Effects of combining electron-beam or gamma irradiation treatments with further storage under modified atmospheres on the bioactive compounds of *Tuber melanosporum* truffles

Eva Tejedor-Calvo^{1,2}, Diego Morales², Pedro Marco¹, María Eugenia Venturini³, Domingo Blanco³, Cristina Soler-Rivas¹

¹ Forest Resources Department, Agrifood Research and Technology Centre of Aragon (CITA), Agrifood Institute of Aragón–IA2 (CITA-Zaragoza University), Zaragoza, Spain.

² Department of Production and Characterization of Novel Foods, Institute of Food Science Research –CIAL (UAM–CSIC)

³ Plant Food Research Group, Department of Food Technology, University of Zaragoza–IA2 (Zaragoza University-CITA), Zaragoza, Spain.

Keywords: gamma irradiation, electron-beam irradiation, black truffle, *T. melanosporum*, bioactive compounds.

Abbreviations: DF, dietary fibres; GC-FID-MS, Gas chromatography coupled to flame ionization detector and mass spectrometry; HPLC-DAD, High performance liquid chromatography coupled to diode array detector.

*Corresponding author:

Pedro Marco Montori

pmarco@unizar.es

Abstract

The effects of electron-beam or gamma irradiation (both applied at 1.5 kGy and 2.5 kGy) and subsequent storage under modified atmospheres (35 d at 4 °C) were evaluated on total carbohydrates, chitins, β -glucans, proteins, total phenols, sterols and ergocalciferol concentrations of *Tuber melanosporum* ascocarps. Irradiation procedures reduced chitin and protein concentrations and modified total phenol levels depending on the dose and irradiation type utilized. Further storage of irradiated truffles maintained the levels of all determined compounds unchanged except for the phenolic compounds and ergosterol levels that increased probably due to their yeast colonization after 21 d storage. Therefore, irradiation plus storage under modified atmosphere packings could be used as a preservation method to extent truffles quality and shelf life, but it is only recommended up to 21 d. The lower irradiation doses are encouraged to diminish protein degradation.

1. Introduction

The ascocarps or fruiting bodies of *Tuber melanosporum* are commonly known as 'black', 'winter' or 'Perigord' truffles. Their particular combination of volatile compounds make them one of the most prized gourmet foods worldwide (Culleré et al., 2010). However, besides the compounds providing flavour, they also contain others with beneficial properties for human health. Truffles such as *Terfezia clavayi*, *Tirmania nivea*, *Tirmania pinoyi* and *Tuber aestivum* showed antioxidant, antiviral, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective, anti-mutagenic and anti-inflammatory properties. *T. melanosporum* contains bioactive compounds that also seemed to contribute to those biological activities and perhaps to others that are still not evaluated (Patel et al., 2017; Vamanu et al., 2018). Ergosterol (ergosta-5,7,22-trienol) and brassicasterol (ergosta-5,22-dienol) represented respectively 60 and 40 % of *T. melanosporum* sterols (Harki et al., 1996). Ergosterol and its derivatives are involved in a wide range of health-promoting properties, such as antioxidant (Shao, Hernandez, Kramer, Rinker & Tsao 2010), anti-inflammatory (Kuo et al., 2011), hypolipidemic (Hu et al., 2006), and hypocholesterolemic (Gil-Ramírez & Soler-Rivas, 2014) activities. *T. melanosporum* also contain bioactive polysaccharides in large quantities such as β -glucans and chitins as they are constitutive compounds from its peridium cell walls (Saidali-Savy et al., 1992). Fungal β -glucans showed antioxidative, immunomodulatory and hypocholesterolemic activities despite other less studied (Gil-Ramírez et al., 2014; Gil-Ramírez et al., 2017). Chitins are considered as dietary fibre and are precursors of chitosans with many other biological activities (Patel & Goyal, 2017). Moreover, the black truffle contains homogentisic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde and many other phenolic compounds that apparently showed a

protective effect against human diseases such as cancer or cardiovascular diseases (Villares et al., 2012).

On the other hand, black truffles are highly perishable mainly because they should be harvested mature to obtain the desirable organoleptic properties, and they are picked from grounds with high microbial and pests loads (Rivera et al., 2011b). Therefore, post-harvest storage of fresh black truffles to preserve principally their aroma is a significant concern (Rivera et al., 2010). Modified atmosphere packaging significantly extends the shelf-life of truffles reducing the weight loss, maintaining the typical hard texture, delaying the development of mycelium growth, and enabling good scores for aroma and flavour (Rivera et al., 2010b). Innovative preservation technologies such as gamma and electron-beam ionizing radiations, combined with modified atmosphere packaging, were tested with promising results since a significant reduction of the microorganisms present in the peridium was achieved (Rivera et al., 2011b). Their effects were not limited to the *T. melanosporum* surface, they could penetrate deeper and eliminate microorganisms maintaining their organoleptic characteristics almost unmodified (Rivera et al., 2011b). Similar studies were also carried out on summer truffles (*T. aestivum*) with positive effects using beta and gamma ionizing irradiations. However, the effect of these irradiation treatments on *T. melanosporum* bioactive compounds was not studied in detail. Therefore, in this work, the effect of beta and gamma rays (both at 1.5 and 2.5 kGy doses) combined with modified atmosphere was followed during 35 d at 4 °C on the levels of molecules such as chitins, β -glucans or ergosterol, with interesting biological properties.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Biological material

T. melanosporum ascocarps were collected at Sarrión woods (Teruel, Spain), identified, selected and processed as described by Rivera et al. (2011a).

2.2 Reagents

Solvents such as hexane (95%), chloroform (HPLC grade), methanol (HPLC grade), acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were obtained from LAB-SCAN (Gliwice, Poland) and absolute ethanol, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain). Potassium hydroxide (KOH), ascorbic acid, 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-*p*-cresol (BHT), bovine serum albumin (BSA), acetylacetone, *p*-dimethylaminebenzaldehyde, HCl (37%), phenol, as well as hexadecane, ergosterol (95%), D-glucose, D-glucosamine hydrochloride, gallic acid, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain). All other reagents and solvents were used of analytical grade.

