Trophic partitioning between abundant demersal sharks coexisting in the North Aegean Sea

Journal:	<i>Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom</i>
Manuscript ID	JMBA-04-18-OA-0109.R5
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Jan-2019
Complete List of Authors:	yemisken, emre; istanbul university, biology Navarro, Joan; Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), Forero, Manuela; Estacion Biologica de Donana CSIC Megalofonou, Persefoni ; University of Athens, Biology Eryilmaz, Lütfiye
Keywords:	Feeding Ecology, Demersal Sharks, Aegean Sea, Gokceada Island, Trophic position, stable isotopes
Abstract:	<p>Here, we examined the feeding ecology (diet, trophic width and trophic position) of five shark species (<i>Mustelus mustelus</i> Linnaeus, 1758, <i>Galeus melastomus</i> Rafinesque, 1810, <i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i> Linnaeus, 1758, <i>Scyliorhinus stellaris</i> Linnaeus, 1758, <i>Squalus blainville</i>, Risso, 1826) coexisting in northeastern Aegean Sea (around Gökçeda Island) by combining stomach content and stable isotope analyses. The results indicated clear differences according to diets between five sharks. Although, cephalopods mainly found in diet of <i>S. stellaris</i> and <i>M. mustelus</i>, stomachs of <i>G. melastomus</i>, <i>S. canicula</i> and <i>S. blainville</i> included fishes.</p> <p><i>S. blainville</i> has shown highest trophic position in stable isotope analysis (TPsia=4.89) around Gökçeda Island. It is followed by <i>G. melastomus</i> (TPsia= 4.57). Direct isotopic values (both stable nitrogen and carbon) and isotopic niche width based on the Standard Ellipse Area (SEA) clearly differed among the five shark species. In particular, <i>S. blainville</i> was isotopically segregated from the rest of shark species, <i>M. mustelus</i>, <i>S. canicula</i>, <i>S. stellaris</i> and <i>G. melastomus</i>, showing a narrow isotopic trophic niche and higher trophic level. In the opposite, <i>M. mustelus</i> had the widest trophic niche for the five studied species. The niche width of <i>S. stellaris</i> was lower than <i>M. mustelus</i> and <i>S. canicula</i> but higher than <i>S. blainville</i> and <i>G. melastomus</i>. SEA showed that <i>G. melastomus</i> has specialized feeding strategy in the area. There is no overlap between <i>S. canicula</i> and <i>S. stellaris</i> in trophic width.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Trophic partitioning between abundant demersal sharks coexisting in the North
Aegean Sea**

EMRE YEMISKEN¹, JOAN NAVARRO², MANUELA FORERO³, PERSEFONI
MEGALOFONOU³, LUTFIYE ERYILMAZ¹

¹Department of Biology, Section of Hydrobiology, Faculty of Science, Istanbul University,
Turkey, ²Institut de Ciències del Mar – CSIC, Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37-49 08003
Barcelona, Spain, ³Department of Conservation Biology, Estacion Biologica de Donana (EBD-
CSIC), Avda. Americo Vespucio s/n, Sevilla 41092, Spain, ⁴Department of Biology, Section of
Zoology Marine Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

ABSTRACT

We examined the feeding ecology (diet, trophic width and trophic position) of five demersal shark species (*Mustelus mustelus* Linnaeus, 1758, *Galeus melastomus* Rafinesque, 1810, *Scyliorhinus canicula* Linnaeus, 1758, *Scyliorhinus stellaris* Linnaeus, 1758, *Squalus blainville*, Risso, 1826) coexisting in northeastern Aegean Sea (around Gökçeda Island) by combining stomach content and stable isotope analyses. The results indicate clear differences in diet between the five sharks. Cephalopods were mainly found in diet of *S. stellaris* and *M. Mustelus* and stomachs of *G. melastomus*, *S. canicula* and *S. blainville* included fish. *S. blainville* showed the highest trophic position in respect of stable isotope analysis ($TP_{sia}=4.89$) around Gökçeda Island. It was followed by *G. melastomus* ($TP_{sia}= 4.57$). Direct isotopic values (both stable nitrogen and carbon) and isotopic niche width based on the Standard Ellipse Area (SEA) clearly differed among the five shark species. In particular, *S. blainville* was isotopically segregated from the rest of shark species, *M. mustelus*, *S. canicula*, *S. stellaris* and *G. melastomus*, showing a narrow isotopic trophic niche and higher trophic level. In contrast, *M. mustelus* had the widest trophic niche of the five species studied. The niche width of *S. stellaris* was lower than *M. mustelus* and *S. canicula* but higher than *S. blainville* and *G. melastomus*. SEA showed that *G. melastomus* has a specialized feeding strategy in the area. There is no overlap between *S. canicula* and *S. stellaris* in trophic width.

INTRODUCTION

Knowing the trophic ecology of marine predators is pivotal to understanding their trophic relationships and functional roles in ecosystems (e.g. Abdul-Malak *et al.* 2011; Barriá *et al.*, 2015; Navia *et al.*, 2017). Among marine predators, sharks are considered important predators within marine ecosystems, although differences in their main trophic habits exist (Cortés, 1999; Barriá *et al.*, 2015; Navia *et al.*, 2017). They frequently play a predatory role and their removal could affect the function of marine ecosystems (Baum & Worm, 2009). During the last few decades, elasmobranchs have become the focus of ecological studies (e.g. Ferretti *et al.*, 2013; Dulvy *et al.*, 2014; Navia *et al.*, 2017). However, few studies have focused on interactions among sympatric elasmobranchs, an essential element to understanding how ecologically similar elasmobranchs coexist in the same habitats (e.g. Albo-Puigserver *et al.*, 2015; Barriá *et al.*, 2015; Navia *et al.*, 2017). Based on the principle of competitive exclusion, predators occupying similar trophic niches are expected to lead to ecological divergence or exclusion (Pianka, 2000). However, in some cases, closely related elasmobranchs coexist in the same communities and partitioning of food resources has been proposed as one of the main mechanisms to explain their coexistence (e.g. White *et al.*, 2004 Vaudo & Heithaus, 2011; Heithaus *et al.*, 2013; Albo-Puigserver *et al.*, 2015).

