ì



THE MICROBIOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION OF SOME $ENT-7\alpha,15\beta$ -DIHYDROXYKAURENE DERIVATIVES BY GIBBERELLA FUJIKUROI

Braulio M. Fraga, Melchor G. Hernández and Pedro González*

Instituto de Productos Naturales Orgánicos, La Laguna, 38206-Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain; *Departamento de Química Orgánica, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

(Received in revised form 6 December 1990)

Key Word Index—Gibberella fujikuroi; microbiological transformations; diterpenes; ent- 7α , 15β -dihydroxy-kaur-16-ene; eubotriol.

Abstract—The microbiological transformation by the fungus Gibberella fujikuroi of ent- 7α ,15 β -dihydroxy-kaur-16-ene gave ent- 7α ,15 β ,19-trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β -trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β ,18-trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β ,18-tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β ,18-tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,15 β ,18,19-tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene, ent- 7α ,17,18-trihydroxy-kaur-15-ene, ent- 7α ,17,18,19-tetrahydroxy-kaur-15-ene. The last three compounds were identified as their acetate derivatives.

INTRODUCTION

For the past few years, we have studied the microbiological transformation of ent-kaurene diterpenes by the fungus Gibberella fujikuroi [1-7]. We have shown that several 15x-hydroxy ent-kaurene derivatives are hydroxylated at C-11(β) by this fungus [6, 7]. At the same time, an inhibition of the oxidation at C-19 is observed. This oxidation is typical of the biosynthetic route of the gibberellins and kaurenolides [8]. We have now incubated G. fujikuroi with 78,158-dihydroxy ent-kaurene derivatives with the aim of seeing the influence of the 7Bhydroxyl group in the inhibition produced by the 15aalcoholic group, taking into consideration the relative proximity between these two hydroxylic functions. The results of this study provide more information about the substrate specificity of the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of gibberellins and kaurenolides.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The two diterpenes utilized in the incubations have been previously isolated from natural sources. The ent-kaurene derivative 1 has been obtained from the liverwort Plagiochila pulcherrima [9], and Fujita et al. have synthesized it starting from epicandicandiol (8) [10]. We have now prepared it by allylic oxidation of candol A (9) with SeO₂ and t-butyl hydroperoxide. Eubotriol (3) has been isolated from Sideritis euboea [11], and we have obtained it by allylic oxidation of epicandicandiol diacetate (10) with SeO₂ in water, acetylation in the usual way and subsequent hydrolysis with methanolic potassium hydroxide.

The fermentations were carried out in the presence of AMO 1618, a compound that inhibits the formation of ent-kaur-16-ene without affecting post-kaurene metabolism [12, 13], thus facilitating the study of the products formed.

The microbiological transformation of ent- 7α ,15 β -dihydroxykaur-16-ene (1) gave ent- 7α ,15 β ,19-trihydroxykaur-16-ene (11), ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β -trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (13), ent- 7α ,11 α ,15 β ,16 β ,17-pentahydroxy-kaurane (15)

1 R = H 2 R = Ac

3 $R^3 = R^2 = R^3 = H$ 4 $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = Ac$

5 $R^1 = R^3 = H, R^2 = Ac$ 6 $R^1 = R^2 = Ac, R^3 = H$

 $7 R^{1} = R^{3} = Ac, R^{2} = H$

8 $R^1 = CH_2OH, R^2 = H$

9 $R^1 = M_{e}$, $R^2 = H$ 10 $R^1 = CH_2OA_c$, $R^2 = A_c$

11 R = H 12 R = Ac

13 R = H 14 R = Ac HO CH₂OR OH OR

15 R = H

٠ أ

Table 1. 13C NMR spectral data (50.3 MHz) of compounds 1-4, 14, 16, 18, 22 and 28

