

Nem1051

**Characterisation of two Chinese native *Hemicriconemoides* species
(Nematoda: Criconematidae) with updated descriptions of *H. chitwoodi*
Esser, 1960 and *Criconemoides myungsugae* Choi & Geraert, 1975**

Munawar MARIA¹, Ruihang CAI¹, Pablo CASTILLO², Carolina CANTALAPIEDRA-NAVARRETE²
and Jingwu ZHENG^{1,3*}

¹ *Laboratory of Plant Nematology, Institute of Biotechnology, College of Agriculture &
Biotechnology, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, P.R. China*

² *Institute for Sustainable Agriculture (IAS), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC),
Avenida Menéndez Pidal s/n, 14004 Córdoba, Spain*

³ *Ministry of Agriculture Key Lab of Molecular Biology of Crop Pathogens and Insects,
Hangzhou 310058, P.R. China*

Received: 2018; revised: 2018

Accepted for publication: 2018

*Corresponding author, e-mail: jwzheng@zju.edu.cn

1 **Summary** – Populations of *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi*, *H. fujianensis*, *H. parasinensis*, and
2 *Criconemoides myungsugae* isolated in China from the rhizosphere soil of woody perennials
3 were characterised molecularly, important morphological details being elucidated by SEM
4 observations. The morphometric data of the Chinese populations were compared with all
5 previously reported populations. The SEM observations of *en face* views indicated that *H.*
6 *chitwoodi* and *H. parasinensis* have lip patterns belonging to type 1 and phylogenetically both
7 species clustered with other *Hemicriconemoides* species that showed the same kind of lip pattern.
8 On the other hand, *H. fujianensis* showed a lip pattern belonging to type 2 and phylogenetically
9 appears basal to the above-mentioned clade. SEM observation of *C. myungsugae* showed that
10 the first lip annulus forming a non-projecting uninterrupted disc and the labial annulus is
11 rectangular shaped with slight dorsal and ventral indentations. Phylogenetic relationships among
12 *Criconemoides* spp. are apparently not well resolved. The present study provides updated
13 morphological descriptions, molecular diagnostics and phylogenetic relationships of *H.*
14 *chitwoodi*, *H. fujianensis*, *H. parasinensis*, and *C. myungsugae*, last species being the first report
15 from China.

16

17 **Keywords** – *Criconemoides myungsugae*, description, first record, *Hemicriconemoides*
18 *chitwoodi*, *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis*, *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis*, host association,
19 molecular, morphology, phylogeny, SEM, taxonomy.

20

21

1 Sheathoid nematodes of genus *Hemicriconemoides* Chitwood & Birchfield, 1957 are
2 generally found inhabiting warmer areas of the world, particularly in Africa, the Americas,
3 Australia, South and Southeast Asia and southern Europe (Van den Berg *et al.*, 2014). The genus
4 contains around 55 species and out of these, five have been described from China (Geraert, 2010;
5 Maria *et al.*, 2018a). In an attempt to document criconematid species occurring in China, three
6 *Hemicriconemoides* and one *Criconemoides* Taylor, 1936 populations were recovered from soil
7 samples obtained from different ecological locations.

8 After preliminary examination, these species were identified as *H. chitwoodi* Esser, 1960, *H.*
9 *fujianensis* Zhang, 1998, *H. parasinensis* Chen & Liu, 2003, and *C. myungsugae* Choi & Geraert,
10 1975. Literature studies revealed that the *H. chitwoodi* was previously reported from Shaanxi
11 (Wang, 1993) and Yunnan (Lin *et al.*, 2014) Provinces. *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* was
12 described from Liaoning Province (Chen & Liu, 2003) and also reported from Taiwan (Chen *et*
13 *al.*, 2008). In addition, *H. fujianensis* was described from Fujian Province and has not been
14 reported thereafter.

15 As these species were reported almost a decade ago, there is a lack of molecular sequencing
16 data and SEM observations. *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* and *H. parasinensis* are Chinese
17 native species and the details mentioned in the original description are insufficient to use for
18 integrative molecular taxonomy. Moreover, *C. myungsugae* is the first report from China. This
19 led us to perform detailed morphological, molecular and scanning electron microscopy analyses
20 for these four criconematids. Thus, the specific objectives of this study were: *i*) to provide a
21 morphological and molecular characterisation of these species; *ii*) to elucidate important
22 morphological details through SEM observations; and *iii*) to study the phylogenetic relationships
23 of these species with other *Hemicriconemoides* and *Criconemoides* species.

24 In this study, *Mesocriconema* Andr ssy, 1965 is regarded as a junior synonym of
25 *Criconemoides* (Hunt *et al.*, 2005; Eskandari *et al.*, 2010; Van den Berg *et al.*, 2012).

27 **Materials and methods**

29 NEMATODE POPULATION SAMPLING, EXTRACTION AND MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION

31 Nematodes were extracted from soil samples using the modified Baermann funnel method.

1 For morphometric studies, the nematodes were killed and fixed with hot formalin and processed
2 to glycerin (Seinhorst, 1959) as modified by De Grisse (1969). The drawings, measurements and
3 light micrographs of nematodes were completed with the help of a Zeiss compound microscope
4 (Stemi 2000-C).

5 For the SEM examination, the nematodes were fixed in a mixture of 2.5% paraformaldehyde
6 and 2.5% glutaraldehyde, washed three times in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, post-fixed in 1%
7 osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in a series of ethanol solutions and critical point-dried with CO₂.
8 After mounting on stubs, the samples were coated with gold (Maria *et al.*, 2018a)

10 MOLECULAR ANALYSES

11
12 DNA samples were prepared according to Zheng *et al.* (2003). Five sets of primers
13 (synthesised by Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) were used in the PCR analyses to amplify the
14 nearly full-length 18S, D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S, ITS and *coxI* regions. Nearly full
15 length 18S region was amplified with two sets of primers 18s39F (5'-
16 AAAGATTAAGCCATGCATG-3') and 18s977R (5'-TTTACGGTTAGAACTAGGGCGG-3'),
17 the second set was 18s900F (5'-AAGACGGACTACAGCGAAAG-3') and 18s1713R (5'-
18 TCACCTACAGCTACCTTGTTACG-3') (Olson *et al.*, 2017). Primers for amplification of ITS
19 were TW81 (5'-GTTTCCGTAGGTGGTGAACCTGC3') and AB28 (5'-
20 ATATGCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGT-3') (Joyce *et al.*, 1994), the forward D2A (5'-
21 ACAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG-3') and the reverse D3B (5'-
22 TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3') primers for amplification of D2-D3 28S (De Ley *et al.*,
23 1999), and finally the primers used for *coxI* amplification were COI-F5 (5'-
24 AATWTWGGTGTGGAACCTTCTTGAAC-3') and COI-R9 (5'-
25 CTTAAAACATAATGRAAATGWGCWACWACATAATAAGTATC-3') (Mullen *et al.*, 2014).
26 PCR conditions were as described by Ye *et al.* (2007), Powers *et al.* (2010) and Mullen *et al.*
27 (2014). PCR products were evaluated on 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. PCR
28 products of sufficiently high quality were sent for sequencing by Invitrogen, Shanghai, China.
29 The newly obtained sequences were submitted to the GenBank database under accession
30 numbers indicated on the phylogenetic trees.

