- 1 MANUSCRIPT TYPE: Review article
- 2 **TITLE:** The Physiology of Plant Responses to Drought

- 4 **AUTHORS:** Aditi Gupta, Andrés Rico-Medina, and Ana I. Caño-Delgado*,
- 5 ADDRESS: Department of Molecular Genetics, Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics
- 6 (CRAG) CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Campus UAB (Cerdanyola del Vallès), 08193 Barcelona, Spain.
- 7 *Corresponding author email: <u>ana.cano@cragenomica.es</u>

8

9

KEYWORDS

- 10 Drought, abiotic stress, signaling, root, hydrotropism, stomata, water use efficiency, climate
- change, food security, Arabidopsis, cereals, sorghum, crops.

12

13

ABSTRACT

- Drought alone causes more yield loss annually than all pathogens combined (1). To adapt to
- moisture gradients in soil, plants alter their physiology, modify root growth and architecture, and
- close stomata on the above-ground plant. These tissue-specific responses modify the flux of signals
- along the plant, resulting in early flowering or stunted plant growth that often reduce yield.
- 18 Physiological and molecular analyses of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis) have
- identified phytohormone signaling as key for regulating response to drought or water insufficiency.
- 20 Here, we discuss how engineering hormone signaling in specific cells and cellular domains
- 21 promises to improve plant responses to drought. We explore current knowledge and future
- 22 questions in the quest to produce high-yield, drought-resistant crops.

23

INTRODUCTION

Drought is a misfortune for agriculture, humanity and livestock alike. Climate change is leading us towards a hotter, more parched world (2). There is an urgent need to produce high-yielding plants that are more efficient at using water (Fig. 1a). In the last decade, global losses in crop production due to drought estimated around 30 billion dollars. Global population raised from 5 billion inhabitants in the 1990 to over 7.5 billion presently and is predicted to raise to 9.7-10 billion by 2050 (3), half of which (i.e. 5 billion people) is estimated to be living in water-scarce areas of the planet (Fig. 1b) (4). Despite the moderate increase is global arable land, an additional 1 million Ha will be needed to ensure food security (Fig. 1c) (5). In addition, water demand for agriculture need to duplicate by 2050, whereas the fresh water availability is predicted to drop by half cause of climate change (Fig. 1d) (6). Certainly, plant biotechnology holds one of the promises to meet the societal demand to duplicate crop production in the planet.

Water is crucial for plant survival and water deficit limits plant growth. However, plants have strategies to prevent water loss, to balance optimal water supply to all vital organs, to maintain the cellular water content, and to persevere through periods of drought. The ability of a plant to sense the water deficiency signal and initiate the coping strategies in response to drought signal is defined as drought resistance. Drought resistance is a complex trait that impinges on several mechanisms referred as: i) escape (acceleration of plant reproductive phase before stress that could hinder its survival), ii) avoidance (endurance with increased internal water content and preventing tissue damage), and iii) tolerance (endurance with low internal water content, whereas sustaining growth over the drought period (7). The percentage of viable plants after a period of drought upon rewatering is referred as drought survival. From molecular biology perspective, cellular water loss

marks the first event of drought stress. At the cellular level, drought signals promote production of stress-protectant metabolites such as proline and trehalose; trigger antioxidant system to maintain redox homeostasis and prevent acute cellular damage and membrane integrity by peroxidase enzymes. Factors such as extent of water stress and the plant organ in which the stress is sensed also trigger specific signaling responses, including but not limited to; abscisic acid, brassinosteroids, and ethylene phytohormone pathways (8-11).

The impact that drought has on agriculture depends on the degree and duration of the reduced precipitation and soil water gradients as well as on the species and developmental stage of the plants (8). However, for most instances, crops experience moderate droughts caused by prolonged precipitation deficits, reduced ground water levels, and/or limitation in accessing water supplies leading to substantial losses in overall yield. Therefore, investigating the mechanisms of how a plant sustains its growth during moderate drought and devising new strategies to improve plant health during such moderate drought periods can provide us solution for future food security. Understanding the response of cellular signaling to water shortage is a priority to answer the abovementioned questions for modern agriculture (12). Here we explore how water availability cues cell and tissue growth patterns and how these growth patterns are coordinated in the whole plant to improve drought resistance—without loss of yield. Overexpression of drought-responsive genes often results in growth deficits and yield loss. Tissue- or time-specific expression of drought-response traits may improve drought response without depressing yield. A combination of strategies may improve agricultural yields despite increased water insecurity.

