Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology

Legacy and emerging brominated flame retardants in Bizerte Lagoon murex (*Hexaplex Trunculus*): levels and human health risk assessment

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AECT-D-19-00439R1	
Full Title:	Legacy and emerging brominated flame retardants in Bizerte Lagoon murex (<i>Hexaplex Trunculus</i>): levels and human health risk assessment	
Article Type:	Original Research	
Keywords:	BFRs; MeO-PBDEs; Gastropods Bioaccumulation; risk assessment; Tunisia	
Corresponding Author:	Walid Ben Ameer Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte TUNISIA	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Walid Ben Ameer	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Walid Ben Ameer	
	Ali Annabi	
	Yassine El Megdiche	
	Takoua Mhadhbi	
	Sihem Ben Hassine	
	Badreddine Barhoumi	
	Soufiane Touil	
	Mohamed Driss	
	Damia Barceló	
	Ethel Eljarrat	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Funding Information:	Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CEMAGUA-CGL2007-64551/HID)	Pr Damia Barceló
Abstract:	<p>Occurrence of traditional (PBDEs) and novel (HBB, PBEB, DBDPE) brominated flame retardants, as well as the natural compounds of MeO-PBDEs, were studied in a shellfish species (<i>Hexaplex trunculus</i>) sampled from Bizerte Lagoon. PBDE and MeO-PBDE mean concentrations in murex soft tissues were 187 and 264 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively. The alternative flame retardants were not identified. The sum of PBDE and MeO-PBDE levels recorded in murex from the investigated aquatic ecosystem were comparable or a relatively lower than those reported for other organisms from other regions across the world.</p> <p>The amount of PBDE and MeO-PBDE concentrations from the Bizerte Lagoon recorded in murex were comparable or a relatively lower than those recorded from other areas across the world for other species.</p> <p>There is not a danger to the population health with regard to PBDE intakes associated with the consumption of murex in Bizerte city. We believe, that this is the first study that interests on the analysis of these pollutants in marine gastropod molluscs from Tunisian aquatic areas.</p>	

Response to Reviewers:

Dear editor,

First of all, we thank both reviewers and editor for their positive and constructive comments and thoughtful suggestions which tend to improve the contents of this paper.

Our manuscript, entitled: " Legacy and emerging brominated flame retardants in Bizerte Lagoon murex (Hexaplex Trunculus): levels and human health risk assessment". (Manuscript ID: AECT-D-19-00439), was revised carefully according to the comments from reviewers and editor.

All changes made in the text were red color marked in the revised manuscript. We hope that the revised paper will meet your journal's standard.

Comments to improve the manuscript

In the introduction section:

* Page 2, line 54 "due to their persistence, bioaccumulation.." add reference please. As recommended by the referee, a reference was added in the revised manuscript. You can find this reference marked in red color in page 2.

*Page 3, Paragraphs from line 32 to line 56 should be merged into one short paragraph.

As recommended by the referee, these paragraphs had been merged into one paragraph in the revised manuscript and this modification is in red in the paper (Please see page 3).

*Page 4:

**line 42, species are breeding there in place of here

We took into consideration of this remark. The modification is in red in the revised manuscript (Please see page 4).

**Line 44, repetition of "is"

The repeated word was deleted. The modification is in red in the revised manuscript, please see page 4.

**Line 56, Please write the abbreviations of "OCPs" and "PCBs" in full where they are mentioned for the first time.

The requested correction is added and colored in red in the revised manuscript, please see page 4.

*Page 5, line 48, is will be more interesting to mention also in the figure 1 the lake ichkeul to better clarify the potential pollution risk.

Thanks dear referee for this comment which is of great interest. The Ichkeul Lake had been mentioned in Figure 1 of the revised paper.

*Page 8:

**Line 46:

Please write the abbreviation of "lw" in full because it is mentioned for the first time.

Dear referee, we made the revision according your comment. However, this abbreviation is mentioned for the first time in line 41 of the page 8. Please see this modification marked in red in page 8 of the revised manuscript.

**Line 41: Please delete the abbreviations of "lipid weight " because it was already mentioned in the text.

Dear referee, we made the revision according your comment, but this remark concerns the line 41 of the page 12 and no the page 8. Please see this modification marked in red in page 12 of the revised manuscript.

*Page 7, Line 2, replace "Once the extraction step was finished" by "Furthermore".

In the revised paper, this remark had been taken into account. Please see this modification marked in red in page 6 of the revised manuscript.

*Page 16, line 25: Please write the abbreviation of "ATSDR"-and "MRLs" since they are not mentioned before in the text.

We made the revision according your comments, Please see these modification marked in red in page 16 of the revised manuscript.

In Materials and methods section: Please add the geographic coordinates of the sampling sites.

Dear referee, a lot of thanks for this valuable remark. The geographic coordinates of the sampling sites have been added in the revised paper. Please see this modification marked in red in page 5 of the revised manuscript.

In Materials and methods section: Please clarify if murex samples originated from natural population (wild) or were obtained from aquaculture farms.

This comment was of great interest. We made the revision according to your comment. Please see this modification marked in red in page 5 of the revised manuscript.

Table1: Please indicate the number of murex sampled in each station (n = 150).

The Table 1 had been revised according your comment in the revised paper.

Q 14 Figure 1: Please add legend - triangles represent sources.

Dear referee, in the revised figure, the legend of sources has been added.

Thanks very much again for your attention to our paper .

At last, thank you all for your arduous work and instructive advice. Looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely yours,

On behalf of all authors,

WALID BEN AMEUR

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Legacy and emerging brominated flame retardants in Bizerte Lagoon murex (*Hexaplex Trunculus*): levels and human health risk assessment

Walid Ben Ameer^{1*}, Ali Annabi³, Yassine El Megdiche¹, Takoua Mhadhbi⁴, Sihem Ben Hassine¹, Badreddine Barhoumi¹, Soufiane Touil¹, Mohamed Ridha Driss¹, Damia Barceló², Ethel Eljarrat²

¹Laboratory of Heteroatom Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, 7021, Jarzouna, Tunisia

²Department of Environmental Chemistry, IDAEA-CSIC, Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences of Gabes, University of Gabes, Tunisia

⁴Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, 7021, Jarzouna, Tunisia

* Corresponding author:

Dr. Walid Ben Ameer, Faculty of sciences of Bizerte, Departement of chemistry, 7021

Jarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia.

Tel: 216 52130002; Fax: 216 72 590 566

E-mail: address: benamerwalid@gmail.com (W.Ben Ameer).

Abstract

Occurrence of traditional (PBDEs) and novel (HBB, PBEB, DBDPE) brominated flame retardants, as well as the natural compounds of MeO-PBDEs, were studied in a shellfish species (*Hexaplex trunculus*) sampled from Bizerte Lagoon.

PBDE and MeO-PBDE mean concentrations in murex soft tissues were 187 and 264 ng g⁻¹lw respectively. The alternative flame retardants were not identified. The sum of PBDE and MeO-PBDE levels recorded in murex from the investigated aquatic ecosystem were comparable or a relatively lower than those reported for other organisms from other regions across the world.

The amount of PBDE and MeO-PBDE concentrations from the Bizerte Lagoon recorded in murex were comparable or a relatively lower than those recorded from other areas across the world for other species.

There is not a danger to the population health with regard to PBDE intakes associated with the consumption of murex in Bizerte city. We believe, that this is the first study that interests on the analysis of these pollutants in marine gastropod molluscs from Tunisian aquatic areas.

Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) have been highlighted for their potential environmental risks during these two last decades (Zhen et al. 2016). Among them, polybromodiphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are the most known used compounds. PBDEs are typically produced at three different levels of bromination, that is, Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2019). PBDEs have been identified in several environmental samples (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017) like air (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), sediment (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), water (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017) and sludge (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), in addition to biological matrices (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2018) such as seafood (Baron et al. 2013; Zaccaroni et al. 2018; Aznar et al. 2017), bird eggs (Barón et al. 2014b) and human milk (Ben Hassine et al. 2015; Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017). The accumulation of PBDEs in various environmental matrices and biota has been documented after decades of research (McGrath et al. 2017), while harmful effects such as endocrine disturbance and developmental neurotoxicity have been well proven (McGrath et al. 2017). Due to their persistence, bioaccumulation, long-distance transport and negative health impacts (Tao et al. 2019), the three commercial PBDE mixtures were classified as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the Stockholm Convention (Liu et al. 2018). A new generation of BFRs have been

Formatted: Not Highlight

emerged as an alternative to the banned compounds and were taken the label of new, emerging or novel BFRs (NBFRs) (McGrath et al. 2017).

Decabromodiphenylethane (DBDPE), bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB) are among the most known NBFRs. DBDPE is used to replace Deca-BDE formulas, BTBPE replaced Octa-BDE and bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate and EH-TBB in replacement of Penta-BDE mixtures (McGrath et al. 2017). Hexabromobenzene (HBB), 2,3,4,5,6- pentabromotoluene (PBT) and 2,3,4,5,6-pentabromoethylbenzene (PBEB) are used for the prevention of flame propagation instead of the banned BFRs in a various polymers (McGrath et al. 2017). HBB is used in the following fields: plastics, textiles, woods, paper, electrical and manufactured products (Covaci et al. 2011). It is obtained from deca-BDEs and other PBDEs heat degradation (Trabalón et al. 2016). PBEB is a flame retardant additive particularly used in polyester thermoset resins (circuit boards, textiles, adhesives, wire and cable coatings, polyurethane foam) (Ezechiáš et al. 2014). DBDPE is used in the same way as Deca-BDE, i.e. as an additive to various polymeric materials such as high-impact polystyrene (HIPS), acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), polypropylene, and textiles such as cotton and polyester (Lee et al. 2014).

Like PBDEs, NBFRs are released from various origins such as manufacturing, waste incineration, recycling facilities and other overall industrial procedures via atmospheric emissions to the environment (McGrath et al. 2017). ~~Electronic and electrical waste (e-waste) have been shown to be among the largest sources of atmospheric discharge due to typically elevated levels in products and handling methods that exacerbate their dispersion (McGrath et al. 2017).~~

Their physicochemical properties, ~~pollution and toxicity patterns of NBFRs~~ are generally comparable to those of PBDEs ~~and comparable pollution and toxicity patterns have been observed~~ (McGrath et al. 2017). The detection of these new BFRs have been reported in different matrices, ~~like sewage sludge (Trabalón, et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019), sediments (Trabalón, et al. 2017), water (Trabalón, et al. 2017), soil (Trabalón, et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019), door dust (Li et al. 2019), aquatic biota (Li et al. 2019), wild animals (Li et al. 2019), and even human serum (Li et al. 2019).~~ The knowledge on their possible toxicities and environmental fate is still very limited, however some NBFRs have already been shown to be endocrine disruptive (Li et al. 2019).

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text,
Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

The presence of naturally produced compounds such as methoxylated PBDEs (MeO-PBDEs) has also been taken into account due to their reported higher toxic potential compared to the parent PBDEs (Weijs et al. 2009; Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Choo et al. 2018).

Most remarkably, in some marine organisms such as bivalves, salmon and whales, the structural analogs of PBDEs have wide geographic apportionments and slightly higher levels relative to PBDEs parent (Choo et al. 2018), and they can disturb homeostasis of the thyroid hormone, are toxic to nerve tissue, disturb oxidative phosphorylation and alter the synthesis of estradiol (Choo et al. 2018). These substances are not known to have identified anthropogenic origins (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Biogenic production through the metabolism of PBDEs or natural synthesis through a biobromination process has been proposed as their origin (Kierkegaard et al. 2004; El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-PBDEs are synthesised naturally by sponges or algae in the marine ecosystem (Ben Ameer et al. 2011; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The primary sources of human exposure to POPs are skin uptake, inhalation and ingestion of polluted food (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Among all aliments, seafood is one of the primary sources of pollutants, although fish goods represent only about 10% or less of a standard diet (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Gastropod mollusks are mostly used as sentinel organisms of organic contamination in aquatic ecosystems because they are recognized to bioaccumulate these substances (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Govaerts et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018), giving a time-integrated indication of environmental pollution, plus an accurate data on the prospective public health impacts of seafood ingestion (Grilo et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The Lagoon of Bizerte (Northern Tunisia) is an environmentally important region (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, in this region, several local aquatic organisms live, feed and die, and many of the pelagic species are breeding ~~here~~there (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Furthermore, this lagoon is ~~is~~-subjected to many anthropogenic stresses, including population growth, industrial operations (cement factory, metallurgical enterprise, shipyard, plants for tyre production ...), plus marine and commercial harbors for shipping (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The banks of the lagoon were also used as waste open dump sites (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The direct and indirect releases of municipal and industrial waste and runoff contribute to the chemical pollution of the lagoon by multiple harmful chemicals (El Megdiche et al. 2017) like as organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), organohalogen

compounds like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), PBDEs and their methoxylated analogs in fish, mussel, clams and in urchins (Barhoumi et al. 2014; Ben Ameer et al. 2011, El Megdiche et al. 2017) and heavy metals (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Despite the report of the existence of these pollutants, no studies focused on the accumulation of organobrominated pollutants originated from synthetic and natural sources in gastropod molluscs from the Bizerte Lagoon are available. In addition, recent informations are very scarce on the occurrence of the non-PBDE BFRs in Tunisian coastal regions. In fact, only one study had interested on the evaluation of non-PBDE BFR levels in Bizerte Lagoon sea urchin (Mekni et al. 2019).

To provide more information on the pollution of the Bizerte Lagoon and to assess the potential hazards to shellfish consumers, this work evaluated the residue concentrations of persistent organobrominated compounds in a particular murex species (*Hexaplex trunculus*) among its edible marine species. The criteria of selection of this species are the following: previous use as sentinel species for chemical surveillance, broad distribution in the surveilled area, simple sampling, broad commercial spread, and thus a reliable indication of human exposure to the pollutants studied. In addition, this work is the first to be concerned in assessing the concentrations of PBDE, MeO-BDE, HBB, PBEB and DBDPE in Tunisian aquatic ecosystem gastropods. In addition, this research is the first to be concerned in assessing the concentrations of PBDE, MeO-BDE, HBB, PBEB and DBDPE in Tunisian aquatic ecosystem gastropods.

Materials and methods

Study area

The lagoon of Bizerte is Tunisia's second biggest lagoon. It is situated in a very considerable economic part of northern Tunisia (latitude, 37°80'–37°14'N; longitude, 9°46'–9°56'E) (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Its area and mean depth are respectively of 128 km² and 7 m (El Megdiche et al. 2017). It connects with the Mediterranean Sea through a 7 km long channel to the north and through the Tinja River to the south with the Ichkeul Lake (El Megdiche et al. 2017). ~~Wild Murex~~ murex samples were obtained from 4 different sites of the Bizerte Lagoon (Fig. 1). They were chosen based on potential variations in amounts of contamination and bivalve presence. Menzel Jemil Station (S1), 37°13'04N, 9°54'46E, is situated close a mussel farming zone and gets steady discharge from Menzel Jemil City's urban runoff and sewerage;

it also gets agricultural effluents from several manufacturing units (textile and electronic industries) situated in Menzel Jemil city (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Menzel Abderrahmen Station (S2), [37°13'43N, 9°51'46E](#), is situated close to a town of 10,000 residents, bordered by industrial units (textile, electronic and metallurgical industries). The sampling site gets steady constant influx of untreated effluents (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The Chaara station (S3), [37°13'90, N 9°49'49E](#), is situated close the cement factory and is affected by the intensive traffic of fishing boats and urban waste water from Zarzouna and Bizerte towns. (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The Chanel station (S4), [37°15'68N, 9°51'54E](#), is located in a highly populated region under intense sea traffic, with big cement works and oil refineries being grouped together (Lafabrie et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Sample collection

Murex (*Hexaplex trunculus*) were sampled during the month of February 2010 from the 4 investigated areas. According El Megdiche et al. (2017) and in order to reduce inter-individual variability, each composite sample consisted of at least 150 murex of homogeneous size (53–35 mm shell length) collected from each sampling site (El Megdiche et al. 2017). After collection, samples were treated as described by El Megdiche et al. (2017). In fact, from each investigated area we prepared three pools of 50 murex. After deshelling murex and the homogenization of their soft tissues, they were stored at -20°C before the freeze drying process. The lyophilized murex samples were kept at -20 °C before analysis.