In comparison with other Mediterranean areas, research focusing on sharks inhabiting the North Aegean Sea (eastern Mediterranean Sea) is very limited, even though these waters host around 28 shark species, including threatened and rare species (Kabasakal, 2002a, Yığın *et al.*, 2015). Although there are some studies in the Aegean Sea reporting the diet of several species of sharks based on stomach contents (Kabasakal, 2002b, Çakır *et al.*, 2006; Filiz & Taşkavak, 2006; Filiz, 2009; Karachle & Stergiou, 2010), few studies have investigated different species simultaneously in the North Aegean Sea.

The diet of marine organisms has been traditionally studied through stomach content analysis (SCA) (Cortés, 1997, 1999; Ellis *et al.*, 1996). Although stomach content analysis allows high levels of taxonomic resolution, some marine predators such as sharks often show a high frequency of empty stomachs, and the prey items present in the stomachs are often skewed towards those that are more difficult to digest. Moreover, this methodology requires a large number of individuals, which can be difficult to obtain for elasmobranchs (Cortés, 1999, Stergiou & Karpouzi, 2001). Stable isotope analysis (SIA) of nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) has been used as a complementary tool to SCA to examine the trophic ecology of marine predators including sharks. SIA are useful to describe and understand the trophic position of species and explain trophic relationships in marine food webs (De Niro & Epstein, 1981; Peterson & Fry, 1987; Fry, 2007). $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values can be useful to assess sources of primary production in marine systems, whereas $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are used for prediction of relative trophic level.

In this study, we examined feeding ecology (diet habits, trophic width and trophic position) of five sharks – blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*, common smoothhound *Mustelus mustelus*, longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville*, small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* and nursehound *S. stellaris*– coexisting in the North Aegean Sea by using stable isotopic and stomach content techniques. Based on previous knowledge of the diet of these shark species and taking into account their coexistence, we expected some degree of trophic partitioning between the species (Kabasakal, 2002b; Karachle & Stergiou, 2010; Bradai *et al.*, 2012; Yığın *et al.*, 2015). Our study provides new insights into the ecological role of these five species within the demersal community, updating our knowledge of how these relatively abundant demersal sharks exploit available resources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and sampling procedures

The North Aegean Sea is one of the most productive areas in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Nutrient rich Black Sea waters play an important role in sustaining high biological productivity and fish stocks in the North Aegean (Stergiou *et al.*, 1997; Pethiakos *et al.*, 2014). Some parts of the area have been identified as important habitats for seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadows, especially in Saros Bay and the northern part of Gökçeada Island for Turkish waters and there are also some spawning and nursery grounds for small pelagic and demersal fish (Machias *et al.*, 2007; Öztürk, 2009; Güreşen *et al.*, 2015).

A total of twenty-six blackmouth catsharks, eight smoothhounds, twelve longnose spurdogs, sixty-four small-spotted catsharks, and fourteen nursehounds were collected between September 2014 and April 2016 at a depth ranging from 33 to 450 m, by commercial trawl vessels around Gökçeada Island (North Aegean Sea; Figure 1). All sharks were accidentally captured as by-catch of fishing operations. Individuals were taken to the laboratory in a freezer where body size (Total length; TL, to the nearest mm) and weight (nearest g) were recorded.

Stomach Content Analysis

All prey items presented in the stomach of each individual were identified at a functional prey level (Annelid, Crustacea, Cephalopods and Teleosts) and species level when it was possible. Most prey were obtained as digested material in the stomach. Weight (W%) and frequency of occurrence (F%) of prey items were calculated (Cortes, 1997). The vacuity index (v; the percentage of empty stomachs) and the percentage of fullness of stomachs (Fullness %) were also calculated (Hyslop, 1980; Amudsen *et al.*, 1996). Based on the dietary composition (expressed as W%), the mean fractional trophic level of the species was estimated using the

corresponding routine TrophLab and the equation: $TP_{\text{stomach}} = 1 + j = 1 \sum GDC_j * TP_j$, where DC_j is the proportion of the prey category j that makes up for the species diet, TP_j is the trophic level of the prey category j and G is the total number of prey categories recorded in the species (Pauly *et al.*, 2000).

Stable Isotope Analysis

We collected a small portion of muscle from the pectoral fins of each shark species. Before stable isotope analysis, we extracted lipid from muscle samples using a chloroform-methanol solution (Kim & Koch, 2012; Kim *et al.* 2012). Samples were subsequently freeze-dried and powdered and 0.28 to 0.4 mg of each sample was packed into tin capsules. Isotopic analyses were performed at the Stable Isotopes Laboratory at the Estación Biológica de Doñana CSIC (Seville, Spain). Samples were combusted at 1020°C using a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry system (Thermo Electron) by means of a Flash HT Plus elemental analyser coupled to a Delta-V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Stable isotope ratios were expressed in the standard δ -notation (‰) relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and atmospheric N ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$). Based on laboratory standards, the measurement error was ± 0.1 and ± 0.3 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, respectively.