2.3. Irradiation treatments and packaging for storage

Irradiation with electron-beam and gamma rays from Cobalt-60 was carried out as described in Rivera et al. (2011b) to obtain doses of 1.5 and 2.5 kGy in both cases. After irradiation, truffles were placed in polypropylene trays (50 g per tray) heat-sealed with a microperforated film Amcor-PPlus (Amcor Flexibles, Ledbury, UK), with two microperforations of 9 x 5 x 10⁻⁵ m per package and stored at 4 °C during 35 d as indicated in Rivera et al. (2011b). Control non-irradiated samples were packaged and stored under the same conditions. After those 35 d, truffles were freeze-dried, powdered and stored at -20 °C until further use.

2.4 Carbohydrates determinations

Total carbohydrate concentration of control and irradiated truffles was determined by the phenol-sulphuric acid method adapted from Dubois et al. (1956) as indicated in Morales et al. (2018). A standard curve of D-glucose was used for quantification.

Chitin content was determined according to Smiderle et al. (2017). Briefly, samples were hydrolysed with 6 M HCl at 100 °C for 2 h and adjusted to pH 10.0 afterwards they were allowed to cool down. Then, hydrolysed samples (2.5×10^{-4} L) were treated as described by Rementeria et al. (1991). Samples absorbance was determined using an Evolution 600 UV-vis (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Spain) spectrophotometer at 530 nm. A glucosamine hydrochloride standard curve was used for quantification.

The β -glucan content of the truffle samples (5×10^{-5} g) was evaluated by a β -glucan determination kit specific for mushrooms and yeasts (Megazyme®, Biocom, Barcelona, Spain) following the instructions of the user's manual.

2.5 Total phenol and protein determination

Total protein concentration of the irradiated and control samples (10 g L^{-1}) was evaluated using the Bradford method reagents (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) according to the Instruction Manual. BSA was used as a standard for protein quantification.

The phenolic compounds from truffles (0.01 g) were evaluated as to their total phenol concentration determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method according to the procedure of Ramírez-Anguiano et al. (2007). Gallic acid was used as a standard for quantification.

2.6 Ergosterol and ergocalciferol determination

Truffles irradiated with electron-beam and gamma rays were saponified using the procedure described by Gil-Ramírez et al. (2013). Afterwards, obtained unsaponified fractions (6 g L^{-1}) were injected into an Agilent HP-5ms capillary column ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm i.d.}$ and $0.25 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ phase thickness) from a 7890A System *GC-MS-FID* (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The injection, detection and temperature program were those described by Gil-Ramírez et al. (2013). Ergosterol was used as a standard and hexadecane (10% v/v) as an internal standard for ergosterol and derivative compounds quantification.

Identification and quantification of vitamin D₂ (ergocalciferol) were carried out using C₁₈ Spherisorb OD52 4 x 250 mm analytical column with a 5 x 10⁻⁶ m particle size (Waters, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) coupled to an HPLC system (ProStar 330, Varian, Madrid, Spain) with a photodiode array (DAD) detector (ProStar 363 module, Varian, Madrid). The unsaponified fractions (5 g L⁻¹) were dissolved in the mobile phase (95% methanol, v/v), injected (1 x 10⁻⁵ L) and developed isocratically under a constant flow (1 x 10⁻³ L min⁻¹).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Differences were evaluated at a 95% confidence level ($P \leq 0.05$) using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's Multiple Comparison test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

3. Results and discussion

T. melanosporum ascocarps were submitted to different irradiation procedures and doses, and afterwards, they were stored for 35 d inside modified atmosphere packages simulating storage conditions before their commercialization or consumption.

3.1 Effect of irradiation treatments

The black truffle contained 33 % (w/w) total carbohydrates where 20.7 % of them were β -glucans, and 12.2 % were chitins, indicating that *T. melanosporum* contained more polysaccharides than other lower molecular weight sugars such as oligo- or mono-saccharides (Table 1). According to previous studies, carbohydrates levels in black truffle ranged from 8 – 30 % (Harki et al., 2006). Thus, the truffles utilized contained a high carbohydrate concentration, particularly if compared to other species such as *Tuber aestivum* that showed 5.6 % carbohydrates, *Tuber magnatum* (2.2 %) or *Tuber borchii* (3.6 %) (Saltarelli et al., 2008). Chitin content was also slightly higher than other truffle species such as *T. aestivum* (10.6 %) (Vetter & Kruzselyi, 2014) or many edible

mushrooms (3 – 9 %) (Gil-Ramírez & Soler-Rivas, 2014) probably because truffles ascocarps show a harder texture than mushroom fruiting bodies. Moreover, β -glucan levels were found within the range of many mushrooms species (5 – 48 %) (Gil-Ramírez & Soler-Rivas, 2014) although higher than truffles from other genus as their dietary fibres (DF) levels were 4 % in *Terfezia clavaryi* (Bokhary & Parvez, 1993) and 7.4 % in *Terfezia nıvea* (Sawaya et al., 1985), and usually the fungal DF content includes mainly β -glucans and chitins. Total protein and phenolic contents were higher than indicated for *T. melanosporum* in other studies (0.87 g kg^{-1} (Saltarelli et al., 2008) and 1.20 g kg^{-1} (Villares et al., 2012)), but the different environmental conditions or developmental stages might be the reason for the differences.

