental concentrations and the time of duration of the exposure (Novoa et al., 2009). It is known that fish, together with amphibians, are

resistant to the toxic effect of LPS as high doses are required to trigger an immune response when compared to mammals (Berczi et al., 1966; Sepulcre et al., 2009) and these differences were originated by diverse molecular strategies to recognize LPS (Chu and Mazmanian, 2013; Yang et al., 2018).

Conclusions

Epigenetics integrates environmental cues and genomic information to determine the final phenotype. Here, we have shown that the immune stimulation by LPS in the developing zebrafish rapidly hypomethylates *CASP9* and *IL1 β* genes identifying, in the former, a CpG which might play an important role in the immune system in zebrafish. Gene expression data is altered only after 3h of immune challenges with higher sensitivity of *A. hydrophila* when compared to *P. aeruginosa*. LPS entrance by immersion is through the gills and recruited in the pronephros. Further, LPS is able to skew sex ratios towards females but in a dose and strain-dependent manner. The present work emphasizes the importance of epigenetic control in the response of zebrafish immune system during gonadal development and reinforces the existence of the crosstalk between reproductive and immune systems.

Acknowledgements

This study was mainly supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) projects AGL2015-73864-JIN “AMBISEX” together with RyC2018-024017-I and 202030E004 “INTEROMICS” from Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) grants to LR. Further, by Spanish Ministry of Science, European Commission and AGAUR funds to NR (RTI2018-096957-B-C21, MINECO/FEDER and 2014SGR-345 AGAUR). Special thanks to Dr. Francesc Piferrer for his mentoring in this study. We thank Gemma Fuster, Dani Ortega, Esther Hoyo and Clara Barcelón for their help with fish husbandry.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Immune stimulation with *E. coli* LPS-FITC labelled. Images obtained from fluorescence microscopy of 20 days post fertilization zebrafish larvae (blue by DAPI

labelling). (A) Dorsal view of a larva illustrating the location of pronephros, branchial arches and developing gonad. (B) Control (without the addition of LPS). (C) Immune stimulated larva with 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of LPS-FITC (green). (D) Immune stimulated larva with 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of LPS. (E) Immune stimulated larva with 750 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of LPS-FITC (green).

Figure 2. Percent of DNA methylation levels of immune genes of 15 dpf larvae exposed to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LPS for 3 h. (A) *IL1 β* percentage of mean DNA methylation levels under *P. aeruginosa* immersion treatment. (B) DNA methylation percentage for each of the nine CpG analyzed in *IL1 β* . (C) *CASP9* percentage of mean DNA methylation under *P. aeruginosa* immersion treatment. (D) DNA methylation percent for each of the 19 CpG analyzed in *CASP9*. (E) *TNF α* percentage of mean DNA methylation levels under *P. aeruginosa* immersion treatment. (F) DNA methylation percentage for each of the seven CpG analyzed in *TNF α* . Significant differences among groups ($P < 0.05$) were analyzed by Student t-tests and when normality was not followed, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed.

Figure 3. (A) *IL1 β* , (C) *CASP9* and (E) *TNF α* expression profiles after 3 h of treatment with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LPS at different concentrations in 15 dpf larvae. (B) *IL1 β* , (D) *CASP9* and (F) *TNF α* expression profiles after 3 h of treatment with *Aeromonas hydrophila* LPS at different concentrations in 15 dpf larvae. Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM of fold change using control values set at 1. Sample size N = 5 larvae per treatment. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are symbolized by letters between treated groups and by asterisks between treated and control groups: * ($P < 0.05$), ** ($P < 0.01$). Statistics were analyzed by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 4. Correlations among DNA methylation and gene expression of (A) *IL1 β* , (B) *CASP9* and (C) *TNF α* genes. Correlations were analyzed by Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r_s).

Figure 5. Zebrafish sex ratio at 120 days post fertilization (dpf) after 24 h of 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ LPS challenges. (A) Sex ratio of fish treated with *Escherichia coli* LPS at 15 dpf. The total number of fish are 35 and 64 in control and LPS groups, respectively. Each data

point is the mean $\pm$ SEM, corresponding to three technical replicates for one breeding pair. **(B)** Sex ratio of fish treated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LPS at 15 and 25 dpf. Total numbers of fish are 98 and 150 in 15 and 25 dpf, respectively. Each data point is the mean $\pm$ SEM, corresponding to two to three technical replicates for each of the two breeding pairs. Significant differences among groups ($P < 0.05$) were analyzed by the Chi-squared test with Yate's correction.

