Bioactive substances in black ripe olives produced in Spain and the USA

P.García, C.Romero, M.Brenes

Instituto de la Grasa (IG-CSIC), Campus University Pablo de Olavide, Ctra. Utrera km 1, 41013 Seville, Spain

Abstract

The aim of this work was to assess the contents of phenolic and triterpenic substances in commercial olives produced in the USA and Spain, which currently use different olive cultivars and elaboration methods. The phenolic compounds identified in black ripe olives were hydroxytyrosol, hydroxytyrosol-4-glucoside, hydroxytyrosol acetylated, salidroside, tyrosol, luteolin-7-glucoside, p-coumaric acid and comselogoside. The concentration of these substances in American black ripe olives was lower than 50 mg/kg whereas it was higher than 150 mg/kg in Spanish olives regardless of whether the American processors used the Manzanilla cultivar, which contains higher contents of these substances in the harvested fruit than the Hojiblanca cultivar. Moreover, the concentration of triterpenic acids (oleanolic and maslinic) in American black ripe olives was lower than 200 mg/kg whereas it was higher than 400 mg/kg in the Spanish product. These results indicate that the method used by American processors to elaborate black ripe olives gives rise to lower contents in bioactive substances than the Spanish method, and it must be related to the number of alkali/washing cycles used during processing. Hence, olive processors worldwide must keep in mind the increasing consumer demand for healthy products rich in bioactive substances when developing their elaboration methods.

Keywords

Food analysis, Food composition, Table olives, Phenolic, Oleanolic, Maslinic, Hydroxytyrosol, Bioactive

1. Introduction

Among the many different methods existing worldwide to elaborate table olives, the California-style is very popular in the USA where it was created at the end of the XIX century (Luh et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the world production of black ripe olives has increased during recent decades due to their use in pizzas, salads and ready to eat foods. Their shiny black color is very

appreciated by consumers in addition to their mild flavor; although consumer preference varies from country to country (Lee et al., 2012, Sansone-Land et al., 2014).

Nowadays, consumers are demanding food products with high quality which are also rich in bioactive substances. Table olives are an important component of the Mediterranean diet with high contents of monounsaturated fatty acids, fiber, minerals and vitamins. They also possess a high concentration in phenolic and triterpenic acid compounds (Romero et al., 2004, Romero et al., 2010).

Olive phenolic compounds, particularly those in olive oil, have attracted the attention of scientists because of their beneficial effects on health such as antioxidant, antitumor, cardio-protective and neuroprotective activities (Hu et al., 2014, Hohmann et al., 2015, Antonini et al., 2015). In addition, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) recently approved a health claim stating that the dietary intake of olive oil polyphenols is able to prevent LDL oxidation (EC, 2012), and hydroxytyrosol and its derivatives are the key components to such activity (Caporaso et al., 2015). This is also the major compound in table olives, and the plasma antioxidant status of human increases due to its consumption (Kountouri et al., 2007).

There are numerous factors that can affect the phenolic compound concentration in table olives including the cultivar (Othman et al., 2008, Malheiro et al., 2011, Ramírez et al., 2014), degree of ripening and the method used for processing (Blekas et al., 2002, Romero et al., 2004, Pereira et al., 2006, Boskou et al., 2006, Medina et al., 2013). In particular, the California-style black ripe olive processing leads to a significant reduction in the level of phenolic compounds due to their oxidation during the blackening step (Brenes et al., 1992, Campestre et al., 2002, Melliou et al., 2015).

Triterpenic acids (maslinic and oleanolic acids) are other bioactive substances present in table olives in a very high concentration (Romero et al., 2010, Alexandraki et al., 2014). They also possess beneficial effects on human health such as antitumor and antioxidant activities (Lozano-Mena et al., 2014, Fukumitsu et al., 2015). Similar to phenolic compounds, the concentration of triterpenic acids in table olives depends on the cultivar and method of processing (Romero et al., 2010, Alexandraki et al., 2014). These are lipophilic substances that diffuse into an alkaline medium such as that used for the processing of black ripe olives. Taking into consideration the increasing demand for enriched food in bioactive substances, a study of their content in commercial black ripe olives elaborated in the two main producing countries (USA and Spain) was carried out.

