

1 Design, implementation and interpretation of *in vitro* batch culture experiments to  
2 assess enteric methane mitigation in ruminants – a review

3

4 Yáñez-Ruiz D.R.<sup>a\*</sup>, Bannink A.<sup>b</sup>, Dijkstra J.<sup>c</sup>, Kebreab E.<sup>d</sup>, Morgavi D.P.<sup>e</sup>, O’Kiely P.<sup>f</sup>,  
5 Reynolds C.K.<sup>g</sup>, Schwarm A.<sup>h</sup>, Shingfield K.J.<sup>ij</sup>, Yu Z.<sup>k</sup>, Hristov A.N.<sup>l</sup>

6

7 <sup>a</sup>Estación Experimental del Zaidín (CSIC), Profesor Albreda, 1, 18008, Granada, Spain

8 <sup>b</sup>Animal Nutrition, Wageningen UR Livestock Research, PO Box 65, Lelystad, The Netherlands

9 <sup>c</sup>Animal Nutrition Group, Wageningen University, PO Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The

10 Netherlands

11 <sup>d</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of California, Davis 95616, USA

12 <sup>e</sup>INRA, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, and Clermont Université,

13 VetAgro Sup, UMR Herbivores, BP 10448, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

14 <sup>f</sup>Teagasc, Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Grange, Dunsany, Co. Meath,

15 Ireland

16 <sup>g</sup>The University of Reading, Earley Gate, P.O. Box 237, Reading RG6 6AR, UK

17 <sup>h</sup>ETH Zurich, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Animal Nutrition, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

18 <sup>i</sup>Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), FI-31600 Jokioinen, Finland

19 <sup>j</sup>Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University,

20 Aberystwyth, SY23 3EB, UK

21 <sup>k</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus 43210, USA

22 <sup>l</sup>Department of Animal Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park 16802,

23 USA

24

25 \* Corresponding author: Dr. David R. Yáñez-Ruiz, Tel: +34 958572757, Fax: +34  
26 958572753. Email: david.yanez@eez.csic.es

27 **Abstract**

28 The *in vitro* gas production (IVGP) techniques have been widely used to evaluate the  
29 nutritive value of feeds for ruminants and in the last decade to assess the effect of  
30 different nutritional strategies on methane production. However, many technical factors  
31 may influence the results obtained. The present review has been prepared by the ‘Global  
32 Network’ FACCE-JPI international research consortium to provide a critical evaluation  
33 of the main factors that need to be considered when designing, conducting and  
34 interpreting IVGP experiments investigate nutritional strategies to mitigate methane  
35 (CH<sub>4</sub>) emission from ruminants. Given the increasing and wide-scale use of IVGP  
36 techniques, there is a need to critically review reports in the literature and establish what  
37 criteria are essential to the establishment and implementation of *in vitro* techniques.  
38 Key aspects considered include: i) donor animal species and number of animal used, ii)  
39 diet fed to donor animals, iii) collection and processing of rumen fluid as inoculum, iv)  
40 choice of substrate and incubation buffer, v) incubation procedures and CH<sub>4</sub>  
41 measurements, vi) headspace gas composition and vii) comparability of *in vitro* and *in*  
42 *vivo* measurements. Based on an evaluation of experimental evidence, a set of technical  
43 recommendations are presented that allowing the harmonization of IVGP laboratory  
44 methods employed with IVGP experiments, of and procedures for feed evaluation,  
45 assessment of rumen function and CH<sub>4</sub> production.

46

47 *Keywords:* feed evaluation, *in vitro* gas production, methane, rumen, mitigation,  
48 microbial inoculum

49 *Abbreviations:* DM, dry matter; DMI, dry matter intake; IGVP, *in vitro* gas production;  
50 NDF, neutral detergent fibre; OM, organic matter; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

51

52

53

## 54 **1. Introduction**

55 *In vitro* gas production (IVGP) experiments that involve incubations of substrates with  
56 rumen fluid have been used extensively to evaluate the nutritive value of ruminant  
57 feeds. Measurements based on IVGP complement standard laboratory analysis of  
58 chemical composition and therefore offer a rapid and less expensive alternative to the  
59 determination of nutrient digestibility *in vivo* (Rymer et al., 2005). More recently, IVGP  
60 techniques have been used to assess the potential of diet, dietary ingredients and  
61 modifiers of rumen fermentation to decrease methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from ruminant  
62 livestock (Bodas et al., 2008; Durmic et al. 2010). For many research groups with  
63 limited resources, the use of *in vitro* tools is often the only option available for  
64 investigating potential agents for CH<sub>4</sub> mitigation. Depending on the research question,  
65 *in vitro* studies can be valuable for screening and informing on the suitability for further  
66 evaluation *in vivo*. However, a positive outcome *in vitro* does not guarantee that the  
67 same treatment will have a similar effect *in vivo*. In some cases, IVGP results for feed  
68 evaluation and CH<sub>4</sub> mitigation can be misleading when the inherent characteristics of a  
69 batch culture system are not carefully considered (reviewed by Dijkstra et al., 2005).  
70 Furthermore, the goals, experimental design, results and conclusions of *in vitro*  
71 experiments require cautious and considered interpretation. Often the findings from *in*  
72 *vitro* studies have little relevance to commercial conditions, simply because in the  
73 amounts tested, an additive would be too expensive for use on-farm or efficacy cannot  
74 be confirmed *in vivo*.

75 The FACCE-JPI ‘Global Network’ project is an international initiative that  
76 intends, among other goals, to develop reliable and robust guidelines for generating and

77 evaluating data from *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments examining the potential to  
78 mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from ruminant livestock systems. The  
79 present review is one output from the project that provides a critical evaluation of the  
80 key points for consideration when planning and performing *in vitro* studies and  
81 guidance for end users in the interpretation of experimental data from IVGP  
82 experiments. Major emphasis is placed on factors that influence microbial activity  
83 within *in vitro* systems typically used to assess CH<sub>4</sub> production, and how these can be  
84 balanced or accounted for, rather than simply providing a contrast and comparison of  
85 reports in the scientific literature. It is not intended to provide an in-depth and  
86 comprehensive description of different *in vitro* systems available, but rather provide an  
87 appraisal of key aspects that are central to undertaking robust, representative and  
88 reproducible *in vitro* experiments.

