1 **Title: Large-scale distribution of tuna species in a warming ocean**

2 **Running head:** Climate change impacts on tuna species

3 Erauskin-Extramiana, Maite[1*]; Arrizabalaga, Haritz[2]; Hobday, Alistair J[3].; Cabré, Anna[4];

4 Ibaibarriaga, Leire[1]; Arregui, Igor[2]; Murua, Hilario[2]; Chust, Guillem[1]

5

6 [1]AZTI, Marine Research Division, Txatxarramendi Ugartea z/g, 48395 Sukarrieta,

7 Basque Country, Spain

8 [2]AZTI, Marine Research Division, Herrera Kaia Portualdea z/g, 20110 Pasaia, Basque

9 Country, Spain

10 [3]Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Oceans and

11 Atmosphere, Hobart, TAS, Australia

12 [4]Institute of Marine Sciences, Passeig Marítim, 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Catalonia,

13 Spain

14

15 **Corresponding author (*):**

16 Maite Erauskin-Extramiana.

17 Email address: merauskin@azti.es

18 Telephone: +34 634 210 341

19

22 **Paper type:** Primary research article

23

Abstract

24

25   Tuna are globally distributed species of major commercial importance and a major

26   source of protein in many countries. Tuna are characterized by dynamic distribution

27   patterns that respond to climate variability and long-term change. Here we investigated

28   the effect of environmental conditions on the worldwide distribution and relative

29   abundance of six tuna species between 1958 and 2004 and estimated the expected end-

30   of-the-century changes based on a high carbon dioxide emission scenario (RCP8.5). We

31   created species distribution models using a long-term Japanese longline fishery dataset

32   and two-step Generalized Additive Models. Over the historical period, suitable habitats

33   shifted poleward for 20 out of 22 tuna stocks, based on their gravity centre and/or one of

34   their distribution limits. On average, tuna habitat distribution limits have shifted poleward

35   6.5 km per decade in the northern hemisphere and 5.5 km per decade in the southern

36   hemisphere. Larger tuna distribution shifts and relative abundance changes are expected

37   in the future, especially by the end-of-the-century (2080-2099). Temperate tunas

38   (albacore, Atlantic bluefin and southern bluefin) and the tropical bigeye tuna are expected

39   to decline in the tropics and shift poleward. In contrast, skipjack and yellowfin tunas

40   become more abundant in tropical areas as well as in most coastal countries' Exclusive

41   Economic Zones. These results provide global information on the potential effects of

42   climate change in tuna populations and might assist countries seeking to minimize these

43   effects via adaptive management.

44   **1. Introduction**

45   Fisheries contribute to subsistence and food security for many countries. They provide

46   wild protein resources, generate employment, promote economic growth, and comprise

47   important renewable resource (Bell *et al.*, 2009; Gillett, 2000). Pelagic species, including

48   both small pelagics and large tunas, consist of the largest proportion of the global marine

catches (21%, 19.6 million tons) (FAO, 2016). The annual catch of tuna and tuna-like species reached about 7.7 million tons in 2014 (FAO, 2016) and this is economically important to many nations (Brill & Hobday, 2017). Furthermore, as widely distributed and highly migratory species (Arrizabalaga *et al.*, 2015; FAO, 1994; FAO, 2011), tuna play ecologically important roles in many regions due to their top-down influence on the ecosystem structure (Cox *et al.*, 2002; Sibert *et al.*, 2006). The most economically important tuna species are referred to as principal market tunas, and are caught by industrial pelagic fisheries around the globe (FAO, 2011). These principal market tunas include albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*), Atlantic bluefin tuna (*T. thynnus*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), Pacific bluefin tuna (*T. orientalis*), southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*), yellowfin tuna (*T. albacares*), and skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). Catches of principal market tunas reached 4.9 million tons in 2016 (ISSF, 2018) and are considered fully utilized (ISSF, 2018). The total adult biomass of tuna has been estimated to decline by 49% between 1954 and 2006 (Juan-Jordá *et al.*, 2011), and this decline has been attributed to intensified exploitation (Worm & Tittensor, 2011).

Climate change has a significant impact across all marine ecosystems, latitudes and trophic levels (Scheffers *et al.*, 2016) with many studies showing global warming effects on species distribution and abundance (Burrows *et al.*, 2011; Cheung *et al.*, 2013; Pecl *et al.*, 2017; Richardson *et al.*, 2012), as well as phenology (Asch, 2015; Poloczanska *et al.*, 2013; Poloczanska *et al.*, 2016). Climate change may redistribute the global catch potential with a 30–70% increase in high-latitude regions and a 40% decrease in the tropics (Cheung *et al.*, 2009). Increases in the proportion of tropical tuna in sub-tropical regions between 1965 and 2011 were related to ocean warming (Monllor-Hurtado *et al.*, 2017). Due to the socio-economic value of tuna species, understanding and predicting responses to global climate change are a priority for the scientific community to design

74 effective fishery management to ensure the sustainability of tuna populations and, hence,

75 human societies depending on them (Barange *et al.*, 2018; Hobday *et al.*, 2017). Recently,

76 Arrizabalaga *et al.* (2015) described the global habitat preferences of commercially

77 valuable tuna, but did not explore historical or future changes in these distributions. Other

78 regional, single ocean, or single species projections have predicted tuna distribution and

79 tuna population responses to climate change (Bell *et al.*, 2013; Christian & Holmes, 2016;

80 Druon *et al.*, 2017; Dueri *et al.*, 2014; Lehodey *et al.*, 2012; Michael *et al.*, 2017). For

81 example, studies on Pacific Ocean skipjack predict significant changes in their abundance

82 and spatial distribution (reduction in most tropical waters and expansion in higher

83 latitudes) in the future (Dueri *et al.*, 2014; Dueri *et al.*, 2016; Lehodey *et al.*, 2012). It has

84 also been predicted that the distribution of tuna will be affected by changes linked to

85 physiological characteristics. For example, a decrease in oxygen concentration will

86 compress the vertical habitat of tuna in the water column (Mislan *et al.*, 2017).

87 Despite of the relevance of tuna in the global economy and the future supply of food

88 (Mullon *et al.*, 2017), a global-scale study addressing the historical changes of the tuna

89 habitat and providing future distributions based on climate change projections for all

90 major commercial species is lacking. Here, we investigate the effect of environmental

91 conditions on the worldwide distribution of six tuna species between 1958 and 2004 and

92 estimate the expected changes by the mid and end of the century under climate change.

93 We also analyze changes in tuna habitat within countries' Exclusive Economic Zones

94 (EEZ) to assess the potential impact for those countries.

95 **2. Material and Methods**

96 **2.1 Fishery data**

97 Six of the seven most commercial tuna species were considered in this study (the

98 temperate species - albacore, Atlantic and southern bluefin tunas, and the tropical

4

99   yellowfin, bigeye, and skipjack tunas). Japanese fleet pelagic longline fishing catch and

100 effort data were used in developing the distribution models because of their extended

101 spatio-temporal coverage. Atlantic (AO), Indian (IO) and Pacific (PO) Ocean Japanese

102 longline catch and effort data were obtained from the five relevant tuna Regional Fishery

103 Management Organizations (RFMOs), i.e. International Commission for the

104 Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT, www.iccat.int), Indian Ocean Tuna

105 Commission (IOTC, www.iotc.org), Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission

106 (WCPFC, www.wcpfc.int), Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC,

107 www.iattc.org) and Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (CCSBT,

108 www.ccsbt.org), with the exception of WCPFC where fleet-specific information and

109 skipjack catches were not available (Arrizabalaga *et al.*, 2015). Nominal Catch Per Unit

110 Effort (CPUE, tuna tons per 1000 hooks) between 1958 and 2004 was calculated as the

111 ratio of catch (tons) to the number of hooks, with the exception of SBT as catch data were

112 in number of individuals rather than as biomass and only available from 1965 onwards.