Figure 6. Sex ratio of fish treated with *Aeromonas hydrophila* LPS during sex differentiation (18-32 dpf). **(A)** Sex ratio results obtained at 25 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of *A. hydrophila* LPS. Total numbers of fish are 121 and 116 in control and treated groups, respectively. **(B)** Sex ratio results obtained at 75 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of *A. hydrophila* LPS. Total numbers of fish are 84 and 87 in control and treated groups, respectively. **(C)** Sex ratio results obtained at 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of *A. hydrophila* LPS. Total numbers of fish are 143, 146 and 72 in control, treated and mock control group, respectively. Each data point is the mean $\pm$ SEM, corresponding to breeding families (N = 2 families, N = 2 families, N = 3 families for 25, 75 and 150 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, respectively) for each of the two or three technical replicates used for each concentration. Significant differences among groups ($P < 0.05$) were analyzed by the Chi-squared test with Yate's correction.

References

- Abdel-Mageid, A.D., Zaki, A.G., El Senosi, Y.A., Fahmy, H.A., El Asely, A.M., Abo-Al-Ela, H.G., El-Kassas, S., 2020. Modulatory effect of lipopolysaccharide on immune-related gene expression and serum protein fractionation in grey mullet, *Mugil cephalus*. *Aquacult. Res.*, 10.
- Ambrosi, C., Manzo, M., Baubec, T., 2017. Dynamics and context-dependent roles of DNA methylation. *J. Mol. Biol.* 429, 1459-1475.
- Anastasiadi, D., Esteve-Codina, A., Piferrer, F., 2018a. Consistent inverse correlation between DNA methylation of the first intron and gene expression across tissues and species. *Epigenet. Chromatin* 11, 37.
- Anastasiadi, D., Vandeputte, M., Sanchez-Baizan, N., Allal, F., Piferrer, F., 2018b. Dynamic epimarks in sex-related genes predict gonad phenotype in the European sea bass, a fish with mixed genetic and environmental sex determination. *Epigenetics* 13, 988-1011.
- Andrews, S., 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Bayne, C.J., Gerwick, L., 2001. The acute phase response and innate immunity of fish. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 25, 725-743.
- Berczi, I., Bertok, L., Berezna, T., 1966. Comparative studies on toxicity of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide endotoxin in various animal species. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 12, 1070-+.
- Binnie, A., Tsang, J.L.Y., Hu, P., Carrasqueiro, G., Castelo-Branco, P., Dos Santos, C.C., 2020. Epigenetics of sepsis. *Crit. Care Med.* 48, 745-756.
- Blattler, A., Yao, L.J., Witt, H., Guo, Y., Nicolet, C.M., Berman, B.P., Farnham, P.J., 2014. Global loss of DNA methylation uncovers intronic enhancers in genes showing expression changes. *Genome Biol.* 15.

- Brenet, F., Moh, M., Funk, P., Feierstein, E., Viale, A.J., Socci, N.D., Scandura, J.M., 2011. DNA methylation of the first exon is tightly linked to transcriptional silencing. *Plos One* 6.
- Brocker, C., Thompson, D., Matsumoto, A., Nebert, D.W., Vasiliou, V., 2010. Evolutionary divergence and functions of the human interleukin (IL) gene family. *Hum. Genomics* 5, 30.
- Caballero-Huertas, M., Moraleda-Prados, J., Joly, S., Ribas, L., 2020. Immune genes, IL1 beta and Casp9, show sexual dimorphic methylation patterns in zebrafish gonads. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 97, 648-655.
- Castro, R., Bromage, E., Abos, B., Pignatelli, J., Granja, A.G., Luque, A., Tafalla, C., 2014. CCR7 is mainly expressed in teleost gills, where it defines an IgD(+)IgM(-) B lymphocyte subset. *J. Immunol.* 192, 1257-1266.
- Chaves-Pozo, E., Liarte, S., Fernandez-Alacid, L., Abellan, E., Meseguer, J., Mulero, V., Garcia-Ayala, A., 2008. Pattern of expression of immune-relevant genes in the gonad of a teleost, the gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Mol. Immunol.* 45, 2998-3011.
- Chaves-Pozo, E., Liarte, S., Mulero, I., Abellan, E., Meseguer, J., Garcia-Ayala, A., 2009. Early presence of immune cells in the developing gonad of the gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* Linnaeus, 1758). *J. Reprod. Dev.* 55, 440-445.
- Chu, H., Mazmanian, S.K., 2013. Innate immune recognition of the microbiota promotes host-microbial symbiosis. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 668.
- Deaton, A.M., Bird, A., 2011. CpG islands and the regulation of transcription. *Genes Dev.* 25, 1010-1022.
- Drummond, I.A., Majumdar, A., Hentschel, H., Elger, M., Solnica-Krezel, L., Schier, A.F., Neuhauss, S.C.F., Stemple, D.L., Zwartkruis, F., Rangini, Z., Driever, W.,

