Microbiological Transformation of Manoyl Oxide Derivatives by *Mucor plumbeus*

Braulio M. Fraga, Pedro González, Ricardo Guillermo, and
Melchor G. Hernández

Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología, CSIC, P.O. Box 195,
La Laguna, 38206-Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, and Instituto
Universitario de Bio-Orgánica "Antonio González",
Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

JOURNAL OF
**NATURAL
PRODUCTS®**

Reprinted from
Volume 61, Number 10, Pages 1237-1241

Microbiological Transformation of Manoyl Oxide Derivatives by *Mucor plumbeus*

Braulio M. Fraga,*[†] Pedro González,[‡] Ricardo Guillermo,[‡] and Melchor G. Hernández[†]

Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología, CSIC, P.O. Box 195, La Laguna, 38206-Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, and Instituto Universitario de Bio-Organica "Antonio González", Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Received April 7, 1998

Biotransformations of jhanol (18-hydroxymanoyl oxide) (2), jhanidiol (1 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide) (3), and 1-oxo-jhanol (1-oxo-18-hydroxymanoyl oxide) (4) by the fungus *Mucor plumbeus* have been studied. In the incubation of 2 there exists a preference for hydroxylation at C-2(α) (8) and C-6(β) (9–11) and, to a lesser degree, at C-1(α) (7), C-11(α) (6), and C-11(β) (5 and 10). In the second substrate (3), the presence of a 1 β -hydroxyl group inhibits 6 β - or 11-hydroxylation. Epoxidation of the vinyl group constitutes the main reaction, with the positions 2 α (14) and 3 β (15) being hydroxylated. In the incubation of 4, there was a preference for 6 β -hydroxylation (21) or epoxidation of the vinyl group (22). Other hydroxylations observed were at the 2 α (19), 2 β (20), 3 α (23), 3 β (24), and 11 β (18) positions.

In a continuation of studies of the microbiological transformation of diterpenoids with fungi we have examined the biotransformation of three manoyl oxide derivatives with *Mucor plumbeus* (Mucoraceae), a fungus with a low substrate specificity. The purpose was to obtain substances with functionality similar to that of forskolin¹ (1). In previous work we studied incubation of the same compounds with *Gibberella fujikuroi*,² a fungus that, despite possessing high substrate specificity, produces an enantiomeric derivative of manoyl oxide. The fungus *M. plumbeus* has been used previously for biotransformations, for example, with sesquiterpenes possessing the cedrane³ and aromadendrane⁴ skeletons and with diterpenes of the labdane type.^{5–7} In the latter case, the main compounds isolated were hydroxylated at ring A.

Results and Discussion

The substrates used were jhanol (2), jhanidiol (3), and 1-oxo-jhanol (4). The first two diterpenes had been isolated from *Eupatorium jhanii*,⁸ a plant that grows in the Andean region of Venezuela, and the last was a synthetic sample obtained chemically from 3 or microbiologically from 2.² The incubation of 2 led to the isolation of compounds 5–11. The substances 5–7 had been obtained in the incubation of this compound with *G. fujikuroi*² and were identified by direct comparison.

The structure of 2 α ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (8) was given to one of the metabolites, on the basis of the following considerations: HRMS showed a peak at m/z 307.2273, formed by the loss of a methyl group from the molecular ion. Thus, the molecular formula of this product was C₂₀H₃₄O₃, indicating that a new oxygen had been introduced into the molecule of 2. This oxygen function was a secondary alcohol, because in the ¹H NMR spectrum a proton geminal to a hydroxyl group appeared as a triplet of triplets at δ 3.99. The multiplicity of this resonance can only be explained by a 2 β -hydrogen, with two adjacent methylene groups, in which the triplet of triplets arises from the equivalent coupling observed with H-1(α) and H-3(α) (J = 11.2 Hz) and with H-1(β) and H-3(β) (J = 4.5

H₂). Thus, the alcohol must be situated at C-2 with an α -stereochemistry, a conclusion that was confirmed by the ¹³C NMR data (Table 1).

Another compound obtained in this feeding was 9. Its HRMS was in accordance with the molecular formula C₂₀H₃₄O₄, indicating that two new oxygen atoms had been introduced into 2 during the fermentation. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed two protons geminal to hydroxylic functions. One of these resonated at δ 4.08 as a triplet of triplets, in a manner similar to that observed in 7, which indicated that this hydroxyl must be situated at the 2 α position. The hydrogen geminal to the second alcohol group

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 34-22251728. Fax: 34-22260135. E-mail: bmfraga@ipna.csic.es.