Traits for improving drought resistance

71 During drought spells, plant systems work actively to maintain the physiological water balance.

This is achieved by: i) increased root water uptake from the soil, ii) reduced water loss by closing

stomata, and iii) osmotic adjustment within plant tissues (13). Activated stress response pathways

include phytohormone signaling, antioxidant and metabolite production and mobilization (11).

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

72

73

74

Roots respond to changes in soil moisture both at the cellular scale and at the entire root system architecture. The root stem cell niche, meristem, and vasculature each coordinate responses for drought (Fig. 2a, b). During water scarcity, the root system architecture undergoes morphological changes to enhance its ability to absorb both water and nutrients (9,10). These modifications can be traced to coordinated cell division, elongation and differentiation events in the root apex. Root systems grow differentially to adapt their architecture to be either deep or shallow, in search of moisture (Fig. 2c). Longer and deeper roots with reduced branching angles can efficiently capture water from soil that may be dry at the surface but have deep layers retaining moisture. In contrast, shallower root architectures are more beneficial in regions of low precipitations to maximize water capture from the soil surface (9). Roots that encounter a soil environment with non-homogeneous water distribution display hydro-patterning by favoring lateral root emergence towards soil patches with higher water content, a process that is also mediated by auxin signaling (9, 14). Another adaptive response to non-homogeneous distribution of moisture through soil is hydrotropism (Fig. 2d), where root tips grow towards zones with higher water content to optimize the root system architecture for water acquisition (15).

91

92

93

Stomatal closure is a more rapid defense against dehydration (Fig. 2d, e). Stomatal pores, on leaf surfaces, open or close according to turgidity of the surrounding guard cells. The turgor-driven

shape changes of guard cells are affected by cell wall structure, the plasma membrane, the tonoplast properties, and cytoskeletal dynamics (16). Plant vascular tissues, xylem and phloem, transmit water availability signals from roots to shoots and transmit photoassimilates from shoots to roots respectively (17). Development of these inner vasculature tissues also affects drought resistance. Crop yield becomes most vulnerable if the drought occurs during reproductive phase of plant. In Arabidopsis, early flowering associated to drought escape is linked with the phloem loading and transport of photoperiod-dependent protein FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) from leaves to the shoot apical meristem. (18)

Phytohormones to combat drought

The hormone abscisic acid (ABA) regulates plant responses to dehydration and optimizes water use. Dehydration signals stimulate local production of ABA in different plant organs. However, the ABA production is found to be most efficient in the leaf mesophyll cells compared to the root tissues (19). The accumulated ABA then activates downstream signaling components (20). ABA executes its function during stress by signal cross-talk with other pathways (Fig. 3) (21). Many existing schemes to improve water use efficiency and drought resistance engage the ABA pathway.

Genetic engineering to improve Pyrabactin Resistance 1/PYR1-Like/Regulatory Component of ABA Receptors (PYR/PYL/RCAR) and SnRK2s functions and repress the negative regulators clade A type 2C protein phosphatases (PP2Cs) have resulted in improved water use efficiency in plants such as Arabidopsis and wheat under controlled laboratory growth conditions and glasshouses (22-25). A regulatory network of ABA pathway genes, a hierarchy of ABA-related transcription factors, and signaling feedback were identified among ABA-mediated stress

responses to drought (26). Engineering the ABA receptor PYR1 for heightened sensitivity towards the pre-existing agrochemical mandipropamid resulted in improved drought resistance in Arabidopsis and tomato (22). Virtual screening for ABA receptor agonists led to the identification of a bioactive ABA mimic called opabactin. This small molecule can enhance ABA receptor activation and downstream signaling to improve water use efficiency and drought resistance in Arabidopsis, tomato and wheat (27). Thus computational design combined with experimental biology led to identify a small molecule that can mitigate the effects of drought on crop yields.