89

## 90 **2. History and use of *in vitro* batch culture**

91 Early *in vitro* studies focused on endpoint measurements such as the extent of substrate  
92 degradation (Tilley and Terry, 1963). In the 1970's, researchers recognized that  
93 measurement of fermentation gases in combination with dietary chemical composition  
94 could be used to estimate both feed metabolisable energy content and ruminal organic  
95 matter degradability. Czerkawski and Breckenridge (1975) developed a system that  
96 involved recording the direct displacement of a piston by gases produced during the  
97 fermentation of feeds by rumen fluid in a glass syringe. This was the basis of the  
98 'Hohenheim Gas Test' developed by Menke et al. (1979, Table 1). The 'syringe  
99 technique' was originally developed to determine end-point fermentation of feeds after  
100 24 h of incubation. Blümmel and Ørskov (1993) modified the technique by incubating  
101 syringes in a water bath rather than a rotating incubator. By recording gas production at

102 more frequent intervals, the kinetics of fermentation could also be determined.

103 Wilkins (1974) described a different approach to measure fermentation kinetics  
104 *in vitro*, whereby fermentation took place in a sealed vessel containing rumen fluid,  
105 buffer and substrate, and a pressure transducer was used to measure gas accumulation in  
106 the vessel headspace. In the simplest setup of this system, headspace pressure is  
107 measured manually, as described by Theodorou et al. (1994, Table 1), while gas  
108 samples are collected for the analysis of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and/or H<sub>2</sub> concentrations when gas  
109 pressure is released (Tekippe et al., 2012). In the 1990's the first automated pressure  
110 based systems were developed (Pell and Schofield, 1993, Table 1), providing real-time  
111 measurements of gas accumulation allowing for a better understanding of the kinetics of  
112 fermentation for a range of substrates (Groot et al., 1996). During the development of  
113 these systems, it became increasingly clear that increased pressure within the  
114 fermentation container could affect fermentation end-products (Jouany and Lassalas,  
115 2002) and the rate and extent of fermentation (Tagliapietra et al., 2010). More advanced  
116 systems periodically release and collect the gas via a solenoid valve (Cone et al., 1996;  
117 Davies et al., 2000, Table 1) thereby avoiding the build-up of pressure. Even in  
118 automated systems, analysis of gas composition (e.g. CH<sub>4</sub>) typically requires manual  
119 injection of sample gases into a gas chromatograph (Martínez et al., 2010; Pellikaan et  
120 al., 2011).

121 Cornou et al. (2013) described the results of a ring-test evaluating the use of a  
122 wireless system for automated gas release developed by Ankom (Ankom Technology,  
123 Macedon, NY, USA). This system is being used in various laboratories, but still relies  
124 on manual gas sampling and analysis.

125 More recently Muetzel et al. (2014) developed an automated gas measurement  
126 system by which gas production is monitored in real-time via pressure sensors and the

127 proportion of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in the vented fermentation gases is measured automatically by  
128 gas chromatography. The main difference with previous automated systems (Cornou et  
129 al., 2013) is that fermentation gases are collected and analysed by a computer-controlled  
130 gas chromatograph, rather than being released into the air once a threshold pressure is  
131 reached.

132 *In vitro* gas production systems have been used extensively for rapid screening  
133 of chemical substances, plant species, plant extracts and dietary ingredients on CH<sub>4</sub>  
134 emissions from rumen fermentation. Such experimental approaches have allowed the  
135 mode of action of a range of chemicals (Busquet et al., 2005; Bodas et al., 2008; Garcia-  
136 Gonzalez et al., 2008; Durmic et al., 2010) and dietary substrates (Patra and Yu, 2013;  
137 Hatew et al., 2015) to be investigated. Use of IVGP offers the opportunity to evaluate a  
138 broad spectrum of chemical agents alone or in a number of combinations over a wide  
139 range of concentrations (e.g., Busquet et al., 2005; Garcia-Gonzalez et al., 2008b;  
140 Falchero et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011; Zamora et al., 2011). However, this technique does  
141 not generate reliable information for agents that are only effective for decreasing CH<sub>4</sub>  
142 emissions over an extended period (Castro-Montoya et al., 2015). Furthermore, results  
143 from screening studies (Bodas et al., 2008; Durmic et al., 2010) are often inconclusive  
144 and may be conflicting due to variation in dosage, chemical structure of the test  
145 substance or compound, diet, combination of treatments applied, adaptation of rumen  
146 microbes or the form in which an agent is introduced into the system (Cardozo et al.,  
147 2004, 2005; Tager and Krause, 2010). Substantial decreases of CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*  
148 (Tan et al., 2011) have been reported, but in several cases these have been accompanied  
149 by adverse effects on feed degradation, with the implication that a similar effect may  
150 occur *in vivo* which would compromise diet digestibility and animal performance.

151

152 **Please insert Table 1 here**

153

### 154 **3. Aspects to consider**

#### 155 **3.1. Donor animal species and animal numbers**

156 Different animal species (sheep, goats, cattle and buffaloes) may vary in their response  
157 to the same CH<sub>4</sub> mitigation strategy. The obvious recommendation is to use the same  
158 species as donors of rumen fluid for *in vitro* incubations as the intended target species.  
159 However, this is not always possible, and due to cost small ruminants are often used as  
160 donors of rumen contents, even when cattle are the target species. One key question is,  
161 therefore, whether sheep or goats can be used as suitable surrogates for cattle for the  
162 study of CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*.

163 Bueno et al. (1999) compared the microbial biomass in bovine and ovine rumen  
164 fluid and, although no intake data were reported, the inocula were adjusted to provide  
165 the same microbial biomass. It was concluded that rumen fluid from sheep could  
166 replace that from cattle or vice versa as rumen inoculum and that the two sources were  
167 comparable under tropical feeding conditions. Cone et al. (2002) reported a comparison  
168 of rumen samples collected in the same way from sheep and cattle maintained under  
169 similar conditions. Incubations of 22 different feeds were performed. A close  
170 association was observed ( $r = 0.98$ ) for gas production at 24 (ranging from 100 to 325  
171 ml/g OM incubated) and 48 (ranging from 150 to 350 ml/g OM) h for incubations with  
172 rumen fluid from cows and sheep. However, the relationship based on the rate of gas  
173 production (ml/g OM/h) was weaker ( $r = 0.79$ ). Calabro et al (2005) compared rumen  
174 fluid from buffalo and sheep as a source of inoculum and observed higher fermentation  
175 rates and extent of degradation during incubations with rumen fluid from sheep.  
176 Differences in fermentation kinetics were greater when fibre-rich substrates were tested,  
177 such as straw and hay, but negligible for barley grain.