[†] Instituto de Productos Naturales.

[‡] Instituto Universitario de Bio-Organica.

Table 1. ^{13}C NMR Data of Compounds **8**, **9**, **9a**, **10a**, **12**, and **13a**

carbon	8	9	9a	10a	12	13a
1	47.6	49.7	45.8	37.7	79.3	81.2
2	65.0	65.1	68.0	17.7	29.1	24.2
3	44.5	46.6	42.8	40.7	33.1 ^a	33.3
4	38.4	38.4	38.4	37.3 ^a	37.3	36.1
5	48.6	51.0	51.2	52.8	48.1	48.8
6	19.3	68.1	68.4	68.6	19.5	19.3
7	42.6	50.3	50.7	52.3	42.4	42.4
8	74.9	74.5	74.1	73.8	65.0	74.3
9	55.6	56.3	56.6	56.7	58.0	57.3
10	39.0	39.7	38.4	37.8 ^a	42.6	41.3
11	15.4	15.4	15.4	66.8	17.7	17.5
12	35.6	35.8	35.8	40.7	33.4 ^a	36.3
13	73.4	73.4	73.3	72.0	70.9	71.7
14	147.6	147.8	147.8	147.5	59.9	43.2
15	110.4	110.4	110.2	110.6	43.9	61.3
16	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.8 ^b	24.8 ^b	27.7
17	25.5	26.5	26.4	28.1 ^b	24.2 ^b	25.1
18	71.2	71.5	72.0	72.9	71.4	71.8
19	18.1	20.4	20.1	19.5	16.8	16.9
20	16.9	18.3	18.2	18.2	11.9	13.1

^{a,b} These values may be interchanged.

appeared as a broad singlet at δ 4.48. This could be explained by the presence of this hydroxyl group at various positions such as C-1(α), C-3(α), C-6(β), or C-12(α). The C-6(β) position was indicated in light of the ^{13}C NMR data (Table 1) and then confirmed by acetylation of this compound, leading to the 2 α ,18-diacetate (**9a**). The 6 β -hydroxyl is stereochemically hindered, which in this type of molecule only occurs at this position. Hence, the structure of this metabolite was resolved as 2 α ,6 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (**9**).

The more polar metabolites **10** and **11** were obtained as their acetates by acetylation and chromatography of the fraction containing them. The structure of 6 β ,11 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (**10**) was assigned to the first alcohol. The molecular formula of its 11 β ,18-diacetate (**10a**) was $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_6$, indicating that the parent triol **10** was $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_4$. Its ^1H NMR spectrum showed a proton geminal to a hydroxyl group at δ 4.37 as a broad singlet. The chemical shift and multiplicity of the resonance were similar to those observed for the 6 α -H in compound **9a**, indicating the existence in **10a** of a 6 β -alcohol that was not acetylated under usual conditions. A proton geminal to an acetoxy group, which was assigned to C-11(β), also appeared in this spectrum. It resonated as a double doublet at δ 5.54. Double resonance experiments permitted observation of the couplings of this carbinol with the hydrogens of the C-12 methylene ($J = 4.5$ Hz) and with that of the C-9 methine ($J = 3.2$ Hz). These ^1H NMR data were similar to those observed for 11 β -acetoxymanoyl oxide.^{9,10} Finally, the structure of the diacetate (**10a**) was confirmed by assignment of its ^{13}C NMR spectrum (Table 1).

The second metabolite, also obtained as the acetate, was 14 ξ ,15,18-triacetate (**11a**), which had a molecular formula of $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{42}\text{O}_8$, indicating that the compound obtained in the feeding was the tetraol **11**. Thus, the nonacetylated hydroxyl was assigned to the 6 β position for the reason given previously for **9** and **10**. In the ^1H NMR spectrum, the disappearance of the double bond and the observation of three hydrogens situated on two adjacent carbon-bearing alcohols indicated that one of the alcohols was primary and the other secondary. These facts permitted us to assign the remaining two hydroxyls to C-14 and C-15, with the stereochemistry at C-14 remaining undetermined.

