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A B S T R A C T

We present a new model for the formation of Plio-Pleistocene alkaline basalts in the central part of the Carpathian-Pannonian region (CPR). Based on the structural hydroxyl content of clinopyroxene megacrysts, the ‘water’ content of their host basalts is 2.0–2.5 wt.%, typical for island arc basalts. Likewise, the source region of the host basalts is ‘water’ rich (290–660 ppm), akin to the source of ocean island basalts. This high ‘water’ content could be the result of several subduction events from the Mesozoic onwards (e.g. Penninic, Vardar and Magura oceans), which have transported significant amounts of water back to the upper mantle, or hydrous plumes originating from the subduction graveyard beneath the Pannonian Basin.

The asthenosphere with such a relatively high ‘water’ content beneath the CPR may have been above the ‘pargasite dehydration’ (~90 km) or the ‘nominally anhydrous’ (~90 km) solidus. This means that neither decompression melting nor the presence of voluminous pyroxenite and eclogite lithologies are required to explain partial melting.

While basaltic partial melts have been present in the asthenosphere for a long time, they were not extracted during the syn-rift phase, but were only emplaced at the onset of the subsequent tectonic inversion stage at ~8–5 Ma. We propose that the extraction has been facilitated by evolving vertical foliation in the asthenosphere as a response to the compression between the Adriatic indenter and the stable European platform. The vertical foliation and the prevailing compression effectively squeezed the partial basaltic melts from the asthenosphere. The overlying lithosphere may have been affected by buckling in response to compression, which was probably accompanied by formation of deep faults and deformation zones. These zones formed conduits towards the surface for melts squeezed out of the asthenosphere.

This implies that basaltic partial melts could be present in the asthenosphere in cases where the bulk ‘water’ content is relatively high (~200 ppm) at temperatures exceeding ~1000–1100 °C. These melts could be extracted even under a compressional tectonic regime, where the combination of vertical foliation in the asthenosphere and deep fractures and deformation zones in the folded lithosphere provides pathways towards the surface. This model is also valid for deep seated transpressional or transtensional fault zones in the lithosphere.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The genesis of the Plio-Pleistocene alkaline basalts in the Carpathian-Pannonian region (CPR) is still a controversial topic. This is mainly because the paroxysm of alkaline basaltic volcanic activity
melts at temperatures and pressures typical for the upper mantle and have an unprecedented large impact on the physical properties of rocks and melts and temperatures and pressures typical for the upper mantle (e.g. Embey-Istzitn et al., 1993). The extension is generally considered capable of producing basaltic melts due to decompression melting (e.g. Embey-Istzitn et al., 1993). The timing of the onset of alkaline basaltic volcanic activity is enigmatic, with the collision of the main tectonic units with the stable European platform in the Carpathian embayment at ~11 Ma (e.g. Matenco et al., 2003). This means that the onset of alkaline basaltic volcanic activity generally took place in the post-rift phase after the cessation of extension and active subduction along the Carpathians. Even more interestingly, the paradoxism of alkaline basaltic volcanic activity is coeval with the onset of tectonic inversion in the CPR at ~8–5 Ma (e.g. Bada et al., 2007; Balázs et al., 2016). Consequently, alkaline basalts were produced mainly in a compressional tectonic regime, which is usually considered unfavourable for basalt genesis.

Volatiles, especially ‘water’ (if not otherwise specified, the term ‘water’ refers to all hydrous species in rocks: H+, OH− and H2O), have an unprecedented large impact on the physical properties of rocks and melts at temperatures and pressures typical for the upper mantle (i.e., conductivity, anisotropy, effective viscosity and seismic velocity, see Kovács et al., 2018 for a review). In this paper within the term ‘water’, we also distinguished structural hydroxyls, which are hydrogen ions (H+) attached to oxygen occupying different crystallographic sites in nominally anhydrous minerals (referred to as NAMs for short) forming hydroxyl groups. Note that both the ‘water’ and structural hydroxyl concentrations in melts and NAMs are expressed in molecular water (H2O) equivalent either in ppm (wt.) or wt.%, respectively. In particular, ‘water’ significantly lowers the solidus temperature of upper mantle peridotites (Green et al., 2010). However, such information on the concentration of ‘water’ in the asthenospheric source of alkaline basalts in the CPR is not yet available (e.g. Harangi et al., 2015; Seghedi et al., 2004). Consequently, without this piece of information it may be rather challenging to understand why partial melting could have occurred in the upper mantle. Recent findings have demonstrated that at depths shallower than 100 km (~3 GPa) of the upper mantle the solidus is the ‘pargasite dehydration solidus’ at ~1050–1150 °C (~150 °C lower than previously anticipated) (Kovács et al., 2017) even at very low bulk ‘water’ contents (~200 ppm) typical for the MORB upper mantle (Dixon et al., 1988; Saal et al., 2002). This is due to the stability of pargasitic amphibole even at such low bulk ‘water’ concentrations (Green et al., 2010, 2014; Kovács et al., 2012a, 2017). At deeper levels in the upper mantle (~90 km), the solidus temperature is mainly controlled by the concentration of structural hydroxyl in NAMs among other variables such as pressure, oxygen fugacity and bulk composition. The effect of structural hydroxyls on the solidus can be taken into account by different melting models (Hirschmann et al., 2009; Katz et al., 2003). These models seem to be consistent in the sense that the depression of the solidus temperature with respect to the dry solidus is ~150 °C lower when there is ~500 ppm bulk structural hydroxyl in the upper mantle peridotite. The presence of pyroxene and eclogite lithologies in the upper mantle are also known to lower the solidus temperature with respect to the ‘dry solidus’ (e.g. Hirschmann and Stolper, 1996; Lambart et al., 2016; Rosenthal et al., 2014). So far, however, no detailed quantitative analysis has been undertaken to estimate the contribution of such lithologies to melting in the asthenosphere.

The two main goals of our study are:

1. To provide the first estimate on the structural hydroxyl content in the source region of alkaline basalts from the Bakony – Balaton Highland Volcanic Field (BBHVF) in the central CPR. To this purpose, the structural hydroxyl content of co-genetic clinopyroxene megacrysts was analysed by micro-FTIR spectrometry. From this, the ‘water’ content of the host basaltic melt and its source region is approximated using experimentally determined partition coefficients and the empirically constrained degree of partial melting (see Xia et al., 2013 for the details of the applied methodology).

2. Assuming that melt is present in the asthenosphere, a model is presented for how and why this melt was extracted from the asthenosphere in the tectonic inversion/compressional stage of the CPR. Regional seismic anisotropy data, lattice preferred orientation of upper mantle xenoliths, the concept of lithospheric-scale folding, the direction of geomagnetic induction vectors and focal mechanisms of earthquakes in the central Pannonian Basin provide additional support for the model.

In addition, we quantitatively estimate the contribution of pyroxene and eclogite lithologies to the formation of alkaline basaltic melts in the upper mantle using bulk major and trace element compositions of alkaline basalts in the CPR with a focus on ‘fingerprint’ elements such as Mn, Fe, Zn, Co, and Ni (Le Roux et al., 2011).

