Composition and biological activity of the Algerian plant *Rosa canina* L. by HPLC-UV-MS
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**Abstract** The present study was carried out in order to identify and characterize the compounds of *Rosa canina* fruits by HPLC-UV-MS. The total phenolic determiner by a new Fast Blue method (FBBB), which detects phenolic directly, reported an average total phenolic concentration of 1.7 folds greater than Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C), which indicates that an indirect detection method of total phenolic should be replaced in future studies by the FBBB method. TPC of the ethanolic extract was positively correlated with 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging effect. The DPPH activity of *R. canina* extract which is higher than the IC\(_{50}\) of the ascorbic acid and Butylated Hydroxytoluene (BHT), but lower than the IC\(_{50}\) of quercetin and trolox. The determination of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) proved the antioxidant effect of the extract on HepG2 and SH-SY5Y cells. A concentration of 1.63 µg/ml on HepG2 cells had an oxidizing effect instead of the antioxidant effect, which is due to the existence of a tert-butyl group in sesquiterpene identified by HPLC-UV-MS method. These results indicate that the fruits of *R. canina* can be used as a natural source of antioxidants against oxidative stress and some types of cancer.

© 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. **Introduction**

*R. canina* belongs to the family of Rosaceae and genus Rosa, with about 200 species spread in the temperate zone and subtropics of the Northern hemisphere. *R. canina* pseudo-fruit was traditionally used in preventive therapy and for the preparation of some foods such as jam, beverages and probiotic drinks (Montazeri et al., 2011).

Natural substances and plants in general, are an immense source of chemiodiversity, often with very original structures...
that are usually impossible to synthesize (structural complexity, stereospecificity…). Flavonoids, tannins and terpenoids are the most essential bioactive polyphenols identified in R. canina fruits by HPLC–UV–MS method. They are a wide range of secondary compounds distributed in various plants and play an important role in normal growth and defence. They display various structures and a broad range of biological activities (Naczk and Shahidi, 2004).

Most qualitative and quantitative analyses of phenolics are usually traditional methods such as HPLC-UV for qualitative analysis and Folin-Ciocalteu method (F-C), which is the only procedure used to measure total phenolics through the reduction capacity of the components of the extract. Whereas, the novel total phenolic method utilizing Fast Blue BB diazonium salt is based on the coupling of phenolic compounds with the diazonium. The coupling mostly occurs para to the phenolic activating group, unless the position is already occupied. For R. canina extract, the Fast Blue (FBBB) method had higher gallic acid equivalents (GAE) values than the standard Folin–Ciocalteu in the dosage of total phenolic (Maria et al., 2017; Medina, 2011a,b).

There are many analytical methods available to assess the antioxidant capacity such as DPPH scavenger effect, which is the method used to evaluate the antioxidant activity in vitro. Cellular antioxidant activity by determination of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) is an approach used to evaluate the antioxidant activity based on cells (Yin et al., 2019). The phenolic compounds present in R. canina made it one of the preferred plants in phyto-therapy and in the pharmaceutical sector. R. canina presents a cytotoxic effect following an MTT test. This effect prevented from cancer by several mechanisms. They decrease both cell proliferation and oxidative stress, block cell cycles, and induce apoptosis (Ren et al., 2003).

The aim of the present study is to identify and characterize the main antioxidants in the ethanolic extract of R. canina fruits using HPLC–UV–MS. Additionally, the antioxidant and cytotoxic effects of R. canina extract are also explored.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

The fruits of R. canina were harvested from the region of Batna, Algeria, in October 2016. The samples were washed, then dried at room temperature and ground before storing at −20 °C.

2.2. Standards and reagents

All chemicals and reagents used in this study were of analytical grade and were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich chemistry (Madrid, Spain). HPLC grade solvents were purchased from Merck Darmstadt, Germany. The HPLC grade water was prepared using a Milli-Q system (Millipore Lab., Bedford, MA, USA).