Chemicals

The target alternative BFRs (HBB, PBEB and DBDPE) were obtained from Wellington Laboratories Inc. (Guelph, ON, Canada). The standard mixture of 40 PBDE congeners (BDE-1, BDE-2, BDE-3, BDE-7, BDE-8, BDE-10, BDE-11, BDE-12, BDE-13, BDE-15, BDE-17, BDE-25, BDE-28, BDE-30, BDE-32, BDE-33, BDE-35, BDE-37, BDE-47, BDE-49, BDE-66, BDE-71, BDE-75, BDE-77, BDE-85, BDE-99, BDE-100, BDE-116, BDE-118, BDE-119, BDE-126, BDE-138, BDE-153, BDE-154, BDE-155, BDE-166, BDE-181, BDE-183, BDE-190 and BDE-209, the standard mixture of 8 MeO-PBDEs (5-MeO-BDE-47, 6-MeO-BDE-47, 4'-MeO-BDE-49, 2'-MeO-BDE-68, 5'-MeO-BDE-99, 5'-MeO-BDE-100, 4'-MeO-BDE-101 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103) as well as the ¹³C₁₂-BDE-209 were provided by Wellington Laboratories Inc.(Guelph, ON, Canada). All necesseray solvents for the analysis of the studied chemicals were provided by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Sample preparation

The analysis of the selected substances was carried out following the method adopted by Barón et al. (2014a). 1 g of lyophilized sample was spiked with 5 ng of ¹³C-PBDEs and 50 ng of ¹³C-BDE-209 and kept overnight for equilibration. After equilibration step, the sample was extracted by the pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) by loading it into an 11 mL extraction cell. ~~Once the extraction step was finished~~Furthermore, the extract was evaporated and after the gravimetric determination of the lipid content, it was cleaned up on two steps: fat remove using H₂SO₄ (conc.) followed by purification with SPE using alumina cartridges (AL-N, 5 g). Finally the eluate was concentrated to incipient dryness and reconstituted in 40 µL of toluene.

Instrumental analysis

The determination of the investigated substances in extracts were carried out using an Agilent 7890C gas chromatograph connected to an Agilent 5975A Network mass spectrometer, working in negative chemical ionization mode (NCI) using NH₄⁺ as reagent gas. (Barón et al. 2013). The instrument operating conditions were used as described by Barón et al. (2014a).

Quality assurance and quality control

The quality assurance of samples was done as detailed by Baron et al. (2014a). Procedural blanks revealed the no detection of analytes of interest. The detection and quantification confirmation criteria for the studied compounds were carried out according to those reported by Barón et al. (2014a).

The used adopted methodology leads to recoveries comprised between 46 and 90% for the studied compounds. Relative standard deviation values (RSD) were < than 10% for all substances which indicates that method reproducibility is satisfactory. For the quantification of the studied compounds, a multi-level calibration curves were done and good linearity was obtained ($R^2 > 0.995$). The instrumental limits of detection (LODs) determined as three times the signal to noise ratio (Trabalón, et al. 2017), varied from 0.07 to 0.74 ng g⁻¹ lipid weight (lw) for PBDEs and from 0.1 to 0.2 ng g⁻¹ lw for MeO-PBDEs. Concerning HBB, PBEB and DBDPE LODs were respectively 0.06, 0.06 and 1.06 ng g⁻¹ lw. The instrumental limits of quantification (LOQs) calculated as ten times the signal to noise ratio (Ben Ameer, et al. 2011), varied between 0.23 and 2.50 ng g⁻¹ lw for PBDEs and between 0.3 and 0.6 ng g⁻¹ lw for MeO-PBDEs. For HBB, PBEB and DBDPE, LOQs were respectively 0.20, 0.20 and 3.53 ng g⁻¹ lw.

Estimated daily intake and risk evaluation

For the estimation of the risk for the local residents, through the consumption of murex in Bizerte, we have used the methodology reported by Ni et al. (2012) and Staskal et al. (2008) for the calculation of the estimated daily intake (EDI), hazard quotient (HQ) and cancer risk (CR) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

To determinate the EDI, the the International Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology (<http://www.institutdenutrition.rns.tn>) provided us the CR value (27 g day⁻¹) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (SPSS 10.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc.) was used for the statistical treatment of the obtained data. Spearman rank correlation was used for the examination of the relationship between parameters.

Results and discussion

In Table 1 are summarized the concentration range, arithmetic means for PBDEs and MeO-BDEs in soft tissue homogenates of murex. These investigated compounds were detected in all the samples from the four studied sites, showing their ubiquity in the studied coastal area.

7 BDEs (BDE-28, 47, 99, 154, 153, 183 and 209) were present as predominant pollutants among the 40 studied PBDE congeners, with detection frequencies of 100, 100, 17, 56, 83, 83 and 50% respectively. Only 5 congeners with a detection frequency of 100% for 6-MeO-BDE-47, 2'-MeO-BDE-68 and 5-MeO-BDE-100 and 83% for 4-MeO-BDE-103 were detected for MeO-PBDE compounds.

Concerning NBFRs, no compounds from the three analyzed compounds was detected in any of the study shellfish species, which is in accordance with current results from other studies (Papachlimitzou et al. 2012; Barón et al. 2013, 2014; Mekni et al. 2019). In deed, when non-PBDE BFRs were detected in biota, their levels were lower than those of PBDEs (Munschy et al. 2011; Trabalón et al. 2016). Mean concentrations of the sum of PBDEs and sum of the MeO-PBDEs were 187 ng g⁻¹ lipid weight (lw) and 264 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively.

The pollution level of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs depends of the localization of investigated sites. In fact, murex from S2 have the highest concentration of PBDEs (269 ng g⁻¹ lw), whilst samples from this site showed the lowest MeO-PBDE levels (129 ng g⁻¹ lw). The concentrations of PBDE in S2 were significantly higher than in S3 and S4 (Mann–Whitney, P<0.05), whereas the concentrations of MeO-PBDE in S4 were significantly higher than in

S1, S2 and S3 (Mann–Whitney, $P < 0.05$). Consequently, It can be hypothesized that murex from station S2 were more subjected to PBDEs exposition than those obtained from S1, S2 and S3 stations. More, the existence of significant variations in the sum of MeO-BDE concentrations between S4 and the other three stations may lead to the hypothesis that murex from station S4 were more impacted by MeO-BDEs than those sampled from S1, S2 and S3.

The low sum of PBDE concentrations in S4 compared to those from S2 may be explained by its proximity to the sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017), where this area is characterized by significant water exchanges and by the sediment resuspension phenomena (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The industrial development and population growth could be responsible of the high PBDE levels in S2 compared to the other stations. Furthermore, the important variations in PBDE concentrations between S2 and the remaining stations could be clarified by sources variation, hydrodynamic circumstances, turbidity, or the existence of organic matter (river inputs) at various sampling locations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Effluents from textile and electronic mills and from local wastewater discharges are the most evident sources of PBDEs (El Megdiche et al. 2017). More, some urban agglomeration releases from untreated effluents into rivers, particularly from the cities of Mrezig and Guenich, situated respectively in the north-west and southeast could be another possible origins of PBDEs contamination in the Bizerte Lagoon (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, other towns located near the lagoon, Bizerte, Zarzouna, Menzel Jemil, Menzel Abderrahmen and Menzel Bourguiba have sewage facilities, but the treated wastewater from the treatment plants (Bizerte and Menzel Bourguiba) are released to the lagoon and this may lead to its contamination by PBDEs (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The high MeO-PBDE concentrations in station S4 compared to the remaining sites could be due to its proximity to the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, MeO-PBDEs did not have a recognized anthropogenic origin, so the spatial distribution of these chemicals was not associated with industrial operations and the population in the towns near the studied stations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The sampling sea area is a potential influencing factor (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Stations S1 and S2 are situated in the inner part of the lagoon, far from the ocean and severely influenced by the the surrounding continent (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Consequently, their water temperature and salinity differ significantly from that of oceans (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Station S4 is a point of return between the lagoon of Bizerte and the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Since most MeO-PBDEs in oceans have been considered as marine natural products, various marine environments may lead to distinct

concentrations of MeO-PBDEs in biota (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Therefore, the samples collected from S4 station were influenced by the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This reason could explain why the sum of MeO-PBDEs concentration value in samples obtained from this site was higher than those from S1, S2 and S3 stations.

PBDE pattern

In Fig. 2, in each studied site, the contribution of each PBDE congener to the sum of PBDEs is provided. In the four investigated sites, very comparable PBDE patterns were observed for murex samples. In all the analyzed samples, among the 7 detected congeners, BDE-47 was the prevalent. It contributed 56%, 63%, 34% and 40% of Σ PBDEs respectively for S1, S2, S3 and S4. The prevalence of this congener in the current study was in accordance with other results reported in seafood samples in other studies (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

For the other remaining congeners, their corresponding abundance was the following: BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-154 > BDE-153 > BDE-99 in S1. The relative prevalence of PBDEs in S2 was as follows: BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-153/BDE-154 > BDE-99 > BDE-209. While, the relative prevalence of the other principal congeners in S3 was the following: BDE-183 > BDE-154 > BDE-153 > BDE-28 > BDE-209. Finally, their relative abundance in S4 station was BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-153 > BDE-28/BDE-154 > BDE-209.

In the Bizerte Lagoon murex, the lack of BDE-99 and -100 detection is consistent with prior research performed in this aquatic environment and showing their absence in mussels and clams as well (Barhoumi et al. 2014 ; El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, this finding is similar to those reported in Senegal and Norway gastropods (Bodin et al. 2011 ; Govaerts et al. 2108) and in Chilean clams (Barón et al. 2013). Indeed, these two congeners were identified in the tissues of gastropods from China, Japan, Korea and North Carolina (Hu et al. 2010; La Guardia et al. 2012; Byun et al. 2013; Kobayashi et al. 2015).

Usually, PBDEs were manufactured at three distinct levels of bromination, i.e. mixtures of Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The formulation of Penta-BDE consists of 41-42% tetra-BDEs (principally BDE-47) and 44-45% penta-BDEs (principally BDE-99 and BDE-100), while the formulation of Deca-BDE consists largely of BDE-209 (97-98%), with a low quantity of nona-BDEs (0.3-3%) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

In soft tissues of the Bizerte Lagoon murex, percentages of tri-, tetra-, penta-, hexa-, hepta- and deca-BDE congeners in soft tissues of the Bizerte Lagoon murex were 7, 48, 1, 18, 25 and 0.4 percent respectively. The cause for the composition of found PBDE congeners is unknown

in this study. Hinge on the detection of BDE-99, BDE-183, BDE-209 in addition to the high percentage of BDE-47 and on the basis of the PBDE congeners percentage in each commercial mixture, it might be explained by commercial Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE formulations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Ben Ameer et al. (2011) and Mekni et al. (2019) demonstrated the detection of BDE-100 in fish muscle and sea urchin sampled from Bizerte Lagoon which is a component of the Penta-BDE formulation structure. The comparatively higher concentration of BDE-47 found in this study could explain the reduced amount of BDE-99 owing to its debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017), caused by microorganisms and/or endogenous enzymatic structures, and the widespread use of the mixture of Penta-BDE rather than the others (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In this work, the comparatively elevated percentage of BDE-28 in this study may be due to the debromination of higher brominated congeners (El Megdiche et al. 2017). However, it might also have been affected by conversion and debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017). For example, the conversion of BDE-99 into BDE-47 and BDE-183 into BDE-154 has been demonstrated in common carp (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

It has been demontred by other studies that microbial reductive debromination or photochemical degradation may contribute to BDE-47 formation (El Megdiche et al. 2017). There is a lack of data on the PBDE metabolism and transformation with regard to shellfish.

An absence of accumulation of BDE-99 by a few field-collected fish species has also been shown (El Megdiche et al. 2017). PBDE debromination has been proposed within fish tissues leading to significant accumulation of less brominated congeners (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This could indicate that body burdens of PBDE may reflect both direct uptake from more extremely brominated congeners exposure and debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The most probable reason for no BDE-100 congeners identified in the shellfish species of this work is no uptake from exposure (El Megdiche et al. 2017). A previous study performed by Jin et al. (2008) has shown that BDE-99, -100, -154, -153, -183 congeners are not contained in the manufacturing Deca-BDE mixtures from the Shangdong province (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Results from this test show that BDE congeners in some shellfish tissues undergo a previously unknown pathway of biotransformation (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The no identification of BDE-100 could be occur from the absence of release from the products which they contain and from the absence of their photodegradation added to their metabolization by murex and the absence of their uptake from exposure (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In fact, in samples of sediment and bivalve, PBDEs distribution, ensembles with

commercial formulations of Penta and Octa-BDE that can be entered into the coastal ecosystem through atmospheric deposition and shipment operations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). It has been shown that major congeners of commercial Penta- and Octa-BDE mixtures (BDE-47, -99, -100, -154, -153, and -183) have been revealed to be susceptible to simple discharge and disintegration of goods such as polyurethane foams, paints, and clothing that could contribute to their elevated emission levels in the into the surrounding area (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, PBDE congeners are distributed between the gaseous and particle phases after their release into the ecosystem (El Megdiche et al. 2017). They then undergo atmospheric degradation and/or long-range transport to regions outside the dismantling location for e-waste (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Furthermore, it stays unclear whether the main reason for the no identification of BDE-99 is its accumulation, the removal side of the bioaccumulation phase, the product's release and disintegration capabilities, or physiochemical degradation (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Despite the variations in PBDE concentrations, tetra- and penta-congeners appear to prevail globally in environmental samples (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The principal congener in the Deca-BDE formulation (BDE-209), was identified in samples from the four studied stations. BDE-209 murex levels from the investigated lagoon were lower than the other congeners of PBDEs whereas high BDE-209 levels were revealed in aquatic seafood (Barón et al. 2013; Moon et al. 2007; Munschy et al. 2015). This outcome is similar to those showed by Sudaryanto et al. (2009), Barton et al. (2012), Liu et al. (2014) and El Megdiche et al. (2017). It has been noted that higher brominated congeners are mainly linked to particulate organic carbon due to their high log Kow values (El Megdiche et al. 2017). *Murex trunculus*, as a carnivorous, and feed on bivalves and other gastropods, could accumulate these contaminants from these preys. In this work, the presence of the higher brominated congeners may be due to their presence from the preys ingestion in their gut due to non-depuration of murex prior to shucking (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The BFR concentrations in literature in seafood samples is sparse and most of the published data displayed PBDE concentrations in ng/g wet weight (ww), leading to a difficulty for the comparison with our outcomes, expressed in ng/g ~~lipid weight (lw)~~lw (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

In Table 2 are indicated the BDE concentrations reported throughout the world in some gastropod samples. In murex from the Bizerte Lagoon, the measured concentrations of PBDE congeners are lower than those recorded in gastropods from other marine ecosystems

throughout the world such as China (Wang et al. 2011) and North of Carolina (La Guardia et al. 2012). The concentrations though are higher than those determined in seafood, from Congo (Verhaert et al. 2013), China (Hu et al. 2010; She et al. 2013; Du et al. 2107; Yin et al. 2107), Korea (Byun et al. 2013) and Japan (Kobayashi et al. 2015). The obtained levels were within the same range as those recorded in other Norwegian (Govaerts et al. 2108) and North China (Hu et al. 2010) gastropods.