2. Geological background and previous models for alkaline basaltic volcanic activity in the CPR

A brief overview on the genesis of Plio-Pleistocene alkaline basalts in the CPR is provided below, as this topic has been covered in great detail in previous studies (e.g. Ali et al., 2013; Ali and Natlós, 2011; Embey-Istzitn et al., 1993; Harangi et al., 2015; Kereszteri et al., 2010, 2011; Németh et al., 2001; Seghedi et al., 2004; Szabó et al., 1992). The following main alkaline basaltic volcanic fields are located in the CPR from west to east: Styrian Basin (SBVF); Burgenland; Little Hungarian Plain (LHPVF); Balaky–Balaton Highland (BBHVF); Nógrád–Gömör (NGVF) and Pérsáni Mts. (PMVF) (Fig. 1). In these volcanic areas basaltic pyroclastic successions (Szentbékkálla, BBHVF) and effusive basalts building up lava plateaus (Medves, NGVF) or small shield volcanoes (Kab Mt., BBHVF) occur as well. Furthermore, there are several smaller isolated occurrences of alkaline basalts in the CPR at Kecel, Štiavnicka and Lucaref. The basaltic activity started at ~11 Ma at the western margins of the CPR (Burgenland) and lasted till sub-recent times at Štiavnicka (few hundred ka; Balogh et al., 1981; Chernyshev et al., 2013) with the main phase of volcanism taking place between 5 and 2 Ma (Pécskay et al., 2006; Wijbrans et al., 2007). Since the PMVF is generally younger than the other localities (~2 Ma) and situated on a geodynamically distinct part of the CPR outside the Pannonian Basin (e.g. Harangi et al., 2013; Seghedi et al., 2004, 2016), its detailed investigation is beyond the scope of our study.

The earliest models (e.g. Embey-Istzitn et al., 1993; Szabó et al., 1992) proposed that the basaltic activity was generated in the post-extensional phase during the period of thermal relaxation. The thermal relaxation led to the cooling and contraction of the asthenospheric dome creating deep fractures in the overlying lithosphere, which channelled melts from the partially molten asthenosphere to the surface. While this model seems to provide a reasonable explanation for how the melt may have been extracted from the asthenosphere, it fails to explain why and how partial melting occurred in the cooling asthenospheric dome. In addition, it is difficult to reconcile how deep fractures were ‘opened up’ in the subsiding lithosphere over the thermally shrinking asthenospheric dome in a compressional tectonic regime when both the lithosphere and asthenosphere were under compression between the Adriatic indenter and the stable European platform.

In subsequent papers, Wilson and Downes (2006) and Seghedi et al. (2004) argued that deep thermal plume fingers may exist under the major volcanic fields in the CPR. This scenario was ruled out by Harangi and Lenkey (2007) and Harangi et al. (2015). Their studies demonstrated that the upper mantle beneath the volcanic fields is thermally not anomalous (i.e. shows potential temperatures typical for ambient mantle: ~1300–1450 °C) which is at least 100 °C lower than what
would be considered characteristic for mantle plumes (e.g. Green and Falloon, 2005). As recently shown by seismic tomography, there is a ‘graveyard’ of subducted slabs beneath the CPR (Dando et al., 2011; Hetényi et al., 2009) where remnants of previously subducted rocks are accumulated at the mantle transition zone between ~410 and ~660 km. This also makes the existence of deep-rooted thermal plume(s) (fingers) rather unlikely beneath the CPR, as plumes would first have to penetrate these slab remnants before rising to the shallower levels of the upper mantle. During the last decade, seismic tomography has provided increasing evidence for the existence of ‘baby plumes’ rising from depth levels from 670 to 440 km in the upper mantle underlying western and central Europe (Ritter and Christensen, 2007).

Harangi et al. (2015) has recently proposed that asthenospheric flow could also be responsible for melting in the asthenosphere beneath the CPR. In their model, asthenospheric flow is induced at locations where the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary is steep by ‘thin spot’ suction of the extending lithosphere. The thinned lithosphere exerts suction on the ambient asthenosphere and promotes its flow into the CPR from beneath the surrounding areas, especially from the Alps and the Bohemian Massif. These authors point out that this flow might occur in particular along the relatively steep lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary at the western and northern edges of the CPR. The driving force for melting is assumed to be decompression in the uprising asthenosphere. This model, however, raises several questions concerning space and time. Most importantly, there is obviously a problem with the timing as the main phase of the extension (syn-rift phase) in the south-western and eastern part of the CPR ceased at ~11 and ~8 Ma, respectively (Horváth et al., 2006; Mártón and Fodor, 2003). The paroxysm of the alkaline basaltic volcanic activity in the CPR occurred ~5–2 Ma, which is considerably younger than the supposed ‘thin spot’ suction generated by the thinning of the lithosphere. During ‘thin spot’ suction the extension of the lithosphere and the uprise of the underlying asthenosphere should be simultaneous. While this ‘thin spot’ model was argued to account for the formation of all but the PMVF basalts, there are alkaline basalts in the LHPVF and BBHV (and smaller occurrences in the central Pannonian Basin) (Fig. 1), which are distant from locations with a steep lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB, Horváth, 1993). It follows that even if the ‘thin’ spot model was true, it would fail to explain the genesis of alkaline basalts in these central locations where the LAB is relatively flat. It is possible, however, that the formation of the oldest alkaline basalts in Burgenland where the syn-rift stage was almost coeval with the timing of the eruptions and where the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary is indeed steep, may be explained by this ‘thin spot’ model.

Further uprising of the asthenosphere following the syn-rift stage also seems unlikely. In fact, it is more probable that following the syn-rift stage the lithosphere may have started thickening immediately as the asthenospheric dome began to cool. During this thermal relaxation, the uppermost part of the asthenospheric dome was gradually converted into new and juvenile lithosphere. This ‘lithospherisation’ process is discussed in detail by Kovács et al. (2012b, 2017) and its main driving force is that at lower temperatures (~1050 °C) the pargasitic amphibole becomes a stable mineral phase in the upper mantle. This hydrous chain silicate (containing ~2 wt.% H2O) lowers the activity of

Fig. 1. Schematic geological map of the Carpathian-Pannonian region (CPR) with location of main alkaline basaltic localities (BBHVVF: Bakony-Balaton Highland Volcanic Field; LHPVF: Little Hungarian Plain Volcanic Field; NGVF: Nógrád-Gömör Volcanic Field; PMVF: Pergáni Mts. Volcanic Field; SBVF: Styrian Basin Volcanic Field). The map is modified after Kovács et al. (2012b). Abbreviations for major faults are (with bold italic): PF – Periadriatic fault, BF – Balaton fault.
3.1. Selecting suitable samples from the BBHVF

Kovács et al. (2016) and Peslier and Luhr (2006) demonstrated that basaltic melts of crystallization, and consequently, they are expected to be in equilibrium at the end of the syn-rift stage to ~60 km at the time of the alkaline basaltic volcanic activity.

In summary, previous models do not appear to provide a comprehensive and self-consistent explanation for the genesis of Plio-Pleistocene alkaline basalts in the CPR, raising the need for alternative explanations. Key questions remain on the cause for melting in the asthenosphere and on the mode of melt extraction. Below we present a new conceptual model based on the estimated ‘water’ content in the asthenospheric source of alkaline basalts and deformation in the lithosphere-asthenosphere system during the tectonic inversion stage of the CPR.

3. Constraining the ‘water’ content of the source of alkaline basalts from the BBHVF

3.1. Selecting suitable samples

In recent years it has become a common practice to estimate the ‘water’ content in the source region of alkaline basalts based on the structural hydroxyl content in their co-genetic and geochemically primitive clinopyroxene megacrysts (e.g. Liu et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2013). It is widely accepted that these clinopyroxenes form at the very early stage of crystallization, and consequently, they are expected to be in equilibrium with pristine, near liquidus basaltic melts. Demouchy et al. (2006) and Peslier and Luhr (2006) demonstrated that basaltic melts usually reach the surface within a few tens of hours, and, thus, if the size of the megacrysts is large, the chance for structural hydroxyl loss is limited. The size of the selected megacrysts is several centimetres in diameter.