2.3. Preparation of the phenolic extracts

The extraction of the polyphenols is carried out three times by mixing 50 ml of ethanol/water (70:30; v/v)/plant powder 50 mg. The extract was left in the ultrasonic bath (FALC Instruments, Italy) for 30 min after centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 20 min at 10 °C and the ethanol was removed in vacuum using a rotary evaporator Temperature below 40 °C. In order to remove the apolar molecules contained in the extract, a second extraction was performed by using 4 ml of the hexane/water mixture at a ratio of 50:50; v/v, and then mixed with the resulting ethanolic extract of the first extraction. The operation was repeated three times, and then the extract was dried under vacuum by heat applied in the Savant Speed VacThermo Scientific Concentrator SPD131DDA for 4 h. The resulting extract was lyophilized in a laboratory lyophilizer (BETA 2-8 LD plus - Martin Christ) for 24 h.

Extraction yield (%) = weight of extract obtained × 100/weight taken of the material vegetal (Baghdikian et al., 2016).
2.4. Determination of total phenols contents by Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) and Fast Blue BB (FBBB) methods

The total phenolic content was evaluated by F-C method (Khanam et al., 2012). The ethanolic extracts were prepared at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. Briefly, 10 µl of the sample was transferred into a well in a 96-well plate and 150 µL of 6% Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was added and mixed, after 3 min, add 50 µl/well of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (0.6 M) was added and mixed gently. Incubate the plate for
2 h at room temperature and in the dark and read the absorbance at 725 nm by the BioTek Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments Inc., Vermont, USA) and the data was acquired and processed using BioTek’s Gen5TM software (BioTek Instruments Inc.).

The total phenolic content was determined by FBBB method (Lester et al., 2012). The ethanolic extracts were prepared at a concentration of 0.25 mg/ml. The reaction started by adding 150 μl/well of sample in the 96-well plate, and then mixed with 15 μl/well of Fast Blue (0.01% v/v H2O) and 15 μl/well of NaOH (5% v/v H2O). After incubating the plate for 120 min at room temperature, the absorbance was read at 420 nm with a BioTek Synergy HT multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments Inc., Vermont, USA), and data was acquired and processed using BioTek’s Gen5TM software (BioTek Instruments Inc.).

A calibration curve was established for the two methods using a standard solution of gallic acid (0.6–0.025 mg/ml) and expressed as μg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/mg of dry weight (dw). For F-C method, y = 1.2943x + 0.0877; R = 0.997, and for FBBB method, y = 1.1869 + 0.0267; R = 0.999.

Where x is the total phenolic content of ethanolic extract. A control was carried out with water, which followed the same treatment as the extract.

2.5. Preparation of sample for HPLC-UV-MS analysis

50 mg of the dried paste was mixed with 10 ml of water/methanol-0.5% HCl (80:20; v/v). The mixture was placed in the ultrasonic bath for 30 min (FALC Instruments, Italy), then the extract was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min at 10 °C. The operation was repeated three times and the three successive acidified methanolic supernatants were evaporated to dryness under vacuum without heat applied to the SPV131DDA Thermo Scientific SPD131DDA Concentrator for 3 h. The samples were reconstituted with 200 μl of formic acid 0.1%, where the sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 9000 rpm, and then the supernatant was filtered and diluted (1:10) and finally stored in a flask at −20 °C until analysis (Mraihi et al., 2015).

2.6. Preparation of standard solution for HPLC-UV analysis

For the preparation of the standard calibration curves, the stock solutions of phloroglucinol, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, catechin, epicatechin, quercetin, quercetin-3-glucoside, apigenin, resveratrol and kaempferol were prepared informic

Fig. 3 HPLC-UV-DAD calibration curves of phenolic standards, Apigenin (3A), Caffeic acid (3B), Catechin (3C), Chlorogenic acid (3D), Ferulic acid (3E), Epicatechin (3F), Gallic acid (3G), Kaempferol (3H), p-coumaric acid (3I), Phloroglucinol (3J), Protocatechuic acid (3K), Quercetin (3L), Quercetin-3-glucoside (3M), Resveratrol (3N).
acid 0.1% at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. The concentrated solutions were then diluted with 0.1% formic acid to obtain 1, 3, 6, 12, 25, 50 and 100 µg/ml. All solutions were filtered through a 0.2 µm sartolone polyamide membrane filter.