113 CPUE was assumed to be a proxy for fish relative abundance: we acknowledge potential

114 issues with this assumption (e.g. Schirripa *et al.* (2017)), however, it remains the best data

115 source for our analyses. Although the spatio-temporal resolution was heterogeneous

116 between data sources, all CPUE were averaged by season and at 5°x5° spatial resolution.

117 **2.2 Historical and future environmental data**

118 Historical environmental data (1958-2004) were obtained from the PISCES

119 biogeochemical model (Pelagic Interaction Scheme for Carbon and Ecosystem Studies,

120 Aumont and Bopp (2006)). This model is derived from the Hamburg Model of Carbon

121 Cycle version 5 (HAMOCC5) (Aumont *et al.*, 2003) and simulates the lower trophic

122 levels of marine ecosystems (plankton), the biogeochemical cycles of carbon and the main

123 limiting nutrients (Aumont *et al.*, 2015). Based on the analysis of Arrizabalaga *et al.*

124   (2015), the following variables were used to characterize the environmental preferences

125   of tunas: sea surface temperature (SST in ºC), sea surface salinity (SSS in PSU), sea

126   surface height (SSH, in m) and mixed layer depth (MLD, in m) as abiotic environmental

127   variables, and phytoplankton (log(phyto) in log(mmol/m^3)) as biotic factor. All

128   environmental variables were averaged to the same spatial (5º x 5º) and temporal (season)

129   resolution as the fishery data.

130   Projections of oceanographic variables for the reference period (1980-1999), mid

131   (2040-2059) and the end-of-the-21st-century (2080-2099) were extracted from the

132   average of 16 IPCC AR5 (Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

133   Climate Change) models that contain a biological module (hereinafter Ensemble) with a

134   mean ~1º spatial resolution (Cabré *et al.*, 2014). We considered the highest-carbon-

135   emission scenario (RCP8.5 with 936 CO_2 ppm by the end-of-the-century) of the IPCC

136   AR5 (IPCC (2013)). By the end-of-the-century, this scenario projects global average

137   increase of temperature and SSH (2.23ºC and 0.16 m, respectively), and decrease of

138   MLD, SSS and phytoplankton (18.7 m, 0.24 psu and 0.16 mmol/m^3, respectively).

139   **2.3 Tuna distribution models**

140   **2.3.1 Generalized additive models**

141   Species distribution models (SDM) associate species occurrence or abundance

142   with environmental conditions (Elith *et al.*, 2006; Guisan & Zimmermann, 2000). SDM

143   of tuna was constructed by modelling tuna CPUEs in relation to environmental conditions

144   using Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) (Hastie & Tibshirani, 1990; Wood, 2012;

145   Wood, 2017). GAMs were selected as they enable the fit of non-linear responses for a

146   wide range of statistical distributions. The two-step methodology described in Borchers

147   *et al.* (1997) for horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) and in (Erauskin-Extramiana *et*

148   *al.*, in press) for anchovy, was adapted here for tuna catch and effort data. Tuna catch data

149   are problematic for building reliable SDMs because the observed absences (strata with

150   fishing effort but no catches) are restricted to the fishing area. Thus, our adapted

151   methodology includes the generation of pseudo-absences ocean-wide depending on the

152   range of environmental variables (Iturbide *et al.*, 2015). Following the recommendations

153   in Barbet-Massin *et al.* (2012) to produce the most accurate predicted distributions,

154   pseudo-absences were randomly generated ocean-wide excluding the yearly presence

155   locations and balanced with the number of presences in each particular year (Iturbide *et*

156   *al.*, 2015). In the case of Atlantic bluefin tuna, pseudo-absences were limited to the

157   Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, while in the case of southern bluefin tuna they

158   were limited to the southern hemisphere. Due to the lack of fishery data in the western

159   and central Pacific for skipjack, no pseudo-absences for this species were generated in

160   this area. Then, the first step was to fit the presence/pseudo-absence (PA) model to the

161   tuna occurrence assuming a binomial error distribution with a logit-link function. The

162   second step was to fit the abundance model (AB) for non-zero observations using the log-

163   transformed Catch-Per-Unit-Effort (CPUE) as response variable assuming Gaussian error

164   distribution and identity link. The expected CPUE was calculated as the product of the

165   first and second models (PA * AB) after back-transforming the logarithm of the CPUE

166   from the abundance model to the original CPUE scale. In order to fit unimodal response

167   curves for the environmental variables (according to the ecological niche concept of

168   Hutchinson (1957)) and avoid overfitting, degrees of smoothness ("k" values) were set

169   equal or less than three. GAMs were built using the "mgcv" package in R-language

170   (Wood, 2012) after removing all the records with missing values.

171   Three fixed factors (Year, Season and Stock) and their interactions were also

172   added to the full model to correct for the spatial and temporal changes in abundance

173   and/or catchability. The Stock factor also corrects for potential differences in the way the

7

174   tuna RFMOs data are gathered, which might affect average CPUE values (Arrizabalaga

175   *et al.*, 2015; Schirripa *et al.*, 2017).

176   **2.3.2   Model selection and validation**

177   The best model selection was conducted using the *dredge* function of the 'MuMIn'

178   package (Barton, 2016). This function generates a subset of models with different

179   combinations of variables of the global model and selects the one with the lowest AIC

180   (Akaike Information Criterion) (Bruge *et al.*, 2016; Guisan & Zimmermann, 2000;

181   Sakamoto *et al.*, 1986).

182   The presence/pseudo-absence model was validated using the cross-validation

183   method (Burnham & Anderson, 2003), with k-fold equally sized sub-datasets (Hijmans

184   *et al.*, 2013). We used k=5, i.e. 80% of randomly selected observations to validate the fit

185   of the remaining (i.e. 20%). We followed the two threshold selection criteria of Jiménez-

186   Valverde and Lobo (2007) to convert the species probability of presence to either

187   presence (above the assigned value) or absence (below the threshold). The first criteria

188   selected the threshold for which the sensitivity (true predicted presences) was equal to the

189   specificity (true predicted absences). The second criteria followed the maximization of

190   the sensitivity plus specificity.

191   The confusion matrix accuracy assessment (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2012) was used to

192   evaluate how reasonable was the discrimination of the presences and absences in the PA

193   model. Area Under the Curve (AUC) values range between 0.5 (random sorting) to 1

194   (perfect discrimination) and was estimated over the presences and absences estimated by

195   the model and the presences and pseudo-absences randomly generated. Accuracy in the

196   abundance model was calculated by comparing predictions with observations using the

197   R-squared value and contrasted with the overall explained deviance. A large difference

198   between both values would indicate overfitting (Villarino *et al.*, 2015).

2.4 Historical trend analysis

In order to analyze the tuna species habitats' changes between 1958 and 2004, we predicted the worldwide distribution annually according to the selected model and using the yearly aggregated environmental data for each particular year. The Gravity Center (GC) of the tuna distribution, as the mean location of the stock biomass (Bez & Rivoirard, 2001) and 5, 20, 80 and 95% percentiles (P5, P20, P80 and P95) of the location weighted by the relative abundance were calculated in order to identify changes in the distribution of tunas' populations and their shifts. P5, P20, P80 and P95 provide information of the northern and southern distribution limits in both, past and future. Relative abundance changes were also estimated as the difference between the relative abundance average for the last and first five years of the time series in each latitude.

2.4.1   Distribution and climatic indices

The potential correlations between climatic indices and the distribution GC changes were studied to test the hypothesis that population distribution changes were due to oscillations of global climatic indices instead of climate change. The climatic indices used (from https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/gcos_wgsp/Timeseries/) were: Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), Pacific/North American teleconnection pattern (PNA), Artic Oscillation (AO), Southern Annular Mode (SAM), Trans Polar Index (TPI), Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), Dipole Mode Index (DMI) and North Pacific Index (NP). The correlation between the GC and the yearly average of each climatic index was calculated in both spatial axes (latitudinal and longitudinal) but only with those indices considered to affect the distribution area of each stock.