In our present study, the structural hydroxyl concentrations of 5 clinopyroxene megacrysts from Szentbékkálla and Szligilet (both from BBHVF) reported by Kovács et al. (2016) were found to be suitable for this methodology from a larger pool of available megacrysts. These clinopyroxenes are primitive enough in composition (high mg# >0.75) and display no chemical zonation or any evidence for syn- or post-eruptive structural hydroxyl loss. Liu et al. (2015) demonstrated, based on modelling of magma evolution, that clinopyroxenes with mg# higher than 0.75 can still be a relatively reliable source of information (within 30%) for the host magma regarding its original ‘water’ content. In addition, primitive mantle (PM) normalized trace element patterns of the selected clinopyroxenes in the rare earth element (REE) (Fig. 2a) and spider (Fig. 2b) diagrams are very similar to colourless megacrysts described for the BBHVF by Jankovics et al. (2016). All clinopyroxenes show enrichment in light and middle REE with respect to the heavy REE (REE) (Fig. 2a), and light REE are relatively depleted compared to middle REE. In the spider diagram (Fig. 2b), clinopyroxenes are mostly depleted in Ba, Th, Nb, Pb, and Cr with respect to the PM and show negative anomalies for Nb, Ba, Pb, Sr, Zr, and Cr. Jankovics et al. (2016) argued that the colourless megacryst is the early product of fractional crystallization representing a near-liquidus phase.

In addition, the selected clinopyroxenes also show similarity in the REE and spider diagrams (Fig. 2) to some clinopyroxenes from cumulates (Kovács et al., 2004) and clinopyroxene megacrysts in alkaline basalts from the NGVF (Dobosi and Jenner, 1999). The latter are also interpreted to represent products of very early stage fractional crystallisation. These latter samples, however, sometimes display slightly higher trace element concentrations than those from the BBHVF. Furthermore, the light REE are not or only slightly depleted compared to middle REE (Fig. 2a) and Th and U are considerably enriched (Fig. 2b).

In Kovács et al. (2016), all but the ‘Szligilet cpx1’ clinopyroxene have high mg# (~75) indicating that they are primitive in composition and in equilibrium with the host basalt in the early stage of its crystallisation. ‘Szligilet cpx1’ has, however, much lower mg# (~58) and its structural hydroxyl content is also lower (285 ppm). This implies that this clinopyroxene might have formed in a more advanced stage in the evolution of the basaltic magma, by which time the melt may have suffered some degassing. For this reason, only clinopyroxenes with higher mg# (76–81) were selected for the calculation of the ‘water’ content of the primitive basaltic melts (e.g. Chen et al., 2015, 2017; Liu et al., 2015). Among the samples with sufficiently high mg#, however, clinopyroxene samples from the Kaposló locality were also omitted because of their appreciably lower structural hydroxyl contents and anomalous infrared spectra. The lower structural hydroxyl concentration and the anomalous infrared spectra (see Fig. 1 in Kovács et al., 2016) may refer to pre-, syn- or post-eruptive modification of the original structural hydroxyl contents of clinopyroxenes in equilibrium with their host alkaline basalts (Patkó et al., 2019) which could be due to several factors including decreasing ‘water’ activity, annealing or oxidation. In case of the Kaposló clinopyroxenes, the annealing at low ‘water’ activity appears to be the most plausible explanation as these crystals are originated from basaltic lava rocks, whereas those from Szligilet and Szentbékkálla are from basaltic pyroclasts (e.g. Jankovics et al., 2012). This means that the Kaposló lava rocks may have cooled more slowly staying at higher temperatures for a longer period of time which may have facilitated to reach equilibrium quicker at low ‘water’ activities (Lloyd et al., 2016). Absorption bands correspond to different substitution mechanisms of structural hydroxyl into clinopyroxene which all have their characteristic stoichiometry (e.g. Tolland et al., 2017 for olivine). As different stoichiometries do have different dependences on water activity, this also affects the relative contributions of the different bands in NAMs with changing water activity. Clinopyroxenes displaying their maximum absorption bands at the higher and lower wavenumbers are typical for higher and lower ‘water’ activity, respectively (Patkó et al., 2019). Consequently, clinopyroxenes crystallised in the early stage of fractional crystallisation at high pressure (and therefore higher ‘water’ activity prior to significant degassing) should have their maximum bands at the highest wavenumbers, whereas for those crystallised later at lower pressure or after considerable degassing the maximum band is expected to appear at lower wavenumber.

The two clinopyroxenes from Kaposló have only 97–112 ppm of structural hydroxyl, whereas those selected from Szentbékkálla and Szligilet are in the range of 391–476 ppm (see Table 1 in Kovács et al., 2016). In addition, in the Kaposló clinopyroxenes, the intensity of the 3520 cm⁻¹ absorption band is predominant, whereas the 3625 cm⁻¹ band is almost invisible. This is in contrast with the ‘general’ trend shown by other clinopyroxenes in equilibrium with their environment, where the ~3630 cm⁻¹ band is the most intensive and the other bands at lower wavenumbers show gradually lower absorbance (Aradi et al., 2017; Bonadiman et al., 2009; Ferriss et al., 2016; Kovács et al., 2012a; Pintér et al., 2015; Sundvall and Stalder, 2011; Weis et al., 2015).

In summary, great care must be taken when selecting suitable clinopyroxene grains for estimating the ‘water’ content in their equilibrium basaltic melt. The geochemistry, infrared spectra and structural hydroxyl content of clinopyroxenes, as well as their pre- and post-eruptive history must be examined to ensure that the structural hydroxyl concentration represents equilibrium conditions with their host melt. The structural hydroxyl contents of clinopyroxenes are determined from unpolarised micro-FTIR spectrometry (see Kovács et al., 2016 for details of the analytical technique) and are accurate within...
The measured concentration range defined by the suitable clinopyroxenes from Szentbékkálla and Szigliget is rather narrow from 391 to 476 ppm implying homogenous 'water' concentration in their parental melt.

3.2. 'Water' content of the parental melt

In the second step, the 'water' content of the basaltic melt in equilibrium with the selected clinopyroxenes can be calculated using the composition dependent partition coefficient of O'Leary et al. (2010). The partition coefficient can be calculated using Equation (10) in O'Leary et al. (2010) which includes the Al\(^{IV}\) and Ca cation fractions of clinopyroxenes and depends mainly on the Al\(^{IV}\) content (Eq. 1):

\[
\ln D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt} = -4.2 + 6.5X_{Al^{IV}}^{Cpx} - 1X_{Ca}^{Cpx}
\]  

(1)

It is noted that Al\(^{IV}\) is calculated as (Eq. 2):

\[
X_{Al^{IV}} = \frac{1}{2} (\sum X_{Al^{3+}} - X_{Na^{+}})
\]

(2)

As partition coefficients do not depend strongly on pressure and temperature, abandoning these factors has only negligible effect on the partition coefficient. The cation numbers are calculated based on the major element compositions of clinopyroxenes provided in Table 1 (extracted from Supplementary Table 1 of Kovács et al., 2016). The determined \(D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}\) values range from ~0.018 to 0.020 (Table 1). With these partition coefficients, the 'water' content of the basaltic melt in equilibrium with the clinopyroxenes was calculated and the results vary between 2.0 and 2.5 wt.% (Table 1).

3.3. Effects of fractionation on parental melt 'water' contents

'Water' content in equilibrium with the clinopyroxene megacrysts can be modified by fractionation, which increases the 'water' content
of the melt if degassing does not occur at the same time. Therefore, using clinopyroxene-melt equilibrium ‘water’ contents can overestimate the ‘water’ content of the parental melt. As clinopyroxenes in this study cover a range of mg# values, they presumably represent the magmatic system after various degrees of crystallisation. To estimate the degree of fractionation required to produce clinopyroxenes with similar composition to those megacrysts, we performed isobaric fractionation modelling in rhyolite-MELTS 1.2.0 (Ghidossi and Gualda, 2015; Gualda et al., 2012). As starting composition, a primitive (mg# = 0.66) whole-rock composition from Szigliget (SZ-651, Embeylsztin et al., 1993) was used. The pressure was set at 1 GPa (equal to ~35 km depth, representative to the uppermost lithospheric mantle beneath the BBHVF), while the oxygen fugacity was set at FMQ +1.3. To estimate the ‘water’ content of the primitive melt, between 1.5 and 2.0 wt.% H2O was added to the system.