MeO-BDE pattern

Only 4 congeners among the eight studied MeO-PBDEs were identified in the murex specimens at quantifiable concentrations and which are: 6-MeO-BDE-47, 2'-MeO-BDE-68, 5'-MeO-BDE-100 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103 (Table 1). The main abundant MeO-BDE compounds represented by 6-MeO-BDE-47 and 2'-MeO-BDE-68 were identified in all the examined samples with a mean total level of 196, 121, 246 and 431 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4.

2'-MeO-BDE-68 congener percentage contribution to the total MeO-PBDE concentrations was 63, 62, 58 and 62 respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4 stations (Fig. 3). Although the 6-MeO-BDE-47 congener contribution to the total MeO-PBDE concentrations was 29%, 31%, 35% and 34% respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4. The total amount of 5'-MeO-BDE-100 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103 was 8%, 6%, 7% and 4% of the sum of all MeO-PBDEs detected in S1, S2, S3 and S4 respectively.

MeO-BDE-47 and 2-MeO-BDE-68 are the principal predominant MeO-PBDEs identified based on the literature (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, it has been reported that these two congeners have the higher contributions in Canadian, Chinese and Chilean mollusk species (Kelly et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2010; Barón et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013; Yin et al. 2015). Nevertheless, other congeners have been sometimes reported (El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-tetra-PBDE concentrations generally appear to be higher than MeO-tri or MeO-penta-PBDE concentrations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-PBDE profiles revealed in this study were also comparable to those reported in other works assessing these compounds in bivalves and aquatic invertebrates (Barón et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2010; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

Published data on MeO-BDE concentration in gastropod specimens is rare, so the comparison of the obtained results with those cited in literature is difficult. The sum of MeO-PBDEs detected levels in murex from the lagoon of Bizerte (mean: 264 ng g⁻¹ lw, range: 129-449)

were higher than those in bivalves from Chinese coastal areas (3.63 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Sun et al. 2013), from Liaodong Bay (15.9 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Zhang et al. 2010), from the coast of Concepcion (Chile) (3.5-49 ng g⁻¹ lw), from the Canadian Arctic (mean: 14 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Kelly et al. 2008) and in Baltic Sea bivalve sampled in 2011 (87.8 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Dahlberg et al. 2016). Our levels were in the same range also with those in Baltic Sea bivalve collected in 2008 (160–420 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Löfstrand et al. 2011) and lower than those of the Bizerte Lagoon urchins (364 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Mekni et al. 2019).

Potential source of MeO-PBDEs

In this present study, murex sampled from S3 and S4 and which located near the Mediterranean Sea contained higher concentration of MeO-BDEs than those from sites located far from the Mediterranean (S1 and S2), moreover concentrations of PBDEs were higher in stations S1 and S2. According to these observations, it is suggested that, rather than PBDEs biotransformation, at least some of the MeO-BDEs in the studied biota are originated from natural sources (Wang et al. 2011).

In fact, the fact that the two congeners of MeO-BDEs which are prevalent (2'-MeO-BDE-68 and 6-MeOBDE-47) in Bizerte Lagoon murex have been demonstrated to be natural products produced by marine organisms rather than metabolism supports also this hypothesis (Wang et al. 2011).

As reported by Vetter (2006), a higher percentage of 2'-MeO-BDE-68 to that of the sum of MeOBDEs might reveal sponges as the dominant source of MeO-PBDEs, whereas a higher contribution of 6-MeO-BDE-47 would indicate algae as the main source of MeO-PBDEs (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). Therefore, in samples of each studied area the ratio between these two chemical compounds which have natural origin (2'-MeOBDE-68/6-MeO-BDE-47) was determined. Mean values of this quotient were 2.15, 1.98, 1.66 and 1.86 respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4. Thus, and based to data reported by Vetter (2006), the murex obtained from Bizerte Lagoon would be contaminated MeO-PBDEs predominantly from sponges.

In order to have more ideas about the potential origins of MeO-PBDEs in murex, the statistical link between PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were investigated. In this study, no significant correlations between the sum of MeO-PBDEs and of PBDEs for the four investigated sites was revealed (S1: $r_s = 0.23$, $p > 0.05$; S2: $r_s = 0.18$, $p > 0.05$; S3: $r_s = 0.30$, $p > 0.05$; S4: $r_s = 0.28$, $p > 0.05$), which indicates that MeO-PBDEs did not originate principally from PBDEs. In addition, there was no correlation between BDE-47 and 6-MeO-

BDE-47 concentrations in samples for the four areas (S1: $r_s = 0.12$, $p > 0.05$; S2: $r_s = 0.20$, $p > 0.05$; S3: $r_s = 0.15$, $p > 0.05$; S4: $r_s = 0.25$, $p > 0.05$). The lack of significant correlation between these two congeners was also revealed in Chinese fresh water fish (Zhou et al. 2016), in the Bizerte Lagoon fish and clams (Ben Ameer et al. 2011, 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017), in the Baltic Sea mussels (Dahlberg et al. 2016) and from Swedish water pikes (Kierkegaard et al. 2004). Based on this outcome, it can be hypothesized that the 6-MeO-BDE-47 accumulation does not originate from step I of BDE-47 conversion (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). These obtained results were in accordance to those published for bivalves from other studies (Kelly et al. 2008; Ben Ameer et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

At last, all the outcomes recorded in this study contribute to the assumption that MeO-PBDEs detected in the investigated shellfish were originated primarily from accumulation by natural sources in marine environments rather than from PBDE biotransformation (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Risk assessment of human exposure

The EDI of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were estimated from the consumption of the selected shellfish species for the general population in Bizerte (Northern Tunisia) (Table 3) to give an indication of the magnitude of exposure through *Murex trunculus* intake to the distinct pollutants. In addition, to assess the prospective health danger linked with the ingestion of this gastropod species from the Lagoon of Bizerte, HQ and CR were also calculated.

The sum of EDI of BDE-47, BDE-99, BDE-153, BDE-209, total PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs are presented in Table 3. The assessed EDI values of PBDEs for residents at S1, S2, S3 and S4 was respectively of 0.28, 0.40, 0.25 and 0.19 ng/kg /day based on the average body weight of native citizens. Concerning MeO-PBDEs, based on EDI values in S1, S2, S3 and S4, the EDI could be considerate as the main human exposure route to PBDEs in the town of Bizerte, which is consistent with results recorded by prior works investigated on fish, clams and urchins from the Bizerte Lagoon (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

For the studied pollutants in this work, data on literature about their dietary intakes is very limited. In this present study, the calculated dietary intake values of PBDEs, with an average value of 19.7 ng/day, were higher than those recorded in fish and shellfish from Catalonia, Spain (30.7 ng/day) (Bocio et al. 2003), in fish and shellfish from Belgium (59.5 ng/day) (Sioen et al. 2008), in Japan fish from South Korea (65.9 ng/day) (Sunggyu et al. 2013), in

fish and shellfish from Shanghai (41 ng/day) (Yu et al. 2011). EDI values of PBDEs from Bizerte murex is in the same range with those obtained for fish and seafood from Dutch (van Leeuwen and de Boer 2008), for urchins from Bizerte Lagoon (24.6 ng/day) (Mekni et al. 2019), for Italian fish and seafood (20.9 ng/day) (Martellini et al. 2016), for Chinese seafood (15.9-17.6 ng/day) (Guo et al. 2010), for Finlandese fish (23 ng/day) (Kiviranta et al. 2004), for Swedish fish (23 ng/day) (Darnierud et al. 2006), for fish and fish products from Sweden (19.3 ng/day) (Törnkvist et al. 2011) and for Italian fish and mollusc (20.3 ng/day) (Martellini et al. 2016). Nevertheless, the value of their dietary intake was higher than those determined in Spain's clam (0.02 ng / day) and mussels (0.34 ng / day) (Domingo et al. 2006) and Bizerte Lagoon's clams (7.04 ng / day) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Concerning MeO-PBDEs, the mean value of dietary intake calculated in the present study (19.8 ng/day; range 8.03-27.5 ng/day) was in the same range to those reported in Spanish seafood (15.4 ng/day) (Trabalón et al. 2017) and in fish from the Bizerte Lagoon (28.6 ng/day) (Ben Ameer et al. 2013). However, it is higher than those recorded in the Bizerte Lagoon clams (2 ng/day) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Hong Kong fish (35-301 ng/day) (Wang et al. 2011) and lower than assessed in sea urchin from the Bizerte Lagoon (227 ng/day) (Mekni et al. 2019).

Due to the extremely limited data about toxicological and epidemiological information related to PBDEs, assessing the prospective health risk of PBDEs remains a defiance (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). Actually, just the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 1 mg/kg/day, hinge on the most delicate endpoints of toxic impacts of PBDEs (Bocio et al. 2003; Darnierud et al. 2001) and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)-derived Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) with respective values of 0.007 and 10 mg / day for lower brominated congeners and Deca-BDE (El Megdiche et al. 2017) were proposed. Thus, the hazard assessment related to PBDEs was conducted in this study just for PBDE congeners (BDE-47,-153 and 209) having an available reference dose value.

In the current study, the sum of PBDEs dietary intake for adults (0.19-0.4 ng/kg bw/day) is considerably lower than the proposed LOAEL and the oral MRLs (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The possible PBDEs health hazard from murex intake in Bizerte is thus regarded to be limited based on present toxicological data (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This outcome is in line with those stated by Ben Ameer et al. (2013); Meng et al. (2007); Guo et al. (2010) and by El Megdiche et al. (2017).

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: (Default)
+Headings CS (Times New Roman), 12 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: (Default)
+Headings CS (Times New Roman), 12 pt, English (United States)

As far as the hazard quotient is concerned, values superior to 1 reveal possible hazard of exposure for humans (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Based on the HQ values recorded in the present work (Table 3) and which are all well below 1.0, it could be suggested that the exposition hazard of citizens to PBDEs via the ingestion of murex in the region of Bizerte is limited (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This result is in accordance with those stated in Italian fish and mussels (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in sea food from China (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Hong Kong fish (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Bizerte Lagoon seafood (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

In this study, the cancer hazard related to the presence of BDE-209 was also evaluated hinge on the method described by Staskal et al. (2008). The obtained CR values varied from 0.00 to 1.90×10^{-9} , with an average value of 6.38×10^{-10} . These outcomes were a little lower than those stated for Bizerte clams and urchins (Mekni et al. 2019) and higher than those reported in China and in Italy (Mekni et al. 2019).

Finally, it may be hypothesized that no negative health impacts are related to the exposition of the resident population to PBDE bioaccumulated in Bizerte Lagoon murex soft tissues through murex consumption based on the obtained results (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

Conclusion

This study is the first reporting BFR levels in grastopod mollusks in Tunisian aquatic ecosystems. Both classic PBDEs and novel BFRs in addition to the natural compounds of MeO-PBDEs were investigated in Bizerte lagoon using a biological matrix which is murex (*Hexaplex trunculus*).

Polybromodiphenyl ethers and their methoxylated analogs were found in all murex specimens collected from the Bizerte Lagoon. The obtained levels were in the same range to or relatively higher than those stated for other organisms from other aquatic ecosystems across the world. However, emerging BFRs were not detected in any of the samples.

No hazards for the citizen's health were related to the accumulation of PBDEs via the murex ingestion based on several available guidelines.

Over all, this work presents a regional data evaluation of the incidence and concentrations of organohalogen flame retardants in murex and proves the role of *Hexaplex trunculus* as bioindicaor species able to differentiate between numerous amounts of environmental pollution, which confirms its use in monitoring strategies.

Acknowledgments

The Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CEMAGUA-CGL2007-64551/HID) and the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research supported this study. The authors are thankful to R. Chaler, D. Fangul and M. Comesaña for their help at the mass spectrometry work.

References

- Asante KA, Adu-Kumi S, Nakahiro K, et al (2011) Human exposure to PCBs, PBDEs and HBCDs in Ghana: Temporal variation, sources of exposure and estimation of daily intakes by infants. *Environ Int* 37:921–928. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.03.011
- Aznar-Alemany Ò, Trabalón L, Jacobs S, et al (2017) Occurrence of halogenated flame retardants in commercial seafood species available in European markets. *Food Chem Toxicol* 104:35–47. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2016.12.034
- Barhoumi B, Menach KL, Clérandeau C, et al (2014) Assessment of pollution in the Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia) by the combined use of chemical and biochemical markers in mussels, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Mar Pollut Bull* 84:379–390. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.05.002
- Barón E, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2014a) Gas chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous analysis of 19 brominated compounds in environmental and biological samples. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 406:7667–7676. doi: 10.1007/s00216-014-8196-7
- Barón E, Mániz M, Andreu AC, et al (2014b) Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of emerging and classical flame retardants in bird eggs of 14 species from Doñana Natural Space and surrounding areas (South-western Spain). *Environ Int* 68:118–126. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2014.03.013
- Barón E, Rudolph I, Chiang G, et al (2013) Occurrence and behavior of natural and anthropogenic (emerging and historical) halogenated compounds in marine biota from the Coast of Concepcion (Chile). *Sci Total Environ* 461–462:258–264. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.006
- Bartrons M, Grimalt JO, Mendoza G de, Catalan J (2012) Pollutant Dehalogenation Capability May Depend on the Trophic Evolutionary History of the Organism: PBDEs in Freshwater Food Webs. *PLOS ONE* 7:e41829. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041829
- Ben Ameer W, Ben Hassine S, Eljarrat E, et al (2011) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and their methoxylated analogs in mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) from Bizerte Lagoon, Tunisia. *Mar Environ Res* 72:258–264. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.09.009
- Ben Ameer W, El Megdiche Y, Eljarrat E, et al (2013) Organochlorine and organobromine compounds in a benthic fish (*Solea solea*) from Bizerte Lagoon (northern Tunisia): Implications for human exposure. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 88:55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2012.10.021

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 Ben Garali A, Ouakad M, Gueddari M (2010) Contamination of superficial sediments by
- 8 heavy metals and iron in the Bizerte lagoon, northern Tunisia. *Arab J Geosci* 3:295–
- 9 306. doi: 10.1007/s12517-009-0082-9
- 10
- 11 Bocio A, Llobet JM, Domingo JL, et al (2003a) Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in
- 12 Foodstuffs: Human Exposure through the Diet. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:3191–3195.
- 13 doi: 10.1021/jf0340916
- 14
- 15 Bocio A, Llobet JM, Domingo JL, et al (2003b) Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in
- 16 Foodstuffs: Human Exposure through the Diet. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:3191–3195.
- 17 doi: 10.1021/jf0340916
- 18
- 19 Bodin N, N’Gom Ka R, Le Loc’h F, et al (2011) Are exploited mangrove molluscs exposed to
- 20 Persistent Organic Pollutant contamination in Senegal, West Africa? *Chemosphere*
- 21 84:318–327. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.012
- 22
- 23 Byun G-H, Moon H-B, Choi J-H, et al (2013) Biomagnification of persistent chlorinated and
- 24 brominated contaminants in food web components of the Yellow Sea. *Mar Pollut Bull*
- 25 73:210–219. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.05.017
- 26
- 27 Choo G, Kim DH, Kim UJ, et al (2018) PBDEs and their structural analogues in marine
- 28 environments: fate and expected formation mechanisms compared with diverse
- 29 environments. *J Hazard Mater* 343:116–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.026
- 30
- 31 Covaci A, Harrad S, Abdallah MA-E, et al (2011) Novel brominated flame retardants: A
- 32 review of their analysis, environmental fate and behaviour. *Environ Int* 37:532–556.
- 33 doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.007
- 34
- 35 Dahlberg A-K, Bignert A, Legradi J, et al (2016) Anthropogenic and naturally produced
- 36 brominated substances in Baltic herring (*Clupea harengus membras*) from two sites in
- 37 the Baltic Sea. *Chemosphere* 144:2408–2414. doi:
- 38 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.134
- 39
- 40 Darnerud P O, Eriksen G S, Jóhannesson T, et al (2001) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers:
- 41 occurrence, dietary exposure, and toxicology. *Environ Health Perspect* 109:49–68.
- 42 doi: 10.1289/ehp.01109s149
- 43
- 44 Darnerud PO, Atuma S, Aune M, et al (2006) Dietary intake estimations of organohalogen
- 45 contaminants (dioxins, PCB, PBDE and chlorinated pesticides, e.g. DDT) based on
- 46 Swedish market basket data. *Food Chem Toxicol* 44:1597–1606. doi:
- 47 10.1016/j.fct.2006.03.011
- 48
- 49 de la Cal A, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2003) Determination of 39 polybrominated diphenyl ether
- 50 congeners in sediment samples using fast selective pressurized liquid extraction and
- 51 purification. *J Chromatogr A* 1021:165–173. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.09.023
- 52
- 53 Domingo JL, Bocio A, Falcó G, Llobet JM (2006) Exposure to PBDEs and PCDEs
- 54 Associated with the Consumption of Edible Marine Species. *Environ Sci Technol*
- 55 40:4394–4399. doi: 10.1021/es060484k
- 56
- 57 El Megdiche Y, Ameer WB, Béchir H, et al (2017) Anthropogenic (PBDE) and naturally-
- 58 produced (MeO-PBDE) brominated compound levels in Bizerte Lagoon clams
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