The incubation of jhanidiol (**3**) with *M. plumbeus* led to the isolation of **12**–**16**. Compound **15** had been obtained

Table 2. ^{13}C NMR Data of Compounds **14a**, **16**, **16a**, **17**, **20**, and **23**

carbon	14a	16	16a	17	20	23
1	78.4	79.1	81.2	215.7	217.0	211.0
2	29.2	29.2	24.1	35.4	71.3	43.5
3	70.2	33.2 ^a	33.3	35.7	39.7	75.9
4	40.3	37.3	36.1	37.4	36.7	42.5
5	45.6	48.0	48.8	49.4 ^a	43.5	48.6
6	18.6	19.4	19.3	20.1	19.7	19.4
7	42.6	42.5	42.1	41.4	42.1	41.7
8	74.3	75.8	74.7	75.7	75.0	74.5
9	53.8	58.4	56.5	50.4 ^a	48.5	49.0
10	41.1	42.7	41.3	50.9	48.8	52.1
11	17.3	17.5	17.1	16.9	16.7	17.4
12	34.0	33.4 ^a	31.8	33.1	35.3	36.3
13	73.3	71.3	73.1	70.5	73.7	73.8
14	147.1	76.7	77.2	77.0	147.4	147.7
15	111.0	63.3	63.4	63.2	110.6	110.4
16	29.5	24.7 ^b	25.3 ^a	24.7 ^b	28.6	27.9
17	25.9	24.4 ^b	25.2 ^a	24.5 ^b	25.9	25.2
18	64.9	71.3	71.8	70.3	70.9	70.6
19	12.8	16.8	16.7	18.8	20.6	15.3
20	12.5	12.1	13.1	15.3	17.1	11.8

^{a,b} These values may be interchanged.

in the biotransformation of this same substance (**3**) by *G. fujikuroi*.² The least polar metabolite isolated from the feeding of **3** was the epoxide **12**, which was a single stereoisomer. The ^1H NMR spectrum of this metabolite showed no vinylic hydrogens but had those indicative of an epoxide at C-14,C-15. The two H-15 protons resonated as two double doublets at δ 2.68 ($J = 5.1, 2.9$ Hz) and 2.71 ($J = 5.1, 3.9$ Hz), while H-14 appeared as a double doublet at δ 2.87 ($J = 3.9, 2.9$ Hz). Consequently, the structure 1 β ,18-dihydroxy-14 ξ ,15-epoxymanoyl oxide (**12**) was assigned. Epoxidation of **3** with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid led to a mixture of stereoisomers in a 6:4 ratio. The pure stereoisomer obtained in the biotransformation was identical with that formed, in a lesser proportion, in the chemical epoxidation.

The metabolite **13**, obtained in this feeding of **3**, was isolated together with **14** as their triacetates **13a** and **14a** by acetylation and chromatography of the fractions containing them. Structure **13a** was assigned by comparing its ^1H NMR spectrum with that of **3a**.⁸ The hydrogens of the double bond disappeared, being substituted by those of a $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_2\text{OAc}$ group. The two protons of this 15-acetoxymethylene group resonated as a triplet at δ 4.19 ($J = 7.3$ Hz). This structure was confirmed by assignment of the ^{13}C NMR spectrum (Table 1), thus, the parent triol must be 1 β ,15,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (**13**).

The molecular formula of triacetate **14a** ($\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_7$), corresponding to an alcohol $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_4$, indicated that a new oxygen had been introduced. This must be a secondary alcohol, because a new hydrogen geminal to an acetoxy group appeared in the ^1H NMR spectrum of **14a**, resonating as a double doublet at δ 4.91 ($J = 12.3, 4.8$ Hz). These data are characteristic of a proton geminal to an β -equatorial acetate at C-3, C-7, or C-12. In light of the ^{13}C NMR spectrum (Table 2), the acetoxy group was placed at C-3 β . Therefore, the structure of 1 β ,3 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (**14**) was assigned to the corresponding triol.

The most polar metabolite (**16**) did not yield a molecular ion in its MS, but gave one at m/z 323.2240 ($\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_4$) formed by loss of water and a methyl group. The two oxygen atoms introduced during the feeding must be situated at C-14 and C-15, because the hydrogen signals of the double bond disappeared in its ^1H NMR spectrum, being substituted by those of another three coupled protons, now on carbon-bearing oxygens. These signals were over-

lapped at δ 3.70 and were resolved in the corresponding spectrum of the triacetate **16a**, which showed the H-14 as a double doublet at δ 5.00 ($J = 9.0, 2.5$ Hz) and the two H-15 signals as another pair of double doublets at δ 4.09 ($J = 11.9, 9.0$ Hz) and 4.43 ($J = 11.9, 2.5$ Hz). Hence, the corresponding alcohol possessed the structure $1\beta,14\xi,15,18$ -tetrahydroxymanoyl oxide (**16**). Assignments of the ^{13}C NMR spectra of **16** and **16a** are given in Table 2.