Results are shown in Fig. 3. The MELTS model predicts two various clinopyroxene compositions: a diopsidic-poor one (CaO/Al2O3 < 1.6, CaO < 7 wt.%) and a diopsidic one (CaO/Al2O3 > 1.8; CaO > 16 wt.%; Fig. 3c). The latter provides a good match to the compositions of the clinopyroxene megacrysts. Further, spinel is also predicted by MELTS to appear in the system. From a comparison of the mg# of the clinopyroxene megacrysts with the clinopyroxene compositions calculated in MELTS, the degree of fractionation can be estimated between ~15% for most Mg-rich crystals. In the case of the crystal with the lowest mg# (Szentbékkálla cpx104) this value is ~40% (Fig. 3a). After taking the effect of crystallization into account, parental magma ‘water’ contents can be estimated to be between 1.5 and 2 wt.% by MELTS (Fig. 3b). Note that if the 15 or 40% fractionation is taken into account for the estimations based on the structural hydroxyl content of clinopyroxene megacryst (i.e., 2–2.5 wt.%), the range defined by the minimum and maximum ‘water’ contents spans from 1.2 to 2.1 wt.% This range agrees very well with estimates obtained from MELTS (i.e., 1.5–2 wt.%).

3.4. ‘Water’ content in the mantle source

The ‘water’ content of the mantle source region for the alkaline basalts can be calculated if bulk partition coefficients for ‘water’ between basaltic melts and their peridotitic sources are known, and if information is available on the degree of partial melting. The partition coefficients for ‘water’ between basaltic melts and their peridotitic source rock (DH2O melt) has been constrained by experimental and theoretical studies and is in the range between 0.005 and 0.013 (e.g. Aubaud et al., 2004; Hao et al., 2014; Hirschmann et al., 2009; Tenner et al., 2009).

The degree of partial melting for the BBHVF alkaline basalts was constrained by the critical melting model of Sobolev and Shimizu (1992) as parameterized in Harangi et al. (2015) using the trace element composition of bulk alkaline basalts from the CPR (Fig. 4). In Fig. 4, samples from the BBHVF fall mostly on or close to the trend defined by the partial melting of garnet-peridotite (see also Fig. 9 in Harangi et al., 2015). The degree of partial melting is between 2 and 3%, closer to the latter. In Fig. 4 the non-modal equilibrium partial melting model for the PMVF alkaline basalts as parameterised by Harangi et al. (2013) is also highlighted. The alkaline basalts from the BBHVF also fall on the garnet-Iherzolite melting trend. However, the degree of partial melting in this case is higher and ranges between 3 and 5%. For the sake of simplicity we applied an average degree of partial melting within the broad range defined by earlier studies discussed above based on trace element modelling (f = 0.0225, i.e. 2.25% partial melting) and an average partition coefficient (DH2O melt = 0.009) to calculate the ‘water’ content in the source region, which is a conservative approach providing estimates closer to the minimum.

Using modal batch (Eq. 3) or fractional (Eq. 4) melting models, the ‘water’ concentration in the source region can be calculated as follows:

$$C_{H_2O}^{melt} = C_{H_2O}^{melt}(D + f(1-D)) \quad (3)$$

$$C_{H_2O}^{melt} = C_{H_2O}^{melt} f/ \left(1 - (1-f)^{1/D}\right) \quad (4)$$

For these calculations, fractionation corrected (taking into account the modelled degrees of fractionation between 15 and 40%; Table 1) minimum, maximum and average ‘water’ contents were adopted for the basaltic melts (C_H2O^(melt)). The batch melting model gives ‘water’ concentrations which are higher than those obtained from the fractional melting model (Table 1). The batch melting model provides minimum and maximum ‘water’ contents of 380 and 660 ppm, respectively, whereas the fractional model results in values of 290 and 510 ppm, respectively. The ‘average’ water contents by the batch and fractional model are 520 ± 200 and 400 ± 150 ppm, respectively.

The calculated average ‘water’ content in the source increases both with the degree of partial melting and the increasing partition coefficient (i.e. more ‘water’ is partitioned into the solid phase). Accordingly, the source region would be the richest in ‘water’ (~700 and ~550 ppm.

### Table 1

Parameters used to calculate the water content in the source region of alkaline basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clino.pyroxene phenocrysts</th>
<th>Szigliget cpx2</th>
<th>Szigliget cpx3</th>
<th>Szentbékkálla cpx104</th>
<th>Szentbékkálla cpx108</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X_Cpx2</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_Cpx3</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_A1IV</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D H2O ppm wt. in cpx</td>
<td>max</td>
<td>min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O wt.% in basaltic melt</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated for 15% fractionation</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated for 40% fractionation</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O ppm wt. in the source region:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch melting</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional melting</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold characters in the min and max columns of the table display the possible minimum and maximum H2O content of the host basalt after 40 and 15% fractionation respectively. Bold characters in the average column mean the average ‘water’ content of the source for batch and fractional melting respectively.

*a Chemical compositions and water contents of clinopyroxenes are from Kovács et al. (2016). Cation numbers are calculated following the routine outlined in Table A5 of O’Leary et al. (2010).  
*b Water concentration in the source region is calculated by assuming either batch or fractional melting. The minimum degree of melting (f) for the Bakony-Balaton Highland is taken from Harangi et al. (2015) and it is f = 0.025 ± 0.0075. The partition coefficient (D) between basaltic melt and its source rock is taken as the average from Aubaud et al. (2004), Hirschmann et al. (2009) and Tenner et al. (2009), and its value is 0.009 ± 0.004. The cumulative 2σ uncertainty in the calculated water contents is ~40% including all possible sources of unassurance (i.e. partition coefficients of water, degrees of partial melting, ‘water’ content of pyroxene phenocrysts).
The studied crystals are a good match in both Mg range and CaO/composition of the two clinopyroxenes (blue: Ca-poor, clinoenstatite, green: Ca-rich, diopside) is shown: the studied crystals are a good match in both Mg range and CaO/composition of the two clinopyroxenes (blue: Ca-poor, clinoenstatite, green: Ca-rich, diopside). In contrast, there would be ‘only’ ~330 and 260 ppm ‘water’ (for modal batch and fractional melting respectively) in the source when the degree of partial melting was ~1.5% and the partition coefficient was 0.005. Thus we can argue that the minimum ‘water’ concentration in the source region is 260 ppm, but more likely in the average range between 400 and 520 ppm. For extreme parameters, ‘water’ contents could even reach values up to 700 ppm.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structural hydroxyl content of clinopyroxenes, ‘water’ content of their equilibrium basaltic melts and their source region

Clinopyroxenes show intermediate to high structural hydroxyl concentrations (391–476 ppm; Kovács et al., 2016) compared to the range defined by clinopyroxenes from upper mantle peridotite xenoliths, mega- and megacrysts from igneous rocks worldwide (e.g. Aradi et al., 2017; Bonadiman et al., 2009; Demouchy and Boifian-Casanova, 2016; Denis et al., 2015; Hao et al., 2016; Kovács et al., 2012a; Patkó et al., 2019; Peslier et al., 2017; Pintér et al., 2015; Sundvall and Stalder, 2011; Xia et al., 2019).