Statistical analysis

As a measure of trophic width, Bayesian isotopic ellipse area (SEA) was calculated for each species by derivation of the stable isotope values (Jackson *et al.*, 2011). This metric represents a measure of the total amount of the isotopic niche exploited by a particular predator and is thus a proxy for the extent of trophic diversity (or trophic width) exploited by the species (high values of isotopic standard ellipse areas indicate high trophic width). This metric uses multivariate ellipse-based Bayesian metrics. Bayesian inference techniques allow for robust

statistical comparisons between data sets with different sample sizes. Isotopic standard ellipse areas were calculated using the routine Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses incorporated in the SIAR library (SIBER, Jackson *et al.*, 2011, Shiffman *et al.* 2012). Also, C and N isotope values according to shark species were tested by using non parametric variance analysis (Kruskal-Wallis and U Mann Whitney) in Statistica software.

Trophic Position

The trophic position (TP) of each species was estimated by using isotopic values (TP_{SIA}). TP_{SIA} was performed according to Zanden and Rasmussen (2001): $TP_{consumer} = TP_{basal} + (\delta^{15}N_{consumer} - \delta^{15}N_{basal}) / \Delta\delta^{15}N$, where $\delta^{15}N_{consumer}$ is the value for each shark species, $\delta^{15}N_{basal}$ is that of the crab *Monodaeus couchii* (7.1 ‰) sampled from the east Mediterranean Sea. We used 1.95 for $\Delta^{15}N$ values (Hussey *et al.*, 2010), defined as the trophic enrichment factor between organism and diet. Trophic position was compared with total body length in each species by using Kruskal Wallis test in Statistica software.

RESULTS

Stomach content

A total of 124 stomachs were analysed belonging to five shark species. We found that 117 of these individuals had prey in their stomachs (Table 1). Taking into consideration all specimens, stomach content results indicated that the diet of lesser small-spotted catsharks, longnose spurdogs and blackmouth catsharks were composed mainly of teleosts. Cephalapods were mostly found in the diet of nursehounds, while Crustaceans and Cephalopods were predominantly found in stomachs of common smoothhounds. Annelids were only found in the stomachs of small-spotted catsharks. The crab *Liocarcinus depurator* was found in stomach of

the common smoothhound. The Cephalopods *Illex coindetii* and *Loligo vulgaris* were identified in diets of the longnose spurdog and the blackmouth catshark. *Parapenaeus longirostris* and *Munida intermedia* were identified as Crustacean prey in the diet of the small-spotted catshark and the Teleost, *Engraulis encrasicolus* was found in the stomachs of the longnose spurdog.

Stable isotopic analysis results

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values differed between the five shark species (Kruskal-Wallis tests; $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\chi^2=14.87$, $p=0.005$; $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $\chi^2=24.11$, $p < 0.0001$). The U-Mann Whitney pairwise test indicated that for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, the small-spotted catshark showed the highest values followed by the longnose spurdog, the blackmouth catshark, the nursehound and the common smoothhound (Figure 2, Table 2). For $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, nursehounds showed the lowest values followed by the small-spotted catshark and the common smoothhound, the blackmouth catshark and the longnose spurdog (Figure 2, Table 2). Similar to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, the longnose spurdog showed the highest trophic position, followed by the blackmouth catshark, the common smoothhound, the small-spotted catshark and the nursehound (Table 1).

The isotopic Bayesian areas (SEAc) showed a clear segregation between the five sharks (Figure 2). In particular, the blackmouth catshark ($\text{SEAc} = 0.32$) and the longnose spurdog ($\text{SEAc} = 0.53$) showed the lowest values, followed by the nursehound ($\text{SEAc} = 1.33$), the small-spotted catshark ($\text{SEAc} = 1.38$) and, with the highest values, the common smoothhound ($\text{SEAc} = 2.36$) (Figure 2).

Trophic Level

The trophic levels estimated using stomach content ($\text{TL}_{\text{stomach}}$; Table 1) and isotopic values (TP_{SIA} ; Table 2) were similar for the blackmouth catshark and the common smoothhound (Tables 1 & 2). For the small-spotted catshark, the nursehound and the longnose spurdog, TL

was different between TP_{stomach} and TP_{SIA} , the latter being lower (Tables 1-2). Based on TP_{SIA} , the highest and the lowest values were showed in the longnose spurdog and the nursehound, respectively (Table 2). Based on TP_{stomach} , the highest and the lowest values were found in the blackmouth catshark and the nursehound, respectively (Table 2). Trophic position was significantly different according to body length in each species ($p=0,000017$; $p<0.005$).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the trophic habits of five demersal sharks inhabiting the North Aegean Sea (east Mediterranean Sea) were studied by combining stomach content and stable isotopic analyses. These species are the most abundant shark species in trawl fisheries of the North Aegean Sea (unpublished data). Stomach contents provided a snapshot of the diet of each species, and muscle isotopic values identified the trophic habits integrated over the longer term (Peterson & Fry, 1987; Jennings *et al.* 1997; Kim & Koch, 2012; Navarro *et al.*, 2014). Based on the results of both stable isotopes and stomach contents, we found clear differences in the trophic habits (diet composition, trophic niche and trophic level) among these five demersal sharks.

Overall, the results show, the five shark species displayed opportunistic feeding behaviour with priorities for different prey, mainly composed of cephalopods, fishes and crustaceans (Çakır *et al.*, 2006; Filiz & Taşkavak, 2006; Jarda *et al.*, 2007; Filiz, 2009; Karachle & Stergiou, 2010). Polychaetes and echinoderms have been included only as minor importance in their food (Filiz & Taşkavak, 2006; Bradai *et al.*, 2012). Cephalopods are a major prey for the longnose spurdog, the small-spotted catshark and the blackmouth catshark (Kabasakal, 2002a, Karachle & Stergiou, 2010; Bradai *et al.*, 2012). The nursehound catshark feeds in a similar way to the small spotted catshark (Compagno, 1984; Eronat, 2012) (Table 3). In our study, we found that nursehound catsharks feed mainly on Cephalopods, although Teleosts were preferred by small-

207 spotted catsharks. Differences observed in the stomach content between the two species in this
208 study were confirmed with SIA results for both species. These may be explained by the
209 resources available in their habitats.