Incubation of 1-oxo-18-hydroxymanoyl oxide (**4**) led to compounds **17–25**. Metabolites **18, 19, 21, 22**, and **24** had been previously obtained in the biotransformation of **4** with the fungus *G. fujikuroi*.² The least polar product was **20**, which had the molecular formula $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_4$. Its ^1H NMR spectrum showed the resonance of a hydrogen geminal to a new hydroxyl group, which was assigned to C-2 in light of the disappearance in this spectrum of the characteristic methylene group adjacent to the 1-oxo group and assignment of the ^{13}C NMR data (Table 2). The stereochemistry assigned to this hydroxyl group was determined as follows. The most stable conformation of ring A, obtained using molecular mechanics calculations, was a boat, showing a difference of about 6 kcal/mol from that of a chair. Therefore, the observed coupling constants between H-2 and the two H-3, 14.1 and 6.1 Hz, indicated a β -equatorial stereochemistry for the hydroxyl group. On the other hand, the spectroscopic data of this product were similar to those of its 2α -epimer (**19**), obtained in this feeding and also in the incubation of **4** with *G. fujikuroi*.² Consequently, the structure of 1-oxo- $2\beta,18$ -dihydroxymanoyl oxide (**20**) was given to this compound.

Another substance isolated was **23**, which was an isomer of **24**. Comparing the ^1H NMR spectrum of **23** with that of the substrate **4**, a new hydrogen geminal to a hydroxyl group appeared. This alcohol was assigned to C-3(α) on the basis of the following considerations: (a) the least energy conformation was determined as a boat by molecular mechanics calculations; and (b) the 3β -proton resonated as a double doublet at δ 3.93 ($J = 12, 5.2$ Hz), indicating an axial stereochemistry. This hydrogen is

coupled with the characteristic signals of H-2, which are deshielded by the adjacent 1-oxo group. The location of the hydroxyl group was confirmed by the formation of an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl group during treatment with Ac_2O -pyridine, which led to the dehydrated product **25**. Thus, the structure of 1-oxo- $3\alpha,18$ -dihydroxymanoyl oxide (**23**) was given to this substance. The assignment of its ^{13}C NMR spectrum is given in Table 2.

Finally, the structure of 1-oxo-14,15,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (**17**) was assigned to the most polar metabolite on the basis of the following considerations. The peak at m/z 321.2062, observed in its MS, was formed from the molecular ion by loss of water and a methyl group. This compound gave a triacetate (**17a**), the ^1H NMR spectrum of which showed signals of a $-\text{CH}(\text{OAc})-\text{CH}_2\text{OAc}$ group. Thus, the two H-15 protons appeared as a pair of double doublets at δ 4.14 ($J = 11.7, 9.0$ Hz) and 4.46 ($J = 11.7, 2.4$ Hz), while the H-14 resonated as another double doublet at δ 4.99 ($J = 9.0, 2.4$ Hz). The corresponding alcohol **17** is formed in the incubation by epoxidation of the vinyl group to give **22** and opening of the oxirane ring by nucleophilic attack of water from the culture medium.

The results of the biotransformation of jhanol (**2**) indicated that there is a preference for hydroxylation at C-2(α) or C-6(β) and, to a lesser extent, at C-1(α) or C-11(α or β), while the presence of a 1β -hydroxyl group in jhanidiol (**3**) inhibits the 6β - or the 11-hydroxylation, the epoxidation of the vinyl group appearing as the main reaction. Moreover, the positions 2α and 3β were also hydroxylated. In the case of the 1-oxo-jhanol (**4**), there exists preference for 6β -hydroxylation or epoxidation of the vinyl group. Other hydroxylations observed were at the $2\alpha, 2\beta, 3\alpha, 3\beta$, or 11β positions.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were determined with a Reichert Thermovar apparatus and are uncorrected. IR and UV spectra were recorded in a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT and a Varian Cary 1E spectrophotometer, respectively. ^1H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl_3 solutions at 200.13 and 500.13 MHz, with a Bruker AC-200 or a Bruker AMX2-500 spectrometer, respectively, and the ^{13}C NMR were run at 50.32 MHz, with a Bruker AC-200 and are reported in parts per million (δ). MS were taken at 70 eV (probe) in a Shimadzu Q2000; and HRMS, in a Micromass Autospec spectrometer. Conformations of minimum energy were determined by computational methods employing the Chem X program of Chemical Design. Dry column chromatographies were made on Si gel Merck 0.02–0.063 mm.

Organism. The fungal strain was *Mucor plumbeus* CMI 116688 and was a gift from Dr. J.R. Hanson, School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences (University of Sussex).