We compared the fractionation corrected ‘water’ concentrations (1.2–2.1 wt.% assuming 40 and 15% fractionation, respectively) in the equilibrium basaltic melt with typical ranges for mid-ocean ridge basalts (~0.5 wt.%; MORB), ocean island basalts (0.5–1.0 wt.%; OIB), back-arc basin basalts (0.5–2.0 wt.%; BABB) and island arc basalts (2.0–8.0 wt.%; IAB). From this it appears that they exceed those of MORB and OIB, but fall on the higher end of BABB and the lower end of IAB (Dixon et al., 2004; Xia et al., 2013). This concentration range represents intermediate to high values compared to those estimated for the Eastern Chinese alkali basalts (Xia et al., 2013, 2019).

The ‘water’ content of the source regions has been estimated to be in the average range of 400–520 ppm after fractionation correction (Table 1). This range is significantly higher than what is typical for MORB (50–250 ppm, e.g., Dixon et al., 1988; Saal et al., 2002) and more in line with the estimates for OIB and E-MORB mantle source (300–1000 ppm, e.g., Dixon et al., 2002; Asimow et al., 2004). Therefore, we conclude that the asthenospheric source region of alkaline basalts beneath the CPR is considerably enriched in ‘water’ relative to MORB. In the next paragraph we present possible explanations for the ‘wet’ nature of the asthenosphere beneath the CPR.

4.2. Possible explanations for relatively ‘water’-rich asthenosphere beneath the BBHVFS

Prior to and partially during the Oligocene-Miocene extrusion of the Alca and Tisza-Dacia microplates (Fig. 1), the Carpathian embayment was surrounded by active subduction zones of oceanic or highly extended continental lithosphere (i.e. Balázs et al., 2016; Csontos and Vörös, 2004; Horváth et al., 2006, 2015; Schmid et al., 2008). These subductions may have been capable of transferring considerable amounts of volatiles back to the upper mantle beneath the CPR over geological times. The Penninic Ocean on the NE started subducting in the Mesozoic and its closure took place in the Eocene (Schmid et al., 2004). Almost simultaneously in the SW, the Vardar subduction may have transferred volatiles beneath the Carpathian embayment (Karamata, 2006). To the E and NE, the eastern continuation of the Penninic Ocean, the Magura Ocean started subducting in the Eocene (Oszczypko, 1992) and was closed by Late Miocene times (Kováč et al., 1994). Furthermore, on the western edge of the Pannonian Basin the Penninic subduction during the Eocene could have also affected the lithospheric mantle beneath the SBVF (Aradi et al., 2017). The time span of several tens of million years of continuous subduction below the Carpathian embayment must have been sufficiently long for extensive hydration of the overlying mantle wedge (Arcay et al., 2005, 2006; Gerya et al., 2002), and for allowing the subducting slabs to reach the 660 km discontinuity.
beneath the CPR (Hetényi et al., 2009), where migrated receiver function profiles suggest an accumulation of formerly subducted slabs.

It is generally accepted that subducted slabs can transport significant amounts of volatiles (especially ‘water’) back to the deep upper mantle (e.g. Fumagalli and Klemme, 2015). There is also a growing number of observations that this ‘water’ can be accumulated between major geophysical discontinuities at depths of 410 and 660 km in ‘water’-rich minerals such as K-hollandite, wadsleyite and ringwoodite, which can have up to a few wt.% ‘water’ incorporated in their mineral structure (Mazza et al., 2019; Pearson et al., 2014). Recent studies introduced the concept of ‘hydrous plumes’ which originate from the top of this ‘wet’ transition zone and rise to the bottom of the lithosphere causing extension and magmatism but without thermal anomalies (Chen et al., 2015, 2017; Freitas et al., 2017; Kuritani et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015). The negative buoyancy of ‘hydrous plumes’ comes from their elevated melt and volatile content provided by the presumably global ‘melt layer’ at the 410 km boundary (Freitas et al., 2017). The existence of such ‘hydrous’ plume underneath the CPR cannot be ruled out since the Penninic subducted slab reached this transition zone in the Cretaceous, while the Vardar slab reached it in the Paleogene (Hetényi et al., 2009). Thus, there was still a time interval of several tens of myr for ‘this’ hydrous plume to rise from the ~410 km discontinuity to the bottom of the lithosphere at ~100–120 km (e.g. Falus et al., 2000). This provides a rationale for further exploration of the potential role of ‘hydrous plumes’ in the geodynamical and geochemical evolution of the CPR (see Koptev et al., 2017). Note, however, that Cenozoic alkaline basaltic in the CPR are characterised by OIB-like trace element patterns (e.g. Harangi et al., 2015; Seghedi et al., 2004) and positive Nb and Ta anomalies in the central part of the area. This implies that the ‘water’ in the source region is not directly liberated from subducted slabs. Instead, it is likely an inherited enriched mantle component originating from slab derived fluid and mantle wedge interaction and subsequent redistribution/recycling in the upper mantle (i.e., Bali et al., 2007; Berkesi et al., 2012). In addition, the Sr and Pb isotopic systematics indicate the presence of ancient enriched components in some alkaline basalts. This highlights the possibility that at least a portion of the ‘water’ in the source region may have had an even older component in the mantle than the one resulting from Mesozoic subduction.

In summary, prior subduction of the Penninic, Magura and Vardar Oceans beneath the CPR may have played an important role in hydrating the overlying mantle wedge (including the asthenosphere). The younger ‘hydrous plumes’ rising from the ‘subduction graveyard’ of these earlier oceanic slabs could also have a role in hydrating the upper mantle.

4.3. Effect of high ‘water’ content on the melting properties of the upper mantle

It appears crucial to evaluate whether the estimated ‘water’ concentration is sufficient to trigger partial melting in the asthenospheric source beneath the BBHVF. In Fig. 5, different empirically and theoretically determined solidi for peridotitic upper mantle (Green, 2015; Green et al., 2010, 2014; Katz et al., 2003; Kovács et al., 2012a, 2017) are highlighted along with a depth-temperature curve representative for the BBHVF (Kovács et al., 2017). Harangi et al. (2015) estimated that the partial melting under the BBHVF took place at depths between ~70 and 100 km (~2.2–2.9 GPa). In Fig. 5, the shaded region shows the estimated range of ‘water’ concentrations in the source region following the concentration outlines in the melting model of Katz et al. (2003).

The three different solidi were determined experimentally by Green et al. (2010, 2014), Green (2015) and Kovács et al. (2012a). The ‘water saturated solidus’ (Fig. 5) highlights the temperature at which the upper mantle begins to melt when the bulk ‘water’ concentration exceeds ~0.4 wt.% and ~200 ppm ‘water’ above and below ~100 km, respectively. The ‘water saturated solidus’ is at ~1000 °C between 70 and 100 km, which is significantly exceeded by the temperature (~1100–1250 °C) in this depth range based on the area specific geotherm (Fig. 5). This implies that the upper mantle would be in a partially molten state if there was more than ~0.4 wt. % ‘water’ in the bulk rock at depths above ~100 km. Since our estimations give ‘only’ 290–660 ppm ‘water’ in the source, this means that the ‘water saturated solidus’ cannot explain the presence of partial melt in the asthenosphere.