- Fishman, M.C., 1998. Early development of the zebrafish pronephros and analysis of mutations affecting pronephric function. *Development* 125, 4655-4667.
- Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., Kaller, M., 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* 32, 3047-3048.
- Fernandino, J.I., Hattori, R.S., Kishii, A., Strussmann, C.A., Somoza, G.M., 2012. The cortisol and androgen pathways cross talk in high temperature-induced masculinization: the 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase as a key enzyme. *Endocrinol.* 153, 6003-6011.
- Forn-Cuni, G., Varela, M., Pereiro, P., Novoa, B., Figueras, A., 2017. Conserved gene regulation during acute inflammation between zebrafish and mammals. *Sci. Rep.* 7.
- Gomez-Diaz, E., Jorda, M., Peinado, M.A., Rivero, A., 2012. Epigenetics of host-pathogen interactions: the road ahead and the road behind. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1003007.
- Henricson, B.E., Neta, R., Vogel, S.N., 1991. An interleukin-1 receptor antagonist blocks lipopolysaccharide-induced colony-stimulating factor production and early endotoxin tolerance. *Infect. Immun.* 59, 1188-1191.
- Hu, J.T., Perez-Jvostov, F., Blondel, L., Barrett, R.D.H., 2018. Genome-wide DNA methylation signatures of infection status in Trinidadian guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Mol. Ecol.* 27, 3087-3102.
- Huising, M.O., Guichelaar, T., Hoek, C., Verburg-van Kemenade, B.M.L., Flik, G., Savelkoul, H.F.J., Rombout, J., 2003. Increased efficacy of immersion vaccination in fish with hyperosmotic pretreatment. *Vaccine* 21, 4178-4193.
- Jones, P.A., Takai, D., 2001. The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics. *Science* 293, 1068-1070.

Kiryu, I., Ototake, M., Nakanishi, T., Wakabayashi, H., 2000. The uptake of fluorescent microspheres into the skin, fins and gills of rainbow trout during immersion. *Fish Pathol.* 35, 41-48.

Koth, M.L., Garcia-Moreno, S.A., Novak, A., Holthusen, K.A., Kothandapani, A., Jiang, K., Taketo, M.M., Nicol, B., Yao, H.H.C., Futtner, C.R., Maatouk, D.M., Jorgensen, J.S., 2020. Canonical Wnt/beta-catenin activity and differential epigenetic marks direct sexually dimorphic regulation of *Irx3* and *Irx5* in developing mouse gonads. *Development* 147.

Krueger, F., Andrews, S.R., 2011. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1571-1572.

LaFrentz, B.R., LaPatra, S.E., Jones, G.R., Cain, K.D., 2004. Protective immunity in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* following immunization with distinct molecular mass fractions isolated from *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 59, 17-26.

Liew, W.C., Orban, L., 2014. Zebrafish sex: a complicated affair. *Brief. Funct. Genomics* 13, 172-187.

Lister, A., van der Kraak, G., 2002. Modulation of goldfish testicular testosterone production in vitro by tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-1 beta, and macrophage conditioned media. *J. Exp. Zool.* 292, 477-486.

Lyndon, A.R., Houlihan, D.F., 1998. Gill protein turnover: Costs of adaptation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology a-Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 119, 27-34.