2.5 Future projections and changes

222   To study the future impact of climate change on tuna distribution and relative

223   abundance, GAM predictions for the mid (2040-2059) and the end-of-the-21st-century

224   (2080-2099) were compared with predictions for the reference period (1980-1999). For

225   each species, model projections were performed at each level of each of the fixed factors

226   and then averaged. These averages represent the spatial distribution and relative

227   abundance of tuna at each location, given an average abundance and catchability

228   condition.

229   ### 2.5.1   Expected changes in Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs)

230   The potential relative abundance changes for all the species under future climate

231   change was estimated within the exclusive economic zones (EEZs) for all coastal

232   countries. EEZ data (from http://www.marineregions.org) delimit the 200 nautical miles

233   boundary from each coast (Flanders Marine Institute, 2018). As the spatial resolution in

234   coastal areas was low in projection models, we only analyzed those countries with data

235   in more than the 30% of the grid-cells inside the EEZ. The averaged relative abundance

236   within EEZs was estimated for the reference period as well as the future, and changes

237   were calculated as the difference between both periods.

238   ## 3.   Results

239   ### 3.1. Tuna distribution models

240   Selected tuna distribution models explained between 35.5% (southern bluefin

241   tuna) and 62.4% (skipjack tuna) of the deviance during the reference period (S. Table 1).

242   Most of the models included all the environmental and fixed factors but not all fixed

243   factors interactions (S. Table 1, S. Fig. 1a, b). The models showed a good predictive

244   power (S. Table 2) with an AUC between 0.784 (albacore tuna) and 0.838 (Atlantic

245 bluefin tuna) for PA model and R-squared values between 0.34 (Atlantic bluefin tuna)

246 and 0.74 (yellowfin tuna).

247   Global tuna relative abundance is represented in Fig. 1. Albacore tuna was

248 distributed between 60 ºS to 60ºN worldwide with larger relative abundances in the

249 temperate waters of Indian and Pacific oceans. Lower abundances were associated with

250 high productive areas (such as main upwelling zones) or equatorial areas. Atlantic bluefin

251 tuna mainly appeared north of 35ºN in the North Atlantic Ocean and in the Mediterranean

252 Sea. Other areas in the south Atlantic off the west coast of South Africa and Namibia, and

253 in the Southern Ocean show presence. The west Africa area was fished during the first

254 years of the time series (mainly in the 1960's), with the last observation in 1998. Since

255 then, no Atlantic bluefin have been caught with longline in the southern hemisphere.

256 Southern bluefin tuna appeared between 30 and 60ºS with the highest abundances south

257 of Australia, New Zealand, and South America (Chile and Argentina). High abundances

258 were predicted south of the East Pacific Ocean where there was absence of fishery data.

259 Between Australia and some Indo-Pacific islands, where southern bluefin catch data were

260 available, very low abundances were predicted by the model. Yellowfin and bigeye tunas

261 were distributed between the equator and the subtropics in three main oceans (Pacific,

262 Indian and Atlantic) with higher abundances of yellowfin in the equatorial areas and

263 between 20ºS and 20ºN in the Atlantic Ocean for bigeye. Very low or null abundances

264 were predicted in the central Indo-Pacific region. Potential presence of both species was

265 predicted in the Mediterranean Sea although there were no catch data there. Skipjack tuna

266 showed a similar distribution to yellowfin and bigeye tunas.

Figure 1: Global distribution of tuna species. a) Albacore tuna, b) Atlantic bluefin tuna, c) Southern bluefin tuna, d) Yellowfin tuna, e) Bigeye tuna and d) Skipjack tuna. Relative abundances (in tons/1000 hooks) are represented in a log-transformed scale. Notice the different scales for different species. The black circles represent the raw log-transformed CPUE data and the size is proportional to the value. Circles are not present in West Pacific due to the lack of catch data.

268   **3.2. Past distribution and trend analysis**

269   Historic tuna habitat and relative abundance showed important changes between

270   1958 and 2004 (Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Table 1). Modeled albacore habitat gravity center (GC)

271   showed significant (p-value < 0.05) poleward shifts in all the stocks (Fig. 2a, c, d, h, i, j

272   and Table 1) with the highest change in North Atlantic Ocean (28.8 km per decade). The

273   distribution limits shifted significantly poleward except in the south Pacific and in the

274   Mediterranean Sea, which involves an expansion of the distribution area. Relative

abundance in recent years decreased significantly (up to 50%) in the most productive area

for longline between 10 and 30º N and slightly between the equator and 25º S (Fig. 3). A

smaller increase occurred in the first 10º of the northern hemisphere and in the northern

and southern boundaries (30-40º N and 25-35º S). The Atlantic bluefin tuna habitat GC

shifted northward significantly in the West Atlantic Ocean (p-value<0.001) but this

change was not significant in the East Atlantic Ocean (p-value=0.07) (Fig. 2e, g and Table

1). In both stocks, the northern limit shift further north was highly significant which

means that Atlantic bluefin habitat became more suitable at higher latitudes. The relative

abundance of bluefin increased slightly in all the northern hemisphere (0-60º N) in recent

years (Fig. 3). The southern bluefin tuna habitat GC shifted northward towards the equator

significantly (p-value < 0.001) between 1965 and 2004. In the 1960's and 1970's,

southern bluefin tuna GC shifted to the pole (southward) and it was not until the 1980's

when it started shifting towards the equator (Fig. 2k and Table 1). Both limits (northern

and southern) shifted northward and hence, the relative abundance in recent years

decreased south of 25ºS (Fig. 3). Yellowfin tuna habitat GC shifted significantly to the

south in the Pacific and Indian Oceans (both p-value<0.001) but no trend was found in

the Atlantic Ocean (p-value=0.87) (Fig. 2b, f, j, l and Table 1). The largest change

occurred in the East Pacific Ocean at a rate of 26.6 km per decade. In general, both limits

shifted southward in the Pacific and Indian Ocean but poleward in the Atlantic. The

abundance in recent years increased in all latitudes except for a small decrease between

6 and 10ºN (Fig. 3). In contrast to yellowfin, bigeye tuna habitat GC shifted significantly

to the north in the Atlantic Ocean (p-value=0.019) and southward in the Indian Ocean.

Pacific tuna stocks showed no significant trends (p-values=0.2 and 0.65 for east and west,

respectively) (Fig. 2b, l and Table 1). The distribution limits shifted poleward in the

Atlantic Ocean (but only significantly in the northern hemisphere), while no trends were

300 found in the Pacific. Bigeye tuna relative abundance increased in recent years through its

301 distribution range, especially between the equator and 60ºN (Fig. 3). Skipjack tuna stocks

302 showed different responses to environmental changes around the world: northward shift

303 in the West Atlantic (p-value=0.006), southward shifts in the east and west Pacific and

304 Indian stocks (p-value=0.046, <0.001 and <0.001 respectively), and no significant shift

305 in the East Atlantic (p-value=0.29) (Fig. 2b, e, g, j, l and Table 1). The distribution limits

306 did not show a trend, with a different pattern depending on the stock. Changes in the mean

307 abundance per latitude were barely noticeable, varying between $-4.3e^{-5}$ to $4.4e^{-5}$ tons/1000

308 hooks CPUE change (Fig. 3).

Figure 2: Historical trends for the habitat of 22 tuna stocks' gravity center anomalies (in latitudinal degrees).

309 In summary, 20 out of 22 stocks have shifted poleward, either their gravity centre

310 and/or one of their distribution limits. All temperate tuna habitats shifted significantly

311 poleward (northward in the northern hemisphere and southward in the southern

312 hemisphere), except southern bluefin tuna which moved to the north. Tropical tunas,

313 distributed around the equator, showed opposing shifts in their distribution limits, hence,

Table 1: Change in Gravity Center (GC, in latitudinal degrees per year), North (N) and South (S) limits estimated with percentiles 95 (P95), 80 (P80), 20 (P20) and 5 (P5) for the six-tuna species between 1958 to 2004 except in the case of S. bluefin tuna which was between 1965 and 2004. P-value < 0.001 is represented by '***', p-value between 0.001 and 0.01 with '**', and p-value >0.01 and < 0.05 by '*'.