When the truffle ascocarps were submitted to irradiation with electron-beam or gamma rays, no significant changes were noticed in their total carbohydrates or β -glucans levels compared to non-irradiated controls (Table 1). However, a marked reduction on chitin levels (almost 2 fold lower) was noticed independently of the dose or type of irradiation utilized. Gamma irradiation induced changes in chitins structure facilitating its extraction, N-deacetylation and transformation into chitosan (Tahtat et al., 2007). They partially degraded the polymers generating breaking down products of lower molecular weight and higher solubility (Mahlous et al., 2007). It is believed that the carbohydrates degradation occurs by breaking the glycosidic bonds, leading to the formation of lower molecular mass sugars (glucose, maltose, erythrose, ribose and mannose) and formation of carbonyl groups or double bonds (Xu et al., 2007). This, might explain that the total carbohydrate content remained unchanged after the irradiation procedures since β -glucans remained unchanged.

Gamma irradiation was also responsible for the partial depolymerisation of mushroom β -glucans, decreasing the average molecular weight with increasing doses (from 0.5 up to

50 kGy) (Khan et al., 2015). However, with the doses applied in this work, no significant changes were observed in β -glucans content. Apparently, truffle β -glucans are resistant to these milder treatments. The application of high irradiation doses caused loss of firmness but mainly resulted from the decrease in chitin levels as noticed. The depolymerization of chitin and loss of total sugar or some combination were also pointed when higher doses were used (Jian et al., 2010).

A decrease in protein content was observed after both irradiation treatments compared to non-irradiated control. No significant differences between doses were noticed when gamma irradiation was applied but, they were significative with electron-beam irradiation. In the latter case, protein degradation was more pronounced with a higher dose. The noticed reduction could be due to proteins fragmentations, denaturalization and precipitation as noticed in other studies particularly, with high irradiation doses (Urbain, 1986). *T. melanosporum* proteins are rich in sulphur amino acids (Harki et al., 2006) forming disulphide bonds to maintain their ternary structure and they are particularly sensitive to the free radicals generated after irradiation (Ibarz, 2008). Similar results were also noticed when mushrooms (*Boletus edulis* and *Russula delica*) were submitted to electron-beam irradiation (Fernandes et al., 2014).

Irradiation also influenced phenolic compound levels. A slight increase was noticed with the lower e-beam irradiation doses dropping down below the non-irradiated levels with the higher doses utilized. When gamma irradiation was applied, only a significant decrease of total phenols was observed with the higher selected dose. Electron-beam irradiation might break down chemical bonds that bind phenolic compounds to other molecules (Allothman et al., 2009) and therefore, releasing of soluble phenols might have occurred with the lower irradiation doses. However, if the dose is high, then degradation might also take place.

Fungal sterols were resistant to the irradiation treatments as not significant changes were noticed in any of the derivatives investigated (Table 2). Ergosterol was the principal sterol found in the *Tuber* genus (as in all mushroom species), although truffles also contained 28 – 44 % brassicasterol (Harki et al., 1996). In genus such as *Terfezia* sp., brassicasterol might reach up to 98 % of total sterols reducing to small amounts their ergosterol levels (Weete et al., 1985). Ergosterol levels in non-irradiated samples were similar to previous studies (1.80 g kg⁻¹) (Villares et al., 2012). However, brassicasterol levels were lower (0.84 g kg⁻¹) (Harki et al., 1996). Other minor sterol derivatives were identified such as ergosta7,22-dienol and 19,19 cyclolanost-7-en-3-ol, but no ergocalciferol was detected. Transformation of ergosterol into vitamin D₂ takes place in the presence of UV-irradiation (Perera et al., 2003) and since truffles are usually buried close to the tree's roots associated with mycorrhizae, no vitamin D₂ was expected in control samples. However, results indicated that other radiation types such as gamma or e-beam irradiation were not inducing ergocalciferol biosynthesis as UV-irradiation does.

3.2 Effect of storage on modified atmosphere packages after irradiations

After irradiation, truffles were packaged in modified atmospheres. The steady-state modified atmosphere conditions were reached after 2 d of storage with CO₂ and O₂ average levels of 8 % CO₂ and 11 % O₂ inside the control packages, 7 % CO₂ and 14 % O₂ for truffles treated with 1.5 kGy e-beam, 9 % CO₂ and 12 % O₂ for 1.5 kGy gamma rays, 8 % CO₂ and 13 % O₂ 2.5 kGy e-beam and 11 % CO₂ and 12 % O₂ for 2.5 kGy gamma rays. A larger CO₂ accumulation and O₂ reduction were detected in the control packages showing significant differences with the rest of the batches (Rivera et al., 2011b). This fact was explained by the decrease in the respiratory activity of irradiated *T. melanosporum* being the larger decrease detected in truffles treated with the higher doses.

The stability of the main components and bioactive compounds was followed during the storage period. Total carbohydrate levels of non-irradiated samples remained constant for almost 21 d and afterwards, a 20 % reduction was noticed after 35 d (Figure 1a). In irradiated samples, carbohydrate concentrations remained stable until the end of the storage period.

When edible mushrooms were irradiated and stored, a reduction on carbohydrate levels was noticed concomitant with the storage time, fruiting bodies of *Hypsizygus marmoreus* irradiated with gamma-rays (0.8 – 2 kGy) showed a reduction of 65 % after 25 days storage under modified atmosphere (Xing et al., 2007). Similarly, irradiated *Agaricus bisporus* (1 – 2 kGy) reduced their content approx. 30 % (Duan et al., 2010) within 16 d therefore, truffles carbohydrates seemed to be less influenced by irradiation and storage than mushrooms.

The stability of carbohydrates levels in irradiated truffles could be because no degradation of their main polysaccharides (chitins (Figure 1b) and β -glucans (Figure 1c)) was noticed during the selected storage time. Similarly, the reduction noticed in non-irradiated samples could be because of the progressive decrease in chitin and β -glucan contents during the storage period. The β -glucan levels in non-irradiated truffles dropped significantly below the concentrations found in all irradiated samples indicating that irradiation, when applied even at the lower doses utilized, helped to maintain this important bioactive compound for longer storing time than without the irradiation treatment. The observed degradation could be related to the excessive microbial colonization occurring in non-irradiated truffles (particularly after 28 d). It could also be responsible (together with the water losses) of the texture depreciation and softening observed by Rivera et al. (2011b) since chitins and β -glucans are the major constituents

of fungal membranes and they are responsible for its structural conformation and firmness.