178 Muetzel et al. (2014) compared rumen fluid from cattle (Holstein × Jersey cows)  
179 and sheep using a newly developed automated *in vitro* system and reported that total  
180 gas production is unaffected by donor animal species. Prior to rumen sampling, cattle  
181 and sheep had been adapted to a medium quality hay diet fed to meet maintenance  
182 energy requirements for 14 days. However, concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> were lower during  
183 incubations with rumen fluid from sheep than cattle associated with a lower proportion  
184 of acetate and a higher proportion of propionate. No interaction between animal species  
185 (sheep vs. cattle) and the type of substrate incubated (chicory, lucerne, ryegrass, straw  
186 and white clover) was observed (Muetzel et al., 2014). Bueno et al. (2015) compared *in*  
187 *vitro* CH<sub>4</sub> production using rumen fluid from taurine dairy cattle (*Bos taurus taurus*),  
188 zebu beef cattle (*Bos taurus indicus*), water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*), sheep (*Ovis*  
189 *aries*) and goats (*Capra hircus*) fed similar diets while testing the effect of condensed  
190 tannins from an Acacia extract. Rumen fluid from cattle resulted in higher CH<sub>4</sub> per unit  
191 of degraded organic matter (OM) formation than rumen fluid from small ruminants.  
192 Although microbiota of ruminant species housed in close contact and fed a similar diet  
193 may be of a similar composition, the microbial ecology of rumen samples between  
194 sheep and goats, for example, may vary due to differences in dentition, eating and  
195 ruminating behaviour, digestive tract physiology and ruminal retention time (Ammar et  
196 al., 2004). For this reason, collection of inoculum from animals of the same target  
197 species fed a diet containing the same feedstuffs would be recommended. Differences  
198 due to feeding behaviour and diet composition can to some extent be overcome by the  
199 collection of rumen samples before morning feeding, when the effect of diet  
200 composition on rumen metabolites or microbiota are likely to be minimized (Martinez  
201 et al., 2010) (see section 3.3).

202           There remains some uncertainty on the number of animals that need to be  
203 sampled to provide a representative sample of rumen inocula. Several studies using  
204 different ruminant species (e.g., Pinares-Patiño et al., 2003; Waghorn et al., 2006; Yan  
205 et al., 2006) have reported that CH<sub>4</sub> emissions per unit dry matter intake vary between  
206 individual animals. Such variation has been associated, among other factors, with  
207 differences in the rumen microbiome associated with between-animal variation in  
208 passage rates, rumen volume and morphology, eating behaviour, etc. (Kittelman et al.,  
209 2014). Martínez et al. (2010) observed consistent differences in CH<sub>4</sub> production and H<sub>2</sub>  
210 recovery *in vitro* during incubations with rumen liquor collected from 6 different sheep  
211 fed the same diet. Such differences can only be explained by differences in microbial  
212 populations or activities in the starting inocula or variation in the survival or activity of  
213 microbes over the incubation period.

214

215 *RECOMMENDATION: Where possible the target animal species should be used as the*  
216 *donor of rumen fluid. Due to between- animal variation, 3 donor animals is considered*  
217 *a minimum number to provide a representative source of rumen inoculum. Sampling*  
218 *before feeding is advantageous for minimizing diet by animal interactions.*

219

### 220 **3.2. Donor animal diet**

221 Diet composition and nutrient intake are major factors affecting both microbial  
222 populations in the rumen and microbial activity of rumen inoculum (Mould et al.,  
223 2005). Compared with ruminants fed high-concentrate diets, a greater proportion of  
224 fibrolytic bacteria and methanogenic archaea can be expected in rumen fluid collected  
225 from animals on high-forage diets (Demeyer and Fievez, 2000). However, the extent to  
226 which concentrate feeds affect rumen digestion and microbial populations may depend

227 on the source and proportion of concentrate ingredients in the diet, as well as forage  
228 quality (Dijkstra et al., 1994).

229         Martinez et al. (2010) assessed the effect of feeding sheep diets differing in  
230 forage:concentrate ratios (F:C; 70:30 vs 30:70) and forage source (alfalfa hay vs grass  
231 hay) on rumen fermentation and CH<sub>4</sub> production. *In vitro* CH<sub>4</sub> production (per g of  
232 incubated DM) was increased by decreases in the F:C ratio of diets fed to donor animals  
233 or when Lucerne hay was replaced by grass hay. Differences in the F:C ratio altered  
234 pH and the activity of certain glycoside hydrolases (carboxymethylcellulase, xylanase  
235 and amylase) in rumen fluid. Forage type also influenced NH<sub>3</sub> content and  
236 carboxymethylcellulase activity in rumen fluid. These results suggest that feeding donor  
237 animals a diet similar to the substrate to be incubated *in vitro* may be advantageous.

238         Of particular importance is the observation that some mitigation strategies have  
239 been evaluated using rumen fluid from animals fed poor quality feeds. This raises the  
240 question of whether outcomes on the efficacy of mitigation agents under these  
241 circumstances can be considered reliable when donor animals have not been fed a diet  
242 of similar characteristics as that offered to target animals. The effects of differences in  
243 diet composition fed to donor animals may be minimized by obtaining rumen fluid  
244 immediately before feeding. Huntington et al. (1998) obtained fluid from cows fed  
245 either a silage:barley diet (80:20) or a barley straw diet. Although microbial activity of  
246 rumen fluid collected on the straw diet was lower than the silage:barley diet, this did not  
247 alter the gas production profile, which was attributed to sampling prior to morning  
248 feeding.

249         The diet fed to donor animals also needs to be considered when testing additives  
250 as CH<sub>4</sub> mitigation agents. Mateos et al. (2013) reported that the effect of garlic oil and  
251 cinnamaldehyde on *in vitro* fermentation and CH<sub>4</sub> production varied depending on

252 whether the donor animals were fed a typical dairy diet (alfalfa hay:concentrate 50:50)  
253 or a fattening diet (barley straw: concentrate 15:85). Observations from several *in vitro*  
254 studies suggest that the effects of essential oils on rumen function are pH-dependent,  
255 and this also appears to be true for garlic oil and some of its components (Cardozo et al.  
256 2005; Kamel et al. 2008). Cardozo et al. (2005) found that garlic oil had a more  
257 pronounced impact on rumen volatile fatty acids (VFA) profile at low compared with  
258 high rumen pH (5.5 versus 7.0), an effect explained by differences in the status of the  
259 active molecules (i.e. dissociated or un-dissociated) possibly mediated by changes in  
260 rumen pH. However, batch cultures are usually highly buffered systems allowing  
261 ruminal microorganisms to grow for a prolonged period despite the accumulation of  
262 fermentation end-products. In such cases, factors other than pH (e.g. microbial  
263 composition) may explain differences in the efficacy of additives. Hatew et al. (2015)  
264 provided further evidence on the importance of diet fed to the donor animal.  
265 Experiments involved the incubation of the same substrate (grass silage or beet pulp)  
266 with rumen inoculum obtained from donor cows fed on diets that differed in starch  
267 source (native vs. gelatinized maize grain) and starch level (270 vs 530 g/kg concentrate  
268 DM). A higher level of starch and gelatinized rather than native maize were found to  
269 lower gas and CH<sub>4</sub> production after 24-h incubations.