It must be noted that the olive cultivar and the method of processing are very different in these countries. Spain is the main producing country of table olives, with the Hojiblanca cultivar being the most commonly employed for making black ripe olives (García et al., 2014). In contrast, the Manzanilla cultivar is the favorite of American processors (Luh et al., 2005). In

addition, the length of the American process is greater and the number of alkaline solutions is higher than the Spanish process (Luh et al., 2005, García et al., 2014). Consequently, it is predictable that the concentration of bioactive substances would be lower in the American olives than in the Spanish ones. The aim of this work was to make a survey of the bioactive substances in black ripe olives elaborated in both countries, the USA and Spain.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

Olives of the Hojiblanca and Manzanilla cultivars were harvested with a green-yellow color in the province of Seville (Spain). They were put into underground tanks containing 10,000 kg of olives and 5000 L of brine acidified with acetic acid and maintained for 9 months under aerobic conditions (De Castro et al., 2007). Five samples of each variety were taken from 5 different tanks for analyses.

To compare the content in bioactive substances of black ripe olives, commercial products were obtained in the USA and Spain. Thirty samples of commercial black ripe olives were purchased in the USA from local markets located in California, Washington DC and Florida. Olives of the Manzanilla cultivar had been elaborated and canned in tins by American processors. Ten of the samples contained large pitted olives and another ten samples medium pitted olives. The rest of the samples had sliced black olives. In addition, thirty samples of black ripe olives of the Hojiblanca cultivar intended for exportation to the USA were obtained from Spanish local processors located in the province of Seville, south of Spain. The product was packed in jars and pouches, and all of the olives were pitted.

All the samples were analyzed in less than 3 months from marketing.

2.2. Analysis of chemical characteristics of olives

The concentration of NaCl was analyzed by titration with a 0.1 N silver nitrate solution, using a potassium chromate solution as indicator. The pH of the pulp and brine was measured with a Metrohm 670 Titro processor (Herisau, Switzerland). The moisture was measured on olive paste by drying in an oven at 105 °C until a constant weight was obtained.

2.3. Analysis of phenolic compounds

Pitted fruits were crushed with an Ultraturrax homogenizer, and 3 g of the paste were mixed with 10 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). After 30 min of resting contact, the mixture was centrifuged at 6000g for 5 min, and 0.25 mL of the supernatant were diluted with 0.5 mL of DMSO plus 0.25 mL of 0.2 mM syringic acid in DMSO (internal standard). The mixture was filtered through a 0.22 µm pore size nylon filter, and an aliquot (20 µL) was injected into the

chromatograph. A Spherisorb ODS-2 (5 μ m, 250 $\times$ 4.6 mm, Waters Inc.) column was used. The HPLC system consisted of a Waters 2695 Alliance (Waters Inc., Mildford, MA, USA) with a pump, column heater and auto sampler and the detection was performed with a Waters 996 diode array detector at 280 nm. Separation was achieved using an elution gradient with an initial composition of 90% water (pH adjusted to 2.7 with phosphoric acid) and 10% methanol. The concentration of the latter solvent was increased to 60, 70 and 100% in 5-min periods. The flow rate of 1 mL/min and a temperature of 35 °C were used. Chromatograms were recorded at 280 nm for phenolic compounds (Ramírez et al., 2014).

The identification of the phenolic compounds in the extract was made using a HPLC–MS system that consisted of a Waters 2695 Alliance with a pump, column heater and auto sampler modules, and the detection was carried out with a Waters 2998 photodiode array detector and a mass single-quadrupole detector (QDa, Waters, USA). The QDa mass detector was operated in the negative mode (ESI-), the capillary voltage was set at 0.8 kV, the cone voltage to 15 V, and nitrogen was used as nebulizer gas with the desolvation temperature set at 600 °C. The flow rate was 1 mL/min, and the column, solvent and gradient conditions were the same as mentioned above.