1 PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

2
3 Newly obtained sequences of D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S, ITS, partial 18S and *coxI*
4 and available sequences of other nematodes obtained from GenBank were used for phylogenetic
5 reconstructions of *Hemicriconemoides* and *Criconemoides* species. Outgroup taxa for the dataset
6 were chosen according to previously published data (Van den Berg *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Maria *et*
7 *al.*, 2018a). Multiple alignments of the different sequences were made using the Q-INS-i
8 algorithm of MAFFT v. 7.205 (Kato & Standley, 2013). Sequence alignments were manually
9 visualised using BioEdit (Hall, 1999) and edited by Gblocks ver. 0.91b (Castresana, 2000) in a
10 Castresana Laboratory server (http://molevol.cmima.csic.es/castresana/Gblocks_server.html)
11 using options for a less stringent selection (minimum number of sequences for a conserved or a
12 flanking position: 50% of the number of sequences +1; maximum number of contiguous non-
13 conserved positions: 8; minimum length of a block: 5; allowed gap positions: with half).
14 Percentage similarity between sequences was calculated using the sequence identity matrix using
15 BioEdit. For that, the score for each pair of sequences was compared directly and all gap or
16 place-holding characters were treated as a gap. When the same position for both sequences had
17 a gap it was not treated as a difference. Phylogenetic analyses of the sequence datasets were
18 based on Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). The
19 best-fit model of DNA evolution was obtained using JModelTest V.2.1.7 (Darriba *et al.*, 2012)
20 with the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The best-fit model, the base frequency, the
21 proportion of invariable sites, and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates
22 in the AIC were then given to MrBayes for the phylogenetic analyses. An unlinked general time-
23 reversible model with a gamma-shaped distribution (GTR + G) for the 18S rRNA and D2-D3
24 expansion segments of 28S rRNA datasets of *Hemicriconemoides* spp.: an unlinked general time-
25 reversible model with invariable sites and a gamma-shaped distribution (GTR + I + G) for ITS
26 and *coxI* dataset of *Hemicriconemoides* spp.; a GTR + G model for the D2-D3 expansion
27 segments of 28S rRNA and ITS datasets of *Criconemoides* spp.; and an unlinked general time-
28 reversible model with invariable sites and a gamma-shaped distribution (GTR + I + G) for *coxI*
29 dataset of *Criconemoides* spp. These BI analyses were run separately per dataset using four
30 chains for 2×10^6 generations for all of molecular markers. A combined analysis of the three
31 genes was not undertaken due to some sequences not being available for all species. The Markov

1 chains were sampled at intervals of 100 generations. Two runs were conducted for each analysis.
2 After discarding burn-in samples and evaluating convergence, the remaining samples were
3 retained for further analyses. The topologies were used to generate a 50% majority-rule
4 consensus tree. Posterior probabilities (PP) are given on appropriate clades. Trees from all
5 analyses were visualized using FigTree software V.1.42
6 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

8 **Results**

10 *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* Esser, 1960

11 (Figs 1, 2)

13 MEASUREMENTS

15 See Table 1.

17 DESCRIPTION

19 *Female*

21 Body slightly curved ventrad. Sheath closely fitting except in lip region. Lip region angular,
22 with two annuli, labial plate appearing elevated or slightly dome-shaped in some individuals.
23 Labial annuli set off from rest of body, first annulus wider than second. SEM observation
24 showing oral aperture with slit-like opening and somewhat rectangular labial plate composed of
25 semi-globular shaped projections embedded in labial plate. Lip pattern matching that of type 1
26 proposed for *Hemicriconemoides* species by Decraemer & Geraert (1992). Stylet long and
27 slender. Stylet knobs indented anteriorly and rounded posteriorly. Dorsal pharyngeal gland
28 opening slightly posterior to stylet base. Pharynx typical of genus. Excretory pore conspicuous,
29 situated four or six annuli posterior to base of pharynx. Sheath annuli flattened to mostly indented
30 over whole length of body, single anastomoses observed in posterior half of body. Vulva a
31 distinct slit without vulval flaps. Vagina straight, extending for half of body diam. Spermatheca

1 oblonged and rounded, filled with sperm cells, generally situated on left side of distal end of
2 uterus. Ovary single, prodelphic. Anus small, located 4-5 annuli posterior to vulva. Body
3 gradually tapering posterior to vulval region, presenting pronounced dorsal curve to tail tip. Tail,
4 conoid, narrowing down to form a rounded terminus. Terminal tail annuli sometimes curved
5 dorsally or ventrally.

6
7 *Male*

8
9 Not detected.

10
11 HOST AND LOCALITY

12
13 This population was found in the rhizosphere of *Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntz from a
14 Longwu tea village, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China. The geographical position of the
15 sampling site is E:120°01'20" N:30°10'27.

16
17 REMARKS

18
19 *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* was found in the rhizosphere of *Camellia* sp. and described
20 from Florida, USA (Esser, 1960). Recently Van den Berg *et al.* (2014) re-characterised the
21 topotypes of *H. chitwoodi* and presented some additional morphometric details. From China, this
22 species was reported from Shaanxi (Wang, 1993) and Yunnan (Lin *et al.*, 2014) Provinces in the
23 rhizosphere of *Juglans* sp. and *Ziziphus jujuba* Mill., respectively. It has now been found in
24 Zhejiang Province. The three Chinese populations matched well with original description except
25 for the Shaanxi population, which presents slightly shorter body lengths. Additionally, all
26 Chinese populations have slightly shorter stylet lengths as compared to the original and topotype
27 populations. We consider that these small morphometric differences are due to intraspecific
28 geographical variability.

29
30 ***Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998**

31 (Figs 3-6)

1

2 MEASUREMENTS

3

4 See Table 2.

5

6 DESCRIPTION

7

8 *Female*

9

10 Body, slightly curved ventrad. Sheath closely fitting except in a few individuals where it is
11 extended anteriorly over lip region and appearing slightly loosened at tail terminus. Lip region
12 continuous, with two annuli, first annulus slightly wider or equal in size than second. SEM
13 observation showing an expanded first annulus having a somewhat ellipsoidal labial plate, oral
14 aperture with slit-like opening and surrounded by small elevated globular pieces. Lip pattern
15 matching that of type 2 proposed for *Hemicriconemoides* species by Decraemer & Geraert
16 (1992). Stylet small and robust. Stylet knobs indented anteriorly and rounded posteriorly. Dorsal
17 pharyngeal gland opening slightly posterior to stylet base. Pharynx typical of genus. Excretory
18 pore situated 4-5 annuli posterior to base of pharynx. Sheath annuli retrorse, mostly indented
19 over whole length of body, no anastomoses present. Vulva a distinct slit without vulval flaps.
20 Vagina straight, extending less than half of body diam. Spermatheca spherical, generally situated
21 on left side of distal end of uterus, ovary single, prodelphic. Anus small, located 4-5 annuli
22 posterior to vulva. Tail broadly conoid, ending in a bluntly rounded tip.

23

24 *Male*

25

26 Not detected.

27

28 HOST AND LOCALITY

29

30 Two populations were detected for *H. fujianensis*, the first in the rhizosphere of
31 *Phyllostachys* sp. from Huangshan Mountain, Anhui Province; the second in the rhizosphere of

1 *Quercus acutissima* Carruth from Longwu tea village, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, Province. The
2 geographical position of the sampling site E: 120°01'18" N:30°10'27.

3
4 REMARKS

5
6 *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* was described from Fujian Province from the rhizosphere
7 of *Litchi chinensis* Sonn. (Zhang, 1998), and has not been reported thereafter. In this study, it
8 was found from Anhui and Zhejiang Provinces. Morphometrically, both populations are
9 indistinguishable except that the Zhejiang population is slightly shorter than the Anhui
10 population. Slight intra-species variation was detected in both populations in terms of *en face*
11 view, the labial plate of the Zhejiang population being more pronounced than that of the Anhui
12 population. However, the rest of the morphological characters are similar for both populations.
13 The two populations correspond well with the original description except for slightly longer body
14 lengths of 545 (465-583) vs 470 (380-510) μm and fewer body annuli: 103 (100-107) vs 124
15 (118-130). We consider these small morphometric differences are due to geographical variability.

16
17 ***Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* Chen & Liu, 2003**

18 (Figs 7, 8)

19
20 MEASUREMENTS

21
22 See Table 3.