270         Level of feed intake is also an important consideration, given that a higher DM  
271 intake (DMI) lowers retention time in the rumen, decreasing the amount of time  
272 available for feed degradation of feeds and hence ruminal digestibility (Clauss et al.,  
273 2007). Rumen pH, proteolytic and cellulolytic activities are thought to be influenced by  
274 the level of DMI which can in turn influence growth rates and the metabolic activity of  
275 inoculum used in *in vitro* systems. Increasing feeding frequency will generally lower  
276 diurnal variation in rumen fermentation parameters. For example, in lactating cows

277 increasing feeding frequency from two to six times-daily was found to decrease post-  
278 feeding variation in rumen pH, osmolality, VFA and NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (Le Liboux  
279 and Peyraud, 1999).

280 The period of adaptation to a given diet by the donor animal probably needs to  
281 be revisited. It is common to collect rumen fluid from animals fed a diet for 2 weeks.  
282 However, there are indications that the methanogenic archaeal population requires an  
283 adaptation period of around 30 days after a change in diet (Williams et al., 2009).

284

285 *RECOMMENDATION: The diet fed to donor animals should be similar in composition*  
286 *to the substrate incubated in vitro. Care should be taken to ensure sufficient buffering*  
287 *capacity when investigating diets or dietary ingredients or additives that promote*  
288 *differences in rumen pH (see section 3.4), in particular when samples are not taken*  
289 *immediately before feeding. It is recommended that donor animals are fed a*  
290 *standardized diet at a restricted level of feeding as frequent meals to ensure constancy*  
291 *of diet composition and digestion, minimize variation in feed intake and avoid diurnal*  
292 *variation in rumen fermentation. Samples of rumen fluid should be collected from donor*  
293 *animals fed the same diet for at least 4 weeks.*

294

### 295 **3.3. Rumen fluid sampling: time, location and processing**

296 In all *in vitro* fermentation systems it is essential to create an environment, which, for  
297 any set of parameters, mimics the fermentation in a specific section of the gastro-  
298 intestinal tract *in vivo* (e.g. reticulo-rumen or caecum). Therefore, the inoculum should  
299 be representative of that environment with respect to both the composition and  
300 abundance of the microbial population. For *in vitro* systems to be robust (i.e.,  
301 reproducible over time and representative of conditions *in vivo*), the inoculum must

302 meet certain criteria. Making a valid assessment of whether a given study has met these  
303 criteria may be problematic, as often-essential information is not reported. Given the  
304 precision of gas release kinetic techniques relative to degradability at a set end-point  
305 over extended periods, variations in inoculum characteristics due to host animal effects,  
306 nutrition and sampling time, as well as sample preparation and inoculation, can have  
307 substantial cumulative effects on *in vitro* fermentation. It seems pertinent, not only to  
308 permit comparison between studies, but also to limit potential errors, to have a set of  
309 accepted guidelines and standard procedures for preparing inoculum for measuring CH<sub>4</sub>  
310 *in vitro*, as proposed for animal studies *in vivo* (Hammond et al., unpublished). Such  
311 guidelines should include host animal management, sampling techniques (time,  
312 location, alternatives) and inoculum preparation.

313

314 Sampling time:

315 Diurnal changes of the rumen microbiome, both in terms of abundance and metabolic  
316 activity have been documented. Concentrations of viable microbial populations in the  
317 rumen typically decrease 4 h post-feeding, due to dilution with feed, water and saliva,  
318 and peaks at 6-12 h post feeding (depending on diet and level of feed intake) (Leedle et  
319 al., 1982; Dehority, 2003). Furthermore, microbial abundances were found to decline  
320 during the degradation of available nutrients. Cone et al. (1996) observed that the rate  
321 of fermentation was highest when rumen fluid was collected after morning feeding,  
322 although rumen sampling time had no effect on the total gas production. Menke and  
323 Steingass (1988) stated that sampling rumen contents just before feeding lowered  
324 variation in composition and activity of the inoculum and minimized the influence of  
325 diet fed to donor animals. However, Payne et al. (2002) observed that the total gas  
326 production from both starch and ground straw were less variable between replicate

327 bottles and between weeks of collection when rumen fluid inoculum was collected  
328 either 4 or 8 h post feeding, compared with samples collected just before, or 2 h after  
329 feeding. Presumably, the activity of the inoculum is determined just as much by time of  
330 rumen sampling relative to feeding as by feeding pattern and eating time.

331

332 *RECOMMENDATION: There is no general recommendation on the ideal rumen*  
333 *sampling time as it depends on the objectives of a specific experiment. Given the*  
334 *difficulty of minimizing diurnal variation, rumen fluid samples are best collected*  
335 *immediately before feeding, based on a consistent protocol for dietary access by donor*  
336 *animals across experiments. When a series of studies are conducted over time, feeding*  
337 *and sampling procedures should be kept as identical as possible.*

338

339 Sampling location within the rumen: Rumen digesta is comprised of different fractions  
340 (large and small particulate matter and liquid). Ample evidence exists on the different  
341 abundance and diversity of bacteria associated with the liquid and solid ruminal  
342 contents (Pei et al., 2010). The pH and VFA concentration varies between different  
343 sections of the reticulo-rumen in cattle (Bryant 1964). De Visser et al. (1993) indicated  
344 that rumen VFA concentrations were about 20% higher for the entire evacuated rumen  
345 content compared with calculations based on samples of rumen fluid collected in a  
346 standardized manner. Storm and Kristensen (2010) indicated differences of 0.4 to 0.6  
347 pH units and of 40 to 50 mM VFA between the central and ventral regions of the  
348 rumen, with the lowest pH and highest VFA concentrations in the medial rumen.  
349 Rumen fermentation parameters have also been found to differ between samples  
350 collected at different locations in the rumen (Shen et al., 2012), implying possible  
351 differences in microbial abundance and activity within the rumen.