Protein levels of non-irradiated truffles decreased by an approx. 23 % during the first seven days of storage (Figure 2a). This initial reduction could be related with the metabolic changes that truffles stimulate to survive once they are harvested and their mycelia cannot obtain more nutrients where proteins, as well as complex polysaccharides (particularly chitins, Figure 1b), could be utilized as an energy source. Afterwards, protein levels remained almost unchanged up to 35 d (Figure 2a) which could be explained by the decrease in metabolism that occurs when truffles are stored in modified atmospheres with low O₂ and high CO₂ (Rivera et al., 2010).

Immediately after the irradiation treatments, the protein levels significantly decreased by 23 to 55 % despite depending on dose and irradiation type (see data in Table 1 but remained constant until the end of the storage. It is well-known that the irradiation treatments induced protein denaturation (Urbain, 1986) so this could explain the initial reduction. Moreover, irradiation treatments induce to delay the physiological processes leading to senescence and decreasing the respiration rate (Benoit et al., 2010). In our assay, the respiration rate (RCO₂) was lowered by 19 % and 35 % on truffles treated with 1.5 kGy and 2.5 kGy, respectively (Rivera et al., 2011b), which, together with the inhibitory effect of the modified atmosphere packaging, could contribute to maintaining the protein unchanged during storage. In irradiated samples, the irradiation treatments induced protein denaturation, suggesting that many enzymes involved in the primary metabolism were probably inactivated and therefore, their protein levels might remain unchanged with the influence of the generated modified atmosphere.

These results differed from previous observations reported by Xiong et al. (2009) where a gradual protein degradation of *Pleurotus nebrodensis* was noticed during 22 d storage

of irradiated (cobalt: 0.8 – 2 kGy) and non-irradiated samples under modified atmosphere. Similarly, Jiang et al. (2010) also noticed protein degradation in irradiated (cobalt: 1 – 2.5 kGy) and stored *L. edodes* during 20 d. Perhaps the different shape or cell wall composition of the carpophore might partially protect truffle proteins compare to basidiomycetes fruiting bodies. The perforation of utilized films might also differently modulate the generated atmosphere inhibiting different metabolisms because, Nazzaro et al. (2007) pointed out that lower gamma irradiation doses (1.5 kGy) were more adequate to preserve black truffles than higher doses (2 kGy) since lower protein degradation was noticed (N₂ atmosphere storage). The following results were more in concordance with data presented (Fig. 2a), where protein levels in samples irradiated with the high doses were significantly lower than with milder doses.

Irradiation treatments induced variations on total phenol concentrations not only immediately after irradiation, but also during the storage period (Figure 2b). Non-irradiated truffles maintained the same phenolic compound levels during almost the 35 storing d. Similar behaviour was observed in electron-beam irradiated truffles when 1.5 kGy were applied. However, gamma irradiation and particularly electron-beam irradiation with 2.5 kGy enhanced the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds up to 21 d. In the latter case, an almost three fold higher concentration than its initial levels was noticed. Many phenolic compounds are synthesized when organisms are under stress since they are deriving from the secondary metabolism. Phenols are responsible for many beneficial biological activities acting as protective molecules against irradiation, desiccation, oxidation, pathogens, lack of oxygen, among others. Thus, the increase noticed in irradiated truffles could be a protective answer modulated by the stronger irradiation dose. Similar behaviour was observed when *T. aestivum* was gamma-irradiated with doses higher than 1.5 kGy (Adamo et al., 2004) but different than those observed in *L. edodes*

or *A. bisporus* mushrooms treated with 1.0 kGy (gamma irradiation) and stored in modified atmospheres. Edible mushrooms showed higher levels of total phenolic compounds at lower doses (1.0 kGy) compared to higher doses (2.0 kGy). Jiang et al., (2010) suggest that mushrooms might be more sensitive than truffles to irradiation and later storage under modified atmosphere. The activation of protective phenols might start at lower doses in mushrooms being 2.0 kGy excessive inducing, therefore, phenol degradation.

Independently of the irradiation treatment applied, truffles storage under modified atmosphere packaging induced approx. 50 % reduction in ergosterol levels during the first 7 days coinciding with the atmosphere adjustment until it reached the steady-state (Rivera et al., 2011b). Since ergosterol is utilized as biomarker for proper mycelial growth (Parsi & Górecki, 2006), this reduction might be indicated that the truffle inhibits its growth probably to adapt its metabolism and respiration rate to the new modified environment. However, after 21 d of storage, ergosterol levels seemed to grow slowly but continuously up to the end of the storage time (Table 2). This increase was not noticed for brassicasterol, suggesting that the increase noticed in ergosterol might be due to the yeast and other contaminant molds that were noticed after 21 d by Rivera et al. (2011a). Brassicasterol is present in truffles but not in larger concentrations in mushrooms, molds or yeast, however ergosterol is also the principal sterol of the latter organisms (Tsuji & Fujimoto, 2018). Thus, in this case, brassicasterol could be used as an exclusive biomarker to distinguish between mycelial growth from truffle or from contaminant yeasts. Its concentrations were found constant through the storage time, suggesting that no further truffle growth occurred after the gases equilibrium reached in the storage bags. In truffles irradiated with 2.5 kGy gamma-irradiation, a second reduction on ergosterol

330 levels after 21 d was also noticed, this might indicate that the ascocarp was deteriorating
331 faster than the other truffles irradiated at lower doses or with e-beam.