The ‘dry solidus’ (Fig. 5), for which the bulk ‘water’ is less than 200 ppm, cannot explain the presence of partial melt at this depth either, because the temperature beneath the BBHVF remains considerably below that of the ‘dry solidus’ (i.e., ~1300–1400 °C). The ‘pargasite dehydration solidus’ (Fig. 5), which is the solidus if bulk ‘water’ concentration is between ~200 ppm and 0.4 wt.%, is at 1050–1100 °C between 70 and 100 km depth. The ‘pargasite dehydration solidus’ temperature is higher for geochemically more fertile and lower for more refractory upper mantle (Niida and Green, 1999). This is because Na-rich amphibole in more fertile upper mantle is stable to higher temperatures. Because the composition of the upper mantle is at least moderately refractory beneath the BBHVF, the ‘pargasite dehydration solidus’ should be closer to ~1050 °C (e.g Dobosi et al., 2010; Embey-Isztin et al., 2014; Kovács et al., 2013).
corresponds to 100 mW/m² surface heat flux. 60% eclogite and 5% spinel peridotite partial melt in 30 and 70% partial melting (assuming 20% fractionation) is on the mixing line between garnet peridotite and spinel peridotite. The recalculated less fractionated bulk compositions in this study (including the CPR) are in the eclogite range. From where alkaline basalts in the BBHVF originate (Fig. 5). Since the estimated range of water concentrations in the source region almost perfectly overlaps with bulk water contents where the solidus is the pargasite dehydration solidus, it is very likely that the asthenosphere must have been in a partially molten state at the time of alkaline basaltic volcanism. This means that the water content alone would have been sufficient to trigger partial melting in the asthenosphere without the need for decompressional melting, thermal plumes or the direct effect of geochemical heterogeneities (i.e., eclogite or pyroxenite lithologies) in the mantle source.

The possible contribution of eclogite or pyroxenite lithologies to the basaltic melts was estimated following the experimentally developed methodology of Le Roux et al. (2011). These authors argue that the bulk concentration of a few major and trace elements (i.e., Fe, Mn, Co, Zn, Ni) in basalts and their particular ratios can distinguish effectively between peridotite, eclogite and mixed source lithologies based on their distinct partitioning into eclogites and peridotites (Fig. 6). In these diagrams, average whole-rock compositions of alkaline basalts from the CPR (including the BBHVF) are plotted. As the alkaline basalts may have gone through fractional crystallisation, they also plotted bulk assemblages to which 10 and 20% of cumulates were added (i.e., Zajacz et al., 2007). This calculation serves the purpose of illustrating how the trace element compositions changed during fractional crystallization of alkaline basalts and how much contribution from eclogite and pyroxenite lithologies can be expected. The composition of cumulates was estimated by mixing 70 wt.% olivine (Type I olivine core from Jankovics et al., 2019) and 30 wt.% clinopyroxene (primitive colourless megacrysts from Jankovics et al., 2016). Both phases represent near liquidus minerals crystallising from almost unfractinated basaltic melts. In Fig. 6a ((Mn/Fe)-100 vs. (Co/Fe)-100), the average compositions all fall in the eclogite range. However, the recalculated less fractionated bulk compositions in this calculation (assuming 20% fractionation) is on the mixing line between 60% eclogite and 5% spinel peridotite partial melt in 30 and 70% proportions respectively. In contrast, in Fig. 6b (Mn/Zn vs. Ni/Co) almost all but the original (uncorrected) basalt compositions reside in or are very close to the peridotite field. The less fractionated average basalt composition of the BBHVF is on the garnet peridotite melting curve corresponding to a higher degree of partial melting without any contribution from eclogite melts. If the original and 10% fractionation corrected compositions are taken into account, implying contributions of 30% and 60% partial melts originating from eclogite (degree of which partial melting is as high as ~80%) and spinel peridotite (with significantly lower degree of partial melting), Average compositions of other alkaline basaltic fields from the CPR show similar patterns apart from moving towards either the spinel or garnet peridotite melting curves from that of eclogite. In Fig. 6c ((Mn/Fe)-100 vs. (Zn/Fe)-100), all basalts from the CPR are in the peridotite field. Both the original and fractionation corrected compositions fall in the continuation of the garnet peridotite melting trend. In summary, there is only one diagram where the 20% fractionation corrected composition from the BBHVF is in the eclogite field (Fig. 6a), but it indicates only 30% contribution of eclogite derived melts. In the other two scenarios (Fig. 6a and 5b) the same 20% fractionation corrected compositions of basalts from the BBHVF reside on the pure garnet peridotite melting curve. Even in case a lower degree of fractionation occurred, the contribution of eclogite melt remains below 30%. If, as indicated by the MELTS modelling, a higher degree of fractionation occurs (up to 40%), the trends move more towards the peridotite field indicating a reduced contribution from eclogite and pyroxenite lithologies. The diagrams point to a minor direct contribution of eclogite or pyroxenite derived melts to the basalts, which is also confirmed by the presence of subducted slab derived melts beneath the BBHVF (Bali et al., 2008). In contrast, garnet peridotite derived melts predominate in agreement with other geochemical trace element modelling (see Fig. 4). It follows that the role of eclogite lithologies in decreasing the solidus temperature of the upper mantle may have been only subordinate to that of ‘water’.

From the above, it appears that the asthenosphere was sufficiently ‘wet’ to contain partial melt at the time of alkaline basaltic volcanism, and presumably also prior to this stage. Note that changing bulk
geochemistry of the upper mantle with special respect to other volatiles (i.e. CO₂, Cl, F and N₂) can slightly influence the temperature of the different solidi as well. The effect of the changing bulk geochemistry of the upper mantle (i.e. more refractory or more fertile) has been already addressed by experimental studies (e.g. Green, 2015) and seems to only subordinately modify the solidus temperature (±~50 °C) at a given pressure. The most important volatile component besides ‘water’ is CO₂ (e.g. Berkesi et al., 2012) with an effect indirectly taken into account since a trace amount of CO₂ is always present during experiments.

It is important to emphasise the novelty of our approach, based on the effect of a small amount of ‘water’ on the solidus. Some of the earlier experimental studies on the melting properties of the upper mantle (e.g. Grove et al., 2006; Mysen and Boettcher, 1975) simply omitted the role played by trace amounts of ‘water’ and hydrous phases, most importantly, pargasitic amphibole. It has been demonstrated only relatively recently (Green et al., 2010), that pargasitic amphibole is a stable phase of the upper mantle even from as low as ~200 ppm bulk ‘water’ contents up to ~0.4 wt.% at depths shallower than 100 km. Under these conditions the solidus is the ‘pargasite dehydration solidus’ at ~1050–1100 °C, approximately 100–150 °C lower than without considering the effect of trace amount of ‘water’ and the stability of pargasitic amphibole.

Other experimental and theoretical studies (e.g. Hirschmann et al., 2009; Katz et al., 2003), however, simply assumed that the (nominally anhydrous) NAMs solidus temperature changes continuously with the concentration of structural hydroxyl in NAMs between the ‘water saturated’ and ‘dry’ solidi (see Fig. 5). Katz et al. (2003) proposed that the position of the ‘NAMs solidus’ shifts to lower temperatures in the P-T space more or less parallel to the ‘dry solidus’ (Fig. 5). According to this model at a given pressure (depth), 500 ppm ‘water’ incorporated as structural hydroxyl in NAMs lowers the solidus temperature by 100–150 °C with respect to the ‘dry solidus’ (Fig. 5). The geotherm beneath the BBHFV would even intersect this ‘NAMs solidus’ for higher (600–800 ppm) bulk ‘water’ contents which are still in the upper range of our estimates. Thus, even these theoretical models would predict the presence of partial melt in the upper mantle beneath the BBHFV.

At this stage there is still some uncertainty whether our results only concern the BBHFV or they could equally be relevant for other alkaline basaltic localities in the CPR. Since the BBHFV is one of the most distant alkaline basaltic sites – in its present position – from the suture zones of prior subductions (i.e. Penninic, Vardar and Magura) it is reasonable to assume that beneath other localities closer to these former subduction zones the degree of hydration may have been even more pronounced. Consequently, the asthenosphere should have been in partially molten...
state under all alkaline basaltic localities of the CPR prior to and during the alkaline basaltic volcanic activity.