(*Ruditapes decussatus*): Levels and human health risk assessment. *Mar Pollut Bull* 125:176–185. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.08.005

Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2018) How do measured PBDE and HCBd levels in river fish compare to the European Environmental Quality Standards? *Environ Res* 160:203–211. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2017.09.011

Eljarrat E, de la Cal A, Raldua D, et al (2004) Occurrence and Bioavailability of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers and Hexabromocyclododecane in Sediment and Fish from the Cinca River, a Tributary of the Ebro River (Spain). *Environ Sci Technol* 38:2603–2608. doi: 10.1021/es0301424

Ezechiáš M, Covino S, Cajthaml T (2014) Ecotoxicity and biodegradability of new brominated flame retardants: A review. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 110:153–167. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.030

Govaerts A, Verhaert V, Covaci A, et al (2018) Distribution and bioaccumulation of POPs and mercury in the Ga-Selati River (South Africa) and the rivers Gudbrandsdalslågen and Rena (Norway). *Environ Int* 121:1319–1330. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.10.058

Guerra P, Alaee M, Jiménez B, et al (2012) Emerging and historical brominated flame retardants in peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) eggs from Canada and Spain. *Environ Int* 40:179–186. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.07.014

Guo J, Wu F, Shen R, Zeng EY (2010) Dietary intake and potential health risk of DDTs and PBDEs via seafood consumption in South China. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 73:1812–1819. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.08.009

Hassine SB, Ameer WB, Gandoura N, Driss MR (2012) Determination of chlorinated pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human milk from Bizerte (Tunisia) in 2010. *Chemosphere* 89:369–377. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035

Hu G, Dai J, Xu Z, et al (2010) Bioaccumulation behavior of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the freshwater food chain of Baiyangdian Lake, North China. *Environ Int* 36:309–315. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2010.01.002

Jin J, Liu W, Wang Y, Yan Tang X (2008) Levels and distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in plant, shellfish and sediment samples from Laizhou Bay in China. *Chemosphere* 71:1043–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.11.041

Kelly BC, Ikonomou MG, Blair JD, Gobas FAPC (2008) Bioaccumulation behaviour of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in a Canadian Arctic marine food web. *Sci Total Environ* 401:60–72. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.045

Kierkegaard A, Bignert A, Sellström U, et al (2004) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and their methoxylated derivatives in pike from Swedish waters with emphasis on temporal trends, 1967–2000. *Environ Pollut* 130:187–198. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2003.12.011

Kiviranta H, Ovaskainen M-L, Vartiainen T (2004) Market basket study on dietary intake of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, and PBDEs in Finland. *Environ Int* 30:923–932. doi:

10.1016/j.envint.2004.03.002

- Kobayashi J, Imuta Y, Komorita T, et al (2015) Trophic magnification of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in an estuarine food web of the Ariake Sea, Japan. *Chemosphere* 118:201–206. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.08.066
- La Guardia MJ, Hale RC, Harvey E, et al (2012) In Situ Accumulation of HBCD, PBDEs, and Several Alternative Flame-Retardants in the Bivalve (*Corbicula fluminea*) and Gastropod (*Elimia proxima*). *Environ Sci Technol* 46:5798–5805. doi: 10.1021/es3004238
- La Nutrition en Tunisie - Informations générales [WWW Document], n.d. URL <http://www.institutdenutrition.rns.tn/> (accessed 6.8.19)
- Labandeira A, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2007) Congener distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in feral carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) from the Llobregat River, Spain. *Environ Pollut* 146:188–195. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2006.04.037
- Lafabrie C, Hlaili AS, Leboulanger C, et al (2013) Contaminated sediment resuspension induces shifts in phytoplankton structure and function in a eutrophic Mediterranean lagoon. *Knowl Manag Aquat Ecosyst* 410:5(16). doi:10.1051/kmae/2013060
- Lacorte S, Ikonomou MG, Fischer M (2010) A comprehensive gas chromatography coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry based method for the determination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and their hydroxylated and methoxylated metabolites in environmental samples. *J Chromatogr A* 1217:337–347. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.11.024
- Lee S, Kannan K, Moon H-B (2013) Assessment of exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) via seafood consumption and dust ingestion in Korea. *Sci Total Environ* 443:24–30. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.099
- Leeuwen SPJ van, Boer J de (2008) Brominated flame retardants in fish and shellfish – levels and contribution of fish consumption to dietary exposure of Dutch citizens to HBCD. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 52:194–203. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.200700207
- Li X, Dong S, Wang R, et al (2019) Novel brominated flame retardant (NBFR) concentrations and spatial distributions in global fishmeal. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 170:306–313. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.11.112
- Liu X, Jiao Y, Lin C, et al (2014) PBDEs, hydroxylated PBDEs and methoxylated PBDEs in bivalves from Beijing markets. *Chemosphere* 110:97–103. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.019
- Liu Y, Liu J, Yu M, et al (2018) Hydroxylated and methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a marine food web of Chinese Bohai Sea and their human dietary exposure. *Environ Pollut* 233:604–611. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.105
- Martellini T, Diletti G, Scortichini G, et al (2016) Occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in foodstuffs in Italy and implications for human exposure. *Food Chem Toxicol* 89:32–38. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2015.12.026

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 McGrath TJ, Ball AS, Clarke BO (2017) Critical review of soil contamination by
- 8 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and novel brominated flame retardants
- 9 (NBFRs); concentrations, sources and congener profiles. *Environ Pollut* 230:741–
- 10 757. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.009
- 11
- 12 Mekni S, Barhoumi B, Aznar-Aleman O, et al (2019) Occurrence of halogenated flame
- 13 retardants in sediments and sea urchins (*Paracentrotus lividus*) from a North African
- 14 Mediterranean coastal lagoon (Bizerte, Tunisia). *Sci Total Environ* 654:1316–1325.
- 15 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.139
- 16
- 17 Meng X-Z, Zeng EY, Yu L-P, et al (2007) Assessment of Human Exposure to
- 18 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in China via Fish Consumption and Inhalation.
- 19 *Environ Sci Technol* 41:4882–4887. doi: 10.1021/es0701560
- 20
- 21 Moon H-B, Kannan K, Lee S-J, Choi M (2007) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in
- 22 sediment and bivalves from Korean coastal waters. *Chemosphere* 66:243–251. doi:
- 23 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.05.025
- 24
- 25 Munschy C, Olivier N, Veyrand B, Marchand P (2015) Occurrence of legacy and emerging
- 26 halogenated organic contaminants in marine shellfish along French coasts.
- 27 *Chemosphere* 118:329–335. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.106
- 28
- 29 Ni H-G, Ding C, Lu S-Y, et al (2012) Food as a main route of adult exposure to PBDEs in
- 30 Shenzhen, China. *Sci Total Environ* 437:10–14. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.056
- 31
- 32 Papachlimitzou A, Barber JL, Losada S, et al (2012) A review of the analysis of novel
- 33 brominated flame retardants. *J Chromatogr A* 1219:15–28. doi:
- 34 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.11.029
- 35
- 36 She Y-Z, Wu J-P, Zhang Y, et al (2013) Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- 37 and several alternative halogenated flame retardants in a small herbivorous food chain.
- 38 *Environ Pollut* 174:164–170. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2012.11.024
- 39
- 40 Sioen I, Bilau M, Verdonck F, et al (2008) Probabilistic intake assessment of polybrominated
- 41 diphenyl ethers and omega-3 fatty acids through fish consumption. *Mol Nutr Food*
- 42 *Res* 52:250–257. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.200700109
- 43
- 44 Staskal DF, Scott LLF, Haws LC, et al (2008) Assessment of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether
- 45 Exposures and Health Risks Associated with Consumption of Southern Mississippi
- 46 Catfish. *Environ Sci Technol* 42:6755–6761. doi: 10.1021/es800613k
- 47
- 48 Substance Priority List | ATSDR [WWW Document], 2018. URL
- 49 <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/spl/index.html> (accessed 6.8.19)
- 50
- 51 Sudaryanto A, Setiawan IE, Ilyas M, et al Levels of Brominated Flame Retardants in
- 52 Sediments and Their Bioaccumulation Potential in Biota from Jakarta Bay and Its
- 53 Surroundings, Indonesia. 7
- 54
- 55 Sun J, Liu J, Liu Y, Jiang G (2013) Hydroxylated and methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl
- 56 ethers in mollusks from Chinese coastal areas. *Chemosphere* 92:322–328. doi:
- 57 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.042
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

Tao L, Zhang Y, Wu JP, et al (2019) Biomagnification of PBDEs and alternative brominated flame retardants in a predatory fish: Using fatty acid signature as a primer. Environ Int 127:226–232. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.03.036

Commented [h1]: This reference was added as recommended by the referee.

Törnkvist A, Glynn A, Aune M, et al (2011) PCDD/F, PCB, PBDE, HBCD and chlorinated pesticides in a Swedish market basket from 2005 – Levels and dietary intake estimations. *Chemosphere* 83:193–199. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.042

Trabalón L, Vilavert L, Domingo JL, et al (2017) Human exposure to brominated flame retardants through the consumption of fish and shellfish in Tarragona County (Catalonia, Spain). *Food Chem Toxicol* 104:48–56. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2016.11.022

Verhaert V, Covaci A, Bouillon S, et al (2013) Baseline levels and trophic transfer of persistent organic pollutants in sediments and biota from the Congo River Basin (DR Congo). *Environ Int* 59:290–302. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2013.05.015

Wang HS, Du J, Ho KL, et al (2011) Exposure of Hong Kong residents to PBDEs and their structural analogues through market fish consumption. *J Hazard Mater* 192:374–380. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.05.036

Weijls L, Das K, Neels H et al (2009) Levels and profiles of persistent organic pollutants in several tissues of harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Black Sea. *Organohalogen Compounds* 71 :432-436

Yin G, Asplund L, Qiu Y, et al (2015) Chlorinated and brominated organic pollutants in shellfish from the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22:1713–1722. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3198-8

Yin G, Zhou Y, Strid A, et al (2017) Spatial distribution and bioaccumulation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in snails (*Bellamya aeruginosa*) and sediments from Taihu Lake area, China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24:7740–7751. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8467-x

Yu Y-X, Huang N-B, Zhang X-Y, et al (2011) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in food and associated human daily intake assessment considering bioaccessibility measured by simulated gastrointestinal digestion. *Chemosphere* 83:152–160. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.049

Zaccaroni A, Andreini R, Franzellitti S, et al (2018) Halogenated flame retardants in stranded sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) from the Mediterranean Sea. *Sci Total Environ* 635:892–900. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.147

Zhang K, Wan Y, An L, Hu J (2010) Trophodynamics of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a marine food web. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 29:2792–2799. doi: 10.1002/etc.334

Zhen X, Tang J, Xie Z, et al (2016) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and alternative brominated flame retardants (aBFRs) in sediments from four bays of the Yellow Sea, North China. *Environ Pollut* 213:386–394. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.02.042

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Map showing sampling areas

Fig. 2 PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig.3 Meo-PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Legacy and emerging brominated flame retardants in Bizerte Lagoon murex (*Hexaplex Trunculus*): levels and human health risk assessment

Walid Ben Ameer^{1*}. Ali Annabi³. Yassine El Megdiche¹. Takoua Mhadhbi⁴. Sihem Ben Hassine¹. Badreddine Barhoumi¹. Soufiane Touil¹. Mohamed Ridha Driss¹. Damia Barceló². Ethel Eljarrat²

¹Laboratory of Heteroatom Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, 7021, Jarzouna, Tunisia

²Department of Environmental Chemistry, IDAEA-CSIC, Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences of Gabes, University of Gabes, Tunisia

⁴Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, University of Carthage, 7021, Jarzouna, Tunisia

* Corresponding author:

Dr. Walid Ben Ameer, Faculty of sciences of Bizerte, Departement of chemistry, 7021

Zarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia.

Tel: 216 52130002; Fax: 216 72 590 566

E-mail: address: benamerwalid@gmail.com (W.Ben Ameer).

Abstract

Occurrence of traditional (PBDEs) and novel (HBB, PBEB, DBDPE) brominated flame retardants, as well as the natural compounds of MeO-PBDEs, were studied in a shellfish species (*Hexaplex trunculus*) sampled from Bizerte Lagoon.

PBDE and MeO-PBDE mean concentrations in murex soft tissues were 187 and 264 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively. The alternative flame retardants were not identified. The sum of PBDE and MeO-PBDE levels recorded in murex from the investigated aquatic ecosystem were comparable or a relatively lower than those reported for other organisms from other regions across the world.

The amount of PBDE and MeO-PBDE concentrations from the Bizerte Lagoon recorded in murex were comparable or a relatively lower than those recorded from other areas across the world for other species.

There is not a danger to the population health with regard to PBDE intakes associated with the consumption of murex in Bizerte city. We believe, that this is the first study that interests on the analysis of these pollutants in marine gastropod molluscs from Tunisian aquatic areas.

Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) have been highlighted for their potential environmental risks during these two last decades (Zhen et al. 2016). Among them, polybromodiphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are the most known used compounds. PBDEs are typically produced at three different levels of bromination, that is, Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2019). PBDEs have been identified in several environmental samples (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017) like air (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), sediment (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), water (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017) and sludge (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017), in addition to biological matrices (Aznar-Alemany et al. 2018) such as seafood (Baron et al. 2013; Zaccaroni et al. 2018; Aznar et al. 2017), bird eggs (Barón et al. 2014b) and human milk (Ben Hassine et al. 2015; Aznar-Alemany et al. 2017). The accumulation of PBDEs in various environmental matrices and biota has been documented after decades of research (McGrath et al. 2017), while harmful effects such as endocrine disturbance and developmental neurotoxicity have been well proven (McGrath et al. 2017). Due to their persistence, bioaccumulation, long-distance transport and negative health impacts (Tao et al. 2019), the three commercial PBDE mixtures were classified as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the Stockholm Convention (Liu et al. 2018). A new generation of BFRs have been

emerged as an alternative to the banned compounds and were taken the label of new, emerging or novel BFRs (NBFRs) (McGrath et al. 2017).