C	1	2	3	4	14	16	18	22	28
	40.26	40.23	39.90	39.56	39.97*	40.34	39.85	39.58	39.62
2	18.71	18.62	18.11	17.78	18.55	18.43	18.50	17.49	17.52
3	42.05	41.90	35.34	35.46	41.75	41.65	41.68	30.77	30.93
4	33.20	32.65	37.24	36.21	32.80	32.55	32.80	39.58	38.90
5	49.43	49.18	38.63	41.57	46.93	47.16	46.99	42.98	43.56
6	26.91	24.12	26.31	24.20	23.96	24.92	23.94	24.70	25.45
7	73.30	75.03	73.15	74.68	74.70	77.34	74.03	74.30	79.18
8	51.66	49.90	51.68	49.79	49.29	51.33	48.29	49.69	46.78
9	46,37	47.13	49.52	48.92	56.10	55.81	55.13	49.05	51.42
10	39,38	39.53	39.14	39.43	38.50	37.05	38.43	39.28	38.90
11	17.65	17.76	18.11	17.78	68.41	71.82	69.17	17.77	17.76
12	33.20	33.37	33.24	33.32	39.93*	41.15	42.82	33.15	33.23
13	42.97	42.33	42.97	42.27	40.66	41.92	84.18	42.18	43.17
14	35.26	35.49	35.19	35.38	34.92	37.83	37.74	35.20	38.15
15	81.44	80.49	81.33	80.49	79.89	80.26	77.48	80.21	44.98
16	158.85	155.11	158.89	154.95	154.98	88.81	152.66	154.71	154.11
17	108.59	110.51	108.66	110.60	110.05	63,22	111.46	110.57	103.78
18	33.47	33.42	70.94	72.52	33.45	33.74	33.43	69.41	69.37
19	21.70	21.58	17.73	17.60	21.90	22.00	21.60	64.24	64.27
20	17.38	17.47	17.84	18.02	17.47	18.69	17.29	17.89	17.76

and $ent-7\alpha$, 11α , 13, 15β -tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (17). No metabolites were obtained from the acid fraction.

The least polar compound isolated had the molecular formula $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$, and possessed one more oxygen atom than substrate 1. Its ¹H NMR spectrum was very similar to that of 1 except that the signal of a methyl group had been replaced by that of a hydroxymethylene group. This new alcoholic group was assigned at C-19 because the hydrogen chemical shifts and the coupling constant, $\delta 3.47$ and 3.74 (J=11 Hz), are typical of this position in tetracyclic diterpenes [14]. Thus, structure 11 was assigned to this compound.

Another compound obtained in this fermentation was identified as $tent-7\alpha$, 11α , 15β -trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (13) on the basis of its ¹H NMR spectrum, which, when compared with that of 1, showed a new geminal hydrogen to a secondary hydroxyl group at δ 3.93 (d, J = 5 Hz). This compound forms a triacetate (14). Its ¹H NMR spectrum in benzene- d_6 showed a geminal proton to one of the acetates at δ 5.19 (J = 5 Hz). The chemical shift and the coupling constant were similar to those of the hydrogen at C-11 in ent-11 α ,15 β ,18-triacetoxy-kaur-16-ene, whose structure has been well established by X-ray analysis of the corresponding alcohol [6].

The third substance isolated was a pentahydroxy derivative (15). Its ¹H NMR spectrum showed signals of three methyls, of one hydroxymethylene group and of three geminal protons to hydroxyl groups. Another tertiary alcoholic group was present in the molecule in accord with its M_r . The three new hydroxyls introduced in the molecule of 1 in this fermentation were placed at C-11 (β), C-16 (α) and C-17, respectively, mainly by ¹³C NMR analysis of the triacetate 16 (Table 1). The alcoholic groups of 15 at C-16 (α) and C-11 (β) were not acetylated, because the first is a tertiary hydroxyl and the acetylation of the second is hindered by the presence of the OH-17 group. The stereochemistry at C-16 was assigned on the basis that the introduction of a new hydroxyl group in

this carbon atom in an ent-kaur-16-ene diterpene occurs preferentially on the α -face.

The most polar substance isolated in the incubation of 1 was the tetraol 17. The two novel alcoholic groups introduced in the molecule were located at C-11 (β) and C-13, also in accordance with the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data. Thus, the resonance of H-11 (α) was similar to that of

compound 13, and the carbon chemical shift of C-13 in the tetraacetate 18 is in accord with that described in the literature for this kind of compound [15].

The microbiological transformation of eubotriol (3) gave ent- 7α , 11α , 15β , 18-tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (19), ent- 7α , 15β , 18, 19-tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (21), ent- 7α , 17, 18-trihydroxy- 15β , 16β -epoxy-kaurane (23), and ent- 7α , 17, 18, 19-tetrahydroxy-kaur-15-ene (25). The last three compounds were identified as their acetate derivatives, 22, 24 and 26, respectively. A new alcoholic group only was introduced in the least polar compound 19 obtained in this last fermentation, this was located at C-11 by comparison of the spectroscopic data with those of other compounds described in this work.