2.7. Analysis of polyphenols by HPLC-UV

20 µl of the sample was placed in an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC for analysis. The Ultrabase column (C18.5 µm, 150 × 4.6 mm) was used at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min coupled to a diode array detector (DAD) and a quaternary pump. The temperature of the column was set at 25 °C and the stopping time was 55 min. The solvents used for the analysis were formic acid 0.1% (A), acetonitrile with formic acid 0.1% (B), ultrapure water (C) and acetonitrile (D) (Pallaufa et al., 2008).

2.8. HPLC–MS analysis

In order to identify and characterize the phenolic compounds, a sample of 10 µL of extract was analyzed using HPLC with mass spectrometry detection Agilent 1200 Series liquid chromatography equipped with an electrospray atmospheric pressure (ESI) and employing an ESI (electrospray ionization), phenomenex Luna C18 (150 × 4.6 m 3 µm) was used with a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The capillary tension was 3 V, and the capillary temperature was 180 °C. Mobile Phase A was 0.1% Formic Acid in water, while Mobile Phase B was 0.1% Formic Acid in Acetonitrile (% B: 0 min = 10%, 30 min = 30%, 35 min = 35%, 40 min = 45%, 50 min = 10%). The spectra were recorded in negative ion mode and the MS detector was programmed
to perform a consecutive scan series: extended dynamic range, low 1700 m/z (Pallaufa et al., 2008).

2.9. DPPH radical-scavenging activity

Antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH free radical scavenging effect by using quercetin, ascorbic acid, trolox and BHT as positive control, Crude extract was prepared with a range of concentrations (10–600 µg/ml). 290 µL of methanolic DPPH solution (1/100 M) was added and the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The absorbance was read at 517 nm and the data were acquired and processed using BioTek’s Gen5TM software (BioTek Instruments Inc.). Free radical scavenging activity was determined according to the equation % Antioxidant Activity (AA) = 100−{(Absample−Absblank) × 100}/Abscontrol published previously (Nwaehujor et al., 2014).

2.10. Cell culture and extract treatments

HepG2 human hepatoma cells, SH-Sy5y human neuroblastoma were seeded and cultured regularly in DMEM medium and 10% fetal bovine serum, but they were changed to serum-free medium 24 h prior to testing. Cells were treated with different concentrations of ethanolic extract (0.01–250 µg/ml) within 24 h.

2.11. Determination of ROS

Cellular oxidative stress was quantified by the dichlorofluorescence test (DCFH). DCFH becomes dichlorofluorescein (DCF) after being oxidized by intracellular oxidants, and emits fluorescence. By quantifying the fluorescence at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm, a fair estimate of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>λ max</th>
<th>Rt (min)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Coefficient R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phloroglucinol</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5.887</td>
<td>505.37</td>
<td>y = 0.0175x  − 1.2643</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>4.886</td>
<td>2239.65</td>
<td>y = 0.0176x  − 8.1344</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocatechuic acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10.658</td>
<td>546.69</td>
<td>y = 0.0486x + 0.1167</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>12.392</td>
<td>1117.06</td>
<td>y = 0.0277x − 0.3489</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>15.404</td>
<td>1399.72</td>
<td>y = 0.0268x − 1.1533</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-coumaric acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>21.762</td>
<td>649.97</td>
<td>y = 0.0248x − 0.2303</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>20.809</td>
<td>1002.28</td>
<td>y = 0.0185x − 3.4214</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>11.392</td>
<td>518.73</td>
<td>y = 0.0533 + 2.3051</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>13.666</td>
<td>716.76</td>
<td>y = 0.0659x − 4.7479</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>57.78</td>
<td>y = 0.0243 + 0.862</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin-3-glucoside</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>19.491</td>
<td>508.84</td>
<td>y = 0.0326x − 0.7688</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>38.642</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>y = 0.0029 + 8.2269</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resveratrol</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>31.299</td>
<td>305.4</td>
<td>y = 0.0661x − 0.0455</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>44.237</td>
<td>y = 0.0326 + 2.9976</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 HPLC-MS-DAD chromatograms of extract of *Rosa canina* fruits at-ESI mode.
the overall oxygen species generated under the various conditions was obtained by using fluorescent probe (DCFHDA) after incubation in CO₂ at 37 °C for 30 min (Granado-Serrano et al., 2006).