Decabromodiphenylethane (DBDPE), bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy)ethane (BTBPE), 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate (EH-TBB) are among the most known NBFRs. DBDPE is used to replace Deca-BDE formulas, BTBPE replaced Octa-BDE and bis(2-ethylhexyl) tetrabromophthalate and EH-TBB in replacement of Penta-BDE mixtures (McGrath et al. 2017). Hexabromobenzene (HBB), 2,3,4,5,6- pentabromotoluene (PBT) and 2,3,4,5,6-pentabromoethylbenzene (PBEB) are used for the prevention of flame propagation instead of the banned BFRs in a various polymers (McGrath et al. 2017). HBB is used in the following fields: plastics, textiles, woods, paper, electrical and manufactured products (Covaci et al. 2011). It is obtained from deca-BDEs and other PBDEs heat degradation (Trabalón et al. 2016). PBEB is a flame retardant additive particularly used in polyester thermoset resins (circuit boards, textiles, adhesives, wire and cable coatings, polyurethane foam) (Ezechiáš et al. 2014). DBDPE is used in the same way as Deca-BDE, i.e. as an additive to various polymeric materials such as high-impact polystyrene (HIPS), acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), polypropylene, and textiles such as cotton and polyester (Lee et al. 2014).

Like PBDEs, NBFRs are released from various origins such as manufacturing, waste incineration, recycling facilities and other overall industrial procedures via atmospheric emissions to the environment (McGrath et al. 2017). Their physicochemical properties, pollution and toxicity patterns are generally comparable to those of PBDEs (McGrath et al. 2017). The detection of these new BFRs have been reported in different matrices (Trabalón, et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019). The knowledge on their possible toxicities and environmental fate is still very limited, however some NBFRs have already been shown to be endocrine disruptive (Li et al. 2019).

The presence of naturally produced compounds such as methoxylated PBDEs (MeO-PBDEs) has also been taken into account due to their reported higher toxic potential compared to the parent PBDEs (Weijs et al. 2009; Ben Ameur et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Choo et al. 2018).

Most remarkably, in some marine organisms such as bivalves, salmon and whales, the structural analogs of PBDEs have wide geographic apportionments and slightly higher levels relative to PBDEs parent (Choo et al. 2018), and they can disturb homeostasis of the thyroid hormone, are toxic to nerve tissue, disturb oxidative phosphorylation and alter the synthesis of

estradiol (Choo et al. 2018). These substances are not known to have identified anthropogenic origins (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Biogenic production through the metabolism of PBDEs or natural synthesis through a biobromination process has been proposed as their origin (Kierkegaard et al. 2004; El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-PBDEs are synthesised naturally by sponges or algae in the marine ecosystem (Ben Ameer et al. 2011; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The primary sources of human exposure to POPs are skin uptake, inhalation and ingestion of polluted food (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Among all aliments, seafood is one of the primary sources of pollutants, although fish goods represent only about 10% or less of a standard diet (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Gastropod mollusks are mostly used as sentinel organisms of organic contamination in aquatic ecosystems because they are recognized to bioaccumulate these substances (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Govaerts et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018), giving a time-integrated indication of environmental pollution, plus an accurate data on the prospective public health impacts of seafood ingestion (Grilo et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The Lagoon of Bizerte (Northern Tunisia) is an environmentally important region (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, in this region, several local aquatic organisms live, feed and die, and many of the pelagic species are breeding there (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Furthermore, this lagoon is subjected to many anthropogenic stresses, including population growth, industrial operations (cement factory, metallurgical enterprise, shipyard, plants for tyre production ...), plus marine and commercial harbors for shipping (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The banks of the lagoon were also used as waste open dump sites (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The direct and indirect releases of municipal and industrial waste and runoff contribute to the chemical pollution of the lagoon by multiple harmful chemicals (El Megdiche et al. 2017) like as organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), organohalogen compounds like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), PBDEs and their methoxylated analogs in fish, mussel, clams and in urchins (Barhoumi et al. 2014; Ben Ameer et al. 2011, El Megdiche et al. 2017) and heavy metals (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Despite the report of the existence of these pollutants, no studies focused on the accumulation of organobrominated pollutants originated from synthetic and natural sources in gastropod molluscs from the Bizerte Lagoon are available. In addition, recent informations are very scarce on the occurrence of the non-PBDE BFRs in Tunisian coastal regions. In fact, only one

study had interested on the evaluation of non-PBDE BFR levels in Bizerte Lagoon sea urchin (Mekni et al. 2019).

To provide more information on the pollution of the Bizerte Lagoon and to assess the potential hazards to shellfish consumers, this work evaluated the residue concentrations of persistent organobrominated compounds in a particular murex species (*Hexaplex trunculus*) among its edible marine species. The criteria of selection of this species are the following: previous use as sentinel species for chemical surveillance, broad distribution in the surveilled area, simple sampling, broad commercial spread, and thus a reliable indication of human exposure to the pollutants studied. In addition, this work is the first to be concerned in assessing the concentrations of PBDE, MeO-BDE, HBB, PBEB and DBDPE in Tunisian aquatic ecosystem gastropods. In addition, this research is the first to be concerned in assessing the concentrations of PBDE, MeO-BDE, HBB, PBEB and DBDPE in Tunisian aquatic ecosystem gastropods.

Materials and methods

Study area

The lagoon of Bizerte is Tunisia's second biggest lagoon. It is situated in a very considerable economic part of northern Tunisia (latitude, 37°80'–37°14'N; longitude, 9°46'–9°56'E) (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Its area and mean depth are respectively of 128 km² and 7 m (El Megdiche et al. 2017). It connects with the Mediterranean Sea through a 7 km long channel to the north and through the Tinja River to the south with the Ichkeul Lake (El Megdiche et al. 2017). **Wild** murex samples were obtained from 4 different sites of the Bizerte Lagoon (Fig. 1). They were chosen based on potential variations in amounts of contamination and bivalve presence. Menzel Jemil Station (S1), **37°13'04N, 9°54'46E**, is situated close a mussel farming zone and gets steady discharge from Menzel Jemil City's urban runoff and sewerage; it also gets agricultural effluents from several manufacturing units (textile and electronic industries) situated in Menzel Jemil city (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Menzel Abderrahmen Station (S2), **37°13'43N, 9°51'46E**, is situated close to a town of 10,000 residents, bordered by industrial units (textile, electronic and metallurgical industries). The sampling site gets steady constant influx of untreated effluents (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The Chaara station (S3), **37°13'90, N 9°49'49E**, is situated close the cement factory and is affected by the intensive traffic of fishing boats and urban waste water from Zarzouna and Bizerte towns. (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The Chanel station (S4), **37°15'68N, 9°51'54E**, is located in a highly populated region under

intense sea traffic, with big cement works and oil refineries being grouped together (Lafabrie et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Sample collection

Murex (*Hexaplex trunculus*) were sampled during the month of February 2010 from the 4 investigated areas. According El Megdiche et al. (2017) and in order to reduce inter-individual variability, each composite sample consisted of at least 150 murex of homogeneous size (53–35 mm shell length) collected from each sampling site (El Megdiche et al. 2017). After collection, samples were treated as described by El Megdiche et al. (2017). In fact, from each investigated area we prepared three pools of 50 murex. After deshelling murex and the homogenization of their soft tissues, they were stored at -20°C before the freeze drying process. The lyophilized murex samples were kept at -20 °C before analysis.

Chemicals

The target alternative BFRs (HBB, PBEB and DBDPE) were obtained from Wellington Laboratories Inc. (Guelph, ON, Canada). The standard mixture of 40 PBDE congeners (BDE-1, BDE-2, BDE-3, BDE-7, BDE-8, BDE-10, BDE-11, BDE-12, BDE-13, BDE-15, BDE-17, BDE-25, BDE-28, BDE-30, BDE-32, BDE-33, BDE-35, BDE-37, BDE-47, BDE-49, BDE-66, BDE-71, BDE-75, BDE-77, BDE-85, BDE-99, BDE-100, BDE-116, BDE-118, BDE-119, BDE-126, BDE-138, BDE-153, BDE-154, BDE-155, BDE-166, BDE-181, BDE-183, BDE-190 and BDE-209, the standard mixture of 8 MeO-PBDEs (5-MeO-BDE-47, 6-MeO-BDE-47, 4'-MeO-BDE-49, 2'-MeO-BDE-68, 5'-MeO-BDE-99, 5'-MeO-BDE-100, 4'-MeO-BDE-101 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103) as well as the ¹³C₁₂-BDE-209 were provided by Wellington Laboratories Inc.(Guelph, ON, Canada). All necessary solvents for the analysis of the studied chemicals were provided by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Sample preparation

The analysis of the selected substances was carried out following the method adopted by Barón et al. (2014a). 1 g of lyophilized sample was spiked with 5 ng of ¹³C-PBDEs and 50 ng of ¹³C-BDE-209 and kept overnight for equilibration. After equilibration step, the sample was extracted by the pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) by loading it into an 11 mL extraction cell. Furthermore, the extract was evaporated and after the gravimetric determination of the lipid content, it was cleaned up on two steps: fat remove using H₂SO₄ (conc.) followed by purification with SPE using alumina cartridges (AL-N, 5 g). Finally the eluate was concentrated to incipient dryness and reconstituted in 40 µL of toluene.

Instrumental analysis

The determination of the investigated substances in extracts were carried out using an Agilent 7890C gas chromatograph connected to an Agilent 5975A Network mass spectrometer, working in negative chemical ionization mode (NCI) using NH_4^+ as reagent gas. (Barón et al. 2013). The instrument operating conditions were used as described by Barón et al. (2014a).

Quality assurance and quality control

The quality assurance of samples was done as detailed by Baron et al. (2014a). Procedural blanks revealed the no detection of analytes of interest. The detection and quantification confirmation criteria for the studied compounds were carried out according to those reported by Barón et al. (2014a).

The used adopted methodology leads to recoveries comprised between 46 and 90% for the studied compounds. Relative standard deviation values (RSD) were $<$ than 10% for all substances which indicates that method reproductibility is satisfactory. For the quantification of the studied compounds, a multi-level calibration curves were done and good linearity was obtained ($R^2 > 0.995$). The instrumental limits of detection (LODs) determined as three times the signal to noise ratio (Trabalón, et al. 2017), varied from 0.07 to 0.74 ng g^{-1} lipid weight (lw) for PBDEs and from 0.1 to 0.2 ng g^{-1} lw for MeO-PBDEs. Concerning HBB, PBEB and DBDPE LODs were respectively 0.06, 0.06 and 1.06 ng g^{-1} lw. The instrumental limits of quantification (LOQs) calculated as ten times the signal to noise ratio (Ben Ameer, et al. 2011), varied between 0.23 and 2.50 ng g^{-1} lw for PBDEs and between 0.3 and 0.6 ng g^{-1} lw for MeO-PBDEs. For HBB, PBEB and DBDPE, LOQs were respectively 0.20, 0.20 and 3.53 ng g^{-1} lw.

Estimated daily intake and risk evaluation

For the estimation of the risk for the local residents, through the consumption of murex in Bizerte, we have used the methodology reported by Ni et al. (2012) and Staskal et al. (2008) for the calculation of the estimated daily intake (EDI), hazard quotient (HQ) and cancer risk (CR) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

To determinate the EDI, the the International Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology (<http://www.institutdenutrition.rns.tn>) provided us the CR value (27 g day^{-1}) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (SPSS 10.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc.) was used for the statistical treatment of the obtained data. Spearman rank correlation was used for the examination of the relationship between parameters.

Results and discussion

In Table 1 are summarized the concentration range, arithmetic means for PBDEs and MeO-BDEs in soft tissue homogenates of murex. These investigated compounds were detected in all the samples from the four studied sites, showing their ubiquity in the studied coastal area.

7 BDEs (BDE-28, 47, 99, 154, 153, 183 and 209) were present as predominant pollutants among the 40 studied PBDE congeners, with detection frequencies of 100, 100, 17, 56, 83, 83 and 50% respectively. Only 5 congeners with a detection frequency of 100% for 6-MeO-BDE-47, 2'-MeO-BDE-68 and 5-MeO-BDE-100 and 83% for 4-MeO-BDE-103 were detected for MeO-PBDE compounds.

Concerning NBFRs, no compounds from the three analyzed compounds was detected in any of the study shellfish species, which is in accordance with current results from other studies (Papachlimitzou et al. 2012; Barón et al. 2013, 2014; Mekni et al. 2019). In deed, when non-PBDE BFRs were detected in biota, their levels were lower than those of PBDEs (Munschy et al. 2011; Trabalón et al. 2016). Mean concentrations of the sum of PBDEs and sum of the MeO-PBDEs were 187 ng g⁻¹ lipid weight (lw) and 264 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively.

The pollution level of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs depends of the localization of investigated sites. In fact, murex from S2 have the highest concentration of PBDEs (269 ng g⁻¹ lw), whilst samples from this site showed the lowest MeO-PBDE levels (129 ng g⁻¹ lw). The concentrations of PBDE in S2 were significantly higher than in S3 and S4 (Mann–Whitney, P<0.05), whereas the concentrations of MeO-PBDE in S4 were significantly higher than in S1, S2 and S3 (Mann–Whitney, P<0.05). Consequently, It can be hypothesized that murex from station S2 were more subjected to PBDEs exposition than those obtained from S1, S2 and S3 stations. More, the existence of significant variations in the sum of MeO-BDE concentrations between S4 and the other three stations may lead to the hypothesis that murex from station S4 were more impacted by MeO-BDEs than those sampled from S1, S2 and S3.

The low sum of PBDE concentrations in S4 compared to those from S2 may be explained by its proximity to the sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017), where this area is characterized by significant water exchanges and by the sediment resuspension phenomena (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The industrial development and population growth could be responsible of the high

PBDE levels in S2 compared to the other stations. Furthermore, the important variations in PBDE concentrations between S2 and the remaining stations could be clarified by sources variation, hydrodynamic circumstances, turbidity, or the existence of organic matter (river inputs) at various sampling locations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Effluents from textile and electronic mills and from local wastewater discharges are the most evident sources of PBDEs (El Megdiche et al. 2017). More, some urban agglomeration releases from untreated effluents into rivers, particularly from the cities of Mrezig and Guenich, situated respectively in the north-west and southeast could be another possible origins of PBDEs contamination in the Bizerte Lagoon (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, other towns located near the lagoon, Bizerte, Zarzouna, Menzel Jemil, Menzel Abderrahmen and Menzel Bourguiba have sewage facilities, but the treated wastewater from the treatment plants (Bizerte and Menzel Bourguiba) are released to the lagoon and this may lead to its contamination by PBDEs (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The high MeO-PBDE concentrations in station S4 compared to the remaining sites could be due to its proximity to the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, MeO-PBDEs did not have a recognized anthropogenic origin, so the spatial distribution of these chemicals was not associated with industrial operations and the population in the towns near the studied stations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The sampling sea area is a potential influencing factor (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Stations S1 and S2 are situated in the inner part of the lagoon, far from the ocean and severely influenced by the the surrounding continent (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Consequently, their water temperature and salinity differ significantly from that of oceans (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Station S4 is a point of return between the lagoon of Bizerte and the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Since most MeO-PBDEs in oceans have been considered as marine natural products, various marine environments may lead to distinct concentrations of MeO-PBDEs in biota (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Therefore, the samples collected from S4 station were influenced by the Mediterranean Sea (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This reason could explain why the sum of MeO-PBDEs concentration value in samples obtained from this site was higher than those from S1, S2 and S3 stations.

PBDE pattern

In Fig. 2, in each studied site, the contribution of each PBDE congener to the sum of PBDEs is provided. In the four investigated sites, very comparable PBDE patterns were observed for murex samples. In all the analyzed samples, among the 7 detected congeners, BDE-47 was the prevalent. It contributed 56%, 63%, 34% and 40% of $\sum$ PBDEs respectively for S1, S2, S3 and

S4. The prevalence of this congener in the current study was in accordance with other results reported in seafood samples in other studies (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

For the other remaining congeners, their corresponding abundance was the following: BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-154 > BDE-153 > BDE-99 in S1. The relative prevalence of PBDEs in S2 was as follows: BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-153/BDE-154 > BDE-99 > BDE-209. While, the relative prevalence of the other principal congeners in S3 was the following: BDE-183 > BDE-154 > BDE-153 > BDE-28 > BDE-209. Finally, their relative abundance in S4 station was BDE-183 > BDE-28 > BDE-153 > BDE-28/BDE-154 > BDE-209.