4. Conclusions

Electron-beam and gamma irradiation (1.5 y 2.5 kGy) of truffles did not modify total carbohydrates, β -glucans and total sterols concentrations, but significantly reduced chitin and protein levels. Total phenol contents were modified differently depending on the irradiation treatment and the utilized dose. Further storage of irradiated truffles under modified atmosphere packages extended their shelf life since no remarkable changes were noticed in their carbohydrate, chitin, β -glucan and protein contents during 35 d while non-irradiated truffles suffered a slight reduction of carbohydrates influenced by a marked β -glucan reduction. Irradiation followed by modified atmosphere storage stimulated biosynthesis of phenolic compounds up to 21 storage days, while their levels in non-irradiated truffles remained constant during the selected storage time. Ergosterol levels decreased during the first seven storage days and afterwards increased, but it might be due to the growth of contaminant molds. Thus, the combination of irradiations plus further storage under modified atmospheres is encouraged a preservation procedure for black truffles but up to approximately 35 storage days, because after this period, irradiated and stored truffles showed higher β -glucan content than non-irradiated and stored truffles. They also showed a higher level of phenolic compounds after 21 d, and if only 1.5 kGy are utilized, a slight reduction were only noticed in the protein levels. Thus, the truffle depreciation observed by Rivera et al. (2011a) in irradiated and stored carpophores starting after 21 storage days. It was mainly due to microbial colonization rather than a deterioration of the main truffle constituents or bioactive compounds.

References

- Adamo, M., Capitani, D., Mannina, L., Cristinzio, M., Ragni, P., Tata, A., & Coppola, R. (2004). Truffles decontamination treatment by ionizing radiation. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 71(1-2), 167–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2004.03.073>.
- Alothman, M., Bhat, R., & Karim, A. A. (2009). Effects of radiation processing on phytochemicals and antioxidants in plant produce. *Trends in food science & technology*, 20(5), 201–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2009.02.003>.
- Benoit, M. A., D'Aprano, G., & Lacroix, M. (2000). Effect of -irradiation on phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity, total phenolic content, and respiration of mushrooms (*Agaricus bisporus*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48, 6312–6316. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000543s>
- Bokhary, H. A., & Parvez, S. (1993). Chemical composition of desert truffles *Terfezia clavaryi*. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 6(3), 285–293. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfca.1993.1031>.
- Culleré, L., Ferreira, V., Chevret, B., Venturini, M. E., Sánchez-Gimeno, A. C., & Blanco, D. (2010). Characterisation of aroma active compounds in black truffles (*Tuber melanosporum*) and summer truffles (*Tuber aestivum*) by gas chromatography–olfactometry. *Food Chemistry*, 122(1), 300–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.02.024>.
- Duan, Z., Xing, Z., Shao, Y., & Zhao, X. (2010). Effect of electron beam irradiation on postharvest quality and selected enzyme activities of the white button mushroom, *Agaricus bisporus*. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 58(17), 9617–9621. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf101852e>.

376 Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. T., & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric
 377 method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical chemistry*, 28(3),
 378 350–356. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017>.
 379 Fernandes, Â., Barreira, J. C., Antonio, A. L., Morales, P., Fernández-Ruiz, V., Martins,
 380 A. & Ferreira, I. C. (2014). Exquisite wild mushrooms as a source of dietary fiber:
 381 Analysis in electron-beam irradiated samples. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 60(2),
 382 855–859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.10.050>.
 383 Gil-Ramírez, A., & Soler-Rivas, C. (2014). The use of edible mushroom extracts as
 384 bioactive ingredients to design novel functional foods with hypocholesterolemic
 385 activities. Chapter 2. In G. Pesti (Ed.), *Mushrooms: Cultivation, antioxidant properties*
 386 *and health benefits* (pp. 43–73). New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
 387 Gil-Ramírez, A., Aldars-García, L., Palanisamy, M., Jiverdeanu, R. M., Ruiz-Rodríguez,
 388 A., Marín, F. R., Reglero, G., & Soler-Rivas, C. (2013). Sterol enriched fractions obtained
 389 from *Agaricus bisporus* fruiting bodies and by-products by compressed fluid technologies
 390 (PLE and SFE). *Innovative food science & emerging technologies*, 18, 101-107.
 391 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2013.01.007>.
 392 Gil-Ramírez, A., Ruiz-Rodríguez, A., Marín, F. R., Reglero, G., & Soler-Rivas, C.
 393 (2014). Effect of ergosterol-enriched extracts obtained from *Agaricus bisporus* on
 394 cholesterol absorption using an in vitro digestion model. *Journal of Functional*
 395 *Foods*, 11, 589–597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014.08.025>.
 396 Gil-Ramírez, A., Smiderle, F. R., Morales, D., Govers, C., Synytsya, A., Wichers, H. J.,
 397 Iacomini, M. & Soler-Rivas, C. (2017). Water-soluble polysaccharide extracts from the
 398 oyster culinary-medicinal mushroom *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Agaricomycetes) with
 399 HMGCR inhibitory activity. *International journal of medicinal mushrooms*, 19(10), 879–
 400 852. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushrooms.2017024413>.

401 Harki, E., Bouya, D., & Dargent, R. (2006). Maturation-associated alterations of the
 402 biochemical characteristics of the black truffle *Tuber melanosporum* Vitt. *Food*
 403 *chemistry*, 99(2), 394–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.08.030>.

404 Harki, E., Kläbe, A., Talou, T., & Dargent, R. (1996). Identification and quantification
 405 of *Tuber melanosporum* Vitt. sterols. *Steroids*, 61(10), 609–612.
 406 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-128X\(96\)00121-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-128X(96)00121-3).

407 Hu, S. H., Liang, Z. C., Chia, Y. C., Lien, J. L., Chen, K. S., Lee, M. Y., & Wang, J. C.
 408 (2006). Antihyperlipidemic and antioxidant effects of extracts from *Pleurotus*
 409 *citrinopileatus*. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 54(6), 2103–2110.
 410 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf052890d>.