2.12. Statistical analysis

The data were expressed as mean ± DS. Significant differences were calculated by testing linear trends using

Fig. 5 MS/MS spectra of chemical compounds of extract of Rosa canina. Apigenin (5A), Caffeic acid (3B), Apigenin-7-O-glucoside (5B), Bilobalide A (5C), Catechin (5D), Citric acid (5E), Dihydroquercetin (5F), Ellagic acid (5G), Gallacetophenone (5H), Gallocatechol (5I), Kaempferol-7-O-glucoside (5J), Luteolin 5-methyl ether (5K), Luteolin-4’-O-glucoside (5L), Luteolin-7-O-glucoside (5M), Procyanidin B3 (5N), Procyanidin B6 (5O), Pyrogallol-2-O-glucuronide (5P), Quercetin dehydrate (5Q), Quercetin (5R), Quercetol 3-O-rutinoside (5S), Rosmarinic acid (5T).
single-factor analysis (ANOVA), using Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. The data used for the IC₅₀ determination were examined using a nonlinear regression sigmoid fit using the GraphPad Prism. Differences with \( P < .05 \) were considered significant. The SPSS version 20.0 program was used.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Total phenolic contents (TPC) in *R. canina* fruits

Our results indicate that the FBBB test provides a higher and more accurate estimate of total phenolic due to its direct
reaction with phenolic compounds in *R. canina* fruits as well as the FBBB reaction with gallic acid substrate was highly linear ($R = 0.999$). Accordingly, the F-C assay had a positive linear response to gallic acid ($R = 0.997$) Fig. 1. Although the total phenol concentration of F-C expressed as the value (354.46 ± 0.05 µg GAE/mg DM), these values were lower in the same fruit than in the total phenolics analyzed by FBBB assay (598.25 ± 0.49 µg GAE/mg DM). Results are presented in Table 1. The FBBB test, which detects phenolics directly, reported an average 1.7 fold higher concentration of total phenolics than F-C. Previous studies of strawberry fruit using the F-C assay have greatly underestimated the total phenolic concentration,
and this assay should be replaced in future studies by the Fast Blue BB assay (Medina, 2011a,b).