Graphic	Species	Stock	Ocean	GC	limN (P80)	limN (P95)	limS (P20)	limS (P95)
a	Albacore	albNP	North Pacific	0.014*	0.027***	0.016*	0.003	-0.03**
	Bigeye	betEP		-0.004	0.005	0.009	-0.017*	-0.02**
b	Skipjack	skjEP	East Pacific	-0.007*	-0.003	0.01	0.003	-0.014*
	Yellowfin	yftEP		-0.024***	-0.015*	0.004	-0.034***	-0.005
c	Albacore	albSP	South Pacific	-0.023***	-0.043***	-0.035**	-0.011	-0.01*
d	Albacore	albNA	North Atlantic	0.026***	0.045***	0.035***	0.013	-0.043***
e	A. bluefin	bftW	West Atlantic	0.05***	0.018***	0.072**	0.036	0.035***
	Skipjack	skjWA		0.011**	0.012**	0.015**	0.017*	0.002
f	Bigeye	betA	Atlantic	0.011*	0.023**	0.017***	-0.002	-0.005
	Yellowfin	yftA		-0.001	0.019**	0.005	-0.013*	-0.042**
g	A. bluefin	bftE	East Atlantic	0.009	0.038***	0.025***	0.003	0.005
	Skipjack	skjEA		0.007	0.037*	0.013*	-0.009	-0.009
h	Albacore	albSA	South Atlantic	-0.008*	0.000	-0.013	-0.012*	0.000
i	Albacore	albM	Mediterranean	0.003**	0.001	0.000	0.007	0.004*
	Albacore	albI		-0.021***	-0.023***	-0.011	-0.037***	-0.014**
	Bigeye	betI		-0.011***	-0.002	0.000	-0.011	-0.035***
j	Skipjack	skjI	Indian	-0.016***	-0.002	-0.001	-0.019**	-0.017**
	Yellowfin	yftI		-0.017***	-0.005	-0.003	-0.022***	-0.037***
k	S. bluefin	sbt	Southern	0.009***	0.009	0.028***	0.006	0.01*
	Bigeye	betWP		0.002	0.009	-0.029***	0.01	-0.017*
l	Skipjack	skjWP	West Pacific	-0.008***	-0.01*	-0.012*	-0.008	-0.006
	Yellowfin	yftWP		-0.02***	-0.011*	-0.03***	-0.013*	-0.004

314 were less affected in their GC. They generally shifted southward in the Pacific and Indian

315 Oceans but northward in the Atlantic Ocean. Overall, 91% of the stocks shifted poleward

316 during the study period, representing 89% of the temperate and 92% of tropical tunas. On

317 average, the distribution limits (P80) shifted poleward 6.5 km per decade in the northern

318 hemisphere and 5.5 km per decade in the southern hemisphere.

319

Figure 3: Relative abundance changes (in tons/1000 hooks and 10 inds/1000 hooks in the case of S. bluefin) between past (1958-1963 and 1965-1970 for S. bluefin) and recent (1999-2004) period. a) Average abundance per latitude for the two periods; b) Abundance anomalies estimated as the difference between past and recent periods for six tuna species: alb=albacore tuna, bft=A. bluefin tuna, sbt=S. bluefin tuna, yft=yellowfin tuna, bet= bigeye tuna and skj=skipjack tuna.

3.2.1. Relation with climatic indices

The analyses between latitudinal GC changes in tuna stocks and climatic indices showed very few significant correlations (S. Table 3). Only 20.5% of the latitudinal changes were related to climatic indices, and the percentage was reduced to 4.6% in the case of longitudinal shifts.

3.3. Future tuna projections

3.3.1. Distribution and relative abundance changes

Future projections of tuna habitat under the RCP8.5 climate change scenario showed similar patterns for the mid- and the end-of-the-century but with higher changes expected by 2080-2099, with respect to the reference period (1980-1999). In general, most of the species are projected to expand their northern and southern boundaries (Table 2) increasing the relative abundance in the limits of their distribution (Fig. 4) while tropical tunas as skipjack and yellowfin are expected to increase abundance in their core tropical areas and eastward in the Pacific Ocean.

The relative abundance of albacore tuna increases in the distribution limits of the Indian and Pacific Oceans, but decrease in temperate areas around South Africa, south of Japan and Taiwan and northeast of Australia (Fig. 4). The gravity center for the future moves southward for the southern hemisphere stocks (South Atlantic, South Pacific and Indian) and northward for the northern hemisphere stocks (North Atlantic and North Pacific), except in the Mediterranean Sea where albacore do not show a clear trend (Table 2). Albacore tuna expand their northern and southern limits and decrease in temperate areas (Fig. 4). Atlantic bluefin tuna decrease in most of the current North Atlantic distribution area and increase slightly in the most northern areas of the Atlantic Ocean such as around Svalbard and Jan Mayen Islands. The western Atlantic bluefin stock is impeded by land masses with regard to expansion northward, but the eastern bluefin stock

346   extends its northern distribution limit by the end-of-the-century. The model also projects

347   that the habitat improves in high southern latitudes, where no occurrences have been

348   observed, shifting the West Atlantic bluefin stock southward.

Table 1: Gravity Center anomalies (GC, in latitudinal degrees), North (N) and South (S) limits estimated with percentiles 95 (P95) and 5 (P5) for the six-tuna species for mid- (2040-2059) and the end-of-the-century (2080-2099).

Stock	Species	Ocean	Mid-cent (2040-2059)			End-end (2080-2099)		
			GC	N	S	GC	N	S
albI		Indian	-2.44	-3.00	-1.50	-4.78	-6.50	-2.50
albM		Mediterranean	-0.65	-0.38	-1.87	0.39	-0.50	1.40
albNA	Albacore	North Atlantic	1.97	2.98	1.50	3.20	4.84	2.23
albNP		North Pacific	1.67	1.50	3.50	2.74	1.50	5.50
albSA		South Atlantic	-2.50	-5.28	-1.00	-4.45	-9.28	-1.50
albSP		South Pacific	-2.84	-5.00	-2.00	-4.98	-10.00	-3.00
betA		Atlantic	0.42	1.50	-2.21	-0.11	1.79	-2.75
betEP	Bigeye	East Pacific	-0.41	1.85	-1.16	-1.74	2.30	-2.05
betI		Indian	-1.14	-0.11	-1.74	-2.49	-0.89	-0.55
betWP		West Pacific	-0.34	2.46	-2.34	-2.57	2.11	-3.01
bftE	A. bluefin	East Atlantic	-3.14	1.03	-14.74	-14.28	6.38	-33.46
bftW		West Atlantic	-10.67	-0.35	8.01	-47.29	12.43	4.40
sbt	S. bluefin	Southern	-0.63	-1.00	0.00	2.29	3.00	0.50
skjEA		East Atlantic	1.51	5.20	-3.90	0.15	8.95	-6.99
skjEP		East Pacific	-0.04	43.89	-3.33	-0.75	35.46	-6.92
skjI	Skipjack	Indian	-0.81	-0.65	-3.33	-1.20	3.17	-7.38
skjWA		West Atlantic	0.68	-18.08	-4.59	1.02	-11.92	-9.46
skjWP		West Pacific	-0.18	5.68	-2.28	-1.21	-29.94	-5.48
yftA		Atlantic	-0.27	0.08	-0.41	-1.13	-3.42	-0.05
yftEP	Yellowfin	East Pacific	0.18	0.50	0.30	0.38	1.39	-0.04
yftI		Indian	0.23	0.06	-0.44	0.50	0.06	-0.46
yftWP		West Pacific	-0.09	0.50	-0.50	-0.88	1.50	-1.50

349   The relative abundance of the southern bluefin tuna increases in the southern limit

350   by mid-century but it decreases in most of the reference distribution area. By the end-of-

351   the-century (2080-2099), the relative abundance decreases in most of the distribution area

352   compared to the reference period. As a consequence of these changes, the GC shifts

353   slightly southward by mid-century and northward by the end-of-the-century. The