23
24 DESCRIPTION

25
26 *Female*

27
28 Body, slightly curved ventrad. Sheath closely fitting except in a few individuals where it is
29 extended anteriorly over lip region. Lip region continuous, with two annuli, first annulus slightly
30 narrower than second. SEM observation showing lip region composed of a dorsoventrally
31 orientated oral disc with a slit-like opening and labial plate extending as two lateral semi-globular

1 shaped projections on lateral sides of oral disc. Lip pattern matching that of type 1 proposed for
2 *Hemicriconemoides* species by Decraemer & Geraert (1992). Stylet long and slender mostly
3 straight. Stylet knobs anchor-shaped. Dorsal pharyngeal gland opening slightly posterior to stylet
4 base. Pharynx typical of genus. Excretory pore situated 1-3 annuli anterior or posterior to base
5 of pharynx. Sheath annuli retrorse, mostly indented over whole length of body, no anastomoses
6 present. Vulva a distinct slit without vulval flaps. Vagina straight, extending for less than half of
7 body diam. Spermatheca rounded, filled with sperm cells, generally situated on left side of distal
8 end of uterus, ovary single, prodelphic. Anus small located 3-5annuli posterior to vulva. Tail
9 tapering gradually to a slightly narrower, rounded tip.

10
11 *Male*

12
13 Not detected.

14
15 HOST AND LOCALITY

16
17 This population was found in the rhizosphere of *Osmanthus fragrans* Loureiro from a
18 Hushan garden, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China. The geographical position of the sampling
19 site is; E:120°11'53" N:30°21'45".

20
21 REMARKS

22
23 Chen & Liu, 2003 found *H. parasinensis* in the rhizosphere of *Syringa oblate* Lindley and
24 *Ligustrum quihoui* Carrière from Liaoning and Henan Provinces respectively and cited both
25 populations as paratypes. Later it was reported from Taiwan by Chen *et al.* (2008) and has now
26 been found in Zhejiang Province. The morphology of the Zhejiang population match well with
27 the original description, although the morphometric values are closer to the paratype population
28 from Henan Province. The paratype population described from Liaoning Province and the
29 population from Taiwan are slightly shorter than the Zhejiang population. We consider these
30 minor morphometric differences to be due to geographical variability.

1 ***Criconemoides myungsugae* Choi & Geraert, 1975**

2 (Figs 9, 10)

3
4 MEASUREMENTS

5
6 See Table 4.

7
8 DESCRIPTION

9
10 *Female*

11
12 Body cylindrical, ventrally arcuate after heat relaxation. First lip annulus forming a non-
13 projecting uninterrupted disc. SEM observation showing rectangular labial annulus with slight
14 dorsal and ventral indentations. Labial plate somewhat ellipsoidal with slit-like oral aperture.
15 Stylet small and robust. Stylet knobs anchor-shaped. Dorsal pharyngeal gland opening slightly
16 posterior to stylet base. Pharynx typical of genus. Excretory pore situated 3-4 annuli posterior to
17 base of pharynx. Sheath annuli retrorse with numerous anastomoses and margins finely crenated.
18 Vulva a distinct slit, vulval lips protruding, without vulval flaps. Vagina straight, extending for
19 half of body diam. Spermatheca rounded, generally situated on left side of distal end of uterus,
20 ovary single, prodelphic. Anus small, distinctly located 4-5 annuli posterior to vulva. Tail
21 cylindrical, ending in a squared terminus, corners of which appearing lobed in lateral view.

22
23 *Male*

24
25 Not detected.

26
27 HOST AND LOCALITY

28
29 This population was found in the rhizosphere of *Altingia gracilipes* Hemsl, from the
30 Botanical Garden, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China. The geographical position of the
31 sampling site is E: 120°07'01" N:30°15'19".

1

2 REMARKS

3

4 This is the first report of *C. myungsugae* from China. It was described from Korea in the
5 rhizosphere of *Indigofera kirilowii* Linnaeus by Choi & Geraert (1975). Later, Choi *et al.* (2000)
6 re-characterised some of the paratypes and supplemented the description with additional
7 morphometrics. Another population of the same nematode was reported from Iran in the
8 rhizosphere of herbaceous plants (Eskandari *et al.*, 2010). Now it has been reported from
9 Zhejiang Province. The Chinese population matches well with the original description except for
10 a slightly longer body of 459-574 vs 445-500 μm and fewer body annuli: R = 104.0-115.0 vs
11 122-127. Morphometrically the Chinese population has shorter body lengths compared to the
12 Iranian population: 527 (459-574) vs 546 (455-630) μm and more annuli between vulva and
13 anus: Rvan = 4.6 (4.0-5.0) vs 2 (1-3). We consider these minor morphometric differences to be
14 due to intraspecific geographical variability.

15

16 MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION AND PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS OF *HEMICRICONEMOIDES*
17 AND *CRICONEMOIDES* SPECIES

18

19 All four species were molecularly characterised using D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S,
20 ITS, 18S and *coxI* fragments and sequences were deposited in GenBank. *Hemicriconemoides*
21 *fujianensis* and *C. myungsugae* have been molecularly characterised for the first time in this
22 study. Nine new sequences of the D2-D3 of 28S rRNA gene were obtained from
23 *Hemicriconemoides* species in the present study. D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA
24 sequences of *H. chitwoodi* (MH444611-MH444613) matched well with other accessions from
25 the same species deposited in GenBank (KF856532-KF856535), differing by 4-6 nucleotides.
26 *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* (MH444632-MH444634) showed sequence identity values of
27 94% (40-41 bp difference) and 95% (30-34 bp difference) with *H. paracamelliae* Maria, Cai,
28 Castillo & Zheng 2018 (MG0295569-MG0295571) and *H. parataiwanensis* Decraemer & Geraert
29 1992 (MG0295572-MG0295574), respectively. *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* (MH444624,
30 MH444625, MH444619) showed 88-90% (60-88 bp difference) sequence identity with several
31 accessions, such as *H. strictathecatus* Esser, 1960 (MF363013, KM516172-KM516178), *H.*

1 *kanayaensis* Nakasono & Ichinohe 1961 (MG029576) and *Hemicriconemoides* sp. 1 VSS 2017
2 (MF438042). Finally, the closest related species to *C. myungsugae* (MH444641-MH4446443)
3 for the D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA was *C. brevistylus* Singh & Khera, 1976
4 (KC937033), being 85% similar (116 different nucleotides and 11 indels).

5 Ten new ITS sequences were obtained for *Hemicriconemoides* species. *Hemicriconemoides*
6 *chitwoodi* (MH444609-MH444610) showed 95-99% identity (2-24 bp difference) with *H.*
7 *chitwoodi* (KF856543-44, KJ934162, EU180057, JQ708140) deposited in GenBank.
8 *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* (MH444629-MH444631) showed 96% identity (31 bp
9 difference) with *H. parasinensis* (EU664601) from Taiwan. While *H. fujianensis* (MH444622-
10 MH444623, MH444616-MH444618) showed 86% sequence identity (86-87 bp difference) with
11 *H. alexis* Vovlas 1980 (KF856561-KF856562), 83-87% (60-76 bp difference) with *H. chitwoodi*
12 (KF856543-44, KJ934162, EU180057, JQ708140), 86% (84 bp difference) with *H. parasinensis*
13 (EU664601) and 87% (71 bp difference) identity with *H. silvaticus* Eroshenko & Volkova 1985
14 (KF856542). For the 18S, ten sequences were obtained in this study, *H. chitwoodi* (MH444614-
15 MH444615), *H. parasiensis* (MH444635-MH444636), *H. fujianensis* (MH444626-MH444628,
16 MH444620-MH444621) and *C. myungsugae* (MH444644- MH444645), all showing very high
17 similarity values with the other accessions from *Hemicriconemoides* spp. and *Criconemoides*
18 spp. deposited in GenBank, being 98-99% similar to all.