3.2. HPLC-UV analysis

Qualitative analysis of the ethanolic extract of *R. canina* fruits was tested by using HPLC-UV analysis and their chromatographic profile was compared with the retention times and absorption spectrum of reference standards (phloroglucinol, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, catechin, epicatechin, quercetin, quercetin-3-glucoside, apigenin, resveratrol and kaempferol). From the HPLC-UV profile it was observed that the ethanolic extract showed the presence of four big peaks at 280 nm. The peaks were identified as gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid and ferulic acid with Rt between 4.886 and 20.809 min.
Table 3  Identification of certain phenolic compounds in the extract of *Rosa canina* L. fruits by LC-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Proposed compound</th>
<th>Rt (min)</th>
<th>Accurate mass [M-H]-</th>
<th>MS/MS (m/z)</th>
<th>Peak purity (%)</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Nature of compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>191.022</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>79.71</td>
<td>C₆H₈O₇</td>
<td>Tricarboxylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Gallacetophenone</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>167.0358</td>
<td>125, 167</td>
<td>77.41</td>
<td>C₈H₈O₄</td>
<td>Hydrolysable tannin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>2,3-Digalloylglucose</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>483.0815</td>
<td>483, 125</td>
<td>55.19</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₅O₁₄</td>
<td>Hydrolysable tannin glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Pyrogallol-2-O-glucuronide</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>301.0582</td>
<td>301, 125</td>
<td>81.95</td>
<td>C₁₂H₁₄O₈</td>
<td>Hydrolysable tannin glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Procyanidin B3</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>577.1375</td>
<td>425, 407, 289, 287</td>
<td>91.66</td>
<td>C₃₀H₂₅O₁₂</td>
<td>Proanthocyanidin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>289.0754</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>68.36</td>
<td>C₁₁H₁₀O₇</td>
<td>Flavan-3-ol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Gallocatechol</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>305.0664</td>
<td>305, 289</td>
<td>71.21</td>
<td>C₁₃H₁₂O₇</td>
<td>Flavan-3-ol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Quercetin 3,7-diglucoside</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>625.1462</td>
<td>625, 463, 301</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>C₂₇H₂₅O₁₇</td>
<td>Flavonol glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Procyanidin B6</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>577.1382</td>
<td>425, 407, 289, 287</td>
<td>91.66</td>
<td>C₃₀H₂₅O₁₄</td>
<td>Proanthocyanidin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Quercetin dihydrate</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>337.0601</td>
<td>337, 301</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₄O₉</td>
<td>Flavonol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bilobalide A</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>325.0914</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₄O₈</td>
<td>Sesquiterpene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Luteolin 5-methyl ether</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>299.0542</td>
<td>299, 285</td>
<td>67.16</td>
<td>C₁₆H₁₄O₆</td>
<td>Flavone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Quercetol 3-O-rutinoside</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>609.1483</td>
<td>609, 463, 301</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>C₂₇H₂₅O₁₆</td>
<td>Flavonol glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>301.0002</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>95.34</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₈O₆</td>
<td>Hydrolysable tannin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kaempferol-7-O-glucoside</td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>447.0942</td>
<td>447, 285</td>
<td>67.18</td>
<td>C₃₁H₂₅O₁₁</td>
<td>Flavonol glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dihydroquercetin</td>
<td>26.16</td>
<td>303.0520</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>96.65</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₄O₇</td>
<td>Flavonol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Luteolin-4’-O-glucoside</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>447.0944</td>
<td>447, 285</td>
<td>96.92</td>
<td>C₂₁H₂₁O₁₁</td>
<td>Flavone glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Apigenin-7-O-glucoside</td>
<td>28.40</td>
<td>431.1004</td>
<td>431, 269</td>
<td>68.94</td>
<td>C₂₁H₂₁O₁₀</td>
<td>Flavone glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rosmarinic acid</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td>359.0755</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>82.78</td>
<td>C₁₆H₁₈O₆</td>
<td>Hydroxycinnamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Luteolin-7-O-glucoside</td>
<td>32.19</td>
<td>447.0939</td>
<td>447, 285</td>
<td>85.61</td>
<td>C₂₁H₂₁O₁₁</td>
<td>Flavone glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>36.48</td>
<td>301.0358</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>95.14</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₂O₂</td>
<td>Flavonol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Kaempferol-3-Glucoside-2’-p-coumaroyl</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>593.1311</td>
<td>593, 463, 285, 163</td>
<td>98.27</td>
<td>C₃₀H₂₅O₁₄</td>
<td>Flavonol glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>269.0462</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>83.54</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₃</td>
<td>Flavone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

nd: not detected.
Phloroglucinol, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, Catechin, epicatechin, quercetin-3-glucoside and resveratrol were identified at 280 nm with Rt between 5.887 and 31.299 min, and traces of quercetine, apigenin and kaempferol Figs. 2 and 3 (Table 2). This analysis gives an idea on the composition of polyphenols presented in the fruits of *R. canina* (Jafri et al., 2017).