MacKenzie, S., Balasch, J.C., Novoa, B., Ribas, L., Roher, N., Krasnov, A., Figueras, A., 2008. Comparative analysis of the acute response of the trout, *O-mykiss*, head kidney to in vivo challenge with virulent and attenuated infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus and LPS-induced inflammation. *BMC Genom.* 9.

- Maddocks, S., Setchell, B.P., 1990. Recent-evidence for immune privilege in the testis. J. Reprod. Immunol. 18, 9-18.
- Marr, A.K., MacIsaac, J.L., Jiang, R., Airo, A.M., Kobor, M.S., McMaster, W.R., 2014. *Leishmania donovani* infection causes distinct epigenetic DNA methylation changes in host macrophages. PLoS Pathog. 10.
- McIlwain, D.R., Berger, T., Mak, T.W., 2013. Caspase functions in cell death and disease. CSH Perspect Biol 5.
- Medina-Gali, R., Bello-Perez, M., Martinez-Lopez, A., Falco, A., Ortega-Villaizan, M.M., Encinar, J.A., Novo, B., Coll, J., Perez, L., 2018. Chromatin immunoprecipitation and high throughput sequencing of SVCV-infected zebrafish reveals novel epigenetic histone methylation patterns involved in antiviral immune response. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 82, 514-521.
- Medvedev, A.E., Kopydlowski, K.M., Vogel, S.N., 2000. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced signal transduction in endotoxin-tolerized mouse macrophages: Dysregulation of cytokine, chemokine, and Toll-like receptor 2 and 4 gene expression. J. Immunol. 164, 5564-5574.
- Meseguer, J., López-Ruiz, A., García-Ayala, A., 1995. Reticulo-endothelial stroma of the head-kidney from the seawater teleost gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L): an ultrastructural and cytochemical study. The Anatomical Record 241, 303-309.
- Netea, M.G., Joosten, L.A.B., Latz, E., Mills, K.H.G., Natoli, G., Stunnenberg, H.G., O'Neill, L.A.J., Xavier, R.J., 2016. Trained immunity: A program of innate immune memory in health and disease. Science 352.
- Novoa, B., Bowman, T.V., Zon, L., Figueras, A., 2009. LPS response and tolerance in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 26, 326-331.

- OECD, 2011. Test No. 234: Fish sexual development test, OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264122369-en>. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Orban, L., Sreenivasan, R., Olsson, P.E., 2009. Long and winding roads: Testis differentiation in zebrafish. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 312, 35-41.
- Outtandy, P., Russell, C., Kleta, R., Bockenhauer, D., 2019. Zebrafish as a model for kidney function and disease. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 34, 751-762.
- Pacis, A., Mailhot-Léonard, F., Tailleux, L., Randolph, H.E., Yotova, V., Dumaine, A., Grenier, J.-C., Barreiro, L.B., 2019. Gene activation precedes DNA demethylation in response to infection in human dendritic cells. *PNAS* 116, 6938-6943.
- Penman, D.J., Piferrer, F., 2008. Fish gonadogenesis. Part I: Genetic and environmental mechanisms of sex determination. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 16(S1), 16-34.
- Piferrer, F., 2019. Epigenetics of sex determination and differentiation in fish. *Sex Control in Aquaculture*, 65-83.
- Pradhan, A., Khalaf, H., Ochsner, S.A., Sreenivasan, R., Koskinen, J., Karlsson, M., Karlsson, J., McKenna, N.J., Orban, L., Olsson, P.E., 2012. Activation of NF-kappa β protein prevents the transition from juvenile ovary to testis and promotes ovarian development in zebrafish. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 37926-37938.
- Press, C.M., Evensen, O., 1999. The morphology of the immune system in teleost fishes. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 9, 309-318.
- Rauta, P.R., Nayak, B., Das, S., 2012. Immune system and immune responses in fish and their role in comparative immunity study: A model for higher organisms. *Immunol. Lett.* 148, 23-33.