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

Brassinosteroid hormones also regulate drought response through signaling components linked to the ABA response pathway (Fig. 3) (28, 29). Brassinosteroid signaling negative regulator BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 2 (BIN2) is dephosphorylated by ABA INSENSITIVE1 (ABI1) and ABI2. ABA activates BIN2 by inhibiting ABI1/ABI2 activity (30). BIN2 phosphorylates SnRK2s and activates the downstream pathway (31). ABA signals can also converge with the brassinosteroid pathway at the level of downstream transcription factors (Fig. 3). BRI1-EMS-SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1) inhibited ABA induction of a drought-related transcription factor RESPONSIVE TO DESICCATION 26 (RD26) (32). RD26 shows reciprocal antagonism with brassinosteroid by modulating BES1-regulated transcription and inhibiting brassinosteroid -regulated growth (33). WRKY46, 54, and 70 belong to another class of transcription factors that interact with BES1 to promote plant growth while repressing drought responses (34). BIN2 can phosphorylate and destabilize WRKY54 to negatively regulate its effect on the BES1-mediated brassinosteroid response (35). BIN2 phosphorylates and activates the ubiquitin receptor protein DSK2, which leads to BES1 degradation via autophagy and coordinates plant growth and survival under drought conditions. (36). An AP2/ERF transcription factor called

TINY is another candidate that balances brassinosteroid-mediated stress adaptation with growth. TINY interacts with BES1 and antagonizes brassinosteroid-regulated growth. BIN2 on the other hand phosphorylates and stabilizes TINY to promote ABA-induced stomatal closure and drought resistance (37). Thus, brassinosteroid as well as ABA aids drought resistance.

Tissue-specific responses for drought resistance

Stomatal closure preserves water in the plant. ABA content in leaves regulates stomatal movement in response to water availability (25) (Fig. 3). Since stomatal movements control CO₂ influx and transpiration, efforts to reduce water loss via stomatal closure occur at the cost of photosynthesis, growth, and yield (13). Therefore, most strategies to improve water efficiency and drought resistance in plants focus on fine-tuning stomatal conductance and manipulating ABA signaling via stomata-specific promoters (38). With optogenetics, scientists have improved the responsiveness of the stomata and overcome the coupling of CO₂ uptake with water vapor loss. Upon introducing BLINK1, a light-activated synthetic K⁺ ion channel, into guard cells, stomata became more synchronized with fluctuating light conditions (39). This manipulation improved the performance of the stomata and, as a consequence, growth and productivity of the plant. Thus, water use efficiency was improved by engineering stomata to maximize the amount of carbon fixed per unit of water lost.

Improving water acquisition by roots can also improve plant performance upon drought. In Arabidopsis, an auxin pathway modulator EXOCYST SUBUNIT EXO70 FAMILY PROTEIN A3 (EXO70A3) that regulates depth of the root system was identified using a genome-wide association (GWA) mapping (40). EXO70A3, a component of the exocytosis system, is expressed

in root tips. EXO70A3 regulates local auxin transport by affecting the homeostasis of auxin efflux carrier PIN-FORMED 4 in root columella cells (Fig. 3). Natural variation in EXO70A3 was correlated with seasonal precipitation and conferred different adaptive root system architecture configurations under different rainfall patterns. In areas with high temperatures and irrigated soils, deeper root architectures proved better for drought adaptation. In rice, an auxin inducible gene *DEEPER ROOTING1* provides drought resistance by promoting a more vertical and deeper root system architecture (41). Although auxin modulates root architecture under stress (40, 41), hydrotropic root responses are fairly auxin-independent and involve ABA signaling in root elongation zones. Coordinated activity of the ABA inducible MIZU-KUSSEI1 (MIZ1) and SNF1-RELATED KINASE 2 (SnRK2.2), in root elongation zone cortical cells interprets water potential gradients in soil environments (15, 42).