411 Ibarz, A. (2008). Ionizing irradiation of foods. *New Food Engineering Research Trends*
 412 (pp.1–43). New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc.

413 Jiang, T., Luo, S., Chen, Q., Shen, L., & Ying, T. (2010). Effect of integrated application
 414 of gamma irradiation and modified atmosphere packaging on physicochemical and
 415 microbiological properties of shiitake mushroom (*Lentinula edodes*). *Food*
 416 *Chemistry*, 122(3), 761–767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.03.050>.

417 Khan, A. A., Gani, A., Shah, A., Masoodi, F. A., Hussain, P. R., Wani, I. A., & Khanday,
 418 F. A. (2015). Effect of γ -irradiation on structural, functional and antioxidant properties of
 419 β -glucan extracted from button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*). *Innovative Food Science*
 420 *& Emerging Technologies*, 31, 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2015.05.006>.

421 Kuo, C. F., Hsieh, C. H., & Lin, W. Y. (2011). Proteomic response of LAP-activated
 422 RAW 264.7 macrophages to the anti-inflammatory property of fungal ergosterol. *Food*
 423 *chemistry*, 126(1), 207–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.10.101>.

424 Mahlous, M., Tahtat, D., Benamer, S., & Khodja, A. N. (2007). Gamma irradiation-aided
 425 chitin/chitosan extraction from prawn shells. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in*

426 *Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 265(1), 414–
 427 417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.09.015>.

428 Morales, D., Piris, A. J., Ruiz-Rodriguez, A., Prodanov, M., & Soler-Rivas, C. (2018).
 429 Extraction of bioactive compounds against cardiovascular diseases from *Lentinula*
 430 *edodes* using a sequential extraction method. *Biotechnology progress*, 34 (3).
 431 <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2616>.

432 Nazzaro, F., Fratianni, F., Picariello, G., Coppola, R., Reale, A., & Di Luccia, A. (2007).
 433 Evaluation of gamma rays influence on some biochemical and microbiological aspects in
 434 black truffles. *Food chemistry*, 103(2), 344–354.
 435 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.07.067>

436 Parsi, Z., & Górecki, T. (2006). Determination of ergosterol as an indicator of fungal
 437 biomass in various samples using non-discriminating flash pyrolysis. *Journal of*
 438 *Chromatography A*, 1130 (1), 145–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.07.045>.

439 Patel, S., & Goyal, A. (2017). Chitin and chitinase: Role in pathogenicity, allergenicity
 440 and health. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 97, 331–338.
 441 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.01.042>.

442 Patel, S., Rauf, A., Khan, H., Khalid, S., & Mubarak, M. S. (2017). Potential health
 443 benefits of natural products derived from truffles: A review. *Trends in Food Science &*
 444 *Technology*, 70, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.09.009>.

445 Perera, C. O., Jasinghe, V. J., Ng, F. L., & Mujumdar, A. S. (2003). The effect of moisture
 446 content on the conversion of ergosterol to vitamin D in shiitake mushrooms. *Drying*
 447 *technology*, 21(6), 1091–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1081/DRT-120021876>.

448 Ramírez-Anguiano, A. C., Santoyo, S., Reglero, G., & Soler-Rivas, C. (2007). Radical
 449 scavenging activities, endogenous oxidative enzymes and total phenols in edible

450 mushrooms commonly consumed in Europe. *Journal of the Science of Food and*
 451 *Agriculture*, 87(12), 2272–2278. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2983>.

452 Rementeria, A., Ezkurra, P., Hernando, F., Ponton, J., Sevilla, M. J., & Cisterna, R.
 453 (1991). Chitin assay to estimate the growth of *Candida albicans* in organs of infected
 454 mice. *Journal of medical and veterinary mycology*, 29(1), 15–23.

455 Rivera, C. S., Blanco, D., Marco, P., Oria, R., & Venturini, M. E. (2011a). Effects of
 456 electron-beam irradiation on the shelf life, microbial populations and sensory
 457 characteristics of summer truffles (*Tuber aestivum*) packaged under modified
 458 atmospheres. *Food microbiology*, 28(1), 141–148.
 459 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.09.008>.

460 Rivera, C. S., Blanco, D., Salvador, M. L., & Venturini, M. E. (2010). Shelf-life extension
 461 of fresh *Tuber aestivum* and *Tuber melanosporum* truffles by modified atmosphere
 462 packaging with microperforated films. *Journal of food science*, 75(4).
 463 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01602.x>.

464 Rivera, C. S., Venturini, M. E., Marco, P., Oria, R., & Blanco, D. (2011b). Effects of
 465 electron-beam and gamma irradiation treatments on the microbial populations,
 466 respiratory activity and sensory characteristics of *Tuber melanosporum* truffles packaged
 467 under modified atmospheres. *Food microbiology*, 28(7), 1252–1260.
 468 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011.05.002>.

469 Saidali-Savy, C., Dargent, R., Parguey-Leduc, A., Janex-Favre, M. C., & Kulifaj, M.
 470 (1992). Ultrastructural localization of chitin in the asci, ascospore and hyphal wall in
 471 *Tuber melanosporum*. *Mycological research*, 96(1), 59–64.
 472 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80997-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80997-0).