352 Rumen cannulation is considered the reference method allowing the collection of  
353 representative samples of rumen digesta from donor animals (Komarek, 1981;  
354 Kristensen et al., 2010). Access to surgically-modified animals is not universal, and  
355 therefore less invasive techniques, such as oral stomach probing, have been used as an  
356 alternative. In the relatively few studies that have compared sampling through the  
357 rumen cannula or by stomach probing, differences in fermentation profile and  
358 microbiota have been reported in some (e.g., Geishauser and Gitzel, 1996; Duffield et  
359 al., 2004), but not all cases (e.g., Lodge-Ivey et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2012; Terré et al.,  
360 2013). Part of the discrepancy between studies may reflect differences in the procedures  
361 used to avoid salivary dilution and contamination, the type of samples collected and  
362 rumen sampling site. Stomach probing results in the collection of samples containing a  
363 high proportion of liquid, whereas sampling via a rumen cannula allows both solid and  
364 liquid digesta fractions to be obtained. Differences in the methods used to collect rumen  
365 samples are of greater relevance when treatments are not expected to have the same  
366 effect on microbial populations attached to solids or inhabiting the liquid phase  
367 (Martínez et al., 2010). The study of Shen et al. (2012), attributed the differences  
368 between samples collected via cannula or stomach tube to rumen sampling site, as a  
369 consequence of the probe not being inserted to a depth sufficient to reach the ventral  
370 sac. Accurate probe insertion to a desired location within the rumen is extremely  
371 challenging in small ruminants. In a recent study, Ramos-Morales et al. (2014) found  
372 that stomach-tubing in sheep and goats detected the same differences in rumen  
373 fermentation due to species, diet or sampling time as sampling via the cannula.  
374 However, certain differences were more readily detected in rumen cannula samples,  
375 while substantial differences in the bacterial community structure were detected  
376 between the sampling methods.

377 Faeces has also been used as an alternative source of inoculum to rumen fluid  
378 (El Shaer et al., 1987). Cultures of ruminal or faecal microorganisms appear to result in  
379 similar fermentation processes (El-Meadaway et al., 1998). However, fewer  
380 microorganisms in faecal inocula may result in lower degradation capacity and  
381 decreased gas production (Cone et al., 2002; Váradyová, et al., 2005), a longer lag  
382 phase and a slower rate of degradation at the outset (Mauricio et al., 2001).  
383 Furthermore, for poor-quality forages, there is only a weak relationship between gas  
384 production during incubations with inocula sourced from faeces and rumen fluid (El-  
385 Meadaway et al., 1998; Varadyova et al., 2005). Dhanoa et al. (2004) proposed a  
386 method allowing mathematical adjustments to convert or translate the degradation  
387 profiles produced by faecal inoculum to correspond with ruminal fluid, but this requires  
388 application of different prediction equations for each group of feeds incubated.

389 Rumen contents collected post-mortem at abattoir can also be used as an  
390 alternative to rumen fluid (Mould et al., 2005). Several IVGP experiments have been  
391 performed using rumen fluid collected from slaughtered cattle, sheep, buffalo and  
392 dromedary (Haddi et al., 2003; Salem, 2005). To date, there are no reports directly  
393 comparing the use of rumen fluid from slaughtered animals with oral or rumen sampling  
394 in the same animal. Such an approach requires sampling of rumen contents soon after  
395 slaughter, as well as the same criteria for other sources of inocula being met. While the  
396 intake and diet composition of slaughtered animals are not known, access to entire  
397 rumen contents allows the collection of representative samples that can also be used to  
398 inform on nutrient supply. If sampling of rumen cannulated animals is not possible,  
399 collection of rumen content from slaughtered animals may prove a viable alternative.

400

401 *RECOMMENDATION: To be as representative of the rumen environment as possible,*  
402 *samples of ruminal contents for the preparation of in vitro inoculum need to be*  
403 *collected from several locations. This is more feasible in large ruminants and requires*  
404 *the collection of rumen contents from animals fitted with rumen cannula following a*  
405 *clearly defined and standardized sampling protocol. Stomach tubing or faecal inoculae*  
406 *may serve as an alternative for ranking purposes, but quantitative data using these*  
407 *alternatives may differ from sampling of rumen contents in cannulated animals.*  
408 *Stomach tubing should be performed by well-trained persons to minimize salivary*  
409 *dilution.*

410

411 Preservation of inoculum: Anaerobiosis is essential to culture rumen microorganisms,  
412 methanogenic archaea, in particular (Joblin, 2005). Certain experiments may require  
413 rumen inoculum to be stored until culturing *in vitro*. Short-term storage (<1 h), for  
414 example during transport from donor animals to the IVGP laboratory, should exclude  
415 exposure to air. It is equally important that any increase in headspace pressure does not  
416 cause CO<sub>2</sub> to go into solution, thus lowering pH. Excessive fermentation due to  
417 extended storage at 39°C should be restricted to prevent any microbial group from  
418 becoming dominant and modifying the composition of the original inoculum.

419 The influence of storage time and temperature on neutral detergent fibre (NDF)  
420 degradation by rumen microorganisms has been investigated (Robinson et al., 1999).  
421 Studies involved the use of the ANKOM end-point system to examine the effect of  
422 delaying inoculum storage at 39°C by up to 6.5 h, or up to 48 h after storage over a  
423 range of temperatures from – 22 and 39 °C. No apparent effect on 48 h end-point  
424 degradation of medium-term (6.5 h) storage compared with long-term storage (48 h)  
425 was identified. Authors concluded that no storage method, irrespective of temperature,

426 would maintain rumen inoculum activity for up to 48 h that support normal  
427 fermentation *in vitro*. Subsequent works (Cone et al., 2000; Hervás et al., 2005; Prates  
428 et al., 2010) presented data on the effect of using rumen fluid directly or stored  
429 anaerobically at 39°C for increments of up to 24 h or at -24°C for 1, 3, 10, 40 or 76  
430 days before use. In general, gas production in terms of kinetics and cumulative yields,  
431 decreased as storage period increased. Final gas volumes were similar when rumen fluid  
432 was stored up to 4-6 h compared with no prior storage. Gas production rates were lower  
433 for inocula stored for 8 or 24 h, while gas production was considerably decreased by  
434 extended storage at -20°C of more than 10 days. Microbial activity was lowered by  
435 freezing, with the decrease being substrate-dependent with the degradation of pure  
436 starch and cellulose being less affected compared with Lucerne hay and barley straw.  
437 Freezing in liquid nitrogen is preferred over storage at -20°C (Prates et al., 2010) and  
438 thawing of small volumes (approximately 20 ml) at 39°C for 2 min. The time taken for  
439 freezing and thawing appears to be as equally important as storage temperature. Overall,  
440 studies suggest that preservation of rumen fluid at 0°C for up to 6 h offered a practical  
441 alternative, where necessary, to freshly collected inocula. Protozoa are lost after  
442 freezing which could have an impact on the fermentation. There are, however, no  
443 reports documenting the effect of different preservation methods on methanogenic  
444 activity.