Incubation Experiments. The fungus *M. plumbeus* was grown in shake culture at 25 °C, in conical flasks (250 mL), each containing 50 mL of a sterile medium comprising (per L) glucose (80 g), NH_4NO_3 (0.48 g), KH_2PO_4 (5 g), MgSO_4 (1 g), and trace-elements solution (2 mL). The trace-elements solution contained (per 100 mL) $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ (0.01 g), CuSO_4 (0.015 g), ZnSO_4 (0.16 g), MnSO_4 (0.01 g), and $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}$ (0.01 g). The substrate dissolved in EtOH was evenly distributed between flasks after 1 day of growth. After a further 6 days, the fermentation was harvested. The mycelium was filtered, and the culture filtrate was extracted with EtOAc. The extract was dried over Na_2SO_4 , the solvent evaporated, and the residue chromatographed on a Si gel column using a petroleum ether–EtOAc gradient.

Incubation of Jhanol (2): The substrate (**2**, 300 mg) in 26 conical flasks was incubated as above. Chromatography of the extract on Si gel gave: $11\beta,18$ -dihydroxymanoyl oxide (**5**) (5 mg); $11\alpha,18$ -dihydroxymanoyl oxide (**6**) (2 mg); $1\alpha,18$ -

dihydroxymanoyl oxide (7) (3 mg); 2 α ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (8) (60 mg); 2 α ,6 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (9) (32 mg); 6 β ,11 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (10) (2 mg); and 6 β ,14 ξ ,15,18-tetrahydroxymanoyl oxide (11) (10 mg). The two last compounds (10) and (11) were identified as the 11 β ,18-diacetate (10a) and the 14 ξ ,15,18-triacetate (11a), by acetylation and chromatography of the fractions containing them.

2 α ,18-Dihydroxymanoyl oxide (8): colorless crystals (petroleum ether–EtOAc); mp 158–160 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 0.79, 0.87, 1.28, 1.30 (each 3H, s), 3.15, 3.43 (each 1H, d, J = 10.9 Hz, H-18), 3.99 (1H, tt, J = 11.2, 4.5 Hz, H-2), 4.92 (1H; dd, J = 10.7, 1.0 Hz, H-15), 5.14 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 1.0 Hz, H-15), 5.87 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 307 [M – CH $_3$] $^+$ (63), 289 (17), 271 (18), 259 (13), 241 (16), 224 (7), 206 (20), 189 (25); HREIMS m/z 307.2273 [M – CH $_3$] $^+$ (calcd for C $_{19}$ H $_{31}$ O $_8$, 307.2271).

2 α ,6 β ,18-Trihydroxymanoyl oxide (9): ^1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 1.18, 1.21, 1.30, 1.57 (each 3H, s), 3.27, 3.54 (each 1H, d, J = 10.8 Hz, H-18), 4.08 (1H, tt, 11.2, 4.4 Hz, H-2), 4.48 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.91 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.4 Hz, H-14), 5.11 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.85 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel int) 338 [M] $^+$ (0.5), 323 (100), 305 (24), 287 (19), 269 (27), 257 (18), 239 (9), 237 (14), 222 (17), 207 (13), 204 (14), 199 (13); HREIMS m/z 338.2453 [M] $^+$ (calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{34}$ O $_4$, 338.2457).

2 α ,18-Diacetate (9a): colorless crystal (petroleum ether–EtOAc); mp 158–160 °C; ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.14 (1H, d, J = 2.7 Hz, H-5), 1.28, 1.29, 1.31, 1.58 (each 3H, s), 1.67 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 4.6 Hz, H-7), 2.01 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 2.7 Hz, H-7), 2.03, 2.08 (each 3H, s), 3.78, 4.03 (each 1H, d, J = 11.1 Hz, H-18), 4.36 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.93 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.12 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.21 (1H, m, H-2), 5.87 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 422 [M] $^+$ (1), 407 (97), 389 (8), 347 (14), 329 (15), 287 (33), 269 (54), 264 (13), 251 (19), 239 (6), 217 (10), 204 (13), 199 (41); HREIMS m/z 422.2677 [M] $^+$ (calcd for C $_{24}$ H $_{38}$ O $_6$, 422.2668).

6 β ,11 β ,18-Trihydroxymanoyl oxide (10): obtained as its 11 β ,18-diacetate (10a) by acetylation at room temperature and chromatography of the fraction containing it.