210 A comparison between previous studies and the current is shown some differences because
211 of regional variations in the Mediterranean Sea. According to Ozutemiz *et al.* (2009),
212 crustaceans were found to dominate the stomach contents of the blackmouth catshark in the
213 central Aegean Sea, whereas in this study stomach contents were dominated by fish. In contrast,
214 blackmouth catsharks were defined as specialist feeders in the west Mediterranean Sea and a
215 high overlap in diets was recognized for the sympatric species, blackmouth catsharks and small-
216 spotted catsharks (Valls *et al.*, 2011). They predominantly consumed crustaceans in the area
217 studied. Albo-Puigserver *et al.* (2015) noted that blackmouth catsharks preferred Cephalopods
218 and shrimp in the Gulf of Lions (western Mediterranean Sea). Differences between these results
219 may be due to variations in the proportion of prey in different seasons and the variation in body
220 sizes of individuals (Olaso *et al.*, 1998; Albo-Puigserver *et al.*, 2015). Although, some studies
221 indicate that the blackmouth catshark has a generalist and opportunistic feeding strategy, there
222 were some results there it was characterized as having a specialist feeding strategy in the
223 Mediterranean Sea (Olaso *et al.*, 1998; Bozzano *et al.*, 2001; Fanelli *et al.*, 2009; Ozutemiz *et*
224 *al.*, 2009; Valls *et al.*, 2011; Anastasopoulou *et al.*, 2013). This has been explained that when
225 food availability and prey diversity are high, it probably prefers specific prey. In our study we
226 have shown blackmouth catsharks having a specialized feeding strategy with narrow nich
227 width.

228 As expected from the stomach content results, interspecific differences in the isotopic values
229 and trophic levels were found. In particular, the longnose spurdog was isotopically segregated
230 from the other species, showing a lower isotopic trophic width and higher trophic level. The
231 trophic width estimated with SEAs indicated, *G. melastomus* and *S. blainville* have specialized

feeding behaviours, although the common smoothhound and the small-spotted catshark showed a generalized feeding strategy.

Our TL estimates from stable isotopes differed to those of other studies available in the literature. For the blackmouth catshark the trophic level estimated by stable isotopes was higher than the trophic level estimated from other areas of Mediterranean Sea (Ozutemiz *et al.*, 2009; Alba-Puigserver *et al.*, 2015). TP of small-spotted catsharks was similar to the western Mediterranean Sea (Barria *et al.*, 2017), while it was lower than the trophic level estimated by stomach content from the North Aegean Sea according to (Karachle & Stergiou, 2010). Differences between TP_{sia} and $TP_{stomach}$ are to be expected considering that the estimated trophic levels from isotopic data are sensitive to the basic assumption of which basal sources are used (Olin *et al.*, 2013). However, differences observed in the trophic position between the two methods in this study might be explained by long-term and short-term prey preference differences of shark species in the region. Besides, discrepancies between methodologies (TP_{sia} and $TP_{stomach}$) have revealed the need for caution when values of trophic levels are compared (Albo-Puigserver *et al.*, 2015). Also, we found differences in the TPs between species - especially for longnose spurdogs - using both the stomach analysis and stable isotope analysis approaches, due to the low number of stomach samples.

Several studies concluded that trophic partitioning in same habitats reduced the potential for competition for resources (Macpherson, 1981; White *et al.*, 2004 Voudo & Heithaus, 2011). Although obtained five shark species feed onwith same groups of prey, they probably prefer different prey species in the area. The isotopic niche space (SEAc) results indicated, small-spotted catshark not to overlap any other sharks. However, common smoothhound shark overlapped with nursehound and blackmouth catshark, suggesting niche partitioning between species. Blackmouth catshark distributes in the middle and upper slope and nursehound distributes the shelf slope. Both two species distribute in different depth ranges in general. This

means that the common smoothhound shark might showed widely distribution in according to different depth zone. On the middle slope, it is considered a habitat overlap among blackmouth catshark, common smoothhound shark, longnose spurdog and small-spotted catshark. Their distributions show similar depth ranges (Kabasakal 2002a). Diet composition of these species could reflect the specific features of the different habitat distribution, because of the differences in food availability in the different areas. Kousteni *et al.* (2017a), described a similar situation for small-spotted catsharks in the Aegean Sea. The stable isotope results could indicate the relationship between feeding habits and species distribution overlap. But, we need more data in order to evaluated overlapping situation between species.

In conclusion, this study presents new information regarding the feeding ecology of five relatively abundant demersal sharks in the north Aegean Sea. They exploit different trophic resources, segregating their trophic niche. The results indicate differences in diet between species, showing a clear feeding preference for teleosts in the case of the blackmouth catshark, and the longnose spurdog and a diet composed of cephalopods in the case of the common smoothhound and the nursehound. Crustaceans with teleosts and cephalopods were preferred by the small-spotted catshark. Different bathymetric distribution and habitat richness could influence prey preferences not only between species but also among population of the same species. precisely. These results provide new insights into the mechanisms supporting the coexistence of demersal predators and their ecological role. These results can be used by managers to conduct appropriate assessments and inform conservation strategies for these species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Onur Gönül and Mert Kesiktaş for their help during the sampling and laboratory process and to Susana Carrasco for her help during the stable isotope analysis. JN was supported by Spanish National Program Ramón y Cajal. This study was partially funded by Istanbul University (project no: 52069) and TUBITAK 2214A (phd. student international scholarships programme).