- Rauta, P.R., Samanta, M., Dash, H.R., Nayak, B., Das, S., 2014. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) in aquatic animals: Signaling pathways, expressions and immune responses. *Immunol. Lett.* 158, 14-24.
- Ribas, L., Crespo, B., Sanchez-Baizan, N., Xavier, D., Kuh, H., Rodriguez, J.M., Diaz, N., Boltana, S., MacKenzie, S., Moran, F., Zanuy, S., Gomez, A., Piferrer, F., 2019. Characterization of the European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) Gonadal Transcriptome During Sexual Development. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 21, 359-373.
- Ribas, L., Liew, W.C., Diaz, N., Sreenivasan, R., Orban, L., Piferrer, F., 2017a. Heat-induced masculinization in domesticated zebrafish is family-specific and yields a set of different gonadal transcriptomes. *PNAS* 114, E941-E950.
- Ribas, L., Piferrer, F., 2014. The zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) as a model organism, with emphasis on applications for finfish aquaculture research. *Rev. Aquacult.* 6, 209-240.
- Ribas, L., Robledo, D., Gomez-Tato, A., Vinas, A., Martinez, P., Piferrer, F., 2016. Comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of the process of gonadal sex differentiation in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 422, 132-149.
- Ribas, L., Roher, N., Martinez, M., Balasch, J.C., Donate, C., Goetz, F.W., Iliev, D., Planas, J.V., Tort, L., MacKenzie, S., 2008. Characterization and expression of the transcription factor PU.1 during LPS-induced inflammation in the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 24, 35-45.
- Ribas, L., Valdivieso, A., Diaz, N., Piferrer, F., 2017b. Appropriate rearing density in domesticated zebrafish to avoid masculinization: links with the stress response. *J. Exp. Biol.* 220, 1056-1064.
- Ribas, L., Valdivieso, A., Diaz, N., Piferrer, F., 2017c. Response to "The importance of controlling genetic variation remarks on 'Appropriate rearing density in domesticated zebrafish to avoid masculinization: links with the stress response'". *J. Exp. Biol.* 220, 4079-4080.

- Ribas, L., Vanezis, K., Antonio Imues, M., Piferrer, F., 2017d. Treatment with a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor feminizes zebrafish and induces long-term expression changes in the gonads. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 10.
- Ribas, L., Vanezis, K., Imues, M.A., Piferrer, F., 2017e. Treatment with a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor feminizes zebrafish and induces long-term expression changes in the gonads. *Epigenet. Chromatin* 10, 59.
- Rombout, J.H.W.M., Yang, G., Kiron, V., 2014. Adaptive immune responses at mucosal surfaces of teleost fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 40, 634-643.
- Ruyra, A., Cano-Sarabia, M., Garcia-Valtanen, P., Yero, D., Gibert, I., Mackenzie, S.A., Estepa, A., Maspocho, D., Roher, N., 2014. Targeting and stimulation of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) innate immune system with LPS/dsRNA-loaded nanoliposomes. *Vaccine* 32, 3955-3962.
- Ruyra, A., Cano-Sarabia, M., MacKenzie, S.A., Maspocho, D., Roher, N., 2013. A novel liposome-based nanocarrier loaded with an LPS-dsRNA cocktail for fish innate immune system stimulation. *Plos One* 8.
- Schmittgen, T.D., Livak, K.J., 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Nat. Protoc* 3, 1101-1108.
- Secombes, C.J., Belmonte, R., 2016. Overview of the Fish Adaptive Immune System, in: Adams, A. (Ed.), *Fish Vaccines*, pp. 35-52.
- Selvaraj, V., Sampath, K., Sekar, V., 2009. Administration of lipopolysaccharide increases specific and non-specific immune parameters and survival in carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) infected with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Aquaculture* 286, 176-183.
- Sepulcre, M.P., Alcaraz-Perez, F., Lopez-Munoz, A., Roca, F.J., Meseguer, J., Cayuela, M.L., Mulero, V., 2009. Evolution of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) recognition and signaling: fish TLR4 does not recognize LPS and negatively regulates NF-kappa B activation. *J. Immunol.* 182, 1836-1845.