11 β ,18-Diacetate (10a): ^1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 1.23, 1.40, 1.43, 1.89 (each 3H, s), 1.52 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz, H-9), 1.94 (2H, d, J = 4.5 Hz, H-12), 2.07 (10 each 3H, s), 3.71, 4.00 (each 1H, d, J = 11.1 Hz, H-18), 4.37 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 10.7 Hz, H-15), 5.13 (1H, d, J = 17.3 Hz, H-15), 5.54 (1H, dd, J = 4.5, 3.2 Hz, H-11), 5.85 (1H, dd, J = 17.4, 10.7 Hz, H-14). EIMS m/z (rel int) 422 [M] $^+$ (1), 407 (4), 389 (5), 347 (53), 329 (19), 302 (6), 287 (16), 275 (5), 269 (19), 251 (7), 231 (11), 217 (7), 199 (13); HREIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 422.2655 (calcd for C $_{24}$ H $_{38}$ O $_6$, 422.2668).

6 β ,14 ξ ,15,18-Tetrahydroxymanoyl oxide (11): obtained in the form of the triacetate 11a by acetylation at room temperature and chromatographic separation.

14 ξ ,15,18-Triacetate (11a): ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.13, 1.19, 1.26, 1.54 (each 3H, s), 2.03, 2.09, 2.10 (each 3H, s), 3.73, 4.00 (each 1H, d, J = 11.1 Hz, H-18), 4.12 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 9.2 Hz, H-15), 4.31 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 2.5 Hz, H-15), 5.00 (1H, dd, J = 9.2, 2.5 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 482 [M] $^+$ (0.5), 467 (1), 449 (1), 422 (2), 407 (3), 389 (1), 379 (6), 337 (14), 319 (9), 275 (5), 259 (74), 241 (26), 201 (30); HREIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 482.2871 (calcd for C $_{26}$ H $_{42}$ O $_8$, 482.2879).

Incubation of Jhanidiol (3). The substrate (230 mg) in 28 conical flasks was incubated as described above. The extract was chromatographed on Si gel affording: starting material (90 mg); 1 β ,18-dihydroxy-14 ξ ,15-epoxymanoyl oxide (12) (9 mg); 1 β ,15,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (13); 1 β ,3 β ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (14); 1 β ,2 α ,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (15) (3 mg); and 1 β ,14 ξ ,15,18-tetrahydroxymanoyl oxide (16) (8 mg). Compounds 13 and 14 were identified as their triacetates 13a (2 mg) and 14a (2 mg), respectively.

1 β ,18-Dihydroxy-14 ξ ,15-epoxymanoyl oxide (epoxy-jhanidiol) (12): ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.74, 0.89, 1.23, 1.32 (each 3H, s), 2.68 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.9 Hz, H-15), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 3.9 Hz, H-15), 2.87 (1H, dd, J = 3.9, 2.9 Hz, H-14), 3.12, 3.43 (each 1H, d, J = 11.0 Hz, H-18), 3.45 (1H, m, H-1); EIMS m/z (rel int) 338 [M] $^+$ (1), 323 (14), 307 (3), 305 (4), 295

(47), 277 (24), 259 (59), 247 (100), 241 (14), 229 (28), 219 (7), 199 (6); HREIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 338.2473 (calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{34}$ O $_4$, 338.2457).

1 β ,15,18-Trihydroxymanoyl oxide (13): obtained in the form of its triacetate 16 by acetylation in the usual way and chromatography of the fractions containing it.

Triacetate 13a: ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.83, 0.99, 1.22, 1.27 (each 3H, s), 2.02, 2.04, 2.10 (each 3H, s), 3.65, 3.84 (each 1H, d, J = 11.0 Hz, H-18), 4.19 (1H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-15), 4.62 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 4.4 Hz, H-1), EIMS m/z (rel int) 451 [M – CH $_3$] $^+$ (7), 391 (15), 379 (44), 331 (19), 319 (22), 301 (44), 259 (100), 253 (15), 241 (75), 201 (54), 199 (9); HREIMS m/z [M – CH $_3$] $^+$ 451.2697 (calcd for C $_{25}$ H $_{39}$ O $_7$, 451.2695).

1 β ,3 β ,18-Trihydroxymanoyl oxide (14): isolated as its triacetate 14a by acetylation and chromatographic separation.

Triacetate 14a: ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.84, 1.03, 1.23, 1.30 (each 3H, s), 2.01 (6 H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 3.74 (2 H, s, H-18), 4.70 (1H, dd, J = 11.4, 4.8 Hz, H-1), 4.91 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 4.8 Hz, H-3), 4.97 (each 1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.15 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.87 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 10.7 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 464 [M] $^+$ (1), 449 (100), 389 (21), 329 (55), 275 (10), 269 (59), 215 (6), 213 (10), 199 (40), HREIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 464.2753 (calcd for C $_{26}$ H $_{40}$ O $_7$, 464.2774).