19 Phylogenetic relationships among *Hemicriconemoides* species inferred from analyses of D2-
20 D3 expansion segments of 28S rRNA, ITS, the partial 18S rRNA, and the partial *coxI* mtDNA
21 gene sequences using BI are given in Supplementary Figures S1, S2, S3 and S4, respectively.
22 The phylogenetic trees generated with nuclear markers, D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S
23 rRNA gene, ITS and the partial 18S rRNA including 70, 25 and 73 sequences with 668, 768 and
24 1686 bp in length, respectively. The D2-D3 of 28S gene tree (Supplementary Fig. S1), revealed
25 four major low to well supported clades (PP = 0.72, PP = 1.00, respectively). All D2-D3
26 expansion segments of 28S gene accessions obtained in this study clustered within clade I.
27 *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* and *H. parasinensis* formed a well-supported subclade with *H.*
28 *paracamelliae*, *H. parataiwanensis*, *H. silvaticus*, and *H. gaddi* (Loos 1949) Chitwood &
29 Birchfeild 1957, all with lip patterns belonging to type 1, whereas *H. fujianensis* clustered alone,
30 occupying a basal position within this superior major clade. The intraspecific variability between
31 the *H. fujianensis* Zhejiang and Anhui populations was very low, both populations showing 99%

1 (2 bp difference) identities.

2 For ITS gene tree (Supplementary Fig. S2), the 50% majority-rule BI tree also showed four
3 major low to well-supported clades (PP = 0.76, PP = 1.00, respectively). Likewise, in the former
4 tree, *H. chitwoodi* from China (MH444609-MH444610) clusters with *H. chitwoodi* (KF856543-
5 KF856544, KJ934162, EU180057, JQ708140) present in the GenBank with 100% support. In
6 this tree, *H. parasinensis* (MH444629-MH444631) clusters with the sole sequence of *H.*
7 *parasinensis* from Taiwan (EU664601), showing 96% (31 bp difference) sequence identity.
8 Morphologically, *H. parasinensis* from Zhejiang Province and Taiwan are indistinguishable.
9 This difference in the ITS sequences of both populations could be due to variations among copies
10 of the ITS within an individual (Pokharel *et al.*, 2007). *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis*
11 (MH444622-MH444623, MH444616-MH444618) clusters within *Hemicriconemoides* species
12 but appears as an independent subclade. The intraspecific variability between the *H. fujianensis*
13 Zhejiang and Anhui populations are very low, both populations showing 99% (8 bp difference)
14 identities. A similar topology was found with the partial 18S (Supplementary Fig. S3), although
15 fewer sequences were available for this fragment in GenBank. The well-supported subclade (PP
16 = 1.00) formed by *H. chitwoodi*, *H. parasinensis*, *H. paracamelliae*, and *H. parataiwanensis* was
17 maintained as in the 28S and ITS trees and *H. fujianensis* did not form any subclade with other
18 species. Another population of *H. chitwoodi* (JQ708170) from the USA does not cluster with the
19 Chinese *H. chitwoodi* population, sharing a branch with *H. wessoni* (JF972467, HM116035).
20 *Hemicriconemoides wessoni* has a type 2lip pattern, vulva with flaps and a tail with rounded
21 terminus, indicating that the USA population of *H. chitwoodi* (JQ708170) may be a misidentified
22 species. In this tree, *H. fujianensis* (MH444626-MH444628, MH444620-MH444621) still
23 occupy the individual subclade position within *Hemicriconemoides* species. The intraspecific
24 variability between the *H. fujianensis* Zhejiang and Anhui populations are very low, both
25 populations showing 99% (2-5 bp difference) identities with each other. Finally, the tree inferred
26 with the *coxI* gene (Supplementary Fig. S4), showed a different topology to the other trees
27 obtained with nuclear markers; in this case *H. chitwoodi* (MH478584-MH478585) clustered with
28 *H. macrodorus* Vovlas, Troccoli & Castillo, 2000 (KM577166-KM577168) and *H. promissus*
29 Vovlas, 1980 (KM577164-KM577165) in a well-supported clade (PP = 0.96) and *H. fujianensis*
30 clustered separately to this clade. The intraspecific variability of the *H. fujianensis* Zhejiang and
31 Anhui populations is comparatively higher in this gene, *i.e.*, 93% (difference of 52 bp).

1 Twelve sequences were obtained for *C. myungsugae* in the present study, three for 28S
2 (MH444641-MH444643), four for ITS (MH444637-MH444640), two for 18S (MH444644-
3 MH444645), and three for *coxI* (MH496163-MH496165). Most of the *Criconemoides* species
4 are not fully characterised using all the rDNA fragments. The 28S, ITS and *coxI* phylogenetic
5 trees of *C. myungsugae* are presented in Supplementary Figures S5-S7, respectively. In all the
6 analyses, *C. myungsugae* clustered separately, except for 28S where it shared a branch with *C.*
7 *solivagus* (AY780969) and *C. informis* (KU722386, AY780970), although showing 82% (102
8 bp difference) and 81% (110 bp difference) sequence identity, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis
9 based on near full-length 18S was not done since only three species have been characterised for
10 this gene.

11

12 Discussion

13

14 Species of *Hemicriconemoides* are widely distributed in China, with 18 species
15 described/reported so far (Maria *et al.*, 2018a). *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* and *H.*
16 *fujianensis* are Chinese native species, both being originally described from flowering and fruit
17 trees, respectively. In the present study, *H. parasinensis* was isolated from *Osmanthus fragrans*,
18 a native plant species of southern China. This plant is regarded as the city flower of Hangzhou,
19 the flowers of the tree being used to make a herbal tea that is known to have some medicinal
20 effect (Zhou, 2008). *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* was isolated from *Quercus acutissima*, it is
21 also a native plant species of China, mostly grown for its dense shade and forestry purposes
22 (Edward & Dennis, 1994).

23 The genus *Criconemoides* has not been well explored in China, until now only three species
24 (*C. informis* (Micoletzky, 1922) Taylor, 1936; *C. parvus* Raski, 1952, and *C. zavadskii*
25 (Tulaganov, 1941) Raski, 1958) being reported (Maria *et al.*, 2018c). In the present study, another
26 *Criconemoides* species, *i.e.*, *C. myungsugae*, was found in the rhizosphere of *Altingia gracilipes*
27 and was characterised molecularly for the first time. Our phylogenetic analysis place *C.*
28 *myungsugae* within species of *Criconemoides* but, due to a lack of sequences, phylogenetically
29 close species cannot be determined. The 28S tree places *C. solivagum* Andrassy, 1962 and *C.*
30 *informis* (Micoletzky, 1922), Taylor, 1936 in one clade with *C. myungsugae*, however,
31 morphologically *C. myungsugae* can be differentiated from both species by having a discoid lip

1 region, longer stylet, and broadly rounded to cylindrical tail.

2 The phylogenetic analyses of *Hemicriconemoides* spp. obtained in the present study agree
3 with previous studies of this genus (Van den Berg *et al.*, 2014, 2015, Maria *et al.*, 2018a) although
4 small differences were found, probably due to the inclusion of new sequences. In the 28S, ITS
5 and 18S trees, the species having lip patterns of type 1 grouped within the same clade, including
6 *H. chitwoodi* and *H. parasinensis*. However, *H. fujianensis* did not seem to be phylogenetically
7 related with the other species belonging to type 2 lip pattern. The phylogenetic position of *H.*
8 *fujianensis* suggesting a possible transition of lip morphology from type 1 to type 2. The
9 phylogenetic relationships of *H. chitwoodi*, *H. parasinensis*, and *H. fujianensis* were consistent
10 in all nuclear markers, although these relationships were unresolved when the *coxI* gene analysis
11 was used. In this tree, *H. chitwoodi* shares a branch with *H. macrodorus* and *H. promisus* (species
12 with unknown lip patterns), *H. fujianensis* continuing to cluster alone. More molecular
13 information about other species from this genus is necessary in order to establish the
14 phylogenetic relationship within the group better.