In the Bizerte Lagoon murex, the lack of BDE-99 and -100 detection is consistent with prior research performed in this aquatic environment and showing their absence in mussels and clams as well (Barhoumi et al. 2014 ; El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, this finding is similar to those reported in Senegal and Norway gastropods (Bodin et al. 2011 ; Govaerts et al. 2108) and in Chilean clams (Barón et al. 2013). Indeed, these two congeners were identified in the tissues of gastropods from China, Japan, Korea and North Carolina (Hu et al. 2010; La Guardia et al. 2012; Byun et al. 2013; Kobayashi et al. 2015).

Usually, PBDEs were manufactured at three distinct levels of bromination, i.e. mixtures of Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The formulation of Penta-BDE consists of 41-42% tetra-BDEs (principally BDE-47) and 44-45% penta-BDEs (principally BDE-99 and BDE-100), while the formulation of Deca-BDE consists largely of BDE-209 (97-98%), with a low quantity of nona-BDEs (0.3-3%) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

In soft tissues of the Bizerte Lagoon murex, percentages of tri-, tetra-, penta-, hexa-, hepta- and deca-BDE congeners in soft tissues of the Bizerte Lagoon murex were 7, 48, 1, 18, 25 and 0.4 percent respectively. The cause for the composition of found PBDE congeners is unknown in this study. Hinge on the detection of BDE-99, BDE-183, BDE-209 in addition to the high percentage of BDE-47 and on the basis of the PBDE congeners percentage in each commercial mixture, it might be explained by commercial Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE and Deca-BDE formulations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Ben Ameer et al. (2011) and Mekni et al. (2019) demonstrated the detection of BDE-100 in fish muscle and sea urchin sampled from Bizerte Lagoon which is a component of the Penta-BDE formulation structure. The comparatively higher concentration of BDE-47 found in this study could explain the reduced amount of BDE-99 owing to its debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017), caused by microorganisms and/or endogenous enzymatic structures, and the widespread use of the mixture of Penta-BDE rather than the others (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In this work, the comparatively elevated

percentage of BDE-28 in this study may be due to the debromination of higher brominated congeners (El Megdiche et al. 2017). However, it might also have been affected by conversion and debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017). For example, the conversion of BDE-99 into BDE-47 and BDE-183 into BDE-154 has been demonstrated in common carp (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

It has been demonstrated by other studies that microbial reductive debromination or photochemical degradation may contribute to BDE-47 formation (El Megdiche et al. 2017). There is a lack of data on the PBDE metabolism and transformation with regard to shellfish.

An absence of accumulation of BDE-99 by a few field-collected fish species has also been shown (El Megdiche et al. 2017). PBDE debromination has been proposed within fish tissues leading to significant accumulation of less brominated congeners (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This could indicate that body burdens of PBDE may reflect both direct uptake from more extremely brominated congeners exposure and debromination (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The most probable reason for no BDE-100 congeners identified in the shellfish species of this work is no uptake from exposure (El Megdiche et al. 2017). A previous study performed by Jin et al. (2008) has shown that BDE-99, -100, -154, -153, -183 congeners are not contained in the manufacturing Deca-BDE mixtures from the Shangdong province (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Results from this test show that BDE congeners in some shellfish tissues undergo a previously unknown pathway of biotransformation (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The no identification of BDE-100 could be occur from the absence of release from the products which they contain and from the absence of their photodegradation added to their metabolization by murex and the absence of their uptake from exposure (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In fact, in samples of sediment and bivalve, PBDEs distribution, ensembles with commercial formulations of Penta and Octa-BDE that can be entered into the coastal ecosystem through atmospheric deposition and shipment operations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). It has been shown that major congeners of commercial Penta- and Octa-BDE mixtures (BDE-47, -99, -100, -154, -153, and -183) have been revealed to be susceptible to simple discharge and disintegration of goods such as polyurethane foams, paints, and clothing that could contribute to their elevated emission levels in the into the surrounding area (El Megdiche et al. 2017). In addition, PBDE congeners are distributed between the gaseous and particle phases after their release into the ecosystem (El Megdiche et al. 2017). They then undergo atmospheric degradation and/or long-range transport to regions outside the dismantling location for e-waste (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Furthermore, it stays unclear

whether the main reason for the no identification of BDE-99 is its accumulation, the removal side of the bioaccumulation phase, the product's release and disintegration capabilities, or physiochemical degradation (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Despite the variations in PBDE concentrations, tetra- and penta-congeners appear to prevail globally in environmental samples (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The principal congener in the Deca-BDE formulation (BDE-209), was identified in samples from the four studied stations. BDE-209 murex levels from the investigated lagoon were lower than the other congeners of PBDEs whereas high BDE-209 levels were revealed in aquatic seafood (Barón et al. 2013; Moon et al. 2007; Munschy et al. 2015). This outcome is similar to those showed by Sudaryanto et al. (2009), Barton et al. (2012), Liu et al. (2014) and El Megdiche et al. (2017). It has been noted that higher brominated congeners are mainly linked to particulate organic carbon due to their high log K_{ow} values (El Megdiche et al. 2017). *Murex trunculus*, as a carnivorous, and feed on bivalves and other gastropods, could accumulate these contaminants from these preys. In this work, the presence of the higher brominated congeners may be due to their presence from the preys ingestion in their gut due to non-depuration of murex prior to shucking (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

The BFR concentrations in literature in seafood samples is sparse and most of the published data displayed PBDE concentrations in ng/g wet weight (ww), leading to a difficulty for the comparison with our outcomes, expressed in ng/g lw (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

In Table 2 are indicated the BDE concentrations reported throughout the world in some gastropod samples. In murex from the Bizerte Lagoon, the measured concentrations of PBDE congeners are lower than those recorded in gastropods from other marine ecosystems throughout the world such as China (Wang et al. 2011) and North of Carolina (La Guardia et al. 2012). The concentrations though are higher than those determined in seafood, from Congo (Verhaert et al. 2013), China (Hu et al. 2010; She et al. 2013; Du et al. 2107; Yin et al. 2107), Korea (Byun et al. 2013) and Japan (Kobayashi et al. 2015). The obtained levels were within the same range as those recorded in other Norwegian (Govaerts et al. 2108) and North China (Hu et al. 2010) gastropods.

MeO-BDE pattern

Only 4 congeners among the eight studied MeO-PBDEs were identified in the murex specimens at quantifiable concentrations and which are: 6-MeO-BDE-47, 2'-MeO-BDE-68, 5'-MeO-BDE-100 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103 (Table 1). The main abundant MeO-BDE

compounds represented by 6-MeO-BDE-47 and 2'-MeO-BDE-68 were identified in all the examined samples with a mean total level of 196, 121, 246 and 431 ng g⁻¹ lw respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4.

2'-MeO-BDE-68 congener percentage contribution to the total MeO-PBDE concentrations was 63, 62, 58 and 62 respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4 stations (Fig. 3). Although the 6-MeO-BDE-47 congener contribution to the total MeO-PBDE concentrations was 29%, 31%, 35% and 34% respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4. The total amount of 5'-MeO-BDE-100 and 4'-MeO-BDE-103 was 8%, 6%, 7% and 4% of the sum of all MeO-PBDEs detected in S1, S2, S3 and S4 respectively.

MeO-BDE-47 and 2-MeO-BDE-68 are the principal predominant MeO-PBDEs identified based on the literature (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Indeed, it has been reported that these two congeners have the higher contributions in Canadian, Chinese and Chilean mollusk species (Kelly et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2010; Barón et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013; Yin et al. 2015). Nevertheless, other congeners have been sometimes reported (El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-tetra-PBDE concentrations generally appear to be higher than MeO-tri or MeO-penta-PBDE concentrations (El Megdiche et al. 2017). MeO-PBDE profiles revealed in this study were also comparable to those reported in other works assessing these compounds in bivalves and aquatic invertebrates (Barón et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2010; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

Published data on MeO-BDE concentration in gastropod specimens is rare, so the comparison of the obtained results with those cited in literature is difficult. The sum of MeO-PBDEs detected levels in murex from the lagoon of Bizerte (mean: 264 ng g⁻¹ lw, range: 129-449) were higher than those in bivalves from Chinese coastal areas (3.63 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Sun et al. 2013), from Liaodong Bay (15.9 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Zhang et al. 2010), from the coast of Concepcion (Chile) (3.5-49 ng g⁻¹ lw), from the Canadian Arctic (mean: 14 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Kelly et al. 2008) and in Baltic Sea bivalve sampled in 2011 (87.8 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Dahlberg et al. 2016). Our levels were in the same range also with those in Baltic Sea bivalve collected in 2008 (160–420 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Löfstrand et al. 2011) and lower than those of the Bizerte Lagoon urchins (364 ng g⁻¹ lw) (Mekni et al. 2019).

Potential source of MeO-PBDEs

In this present study, murex sampled from S3 and S4 and which located near the Mediterranean Sea contained higher concentration of MeO-BDEs than those from sites

located far from the Mediterranean (S1 and S2), moreover concentrations of PBDEs were higher in stations S1 and S2. According to these observations, it is suggested that, rather than PBDEs biotransformation, at least some of the MeO-BDEs in the studied biota are originated from natural sources (Wang et al. 2011).

In fact, the fact that the two congeners of MeO-BDEs which are prevalent (2'-MeO-BDE-68 and 6-MeOBDE-47) in Bizerte Lagoon murex have been demonstrated to be natural products produced by marine organisms rather than metabolism supports also this hypothesis (Wang et al. 2011).

As reported by Vetter (2006), a higher percentage of 2'-MeO-BDE-68 to that of the sum of MeOBDEs might reveal sponges as the dominant source of MeO-PBDEs, whereas a higher contribution of 6-MeO-BDE-47 would indicate algae as the main source of MeO-PBDEs (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). Therefore, in samples of each studied area the ratio between these two chemical compounds which have natural origin (2'-MeOBDE-68/6-MeO-BDE-47) was determined. Mean values of this quotient were 2.15, 1.98, 1.66 and 1.86 respectively in S1, S2, S3 and S4. Thus, and based to data reported by Vetter (2006), the murex obtained from Bizerte Lagoon would be contaminated MeO-PBDEs predominantly from sponges.

In order to have more ideas about the potential origins of MeO-PBDEs in murex, the statistical link between PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were investigated. In this study, no significant correlations between the sum of MeO-PBDEs and of PBDEs for the four investigated sites was revealed (S1: $r_s = 0.23$, $p > 0.05$; S2: $r_s = 0.18$, $p > 0.05$; S3: $r_s = 0.30$, $p > 0.05$; S4: $r_s = 0.28$, $p > 0.05$), which indicates that MeO-PBDEs did not originate principally from PBDEs. In addition, there was no correlation between BDE-47 and 6-MeO-BDE-47 concentrations in samples for the four areas (S1: $r_s = 0.12$, $p > 0.05$; S2: $r_s = 0.20$, $p > 0.05$; S3: $r_s = 0.15$, $p > 0.05$; S4: $r_s = 0.25$, $p > 0.05$). The lack of significant correlation between these two congeners was also revealed in Chinese fresh water fish (Zhou et al. 2016), in the Bizerte Lagoon fish and clams (Ben Ameer et al. 2011, 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017), in the Baltic Sea mussels (Dahlberg et al. 2016) and from Swedish water pikes (Kierkegaard et al. 2004). Based on this outcome, it can be hypothesized that the 6-MeO-BDE-47 accumulation does not originate from step I of BDE-47 conversion (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). These obtained results were in accordance to those published for bivalves from other studies (Kelly et al. 2008; Ben Ameer et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

At last, all the outcomes recorded in this study contribute to the assumption that MeO-PBDEs detected in the investigated shellfish were originated primarily from accumulation by natural sources in marine environments rather than from PBDE biotransformation (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Risk assessment of human exposure

The EDI of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs were estimated from the consumption of the selected shellfish species for the general population in Bizerte (Northern Tunisia) (Table 3) to give an indication of the magnitude of exposure through *Murex trunculus* intake to the distinct pollutants. In addition, to assess the prospective health danger linked with the ingestion of this gastropod species from the Lagoon of Bizerte, HQ and CR were also calculated.

The sum of EDI of BDE-47, BDE-99, BDE-153, BDE-209, total PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs are presented in Table 3. The assessed EDI values of PBDEs for residents at S1, S2, S3 and S4 was respectively of 0.28, 0.40, 0.25 and 0.19 ng/kg /day based on the average body weight of native citizens. Concerning MeO-PBDEs, based on EDI values in S1, S2, S3 and S4, the EDI could be considerate as the main human exposure route to PBDEs in the town of Bizerte, which is consistent with results recorded by prior works investigated on fish, clams and urchins from the Bizerte Lagoon (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

For the studied pollutants in this work, data on literature about their dietary intakes is very limited. In this present study, the calculated dietary intake values of PBDEs, with an average value of 19.7 ng/day, were higher than those recorded in fish and shellfish from Catalonia, Spain (30.7 ng/day) (Bocio et al. 2003), in fish and shellfish from Belgium (59.5 ng/day) (Sioen et al. 2008), in Japan fish from South Korea (65.9 ng/day) (Sunggyu et al. 2013), in fish and shellfish from Shanghai (41 ng/day) (Yu et al. 2011). EDI values of PBDEs from Bizerte murex is in the same range with those obtained for fish and seafood from Dutch (van Leeuwen and de Boer 2008), for urchins from Bizerte Lagoon (24.6 ng/day) (Mekni et al. 2019), for Italian fish and seafood (20.9 ng/day) (Martellini et al. 2016), for Chinese seafood (15.9-17.6 ng/day) (Guo et al. 2010), for Finlandese fish (23 ng/day) (Kiviranta et al. 2004), for Swedish fish (23 ng/day) (Darnierud et al. 2006), for fish and fish products from Sweden (19.3 ng/day) (Törnkvist et al. 2011) and for Italian fish and mollusc (20.3 ng/day) (Martellini et al. 2016). Nevertheless, the value of their dietary intake was higher than those determined in Spain's clam (0.02 ng / day) and mussels (0.34 ng / day) (Domingo et al. 2006) and Bizerte Lagoon's clams (7.04 ng / day) (El Megdiche et al. 2017).

Concerning MeO-PBDEs, the mean value of dietary intake calculated in the present study (19.8 ng/day; range 8.03-27.5 ng/day) was in the same range to those reported in spanish seafood (15.4 ng/day) (Trabalón et al. 2017) and in fish from the Bizerte Lagoon (28.6 ng/day) (Ben Ameer et al. 2013). However, it is higher than those recorded in the Bizerte Lagoon clams (2 ng/day) (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Hong Kong fish (35–301 ng/day) (Wang et al. 2011) and lower than assessed in sea urchin from the Bizerte Lagoon (227 ng/day) (Mekni et al. 2019).

Due to the extremely limited data about toxicological and epidemiological information related to PBDEs, assessing the prospective health risk of PBDEs remains a defiance (Ben Ameer et al. 2013; El Megdiche et al. 2017). Actually, just the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 1 mg/kg/day, hinge on the most delicate endpoints of toxic impacts of PBDEs (Bocio et al. 2003; Darnerudet al. 2001) and **Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry** (ATSDR)-derived **Minimal Risk Levels** (MRLs) with respective values of 0.007 and 10 mg / day for lower brominated congeners and Deca-BDE (El Megdiche et al. 2017) were proposed. Thus, the hazard assessment related to PBDEs was conducted in this study just for PBDE congeners (BDE-47,-153 and 209) having an available reference dose value.