2.4. Analysis of triterpenic acids

These substances were analyzed following the procedure described by Romero et al. (2010) with slight modifications. One gram of dried olive was mixed in a 10 mL centrifuge tube with 4 mL of methanol/ethanol (1:1, v/v) and vortexed for 1 min, centrifuged at 6000g for 5 min at 20 °C, and the solvent was separated from the solid phase. This step was repeated six times, and the pooled solvent extract was vacuum evaporated. The residue was dissolved in 4 mL of methanol, which was filtered through a 0.22 μ m pore size nylon filter and an aliquot (20 μ L) was injected into the liquid chromatograph. The chromatographic system and column were the same as those used for the phenolic compound analysis. The mobile phase (methanol/acidified water with phosphoric acid at pH 2.7, 92:8, v/v) was delivered to the column at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min and the eluate was monitored at 210 nm. Oleanolic and maslinic acids were quantified using external standards (Sigma, USA).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical comparisons of the mean values for each experiment were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$) using SPSS software v. 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Bioactive substances in olives preserved in brine before the darkening process

The phenolic contents of olives of the Manzanilla and Hojiblanca cultivars, which had been preserved for 9 months in acidified brine, are shown in Table 1. A higher concentration of total

phenolic compounds was found in olives of the Manzanilla cultivar than Hojiblanca although this trend was not statistically significant due to the great variability detected among samples. Ramírez et al. (2014) also observed these differences in harvested olives of the two cultivars during two consecutive seasons but they again reported great differences among samples. Although there are several factors that can affect the phenolic composition of olives such as area of production and agronomic and climatic conditions, the data reported in the literature indicate that the Manzanilla cultivar and its olive oil possess the highest content in phenolic compounds among olives cultivated worldwide (Romero et al., 2002, Torres and Maestri, 2006, Xiang et al., 2017). In our study, the olives were harvested with the same degree of maturation and processed in the same olive factory.

The main phenolic compounds identified in the pulp of preserved olives were hydroxytyrosol in both cultivars and oleuropein in the Manzanilla cultivar. Hydroxytyrosol-4-glucoside and verbascoside were also detected at a high concentration. It is well-known that oleuropein is the major phenolic compound in harvested olives (Ramírez et al., 2014) but its concentration decreases with time of preservation because of (i) its diffusion to the surrounding brine; (ii) enzymatic hydrolysis; and (iii) chemical hydrolysis. There is a slow diffusion of oleuropein from the olive pulp to the surrounding liquid during brining of the fruit (Piscopo et al., 2014), and this phenomenon is highly increased in broken fruits (Malheiro et al., 2011). Otherwise, oleuropein is enzymatically hydrolyzed by the action of endogenous β -glucosidase during the first months of brining (Ramírez et al., 2016a), giving rise to HyEDA (dialdehydic form of decarboxymethyl elenolic acid linked to hydroxytyrosol). The latter substance infers a high antimicrobial activity to the brines (Medina et al., 2013) and undergoes chemical hydrolysis during preservation (Ramírez et al., 2016b).

Most of the phenolic compounds were found in higher concentrations in the Manzanilla cultivar than Hojiblanca, but the effect was not significant, except for the flavonoids rutin, luteolin-7-glucoside, luteolin and apigenin, which were richer in the latter cultivar.

Maslinic acid was the main triterpenic acid detected in both Manzanilla and Hojiblanca cultivars, followed by oleanolic acid (Fig. 1). The concentrations of both compounds were higher in the Hojiblanca than Manzanilla cultivar, which is in agreement with data reported previously for harvested fruit (Romero et al., 2010). It must be stressed that these substances are not soluble in water or acidified brine so that their concentrations remain constant in the olive pulp during preservation in brine, particularly if the fruits have not been previously treated with alkali (Alexandraki et al., 2014).

3.2. Bioactive substances in commercial black ripe olives

With regard to the commercial black ripe olives, their chemical characteristics are shown in Table 2. All samples analyzed had a pH in pulp and brine close to neutrality (6.9–7.2) regardless of the producing country. The content in salt was slightly higher in the Spanish black ripe olives (2.4%) than the American (2.0%) but it was not statistically significant. In fact, a sensory study carried out with American consumers established “salty” as a positive indicator of liking, with

“oak barrel”, “artificial fruity” and “floral aroma” as negative attributes (Lee et al., 2012). The data reported in Table 2 indicate that the two main chemical characteristics of table olives (acidic and salty) were rather similar in both American and Spanish olives. In contrast, the moisture was significantly higher in the American (83–84%) than the Spanish olives (77%). It could be associated with the olive cultivar, although the moisture of the Spanish Manzanilla and Hojiblanca fruit preserved in tanks before darkening was 68% and 73%, respectively (data not shown). Consequently, the increase in moisture and this difference in the final product must be related to the different method of processing used by American and Spanish processors. It seems that the high number of alkaline and washing treatments of the American method gives rise to a high release of substances from the olive flesh and, consequently, an increase in the moisture of the olives.