Therefore, it may not be the main question anymore why partial melting occurred in the asthenosphere beneath the BBHVF, but instead why the partial melt was extracted only in the tectonic inversion stage of the CPR?

4.4. What could drive melt extraction from the asthenosphere during the tectonic inversion and how?

If partial melt was present in the ‘wet’ asthenosphere beneath the central CPR, then the question arises what was unique in the tectonic inversion stage which facilitated its extraction from the asthenosphere and led to the formation of alkaline basaltic volcanoes on the surface. To address this issue, we first have to resolve which geodynamic processes characterised the tectonic inversion stage. The first regional tectonic process preceding the tectonic inversion stage was the ‘docking’ or ‘soft collision’ of the two major tectonic units (Alcapa and Tisza-Dacia) with the European platform in the Carpathian embayment at ~11 Ma (e.g. Csontos et al., 1992; Matenco et al., 2003). After this major tectonic event, the stress field did not change immediately to compression in the lithosphere since smaller scale block rotations, slip along major tectonic lines and nappe stacking could have taken up the shortening for a while. The tectonic inversion began at 8 and 5 Ma in the SW and E part of the Pannonian Basin, respectively (e.g. Bada et al., 2007; Balázs et al., 2016; Horváth and Cloetingh, 1996). The tectonic inversion and the accompanying shortening and compression were driven by the counter-clockwise rotation of the Adriatic indenter and its convergence to the stable European platform (e.g. Bada et al., 2007; Bus et al., 2009; Caporali, 2009). As a consequence, in the central part of the CPR, the compression is predominantly SW–NE directed since at least the onset of the inversion stage. Our main objective is to identify whether there are any geophysical and geological consequences of this compression in the lithosphere and asthenosphere which could facilitate the extraction of basaltic partial melts from the asthenosphere and their rise to the surface.

4.5. Deformation and stress regime in the asthenosphere and lithospheric mantle at the time of alkaline basaltic volcanic activity

A topic of prime importance concerns the role of the asthenosphere and the deeper lithospheric mantle. The direction of shear wave splitting (SKS) in the central part of the CPR is NW–SE directed which is perpendicular to the direction of main compression, with delay times around or less than 1 s (Fig. 7; Kovács et al., 2012b; Qorbani et al., 2016). An important question is how this information can be utilised to infer the deformation state of the asthenosphere and the lithospheric mantle. The splitting of seismic shear waves in the Earth’s interior is due to anisotropy which originates from the lattice preferred orientation of rock forming minerals developing in response to stress. Consequently, olivine – the most deformable mineral of the asthenosphere (e.g. Tommasi et al., 1999; Vauchez et al., 2012) – usually develops lattice preferred orientations (LPO), for instance by its ‘a’ crystallographic axis turning into the foliation plane and parallel to the lineation. This is, however, not generally true since at different bulk ‘water’ contents and degrees of stress, various slip systems can also be active, resulting in different alignments of the major crystallographic axes with respect to the direction of the compression (Jung, 2009). The main point is that the less viscous asthenosphere (and also, to a smaller extent the lithospheric mantle) can develop LPO of minerals often generating macroscopic foliation and lineation in the upper mantle.

The degree of shear wave anisotropy can be constrained from the statistical analysis of electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) determined LPO of the rock forming major silicate minerals and their physical properties (e.g. Mainprice et al., 2000). In the BBHVF, alkaline basalts brought up a significant amount of upper mantle xenoliths to the surface, providing an opportunity to determine the orientation and degree of their shear wave anisotropy (Falus, 2004). As geophysically determined SKS splitting delay time data are also available for the BBHVF (Kovács et al., 2012b; Qorbani et al., 2016), the thickness of the anisotropic layer and the orientation of the xenoliths in this layer can be estimated. As the original orientation of peridotite xenoliths in the upper mantle is unknown, several scenarios should be explored for how the foliation and lineation of these upper mantle rocks are aligned with

Fig. 7. Schematic map showing the direction of measured shear wave splitting in the region modified after Qorbani et al. (2016) (a), and a model explaining both the orientation of SKS splitting and the formation of alkaline basalts at the late-Neogene tectonic inversion stage of the Carpathian-Pannonian region (b).
respect to the almost vertically propagating seismic waves (e.g. Kovács et al., 2012b; Klébész et al., 2015; Aradi et al., 2017; Liptai et al., 2018). As already demonstrated by Kovács et al. (2012b) for the BBHVF, we assume that the contribution of the crust and the lithospheric mantle to the observed SKS splitting was only moderate due to their smaller thickness and lower degree of anisotropy. Consequently, Kovács et al. (2012b) suggested a one layer model in which the degree of anisotropy is estimated by a representative xenolith typical for the deeper, more anisotropic part of the upper mantle beneath the BBHVF (which is thought to represent ‘lithospherised’ asthenosphere). From the examined scenarios, the one where the foliation plane is vertical and parallel to the direction of SKS splitting (NW–SE) and the lineation is horizontal (Fig. 7) provides the most realistic (i.e. smallest) anisotropic layer thickness for the BBHVF (~85 km, Kovács et al., 2012b). The thickness of the anisotropic layer matches the extent of thinning (~60–80 km) of the lithospheric mantle during extension when the asthenosphere rose from its pre-extensional depth of ~100–120 km (e.g. Falus et al., 2000; Huismans et al., 2001) to only ~40 km depth right at the end of the syn-rift stage (Kovács et al., 2012b). In addition, this depth difference also roughly coincides with the thickness of the asthenospheric dome trapped between the Adriatic indenter and the European platform (e.g. Tari et al., 1999; Tašárová et al., 2009). As the ambient thickness of the lithosphere outside of the Pannonian Basin is ~140–200 km whereas it is presently ~60 km in the central part of the basin (but the LAB was only at ~40 km depth after the extension), at least ~80 km asthenosphere was trapped between the converging main continental lithospheres.

Our interpretation is that the asthenospheric dome may have been under SW–NE compression between the very thick European platform (~200 km) and the Adriatic indenter (~140 km) at least since the termination of the syn-rift stage due to the northward movement and counterclockwise rotation of the Adriatic promontory. This compression developed NW–SE directed, near vertical foliation and horizontal lineation in the upper mantle (Fig. 7). Compression induced deformation resulted in foliation planes becoming increasingly vertical, providing pathways for partial melts towards the surface. It is likely that the foliation plane prior to the end of the ‘syn-rift’ stage was closer to horizontal due to the dominant extensional tectonic regime. The extension exerted horizontal stretching in the lithosphere, which may have been also accompanied, and even driven by sub-horizontally directed flow in the underlying asthenosphere (e.g. Kovács et al., 2012b).

It is, therefore, likely that a near vertical foliation in the asthenosphere (and probably also in the lithospheric mantle to a smaller extent) under a compressional stress regime has facilitated the extraction of partial melts from the asthenosphere and channelised the accumulated melt towards the surface. An outstanding question is how partial melts could penetrate the crust and the lithospheric mantle.