15 Overall, this study provides the molecular characterisation together with morphological and
16 SEM observation of native *Hemicriconemoides* and one *Criconemoides* species from China. The
17 addition of these species from China to a reference dataset will assist to provide insights into the
18 phylogeny and biogeography of criconematid nematodes. Several studies have emphasised the
19 need for an integrative taxonomical approach (Van den Berg *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Maria *et al.*,
20 2018a, b). We also agreed that molecular diagnostics or phylogenetics should be combined with
21 morphological and SEM observations in order to avoid errors in accurate species identification.
22 However, we recommend the need for future research to supplement additional molecular data
23 in order to clarify the complex taxonomic status of criconematid species.

24 25 **Acknowledgements**

26
27 This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No :
28 31772137). The authors thank Dr N.H. Rong from the Center of Electron Microscopy, Life
29 Science Division, Zhejiang University, for providing assistance in the preparation of SEM.

1 **References**

- 2
- 3 Andrassy, I. (1965). Verzeichnis und Bestimmungsschlüssel der Arten der Nematoden-
4 Gattungen *Criconemoides* Taylor, 1936 und *Mesocriconema* n. gen. *Opuscula Zoologica*
5 *Instituti Zoosystematici Universitatis Budapestinensis* 5, 153-171.
- 6 Castresana, J. (2000). Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in
7 phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17, 540-552. DOI:
8 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334
- 9 Chen, D.Y., Ni, H.F., Tsay, T.T. & Yen, J.H. (2008). Identification of a new recorded sheath
10 nematode *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* (Nematoda: Criconematoidea, Criconematidae)
11 from grape plantation in Taiwan. *Plant Pathology Bulletin* 17, 315-320.
- 12 Chen, D.Y., Ni, H.F., Tsay, T.T. & Chen, R.S. (2011). Differentiation of *Hemicriconemoides*
13 *mangiferae* and *H. litchi* (Nematoda: Criconematina) based on morphometrics and nuclear
14 ribosomal DNA sequences. *Nematology* 13, 165-175. DOI: 10.1163/138855410X508714
- 15 Chen, L.J. & Liu, W.Z. (2003). Description of three new recorded species of *Hemicriconemoides*
16 in China. *Journal of Shenyang Agricultural University* 33, 416-419.
- 17 Chitwood, B.G. & Birchfield, W. (1957). A new genus, *Hemicriconemoides* (Criconematidae:
18 Tylenchina). *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington* 24, 80-86.
- 19 Choi, Y.E. & Geraert, E. (1975). Criconematids from Korea with the description of eight new
20 species (Nematoda: Tylenchida). *Nematologica* 21, 35-52. DOI: 10.1163/187529275X00338
- 21 Choi, Y.E., Brzeski, M.W. & Kim, J.I. (2000). Observations of some species of *Criconemoides*
22 Taylor, 1936 (Nematoda: Criconematidae) with proposals of new synonyms. *Nematology* 2,
23 273-284. DOI: 10.1163/156854100509141
- 24 Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new
25 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9, 772. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2109
- 26 De Grisse, A.T. (1969). Redescription ou modification de quelques techniques utilisées dans
27 l'étude des nematodes phytoparasitaires. *Mededelingen van de Rijksfaculteit der*
28 *Landbouwwetenschappen Gent* 34, 351-369.
- 29 De Ley, P., Félix, M.-A., Frisse, L.M., Nadler, S.A., Sternberg, P.W. & Thomas, W.K. (1999).
30 Molecular and morphological characterisation of two reproductively isolated species with
31 mirror-image anatomy (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). *Nematology* 1, 591-612. DOI:

1 10.1163/156854199508559

2 Decraemer, W. & Geraert, E. (1992). Description of *Hemicriconemoides parataiwanensis* sp. n.
3 (Criconematidae) and four other *Hemicriconemoides* species from Papua New Guinea with
4 consideration of variability in the genus. *Nematologica* 38, 267-295. Eskandari, A., Karegar,
5 A., Pourjam, E., Van den Berg, E. & Tiedt, R. (2010). Additional data on some poorly known
6 species of *Criconemoides* Taylor, 1936 (Nematoda: Criconematidae). *Nematology* 12, 505-
7 518. DOI: 10.1163/138855409X12506855979596

8
9 Esser, R.P. (1960). Three additional species in the genus *Hemicriconemoides* Chitwood &
10 Birchfield, 1957 (Nemata: Tylenchida). *Nematologica* 5, 64-71 DOI:
11 10.1163/187529260X00271

12
13 Geraert, E. (2010). *The Criconematidae of the world: identification of the family Criconematidae*
14 (*Nematoda*). Ghent, Belgium, Academia Press.

15 Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
16 program for windows 95/98/ NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41, 95-98.

17 Hunt, D.J., Luc, M. & Manzanilla-López, R.H. (2005). Identification, morphology and biology
18 of plant parasitic nematodes. In: Luc, M., Sikora, R.A. & Bridge, J. (Eds). *Plant parasitic*
19 *nematodes in subtropical and tropical agriculture*, 2nd edition. Wallingford, UK, CABI
20 Publishing.

21 Joyce, S., Reid, A., Driver, F. & Curran, J. (1994). Application of polymerase chain reaction
22 (PCR) methods to identification of entomopathogenic nematodes. In: Burnell, A.M, Ehlers,
23 R.-U. & Masson, J.P. (Eds). *COST 812 Biotechnology: Genetics of Entomopathogenic*
24 *Nematode-bacterium Complexes, Proceedings of Symposium & Workshop*, St. Patrick's
25 College, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland, Luxembourg, European Commission, DG XII, pp
26 178-187.

27 .
28 Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
29 improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30, 772-780.
30 doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010

31 Lin, L., Li, W., Hong, L., Zhou, Y. & Hu, X. (2014). Description of five species of

- 1 *Hemicriconemoides* nematodes from rhizosphere of *Ziziphus jujube* in Yunan. *Plant*
2 *Protection* 40, 154-158.
- 3 Maria, M., Cai, R., Castillo, P. & Zheng, J. (2018a) Morphological and molecular
4 characterisation of *Hemicriconemoides paracamelliae* sp. n. (Nematoda: Criconematidae)
5 and two known species of *Hemicriconemoides* from China. *Nematology* 20, 403-422. DOI
6 10.1163/15685411-00003147
- 7 Maria, M., Cai, R., Nan, Q., Castillo, P. & Zheng, J. (2018b). Morphological and molecular
8 characterization of *Hemicycliophora subbotini* n. sp. (Tylenchida: Hemicycliophoridae) from
9 China. *Zootaxa* 4433, 161-173. DOI: 10.11646/zootaxa.4433.1.10
- 10 Maria, M., Powers, T.O., Tian, Z., Harris, T., Higgins, R. & Zheng, J. (2018c). Description and
11 distribution of three Criconematid nematodes from Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China.
12 *Journal of Nematology* 50. DOI: 10.21307/jofnem-2018-010
- 13 Mullen, P., Sutton, L., Powers, K.S., Powers, T.O., Bernard, E.C., Harris, T., Higgins, R., Olson,
14 M. & Lodema, M. (2014). COI haplotype groups in *Mesocriconema* (Nematoda:
15 Criconematidae) and their morphospecies associations. *Zootaxa* 3827, 101-146. DOI:
16 10.11646/zootaxa.3827.2.1
- 17 Olson, M., Harris, T., Higgins, R., Mullin, P., Powers, K., Olson, S. & Powers, T.O. (2017).
18 Species delimitation and description of *Mesocriconema nebraskense* n. sp. (Nematoda:
19 Criconematidae), a morphologically cryptic, parthenogenetic species from North American
20 Grasslands. *Journal of Nematology* 49, 42-66.
- 21 Pokharel, R.R., Abawi, G.S., Zhang, N., Duxbury, J.M. & Smart, C.D. (2007). Characterization
22 of isolates of *Meloidogyne* from rice-wheat production fields in Nepal. *Journal of Nematology*
23 39, 221-230.
- 24 Powers, T.O., Harris, T., Higgins, R., Sutton, L. & Powers, K.S. (2010). Morphological and
25 molecular characterization of *Discocriconemella inarata*, an endemic nematode from North
26 American native tallgrass prairies. *Journal of Nematology* 42, 35-45.
- 27 Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
28 mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572-1574. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- 29 Seinhorst, J.W. (1959). A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous
30 glycerin. *Nematologica* 4, 67-69. DOI: 10.1163/187529259X00381
- 31 Subbotin, S.A., Vovlas, N., Crozzoli, R., Sturhan, D., Lamberti, F., Moens, M. & Baldwin, J.G.