The second product obtained in the incubation of 3 was assigned the structure 21. The ¹H NMR spectrum of its tetraacetate 22 was very similar to that of the triacetate of the substrate (4), but with additional signals characteristic of a -CH2OAc and the disappearance of a methyl group. Thus, this new acetylated alcohol group was located at C-19, by comparison with other related compounds [14], and by taking into consideration that in the biosynthesis of the gibberellins and kaurenolides a hydroxyl group is introduced in this position [8]. Finally, the structure of 21 was confirmed by synthesis of its tetraacetate 22. Thus, compound 27, obtained by microbiological transformation of epicandicandiol (8) with G. fujikuroi [1], was acetylated under the usual conditions to give 28. Allylic oxidation of this triacetate with SeO, afforded compounds 29 and 30, which were separately acetylated to give the same tetraacetate 22, which was also identical with that obtained by acetylation of 21.

The most polar compounds, 23 and 25, obtained in the microbiological transformation of eubotriol (3) were two diterpenes of the *ent*-kaur-15-ene series. These two products were isolated as acetates, 24 and 26 respectively, by acetylation of the fractions that contained them. Their structures were resolved mainly by considering the chemical shifts and the form of the resonance of the epoxidic proton and the two hydrogens at C-17 in 24, and those of the vinylic hydrogen and the three different -CH₂OAc groups present in 26. Compound 24 was identical with the triacetate obtained by acetylation of epoxysideritriol [16].

The products 23 and 25 can be formed by solvolysis of the alcohol group at C-15 in 3, with concomitant isomerization of the double bond, and hydroxylation at C-17, to

give a probable intermediate 31. In the first case the 15(16) double bond was epoxidated by the fungus to give 23 and in the second hydroxylated at C-19 to afford 25. Compound 23 (epoxysideritriol), the intermediate 31 (sideritriol) and the C-7 monoacetate of eubotriol (eubol) (5) have been isolated previously from Sideritis sicula [16] indicating that the biosynthetic rearrangement postulated for this incubation with the fungus may also be valid in plants.

Although further experiments are necessary to explain this 15-hydroxy solvolysis, we think that it is due to the combined influence of the OH-7 β group and the increase in the polarity of eubotriol due the hydroxyl at C-18 cf. the results of the incubation with this fungus of candidiol (32) [6] and other non-hydroxylated diterpenes at C-7 (β) [7] with those of compound 1.

The microbiological transformations studied here show that in substrates α -hydroxylated at C-15 there is a preference for hydroxylation at C-11 (β), as occurs with those of candidiol (32) and other *ent*-kaurene diterpenes of this type previously studied [6, 7]. With reference to the inhibition of the oxidation at C-19, surprisingly, compounds 11 and 21, which are oxidated at this carbon, were isolated respectively in the two fermentations. However, no metabolites were obtained from the acid fraction, indicating that the oxidation at C-19 to the acid level was completely inhibited by the OH-15 α group.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr.; IR: CHCl₃; NMR: CDCl₃; MS: 70 eV (probe). CC: silica gel (0.063-0.2 mm). The substances were crystallized from petrol-EtOAc except where otherwise indicated.

Incubation experiments. Gibberella fujikuroi (ACC 917) inhibited with 5×10^{-5} M AMO 1618, was grown in shake culture at 25° for 2 days in 65-75 conical flasks (250 ml) each containing sterile medium (50 ml) [17]. The substrate (see below) in EtOH (13-15 ml) was distributed equally between the flasks and the incubation allowed to continue for a further 6 days. The broth was filtered, adjusted to pH 2 with dil HCl, and extracted with EtOAc. The extract was sepd into acidic and neutral frs with NaHCO₃. The acidic fr. was methylated with CH₂N₂, but in the chromatography of the residue no acidic kaurene derivatives were obtained.

The incubation containing ent- 7α , 15β -dihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (1) (320 mg) gave in the neutral fr.: starting material (80 mg), ent- 7α , 15β ,19-trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (11) (3 mg), ent- 7α , 11α , 15β -trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (13) (9 mg), ent- 7α , 11α , 15β , 16β ,17-pentahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (15) (20 mg), and ent- 7α , 11α ,13, 15β -tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (17) (15 mg).