354   southern boundary shifts northward by 2080-2099. Yellowfin tuna increase in most of

355   their distribution area, with the highest changes projected for the equatorial areas of the

356   Atlantic, Indian and Central Pacific Oceans. However, the abundance is expected to

357   decrease north of Papua New Guinea and east of the Philippines. The yellowfin tuna GC

358   shifts southward in the West Pacific and Atlantic, while northward in the East Pacific and

359   Indian Oceans. The spatial distribution of bigeye tuna is projected to change most in the

360   Atlantic Ocean and less so in the Pacific and Indian Oceans. The relative abundance

361   decreases in the equatorial and tropical areas, but increases in the subtropical zones,

362   especially in the Northeast Atlantic and in the Southeast Atlantic off South Africa and

363   Namibia. The GC for all bigeye stocks, except in the Atlantic Ocean in 2040-2059, shifts

364   to the south and all the stocks expand their distribution areas. The relative abundance of

365   skipjack tuna increases in most of the distribution area, especially in the West Atlantic

366   Ocean, the Caribbean Sea, and the Bermuda region, similar to yellowfin. Southward shifts

367   occur in the Pacific and Indian Oceans and northward in the Atlantic Ocean. Expansions

368   of the eastern Pacific, western Pacific, Indian, and Eastern Atlantic stocks distribution

369   area are projected to occur by the mid-century. A contraction of the distribution is

370   predicted for the western Atlantic and western Pacific stocks by the end-of-the-century.

Figure 4: Gains and losses of relative abundance (in tons/1000 hooks, except for SBT, in number of individuals/1000 hooks) for mid- (left column) and end-of-the-century (right column).

371

3.3.2. Tuna abundance changes in the Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ)

373   Important changes in tuna abundance are expected in EEZs in the future (Fig. 5). It is

374   expected that northern countries such as Norway, Greenland, Iceland, Canada, United

Kingdom, and Ireland have the greatest depletion in Atlantic bluefin tuna abundance in

the future, with higher decreases by the end-of-the-century. Similarly, the abundance of

southern bluefin tuna in the southern hemisphere countries EEZ decreases, with Chile and

Argentina being the countries with the highest losses. Bigeye tuna decreases in all the

countries EEZ, except in a few high latitude northern and southern hemisphere countries

such as Norway, Iceland, Canada, Argentina, Chile, New Zealand, South Africa, and

some Northeast Atlantic countries (e.g. Portugal, Spain, France) where the abundance

Figure 5: Relative abundance changes (in CPUE units, tons per 1000 hooks or individuals per 1000 hooks in the case of southern bluefin tuna) in different countries EEZs for mid- (2040-2059) and end-of-the-century (2080-2099) compared with the reference period (1980-1999). Countries are ordered per mean latitude of the EEZ and dotted lines represent the equator (0º) and both 45º parallels (North and South).

382 increases marginally. Similar to bigeye tuna, the abundance of albacore tuna decreases in

383 most EEZs, except for some countries located around its distributional limit. Skipjack and

384 yellowfin tunas are the only species that are projected to significantly increase in the

385 future, despite decreasing in a few countries such as Indonesia, Malaysia, Micronesia,

386 Palau, Philippines, and Taiwan.

387 **4. Discussion**

388 Tuna habitat as modelled here, has shifted poleward over the 1958-2004 period and

389 is projected to continue to shift under climate change, with potential important

390 consequences for coastal fisheries and the countries that depend on them. We used

391 Japanese longline fleet data because it has been the most consistent fleet fishing in all the

392 oceans for the longest period of time. However, the catchability and availability of

393 skipjack tuna for the Japanese fleet is very low, as seen in the low CPUE values, hence

394 our model predicted very small differences between tropical, subtropical and temperate

395 waters habitat for skipjack. Moreover, the Japanese longline fleet catch mostly large fish

396 of all species and the predicted and projected distributions should thus be considered as a

397 proxy for the adult population.

398 Our method, based on the combination of presence/pseudo-absence and abundance

399 models (AB), improved the prediction of the tuna habitat distribution and the relative

400 abundances worldwide compared to the previous method by Arrizabalaga *et al.* (2015)

401 although the deviance explained in the AB model is always a bit lower than in

402 Arrizabalaga *et al.* (2015) due to the limitation that we imposed to the degree of

403 smoothness (k=3). Particularly, our method has improved the species distribution models

404 where presence data were not available (e.g. in areas where fish were not observed as

405 poles).

4.1. Tuna distribution models and their reliability

In recent decades, the increased use and species distribution model development has been applied to ecological problems on many species at different spatial and temporal scales (Robinson *et al.*, 2011). However, there are still some limitations in the development of SDM. In order to avoid the assumption of a pseudo-equilibrium between the species and the environment in the short term studies (Guisan & Theurillat, 2000) and, hence, to be able to detect long-term variations (Reygondeau *et al.*, 2012), we used a long time-series dataset (47 years of tuna catch and effort data). Fixed factors and their interactions were included in the CPUE model to correct for changes in abundance and/or catchability of tuna by the Japanese fleet (Arrizabalaga *et al.*, 2015). As in the study by Reygondeau *et al.* (2012), where tuna and billfishes were found rarely on continental shelves due to low spatial resolution (5 x 5 degree), coastal results need to be interpreted carefully in our worldwide study. We partially avoided this problem by using only those countries with more than 30% of the cells with data within their EEZs. In addition, our model is two-dimensional because it does not incorporate the depth distribution changes which could be important as fishes could change their vertical distribution, moving to deeper waters to adapt to ocean warming (Dueri *et al.*, 2014; Dulvy *et al.*, 2008; Perry *et al.*, 2005). Although the reliability of our models is high (deviance explained vary between 34.5 and 74.1% and AUC values of 0.784 and 0.838), the predictions assume only the relationship between environmental variables and adult tuna distribution. Nevertheless, the geographic distribution of the species does not only depend on their environmental tolerance, but also on dispersal capacity and biological interactions (Peterson *et al.*, 2011) such as predation (Guisan & Thuiller, 2005), intraspecific or interspecific competition, trophic relationships and population dynamics. Furthermore,

430 different responses to climate change impacts can desynchronize ecological interactions

431 (Thackeray *et al.*, 2016).

432 **4.2. Past distribution and abundance changes**

433 We found a poleward shift in the suitable habitat of 89% of the temperate tuna stocks

434 between 1958 and 2004. Southern bluefin tuna was an exception as it shifted equatorward

435 after 1980. In the same period, 92% of the tropical tunas shifted poleward to the south in

436 the Pacific and Indian Oceans and poleward to the north in the Atlantic Ocean, except for

437 yellowfin and eastern skipjack where no significant trends were observed. Similarly,

438 Monllor-Hurtado *et al.* (2017) observed that tropical tunas (bigeye, yellowfin and

439 skipjack) longline catches decreased significantly in tropical waters and increased in sub-

440 tropical waters from 1965 to 2011 due to a poleward shift in response to ocean warming.

441 For many other fish species, the latitudinal shift of their habitat in the last decades has

442 been associated with the movement of the population (Beare *et al.*, 2004; Bruge *et al.*,

443 2016; Montero-Serra *et al.*, 2015; Perry *et al.*, 2005). The species composition in

444 worldwide marine fisheries has changed due to climate change; the dominance of warmer

445 water species has increased at higher latitudes and the proportion of subtropical species

446 has decreased in the tropics (Cheung *et al.*, 2013). Range contractions and abundance

447 declines have also been recorded for larger tuna and billfish species such as bluefins

448 (Worm & Tittensor, 2011).