1 β ,14 ξ ,15,18-Tetrahydroxymanoyl oxide (16): ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.73, 1.19, 1.26, 1.32 (each 3H, s), 3.11, 3.42 (each 1H, d, J = 10.8 Hz, H-18), 3.45 (1H, overlapped with H-18, H-1), 3.70 (3H, m, H-14, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel int) 323 [M – CH $_3$ – H $_2$ O] $^+$ (5), 307 (4), 295 (25), 277 (24), 259 (52), 247 (100), 241 (15), 229 (28), 201 (20), 189 (26); HREIMS m/z [M – CH $_3$ – H $_2$ O] $^+$ 323.2240 (calcd for C $_{19}$ H $_{31}$ O $_4$, 323.2222).

Tetraacetate 16a: ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.83, 0.98, 1.23, 1.27 (each 3H, s), 2.02, 2.03 (each 3H, s), 2.11 (6 H, s), 3.65, 3.85 (each 1H, d, J = 11.1 Hz, H-18), 4.09 (1H, dd, J = 11.9, 9.0 Hz, H-15), 4.43 (1H, dd, J = 11.9, 2.5 Hz, H-15), 4.61 (1H, br s, H-1), 5.00 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 2.5 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 464 [M – AcOH] $^+$ (1), 449 (1), 407 (1), 404 (3), 389 (3), 379 (18), 319 (15), 301 (12), 277 (6), 259 (100), 241 (39), 229 (11), 201 (39); HREIMS m/z [M – AcOH] $^+$ 464.2758 (calcd for C $_{26}$ H $_{40}$ O $_7$, 464.2774).

Epoxidation of Jhanidiol (3). Compound 3 (30 mg) in CHCl $_3$ (5 mL) was treated with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (20 mg) for 24 h at room temperature. Usual workup afforded a mixture of the diastereomeric 14,15-epoxides (4:6), which were inseparable by chromatography.

Incubation of 1-Oxo-18-dihydroxymanoyl Oxide (4). The substrate 4 (200 mg) in 21 conical flasks was incubated as above. Chromatography of the extract gave starting material (80 mg); 1-oxo-11 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (18) (4 mg); 1-oxo-2 α ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (19) (2 mg); 1-oxo-2 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (20) (1 mg); 1-oxo-6 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (21) (11 mg); 1-oxo-14 ξ ,15-epoxy-18-hydroxymanoyl oxide (22) (2 mg); 1-oxo-3 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (24) (2 mg); 1-oxo-3 α ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (23) (2 mg), and 1-oxo-14,15,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (17) (8 mg).

1-Oxo-2 β ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (20): colorless crystal (petroleum ether–EtOAc); mp 106–107 °C; IR (CHCl $_3$) ν_{max} 3460, 1700 cm $^{-1}$; ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.88, 1.16, 1.29, 1.38 (each 3H, s), 1.49 (1H, dd, J = 14.1, 13.8 Hz, H-3), 2.29 (1H, dd, J = 13.8, 6.1 Hz, H-3), 3.38, 3.63 (each 1H, d, J = 10.5 Hz, H-18), 4.68 (1H, dd, J = 14.1, 6.1 Hz, H-2), 4.92 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.13 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, H-15), 5.84 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 10.7 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 336 [M] $^+$ (4), 321 (100), 303 (61), 291 (6), 285 (12), 273 (7), 266 (5), 255 (9), 238 (19), 223 (15), 207 (12), 203 (8), 197 (5); HREIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 336.2305 (calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{32}$ O $_4$, 336.2300).

1-Oxo-3 α ,18-dihydroxymanoyl oxide (23): colorless crystal (petroleum ether–EtOAc); mp 152–154 °C; ^1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.07, 1.23, 1.31, 1.35 (each 3H, s), 2.43 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 5.2 Hz, H-2), 3.09 (1H, t, J = 12.0 Hz, H-2), 3.43, 3.74 (each 1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-18), 3.93 (1H, dd, J = 12, 5.2 Hz, H-3), 4.93 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, 1.4 Hz, H-15), 5.14 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 1.4 Hz, H-15), 5.88 (1H, dd, J = 17.3, 10.7 Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 336 [M] $^+$ (1), 321 (100), 309 (3), 303 (18), 285 (20), 279 (9), 273 (7), 267 (6), 255 (15), 238 (12), 233 (6),

215 (8), 203 (11), 199 (2); HREIMS $[M]^+$ m/z 336.2305 (calcd for $C_{26}H_{32}O_4$, 336.2300).