The incubation containing eubotriol (3) (380 mg) gave in the neutral fr.: starting material (160 mg), $ent-7\alpha,11\alpha,15\beta,18$ -tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (19) (4 mg) and a mixt. of products, which was resolved by acetylation and chromatography of the mixt. of acetates to give $ent-7\alpha,15\beta,18,19$ -tetraacetoxy-kaur-16-ene (22) (10 mg), $ent-7\alpha,17,18$ -triacetoxy-15 β ,16 β -epoxy-kaurane (24) (6 mg), and $ent-7\alpha,17,18,19$ -tetraacetoxy-kaur-15-ene (26) (4 mg).

ent-7 α ,15 β ,19-Trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (11). Mp 196-198°; [M]⁺ at m/z 320.2362. $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$ requires 320.2351; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.82 and 0.89 (each 3H, s), 3.47 and 3.74 (each 1H, d, J=11 Hz, H-19), 3.90 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.11 (1H, br s, H-15), 5.10 and 5.22 (each 1H, s, H-17); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 320 [M]⁺ (1), 302 (33), 287 (24), 284 (19), 271 (100), 269 (20), 259 (7), 253 (36), 243 (7), 227 (5), 213 (6), 201 (11), 199 (7). Triacetate 12, [M]⁺ at m/z 446.2661. $C_{26}H_{38}O_6$ requires 446.2654; ¹H NMR (200 MHz):

 $\delta 0.85$ and 1.07 (each 3H, s), 1.97, 1.99 and 2.04 (each 3H, s), 2.84 (1H, br s, H-13), 3.86 and 4.16 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 4.96 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.05 and 5.19 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.39 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 404 [M - H₂O]⁺ (1), 386 (5), 344 (10), 326 (15), 311 (6), 284 (21), 266 (11), 253 (16), 251 (12), 238 (3), 225 (5), 209 (4), 199 (5).

ent-7 α ,11 α ,15 β -Trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (13). [M-H₂O]⁺ at m/z = 302.2279, $C_{20}H_{30}O_2$ requires 302.2246; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.82 (3H, s), 0.91 (6H, s), 2.82 (1H, br s, H-13), 3.93 (1H, d, J=5 Hz, H-11), 4.01 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.60 (1H, s, H-15), 5.25 (2H, br s, H-17); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 320 [M]⁺ (1), 302 (6), 287 (13), 284 (17), 269 (22), 259 (5), 251 (5), 241 (10), 228 (9), 213 (11), 199 (10). Triacetate 14, $[M-HOAc]^+$ at m/z 386.2462. $C_{24}H_{34}O_4$ requires 386.2457; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.77, 0.79 and 1.00 (each 3H, s), 1.97 (6H, s), 2.00 (3H, s), 2.80 (1H, br s, H-12), 5.07 (2H, complex signal, H-7 and H-11), 5.04 and 5.12 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.19 (1H, s, H-15), $^{1}\text{H NMR}$ (200 MHz, $C_{6}D_{8}$): $\delta 0.68$, 0.71 and 0.80 (each 3H, s), 1.69, 1.86 and 1.94 (each 3H, s), 5.01 and 5.40 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.19 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-11), 5.30 (1H, br s, H-7), 6.17 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 386 [M -HOAc]+ (5), 344 (8), 326 (24), 311 (5), 302 (3), 284 (41), 266 (26), 251 (22), 240 (10), 227 (5), 223 (5), 211 (7), 197 (13).

ent- 7α , 11α , 15β , 16β , 17-Pentahydroxy-kaurane (15). Mp 240–242°, ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.85, 0.89 and 1.11 (each 3H, s), 3.83 and 3.93 (each 1H, d, J=11 Hz, H-17), 3.90 (1H, s, H-7), 4.11 (1H, s, H-15), 4.37 (1H, t, H-11); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 336 [M $-\text{H}_2\text{O}]^+$ (1), 318 (6), 305 (2), 303 (4), 300 (6), 290 (12), 287 (12), 272 (55), 257 (14), 247 (6), 229 (72), 213 (21), 199 (4).