449 The species distribution models can predict occurrence probability in areas where

450 the species has not been observed or caught. For example, a favorable habitat is predicted

451 for Atlantic bluefin tuna in the South Atlantic Ocean (below 45º S), and likewise for

452 yellowfin and bigeye tunas in the Mediterranean Sea. This suggests that the

453 environmental conditions (limited to those studied in this paper) in these areas are

454 favorable for those species, but for some reason they do not occupy them. In contrast, the

455 SDM models can also predict low occurrence or absence where species has been observed

456 due to low longline CPUE (e.g. southern bluefin tuna) or cannot discriminate between

457 areas of high/low habitat suitability due to low contrast in the CPUE signal (e.g. low

458 skipjack catchability of the Japanese longline). In the case of southern bluefin tuna, for

459 example, there has been little Japanese longline fishery in the spawning ground in tropical

460 waters of south of Java and off the northwest coast of Australia since 1960s (Grewe *et al.*,

461 1997) which could have affected the relationship with the environment and subsequent

462 habitat suitability predictions of the model (i.e. low suitability or absence whereas some

463 catches are observed). We also found a poleward shift between 1965 and 1979 for

464 southern bluefin tuna and a subsequent northward shift that is difficult to explain, as it is

465 not related to climate variability (i.e. climate indices).

466 Concerning habitat changes, less suitable habitat was found mainly for albacore and

467 southern bluefin tunas over the last 50 years. Juan-Jordá *et al.* (2011) found the highest

468 population declines for temperate tunas throughout the period 1954-2006 and these

469 changes were attributed to their high exploitation level. However, the habitat losses

470 described in this paper might have also contributed to these declines. We found an

471 increase in suitable habitat for yellowfin, bigeye and Atlantic bluefin tunas and a small

472 change in skipjack tuna between 1958 and 2004. Some studies estimated that the tropical

473 tunas are fished down to approximately maximum sustainable levels, which prevents

474 further sustainable expansion of catches in these fisheries (Juan-Jordá *et al.*, 2011).

475 However, a significant increase in tuna fisheries mainly occurred in the 1970's due to the

476 expansion of the fisheries and the development of new offshore fishing grounds (FAO,

477 2011), and the improvement of the suitable habitat during the last decades for these

478 species might have also partially contributed to this expansion.

479 **4.3. Future projections and implications for fishing countries**

480   Future projections under different climate change scenarios are crucial to anticipate

481   the impacts on populations of target species (Dueri *et al.*, 2014; Lehodey *et al.*, 2012), the

482   changes in predator-prey relationships, the impacts on human services and fisheries (Bell

483   *et al.*, 2013; Cheung *et al.*, 2013; Cheung *et al.*, 2009; Dueri *et al.*, 2016), and to identify

484   the most vulnerable nations (Allison *et al.*, 2009; Barange *et al.*, 2018).

485   Although models are useful tools to project future trends and expected impacts, they

486   also have limitations. We are estimating future potential distribution and relative

487   abundances solely due to environmental change, but other processes that are not included

488   in the model such as population and fisheries dynamics and trophic interactions also shape

489   their distribution. These components are important since they can amplify the warming

490   signal throughout the food web (Chust *et al.*, 2014). We only predicted changes in tuna

491   habitat for RCP8.5 IPCC AR5 climate change scenario, but changes for other scenarios

492   (RCP 2.6, 4.5 and 6.0) are expected to be similar until around 2050 when they diverge

493   (Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.*, 2014; IPCC, 2013). Tuna habitat predictions for the end-of-the-

494   century for other climate scenarios are likely to be between the values estimated for mid-

495   and end-of-the-century in our models (Smith *et al.*, 2011).

496   Temperate tunas and bigeye are expected to decrease at low latitudes and shift

497   poleward. Tropical tunas such as yellowfin and skipjack are projected to increase in

498   relative abundance in the equatorial areas of the main oceans. Our results are in agreement

499   with Lehodey *et al.* (2012) and Dueri *et al.* (2014) who predicted a slight increase of

500   skipjack abundance in the Western Central Pacific Ocean until 2050 followed by a

501   decrease after 2060. They also predicted that the habitat becomes more favorable in the

502   Eastern Pacific Ocean and in higher latitudes, while the western equatorial warm pool

503   would become less favorable for spawning.

According to our analysis, Atlantic bluefin tuna abundance is predicted to decrease across most of its geographical range and to expand northward by the end-of-the-century. This is in agreement with (Muhling *et al.*, 2016) who predicted temperature-induced reductions in tropical and sub-tropical Atlantic and an improvement in subpolar habitat suitability, with implications for spawning and migratory behaviors, and availability to fishing. This northward shift might allow fishing in more northern latitudes (McKenzie et al 2014). In addition, the southern Atlantic habitat is predicted to improve. In the past, this species occurred also in the southern Atlantic, until the "habitat bridge" through the western equatorial Atlantic linking both hemispheres was interrupted in the late 1960's (Briscoe *et al.*, 2017; Fromentin *et al.*, 2014). The predicted improvement in southern Atlantic habitat might only result in Atlantic bluefin tuna reappearance the tropical habitat bridge is restored. Similarly, southward shifts are expected for 14 other large pelagic species (including tunas) for the east and west Australian coast for the end-of-the-century with a decrease in their distribution area (Hobday, 2010).

These shifts have implications for fishing countries. A redistribution of global catch potential is expected under climate change scenarios, increasing on average 30–70% in high-latitude regions and decreasing up to 40% in the tropics (Cheung *et al.*, 2009). The strong interactions between fishing and climate require management to adapt the fishing mortality to guarantee sustainable populations, stabilize catches and profits, and reduce collateral impacts on marine ecosystems (Brander, 2007; Juan-Jordá *et al.*, 2011). This occurs when only abundance is expected to decline in the future, but when future projections involve changes in distribution (with gains and losses in suitable habitat areas), there is also potential for increases in population size (Hobday, 2010). Many of the countries that are more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change on their fisheries are also the poorest and are located in the tropics (Allison *et al.*, 2009). Catch composition

529 and catch potential changes have direct implications for coastal fishing communities and

530 this emphasizes the need to develop adaptation plans to minimize the impacts of global

531 climate change on the economy, local fisheries and food security in many countries

532 (Barange *et al.*, 2018; Cheung *et al.*, 2013). Tuna are an important source of protein in

533 many countries and the increasing availability for Pacific nations is a possible solution to

534 fill the future gap of protein-rich food availability (Allison *et al.*, 2009; Bell *et al.*, 2015;

535 Gillett *et al.*, 2001).

536   The average catches for all the temperate tuna species (albacore, Atlantic and southern

537 bluefin) and the tropical bigeye are expected to decrease in the future in tropical EEZs,

538 but to increase in the countries located in the boundaries of the suitable area. In contrast,

539 catches for other tropical tuna species (yellowfin and skipjack) are expected to increase

540 in most of the tropical EEZs. Our results support Bell *et al.* (2013), with 82.4% agreement

541 in the results of the Pacific Island countries and territories (PICTs) having a change in

542 skipjack catch within their EEZ (S. Table 4). They estimated changes for 2050 and 2100

543 relative to the 20-years average from 1980-2000 under the A2 emissions scenario (slightly

544 lower emissions levels than the RCP8.5 in IPCC AR5, Rogelj *et al.* (2012)). We projected

545 a decrease in skipjack tuna in Palau EEZ for both periods, while Bell *et al.* (2013)

546 expected an increase by 2050 and a decrease by 2100. The other exceptions were Solomon

547 Islands and Papua New Guinea where our model projected an increase in abundance and

548 Bell *et al.* (2013) foresaw a decrease. Changes in catch potential estimated by Cheung *et*

549 *al.* (2009) based on >1000 species showed similar latitudinal patterns found for temperate

550 tunas and bigeye in our study. They expected gains in some high-latitude

551 countries/regions in the northern hemisphere while losses in many tropical and

552 subtropical countries/regions. The highest catch potentials were projected for the northern

553 Atlantic Ocean countries such as Norway, Greenland and Iceland with an increase of 18–

45%, followed by the northern Pacific Ocean (Alaska and Russia) with 20%. In contrast, the catch potential from most other EEZ countries (most of them in tropical and subtropical regions) diminish, with the largest decrease projected in Indonesia (Cheung *et al.*, 2009).