The phenolic compounds were identified by HPLC–MS and quantified by HPLC-DAD in black ripe olives (Fig. 2). Oxidation and lye/washing cycles lead to a great reduction of these substances in the final product and only 8 of them were quantified in the packed product. Significant differences between American and Spanish black ripe olives were also observed in their contents in phenolic compounds (Table 3). The concentrations of all of phenolic compounds identified were higher in Spanish than American black ripe olives. It is well-known that the concentrations of phenolic compounds decrease to a large extent during the processing of black ripe olives (Brenes et al., 1992, Campestre et al., 2002, Melliou et al., 2015), which can be caused by (i) the oxidation of these substances under the alkaline conditions and (ii) their diffusion from the fruit to the alkaline solutions and washing waters. Among the different table olives preparation, the black ripe olives are those with the lowest concentration in phenolic compounds (Romero et al., 2004, Pereira et al., 2006) due to the high number of washing cycles. In particular, black ripe olives processed in Spain had a concentration in phenolic compounds which was more than three times higher than the American olives (Table 3), despite the fact that the Manzanilla cultivar possesses a higher concentration of these substances than Hojiblanca in the raw fruit (Ramírez et al., 2014). A lower phenolic content was also observed in sliced than in pitted olives although it was not statistically significant (Table 3), and it could be related to the higher number of washings applied on the former than the latter olives. Indeed, the concentration of phenolic compounds in sliced olives was very low (16.1 mg/kg).

Maslinic and oleanolic acid were the two triterpenic acids identified in the pulp of black ripe olives (Fig. 2). Spanish black ripe olives also contained these substances in a concentration which was more than two times higher than the American equivalent (Fig. 3). Both maslinic and oleanolic acids were found in lower concentrations in the American than the Spanish samples regardless the olive commercial presentation (pitted or sliced). These lipophilic substances are soluble in alkali and thereby they diffuse from the olives into the surrounding solutions during the darkening step of black ripe olives (Romero et al., 2010). Hence, they are found in a higher concentration in natural olives non-treated with alkali than those treated, such as the Spanish-style green olives and the black ripe olives (Romero et al., 2010, Alexandraki et al., 2014). Again, the higher number of alkali and washing solutions used by American processors gives rise to lower concentrations of these bioactive substances in their olives than in Spanish olives elaborated with fewer alkali/washing cycles.

4. Conclusions

In this study, it has been found that commercial black ripe olives elaborated in the USA contain a significant lower concentration in these bioactive substances than those produced in Spain. These differences cannot be attributed to the olive cultivar because the raw Manzanilla cultivar employed by American processors in fact has a higher concentration in phenolic compounds than the Hojiblanca used by Spanish processors. The number of alkali and washing steps during blackening of the fruit is the key factor that determines the concentration of phenolic and triterpenic acid compounds in the final product. Hence, processors worldwide must take into consideration these data to satisfy the demand of consumers for healthy products, in particular table olives.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Project AGL-2013-42739-R and the European Union FEDER funds.