ent-7 α ,15 β ,17-Triacetoxy-11 α ,16 β -dihydroxy-kaurane (16). Mp 145–147°; [M – H₂O] ⁺ at m/z 462.2628. C₂₆H₃₈O₇ requires 462.2617; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.78, 0.82 and 1.14 (each 3H, s), 1.96, 1.98 and 2.06 (each 3H, s), 3.93 and 4.52 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-17), 4.45 (1H, t, H-11), 4.90 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.25 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 462 [M – H₂O] ⁺ (1), 402 (6), 360 (6), 342 (55), 327 (7), 324 (4), 300 (23), 282 (34), 272 (7), 269 (8), 267 (16), 257 (7), 254 (7), 249 (22), 239 (13), 228 (44), 213 (20), 207 (53), 199 (7)

ent-7x, $1(z, 13, 15\beta$ -Tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (17). ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.82, 0.90 and 0.92 (each 3H, s), 4.01 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.14 (1H, d, \sqrt{s} = 5 Hz, H-11), 4.60 (1H, s, H-15), 5.36 (2H, s, H-17). Tetraacetate; (18), mp 93–95" (McOH). [M]* at m/z 504.2690. $C_{28}H_{40}O_8$ requires 504.2658; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.77, 0.79 and 1.06 (each 3H, s), 1.97, 1.99, 2.00 and 2.05 (each 3H, s), 5.05 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.20 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-11), 5.22 and 5.30 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.64 (1H, s, H-15); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 0.59, 0.71 and 0.77 (each 3H, s), 1.61, 1.67, 1.76 and 1.85 (each 3H, s), 5.24 and 5.49 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.29 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz, H-11), 6.03 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 462 [M $-C_2H_2O$] * (1), 444 (8), 402 (8), 384 (17), 360 (4), 342 (27), 324 (29), 309 (6), 300 (29), 282 (79), 267 (24), 264 (20), 254 (6), 249 (13), 239 (13), 225 (11), 213 (11), 199 (14), 197 (20).

ent- 7α , 11α , 15β , 18-Tetrahydroxy-kaur-16-ene (19). [M] * at m/z 336.2282. $C_{20}H_{32}O_4$ requires 336.2266; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.70 and 0.94 (each 3H, s), 2.96 and 3.52 (each 1H, d, J=11 Hz, H-18), 3.94 (1H, d, J=5 Hz, H-11), 4.00 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.62 (1H, s, H-15), 5.24 and 5.25 (each 1H, s, H-17). EIMS m/z (ref. int.): 336 [M] * (1), 318 (2), 305 (2), 303 (3), 300 (3), 287 (13), 272 (4), 269 (19), 255 (16), 251 (5), 213 (17), 199 (8).

Tetraacetate (20). [M]⁺ at m/z 504.2760. $C_{28}H_{40}O_8$ requires 504.2723; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.82 and 1.04 (each 3H, s), 1.97, 1.98, 2.00 and 2.04 (each 3H, s), 3.59 and 3.77 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 5.05 and 5.13 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.07 (2H, complex signal, H-7 and H-11), 5.72 (1H, s, H-15); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 0.58 and 0.63 (each 3H, s), 1.66, 1.73, 1.92 and 1.94 (each 3H, s), 3.63 and 3.85 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 5.01 and 5.42 (each 1H, s, H-17), 4.17 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-11), 5.27 (1H,

br s, H-7), 6.18 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 504 [M]⁺ (1), 462 (1), 444 (9), 420 (1), 402 (15), 384 (28), 369 (5), 342 (30), 324 (22), 309 (7), 298 (3), 283 (6), 269 (12), 264 (24), 251 (27), 249 (17), 235 (7), 223 (12), 209 (12), 197 (12).

ent-7x, 15β , 18, 19-Tetraacetoxy-kaur-16-ene (22). Mp 80- 82° ; [M] $^+$ at m/z 504.2745. $C_{28}H_{40}O_8$ requires 504.2722; 1H NMR (200 MHz); δ 1.11 (3H, s), 1.96, 1.98, 2.03 and 2.04 (each 3H, s), 2.85 (1H, br s, H-13), 3.78 and 3.99 (each 2H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 4.05 and 4.25 (each 2H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 4.95 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.05 and 5.20 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.39 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 504 [M] $^+$ (1), 462 (1), 444 (5), 402 (18), 384 (22), 369 (8), 342 (12), 324 (19), 309 (9), 282 (13), 264 (31), 251 (17), 249 (16), 241 (2), 235 (4), 211 (5), 197 (6).