Changes in the distribution of tuna in different countries may have implications for global food security and strongly impact many tropical communities, which are strongly dependent on local fishing resources (Allison *et al.*, 2009; Bell *et al.*, 2018; Cheung *et al.*, 2009). Thus, the generation of knowledge on the most vulnerable countries to climate change is an important research task. Further analysis should focus on the local impacts that the distribution and abundance changes of tunas have on small fisher communities and the adaptation mechanisms needed to diminish the impacts. Such adaptation strategies could involve shifts in fishing areas, changes in target species, and/or changes in fishing agreements (Barange *et al.*, 2018) and must be developed in partnership with affected nations.

583 **References**

584 Allison EH, Perry AL, Badjeck M-C *et al.* (2009) Vulnerability of national economies
585   to the impacts of climate change on fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries,* **10**, 173-196.
586 Arrizabalaga H, Dufour F, Kell L *et al.* (2015) Global habitat preferences of
587   commercially valuable tuna. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
588   Oceanography,* **113**, 102-112.
589 Asch RG (2015) Climate change and decadal shifts in the phenology of larval fishes in
590   the California Current ecosystem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
591   Sciences,* **112**, E4065-E4074.
592 Aumont O, Bopp L (2006) Globalizing results from ocean in situ iron fertilization
593   studies. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* **20**.
594 Aumont O, Éthé C, Tagliabue A, Bopp L, Gehlen M (2015) PISCES-v2: an ocean
595   biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies. *Geoscientific Model
596   Development Discussions,* **8**.
597 Aumont O, Maier-Reimer E, Blain S, Monfray P (2003) An ecosystem model of the
598   global ocean including Fe, Si, P colimitations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles,* **17**.
599 Barange M, Bahri T, Beveridge MC, Cochrane KL, Funge-Smith S, Poulain F (2018)
600   *Impacts of climate change on fisheries and aquaculture: synthesis of current
601   knowledge, adaptation and mitigation options,* Rome, FAO.
602 Barbet-Massin M, Jiguet F, Albert CH, Thuiller W (2012) Selecting pseudo-absences for
603   species distribution models: how, where and how many? *Methods in Ecology and
604   Evolution,* **3**, 327-338.
605 Barton K (2016) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. *R Package version 1.15.6.*
606 Beare D, Burns F, Jones E *et al.* (2004) An increase in the abundance of anchovies and
607   sardines in the north-western North Sea since 1995. *Global Change Biology,* **10**,
608   1209-1213.
609 Bell JD, Allain V, Allison EH *et al.* (2015) Diversifying the use of tuna to improve food
610   security and public health in Pacific Island countries and territories. *Marine
611   Policy,* **51**, 584-591.
612 Bell JD, Cisneros-Montemayor A, Hanich Q *et al.* (2018) Adaptations to maintain the
613   contributions of small-scale fisheries to food security in the Pacific Islands.
614   *Marine Policy,* **88**, 303-314.
615 Bell JD, Kronen M, Vunisea A *et al.* (2009) Planning the use of fish for food security in
616   the Pacific. *Marine Policy,* **33**, 64-76.
617 Bell JD, Reid C, Batty MJ *et al.* (2013) Effects of climate change on oceanic fisheries in
618   the tropical Pacific: implications for economic development and food security.
619   *Climatic Change,* **119**, 199-212.
620 Bez N, Rivoirard J (2001) Transitive geostatistics to characterise spatial aggregations
621   with diffuse limits, an application on mackerel ichtyoplankton. *Fisheries
622   Research,* **50**, 41-58.

Borchers D, Buckland S, Priede I, Ahmadi S (1997) Improving the precision of the daily egg production method using generalized additive models. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,* **54**, 2727-2742.

Brander KM (2007) Global fish production and climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* **104**, 19709-19714.

Brill RW, Hobday AJ (2017) Tunas and their fisheries: safeguarding sustainability in the twenty-first century. *Fish Biology and Fisheries,* **27**, 691–695.

Briscoe DK, Hobday AJ, Carlisle A *et al.* (2017) Ecological bridges and barriers in pelagic ecosystems. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography,* **140**, 182-192.

Bruge A, Alvarez P, Fontán A, Cotano U, Chust G (2016) Thermal Niche Tracking and Future Distribution of Atlantic Mackerel Spawning in Response to Ocean Warming. *Frontiers in Marine Science,* **3**.

Burnham KP, Anderson D (2003) *Model selection and multi-model inference*, Stringer.

Burrows MT, Schoeman DS, Buckley L *et al.* (2011) The pace of shifting climate marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science,* **334**, 652-655.

Cabré A, Marinov I, Leung S (2014) Consistent global responses of marine ecosystems to future climate change across the IPCC AR5 earth system models. *Climate Dynamics,* **45**, 1253-1280.

Cox SP, Essington TE, Kitchell JF, Martell SJ, Walters CJ, Boggs C, Kaplan I (2002) Reconstructing ecosystem dynamics in the central Pacific Ocean, 1952 1998. II. A preliminary assessment of the trophic impacts of fishing and effects on tuna dynamics. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,* **59**, 1736-1747.

Cheung WW, Watson R, Pauly D (2013) Signature of ocean warming in global fisheries catch. *Nature,* **497**, 365-368.

Cheung WWL, Lam VWY, Sarmiento JL, Kearney K, Watson REG, Zeller D, Pauly D (2009) Large-scale redistribution of maximum fisheries catch potential in the global ocean under climate change. *Global Change Biology,* **16**, 24-35.

Christian JR, Holmes J (2016) Changes in albacore tuna habitat in the northeast Pacific Ocean under anthropogenic warming. *Fisheries Oceanography,* **25**, 544-554.

Chust G, Allen J, Bopp L *et al.* (2014) Biomass changes and trophic amplification of plankton in a warmer ocean. *Global Change Biology,* **20**, 2124-2139.

Druon J-N, Chassot E, Murua H, Lopez J (2017) Skipjack Tuna Availability for Purse Seine Fisheries Is Driven by Suitable Feeding Habitat Dynamics in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. *Frontiers in Marine Science,* **4**.

Dueri S, Bopp L, Maury O (2014) Projecting the impacts of climate change on skipjack tuna abundance and spatial distribution. *Global Change Biology,* **20**, 742-753.

Dueri S, Guillotreau P, Jiménez-Toribio R, Oliveros-Ramos R, Bopp L, Maury O (2016) Food security or economic profitability? Projecting the effects of climate and socioeconomic changes on global skipjack tuna fisheries under three management strategies. *Global Environmental Change,* **41**, 1-12.

Dulvy NK, Rogers SI, Jennings S, Stelzenmller V, Dye SR, Skjoldal HR (2008) Climate change and deepening of the North Sea fish assemblage: a biotic indicator of warming seas. *Journal of Applied Ecology,* **45**, 1029-1039.

Elith J, Graham CH, P Anderson R *et al.* (2006) Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography,* **29**, 129-151.

Erauskin-Extramiana M, Alvarez P, Arrizabalaga H *et al.* (in press) Historical trends and future distribution of anchovy spawning in the Bay of Biscay. *Deep Sea Research (Part II, Topical Studies in Oceanography).*