Brassinosteroid receptors regulate root hydrotropic responses (Fig. 3). Overexpression of a vascular-enriched brassinosteroid receptor BRI1-Like3 (BRL3) promoted root hydrotropic bending. The *brl1brl3bak1* triple mutant of BRL3 signalosome showed reduced hydrotropic response, suggesting a role for the vascular BRL3 receptor complex in regulating hydrotropic responses (43) (Fig. 3). Activation of the BRL3 pathway in vasculature triggered accumulation of osmoprotectant metabolites such as proline, trehalose, and raffinose family oligosaccharides in plant roots in response to water withdrawal, which improved drought resistance without penalizing growth (43) (Fig. 3). Phloem-specific localization of BRL3 is likely to be the determining factor for promoting drought resistance without impairing yield (29, 43).

In drought, roots sense water scarcity from soil. The above-ground plant responds by closing stomata in leaves, thus implicating a systemic communication system. Upon drought, the CLE25 peptide is produced in the roots and moves through the vasculature to plant leaves to drive ABA production by activating the biosynthetic enzyme NCED3. This burst of ABA synthesis leads to stomatal closure and improved water balance, thereby promoting drought survival (44) (Fig. 3). This insight into small-peptide signaling in Arabidopsis may help with identification of similar mechanisms in crop plants for root-to-shoot mobilization of stress signals.

A view to the future

Genetic traits that sustain crop plant growth under moderate drought may come from multiple sources, including natural genetic variation in wild relatives or bioengineering. Traditional breeding has been the main strategy for exploiting the genetic diversity of adaptive traits in natural alleles. The advent of genomic technologies and gene mapping tools such as GWAS and precision genome editing with the CRISPR/Cas9 system became instrumental for the generation of new alleles that can improve plant yield and performance under various stresses Molecular studies using tissue/cell-specific promoters coupled with live microscopy techniques for real-time visualization of cellular processes pave the way for analysis of drought responses network that can be used as targets for various biotechnological approaches (Fig. 4). Small molecules, such as peptides or hormone agonists, may be useful for fine-tuning drought-response pathways while preserving yield in agriculture (. Together, uncovering the physiology of plant responses to drought in model system and translating that to crops will deliver new strategies to combat water scarcity. Agriculture is a thirsty enterprise. Discovering ways to ameliorate agriculture's thirst will ease competition for freshwater resources from increasing population growth.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: The power of biotechnology to solve the problem of drought-induced food

211 insecurity.

a) Most scenarios predict that the scarcity of water will increase in years to come. With the world's population continuously raising, crop production must be duplicated to fulfill civilization's basic needs. For this purpose, the efficiency of water usage in plants will need to be improved. b) Estimated world population for the 1990-2050-time period. Arrow indicates estimated number of people potentially living in water-scarce areas of the planet. c) Global arable land for agriculture for the 1990-2050-time period. Arrow indicate predicted demand of arable land to assure food security, given current rates of crop production per hectare. d) Global fresh water demand for agriculture for the 1990-2050-time period. Arrow indicates predicted decline in fresh water availability for agriculture in the current tendency of climate change and precipitations.

Figure 2: Root and shoot traits that account for drought resistance.

a-b) Plants first sense drought through their roots, where particular cell types such as stem cells, cells in the cortex and vasculature mediate adaptive responses towards water limitations. Roots can modulate their system architecture to c) maximize access to superficial humidity or delve into deep humid soil layers, and d) bend towards more humid soil zones (hydrotropism). e-f) In aboveground plant organs such as leaves and stem stomata work actively against dehydration. In water limiting conditions, stomata remain closed to reduce water loss.

Figure 3: Hormone signaling events underpinning drought.

Schematic representation of hormone signaling modules controlling drought adaptation. Plants work against dehydration in all their organs such as leaves, vasculature and roots ABA, through SnRK2, activates a variety of genes that cause stomata closure and improve water balance. When drought is sensed in the roots, CLE25 peptide moves through the vasculature to leaves where locally controls ABA biosynthesis and stomata closure. Brassinosteroids also play roles in regulating plant drought response. Brassinosteroid pathway converge with ABA by activating SnRK2 through downstream pathway component, BIN2 and *vice versa*. Independently of ABA, brassinosteroid receptors (BRI1, BRL1, BRL3) modulate hydrotropic responses in the roots. Vascular BRL3 receptor coordinates plant growth and survival under drought stress by promoting the accumulation of osmoprotectant metabolites in the root tissues. Furthermore, non-canonical auxin responses via EXO70A3 and PIN4 can modulate root architecture patterning and depth, to improve water absorption from the soil improving drought tolerance.