- 1 (2005). Phylogeny of Criconematina Siddiqi, 1980 (Nematoda: Tylenchida) based on
2 morphology and D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S-rRNA gene sequences with
3 application of a secondary structure model. *Nematology* 7, 927-944. DOI:
4 10.1163/156854105776186307 Taylor, A.L. (1936). The genera and species of the
5 Criconematinae, a sub-family of the Anguilluliniidae (Nematoda). *Transactions of the*
6 *American Microscopical Society* 55, 391-421.
- 7 Van den Berg, E., Tiedt, L.R. & Subbotin, S.A. (2012). Morphological and molecular
8 characterisation of *Criconemoides brevistylus* Singh & Khera, 1976 and *C. obtusicaudatus*
9 Heyns, 1962 from South Africa (Nematoda: Criconematidae) with first description of a male
10 *C. obtusicaudatus* and proposal of new synonyms. *Nematology* 14, 961-976. DOI:
11 10.1163/156854112X640304
- 12 Van den Berg, E., Tiedt, L.R., Inserra, R.N., Stanley, J.D., Vovlas, N., Palomares-Rius, J.E.,
13 Castillo, P. & Subbotin, S.A. (2014). Morphological and molecular characterisation of some
14 *Hemicriconemoides* species (Nematoda: Criconematidae) together with a phylogeny of the
15 genus. *Nematology* 16, 519-553. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-00002786
- 16 Van den Berg, E., Tiedt, L.R., Inserra, R.N., Stanley, J.D., Vovlas, N., Palomares-Rius, J.E.,
17 Castillo, P. & Subbotin, S.A. (2015). Characterisation of a topotype and other populations of
18 *Hemicriconemoides strictathecatus* Esser, 1960 (Nematoda: Criconematidae) from Florida
19 with description of *H. phoenicis* sp. n. from the USA. *Nematology* 17, 265-300. DOI:
20 10.1163/15685411-00002866
- 21 Wang, R. (1993). Species identification of parasitic nematodes of fruit trees in Shaanxi Province.
22 *Acta Agriculturae Boreali Occidentalis Sinica* 2, 81-86.
- 23 Ye, W., Giblin-Davis, R.M., Braasch, H., Morris, K. & Thomas, W.K. (2007). Phylogenetic
24 relationships among *Bursaphelenchus* species (Nematoda: Parasitaphelenchidae) inferred
25 from nuclear ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
26 *Evolution* 43, 1185-1197. DOI: 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.02.006
- 27 Zhang, S. (1998). Two new species of *Hemicriconemoides* (Nemata: Criconematidae). *Acta*
28 *Phytopathologica Sinica* 28, 367-375.
- 29 Zheng, J., Subbotin, S.A., He, S., Gu, J. & Moens, M. (2003). Molecular characterisation of
30 some Asian isolates of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* and *B. mucronatus* using PCR-RFLPs and
31 sequences of ribosomal DNA. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 11, 17-22.

1 Zhou, S. (2008). Flower herbal tea used for treatment of menopathies. *Journal of Traditional*
2 *Chinese Medicine* 3, 202-204.

3

4

1 **Figure legends**

2
3
4 **Fig. 1.** Light photomicrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* Esser, 1960. A: Entire
5 body (v = vulva); B: Cuticular annuli at mid-body; C: Lip region with cuticle; D-F: Lip region;
6 G: Pharyngeal region with arrows showing position of base of pharyngeal bulb (ph.b) and
7 excretory pore (exp); H-K: Tail region with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a).
8 (Scale bars: A = 20 μm ; B-K = 10 μm .)

9
10 **Fig. 2.** Scanning Electron Micrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* Esser, 1960. A:
11 Entire body (v = vulva); B-D: Lip regions; E: Cuticular annuli at mid-body; F-H: Tail region
12 with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a). (Scale bars: A = 100 μm ; B, D = 10 μm ;
13 C = 5 μm ; E, H = 30 μm ; F, G = 20 μm .)

14
15 **Fig. 3.** Light photomicrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998, Anhui
16 Province population. A: Entire body (v = vulva); B: Entire body cuticle pulled over lip region (v
17 = vulva); C, D: Lip region; E: Lip region with cuticle; F: Pharyngeal region with arrows showing
18 position of base of pharyngeal bulb (ph.b) and excretory pore (exp); G: Cuticular annuli at mid-
19 body; H: Posterior region showing entire gonad showing position of vulva (v); I, J: Tail region
20 with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a). (Scale bars: A, B = 20 μm ; C-J = 10 μm .)

21
22 **Fig. 4.** Scanning Electron Micrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998,
23 Anhui Province population. A: Entire body (v = vulva); B-D: Lip regions; E: Cuticular annuli at
24 mid-body; F-H: Tail region with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a), the lower
25 arrow in H showing annuli breaking at tail terminus. (Scale bars: A = 100 μm ; B, D = 10 μm ; C
26 = 5 μm ; E = 50 μm ; F-H = 20 μm .)

27
28 **Fig. 5.** Light photomicrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998, Zhejiang
29 Province population. A: Entire body (v = vulva); B: Cuticular annuli at mid-body; C: Lip region
30 with cuticle; D, E: Lip region; F: Pharyngeal region with arrows showing position of base of
31 pharyngeal bulb (ph.b) and excretory pore (exp); G-J: Tail region with arrows showing position

1 of vulva (v) and anus (a). (Scale bars: A = 20 μm ; B-J = 10 μm .)

2

3 **Fig. 6.** Scanning Electron Micrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998,
4 Zhejiang Province population. A: Entire body (v = vulva); B-D: Lip region; E: Cuticular annuli
5 at mid-body; F-H: Tail region with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a). (Scale
6 bars: A = 100 μm ; B, D = 10 μm ; C = 5 μm ; E = 40 μm ; F, G = 20 μm ; H = 30 μm .)

7

8 **Fig. 7.** Light photomicrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* Chen & Liu, 2003.
9 A: Entire body (v = vulva); B: Entire body cuticle pulled over lip region (v = vulva); C: Lip
10 region with cuticle; D: Lip region; E, F: Pharyngeal region with arrows showing position of base
11 of pharyngeal bulb (ph.b) and excretory pore (exp); G: Posterior region showing entire gonad;
12 H: Cuticular annuli; I-K: Tail region with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a).
13 (Scale bars: A, B = 20 μm ; C-K = 10 μm .)

14

15 **Fig. 8.** Scanning Electron Micrographs of female *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* Chen &
16 Liu, 2003. A: Entire body cuticle pulled over lip region (v = vulva); B: Entire body (v = vulva);
17 C, D: Lip region; E, F: Tail region with arrows showing position of vulva (v) and anus (a); G:
18 Tail region with arrow showing position of vulva (v); H: Cuticular annuli at mid-body. (Scale
19 bars: A, B = 100 μm ; C, D = 10 μm ; E-H = 20 μm .)