672 FAO (1994) World review of highly migratory species and straddling stocks., 70. FAO
673   Fish. Tech. Pap., Rome.
674 FAO (2011) *Tuna and tuna-like species. In: Review of the state of world marine fishery*
675   *resources*, Food & Agriculture Org.
676 FAO (2016) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food
677   security and nutrition for all. Rome. 200.
678 Flanders Marine Institute (2018) Maritime Boundaries Geodatabase: Maritime
679   Boundaries and Exclusive Economic Zones (200NM), version 10.
680 Fromentin JM, Reygondeau G, Bonhommeau S, Beaugrand G (2014) Oceanographic
681   changes and exploitation drive the spatio-temporal dynamics of Atlantic bluefin
682   tuna (Thunnus thynnus). *Fisheries Oceanography,* **23**, 147-156.
683 Gillett P (2000) The sustainable contribution of fisheries to food security. *Sustainable*
684   *contribution of fisheries to food security*, 231.
685 Gillett R, McCoy M, Rodwell L, Tamate J (2001) Tuna: a key economic resource in the
686   Pacific Islands. A report prepared for the Asian Development Bank and the Forum
687   fisheries agency. In: *Tuna: a key economic resource in the Pacific Islands.* A
688   report prepared for the Asian Development Bank and the Forum fisheries agency.
689 Grewe P, Elliott N, Innes B, Ward R (1997) Genetic population structure of southern
690   bluefin tuna (Thunnus maccoyii). *Marine Biology,* **127**, 555-561.
691 Guisan A, Theurillat J-P (2000) Equilibrium modeling of alpine plant distribution: how
692   far can we go? *Phytocoenologia,* **30**, 353-384.
693 Guisan A, Thuiller W (2005) Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple
694   habitat models. *Ecology Letters,* **8**, 993-1009.
695 Guisan A, Zimmermann N (2000) Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology.
696   *Ecological Modelling,* **135**, 147-186.
697 Hastie TJ, Tibshirani RJ (1990) Generalized additive models,. In: *Monographs on*
698   *Statistics and Applied Probability.* Chapman & Hall, London.
699 Hijmans RJ, Phillips S, Leathwick J, Elith J (2013) dismo: Species distribution modeling.
700   *R package version 0.8-17.*
701 Hobday AJ (2010) Ensemble analysis of the future distribution of large pelagic fishes off
702   Australia. *Progress in Oceanography,* **86**, 291-301.
703 Hobday AJ, Arrizabalaga H, Evans K, Scales KL, Senina I, Weng KC (2017)
704   International collaboration and comparative research on ocean top predators under
705   CLIOTOP. *Deep Sea Research II,* **140**, 1-8.
706 Hoegh-Guldberg O, Cai R, Poloczanska ES *et al.* (2014) Chapter 30 The Ocean. In:
707   *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional*
708   *Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*
709   *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* (eds Barros VR, C.B. Field, D.J.
710   Dokken, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, T.E. Bilir, . . . White LL), 1655-1731.
711   Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
712   USA.
713 Hutchinson GE (1957) Cold spring harbor symposium on quantitative biology.
714   *Concluding remarks,* **22**, 415-427.
715 IPCC (2013) Summary for Policymakers. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science*
716   *Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*
717   *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* (eds Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, G.-K.
718   Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, . . . Midgley PM), 3-29. Cambridge University
719   Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
720 ISSF (2018) Status of the world fisheries for tuna. In: *ISSF Technical Report 2018-02.*
721   Washington, D.C., USA.

Iturbide M, Bedia J, Herrera S, del Hierro O, Pinto M, Gutiérrez JM (2015) A framework for species distribution modelling with improved pseudo-absence generation. *Ecological Modelling,* **312**, 166-174.

Jiménez-Valverde A, Lobo JM (2007) Threshold criteria for conversion of probability of species presence to either–or presence–absence. *Acta Oecologica,* **31**, 361-369.

Juan-Jordá MJ, Mosqueira I, Cooper AB, Freire J, Dulvy NK (2011) Global population trajectories of tunas and their relatives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* **108**, 20650-20655.

Lehodey P, Senina I, Calmettes B, Hampton J, Nicol S (2012) Modelling the impact of climate change on Pacific skipjack tuna population and fisheries. *Climatic Change,* **119**, 95-109.

Michael P, Wilcox C, Tuck G, Hobday A, Strutton P (2017) Japanese and Taiwanese pelagic longline fleet dynamics and the impacts of climate change in the southern Indian Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography,* **140**, 242-250.

Mislan KAS, Deutsch CA, Brill RW, Dunne JP, Sarmiento JL (2017) Projections of climate-driven changes in tuna vertical habitat based on species-specific differences in blood oxygen affinity. *Global Change Biology,* **23**, 4019-4028.

Monllor-Hurtado A, Pennino MG, Sanchez-Lizaso JL (2017) Shift in tuna catches due to ocean warming. *PLoS One,* **12**, e0178196.

Montero-Serra I, Edwards M, Genner MJ (2015) Warming shelf seas drive the subtropicalization of European pelagic fish communities. *Global Change Biology,* **21**, 144-153.

Muhling BA, Brill R, Lamkin JT, Roffer MA, Lee S-K, Liu Y, Muller-Karger F (2016) Projections of future habitat use by Atlantic bluefin tuna: mechanistic vs. correlative distribution models. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil*, fsw215.

Mullon C, Guillotreau P, Galbraith E *et al.* (2017) Exploring future scenarios for the global supply chain of tuna. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography,* **140**, 251-267.

Pecl GT, Araújo MB, Bell JD *et al.* (2017) Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science,* **355**, eaai9214.

Perry AL, Low PJ, Ellis JR, Reynolds JD (2005) Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. *Science,* **308**, 1912-1914.

Peterson AT, Soberón J, Pearson RG, Anderson RP, Martínez-Meyer E, Nakamura M, Araújo MB (2011) *Ecological niches and geographic distributions (MPB-49),* Princeton University Press.

Poloczanska ES, Brown CJ, Sydeman W *et al.* (2013) Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature Climate Change,* **3**, 919.

Poloczanska ES, Burrows MT, Brown CJ *et al.* (2016) Responses of marine organisms to climate change across oceans. *Frontiers in Marine Science,* **3**, 62.

Reygondeau G, Maury O, Beaugrand G, Fromentin JM, Fonteneau A, Cury P (2012) Biogeography of tuna and billfish communities. *Journal of Biogeography,* **39**, 114-129.

Richardson AJ, Brown CJ, Brander K *et al.* (2012) Climate change and marine life. *Biology Letters,* **8**, 907-909.

Robinson LM, Elith J, Hobday AJ, Pearson RG, Kendall BE, Possingham HP, Richardson AJ (2011) Pushing the limits in marine species distribution modelling: lessons from the land present challenges and opportunities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography,* **20**, 789-802.

772 Rogelj J, Meinshausen M, Knutti R (2012) Global warming under old and new scenarios
773   using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates. *Nature Climate Change, 2*, 248.
774 Sakamoto Y, Ishiguro M, Kitagawa G (1986) Akaike information criterion statistics.
775   *Dordrecht, The Netherlands: D. Reidel,* **81**.
776 Scheffers BR, De Meester L, Bridge TCL *et al.* (2016) The broad footprint of climate
777   change from genes to biomes to people. *Science,* **354**, 7671-7671.
778 Schirripa MJ, Abascal F, Andrushchenko I *et al.* (2017) A hypothesis of a redistribution
779   of North Atlantic swordfish based on changing ocean conditions. *Deep Sea*
780   *Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography,* **140**, 139-150.
781 Sibert J, Hampton J, Kleiber P, Maunder M (2006) Biomass, size, and trophic status of
782   top predators in the Pacific Ocean. *Science,* **314**, 1773-1776.
783 Smith MS, Horrocks L, Harvey A, Hamilton C (2011) Rethinking adaptation for a 4ºC
784   world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A:*
785   *Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences,* **369**, 196-216.
786 Thackeray SJ, Henrys PA, Hemming D *et al.* (2016) Phenological sensitivity to climate
787   across taxa and trophic levels. *Nature,* **535**, 241.
788 VanDerWal J, Falconi L, Januchowski S, Shoo L, Storlie C (2012) Species Distribution
789   Modelling Tools: tools for processing data associated with species distribution
790   modelling exercises. *Package SDMTools*.
791 Villarino E, Chust G, Licandro P, Butenschön M, Ibaibarriaga L, Larrañaga A, Irigoien
792   X (2015) Modelling the future biogeography of North Atlantic zooplankton
793   communities in response to climate change. *Marine Ecology Progress Series,* **531**,
794   121-142.
795 Wood S (2012) mgcv: Mixed GAM Computation Vehicle with GCV/AIC/REML
796   smoothness estimation.
797 Wood SN (2017) *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R*, CRC press.
798 Worm B, Tittensor DP (2011) Range contraction in large pelagic predators. *Proceedings*
799   *of the National Academy of Sciences,* **108**, 11942-11947.

800

801