Figure 4: The promise of overcoming drought in agriculture.

Various strategies can provide solutions to counteract drought and can be used to develop drought-smart crops. Natural allelic variations found in plants can be selected to improve drought resistance and yield. Traditional plant breeding approaches have successfully employed such useful traits to obtain more resistant crop varieties in fields. Advancements in gene mapping tools such as GWAS can explore the genetic diversity of drought resistance traits in natural alleles with nucleotide-level precision. Genetic engineering of drought response markers at spatio-temporal scale and precise genome editing with tools such as the CRISPR/Cas9 have opened new horizons to develop crops with improved drought resistance without impairing yield. New techniques such as optogenetics allow fine manipulation of cell/tissue specific responses to signaling and therefore increase growth

- and plant resistance to drought. Bio-stimulants such as small peptides, hormone mimics and
- 255 receptor agonists can be used to designing better agrochemicals and fine-tune drought resistance
- while preserving yield.

REFERENCES

- 1. FAO, "The impact of disasters and crises on agriculture and food security" (Rome, 2018)
- 261 2. FAO, "Proactive approaches to drought preparedness Where are we now and where do we go from here?" (Rome., 2019).
 - 3. World Population Prospects, the 2000 Revision. (ST/ESA/SER.A/313) United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2011). Retrieved from https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/trends/WPP2010/WPP2010_Volume-I_Comprehensive-Tables.pdf.
 - 4. E. Koncagül, M. Tran, R. Connor, S. Uhlenbrook "World Water Development Report 2020 Water and Climate Change" (SC-2018/WS/5, UNESCO WWAP, 2018)
 - 5. FAO. FAOSTAT Land Use Module. Arable land data. Latest update December 4, 2019. Accesed 13/01/2020. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/EL
 - 6. P. H. Gleick, Ed. *The Worlds Water 2000-2001: The Biennial Report on Freshwater Resources* (Island Press, 2000), pp. 53
 - 7. S. Basu, V. Ramegowda, A. Kumar, A. Pereira, Plant adaptation to drought stress. *F1000Research* **5**, 1554 (2016)10.12688/f1000research.7678.1).
 - 8. F. Tardieu, T. Simonneau, B. Muller, The Physiological Basis of Drought Tolerance in Crop Plants: A Scenario-Dependent Probabilistic Approach. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **69**, 733-759 (2018); published online EpubApr 29 (10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040218).
 - 9. J. R. Dinneny, Developmental Responses to Water and Salinity in Root Systems. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **35**, 239-257 (2019); published online EpubOct 6 (10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062949).
 - 10. R. Rellan-Alvarez, G. Lobet, J. R. Dinneny, Environmental Control of Root System Biology. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **67**, 619-642 (2016); published online EpubApr 29 (10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111848).
 - 11. J. Bailey-Serres, J. E. Parker, E. A. Ainsworth, G. E. D. Oldroyd, J. I. Schroeder, Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature* **575**, 109-118 (2019); published online EpubNov (10.1038/s41586-019-1679-0).
 - 12. Y. Eshed, Z. B. Lippman, Revolutions in agriculture chart a coursresee for targeted breeding of old and new crops. *Science* **366**, (2019); published online EpubNov 8 (10.1126/science.aax0025).
- J. Rodrigues, D. Inze, H. Nelissen, N. J. M. Saibo, Source-Sink Regulation in Crops under
 Water Deficit. *Trends Plant Sci* 24, 652-663 (2019); published online EpubJul
 (10.1016/j.tplants.2019.04.005).