References

- V. Alexandraki, M. Georgalaki, K. Papadimitriou, R. Anastasiou, G. Zoumpopoulou, I. Chatzipavlidis, M. Papadelli, N. Valli, K. Moschochoritis, E. Tsakalidou. Determination of triterpenic acids in natural and alkaline-treated Greek table olives throughout the fermentation process. *LWT–Food Sci. Technol.*, 58 (2014), pp. 609-613
- E. Antonini, A. Farina, A. Leone, E. Mazzara, S. Urbani, R. Selvaggini, M. Servili, P. Ninfali. Phenolic compounds and quality parameters of family farming versus protected designation of origin (POD) extra-virgin olive oils. *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 43 (2015), pp. 75-81
- G. Blekas, C. Vassilakis, C. Harizanis, M. Tsimidou, D.G. Boskou. Biophenols in table olives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 50 (2002), pp. 3688-3692
- G. Boskou, F.N. Salta, S. Chrysostomou, A. Mylona, A. Chiou, N.K. Andrikopoulos. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic profile of table olives from the Greek market. *Food Chem.*, 94 (2006), pp. 558-564
- M. Brenes, P. García, A. Garrido. Phenolic compounds related to the black color formed during the processing of ripe olives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 40 (1992), pp. 1192-1196
- C. Campestre, V. Marsilio, B. Lanza, C. Iezzi, G. Bianchi. Phenolic compounds and organic acids change in black oxidized table olives. *Acta Hortic.*, 586 (2002), pp. 575-578
- N. Caporaso, M. Savarese, A. Paduano, G. Guidone, E. De Marco, R. Sacchi. Nutritional quality assessment of extra virgin olive oil from the Italian retail market: do natural antioxidants satisfy EFSA health claims?. *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 40 (2015), pp. 154-162
- A. De Castro, P. García, C. Romero, M. Brenes, A. Garrido. Industrial implementation of black ripe olive storage under acidic conditions. *J. Food Eng.*, 80 (2007), pp. 1206-1212
- EC (European Commission). Regulation No 432/2012 establishing a list of permitted health claims made on foods. *Off. J. Eur. Union* (2012). L/136/1
- S. Fukumitsu, M.O. Villareal, T. Fujitsuka, K. Aida, H. Isoda. Anti-inflammatory and anti-arthritic effects on pentacyclic triterpenoids maslinic acid through NF- κ B inactivation. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 60 (2015), pp. 399-409
- P. García, C. Romero, M. Brenes. Influence of olive tree irrigation and the preservation system on the fruit characteristics of Hojiblanca black ripe olives. *LWT–Food Sci. Technol.*, 55 (2014), pp. 403-407
- C.D. Hohmann, H. Cramer, A. Michalsen, C. Kessler, N. Steckhan, K. Choi, G. Dobos. Effects of high phenolic olive oil on cardiovascular risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phytomedicine*, 22 (2015), pp. 631-640
- T. Hu, X.W. He, J.G. Jiang, X.L. Xu. Hydroxytyrosol and its potential therapeutic effects. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 62 (2014), pp. 1449-1455

- A.M. Kountouri, A. Mylona, A.C. Kaliora, N.K. Andrikopoulos. Bioavailability of the phenolic compounds of the fruits (drupes) of *Olea europaea* (olives): impact on plasma antioxidant status in humans. *Phytomedicine*, 14 (2007), pp. 659-667
- S.M. Lee, K. Kitsawad, A. Sigal, D. Flynn, J.X. Guinard. Sensory properties and consumer acceptance of imported and domestic sliced black ripe olives. *J. Food Sci.*, 77 (2012), pp. S438-S448
- G. Lozano-Mena, M. Sánchez-González, M.E. Juan, J.M. Planas. Maslinic acid, a natural phytoalexin-type triterpene from olives-a promising nutraceutical?. *Molecules*, 19 (2014), pp. 11538-11559
- B.S. Luh, L. Ferguson, A. Kader, D. Barret. Processing california olives. G.S. Sibbett, L. Ferguson (Eds.), *Olive Production Manual*, University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources, USA (2005), pp. 145-153. Publication n° 3353
- R. Malheiro, A. Sousa, S. Casal, A. Bento, J.A. Pereira. Cultivar effect on the phenolic composition and antioxidant potential of stoned table olives. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 49 (2011), pp. 450-457
- E. Medina, M. Brenes, C. Romero, E. Ramírez, A. de Castro. Survival of foodborne pathogenic bacteria in table olive brines. *Food Control*, 34 (2013), pp. 719-724
- E. Melliou, J.A. Zweigenbaum, A.E. Mitchell. Ultrahigh-pressure liquid chromatography triple-quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry quantitation of polyphenols and secoiridoids in California-style black ripe olives and dry salt-cured olives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 63 (2015), pp. 2400-2405
- N.B. Othman, D. Roblain, P. Thonart, M. Hamdi. Tunisian table olive phenolic compounds and their antioxidant capacity. *J. Food Sci.*, 73 (2008), pp. C235-C240
- J.A. Pereira, A.P.G. Pereira, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, P. Valentao, P.B. Andrade, R. Seabra, L. Estevinho, A. Bento. Table olives from Portugal: phenolic compounds, antioxidant potential, and antimicrobial activity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52 (2006), pp. 8425-8431
- A. Piscopo, A. de Bruno, A. Zappia, M. Poiana. Antioxidant activity of dried green olives (Carolea cv.). *LWT—Food Sci. Technol.*, 58 (2014), pp. 49-54
- E. Ramírez, E. Medina, M. Brenes, C. Romero. Endogenous enzymes involved in the transformation of oleuropein in Spanish table olive varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 62 (2014), pp. 9569-9575
- E. Ramírez, M. Brenes, P. García, E. Medina, C. Romero. Oleuropein hydrolysis in natural Green olive: importance of the endogenous enzymes. *Food Chem.*, 206 (2016), pp. 204-209
- E. Ramírez, P. García, M. Brenes, C. Romero. Evaluation of chemical components of debittered olives undergone preservation and polyphenol oxidation. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 51 (2016), pp. 1674-1679
- C. Romero, P. García, M. Brenes, A. García, A. Garrido. Phenolic compounds in natural black Spanish olive varieties. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 215 (2002), pp. 489-496