REFERENCES

- Abdul Malak D., Livingstone S. R., Pollard D., Polidoro, B. A., Cuttelod A., Bariche, M., Bilecenoglu M., Carpenter K. E., Collette B. B., Francour P., Goren M., Kara M. H., Enric M., Papaconstantinou C. and Tunesi L. (2011) *Overview of the Conservation Status of the Marine Fishes of the Mediterranean Sea*. International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), Gland, Switzerland and Malaga, Spain, ISBN: 978-2-8317-1307-6**
- Albo-Puigserver M., Navarro J., Coll M., Aguzzi J., Cardona L. and Sáez-Liante R. (2015) Feeding ecology and trophic position of three sympatric demersal chondrichthyans in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 524, 255-268.**
- Anastasopoulou A., Mytilineou C., Lefkaditou E., Dokos J., Smith C. J., Siapatis A., Bekas P. and Papadopoulou K. N. (2013) Diet and feeding strategy of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*. *Journal of fish biology* 83,6, 1637-1655.**
- Barría C., Navarro J., Coll M., Fernandez-Arcaya U. and Sáez-Liante, R. (2015) Morphological parameters of abundant and threatened chondrichthyans of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 31, 114-119.**
- Barría C., Navarro J. and Coll M. (2017) Trophic habits of an abundant shark in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea using an isotopic non-lethal approach. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.08.021>**

- 306 **Baum J. K. and Worm B.** (2009) Cascading top-down effects of changing oceanic predator
307 abundances. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78, 699-714.
- 308 **Bello G.** (1997) Cephalopods from the stomach contents of demersal chondrichthyans caught
309 in the Adriatic Sea. *Vie Milieu* 47, 221–227.
- 310 **Bradai M. N., Saidi B. and Enajjar S.** (2012) *Elasmobranchs of the Mediterranean and Black*
311 *Sea: Status, Ecology and Biology: A Bibliographic Analysis*. Food and Agriculture
312 Organisation of the United Nations.
- 313 **Capapé C.** (1974) Contribution a la biologie des Scyliorhinidae des cotes Tunisiennes. *Annales*
314 *de l'Institut Michel Pacha* 7, 13–29.
- 315 **Compagno L. J.V.** (1984) FAO Species Catalogue. Vol. 4. *Sharks of the world*. An annotated
316 and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Part 2 – Carcharhiniformes, FAO
317 Fish. Synop. 125, 4/2, 251-655. Rome: FAO, ISBN 92-5-101383-7.
- 318 **Cortés E.** (1997) A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of
319 stomach contents: application to elasmobranch fishes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
320 *Aquatic Sciences* 54, 726-738.
- 321 **Cortés E.** (1999) Standardized diet compositions and trophic levels of sharks. *ICES Journal of*
322 *Marine Science* 56, 707–717.
- 323 **Çakır D. T., Koç H. T. and Başusta A.** (2006) Length-weight Relationship of 24 fish species
324 from Edremit Bay, Aegean Sea. *e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy Natural and*
325 *Applied Sciences* 3, 47-51.
- 326 **De Niro M. J. and Epstein S.** (1981) Isotopic composition of cellulose from aquatic organisms.
327 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 45, 1885-1894.
- 328 **Dulvy N.K., Fowler S.L., Musick J.A., Cavanagh R.D., P.M., Kyne L.R., Harrison J.K.,**
329 **Carlson L.N.K., Davidson S.V., F.M.P., Francis C.M., Pollock C.A., Simpfendorfer G.**
330 **H., Burgess K.E., Carpenter L. J. V., Compagno D.A., Ebert C., Gibson M. R., Heupel**

- 331 **S. R., Livingstone J.C., Sanciangco J. D., Stevens S., Valenti W. and White T.** (2014)
 332 Extinction risk and conservation of the world's sharks and rays. *eLife* 3, 1-83.
- 333 **Ellis J. R., Pawson M. G. and Shackley S. E.** (1996) The comparative feeding ecology of six
 334 species of shark and four species of ray (Elasmobranchii) in the North-East Atlantic. *Journal*
 335 *of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 76, 89–106.
- 336 **Eronat E.G.** (2012) Orta Ege Denizi'ndeki chondrichthyes (kıkırdaklı balık) türlerinin
 337 beslenme özelliklerinin belirlenmesi, Science Institute of Ege University. Master Thesis 58
 338 pp. [In Turkish]
- 339 **Estrada J. A., Rice A. N., Lutcavage M. E. and Skomal G. B.** (2003) Predicting trophic
 340 position in sharks of the northwest Atlantic Ocean using stable isotope analysis. *Journal of*
 341 *the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 83, 1347–1350.
- 342 **Fanelli E., Rey J., Torre, P. and Gil de Sola L.** (2009) Feeding habits of blackmouth catshark
 343 *Galeus melastomus* Rafinesque, 1810 and velvet belly lantern shark *Etmopterus spinax*
 344 (Linnaeus, 1758) in the western Mediterranean. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 25(s1), 83-
 345 93.
- 346 **Ferretti F., Osio, G.C., Jenkins C.J., Rosenberg A.A. and Lotze H.K.** (2013) Long-term
 347 change in a meso-predator community in response to prolonged and heterogeneous human
 348 impact. *Scientific Reports* 3, 1057 pp
- 349 **Filiz H. and Taskavak E.** (2006) Sexual dimorphism in the head, mouth, and body morphology
 350 of the smallspotted catshark, *Scyliorhinus canicula* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Chondrichthyes:
 351 Scyliorhinidae) from Turkey. *Acta Adriatica* 47, 37-47.
- 352 **Filiz H.** (2009) Diet composition of smooth-hound, *Mustelus mustelus* (Linnaeus, 1758), in
 353 Aegean Sea, Turkey. *Belgium Journal of Zoology* 139, 81-84