### 3.3. HPLC-MS analysis of phenolic compounds and peaks identification

25 phenolic compounds belonging to a variety of classes of natural products were detected in *R. canina* by HPLC-UV-MS/MS analysis using negative ionization modes, results are shown in Fig. 4, the identities, retention times (Rt min), chemical formula, pseudomolecular ions [M-H]−, peak purity (%) and fragment ions MS/MS (m/z) for individual compounds were reported in Fig. 5 (Table 3).

The phenolic acids with its types (Hydroxycinnamic acid and Tricarboxylic acid) were represented by peaks 1 and 18 in the chromatogram, and they were identified as citric acid and rosmarinic acid, respectively. Citric acid was identified at Rt 6.41 min and m/z 191.022, the important role of this acid in the Krebs cycle has drawn the attention of a number of research groups, especially for use in diagnosis and targeting of cancer. Rosmarinic acid,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Rosa extract</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid</th>
<th>Quercetin</th>
<th>BHT</th>
<th>Trolox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC50 (µg/ml) DPPH scavenging activity</td>
<td>156.74 ± 0.56</td>
<td>46.74 ± 1.14</td>
<td>157.45 ± 2.11</td>
<td>132.22 ± 0.64</td>
<td>163.55 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive controls and Rosa extract were done in triplicate (n = 3).

![Fig. 7](image-url) Effect of ethanolic extract on intracellular ROS levels in HepG2 (7A), and SH-SY5Y cells (7B). *P < .05, ***P < .001 significantly different from negative control cells and positive control cells.
which is the print of Rosaceae family, corresponded to Rt 30.94 min and m/z 359.0755 as shown in (Table 3) (Mcdunn et al., 2013; Tohma et al., 2016).

Flavan-3-0l peaks were represented by peaks 6 and 7at Rt 12.76, 13.02, and m/z 289.0754, 305.0664 respectively, which corresponded to catechin and gallogetachel. Proanthocyanidin B-type isomers were detected in three peaks (2, 5 and 9) at 9.13, 11.39 and 18.93 min and the signal at m/z 577 indicated that the dimers are mixed; two units of catechin and/or epicatechin. The obtained MS/MS (m/z) fragments of the condensed tannins were 425, 407, 289 and 287 (Friedrich and Eberhardt, 2000). The fragmentation pathway by Retro Diels-Alder in the T-unit of procyanidin B3 was the important mechanism that allowed its detection in the ions m/z 425 and 407. Hydrolysable tannins (gallotannin and ellagittannin; gallacetophenone and ellagic acid respectively) were reported by two peaks (2 and 15). Hydrolysable tannin glucosides were assigned to peaks 3 and 4, which corresponded to 2,3-Digalloylglucoside and Pyrogallol-2-O-glucuronide; they were identified at 56.5, 9.26, 10.06 and 23.46 min with m/z 167.0358, 483.0815, 301.0582 and 301.0002 respectively (Table 3) (Kassim et al., 2010; Ridder et al., 2012).

The chromatogram peak 11 reflected the different types of terpenoids such aslibolubile A. These compounds were identified at Rt 20.48 min and m/z 325.0914 with high peak purity 91.1% (Table 3) (Rana et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013).

The principal subclasses of flavonoids were the abundant compounds present in R. canina (Table 3), in the structure portrayal, we initially judged if the flavonoid glycoside is a C-glycosylated. The carbon-carbon obligation of C-glycosyl flavonoids is impervious to burst and in C-glycosides for the most part the fracture of the sugar unit is watched. Fracture pathway of O-glycosylated flavonoids begins with the cleavage of the glycosidic bonds and disposal of the sugar moieties with charge maintenance on aglycone. In mixs containing at least two sugars to the same aglycone carbon, particles emerging from the cleavage of the glycosidic bonds between sugar units are feeble. Despite the fact that the aglycone and the glycane were altogether recognized, the exact structure of the flavonoids glycoside couldn’t be constantly decided on the grounds that personality and the site of association of monosaccharide can’t be controlled by LC-MS. The structures of mixs were at last distinguished by correlation with bibliographical studies (Ana Plazonic et al., 2009).