445

446 *RECOMMENDATION: Fresh rumen fluid maintained under anaerobic conditions at*  
447 *39°C should be inoculated into in vitro vessels as soon as possible, ideally within 1 h*  
448 *post collection. When this is not possible, rumen fluid can be preserved at 0-4 °C for up*  
449 *to 6 h or frozen in liquid nitrogen following addition of a cryoprotectant (15% glycerol*  
450 *or 8% DMSO) for longer periods for use as inoculum. In either case, implementation of*

451 *standardized procedures to avoid undesirable variation in microbial activity is highly*  
452 *recommended.*

453

454 Preparation of inoculum prior to incubation: Method of preparation also influences the  
455 microbial activity of rumen inoculum. The rumen microbiome consists of three sub-  
456 populations of microbes: those in the fluid phase, adherent to the particulate phase  
457 (further divided into loosely- and firmly-associated with the feed particles) (Cheng et  
458 al., 1993) or attached to the epithelium (Sadet et al., 2007). The latter tends to be  
459 primarily involved in the release of ammonia from urea absorbed across the rumen  
460 epithelium and, as such, has only a minor role in feed degradation, and for this reason  
461 does not need to be sampled (Mueller et al., 1984). Fluid and particulate associated  
462 bacterial populations (Kim et al., 2003) and methanogenic archaea (Shin et al., 2004)  
463 differ in growth characteristics and in the activities of most enzymes (Moharrery and  
464 Das, 2001). Microorganisms in rumen liquid (20 to 30% of total microbes) including  
465 free-living bacteria and bacteria detached from solid substrate, have little direct  
466 involvement in structural carbohydrate digestion (Miron et al., 2001). Microbes attached  
467 to feed particles predominate (70 to 80% of microbial matter and microbial ATP  
468 production) and play a key role in feed particle digestion in the rumen (Craig et al.,  
469 1987; Miron et al., 2001; Trabalz Marinucci et al., 2006). Consequently, once samples  
470 of whole rumen contents have been collected, the problem arises of how to effectively  
471 detach the microorganisms associated with feed particles. Failure to do so will result in  
472 a high proportion remaining to be attached after filtration, while the use of multiple  
473 layers of cheesecloth, muslin or surgical gauze leads to different microbial fractions  
474 being retained and inoculated *in vitro*. For example, use of a cloth with 50 µm pores  
475 would substantially lower the number of large protozoa (which range from to 15–250

476  $\mu\text{m}$  in size, Dehority, 2003) in inocula. Williams and Coleman (1991) reported that  
477 most protozoa are retained using a 100  $\mu\text{m}$  pore size filter. Therefore, if total protozoa  
478 are to be included in the microbial culture, a 250  $\mu\text{m}$  pore size cloth should be used.  
479 Furthermore, some of the physical methods used to detach particle-associated microbes  
480 (e.g., a stomacher or maceration of rumen contents in a food processor) may also cause  
481 cell damage. Rymer et al. (1999) examined four methods of inoculum preparation:  
482 strained, blended fluid, “stomacher” or strained plus blended residues. No consistent  
483 treatment effect was observed, apart from blending which tended to decrease substrate  
484 degradation. Authors concluded that there was little advantage from blending inoculum,  
485 particularly in light of the risk of exposing microorganisms to oxygen. Even though  
486 homogenizing rumen fluid may increase numbers of particle-associated bacteria in the  
487 inoculum, Pell and Schofield (1993) excluded this step on the grounds that it i)  
488 introduced an extra procedure into the laboratory protocol, ii) increased the risk of  
489 exposing rumen microorganisms to oxygen, iii) increased the quantity of gas released  
490 from the blanks, and iv) had no obvious effect on the results of IVGP experiments.

491 A number of techniques that have been proposed for detaching microorganisms  
492 from rumen feed particles that involve various combinations of chemical and physical  
493 treatments and high yield removal rates of between 20 and 80% (Kudo et al., 1987; Legay-  
494 Carmier and Bauchart, 1989; Merry and McAllan, 1983; Whitehouse et al., 1994;  
495 Hristov et al., 1999; Ranilla et al., 2001). While the use of these techniques is essential  
496 when collecting microbial biomass to determine their composition to accurately assess  
497 passage of nutrients of microbial origin to the intestine, it is not clear whether a standard  
498 protocol for microbial detachment should be applied for *in vitro* methods. In recent  
499 work, Soto et al. (2013) studied the development of the microbiota in different *in vitro*  
500 rumen simulation systems inoculated with intact or filtered rumen fluid from goats.

501 Incubation of filtered rumen fluid fraction in batch culture resulted in lower microbial  
502 diversity compared with non-filtered rumen fluid inoculum. Substantial growth of  
503 fibrolytic bacteria and methanogenic archaea over the first 24 h partially compensated  
504 for low numbers in the inoculum due to filtering.

505

506 *RECOMMENDATION: Filtration of rumen fluid using the same pore size across*  
507 *incubation runs is a straightforward method for preparing inoculum suitable for in*  
508 *vitro experiments. A larger the pore size results in greater numbers of small particulate*  
509 *associated bacteria and protozoa. A pore size of 250  $\mu\text{m}$  is recommended. Use of*  
510 *multiple layers of cheesecloth is not recommended due to inconsistencies in pore size.*

511

### 512 **3.4. Substrate and incubation buffer**

#### 513 Substrate:

514 Provided donor animals are fed the same or a similar diet as that tested *in vitro*, there  
515 remains an uncertainty of the choice of substrate to be used in the evaluation of  
516 additives on CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*. The composition of diets fermented *in vitro*  
517 determines the production of dissolved H<sub>2</sub> that serves as a substrate for methanogens.  
518 Most *in vitro* studies have tested additives in incubations with a single substrate, but  
519 there are reports on the effects of additives using different fermentation substrates in a  
520 single experiment. Machmüller et al. (2001) investigated effects of medium chain fatty  
521 acids in incubations containing high or low amounts of fiber. The efficacy of monensin  
522 has been examined using corn meal or timothy hay (Russell and Strobel, 1988) and corn  
523 meal or soyabean hulls (Pellikaan et al., 2011) as substrates. In certain instances a  
524 substrate by additive interaction has been observed. Pellikaan et al. (2011) reported a  
525 complete inhibition of CH<sub>4</sub> production during the first 30 h of incubation, irrespective

526 of substrate composition. However, CH<sub>4</sub> production from soybean hulls was 65% lower  
527 compared with other substrates after 72 h, suggesting an influence on adaptation,  
528 whereas no CH<sub>4</sub> was produced when maize was incubated. Subsequent studies covering  
529 a range of plant extracts and fatty acids (Castro-Montoya et al., 2012; Klevenhusen et  
530 al., 2012; O'Brien et al., 2013) have provided further evidence that responses of CH<sub>4</sub> to  
531 a given additive differs depending on the feed substrate incubated. For example,  
532 addition of fatty acids were found more effective in lowering CH<sub>4</sub> during incubations  
533 containing higher proportions of concentrate ingredients, an effect attributed to greater  
534 protonation of fatty acids at a lower pH (e.g. Zhou et al. 2015). While specific  
535 experiments have provided examples of substrate by additive interactions, drawing firm  
536 conclusions on the magnitude of these effects remains challenging. Nevertheless, there  
537 is a need for end users to recognize that specific characteristics of incubated substrates  
538 impact on the outcomes of IVGP studies and be aware of a possible mismatch between  
539 the diet of the donor animal and incubated substrate.