- 354 **Güreşen A., Okudan E. Ş., Dural B. and Aysel V.** (2015) An Updated Checklist of Marine
 355 Flora on The Continental Shelf of Gökçeada Island (Northern Aegean Sea, Turkey).
 356 *Aquaculture Engineering and Fisheries Research*, 171.
- 357 **Fry B.** (2007) *Stable isotope ecology*. Springer Science and Business Media, New York, USA,
 358 ISBN-10: 0-387-30513-0.
- 359 **Heithaus M.R., Vaudo J.J., Kreicker S., Layman C.A., Krützen M., Burkholder D.A.,**
 360 **Gastrich K., Bessey C., Sarabia R. and Cameron K.** (2013) Apparent resource
 361 partitioning and trophic structure of large-bodied marine predators in a relatively pristine
 362 seagrass ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 481, 225-237
- 363 **Hussey N. E., Brush J., McCarthy I. D. And Fisk A. T.** (2010) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ diet–tissue
 364 discrimination factors for large sharks under semi-controlled conditions. *Comparative*
 365 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 155,4, 445-453.
- 366 **Hyslop E. J.** (1980) Stomach contents analysis—a review of methods and their application.
 367 *Journal of fish biology* 17, 411-429.
- 368 **IUCN,** The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015.3.
 369 <<http://www.iucnredlist.org>>. Downloaded on 01 February 2018.
- 370 **Jackson A.L., Inger R., Parnell A.C. and Bearhop, S.** (2011) Comparing isotopic niche
 371 widths among and within communities: SIBER-Stable isotope Bayesian ellipses in R.
 372 *Journal of Animal Ecology* 80, 595-602.
- 373 **Jardas I.** (1972) Supplement to the knowledge of ecology of some Adriatic cartilaginous fishes
 374 (Chondrichthyes) with special reference to their nutrition. *Acta Adriatica* 14, 3–60.
- 375 **Jardas I., Šantić M., Nerlović V. and Pallaoro A.** (2007) Diet of the smooth-hound *Mustelus*
 376 *mustelus* (Chondrichthyes: Triakidae) in the eastern Adriatic Sea. *Cybium* 31, 459-464.
- 377 **Jennings S., Reñones O., Morales-Nin B., Polunin N. V. C. Moranta J. and Coll, J.** (1997)
 378 Spatial variation in the ^{15}N and ^{13}C stable isotope composition of plants, invertebrates and

- 379 fishes on Mediterranean reefs: implications for the study of trophic pathways. *Marine*
380 *Ecology Progress Series* 146, 109-116.
- 381 **Kabasakal H.** (2001) Preliminary data on the feeding ecology of some selachians from North-
382 Eastern Aegean Sea. *Acta Adriatica* 42, 15-24.
- 383 **Kabasakal H.** (2002a) Elasmobranch species of the seas of Turkey. *Annales Series Historia*
384 *Naturalis* 12, 15-22.
- 385 **Kabasakal H.** (2002b) Cephalopods in the stomach contents of four Elasmobranch species
386 from the northern Aegean Sea. *Acta Adriatica* 43, 17-24.
- 387 **Kabasakal H.** (2002c) Stomach contents of the longnose spurdog, *Squalus blainvillei* (Risso,
388 1826) from the north-eastern Aegean Sea. *Annales Series Historia Naturalis* 12, 173-176.
- 389 **Karachle P. K. and Stergiou K. I.** (2008) The effect of season and sex on trophic levels of
390 marine fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology* 72, 1463-1487.
- 391 **Karachle P. and Stergiou K. I.** (2010) Food and feeding habits of nine elasmobranch species
392 in the Aegean Sea. *Rapports de la Commission Internationale pour L'Exploration*
393 *Scientifique de la Mer Mediterranee* 39, 553.
- 394 **Kim S. L. and Koch P. L.** (2012) Methods to collect, preserve, and prepare elasmobranch
395 tissues for stable isotope analysis. *Environmental Biology Fishes* 95, 53-63.
- 396 **Kim S. L., del Rio C. M., Casper D. and Koch P., L.** (2012) Isotopic incorporation rates for
397 shark tissues from a long-term captive feeding study. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 215,
398 2495-2500.
- 399 **Kousteni V., Karachle P. K. and Megalofonou P.** (2017a) Diet of the small-spotted catshark
400 *Scyliorhinus canicula* in the Aegean Sea (eastern Mediterranean). *Marine Biology Research*
401 13, 161-173.

- 402 **Kousteni V., Karachle P. K. and Megalofonou P.** (2017b) Diet and trophic level of the
 403 longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville* (Risso, 1826) in the deep waters of the Aegean Sea.
 404 *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 124, 93-102.
- 405 **Layman C.A., Araujo M.S., Boucek R., Hammerschlag-Peyer C.M., Harrison E., Jud,**
 406 **Z.R., Matich P., Rosenblatt A.E., Vaudo J.J., Yeager L.A., Post D.M., Bearhop S.**
 407 (2012) Applying stable isotopes to examine food-web structure: an overview of analytical
 408 tools. *Biological Review of Cambridge Philosophy Society* 87, 545–562.
- 409 **Macpherson E.** (1981) Resource partitioning in a Mediterranean demersal fish community.
 410 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 4, 183-193.
- 411 **MacNeil M., Skomal G. and Fisk A.** (2005) Stable isotopes from multiple tissues reveal diet
 412 switching in sharks. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 302, 199–206
- 413 **Machias A., Giannoulaki M., Somarakis S. and Siapatis A.** (2007) *Small pelagic fish*. In:
 414 Papaconstantinou C., Zenetos A., Vassilopoulou V., Tserpes, G. (Eds.), State of Hellenic
 415 Fisheries. Hellenic Center for Marine Research Publications, Athens, pp. 192e207
- 416 **Mnasri, N., El Kamel, O., Boumaiza, M., Reynaud, C., Capape, C. (2012).** Food and feeding
 417 habits of the small-spotted catshark, *Scyliorhinus canicula* (Chondrichthyes: Scyliorhinidae)
 418 from the northern coast of Tunisia (central Mediterranean). *Cahiers de biologie marine*,
 419 53(1), 139-150.
- 420 **Navia A.F., Mejía-Falla P.A., López-García J., Giraldo A. and Cruz-Escalona V.H.** (2017)
 421 How many trophic roles can elasmobranchs play in a marine tropical network? *Marine and*
 422 *Freshwater Research* 68: 12
- 423 **Olaso I., Velasco F. and Pérez N.** (1998) Importance of discarded blue whiting
 424 (*Micromesistius poutassou*) in the diet of lesser spotted dogfish (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) in
 425 the Cantabrian Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 55, 331-341