473 Saltarelli, R., Ceccaroli, P., Cesari, P., Barbieri, E., & Stocchi, V. (2008). Effect of storage
 474 on biochemical and microbiological parameters of edible truffle species. *Food*
 475 *chemistry*, 109(1), 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.11.075>.
 476 Sawaya, W. N., Al-Shalhat, A., Al-Sogair, A., & Al-Mohammad, M. (1985). Chemical
 477 composition and nutritive value of truffles of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Food*
 478 *Science*, 50(2), 450–453. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1985.tb13425.x>.
 479 Shao, S., Hernandez, M., Kramer, J. K., Rinker, D. L., & Tsao, R. (2010). Ergosterol
 480 profiles, fatty acid composition, and antioxidant activities of button mushrooms as
 481 affected by tissue part and developmental stage. *Journal of agricultural and food*
 482 *chemistry*, 58(22), 11616–11625. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf102285b>.
 483 Sławińska, A., Fornal, E., Radzki, W., Skrzypczak, K., Zalewska-Korona, M., Michalak-
 484 Majewska, M., Parfieniuk, E., & Stachniuk, A. (2016). Study on vitamin D2 stability in
 485 dried mushrooms during drying and storage. *Food chemistry*, 199, 203–209.
 486 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.11.131>.
 487 Smiderle, F. R., Morales, D., Gil-Ramírez, A., de Jesús, L. I., Gilbert-López, B., Iacomini,
 488 M., & Soler-Rivas, C. (2017). Evaluation of microwave-assisted and pressurized liquid
 489 extractions to obtain β -d-glucans from mushrooms. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 156, 165–
 490 174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.09.029>.
 491 Tahtat, D., Uzun, C., Mahlous, M., & Güven, O. (2007). Beneficial effect of gamma
 492 irradiation on the N-deacetylation of chitin to form chitosan. *Nuclear Instruments and*
 493 *Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and*
 494 *Atoms*, 265(1), 425–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.09.016>.
 495 Tsuji, T., & Fujimoto, T. (2018). Lipids and lipid domains of the yeast vacuole.
 496 *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 46(5), 1047–1054.
 497 <https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20180120>.

498 Urbain, W. M. (1986). Biological effects of ionizing radiation, Food Irradiation, Food
 499 Science and Technology Series. Academic Press, London, UK (pp. 83–117).
 500 Vamanu, E., Gatea, F., & Sârbu, I. (2018). In vitro ecological response of the human gut
 501 microbiome to bioactive extracts from edible wild mushrooms. *Molecules*, 23(9), 2128.
 502 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092128>
 503 Vetter, J., & Kruzselyi, D. (2014). Complex chemical evaluation of the summer truffle
 504 (*Tuber aestivum* Vittadini) fruit bodies. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*, 87,
 505 291-295. <https://doi.org/10.5073/JABFQ.2014.087.041>.
 506 Villares, A., García-Lafuente, A., Guillamón, E., & Ramos, Á. (2012). Identification and
 507 quantification of ergosterol and phenolic compounds occurring in *Tuber* spp.
 508 truffles. *Journal of food composition and analysis*, 26(1–2), 177–182.
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2011.12.003>.
 510 Weete, J. D., Kulifaj, M., Montant, C., Nes, W. R., & Sancholle, M. (1985). Distribution
 511 of sterols in fungi. II. Brassicasterol in *Tuber* and *Terfezia* species. *Canadian journal of*
 512 *microbiology*, 31(12), 1127–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1139/m85-212>.
 513 Xing, Z., Wang, Y., Feng, Z., Zhao, Z., & Liu, X. (2007). Effect of ⁶⁰Co-irradiation on
 514 postharvest quality and selected enzyme activities of *Hypsizygus marmoreus* fruit
 515 bodies. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 55(20), 8126–8132.
 516 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf070941w>.
 517 Xiong, Q. L., Xing, Z. T., Feng, Z., Tan, Q., & Bian, Y. B. (2009). Effect of ⁶⁰Co γ-
 518 irradiation on postharvest quality and selected enzyme activities of *Pleurotus*
 519 *nebrodensis*. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 42(1), 157–161.
 520 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2008.04.003>.

521 Xu, Z., Sun, Y., Yang, Y., Ding, J., & Pang, J. (2007). Effect of γ -irradiation on some
522 physiochemical properties of konjac glucomannan. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 70(4), 444–
523 450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.05.011>.
524

525 Figures

526 Figure 1. Levels of a) total carbohydrates, b) chitins and c) β -glucans in non- and
527 irradiated *T. melanosporum* truffles stored in modified atmosphere packages at 4 °C for
528 35 days. Values are the mean of replicates and error bars indicate standard deviations.

529 Figure 2. Levels of a) total proteins and b) total phenolic compounds in non- and
530 irradiated *T. melanosporum* truffles stored in modified atmosphere packages at 4 °C for
531 35 days. Values are the mean of replicates and error bars indicate standard deviations.

532 Table 1. Levels of total carbohydrates, β -glucans, chitins, total proteins and total phenolic
 533 compounds in non- and irradiated *T. melanosporum* truffles. Values are the mean of
 534 replicates and error bars indicate standard deviations. Indicated values are w/w.

Treatment (kGy)	Total Carbohydrates (%)	β -Glucans (%)	Chitins (%)	Total Proteins (%)	Total Phenolic compounds (g kg ⁻¹)
Non-irradiated	33.00 $\pm$ 3.44 ^a	20.71 $\pm$ 0.49 ^a	12.20 $\pm$ 0.22 ^a	16.86 $\pm$ 0.19 ^a	0.76 $\pm$ 0.01 ^b
gamma 1.5	25.50 $\pm$ 1.29 ^a	18.90 $\pm$ 1.26 ^a	6.11 $\pm$ 0.55 ^b	10.08 $\pm$ 0.53 ^c	0.80 $\pm$ 0.02 ^{ab}
gamma 2.5	26.28 $\pm$ 2.10 ^a	17.47 $\pm$ 1.37 ^a	5.32 $\pm$ 0.24 ^b	8.69 $\pm$ 0.77 ^{cd}	0.64 $\pm$ 0.00 ^c
e-beam 1.5	24.84 $\pm$ 1.92 ^a	19.71 $\pm$ 0.78 ^a	5.90 $\pm$ 0.20 ^b	13.11 $\pm$ 0.44 ^b	0.87 $\pm$ 0.06 ^a
e-beam 2.5	25.32 $\pm$ 0.93 ^a	19.86 $\pm$ 1.27 ^a	6.10 $\pm$ 0.45 ^b	7.62 $\pm$ 0.28 ^d	0.66 $\pm$ 0.01 ^{bc}

535 Different letters denote significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) within the same column

536 Table 2. Levels of fungal sterols and derivatives in non- and irradiated *T. melanosporum*
537 truffles stored for 35 days in modified atmosphere packages at 4 °C. Values are the mean
538 of replicates and error bars indicate standard deviations. Indicated values are w/w.