4.6. Recent deep crustal deformation and stress regime

The whole lithosphere-asthenosphere system appears to be under NE–SW compression at least from the onset of the tectonic inversion stage (~8–5 Ma) but the asthenosphere may have been under compression since the main tectonic units were docked in the Carpathian embayment at ~11 Ma. Focal mechanisms of earthquakes and deep magnetic soundings provide information on the prevailing stress directions in the crust. Focal mechanisms of earthquakes from the Pannonian Basin with depths commonly up to ~10 km are mostly of strike-slip character with only a few characterised by thrust faulting. Especially in the central part of the Pannonian Basin (also close to the BBHVF), the compressional axes of the determined moment tensors are NE-SW oriented (Wéber, 2018). This means that the direction of the main compression in the shallower crust agrees well with that of the asthenosphere and deeper lithospheric mantle. The directions of geomagnetic induction vectors in the well conducting zones of the BBHVF are also mostly SW–NE oriented (Ádám et al., 2017; Ádám and Wesztergom, 2001). This direction implies the existence of NW–SE directed deep conducting fractures in the area. Borehole breakout data, especially in the southern part of the Great Hungarian Plain in the very shallow crust (up to a few kilometers), also provide evidence for a mainly NE–SW orientation of the compressional stress field (Bada et al., 2007).

It is therefore evident, that the compression and its direction in the asthenosphere and deep lithospheric mantle at the time of the alkaline basin volcanic activity is strikingly similar to the present-day stress field in the crust revealed by focal mechanism solutions of earthquakes and geomagnetic induction vectors. This NE–SW direction of the compression is parallel with the convergence between the Adriatic indenter and the stable European platform indicated by NE oriented movements of major tectonic units in most parts of the Pannonian Basin (Caporali, 2009; Grenerczy et al., 2005).

4.7. Lithospheric-scale folding

The asthenosphere and the lithosphere have responded differently to the compression due to their contrasting rheological properties. The asthenosphere is rheologically much weaker and takes up the compression dominantly by ductile deformation which probably adjusts the LPO of minerals to the dominating stress field relatively quickly on geological time scales. In contrast, the upper crust is rheologically much stronger and deforms usually in a brittle way, thus taking much longer to readjust its fabric to the prevailing stress directions (i.e., it has a longer memory of prior stress regimes). We propose that while the asthenosphere developed near vertical foliation and horizontal lineation as a response to the compressional stress field, the lithosphere was folded (Cloetingh et al., 1999, 2003; Dombrádi et al., 2010). Lithospheric-scale folding may have generated deep fractures cutting through the entire lithosphere, particularly along the axes of long wavelength (few hundred km) anticlines and synclines where deformation is most intense (Fig. 7). The focal mechanism solutions of earthquakes and geomagnetic induction vectors all indicate the presence of NW–SE directed fractures or deformation zones which are thought to be parallel to the strike of these supposed anticlines and synclines of the folded lithosphere. Thus, it seems logical to assume that lithospheric scale folding and the associated formation of deep ‘fractures’ or deformation zones in the lithosphere provide pathways for basaltic partial melts squeezed from the asthenosphere to rise all the way to the surface (Fig. 7). In summary, the NW–SE directed foliation in the asthenosphere and ’fractures’ or deformation zones in the lithosphere developed due to the NE–SW compression during the tectonic inversion stage of the CPR and facilitated the extraction and channelling of partial melts from the ‘wet’ asthenosphere to the surface. Previous volcanological studies also argued that the alkaline basaltic activity was mainly tectonically controlled because both the magma flux and output rate were low (Kereszturi et al., 2010). Martin and Németh (2004) also proposed that the tectonic inversion in the CPR might have played a role in the formation of alkaline basalts of the BBHVF as well.

This process is likely not only of local importance, but could have general relevance as it provides an explanation for the formation of alkaline basalts in extensional basins subject to late-stage compression. In addition, it also sheds light on the occurrence of alkaline basaltic volcanism in transpressional tectonic regimes where the asthenospheric source is ‘wet’.

4.8. Spatial aspects of the Plio-Pleistocene alkaline basaltic volcanic activity in the CPR

A closer look at the spread of alkaline basalt outcrops within the main alkaline basaltic localities in the CPR can yield a somewhat blurred image. At each volcanic field, the volcanic formations follow a more or less systematic pattern. In the Styrian basin (SBVF) the volcanic formations appear to align along two major directions: a major NW–SE and a subordinate SW–NE direction perpendicular to the former (Bojar et al.,
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4.9. Possible salt diapir analogy for the partially molten asthenosphere in compressional settings

Modelling studies of salt tectonics have demonstrated that salt layers overlap by rheologically stronger and more viscous formations can be effectively mobilised by horizontal compression (e.g. Stephenson et al., 1992; Daudré and Cloetingh, 1994). During this process, salt starts to rise along localised, narrow vertical wall-like zones. In contrast, during extension, similar geometries develop with a much smaller extent of vertical movements. We hypothesise that the low viscosity asthenospheric dome under a more rigid extended lithospheric lid may respond to compression in a mode similar to salt domes. Thus, the asthenosphere may rise along narrow (few to a few tens of kilometres) and deep sub-vertical zones of the deforming lithosphere causing local heat flow, geophysical anomalies and possibly triggering localised volcanic activity. Thus it may well be that there is no strict need for assuming the existence of ‘plume fingers’ to explain the presence of small scale regional geophysical and geochemical anomalies in the lithosphere as rising asthenosphere – analogous to salt under compression – along narrow deformation zones (Fig. 7b) of the lithosphere may equally well explain these observations.

5. Conclusions

The asthenosphere was ‘wet’ (290–660 ppm ‘water’ content) at the time of alkaline basaltic volcanism in the Carpathian-Pannonian-region (CPR) and with such bulk ‘water’ contents the asthenosphere was above the ‘paragisite dehydration solidus’. The ‘wet’ character of the asthenosphere below the CPR may have been due to the hydration of the mantle wedge above the former Penninic, Vardar and Magura subducting slabs and/or ‘hydrous’ plumes originating from the ‘subduction graveyard’ under the region. Thermal plumes and eclogite and pyroxenite lithologies did play at most a minor role in the formation of partial melts in the asthenosphere.

The partial melts in the asthenosphere were extracted and channelled to the surface during the tectonic inversion stage under a compressional tectonic regime. The tectonic inversion generated compression in the lithosphere and asthenosphere which resulted in foliation and lineation in the asthenosphere and folding of the lithosphere. In combination with compression, near vertical foliation in the asthenosphere facilitated extraction and channeling of partial melts from the asthenosphere towards the surface. Simultaneously, folding of the lithosphere may have led to the formation of deep fractures and deformation zones crosscutting the entire lithosphere most probably in the axes of anticlines and synclines of the folding. These deep zones were important in providing further pathways for basaltic melts extracted from the asthenosphere towards the surface.

This implies that if the asthenosphere is ‘wet’ (>200 ppm) and temperature exceeds the ‘paragisite dehydration’ and ‘water saturated’ solidi (both in the range of 1000–1100 °C) above and below 100 km, respectively, basaltic partial melt can be present and transported to the surface even in a compressional stress regime. This model may provide a conceptual framework for continental extensional (back-arc) basins undergoing late-stage compression and for continental transpressional zones in the lithosphere in general.

Declarations of Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of the MTA CSFK Lundletű Pannon LithoOsco grant, and the NKFIH K128122 grant. Constructive discussions on geochemistry, volcanology, geochronology, space geodesy and magnetotellurics with Alexandru Szakács, Zoltán Pécsvay, Mariel Kovacs, Antal Ádám, Szabolcs Harangi, Péter Luffi, Qunke Xia, Huan Chen, Liu Jia and Eszter Szúcs helped us to further develop our concepts. We are grateful to the managing Editor (Costanza Bonadiman) and two anonymous reviewers for constructive reviews and comments. K. Hidas acknowledges funding by the “Agencia Estatal de Investigación” (AEI Spain), project no. CGL2016-81085-R. L.E. Aradí’s Gy. Falus’s and Cs. Szabó’s work was funded by the Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE) Institutional Excellence Program (1783-3/2018/FEKUTSRAT) supported by the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities. S.A.P.L. Cloetingh was supported by the Distinguished Guest Scientist Fellowship Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. L. Patkó was supported by the GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00009 research program.

References