- 426 **Olin J. A., Hussey N. E., Grgicak-Mannion A., Fritts M. W., Wintner S. P., Fisk A. T.**
427 (2013) Variable δ ^{15}N diet-tissue discrimination factors among sharks: implications for
428 trophic position, diet and food web models. *PloS one*, 8,10.
- 429 **Öztürk B.** (2009) Marine protected areas in the high seas of the Aegean and Eastern
430 Mediterranean Seas, some proposals. *Journal of Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment* 15,
431 69-82.
- 432 **Özcan E. İ. and Başusta N.** (2015) Kuzeydoğu Akdeniz Bölgesi'nde yaşayan Benekli
433 Kedibalgı, (*Scyliorhinus canicula* (Linnaeus, 1758))'nın mide içeriği. *Su Ürünleri Dergisi*
434 32, 193-195. [In Turkish]
- 435 **Özcan E. İ. and Başusta N.** (2016) Kuzeydoğu Akdeniz Bölgesinde Yaşayan Adi Köpekbalığı,
436 *Mustelus mustelus* (Linnaeus, 1758)'un Mide İçeriği. *Fırat Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri*
437 *Dergisi*, 28, 7-12. [In Turkish]
- 438 **Özütemiz Ş., Kaya M. and Özaydın O.** (2009) Sığacık Körfezi'nde (Ege Denizi) Bulunan İki
439 Tür Köpekbalığının [*Galeus melastomus* Rafinesque, 1810 ve *Squalus blainvillei* (Risso,
440 1826)] Boy-Ağırlık İlişkisi ve Beslenme Özellikleri Üzerine Bir Ön Çalışma. *Ege*
441 *Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Dergisi*, 26, 211-217. [In Turkish]
- 442 **Pauly D., Froese R., Sa-a P. S., Palomares M. L., Christensen V. and Rius J.** (2000)
443 TrophLab manual. ICLARM, Manila.
- 444 **Peterson B. J., Fry B.** (1987) Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. *Annual review of ecology*
445 *and systematics* 1, 293-320.
- 446 **Pethiakos G., Tsiaras K., Triantafyllou G., Kalaroni S. and Pollani, A.** (2014) Sensitivity of
447 the N. Aegean Sea ecosystem to Black Sea Water inputs. *Mediterranean Marine Science* 15:
448 790-804.
- 449 **Pianka E. R.** (2000) Evolutionary ecology. Harper Collins.

- 450 **Saidi B., Enajjar S., Bradai M. N., Bouain A.** (2009) Diet composition of smooth-hound
451 shark, *Mustelus mustelus* (Linnaeus, 1758), in the Gulf of Gabès, southern Tunisia. *Journal*
452 *of Applied Ichthyology* 25(s1), 113-118.
- 453 **Šantić M., Rađa B. and Pallaoro A.** (2012) Feeding habits of small-spotted catshark
454 (*Scyliorhinus canicula* Linnaeus, 1758) from the eastern central Adriatic Sea. *Marine*
455 *Biology Research* 8,1003-1011.
- 456 **Shiffman D., Gallagher A., Boyle M., Hammerschlag-Peyer C. and Hammerschlag N.**
457 (2012) Stable isotope analysis as a tool for elasmobranch conservation research: a primer for
458 non-specialists. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 63, 635–643.
- 459 **Stergiou K.I., Christou E.D., Georgopoulos D., Zenetos A., Souvermesoglou C.** (1997)
460 Hellenic Seas: physics, chemistry, biology and fisheries. *Oceanography and Marine Biology*
461 *an Annual Review* 35, 415e538
- 462 **Stergiou K. I. and Karpouzi V. S.** (2001) Feeding habits and trophic levels of Mediterranean
463 fish. *Reviews in fish biology and fisheries* 11, 217-254.
- 464 **Valls M., Quetglas A., Ordines F. and Moranta J.** (2011) Feeding ecology of demersal
465 elasmobranchs from the shelf and slope off the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean).
466 *Scientia Marina* 75, 633-639.
- 467 **Vaudo J. J. and Heithaus M. R.** (2011). Dietary niche overlap in a nearshore elasmobranch
468 mesopredator community. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 425, 247-260.
- 469 **White W. T., Platell M. E. and Potter I. C.** (2004) Comparisons between the diets of four
470 abundant species of elasmobranchs in a subtropical embayment: implications for resource
471 partitioning. *Marine Biology* 144, 439-448.
- 472 **Yığın C. Ç., İşmen A., Umur Ö. N. A. L. and Arslan M.** (2015) Cartilaginous fishes and
473 fisheries in the Aegean Sea. *The Aegean Sea*, 286.

474 **Zanden M. and Rasmussen J.B.** (2001) Variation in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ trophic fractionation:
475 implications for aquatic food web studies. *Limnology and Oceanography* 46, 2061–2066.
476
477
478

For Review Only

Figure 1. Study area (Gökçeada Island, North Aegean Sea)

Table 1. Stomach content results of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*, common smoothhound *Mustelus mustelus*, longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville*, small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* and nursehound *S. stellaris* collected in the North Aegean Sea. N=sample size; Total length of individuals; mean and standard deviation of fullness index; *V*= vacuity index; FO%= Frequency of occurrence and W%=weight.