- N. E. Robbins, 2nd, J. R. Dinneny, Growth is required for perception of water availability
 to pattern root branches in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115, E822-E831 (2018);
 published online EpubJan 23 (10.1073/pnas.1710709115).
- D. Dietrich, L. Pang, A. Kobayashi, J. A. Fozard, V. Boudolf, R. Bhosale, R. Antoni, T. Nguyen, S. Hiratsuka, N. Fujii, Y. Miyazawa, T. W. Bae, D. M. Wells, M. R. Owen, L. R. Band, R. J. Dyson, O. E. Jensen, J. R. King, S. R. Tracy, C. J. Sturrock, S. J. Mooney, J. A. Roberts, R. P. Bhalerao, J. R. Dinneny, P. L. Rodriguez, A. Nagatani, Y. Hosokawa, T. I. Baskin, T. P. Pridmore, L. De Veylder, H. Takahashi, M. J. Bennett, Root hydrotropism is controlled via a cortex-specific growth mechanism. *Nat Plants* 3, 17057 (2017); published online EpubMay 8 (10.1038/nplants.2017.57).
- 303 16. T. N. Buckley, How do stomata respond to water status? *New Phytol* **224**, 21-36 (2019); published online EpubOct (10.1111/nph.15899).

306

307 308

309

310

318

- 17. J. D. Scharwies, J. R. Dinneny, Water transport, perception, and response in plants. *J Plant Res* **132**, 311-324 (2019); published online EpubMay (10.1007/s10265-019-01089-8).
 - 18. F. Andres, G. Coupland, The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. *Nature reviews. Genetics* **13**, 627-639 (2012); published online EpubSep (10.1038/nrg3291).
- 311 19. S. A. M. McAdam, T. J. Brodribb, Mesophyll Cells Are the Main Site of Abscisic Acid 312 Biosynthesis in Water-Stressed Leaves. *Plant physiology* **177**, 911-917 (2018); published 313 online EpubJul (10.1104/pp.17.01829).
- 20. R. Kalladan, J. R. Lasky, T. Z. Chang, S. Sharma, T. E. Juenger, P. E. Verslues, Natural variation identifies genes affecting drought-induced abscisic acid accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 11536-11541 (2017); published online EpubOct 24 (10.1073/pnas.1705884114).
 - 21. T. Kuromori, M. Seo, K. Shinozaki, ABA Transport and Plant Water Stress Responses. *Trends in Plant Science* **23**, 513-522 (2018)10.1016/j.tplants.2018.04.001).
- 320 22. S. Y. Park, F. C. Peterson, A. Mosquna, J. Yao, B. F. Volkman, S. R. Cutler, Agrochemical control of plant water use using engineered abscisic acid receptors. *Nature* 322 520, 545-548 (2015); published online EpubApr 23 (10.1038/nature14123).
- 23. P. Wang, Y. Zhao, Z. Li, C. C. Hsu, X. Liu, L. Fu, Y. J. Hou, Y. Du, S. Xie, C. Zhang, J. Gao, M. Cao, X. Huang, Y. Zhu, K. Tang, X. Wang, W. A. Tao, Y. Xiong, J. K. Zhu, Reciprocal Regulation of the TOR Kinase and ABA Receptor Balances Plant Growth and Stress Response. *Mol Cell* 69, 100-112 e106 (2018); published online EpubJan 4 (10.1016/j.molcel.2017.12.002).
- R. Mega, F. Abe, J. S. Kim, Y. Tsuboi, K. Tanaka, H. Kobayashi, Y. Sakata, K. Hanada,
 H. Tsujimoto, J. Kikuchi, S. R. Cutler, M. Okamoto, Tuning water-use efficiency and
 drought tolerance in wheat using abscisic acid receptors. *Nat Plants* 5, 153-159 (2019);
 published online EpubFeb (10.1038/s41477-019-0361-8).
- M. Okamoto, F. C. Peterson, A. Defries, S. Y. Park, A. Endo, E. Nambara, B. F. Volkman,
 S. R. Cutler, Activation of dimeric ABA receptors elicits guard cell closure, ABA regulated gene expression, and drought tolerance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, 12132 12137 (2013); published online EpubJul 16 (10.1073/pnas.1305919110).
- L. Song, S. C. Huang, A. Wise, R. Castanon, J. R. Nery, H. Chen, M. Watanabe, J.
 Thomas, Z. Bar-Joseph, J. R. Ecker, A transcription factor hierarchy defines an