Compound 25. Treatment of **23** with $Ac_2O-C_6H_5N$ (2:1) at room temperature for 12 h and chromatography over Si gel afforded the dehydrated compound **25**: UV ($CHCl_3$) λ_{max} 242 nm; 1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.09, 1.18, 1.34, 1.39 (each 3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 3.86, 3.99 (each 1H, d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, H-18), 4.94 (1H, dd, $J = 10.6, 1.4$ Hz, H-15), 5.16 (1H, dd, $J = 17.2, 1.4$ Hz, H-15), 5.83, 6.33 (each 1H, d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, H-2 and H-3, respectively), 5.90 (1H, dd, $J = 17.2, 10.6$ Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 360 $[M]^+$ (1), 345 (100), 333 (5), 327 (4), 290 (3), 275 (8), 267 (19), 262 (15), 215 (6), 211 (10), 199 (2); HREIMS m/z $[M]^+$ 360.2297 (calcd for $C_{22}H_{32}O_4$, 360.2300).

1-Oxo-14,15,18-trihydroxymanoyl oxide (17): colorless crystal (petroleum ether-EtOAc), mp 159–161 °C; IR ($CHCl_3$) ν_{max} 3430, 1700 cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (500 MHz) δ 0.97, 1.19, 1.27, 1.35 (each 3H, s), 1.99 (1H, ddd, $J = 13.8, 10.5, 5.3$ Hz, H-3), 2.31 (1H, ddd, $J = 14.0, 6.7, 5.3$ Hz, H-2), 2.81 (1H, ddd, $J = 14.0, 10.5, 5.5$ Hz, H-2), 3.23, 3.46 (each 1H, d, $J = 10.7$ Hz, H-18), 3.66 (2 H, signals overlapped, H-14 and H-15), 3.80 (1H, dd, $J = 11.3, 5.1$ Hz, H-15); EIMS m/z (rel int) 321 $[M - CH_3 - H_2O]^+$ (2), 305 (3), 293 (79), 275 (20), 257 (38), 245 (85), 227 (9), 217 (8), 201 (17), 199 (23); m/z $[M - CH_3 - H_2O]^+$ 321.2062 (calcd for $C_{19}H_{28}O_4$, 321.2065).

Triacetate (17a): 1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.03, 1.18, 1.25, 1.30 (each 3H, s), 3.73, 3.87 (1H, d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, H-18), 4.14 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7, 9.0$ Hz, H-15), 4.46 (1H, dd, $J = 11.7, 2.4$

Hz, H-15), 4.99 (1H, dd, $J = 9.0, 2.4$ Hz, H-14); EIMS m/z (rel int) 465 $[M - CH_3]^+$ (1), 405 (1), 387 (2), 360 (1), 345 (3), 335 (100), 317 (7), 257 (92), 239 (17), 199 (52); HREIMS m/z $[M - CH_3]^+$ 465.2482 (calcd for $C_{25}H_{37}O_8$, 465.2488).

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by the DGES, Ministry of Education and Culture, Spain.

References and Notes

- (1) Bhat, S. V.; Bajwa, B. S.; Dornauer, H.; De Souza, N. J.; Fehlhaher, H. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *16*, 1913–1917.
- (2) Fraga, B. M.; González, P.; Guillermo, R.; Hernández, M. G. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *54*, 6159–6168.
- (3) Fraga, B. M.; Guillermo, R.; Hanson, J. R.; Truneh, A. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *42*, 1583–1586.
- (4) Guillermo, R.; Hanson, J. R.; Truneh, A. *J. Chem. Res.* **1997**, 28–29.
- (5) Aranda, G.; Hammoumi, A.; Azerad, R.; Lallemand, J. Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1783–1786.
- (6) Aranda, G.; El Kortbi, M. S.; Lallemand, J. Y.; Neuman, A.; Hammoumi, A.; Facon, I.; Azerad, R. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 8339–8350.
- (7) Hoffmann, J.; Fraga, B. M. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *33*, 827–830.
- (8) González, A. G.; Arteaga, J. M.; Bretón, J. L.; Fraga, B. M. *Phytochemistry* **1977**, *16*, 107–110.
- (9) De Pascual-Teresa, J.; San Feliciano, A.; Del Corral, M. J. *Anal. Quim.* **1975**, *71*, 110–111.
- (10) Topcu, G.; Tan, N.; Ulubelen, A.; Sun, D.; Watson, W. H. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, *40*, 501–504.

NP980133M