20

21 **Fig. 9.** Light photomicrographs of female *Criconemoides myungsugae* Choi & Geraert, 1975.
22 A: Entire body (v = vulva); B: Cuticular annuli showing anastomoses (arrows); C-E: Lip
23 region; F: Pharyngeal region with arrows showing position of base of pharyngeal bulb
24 (phar.bulb) and excretory pore (exp); G, I: Tail region with arrows showing position of anus
25 (a); H: Tail region with arrows showing position vulva (v) and of anus (a). (Scale bars: A = 20
26 μm ; B-I = 10 μm .)

27

28 **Fig. 10.** Scanning Electron Micrographs of female *Criconemoides myungsugae* Choi &
29 Geraert, 1975. A, B: Lip region; C: Cuticular annuli at mid-body; D-F: Tail region showing
30 position of vulva and anus. (Scale bars: A, B = 10 μm ; C-F = 20 μm .)

1 **Supplementary figures**

2

3 **Supplementary Fig. S1.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
4 *Hemicriconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the D2-D3 of 28S rRNA gene
5 sequence dataset with the GTR + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for
6 appropriate clades. Newly obtained sequences are indicated in bold. * Identified as *H.*
7 *strictathecatus* by Subbotin *et al.* (2005) and Van den Berg *et al.* (2014); ** identified as *H.*
8 *strictathecatus* by Van den Berg *et al.* (2014).

9

10 **Supplementary Fig. S2.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
11 *Hemicriconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the ITS rRNA gene sequence
12 dataset with the GTR + I + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for appropriate
13 clades. Newly obtained sequences are indicated in bold. * Identified as *H. litchi* by Chen *et al.*
14 (2011) and *H. strictathecatus* by Van den Berg *et al.* (2014); ** identified as *H. strictathecatus*
15 by Van den Berg *et al.* (2014); *** identified as *H. mangiferae* by Chen *et al.* (2011); ****
16 identified as *H. californianus* by Chen *et al.* (2011).

17

18 **Supplementary Fig. S3.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
19 *Hemicriconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the partial 18S rRNA gene
20 sequence dataset with the GTR + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for
21 appropriate clades. Newly obtained sequences are indicated in bold.

22

23 **Supplementary Fig. S4.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
24 *Hemicriconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the partial *coxI* gene with the GTR
25 + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for appropriate clades. Newly obtained
26 sequences are indicated in bold.

27

28 **Supplementary Fig. S5.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
29 *Criconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the partial 28S gene sequence dataset
30 with the GTR + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for appropriate clades.
31 Newly obtained sequences are indicated in bold.

1

2 **Supplementary Fig. S6.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
3 *Criconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using the ITS gene sequence dataset with the
4 GTR + G. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for appropriate clades. Newly obtained
5 sequences are indicated in bold.

6

7 **Supplementary Fig. S7.** Phylogenetic relationships within populations and species of
8 *Criconemoides* as inferred from Bayesian analysis using *coxI* gene sequence dataset with the
9 GTR + I + G model. Posterior probability more than 70% is given for appropriate clades. Newly
10 obtained sequences are indicated in bold.

11

12

1 **Table 1.** Morphometrics of female *Hemicriconemoides chitwoodi* Esser, 1960. All measurements are in
 2 μm and in the form: mean \pm s.d. (range).

3

Character	This study	Esser, 1960	Wang, 1993	Lin <i>et al.</i> , 2014	Van den Berg <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Zhejiang	(Type pop.)	Shaanxi	Yunnan	Topotype, USA
n	15	13	19	27	7
L	525 \pm 36.8 (456-580)	540 (480-590)	460 (390-520)	507 (441-574)	496 (435-537)
a	17.2 \pm 1.2 (15.5-19.4)	15.5 (13.4-17.0)	16.3 (13.5-19.1)	16.9 (11.9-20.5)	13.6 (11.9-16.2)
b	4.2 \pm 0.2 (3.9-4.7)	3.8 (3.5-4.1)	3.8 (3.3-4.2)	3.1 (3.1-4.3)	3.7 (3.5-3.8)
c	18.0 \pm 1.6 (14.2-20.2)	15.7 (11.2-21.7)	18.6 (15.4-21.8)	17.9 (15.2-22.1)	17.2 (15.2-19.1)
c'	1.6 \pm 0.2 (1.4-1.9)	–	1.6 (1.3-1.8)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	1.3 (1.1-1.4)
V	90.7 \pm 0.6 (89.6-91.6)	90.1 (88.1-91.0)	91 (90-92)	90.6 (89.7-91.4)	90 (84-92)
VL/VB	2.1 \pm 0.2 (1.9-2.5)	(1.9-2.6)	–	–	1.6 (1.3-1.9)
R	118 \pm 3.4 (110-122)	124 (116-133)	–	124 (114-141)	122 (118-125)
Rex	36 \pm 1.8 (33-39)	(33-36)	–	33 (30-36)	35 (33-37)
RV	12.3 \pm 0.6 (11.0-13.0)	(12-16)	–	13 (10-15)	14 (13-16)
RVan	4.9 \pm 0.3 (4.0-5.0)	(3-6)	–	4.6 (4-6)	3 (3-4)
Ran	7.4 \pm 0.6 (6.0-8.0)	(8-11)	–	8.3 (6-11)	10 (9-11)
Lip height	12.9 \pm 1.2 (11.3-15.5)	–	–	–	–
Lip diam.	5.4 \pm 0.4 (4.7-6.1)	–	–	–	–
Stylet	82 \pm 2.3 (79-86)	91 (85-95)	80 (77-84)	80 (77-84)	88 (81-96)
Stylet %	15.8 \pm 1.0 (14.1-17.6)	–	–	–	17.8 (16.5-20.1)
Pharynx length	124 \pm 5.0 (116-131)	–	–	–	134 (122.5-144)
Max. body diam.	30.7 \pm 2.2 (27.0-34.7)	–	–	30.5 (24.5-38.5)	37.0 (33.0-42.5)
Vulval body diam.	22.9 \pm 1.4 (20.1-25.7)	–	–	–	30.5 (25.5-36.0)
Vulva to tail tip	48.7 \pm 4.8 (38.1-57.6)	–	–	–	–
Anal body diam.	17.9 \pm 1.3 (15.9-20.3)	–	–	–	24.5 (18.5-35.0)
Tail length	29.4 \pm 3.3 (22.7-34.8)	–	–	28.6 (22.7-35)	29.0 (25.0-31.5)

4

5 *VL/LB= Distance from terminus to vulva divided by body width at vulva

6

1 **Table 2.** Morphometrics of female *Hemicriconemoides fujianensis* Zhang, 1998. All measurements are
 2 in μm and in the form: mean \pm s.d. (range).