- C. Romero, M. Brenes, K. Yousfi, P. García, A. García, A. Garrido. Effect of cultivar and processing method on the contents of polyphenols in table olives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52 (2004), pp. 479-484
- C. Romero, A. García, E. Medina, M.V. Ruíz-Méndez, A. de Castro, M. Brenes. Triterpenic acids in table olives. *Food Chem.*, 118 (2010), pp. 670-674
- Sansone-Land et al., 2014. A. Sansone-Land, G.R. Takeoka, C.F. Shoemaker. Volatile constituents of commercial imported and domestic black-ripe table olives (*Olea europaea*). *Food Chem.*, 149 (2014), pp. 285-295
- M.M. Torres, D.M. Maestri. The effects of genotype and extraction methods on chemical composition of virgin olive oils from Traslasierra Valley (Cordoba, Argentina). *Food Chem.*, 96 (2006), pp. 507-511
- C. Xiang, Z. Xu, T. Li, Z. Yang, C. Ding. Quality, composition, and antioxidant activity of virgin olive oil from introduced varieties at Liangshan. *Food Chem.*, 78 (2017), pp. 226-234

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Concentration (mg/kg) of triterpenic acids in olives of the Manzanilla and Hojiblanca cultivar preserved in acidified brine for 9 months. Standard error of five samples is shown on the bars. Vertical bars with different letters indicate significant differences for the same compound according to Duncan's multiple-range test ($p < 0.05$).

Fig. 2. HPLC chromatograms of [phenolic compounds](#) and triterpenic acids identified in black ripe olives. Peaks: 1, hydroxytyrosol; 2, hydroxytyrosol-4-glucoside, 3, Salidroside; 4, tyrosol; IS, internal standard; 5, hydroxytyrosol acetylated; 6, *p*-coumaric acid; 7, luteolin-7-glucoside; 8, comselogoside.

Fig. 3. Concentration (mg/kg) of triterpenic acids in the American and Spanish black ripe olives. Standard error of 10 and 30 American and Manzanilla samples respectively is shown on the bars. Vertical bars with different letters indicate significant differences for the same compound according to Duncan's multiple-range test ($p < 0.05$).

Table 1

Table 1. Concentration (mg/kg) of phenolic compounds in olives of the Manzanilla and Hojiblanca cultivars preserved in acidified brine for 9 months.