- environmental stress response network. *Science* **354**, (2016); published online EpubNov 4 (10.1126/science.aag1550).
- 27. A. S. Vaidya, J. D. M. Helander, F. C. Peterson, D. Elzinga, W. Dejonghe, A. Kaundal, S. Y. Park, Z. Xing, R. Mega, J. Takeuchi, B. Khanderahoo, S. Bishay, B. F. Volkman, Y. Todoroki, M. Okamoto, S. R. Cutler, Dynamic control of plant water use using designed ABA receptor agonists. *Science* **366**, (2019); published online EpubOct 25 (10.1126/science.aaw8848).
- T. Nolan, N. Vukasinovic, D. Liu, E. Russinova, Y. Yin, Brassinosteroids: Multi Dimensional Regulators of Plant Growth, Development, and Stress Responses. *Plant Cell*, (2019); published online EpubNov 27 (10.1105/tpc.19.00335).
- 348 29. A. Planas-Riverola, A. Gupta, I. Betegón-Putze, N. Bosch, M. Ibañes, A. I. Caño-Delgado, 349 Brassinosteroid signaling in plant development and adaptation to stress. *Development* 350 **146**, (2019)10.1242/dev.151894).

352

353 354

355 356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366367

368

369

370

371

372373

- 30. H. Wang, J. Tang, J. Liu, J. Hu, J. Liu, Y. Chen, Z. Cai, X. Wang, Abscisic Acid Signaling Inhibits Brassinosteroid Signaling through Dampening the Dephosphorylation of BIN2 by ABI1 and ABI2. *Mol Plant* **11**, 315-325 (2018); published online EpubFeb 5 (10.1016/j.molp.2017.12.013).
 - 31. Z. Cai, J. Liu, H. Wang, C. Yang, Y. Chen, Y. Li, S. Pan, R. Dong, G. Tang, D. Barajas-Lopez Jde, H. Fujii, X. Wang, GSK3-like kinases positively modulate abscisic acid signaling through phosphorylating subgroup III SnRK2s in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 9651-9656 (2014); published online EpubJul 1 (10.1073/pnas.1316717111).
- 32. Y. Chung, S. I. Kwon, S. Choe, Antagonistic regulation of Arabidopsis growth by brassinosteroids and abiotic stresses. *Mol Cells* **37**, 795-803 (2014); published online EpubNov (10.14348/molcells.2014.0127).
 - 33. H. Ye, S. Liu, B. Tang, J. Chen, Z. Xie, T. M. Nolan, H. Jiang, H. Guo, H. Y. Lin, L. Li, Y. Wang, H. Tong, M. Zhang, C. Chu, Z. Li, M. Aluru, S. Aluru, P. S. Schnable, Y. Yin, RD26 mediates crosstalk between drought and brassinosteroid signalling pathways. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14573 (2017); published online EpubFeb 24 (10.1038/ncomms14573).
 - 34. J. Chen, Y. Yin, WRKY transcription factors are involved in brassinosteroid signaling and mediate the crosstalk between plant growth and drought tolerance. *Plant Signal Behav* **12**, e1365212 (2017); published online EpubNov 2 (10.1080/15592324.2017.1365212).
 - 35. J. Chen, T. M. Nolan, H. Ye, M. Zhang, H. Tong, P. Xin, J. Chu, C. Chu, Z. Li, Y. Yin, Arabidopsis WRKY46, WRKY54, and WRKY70 Transcription Factors Are Involved in Brassinosteroid-Regulated Plant Growth and Drought Responses. *Plant Cell* **29**, 1425-1439 (2017); published online EpubJun (10.1105/tpc.17.00364).
- 36. T. M. Nolan, B. Brennan, M. Yang, J. Chen, M. Zhang, Z. Li, X. Wang, D. C. Bassham,
 J. Walley, Y. Yin, Selective Autophagy of BES1 Mediated by DSK2 Balances Plant
 Growth and Survival. *Dev Cell* 41, 33-46 e37 (2017); published online EpubApr 10 (10.1016/j.devcel.2017.03.013).
- 37. Z. Xie, T. Nolan, H. Jiang, B. Tang, M. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Yin, The AP2/ERF Transcription Factor TINY Modulates Brassinosteroid-Regulated Plant Growth and Drought Responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **31**, 1788-1806 (2019); published online EpubAug (10.1105/tpc.18.00918).