ent-7 α ,17,18-Triacetoxy-15 β ,16 β -epoxy-kaurane (24). Mp 137-139° (lit 138-140° [16]); [M-C₂H₂O]⁺ at m/z 420.2512. C₂₄H₃₆O₆ requires 420.2511; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.81 and 1.05 (each 3H, s), 2.05, 2.09 and 2.10 (each 3H, s), 3.15 (1H, s, H-15), 3.64 and 3.73 (each 1H, s, J = 11 Hz, H-17), 4.08 and 4.66 (each 1H, d, d = 12 Hz, H-18), 4.83 (1H, d s, H-7); EIMS d (rel. int.): 420 [M-C₂H₂O]⁺ (1), 403 (7), 385 (1), 359 (2), 342 (4), 329 (5), 327 (2), 313 (12), 283 (5), 269 (6), 253 (6), 241 (7), 239 (5), 213 (5), 199 (5).

ent-7 α ,17,18,19-Tetraacetoxy-kaur-15-ene (26). [M]⁺ at m/z 504.2734. C₂₈H₄₀O₈ requires 504.2721; ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 1.11 (3H, s), 2.04 (6H, s), 2.07 and 2.09 (each 3H, s), 3.83 and 3.97 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 4.04 and 4.25 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 4.57 and 4.67 (each 1H, d, J = 14 Hz, H-17), 4.74 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.57 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 504 [M]⁺ (1), 462 (1), 444 (5), 402 (24), 384 (20), 369 (7), 342 (5), 324 (24), 309 (8), 264 (50), 251 (18), 236 (15), 223 (16), 221 (14), 209 (12), 195 (16).

Allylic oxidation of candol A. Compound 9 (450 mg) in CH2Cl2 (3 ml) was treated with SeO₂ (80 mg) and t-butyl hydroperoxide (0.4 ml) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 ml) under N_2 for 8 hr at room temp. [18]. The soln was poured into H2O and extracted with EtOAc in the usual way. Chromatography of the residue and elution with petrol-EtOAc gave starting material (75 mg) and ent-7α,15βdihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (1) (305 mg), mp 203-204° (lit 206-208° [9]), $[M + H_2O]^+$ at m/z 286.2292. $C_{20}H_{30}O$ requires 286.2296; ¹H NMR (200 MHz); δ0.81, 0.88 and 1.01 (each 3H, s), 3.91 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.11 (1H, br s, H-15), 5.09 and 5.21 (each 1H, s, H-17); EIMS m/z (rel. int.); 286 [M – H₂O]* (26), 271 (22), 268 (5), 253 (8), 243 (4), 229 (5), 215 (4), 201 (8), 189 (8). Diacetate (2), mp 130–132°, ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.73, 0.76 and 1.02 (each 3H, s), 1.94 and 1.95 (each 3H, s), 2.80 (1H, br s, H-13), 4.93 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.02 and 5.16 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.37 (1H, s, H-15); EIMS m/z(rel. int.): 388 [M]+ (1), 346 (3), 328 (51), 313 (8), 286 (61), 268 (74), 253 (58), 240 (7), 225 (15), 213 (15), 199 (15).

Oxidation of epicandicandiol diacetate. Compound 10 (1 g) in dioxane (40 ml) was treated with SeO₂ (200 mg) and H₂O (10 ml) for 9 hr at room temp. [19]. The soln was poured into H₂O and extracted with EtOAc in the usual way. Chromatography of the residue eluting with petrol–EtOAc (10%) afforded ent- 7α ,18-diacetoxy-15 α -hydroxy-kaur-16-ene (6) (270 mg). ¹H NMR (80 MHz): δ 0.83 and 1.08 (each 3H, s), 3.70 (2H, br s, H-18), 4.00 (1H, s, H-15), 4.98 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.05 and 5.21 (each 1H, s, H-17). Further elution gave ent-15 β ,18-diacetoxy-7 α -hydroxy-kaur-16-ene (7) (290 mg), ¹H NMR (80 MHz): δ 0.81 and 1.06 (each 3H, s), 2.04 (6H, s), 3.50 and 4.11 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 3.85 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.05 and 5.19 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.43 (1H, s, H-15).

Triacetate (4). Obtained by acetylation of 6 and 7 with Ac_2O and pyridine in the usual way. ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.77 and 1.04 (each 3H, s), 1.92, 1.93, and 1.99 (each 3H, s), 2.79 (1H, br s, H-13), 2.54 and 3.71 (each 1H, d, J=11 Hz, H-18), 4.91 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.00 and 5.16 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.36 (1H, s, H-15).