540         Implementing a standardized protocol for preparing substrates to be incubated is  
541 also critical in allowing for between IVGP study comparisons (Rymer et al., 2005). The  
542 most critical issue appears the methods used to dry fresh material, such as grass.  
543 Comparisons of freeze-drying with oven drying at 60 or 105°C are often contradictory.  
544 There are reports on the effect of feed processing on CH<sub>4</sub> production. Nevertheless, *a*  
545 *priori* freeze-drying is the method of choice for minimizing cell damage that potentially  
546 alters the dynamics of microbial attachment and substrate degradation (Rymer et al.,  
547 2005).

548

549 *RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that a range of substrates that reflect the*  
550 *types of feeds used in commercial production systems are used in the initial screening*

551 *of new additives, unless the objective of an in vitro experiment requires a predefined*  
552 *substrate. Freeze-drying is preferred to oven drying for the drying of high moisture*  
553 *substrates.*

554

555 Incubation medium and rumen fluid:medium ratio (RF:M)

556 There is considerable variation in the composition of the medium used for *in vitro*  
557 studies reported in the literature. It is important to make a distinction between the term  
558 ‘medium’ (i.e., a solution containing a number of components including buffering  
559 agents, trace elements, true protein and reducing agents) and ‘buffer’ (Williams, 1998).  
560 The types of buffers used in IVGP and the implications on fermentation has been  
561 comprehensively reviewed (Rymer et al., 2005). A medium with a high buffering  
562 capacity, when used in IVGP studies, may be disadvantageous because it creates  
563 conditions that are not representative of the rumen *in vivo*. This is particularly important  
564 when assessing the effectiveness of CH<sub>4</sub> mitigations strategies that may rely on a  
565 decrease in rumen pH that include an inhibition of fibrolytic bacteria and/or  
566 methanogens (Argyle and Baldwin, 1988; Van Kessel and Russell, 1996; Navarro-Villa  
567 et al., 2011). Furthermore, through the prevention of a sharp decline in pH, a highly  
568 buffered medium may increase acetic:propionic acid ratios more than would otherwise  
569 occur *in vivo*, which impacts on the availability of H<sub>2</sub> for CH<sub>4</sub> production (Lana et al.,  
570 1998).

571 The effect of the incubation medium and rumen fluid to medium ratio (RF:M)  
572 on IVGP has been investigated (Rymer et al., 1999; Pell and Schofield, 1993; Cone et  
573 al., 2000). Such studies have demonstrated that increases in the proportion of rumen  
574 fluid is associated with a decrease in lag phase and a higher rate of gas production,  
575 while the effect on total gas production varies. Navarro-Villa et al. (2011) investigated

576 the effect of variable RF:M ratios (1:2, 1:4, and 1:6) in IVGP systems involving the  
577 incubation of different amounts (0.3, 0.5, and 0.7 g) of three contrasting feeds (barley  
578 grain, grass silage and barley straw) . The results indicated that CH<sub>4</sub> per unit of DM  
579 degraded was a more appropriate unit for expressing *in vitro* CH<sub>4</sub> output than CH<sub>4</sub> per  
580 unit of DM incubated. Incubation of 0.3 g dried milled feed in 50 ml of *in vitro* culture  
581 containing 1:2 RF:M was an acceptable combination for 24 h incubations allowing for  
582 a decline in pH declined and maximizing the difference in CH<sub>4</sub> output between  
583 substrates.

584 For a given substrate, pH in the incubation vessel should ideally mimic that in  
585 the rumen, i.e. between 6.0-7.0 for forage based diets and 5.5- 6.0 for concentrate based  
586 diets. Patra and Yu (2013) evaluated IVGP systems containing different bicarbonate  
587 concentrations (80, 100, and 120 mM) in buffer. Results indicated that bicarbonate  
588 concentrations above 80 mM should be avoided to minimize non-microbial CO<sub>2</sub>  
589 production associated with changes in pH. A recent comparison of two buffers  
590 commonly used in IVGP systems (McDoughall's (McDoughal, 1948) and Mould's  
591 (Mould et al., 2005) buffer indicated that buffer composition had no effect on total gas  
592 production (Muetzel et al., 2014). These findings are in direct contrast to earlier reports  
593 that a higher phosphate concentration decreased gas production over a 9 h fermentation  
594 period ( Mould et al., 2005). The differences the latter authors reported, however, were  
595 about 4% and decreasing over incubation time. A trend towards higher gas production  
596 for McDoughall buffer compared with Mould's buffer may be related to a higher  
597 carbonate concentration (Muetzel et al., 2014). The buffer composition had no effect on  
598 CH<sub>4</sub> production or on the percentage of CH<sub>4</sub> released or on VFA production. However,  
599 the proportions of major VFA were altered; molar proportion of acetate was higher and  
600 that of propionate was lower for incubations with Mould's buffer compared with

601 McDougall's buffer. It is possible that differences in the phosphate to carbonate ratio  
602 and associated changes in pH may be responsible (Broudiscou et al., 1999). Based on  
603 the work of Kohn and Dunlap (2008) a recent report demonstrated that by adjusting the  
604 concentration of buffer bicarbonate, pH can be reasonably well controlled at specific  
605 target pH (6.50, 6.25, 6.00, 5.75 and 5.50) during 12 h incubations (Amanzougarene et  
606 al., 2015). What is less clear is whether different antimethanogenic additives perform  
607 similarly in IVGP systems over a range of pH.