Time (days)	Treatment & doses (kGy)	Ergosterol (g kg ⁻¹)	Brassicasterol (g kg ⁻¹)	Ergosta7,22- dienol (g kg ⁻¹)	9,19ciclolano st-7-en-3-ol (g kg ⁻¹)	Ergocalci ferol (g kg ⁻¹)	Total sterols (g kg ⁻¹)
0	Non-irradiated	1.55 ± 0.01 ^A	2.00 ± 0.01 ^A	0.46 ± 0.01 ^A	0.43 ± 0.01 ^A	n.d.	4.43 ± 0.04 ^A
	gamma 1.5	2.14 ± 0.47 ^{AB}	1.26 ± 0.25 ^B	0.51 ± 0.18 ^A	0.48 ± 0.18 ^A	n.d.	4.38 ± 1.09 ^A
	gamma 2.5	2.30 ± 0.46 ^A	1.49 ± 0.46 ^{AB}	0.79 ± 0.35 ^A	0.75 ± 0.31 ^A	n.d.	5.34 ± 1.60 ^A
	e-beam 1.5	1.86 ± 0.09 ^A	1.22 ± 0.16 ^B	0.85 ± 0.17 ^A	0.90 ± 0.15 ^A	n.d.	4.85 ± 0.59 ^A
	e-beam 2.5	1.45 ± 0.05 ^B	1.31 ± 0.04 ^{AB}	0.72 ± 0.08 ^A	0.71 ± 0.01 ^A	n.d.	4.20 ± 0.12 ^A
7	Non-irradiated	0.80 ± 0.30 ^B	0.91 ± 0.32 ^B	0.36 ± 0.18 ^A	0.34 ± 0.18 ^A	n.d.	2.42 ± 1.00 ^A
	gamma 1.5	1.21 ± 0.02 ^A	1.56 ± 0.02 ^A	0.65 ± 0.13 ^A	0.55 ± 0.13 ^A	n.d.	3.98 ± 0.31 ^A
	gamma 2.5	1.08 ± 0.08 ^B	1.09 ± 0.14 ^A	0.49 ± 0.16 ^A	0.46 ± 0.17	n.d.	3.13 ± 0.56 ^A
	e-beam 1.5	1.03 ± 0.05 ^A	0.81 ± 0.03 ^A	0.56 ± 0.03 ^A	0.51 ± 0.05 ^A	n.d.	2.92 ± 0.17 ^A
	e-beam 2.5	0.93 ± 0.10 ^A	0.98 ± 0.08 ^A	0.37 ± 0.06 ^A	0.36 ± 0.05	n.d.	2.36 ± 0.30 ^A
21	Non-irradiated	1.10 ± 0.15 ^B	1.00 ± 0.16 ^B	0.33 ± 0.19 ^A	0.32 ± 0.19 ^A	n.d.	2.77 ± 0.70 ^A
	gamma 1.5	1.37 ± 0.31 ^{AB}	1.26 ± 0.26 ^A	0.41 ± 0.06 ^A	0.43 ± 0.06 ^A	n.d.	3.47 ± 0.70 ^A
	gamma 2.5	1.91 ± 0.03 ^B	1.30 ± 0.04 ^A	0.48 ± 0.13 ^A	0.49 ± 0.11 ^A	n.d.	4.20 ± 0.32 ^A
	e-beam 1.5	1.43 ± 0.33 ^A	1.13 ± 0.28 ^A	0.63 ± 0.19 ^A	0.59 ± 0.18 ^A	n.d.	3.79 ± 1.00 ^A
	e-beam 2.5	1.14 ± 0.20 ^A	0.74 ± 0.16 ^A	0.31 ± 0.08 ^A	0.30 ± 0.08 ^A	n.d.	2.50 ± 0.53 ^A
35	Non-irradiated	1.44 ± 0.48 ^{AB}	0.95 ± 0.48 ^B	0.33 ± 0.09 ^A	0.36 ± 0.10 ^A	n.d.	3.08 ± 1.17 ^A
	gamma 1.5	2.12 ± 0.07 ^{AB}	1.50 ± 0.16 ^A	0.31 ± 0.03 ^A	0.30 ± 0.03 ^A	n.d.	4.25 ± 0.31 ^A
	gamma 2.5	1.39 ± 0.14 ^B	1.28 ± 0.07 ^A	0.77 ± 0.06 ^A	0.83 ± 0.05 ^A	n.d.	4.28 ± 0.32 ^A
	e-beam 1.5	1.78 ± 0.18 ^A	0.86 ± 0.04 ^A	0.43 ± 0.02 ^A	0.43 ± 0.01 ^A	n.d.	3.51 ± 0.27 ^A
	e-beam 2.5	1.43 ± 0.26 ^A	1.15 ± 0.22 ^A	0.63 ± 0.12 ^A	0.70 ± 0.12 ^A	n.d.	3.92 ± 0.72 ^A

539 n.d., not detected.

540 ^{A, B} Different letters denote significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between different day for the
541 same treatment.
542 No significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) were found between different treatments for the same
543 day.
544

545 Figure 1

c)

— Non-irradiated gamma 1.5 - - - gamma 2.5 e-beam 1.5 - - - e-beam 2.5

Figure 2

b)

— Non-irradiated gamma 1.5 - - - gamma 2.5 e-beam 1.5 - - - e-beam 2.5