- Shalini, S., Dorstyn, L., Dawar, S., Kumar, S., 2015. Old, new and emerging functions of caspases. *Cell Death Differ.* 22, 526-539.
- Shang, E.H.H., Yu, R.M.K., Wu, R.S.S., 2006. Hypoxia affects sex differentiation and development, leading to a male-dominated population in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 3118-3122.
- Shilatifard, A., 2006. Chromatin modifications by methylation and ubiquitination: Implications in the regulation of gene expression. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 75, 243-269.
- Shnyra, A., Brewington, R., Alipio, A., Amura, C., Morrison, D.C., 1998. Reprogramming of lipopolysaccharide-primed macrophages is controlled by a counterbalanced production of IL-10 and IL-12. *J. Immunol.* 160, 3729-3736.
- Sinclair, S.H.G., Yegnasubramanian, S., Dumler, J.S., 2015. Global DNA methylation changes and differential gene expression in *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*-infected human neutrophils. *Clin. Epigenetics* 7.
- Smith, D.E., Renshaw, B.R., Ketchum, R.R., Kubin, M., Garka, K.E., Sims, J.E., 2000. Four new members expand the interleukin-1 superfamily. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 1169-1175.
- Smith, J., Sen, S., Weeks, R.J., Eccles, M.R., Chatterjee, A., 2020. Promoter DNA hypermethylation and paradoxical gene activation. *Trends in Cancer*.
- Tang, R.Y., Dodd, A., Lai, D., McNabb, W.C., Love, D.R., 2007. Validation of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) reference genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR normalization. *Acta Biochimica Et Biophysica Sinica* 39, 384-390.
- TBD, T., 2019. BSgenome.Drerio.UCSC.danRer11: Full genome sequences for *Danio rerio* (UCSC version danRer11). R package version 1.4.2

- Uren Webster, T.M., Rodriguez-Barreto, D., Martin, S.A.M., Van Oosterhout, C., Orozco-terWengel, P., Cable, J., Hamilton, A., Garcia De Leaniz, C., Consuegra, S., 2018. Contrasting effects of acute and chronic stress on the transcriptome, epigenome, and immune response of Atlantic salmon. *Epigenetics* 13, 1191-1207.
- Vallejos-Vidal, E., Reyes-Lopez, F., Teles, M., MacKenzie, S., 2016. The response of fish to immunostimulant diets. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 56, 34-69.
- Wickham, H., 2009. *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K., 2020. *dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation*. R package version 0.8.5. R package version 0.8.5. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>.
- Wiencke, J.K., Butler, R., Hsuang, G., Eliot, M., Kim, S., Sepulveda, M.A., Siegel, D., Houseman, E.A., Kelsey, K.T., 2016. The DNA methylation profile of activated human natural killer cells. *Epigenetics* 11, 363-380.
- Yang, D., Zheng, X., Chen, S., Wang, Z., Xu, W., Tan, J., Hu, T., Hou, M., Wang, W., Gu, Z., 2018. Sensing of cytosolic LPS through caspy2 pyrin domain mediates noncanonical inflammasome activation in zebrafish. *Nat. Commun* 9, 1-12.
- Yang, L.-L., Wang, G.-Q., Yang, L.-M., Huang, Z.-B., Zhang, W.-Q., Yu, L.-Z., 2014. Endotoxin molecule lipopolysaccharide-induced zebrafish inflammation model: a novel screening method for anti-inflammatory drugs. *Molecules* 19, 2390-2409.
- Yates, F., 1934. Contingency tables involving small numbers and the χ^2 test. *Supplement to the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 1, 217–235.
- Zapata, A., Diez, B., Cejalvo, T., Frias, C.G., Cortes, A., 2006. Ontogeny of the immune system of fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 20, 126-136.

1037 Zhang, Q., Cao, X.T., 2019. Epigenetic regulation of the innate immune response to
1038 infection. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 19, 417-432.

1039

Journal Pre-proof

A **B** **C**

A **B** **C**

A **B** **C** **D** **E** **F**

Highlights

1. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) entrance is thorough the gills and it is recruited in the pronephros after immersion treatment.
2. Three hour immersion with LPS were enough to cause hypomethylation of *CASP9* and *IL1 β* but not *TNF α* larvae.
3. *IL1 β* CpG9 (position +189) site might play a central role in the immune system in zebrafish.
4. LPS is able to feminize zebrafish but in dose and LPS-strain-dependent manner.
5. Comprehension of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms derived by innate response.
6. Evidence of interactions between the immune and reproductive systems.