Compound	Manzanilla	Hojiblanca
Hydroxytyrosol glycol.	19.0 ± 1.3a ^b	22.7 ± 1.3a
Hydroxytyrosol	1005.5 ± 25.4a	1133.1 ± 110.6a
Hy-4-glu ^a	307.2 ± 33.9a	452.4 ± 158.9a
Salidroside	27.5 ± 3.6a	28.2 ± 11.8a
Tyrosol	78.6 ± 6.4a	79.1 ± 15.4a
Vanillic acid	12.3 ± 0.6a	14.3 ± 0.7a
Caffeic acid	2.6 ± 0.8a	2.2 ± 0.9a
<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	6.9 ± 1.5a	3.4 ± 1.4a
Verbascoside	306.5 ± 36.3a	647.2 ± 187.0a
HyAc	74.0 ± 4.0a	46.1 ± 2.7b
HyEDA	118.3 ± 30.4a	55.5 ± 21.0a
Luteolin-7-glucoside	31.7 ± 9.1a	54.0 ± 13.0a
Oleuropein	1411.0 ± 452.7a	96.3 ± 42.8b
Rutin	8.9 ± 5.6a	17.4 ± 5.0a
Caffeic ester	28.1 ± 2.0a	14.1 ± 2.7b
Ligustroside	53.3 ± 23.0a	0.2 ± 0.1b
Comselogoside	86.5 ± 5.9a	10.7 ± 2.1b
Luteolin	19.6 ± 3.2 a	87.6 ± 10.2b
Apigenin	0.6 ± 0.6a	6.2 ± 2.1b
Total	3597.9 ± 560a	2770.0 ± 289.8a

Different letters in the same row mean significant differences according to a Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$).

a

Hy-4-glu, Hydroxytyrosol-4-glucoside; HyAc, hydroxytyrosol acetylated, HyEDA, didaldehydic form of decarboxymethyl elenolic acid linked to hydroxytyrosol; Caffeic ester, caffeoyl-6-secologanoside.

b

Values are the mean of 5 samples ± standard error.

Table 2

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of the commercial black ripe olives analyzed.

	Moisture (%)	pH in flesh	pH in brine	NaCl (%)
<i>American</i>				
Large pitted olives (n = 10)	82.7 ± 1.0a ^a	7.2 ± 0.0a	7.0 ± 0.0a	2.1 ± 0.0a
Medium pitted olives (n = 10)	83.6 ± 0.6a	7.2 ± 0.1a	7.0 ± 0.1a	2.1 ± 0.0a
Sliced olives (n = 10)	83.9 ± 1.1a	7.1 ± 0.1a	7.0 ± 0.1a	2.2 ± 0.2a
<i>Spanish</i>				
Medium pitted olives (n = 30)	77.6 ± 0.4b	7.0 ± 0.1a	6.9 ± 0.1a	2.4 ± 0.1a

Different letters in the same column mean significant differences according to a Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.005$).

a

Mean value ± standar error.

Table 3

Table 3. Concentration (mg/kg) of phenolic compounds in the pulp of commercial black ripe olives.

Compound	Large pitted (n = 10)	American	Sliced (n = 10)	Spanish
		Medium pitted (n = 10)		Medium pitted (n = 30)
Hydroxytyrosol	22.8 ± 5.8ab ^c	15.3 ± 3.1b	9.4 ± 2.4b	40.9 ± 6.3a
Hy-4-Glu ^a	11.0 ± 1.9a	13.4 ± 5.8a	1.9 ± 1.9a	69.4 ± 15.9b
Salidroside	0.6 ± 0.2a	0.5 ± 0.2a	0.3 ± 0.2a	3.9 ± 0.8b
Tyrosol	3.1 ± 0.6a	2.1 ± 0.4a	2.3 ± 0.3a	19.5 ± 2.1b
<i>p</i> -coumaric a.	0.6 ± 0.1a	0.4 ± 0.1a	0.5 ± 0.1a	2.3 ± 0.4b
HyAc	5.4 ± 1.8a	3.4 ± 1.8a	2.1 ± 1.1a	15.0 ± 1.8b
Luteolin-7-Glu	nd ^b	nd	nd	6.1 ± 0.7
Comselogside	nd	nd	nd	0.2 ± 0.0
Total	43.5 ± 7.2a	35.0 ± 7.8a	16.1 ± 4.2a	157.4 ± 25.1b

Different letters in the same row mean significant differences according to a Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$).

a

Hy-4-Glu, hydroxytyrosol-4-glucoside; Luteolin-7-Glu, luteolin-7-glucoside; HyAc, hydroxytyrosol acetylated.

b

nd, not detected.

c

Mean ± standard error.

Figure 1

1. [Download high-res image \(213KB\)](#)
2. [Download full-size image](#)

Figure 2

Figure 3

1. [Download high-res image \(276KB\)](#)
2. [Download full-size image](#)