In the current study, the sum of PBDEs dietary intake for adults (0.19–0.4 ng/kg bw/day) is considerably lower than the proposed LOAEL and the oral MRLs (El Megdiche et al. 2017). The possible PBDEs health hazard from murex intake in Bizerte is thus regarded to be limited based on present toxicological data (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This outcome is in line with those stated by Ben Ameer et al. (2013); Meng et al. (2007); Guo et al. (2010) and by El Megdiche et al. (2017).

As far as the hazard quotient is concerned, values superior to 1 reveal possible hazard of exposure for humans (El Megdiche et al. 2017). Based on the HQ values recorded in the present work (Table 3) and which are all well below 1.0, it could be suggested that the exposition hazard of citizens to PBDEs via the ingestion of murex in the region of Bizerte is limited (El Megdiche et al. 2017). This result is in accordance with those stated in Italian fish and mussels (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in sea food from China (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Hong Kong fish (El Megdiche et al. 2017), in Bizerte Lagoon seafood (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

In this study, the cancer hazard related to the presence of BDE-209 was also evaluated hinge on the method described by Staskal et al. (2008). The obtained CR values varied from 0.00 to 1.90×10^{-9} , with an average value of 6.38×10^{-10} . These outcomes were a little lower than

those stated for Bizerte clams and urchins (Mekni et al. 2019) and higher than those reported in China and in Italy (Mekni et al. 2019).

Finally, it may be hypothesized that no negative health impacts are related to the exposition of the resident population to PBDE bioaccumulated in Bizerte Lagoon murex soft tissues through murex consumption based on the obtained results (El Megdiche et al. 2017; Mekni et al. 2019).

Conclusion

This study is the first reporting BFR levels in gastropod mollusks in Tunisian aquatic ecosystems. Both classic PBDEs and novel BFRs in addition to the natural compounds of MeO-PBDEs were investigated in Bizerte lagoon using a biological matrix which is murex (*Hexaplex trunculus*).

Polybromodiphenyl ethers and their methoxylated analogs were found in all murex specimens collected from the Bizerte Lagoon. The obtained levels were in the same range to or relatively higher than those stated for other organisms from other aquatic ecosystems across the world. However, emerging BFRs were not detected in any of the samples.

No hazards for the citizen's health were related to the accumulation of PBDEs via the murex ingestion based on several available guidelines.

Over all, this work presents a regional data evaluation of the incidence and concentrations of organohalogen flame retardants in murex and proves the role of *Hexaplex trunculus* as bioindicator species able to differentiate between numerous amounts of environmental pollution, which confirms its use in monitoring strategies.

Acknowledgments

The Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CEMAGUA-CGL2007-64551/HID) and the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research supported this study. The authors are thankful to R. Chaler, D. Fangul and M. Comesaña for their help at the mass spectrometry work.

References

- Asante KA, Adu-Kumi S, Nakahiro K, et al (2011) Human exposure to PCBs, PBDEs and HBCDs in Ghana: Temporal variation, sources of exposure and estimation of daily intakes by infants. *Environ Int* 37:921–928. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.03.011
- Aznar-Alemany Ò, Trabalón L, Jacobs S, et al (2017) Occurrence of halogenated flame

- retardants in commercial seafood species available in European markets. *Food Chem Toxicol* 104:35–47. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2016.12.034
- Barhoumi B, Menach KL, Clérandeau C, et al (2014) Assessment of pollution in the Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia) by the combined use of chemical and biochemical markers in mussels, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Mar Pollut Bull* 84:379–390. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.05.002
- Barón E, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2014a) Gas chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous analysis of 19 brominated compounds in environmental and biological samples. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 406:7667–7676. doi: 10.1007/s00216-014-8196-7
- Barón E, Máñez M, Andreu AC, et al (2014b) Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of emerging and classical flame retardants in bird eggs of 14 species from Doñana Natural Space and surrounding areas (South-western Spain). *Environ Int* 68:118–126. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2014.03.013
- Barón E, Rudolph I, Chiang G, et al (2013) Occurrence and behavior of natural and anthropogenic (emerging and historical) halogenated compounds in marine biota from the Coast of Concepcion (Chile). *Sci Total Environ* 461–462:258–264. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.006
- Bartrons M, Grimalt JO, Mendoza G de, Catalan J (2012) Pollutant Dehalogenation Capability May Depend on the Trophic Evolutionary History of the Organism: PBDEs in Freshwater Food Webs. *PLOS ONE* 7:e41829. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041829
- Ben Ameer W, Ben Hassine S, Eljarrat E, et al (2011) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and their methoxylated analogs in mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) from Bizerte Lagoon, Tunisia. *Mar Environ Res* 72:258–264. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.09.009
- Ben Ameer W, El Megdiche Y, Eljarrat E, et al (2013) Organochlorine and organobromine compounds in a benthic fish (*Solea solea*) from Bizerte Lagoon (northern Tunisia): Implications for human exposure. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 88:55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2012.10.021
- Ben Garali A, Ouakad M, Gueddari M (2010) Contamination of superficial sediments by heavy metals and iron in the Bizerte lagoon, northern Tunisia. *Arab J Geosci* 3:295–306. doi: 10.1007/s12517-009-0082-9
- Bocio A, Llobet JM, Domingo JL, et al (2003a) Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Foodstuffs: Human Exposure through the Diet. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:3191–3195. doi: 10.1021/jf0340916
- Bocio A, Llobet JM, Domingo JL, et al (2003b) Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Foodstuffs: Human Exposure through the Diet. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:3191–3195. doi: 10.1021/jf0340916
- Bodin N, N’Gom Ka R, Le Loc’h F, et al (2011) Are exploited mangrove molluscs exposed to Persistent Organic Pollutant contamination in Senegal, West Africa? *Chemosphere* 84:318–327. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.012

- Byun G-H, Moon H-B, Choi J-H, et al (2013) Biomagnification of persistent chlorinated and brominated contaminants in food web components of the Yellow Sea. *Mar Pollut Bull* 73:210–219. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.05.017
- Choo G, Kim DH, Kim UJ, et al (2018) PBDEs and their structural analogues in marine environments: fate and expected formation mechanisms compared with diverse environments. *J Hazard Mater* 343:116–124. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.026
- Covaci A, Harrad S, Abdallah MA-E, et al (2011) Novel brominated flame retardants: A review of their analysis, environmental fate and behaviour. *Environ Int* 37:532–556. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2010.11.007
- Dahlberg A-K, Bignert A, Legradi J, et al (2016) Anthropogenic and naturally produced brominated substances in Baltic herring (*Clupea harengus membras*) from two sites in the Baltic Sea. *Chemosphere* 144:2408–2414. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.134
- Darnerud P O, Eriksen G S, Jóhannesson T, et al (2001) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers: occurrence, dietary exposure, and toxicology. *Environ Health Perspect* 109:49–68. doi: 10.1289/ehp.01109s149
- Darnerud PO, Atuma S, Aune M, et al (2006) Dietary intake estimations of organohalogen contaminants (dioxins, PCB, PBDE and chlorinated pesticides, e.g. DDT) based on Swedish market basket data. *Food Chem Toxicol* 44:1597–1606. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2006.03.011
- de la Cal A, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2003) Determination of 39 polybrominated diphenyl ether congeners in sediment samples using fast selective pressurized liquid extraction and purification. *J Chromatogr A* 1021:165–173. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2003.09.023
- Domingo JL, Bocio A, Falcó G, Llobet JM (2006) Exposure to PBDEs and PCDEs Associated with the Consumption of Edible Marine Species. *Environ Sci Technol* 40:4394–4399. doi: 10.1021/es060484k
- El Megdiche Y, Ameer WB, Bèchir H, et al (2017) Anthropogenic (PBDE) and naturally-produced (MeO-PBDE) brominated compound levels in Bizerte Lagoon clams (*Ruditapes decussatus*): Levels and human health risk assessment. *Mar Pollut Bull* 125:176–185. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.08.005
- Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2018) How do measured PBDE and HCB levels in river fish compare to the European Environmental Quality Standards? *Environ Res* 160:203–211. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2017.09.011
- Eljarrat E, de la Cal A, Raldua D, et al (2004) Occurrence and Bioavailability of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers and Hexabromocyclododecane in Sediment and Fish from the Cinca River, a Tributary of the Ebro River (Spain). *Environ Sci Technol* 38:2603–2608. doi: 10.1021/es0301424
- Ezechiáš M, Covino S, Cajthaml T (2014) Ecotoxicity and biodegradability of new brominated flame retardants: A review. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 110:153–167. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.030

- Govaerts A, Verhaert V, Covaci A, et al (2018) Distribution and bioaccumulation of POPs and mercury in the Ga-Selati River (South Africa) and the rivers Gudbrandsdalslågen and Rena (Norway). *Environ Int* 121:1319–1330. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.10.058
- Guerra P, Alae M, Jiménez B, et al (2012) Emerging and historical brominated flame retardants in peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) eggs from Canada and Spain. *Environ Int* 40:179–186. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.07.014
- Guo J, Wu F, Shen R, Zeng EY (2010) Dietary intake and potential health risk of DDTs and PBDEs via seafood consumption in South China. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 73:1812–1819. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.08.009
- Hassine SB, Ameer WB, Gandoura N, Driss MR (2012) Determination of chlorinated pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human milk from Bizerte (Tunisia) in 2010. *Chemosphere* 89:369–377. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.035
- Hu G, Dai J, Xu Z, et al (2010) Bioaccumulation behavior of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the freshwater food chain of Baiyangdian Lake, North China. *Environ Int* 36:309–315. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2010.01.002
- Jin J, Liu W, Wang Y, Yan Tang X (2008) Levels and distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in plant, shellfish and sediment samples from Laizhou Bay in China. *Chemosphere* 71:1043–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.11.041
- Kelly BC, Ikonomou MG, Blair JD, Gobas FAPC (2008) Bioaccumulation behaviour of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in a Canadian Arctic marine food web. *Sci Total Environ* 401:60–72. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.045
- Kierkegaard A, Bignert A, Sellström U, et al (2004) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and their methoxylated derivatives in pike from Swedish waters with emphasis on temporal trends, 1967–2000. *Environ Pollut* 130:187–198. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2003.12.011
- Kiviranta H, Ovaskainen M-L, Vartiainen T (2004) Market basket study on dietary intake of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, and PBDEs in Finland. *Environ Int* 30:923–932. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2004.03.002
- Kobayashi J, Imuta Y, Komorita T, et al (2015) Trophic magnification of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in an estuarine food web of the Ariake Sea, Japan. *Chemosphere* 118:201–206. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.08.066
- La Guardia MJ, Hale RC, Harvey E, et al (2012) In Situ Accumulation of HBCD, PBDEs, and Several Alternative Flame-Retardants in the Bivalve (*Corbicula fluminea*) and Gastropod (*Elimia proxima*). *Environ Sci Technol* 46:5798–5805. doi: 10.1021/es3004238
- La Nutrition en Tunisie - Informations générales [WWW Document], n.d. URL <http://www.institutdenutrition.rns.tn/> (accessed 6.8.19)
- Labandeira A, Eljarrat E, Barceló D (2007) Congener distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in feral carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) from the Llobregat River, Spain. *Environ Pollut*

- 1
2 Lafabrie C, Hlaili AS, Leboulanger C, et al (2013) Contaminated sediment resuspension
3 induces shifts in phytoplankton structure and function in a eutrophic Mediterranean
4 lagoon. *Knowl Manag Aquat Ecosyst* 410:5(16). doi:10.1051/kmae/2013060
5
6
7 Lacorte S, Ikononou MG, Fischer M (2010) A comprehensive gas chromatography coupled
8 to high resolution mass spectrometry based method for the determination of
9 polybrominated diphenyl ethers and their hydroxylated and methoxylated metabolites
10 in environmental samples. *J Chromatogr A* 1217:337–347. doi:
11 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.11.024
12
13
14 Lee S, Kannan K, Moon H-B (2013) Assessment of exposure to polybrominated diphenyl
15 ethers (PBDEs) via seafood consumption and dust ingestion in Korea. *Sci Total*
16 *Environ* 443:24–30. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.099
17
18
19 Leeuwen SPJ van, Boer J de (2008) Brominated flame retardants in fish and shellfish – levels
20 and contribution of fish consumption to dietary exposure of Dutch citizens to HBCD.
21 *Mol Nutr Food Res* 52:194–203. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.200700207
22
23
24 Li X, Dong S, Wang R, et al (2019) Novel brominated flame retardant (NBFR) concentrations
25 and spatial distributions in global fishmeal. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 170:306–313. doi:
26 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.11.112
27
28
29 Liu X, Jiao Y, Lin C, et al (2014) PBDEs, hydroxylated PBDEs and methoxylated PBDEs in
30 bivalves from Beijing markets. *Chemosphere* 110:97–103. doi:
31 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.019
32
33
34 Liu Y, Liu J, Yu M, et al (2018) Hydroxylated and methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl
35 ethers in a marine food web of Chinese Bohai Sea and their human dietary exposure.
36 *Environ Pollut* 233:604–611. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.105
37
38
39 Martellini T, Diletti G, Scortichini G, et al (2016) Occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl
40 ethers (PBDEs) in foodstuffs in Italy and implications for human exposure. *Food*
41 *Chem Toxicol* 89:32–38. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2015.12.026
42
43
44 McGrath TJ, Ball AS, Clarke BO (2017) Critical review of soil contamination by
45 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and novel brominated flame retardants
46 (NBFRs); concentrations, sources and congener profiles. *Environ Pollut* 230:741–
47 757. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.009
48
49
50 Mekni S, Barhoumi B, Aznar-Alemany Ò, et al (2019) Occurrence of halogenated flame
51 retardants in sediments and sea urchins (*Paracentrotus lividus*) from a North African
52 Mediterranean coastal lagoon (Bizerte, Tunisia). *Sci Total Environ* 654:1316–1325.
53 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.139
54
55
56 Meng X-Z, Zeng EY, Yu L-P, et al (2007) Assessment of Human Exposure to
57 Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in China via Fish Consumption and Inhalation.
58 *Environ Sci Technol* 41:4882–4887. doi: 10.1021/es0701560
59
60
61 Moon H-B, Kannan K, Lee S-J, Choi M (2007) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in
62 sediment and bivalves from Korean coastal waters. *Chemosphere* 66:243–251. doi:
63
64
65

- 1
- 2 Munschy C, Olivier N, Veyrand B, Marchand P (2015) Occurrence of legacy and emerging
- 3 halogenated organic contaminants in marine shellfish along French coasts.
- 4 Chemosphere 118:329–335. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.106
- 5
- 6
- 7 Ni H-G, Ding C, Lu S-Y, et al (2012) Food as a main route of adult exposure to PBDEs in
- 8 Shenzhen, China. Sci Total Environ 437:10–14. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.056
- 9
- 10 Papachlimitzou A, Barber JL, Losada S, et al (2012) A review of the analysis of novel
- 11 brominated flame retardants. J Chromatogr A 1219:15–28. doi:
- 12 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.11.029
- 13
- 14
- 15 She Y-Z, Wu J-P, Zhang Y, et al (2013) Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- 16 and several alternative halogenated flame retardants in a small herbivorous food chain.
- 17 Environ Pollut 174:164–170. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2012.11.024
- 18
- 19
- 20 Sioen I, Bilau M, Verdonck F, et al (2008) Probabilistic intake assessment of polybrominated
- 21 diphenyl ethers and omega-3 fatty acids through fish consumption. Mol Nutr Food
- 22 Res 52:250–257. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.200700109
- 23
- 24 Staskal DF, Scott LLF, Haws LC, et al (2008) Assessment of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether
- 25 Exposures and Health Risks Associated with Consumption of Southern Mississippi
- 26 Catfish. Environ Sci Technol 42:6755–6761. doi: 10.1021/es800613k
- 27
- 28
- 29 Substance Priority List | ATSDR [WWW Document], 2018. URL
- 30 <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/spl/index.html> (accessed 6.8.19)
- 31
- 32
- 33 Sudaryanto A, Setiawan IE, Ilyas M, et al Levels of Brominated Flame Retardants in
- 34 Sediments and Their Bioaccumulation Potential in Biota from Jakarta Bay and Its
- 35 Surroundings, Indonesia. 7
- 36
- 37 Sun J, Liu J, Liu Y, Jiang G (2013) Hydroxylated and methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl
- 38 ethers in mollusks from Chinese coastal areas. Chemosphere 92:322–328. doi:
- 39 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.042
- 40
- 41
- 42 Tao L, Zhang Y, Wu JP, et al (2019) Biomagnification of PBDEs and alternative brominated
- 43 flame retardants in a predatory fish: Using fatty acid signature as a primer. Environ Int
- 44 127:226–232. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.03.036
- 45
- 46
- 47 Törnkvist A, Glynn A, Aune M, et al (2011) PCDD/F, PCB, PBDE, HBCD and chlorinated
- 48 pesticides in a Swedish market basket from 2005 – Levels and dietary intake
- 49 estimations. Chemosphere 83:193–199. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.042
- 50
- 51
- 52 Trabalón L, Vilavert L, Domingo JL, et al (2017) Human exposure to brominated flame
- 53 retardants through the consumption of fish and shellfish in Tarragona County
- 54 (Catalonia, Spain). Food Chem Toxicol 104:48–56. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2016.11.022
- 55
- 56 Verhaert V, Covaci A, Bouillon S, et al (2013) Baseline levels and trophic transfer of
- 57 persistent organic pollutants in sediments and biota from the Congo River Basin (DR
- 58 Congo). Environ Int 59:290–302. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2013.05.015
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