The C-glycosylated flavones were additionally found in R. canina (Table 3), peaks 18 and 21 corresponded to two isomers, namely, luteolin-4’-O-glucoside and luteolin-7-O-glucoside (Table 3). Their identities were based on their pseudomolecular ion [M-H]- at m/z 447 and MS/MS spectra. They gave out fragments assigned to the losses of a hexosyl (glucose = 162 µm) to the luteolin aglycone at m/z 285 and luteolin 5-methyl ether at m/z 299, and from an apigenin-7-O-glucoside at m/z 431 giving an apigenin aglycone at m/z 269 (Fernandes et al., 2017).

Flavonols are the principal compounds present in R. canina fruits; they differed in the number and position of phenolic hydroxyl –OH. Flavonols were frequently found in the form of heteroside in plants are potent antioxidants that serve to protect the plant from reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Nakabayashi et al., 2014). MS/MS spectra discharging fragments relating to the misfortunes of sucre, the glycosidic bond was cleaved about the mechanism of fragmentation of O-glycosylated flavonols. The releasing of monosaccharide residue is shown by the loss of sugar (Mrahi et al., 2015).

The MS/MS fragmentation mechanism of flavonolsaglycone based on cleavage of two C–C bonds of the C-ring releasing two fragment ions which give informations about the number and type of substituents (Cuyckens and Claes, 2004), the pseudomolecular ion [M-H]- of flavonolaglycone identified in R. canina at m/z 625, 609, 593, 447 and 463. Furthermore, therefore the product ions, [aglycon-H]-, were reported at m/z 285 (Table 3), were shown fragmentations of flavonols peaks 16 and 23 (same pseudomolecular ion [M-H]- at m/z 447 and 593, respectively) corresponding to kaempferol-7-O-glucoside, kaempferol-3-Glucoside-2’-p-coumaryl. The releasing fragments of the peaks 9 and 14 corresponded to the loss of dihexosyl (glucose and/or galactose = –162 µm) and rhamnosyl hexosyl (–146 to 162 µm) to obtain the quercetin aglycon, dihydroquercetin and quercetin dehydro at m/z 301.303 and 337 respectively. These disaccharide should be either a diglucoside = Glc-Glc (m/z 324) or a Gal-Gal (m/z 324) and a rutinoside = Glc-Rha (m/z 308) or a Gal-Rha (m/z 308) respectively linked to the quercetin aglycone by either Glc or Gal sugar. So these peaks corresponded to quercetin 3,7-diglucoside and quercetol 3-O-rutinoside at m/z 625 and 609, respectively.

3.4. DPPH radical-scavenging activity

The antioxidant activity of the phenolic compounds depends on their chemical composition and structural conformation. These antioxidants react with DPPH, diminishing a number of DPPH radicals which was equivalent to the quantity of accessible hydroxyl groups (Chaouche et al., 2014; Shimada et al., 1992). The ethanolic extract and the standards (trolox, quercetin, BHT and ascorbic acid) were tested and expressed in percentage of reduction of DPPH radicals in a dose-dependent manner. For instance, At 600 and 400 µg/ml the ethanolic extract resulted in 77.22 and 68.33% reduction, respectively. Meanwhile, ascorbic acid at concentrations ranging between 600 and 400 µg/ml resulted in 91.61 and 91.49% reduction. Quercetin on the other hand displayed 88.78 and 85.39% reduction. BHT resulted in 85.88 and 85.19% reduction. While trolox displayed 90.99 and 89.80% reduction. Results are shown in Fig. 6. This extract had a high activity compared with each of the standards because it was rich in phenolic compounds such as methyl 4-O-galloylchlorogenate that showed high antioxidant activity in the DPPH free-radical assay. The high antioxidant capacity of this compound suggested that this plant was a good protection against oxidative damage (Ma et al., 2003). The genkwanin and hydroxygenkwanin exhibited significant anti-oxidative effect on DPPH (Ren et al., 2013). The DPPH radical scavenging effect was measured for some terpenoids and derivatives of glycerol (Talla et al., 2016). The antioxidant activities displayed as IC50 values are illustrated in (Table 4). The IC50 value of ethanolic extract was higher than that of the ascorbic acid and BHT, but lower than that of quercetin and trolox. This difference was due to the richness of the extract in flavones (isoorientin and wogonin), glycosylated derivatives of isoflavone glucoside (daidzein and cavi...
fruits conferred dismutation of free radical DPPH. The mechanism by which some hydroxyl groups were connected with hydrogen atoms of phenolic compounds to become stable diamagnetic molecules (Boutennoun et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2016).