Hydrolysis of compound 4. Compound 4 (590 mg) in MeOH was treated with MeOH-KOH (5%) (10 ml) at room temp. for

24 hr. Usual work-up gave ent- 7α ,15 β ,18-trihydroxy-kaur-16-ene (eubotriol) (3) (390 mg), $[M-H_2O]^+$ at m/z 302.2235. $C_{20}H_{30}O_2$ requires 302.2246; 1H NMR (200 MHz): δ 0.70 and 1.04 (each 3H, s), 2.78 (1H, br s, H-13), 2.94 and 3.49 (each 1H, d, J=11 Hz, H-18), 3.91 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.12 (1H, s, H-15), 5.09 and 5.22 (each 1H, s, H-17); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 302 $[M-H_2O]^+$ (5), 287 (4), 272 (11), 271 (11), 254 (21), 239 (10), 213 (4), 199 (4).

Oxidation of compound 28. Compound 28 (25 mg) was treated with SeO₂ and r-butyl hydroperoxide as described above for 9. Chromatography of the residue with petrol-EtOAc gave ent- 7α ,18,19-triacetoxy-15 β -hydroxy-kaur-16-ene (29) (8 mg) ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 1.10 (3H, s), 2.04 (6H, s), 2.06 (3H, s), 2.81 (1H, br s, H-13), 3.83 and 3.99 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 3.99 (1H, s, H-15), 4.05 and 4.26 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 4.97 (1H, br s, H-7), 5.06 and 5.22 (each 1H, s, H-17). Further elution afforded ent-15 β ,18,19-triacetoxy-7 α -hydroxy-kaur-16-ene (30) (10 mg), ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ 1.08 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.06 (6H, s), 2.82 (1H, br s, H-13), 3.79 and 4.23 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 3.84 (1H, br s, H-7), 4.00 and 4.29 (each 1H, d, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 5.06 and 5.19 (each 1H, s, H-17), 5.43 (1H, s, H-15).

Acetylation of 29 and 30. Treatment of 29 and 30 with Ac₂O and pyridine in the usual way gave the same tetraacetate 22, identical with that obtained by acetylation of 21.

Acknowledgements—The work has been supported by the CICYT, Ministry of Education and Science, Spain, grant PR87-255. We thank Dr J. R. Hanson (University of Sussex, U.K.) for cultures of Gibberella fujikuroi.

REFERENCES

- Fraga, B. M., Hanson, J. R. and Hernández, M. G. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 812.
- Fraga, B. M., Hanson, J. R., Hernández, M. G. and Sarah, F. Y. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1087.

- Fraga, B. M., González, A. G., Hanson, J. R. and Hernández, M. G. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 57.
- Fraga, B. M., González, A. G., González, P., Hanson, J. R., Hernández, M. G. and Hitchcock, P. B. (1982) J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 311.
- Fraga, B. M., González, A. G., González, P., Hanson, J. R. and Hernández, M. G. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 691.
- Fraga, B. M., González, P., Hernández, M. G., Perales, A. and Tellado, F. G. (1986) Phytochemistry 25, 1235.
- Fraga, B. M., Hernández, M. G., Díaz, C. E., González, P. and Guillermo, R. (1988) Phytochemistry 27, 3131.
- Bearder, J. R. (1983) in The Biochemistry and Physiology of Gibberellins Vol. I (Crozier, A., ed.), p. 251. Praeger, New York.
- Fukuyama, Y., Toyota, M. and Asakawa, Y. (1988) Phytochemistry 27, 1425.
- Fujita, T., Takao, S. and Fujita, E. (1979) J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans I 910.
- 11. Venturella, P. and Bellino, A. (1977) Experientia 33, 1270.
- Dennis, D. T., Upper, C. D. and West, C. A. (1965) Plant Physiol. 40, 948.
- 13. Cross, B. E. and Myers, P. L., (1969) Phytochemistry 8, 79.
- Jefferies, P. R. and Retallack, R. W. (1968) Aust. J. Chem. 21, 2085.
- Hanson, J. R., Siverns, M., Piozzi, F. and Savona, G. (1976)
 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans I 114.
- Venturella, P., Bellino, A. and Marino, M. A. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 811.
- Hanson, J. R., Hawker, J. and White, A. F. (1973) J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans I 1892.
- Umbreit, M. A. and Sharpless, K. B. (1977) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 5526.
- Delgado, G., Alvarez, M. and Romo de Vivar, A. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2674.