	Blackmouth catshark		Common smoothhound		Small-spotted catshark		Nursehound		Longnose spurdog	
N	26		8		14		64		12	
Total Length (mm)	227±35.6		911.5±11.2		299 ± 37.8		478.71±193.2		591±79.3	
Fullnes index	64.64±20.48		76.55±4.56		38.51±18.6		57.87±12.96		46.82±30.35	
V (%)	7		0		8		3		14	
Trophic Level	4.41		4.35		4.22		3.99		4.17	
Prey/Metric	FO%	W%	FO%	W%	FO%	W%	FO%	W%	FO%	W%
ANNALIDA										
POLYCHAETES					4.7	3.2				
CRUSTACEANS	12.5	10.28	87.5	16.9	25.6	25.9	28.6	33.5	24.2	37
<i>Liocarcinus depurator</i>			25	7.7						
<i>Munida intermedia</i>			12.5	4.02						
<i>Parapeneus longirostris</i>	12.5	10.28			12.5	17.3			4.26	16.31
Unidentified Crustaceans			87.5	5	25.6	8.6				
CEPHALOPODS	18.75	33.9	25	53.1	25.6	27	42.9	50.6	18.2	14.6
<i>Illex coidei</i>			25	53.1						
<i>Loligo vulgaris</i>	4.76	12.7								
Unidentified Cephalapods	14.28	17.6								
TELEOSTS	62.5	55.7	37.5	30	41.9	43.8	28.6	15.9	54.5	48.4
<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>			25	18.1					8.3	4.13
Unidentfied Teleost	62.5	55.7	25	11.9	41.9	43.8	28.6	15.9	46.2	43.9
DIGESTED MATERIAL		12.6		5.9		36.8		47.5		17.8

Figure 2. Isotopic Bayesian Standard Ellipse Areas (SEAc) and Mean ($\pm$ standard error) of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*, common smoothhound *Mustelus mustelus*, longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville*, small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* and nursehound *S. stellaris* from the north Aegean Sea

166x148mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and trophic level (TP_{SIA}) estimated with $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*, common smoothhound *Mustelus mustelus*, longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville*, small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* and nursehound *S. stellaris*

Species	n	Sampling month	Depth range (m)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	TP_{SIA}
Blackmouth catshark	9	February 2015	235-450	-17.17±0.63	11.45±0.15	4.54±0.07
Common smoothhound	4	April 2015	180-250	-16.60±0.61	10.82±1.23	4.23±0.63
Longnose spurdog	8	September 2015	150-315	-17.49±0.63	12.12±0.24	4.89±0.12
Small-spotted catshark	12	September 2015	38-275	-17.95±0.48	10.01±0.91	3.81±0.46
Nursehound	5	April 2015	33-150	-16.95±0.54	8.96±0.66	3.27±0.34

Table 3. Main prey groups in diet of blackmouth catshark *Galeus melastomus*, common smoothhound *Mustelus mustelus*, longnose spurdog *Squalus blainville*, small-spotted catshark *Scyliorhinus canicula* and nursehound *S. stellaris* from Mediterranean Sea

Species	Region	Main prey group	Reference
Blackmouth catshark	Aegean Sea	Cephalopods, Teleosts	Present study
Blackmouth catshark	Western Mediterranean	Cephalopods	Aldo-Puigserver <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Blackmouth catshark	Ionian Sea	Cephalopods, Teleosts, Crustaceans	Anastasopoulou <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Blackmouth catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Özütemiz <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Blackmouth catshark	Adriatic Sea	Cephalopods	Bello, 1997
Blackmouth catshark	Western Mediterranean	Crustaceans, Cephalopods	Fanelli <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Blackmouth catshark	Western Mediterranean	Crustaceans, Teleosts, Cephalopods	Valls <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Common smoothhound	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Cephalopods, Teleosts	Present study
Common smoothhound	Levantine Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Özcan & Basusta, 2016
Common smoothhound	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Eronat, 2012
Common smoothhound	Central Mediterranean	Teleosts, Cephalopods	Saidi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Common smoothhound	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Filiz, 2009
Common smoothhound	Aegean Sea	Cephalopods	Kabasakal, 2002b
Common smoothhound	Adriatic Sea	Teleosts, Crustaceans	Jardas <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts, Cephalopods	Present study
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts, Cephalopods	Kousteni <i>et al.</i> , 2017a
Small-spotted catshark	Levantine Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Özcan & Basusta, 2015
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans	Eronat, 2012
Small-spotted catshark	Adriatic Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Santic <i>et al.</i> , 2012
Small-spotted catshark	Central Mediterranean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Mnasri <i>et al.</i> , 2012
Small-spotted catshark	Adriatic Sea	Cephalopods	Bello, 1997
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Filiz & Taşkavak, 2006
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Kabasakal, 2001
Small-spotted catshark	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Cihangir <i>et al.</i> , 1997
Small-spotted catshark	Central Mediterranean	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Capape, 1974
Small-spotted catshark	Western Mediterranean	Crustaceans	Valls <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Small-spotted catshark	Western Mediterranean	Crustaceans	Barría <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Nursehound	Northern Aegean Sea	Cephalopods, Crustaceans, Teleosts	Present study
Nursehound	Aegean Sea	Teleosts	Eronat, 2012
Longnose spurdog	Aegean Sea	Teleosts, Crustaceans	Present study
Longnose spurdog	Aegean Sea	Cephalopods, Teleosts	Kousteni <i>et al.</i> , 2017b
Longnose spurdog	Aegean Sea	Crustaceans, Teleosts	Özütemiz <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Longnose spurdog	Aegean Sea	Cephalopods	Kabasakal, 2002b