- 1 Wang HS, Du J, Ho KL, et al (2011) Exposure of Hong Kong residents to PBDEs and their
2 structural analogues through market fish consumption. J Hazard Mater 192:374–380.
3 doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.05.036
- 4 Weijs L, Das K, Neels H et al (2009) Levels and profiles of persistent organic pollutants in
5 several tissues of harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Black Sea.
6 Organohalogen Compounds 71 :432-436
- 7 Yin G, Asplund L, Qiu Y, et al (2015) Chlorinated and brominated organic pollutants in
8 shellfish from the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:1713–
9 1722. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3198-8
- 10 Yin G, Zhou Y, Strid A, et al (2017) Spatial distribution and bioaccumulation of
11 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in
12 snails (*Bellamya aeruginosa*) and sediments from Taihu Lake area, China. Environ Sci
13 Pollut Res 24:7740–7751. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8467-x
- 14 Yu Y-X, Huang N-B, Zhang X-Y, et al (2011) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in food and
15 associated human daily intake assessment considering bioaccessibility measured by
16 simulated gastrointestinal digestion. Chemosphere 83:152–160. doi:
17 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.049
- 18 Zaccaroni A, Andreini R, Franzellitti S, et al (2018) Halogenated flame retardants in stranded
19 sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) from the Mediterranean Sea. Sci Total
20 Environ 635:892–900. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.147
- 21 Zhang K, Wan Y, An L, Hu J (2010) Trophodynamics of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and
22 methoxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a marine food web. Environ Toxicol
23 Chem 29:2792–2799. doi: 10.1002/etc.334
- 24 Zhen X, Tang J, Xie Z, et al (2016) Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and alternative
25 brominated flame retardants (aBFRs) in sediments from four bays of the Yellow Sea,
26 North China. Environ Pollut 213:386–394. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.02.042

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Map showing sampling areas

Fig. 2 PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig.3 Meo-PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig. 1 Map showing sampling areas

- ☆ Sampling sites
- ▲ Sources

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.49", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.49", Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Line spacing: single

Fig. 2 PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig. 3 Meo-PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig. 1 Map showing sampling areas

- ☆ Sampling sites
- ▲ Sources

Fig. 2 PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Fig. 3 Meo-PBDEs congener profiles in Bizerte Lagoon murex

Table 1 Organobrominated compound levels (ng g⁻¹ lw) in soft tissues of Bizerte Lagoon *Hexaplex trunculus*

	S1			S2			S3			S4		
	(n = 150)			(n = 150)			(n = 150)			(n = 150)		
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range
Lipid (%)	0.34	0.07	0.27-0.39	0.25	0.03	0.23-0.29	0.33	0.05	0.32-0.36	0.23	0.12	0.11-0.34
BDE-28	14.7	4.41	11.2-19.7	16.2	1.31	14.7-16.9	6.30	1.41	5.39-7.92	11.4	6.97	10.2-48.9
BDE-47	105	29.8	72.3-130	171	36.3	135-207	56.5	12.81	45.3-70.5	50.5	29.7	48.9-52.1
BDE-99	5.63	9.76	nd-16.9	7.69	13.3	nd-23.1	nd			nd		
BDE-153	4.47	7.75	nd-13.4	11.5	2.72	8.34-13.2	19.6	2.79	17.8-22.8	24.2	14.1	23.9-24.8
BDE-154	9.88	7.54	nd-16.6	11.0	11.1	nd-22.2	25.9	5.34	22.5-32.0	11.8	9.70	6.32-19.1
BDE-183	48.8	43.4	nd-83.0	51.5	25.1	26.1-76.2	56.3	9.08	50.3-66.7	26.5	17.9	18.9-35.8
BDE-209	nd			0.33	0.58	nd-1.00	0.29		nd-0.46	1.80	0.19	1.66-2.02
ΣPBDEs	189	34.7	143-224	269	56.1	206-313	165	30.8	143-200	126	6.75	120-133
6-MeO-BDE-47	62.3	9.72	51.9-71.2	40.7	5.36	36.7-46.8	92.4	2.26	89.8-93.7	154	35.6	123-193
2'-MeO-BDE-68	133	15.8	115-143	80.6	9.87	72.5-91.6	153	32.6	116-174	277	12.9	263-288
5-MeO-BDE-100	14.0	4.12	11.1-18.7	5.61	1.42	4.00-6.67	14.5	2.00	12.9-16.7	14.0	4.12	11.2-11.9
4-MeO-BDE-103	3.15	5.45	nd-9.44	2.42	0.17	2.31-2.62	3.37	0.47	3.09-3.91	3.75	1.53	2.45-5.43
ΣMeO-PBDEs	213	31.7	179-242	129	15.9	120-148	264	32.5	226-284	449	45.8	404-495

n, number of samples; *nd*, not detected; *SD*, standard deviation

Table 2 PBDE concentrations in gastropod samples across the world

Area	Biota	PBDEs	Unit	Reference
Congo River Basin	<i>Pila</i> sp	0.04	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Verhaert et al. (2013)
Norway	<i>Lymnaea</i> sp	0.38	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Govaerts et al. (2108)
Zhejiang Province, China	Apple snail	(6.7-78.1)	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Wang et al. (2011)
Tai Lake, China	Pond snail	(6.2-100)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Du et al. (2107)
Dianshan Lake Yangze delta, China	Pond snail	5.5	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Du et al. (2107)
Bayangdian Lake, North China	River snail	0.35	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Hu et al. (2010)
Yellow Sea, Korea	Arthritic Neptune, fusiform whelk and Kaneko volute	(2.3-5.5)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Byun et al. (2013)
Yackin River, North Carolina	<i>Elimia proxima</i>	47 200	ng g ⁻¹ lw	La Guardia et al. (2012)
Taihu Lake area, China	<i>Bellamya aeruginosa</i>	49 (19-84)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Yin et al. (2107)
Ariake Sea, Japan	<i>Certithidea rhizophorarum</i>	31	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Kobayashi et al. (2015)
South China	<i>Ampullariidae</i> (apple snail)	52 (41-270)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	She et al. (2013)
Bayangdian Lake, China	<i>Viviparus river</i> (snail)	8.8	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Hu et al. (2010)
Tunisia	Murex	187 (126-269)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Present study
		0.73 (0.49-1.05)	ng g ⁻¹ ww	

The bracket values correspond to the range of levels
ww: wet weight

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Table 3 National mean exposures for pollutants in Murex and the estimated daily intakes (EDIs) of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs through *Hexaplex trunculus* by Bizerte citizens (Northern Tunisia)

Compounds	(50 th MEC)				(95 th MEC)				50 th HR				95 th HR				EDI			
	(ng/g wet wt.)				(ng/g wet wt.)												(ng/kg /day)			
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4
PBDEs	0.78	1.12	0.59	0.49	0.86	1.21	0.76	0.52									0.28	0.40	0.25	0.19
MeO-PBDEs	0.67	0.28	0.94	0.97	0.86	0.33	1.00	1.49									0.28	0.11	0.34	0.39
BDE-47	0.44	0.66	0.21	0.20	0.50	0.79	0.27	0.20	1.70E ⁻⁰³	0.80E ⁻⁰³	0.80E ⁻⁰³		1.90E ⁻⁰³	3.10E ⁻⁰³	1.00E ⁻⁰³		0.16	0.26	0.08	0.08
BDE-99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	3.00E ⁻⁰⁴	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
BDE-153	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.97E ⁻⁰⁴	1.40E ⁻⁰⁴	1.80E ⁻⁰⁴	1.00E ⁻⁰⁴	1.00E ⁻⁰⁴	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
BDE-209	0.00	0.00	1.5E ⁻⁰³	0.01	0.00	3.52E ⁻⁰³	1.78E ⁻⁰³	0.01	0.00	0.00	8.42E ⁻⁰⁷	3.73E ⁻⁰⁶	0.00	1.90E ⁻⁰⁶	1.00E ⁻⁰⁶	4.30E ⁻⁰⁶	0.00	5.03E ⁻⁰⁴	4.30E ⁻⁰⁴	2.71E ⁻⁰³

48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

50th MEC, 50th percentile measured concentrations; 95th MEC, 95th percentile measured concentrations
HR, Hazard ratio; EDI, Estimated daily intake

Table 1 Organobrominated compound levels (ng g⁻¹ lw) in soft tissues of Bizerte Lagoon *Hexaplex trunculus*

	S1			S2			S3			S4		
	(n = 150)			(n = 150)			(n = 150)			(n = 150)		
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range
Lipid (%)	0.34	0.07	0.27-0.39	0.25	0.03	0.23-0.29	0.33	0.05	0.32-0.36	0.23	0.12	0.11-0.34
BDE-28	14.7	4.41	11.2-19.7	16.2	1.31	14.7-16.9	6.30	1.41	5.39-7.92	11.4	6.97	10.2-48.9
BDE-47	105	29.8	72.3-130	171	36.3	135-207	56.5	12.81	45.3-70.5	50.5	29.7	48.9-52.1
BDE-99	5.63	9.76	nd-16.9	7.69	13.3	nd-23.1	nd			nd		
BDE-153	4.47	7.75	nd-13.4	11.5	2.72	8.34-13.2	19.6	2.79	17.8-22.8	24.2	14.1	23.9-24.8
BDE-154	9.88	7.54	nd-16.6	11.0	11.1	nd-22.2	25.9	5.34	22.5-32.0	11.8	9.70	6.32-19.1
BDE-183	48.8	43.4	nd-83.0	51.5	25.1	26.1-76.2	56.3	9.08	50.3-66.7	26.5	17.9	18.9-35.8
BDE-209	nd			0.33	0.58	nd-1.00	0.29		nd-0.46	1.80	0.19	1.66-2.02
ΣPBDEs	189	34.7	143-224	269	56.1	206-313	165	30.8	143-200	126	6.75	120-133
6-MeO-BDE-47	62.3	9.72	51.9-71.2	40.7	5.36	36.7-46.8	92.4	2.26	89.8-93.7	154	35.6	123-193
2'-MeO-BDE-68	133	15.8	115-143	80.6	9.87	72.5-91.6	153	32.6	116-174	277	12.9	263-288
5-MeO-BDE-100	14.0	4.12	11.1-18.7	5.61	1.42	4.00-6.67	14.5	2.00	12.9-16.7	14.0	4.12	11.2-11.9
4-MeO-BDE-103	3.15	5.45	nd-9.44	2.42	0.17	2.31-2.62	3.37	0.47	3.09-3.91	3.75	1.53	2.45-5.43
ΣMeO-PBDEs	213	31.7	179-242	129	15.9	120-148	264	32.5	226-284	449	45.8	404-495

n, number of samples; *nd*, not detected; *SD*, standard deviation

Table 2 PBDE concentrations in gastropod samples across the world

Area	Biota	PBDEs	Unit	Reference
Congo River Basin	<i>Pila</i> sp	0.04	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Verhaert et al. (2013)
Norway	<i>Lymnaea</i> sp	0.38	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Govaerts et al. (2108)
Zhejiang Province, China	Apple snail	(6.7-78.1)	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Wang et al. (2011)
Tai Lake, China	Pond snail	(6.2-100)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Du et al. (2107)
Dianshan Lake Yangze delta, China	Pond snail	5.5	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Du et al. (2107)
Bayangdian Lake, North China	River snail	0.35	ng g ⁻¹ ww	Hu et al. (2010)
Yellow Sea, Korea	Arthritic Neptune, fusiform whelk and Kaneko volute	(2.3-5.5)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Byun et al. (2013)
Yackin River, North Carolina	<i>Elimia proxima</i>	47 200	ng g ⁻¹ lw	La Guardia et al. (2012)
Taihu Lake area, China	<i>Bellamya aeruginosa</i>	49 (19-84)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Yin et al. (2107)
Akita Sea, Japan	<i>Certithidea rhizophorarum</i>	31	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Kobayashi et al. (2015)
South China	<i>Ampullariidae</i> (apple snail)	52 (41-270)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	She et al. (2013)
Bayangdian Lake, China	<i>Viviparus river</i> (snail)	8.8	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Hu et al. (2010)
Tunisia	Murex	187 (126-269)	ng g ⁻¹ lw	Present study
		0.73 (0.49-1.05)	ng g ⁻¹ ww	

The bracket values correspond to the range of levels
ww: wet weight

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Table 3 National mean exposures for pollutants in Murex and the estimated daily intakes (EDIs) of PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs through *Hexaplex trunculus* by Bizerte citizens (Northern Tunisia)

Compounds	(50 th MEC)				(95 th MEC)				50 th HR				95 th HR				EDI			
	(ng/g wet wt.)				(ng/g wet wt.)												(ng/kg /day)			
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4	S1	S2	S3	S4
PBDEs	0.78	1.12	0.59	0.49	0.86	1.21	0.76	0.52									0.28	0.40	0.25	0.19
MeO-PBDEs	0.67	0.28	0.94	0.97	0.86	0.33	1.00	1.49									0.28	0.11	0.34	0.39
BDE-47	0.44	0.66	0.21	0.20	0.50	0.79	0.27	0.20	1.70E ⁻⁰³	0.80E ⁻⁰³	0.80E ⁻⁰³		1.90E ⁻⁰³	3.10E ⁻⁰³	1.00E ⁻⁰³		0.16	0.26	0.08	0.08
BDE-99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	3.00E ⁻⁰⁴	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
BDE-153	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.97E ⁻⁰⁴	1.40E ⁻⁰⁴	1.80E ⁻⁰⁴	1.00E ⁻⁰⁴	1.00E ⁻⁰⁴	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	2.00E ⁻⁰⁴	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
BDE-209	0.00	0.00	1.5E ⁻⁰³	0.01	0.00	3.52E ⁻⁰³	1.78E ⁻⁰³	0.01	0.00	0.00	8.42E ⁻⁰⁷	3.73E ⁻⁰⁶	0.00	1.90E ⁻⁰⁶	1.00E ⁻⁰⁶	4.30E ⁻⁰⁶	0.00	5.03E ⁻⁰⁴	4.30E ⁻⁰⁴	2.71E ⁻⁰³

48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

50th MEC, 50th percentile measured concentrations; 95th MEC, 95th percentile measured concentrations
HR, Hazard ratio; EDI, Estimated daily intake

Click here to access/download
Supplemental Information
response to reviewers and editor comments.docx