In the present study, the positive correlation between the quantity of antioxidants in R. canina and the DPPH free radical activity ($R = 0.9962$) was proved (Aksoy et al., 2013; Tchouya and Barhe, 2016).

3.5. Determination of intracellular ROS levels

HepG2 and SH-SY5Y cells are the very useful model for studying the effect of oxidative stress, it is demonstrated that the cytotoxic effect of tert-butyl-induced exogenous oxidative stress (t-BOOH) trigger deleterious effects on cell growth resulting both from a rapid inflow of the free radical generator into the cell. As a result the induction of transient increases in cytosolic Ca^{2+} to regulate the cellular process by transcription factors that regulates the expression of genes in response to oxidative stress and keep cell survival. The correlation is reversed when the high concentration of tert-butyl with the amount of cytosolic Ca^{2+} obtained during the shock oxidation and when the excessive or unregulated levels of Ca^{2+} in the cytosol can lead to cell death (Popa et al., 2010). Antioxidant scavengers of R. canina modulated and reduced the oxidative stress of ROS to cells (HepG2 and SH-SY5Y).

Three concentrations of Ethanolic extract (7, 3.6 and 1.63 μg/ml) containing t-BOOH similar to the control positive and without t-BOOH being lower to the negative control were added for both cell types respectively. Results showed a reduction in the production of ROS in all concentrations of extract used in this test compared to positive and negative controls for SH-SY5Y cells Fig. 7 (Jiménez et al., 2016). Moreover, the concentrations of 7 and 3.6 μg/ml showed high reduction in ROS in HepG2 compared to controls. Nevertheless, a concentration of 1.63 μg/ml with tert-butyl resulted in lower reduction of ROS compared to the positive control. It is noteworthy to mention that this oxidative effect of R. canina has never been mentioned before. The presence of sesquiterpene (bibilalide A) confers on the one hand neuroprotective effects and, on the other hand, the presence of such a tert-butyl group remains exceptional for such a natural compound. This tert-butyl group was the major cause of the oxidative effect of R. canina at this concentration.

There are an association of ROS and free radicals in the pathogenesis of certain human sicknesses, including cancer, is ending progressively, in this study, the antioxidants of R. canina fruits were carried the ROS in order to reduce the oxidative stress in HepG2 and SH-SY5Y cells and as a result reduced the danger of risk in injury of cancer (Al-Gubory et al., 2016; Serrano et al., 2009; Trachootham et al., 2009).

4. Conclusions

In the present study, a number of analysis using HPLC-UV-MS method were carried out to reveal the composition of R. canina. Afterwards, in situ essays on HepG2 and SH-SY5Y cells were carried out to further explore the antioxidative and cytotoxic effects of R. canina. Results indicated that the antioxidant and antiproliferative effects of R. canina fruits were due to the presence of flavonoids, tannins, terpenoids, xanthones and glycerol glucoside. The phytochemicals of R. canina fruits made the plant a rich source of antioxidants. The high antioxidant capacity of R. canina extract suggested that this plant could be used as an additive in the food industry; providing good protection against oxidative damage. It can also be used as an effective natural treatment to control several types of cancer. The beneficial effects of R. canina fruits can lead to its usage in diets with nutritional and healthy advantages.
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