3

Character	This study Anhui	This study Zhejiang	Zhang, 1998 Fujian (Type pop.)
n	15	15	25
L	545 \pm 28.9 (465-583)	508 \pm 16.1 (487-540)	470 (380-510)
a	15.3 \pm 0.8 (13.6-16.4)	13.8 \pm 0.8 (12.7-15.3)	17 (13-32)
b	4.9 \pm 0.2 (4.5-5.5)	4.8 \pm 0.2 (4.6-5.1)	4.5 (3.8-5.4)
c	26.1 \pm 3.7 (21.3-36.6)	30.2 \pm 3.0 (25.7-34.4)	19.5 (16.9-24)
c'	1.0 \pm 0.1 (0.8-1.2)	0.8 \pm 0.1 (0.7-1.0)	1.3 (1.1-1.6)
V	91.3 \pm 0.5 (90.2-92.4)	91.8 \pm 0.5 (90.9-93.1)	92 (90-94)
VL/VB	1.7 \pm 0.1 (1.6-1.9)	1.5 \pm 0.1 (1.3-1.6)	1.5 (1.2-1.8)
R	103 \pm 2.1 (100-107)	97 \pm 3.1 (92-103)	124 (118-130)
Rex	29.5 \pm 1.5 (28.0-32.0)	27.0 \pm 1.1 (25.0-29.0)	32 (30-33)
RV	10.2 \pm 0.6 (9.0-11.0)	9.1 \pm 0.7 (8.0-10.0)	11 (9-12)
RVan	5.0 \pm 0.0 (5.0-5.0)	4.4 \pm 0.5 (4.0-5.0)	2-4
Ran	5.2 \pm 0.6 (4.0-6.0)	4.7 \pm 0.5 (4.0-5.0)	6-8
Lip height	6.0 \pm 0.6 (5.0-6.9)	6.0 \pm 0.6 (5.2-6.8)	–
Lip diam.	14.1 \pm 0.8 (12.3-15.3)	14.9 \pm 0.9 (12.3-16.3)	–
Stylet	62 \pm 1.8 (60-65)	59 \pm 2.0 (56-62)	66 (63-70)
Stylet %	11.4 \pm 0.6 (10.8-12.9)	11.7 \pm 0.5 (10.9-12.4)	–
Pharynx length	111 \pm 4.9 (102-121)	106 \pm 2.7 (100-112)	–
Max. body diam.	35.5 \pm 1.3 (32.9-37.9)	36.9 \pm 1.8 (34.6-40.1)	–
Vulval body diam.	27.3 \pm 1.2 (24.8-29.1)	28.3 \pm 1.1 (26.4-30.5)	–
Vulva to tail tip	47.2 \pm 3.2 (40.2-51.6)	41.6 \pm 2.7 (35.4-46.8)	–
Anal body diam.	20.7 \pm 1.4 (18.0-22.2)	20.2 \pm 1.1 (18.1-21.9)	–
Tail length	21.1 \pm 2.4 (15.2-24.5)	17.0 \pm 1.8 (14.7-20.3)	–

4

5 *VL/LB= Distance from terminus to vulva divided by body width at vulva

6

1 **Table 3.** Morphometrics of female *Hemicriconemoides parasinensis* Chen & Liu, 2003. All
 2 measurements are in μm and in the form: mean \pm s.d. (range).

3

Character	This study	Chen & Liu, 2003	Chen & Liu, 2003	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	Zhejiang	Liaoning (Type pop.)	Henan (Type pop.)	Taiwan
n	15	20	21	*
L	469 \pm 30.3 (400-501)	405 (354-448)	482 (426-516)	(340-480)
a	14.0 \pm 1.1 (12.2-15.6)	12.7 (10.7-13.8)	14 (12.1-15.4)	(11.5-15.2)
b	3.7 \pm 0.3 (3.3-4.5)	3.3 (2.9-3.5)	3.8 (3.4-4.2)	(3.2-3.8)
c	20.7 \pm 2.9 (16.3-27.5)	23.4 (19.3-32.8)	22.6 (15.4-32.3)	(17.5-33.6)
c'	1.2 \pm 0.1 (1.0-1.4)	0.8 (0.6-1.0)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	(0.6-1.3)
V	91.4 \pm 0.8 (90.0-92.7)	92.4 (91.0-93.9)	91.7 (90.4-93.0)	(89.6-93.4)
VL/VB	1.7 \pm 0.2 (1.4-2.1)	–	–	(1.0-1.7)
R	107 \pm 3.4 (101-113)	101 (96-110)	105 (97-112)	(99-113)
Rex	33.9 \pm 2.4 (30.0-36.0)	31 (29-33)	31 (29-33)	(28-33)
RV	10.5 \pm 0.8 (9.0-12.0)	9 (7-10)	10 (9-12)	(8-12)
RVan	4.3 \pm 0.6 (3.0-5.0)	–	–	–
Ran	6.2 \pm 0.4 (6.0-7.0)	5 (4-6)	6 (4-7)	(4-8)
Lip height	5.2 \pm 0.3 (4.8-5.6)	–	–	–
Lip diam.	11.1 \pm 1.0 (9.3-12.5)	–	–	–
Stylet	87 \pm 2.7 (82-90)	84 (76-86)	87 (80-92)	(73.7-92)
Stylet %	18.6 \pm 1.3 (16.6-22.0)	–	–	–
Pharynx length	125 \pm 5.9 (112-134)	–	–	–
Max. body diam.	33.7 \pm 2.9 (28.6-40.6)	–	–	–
Vulval body diam.	23.6 \pm 1.4 (20.9-26.7)	25.1 (20-30)	27.7 (24-30)	(25-40)
Vulva to anus	17.4 \pm 2.9 (13.2-24.3)	–	–	–
Vulva to tail tip	40.3 \pm 3.8 (35.7-45.9)	–	–	–
Anal body diam.	19.0 \pm 1.3 (17.1-20.7)	19.4 (14-22)	22.8 (20-26)	(14-22)
Tail length	22.9 \pm 2.4 (18.2-26.7)	16.4 (10-22)	22 (16-32)	(11-25)

4

5 *VL/LB= Distance from terminus to vulva divided by body width at vulva

6 *consolidated data from four populations from Taiwan.

7

1 **Table 4.** Morphometrics of female *Criconemoides myungsugae* Choi & Geraert, 1975. All
 2 measurements are in μm and in the form: mean \pm s.d. (range).

3

Character	This study	Choi & Geraert,	Choi <i>et al.</i> ,	Eskandari <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Zhejiang	1975 (Type pop.)	2000 Korea	Iran
n	15	9	13	18
L	527 \pm 35.5 (459-574)	445-500	450 (410-490)	546 (455-630)
a	12.0 \pm 1.3 (9.7-14.5)	10-12	10.9 (10.1-11.4)	11 (9.4-13.3)
b	4.6 \pm 0.3 (4.2-5.1)	3.7-4	3.7 (3.6-4.0)	4.4 (3.8-4.8)
c	31.7 \pm 5.6 (23.4-40.0)	20-24	23 (21-26)	22.2 (16.8-27.9)
c'	0.7 \pm 0.1 (0.5-1.0)	–	–	–
V	93.2 \pm 0.6 (92.0-94.1)	92	91-92	92.2 (91.1-93.7)
VL/VB	1.2 \pm 0.1 (1.0-1.4)	–	1.1-1.2	1.2 (0.9-1.3)
R	111 \pm 2.9 (104-115)	122-127	122 (119-125)	119 (111-127)
Rex	30.0 \pm 1.2 (27.0-32.0)	29-34	31 (29-34)	31 (30-34)
RV	9.0 \pm 2.6 (8.0-10.0)	10-12	12.8 (11-14)	11 (9-12)
RVan	4.6 \pm 0.5 (4.0-5.0)	6	5 (4-7)	2 (1-3)
Ran	4.4 \pm 0.5 (4.0-5.0)	5-8	6.8 (5-8)	7 (6-9)
Stylet	65 \pm 2.9 (59-69)	66-68	68 (66-72)	64 (62-71)
Stylet %	12.3 \pm 0.7 (10.9-13.9)	–	–	11.8 (10.6-14.5)
Pharynx length	114 \pm 4.6 (107-121)	–	–	125 (112-137)
Max. body diam.	44.5 \pm 5.3 (35.2-50.8)	–	–	50 (41-59)
Vulval body diam.	29.9 \pm 2.0 (26.4-32.5)	–	–	–
Vulva to tail tip	36.0 \pm 3.6 (29.3-41.4)	–	–	–
Anal body diam.	23.3 \pm 2.0 (20.0-27.0)	–	–	–
Tail length	17.2 \pm 3.6 (12.0-23.0)	–	–	25 (20-31)

4

5 *VL/LB= Distance from terminus to vulva divided by body width at vulva

6