- 38. F. Rusconi, F. Simeoni, P. Francia, E. Cominelli, L. Conti, M. Riboni, L. Simoni, C. R. Martin, C. Tonelli, M. Galbiati, The Arabidopsis thaliana MYB60 promoter provides a tool for the spatio-temporal control of gene expression in stomatal guard cells. *J Exp Bot* **64**, 3361-3371 (2013); published online EpubAug (10.1093/jxb/ert180).
- 39. P. J. Papanatsiou M, Henderson L, Wang Y, Christie JM, Blatt MR, Optogenetic manipulation of stomatal kinetics improves carbon assimilation, water use, and growth. *Science* **363(6434)**, 1456-1459 (2019)10.1126/science.aaw0046).
 - 40. T. Ogura, C. Goeschl, D. Filiault, M. Mirea, R. Slovak, B. Wolhrab, S. B. Satbhai, W. Busch, Root System Depth in Arabidopsis Is Shaped by EXOCYST70A3 via the Dynamic Modulation of Auxin Transport. *Cell* **178**, 400-412 e416 (2019); published online EpubJul 11 (10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.021).
 - 41. Y. Uga, K. Sugimoto, S. Ogawa, J. Rane, M. Ishitani, N. Hara, Y. Kitomi, Y. Inukai, K. Ono, N. Kanno, H. Inoue, H. Takehisa, R. Motoyama, Y. Nagamura, J. Wu, T. Matsumoto, T. Takai, K. Okuno, M. Yano, Control of root system architecture by DEEPER ROOTING 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. *Nat Genet* **45**, 1097-1102 (2013); published online EpubSep (10.1038/ng.2725).
 - 42. D. Shkolnik, R. Nuriel, M. C. Bonza, A. Costa, H. Fromm, MIZ1 regulates ECA1 to generate a slow, long-distance phloem-transmitted Ca(2+) signal essential for root water tracking in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 8031-8036 (2018); published online EpubJul 31 (10.1073/pnas.1804130115).
 - 43. N. Fabregas, F. Lozano-Elena, D. Blasco-Escamez, T. Tohge, C. Martinez-Andujar, A. Albacete, S. Osorio, M. Bustamante, J. L. Riechmann, T. Nomura, T. Yokota, A. Conesa, F. P. Alfocea, A. R. Fernie, A. I. Cano-Delgado, Overexpression of the vascular brassinosteroid receptor BRL3 confers drought resistance without penalizing plant growth. *Nat Commun* 9, 4680 (2018); published online EpubNov 8 (10.1038/s41467-018-06861-3).
 - 44. F. Takahashi, T. Suzuki, Y. Osakabe, S. Betsuyaku, Y. Kondo, N. Dohmae, H. Fukuda, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. Shinozaki, A small peptide modulates stomatal control via abscisic acid in long-distance signalling. *Nature* **556**, 235-238 (2018); published online EpubApr (10.1038/s41586-018-0009-2).

ACKNOWLDEGMENTS

FUNDING:

- 418 A.I.C.-D. is a recipient of a BIO2016-78150-P grant funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy
- and Competitiveness and Agencia Estatal de Investigación (MINECO/AEI) and Fondo Europeo
- de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), and a European Research Council, ERC Consolidator Grant
- 421 (ERC-7322015-CoG-683163). A.G. is funded by post-doctoral fellowships from the "Severo

| 422 | Ochoa Programme for Centers of Excellence in R&D" 2016-2019 from the Ministerio de Ciencia |
|-----|---|
| 423 | e Innovación (SEV-2015-0533) and the ERC-2015-CoG-683163 granted to the A.I.CD. |
| 424 | laboratory. A.R.M. is funded by a PhD fellowship (2018-2022) from the Fundación Tatiana Pérez |
| 425 | de Guzmán el Bueno. |
| 426 | |
| 427 | AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: |
| 428 | A.G. and A.I.CD wrote the manuscript. A.G. and A.RM. designed the figures. All authors |
| 429 | reviewed the manuscript. |
| 430 | |
| | |

COMPETING INTERESTS:

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

a

Year 2020

