608 *RECOMMENDATION: Available data do not allow for recommendations on an ideal*  
609 *RF:M. A ratio of 1:2 appears to generate the most reliable results for 24 h incubations.*  
610 *However, this ratio and the amount of substrate incubated needs to be considered on*  
611 *the basis of the frequency of gas sampling and the duration of the incubation depending*  
612 *on the research objectives. Bicarbonate concentration in buffer may influence*  
613 *methanogenesis. To minimize non-metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> production use of buffers containing*  
614 *bicarbonate concentrations above 80 mM should be avoided. Furthermore, adjusting*  
615 *the concentration of bicarbonate in the buffer offers the possibility of setting a target*  
616 *pH according to the substrate incubated.*

617

### 618 **3.5. Incubation procedure and CH<sub>4</sub> measurements**

619 *In vitro* gas production systems are typically conducted over intervals of between 16 to  
620 72 h. As such, IVGP systems do not mimic important physiological processes *in vivo*  
621 such as ruminal digesta turnover. Removal of soluble particles in the liquid medium  
622 may have adverse effects on microbial fermentation, by decreasing the amount of  
623 soluble substrate available for microbial growth or may conversely stimulate activity  
624 (Roger et al., 1990). Soto et al. (2013) reported that the numbers of all quantified  
625 microorganisms (total bacteria, protozoa, methanogens, fungi, *Fibrobacter*

626 *succinogenes* and *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*) declined sharply during 24 h to 72 h of  
627 incubation. This is likely due to the exhaustion of fermentable substrate and the  
628 accumulation of fermentation end products. Different substrates (soluble carbohydrates,  
629 starch, pectins, cellulose, hemicellulose and protein) that are fermented can be degraded  
630 at different rates also have a variable contribution to CH<sub>4</sub> production (Colombato et al.,  
631 2003; Bannink et al., 2006; 2011). In cows, mean retention time of NDF components in  
632 the reticulorumen is around 28 h, being slightly longer than the standard *in vitro*  
633 incubation time of 24 h (Schwarm et al., 2015). Retention time in the reticulorumen is  
634 related to particle size (Schwarm et al. 2008). It can be argued that NDF fermentation  
635 during *in vitro* incubations probably approaches a plateau after 24 h given that relatively  
636 small feed particles (ca. 1 mm) are usually incubated.

637         Some IVGP trials have tested the effects of different substrates on CH<sub>4</sub>  
638 production based on the collection of a single gas sample after 24 h (García-González  
639 et al., 2008). Such an approach may result in gas pressure in the headspace exceeding a  
640 given threshold (48 kPa) and consequently an impairment of microbial activity (Rymer  
641 et al., 2005; Taglapietra et al., 2010). Several protocols, such as those described by  
642 Theodorou et al., 1994, Cone et al., 1996 and Davies et al., 2000, stipulate that  
643 headspace gases being released at pre-determined intervals or when a pre-set threshold  
644 of pressure is reached (Hansen et al., 2010; Muetzel et al., 2014). Venting the gas  
645 produced requires that CH<sub>4</sub> concentration is measured simultaneously, given that  
646 different CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations can be expected depending on substrate. Nevertheless, it  
647 is critical to measure total CH<sub>4</sub> produced *in vitro* in relation to incubated substrate, as  
648 well as the amount degraded over time. For example, Navarro-Villa et al. (2011)  
649 highlighted the importance of measuring substrate degradability over the incubation  
650 period based on the observation that the ranking of CH<sub>4</sub> production potential of

651 incubated feeds (barley grain, grass silage, barley straw) differed depending on whether  
652 CH<sub>4</sub> was expressed per unit DM incubated or DM degraded.

653 For successful IVGP studies, it is essential that experimental treatments are  
654 randomly allocated to bottle positions. The order in which bottles are inoculated also  
655 needs to be randomized across bottle positions and treatments. Randomization serves to  
656 minimize possible confounding effects of bottle position (or water baths used),  
657 treatments and timing of inoculation. Such an approach is analogous to the random  
658 allocation of treatments to animals for *in vivo* experiments (Hammond et al.,  
659 unpublished). It is also important to consider establishing incubations with a single,  
660 identical source of medium, and a single, identical source of substrate.

661

662 *RECOMMENDATION: The duration of the incubation should be adjusted based on the*  
663 *composition and physical properties of substrate incubated that determines the*  
664 *frequency of gas sampling required for measuring CH<sub>4</sub> production. Gas composition*  
665 *should be determined at the same time gas pressure is vented. Regardless of the*  
666 *duration of the incubation in vitro, the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> produced should ideally be*  
667 *expressed relative to the amount of substrate degraded, rather than the amount of*  
668 *substrate incubated. It is highly desirable that the timing of inoculation and the*  
669 *allocation of treatments with respect to bottle position are randomized as much as*  
670 *possible. It is recommended that 3 bottles are used per unique treatment in each run*  
671 *allowing possible outliers to be identified.*

672

### 673 **3.6. Headspace gas composition**

674 It is well established that H<sub>2</sub> concentration can affect the thermodynamics of  
675 fermentation and the growth rate of hydrogenotrophic methanogens in the rumen

676 (Janssen, 2010). Hydrogen produced in the rumen is present in two forms, as dissolved  
677 H<sub>2</sub> and as H<sub>2</sub> gas, but methanogens only utilize dissolved H<sub>2</sub> (Wang et al., 2014).  
678 Reports on the influence of headspace gas composition on *in vitro* gas production and  
679 rumen fermentation are scarce. In one study, Patra and Yu (2013) noted that initial CO<sub>2</sub>  
680 headspace, but not N<sub>2</sub> headspace, was positively correlated with CH<sub>4</sub> production after  
681 fermentation. This prompted the hypothesize that headspace gas composition, CO<sub>2</sub> in  
682 particular, which is in exchange with H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the medium, depending on  
683 concentration, acid-base balance and gas pressure, may affect fermentation  
684 characteristics and gas production in ruminal *in vitro* cultures. A range of initial  
685 headspace composition of *in vitro* cultures has been reported, including 100% CO<sub>2</sub>  
686 (Anderson et al., 2003; Weimer et al., 2005), 100% N<sub>2</sub> (Hoover et al., 1976) and a  
687 mixture of gases typical of an anaerobic chamber (85% N<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>, and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>; Zhou  
688 et al., 2011; Patra et al., 2012). Patra and Yu (2013) investigated the effects of three  
689 different headspace gases (N<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub> in the ratio of 90:5:5, 100 % CO<sub>2</sub>, and 100 %  
690 N<sub>2</sub>) and the interaction with type of substrate (alfalfa hay or alfalfa hay and concentrate)  
691 and media bicarbonate concentration on gas and CH<sub>4</sub> production. Methane production  
692 was much higher when CO<sub>2</sub> was present in the headspace. It is conceivable that an  
693 equilibrium is established between CO<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the inoculum and CO<sub>2</sub> in  
694 headspace gas (Alford, 1976), such that a higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the headspace  
695 would result in a greater concentration of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> in the media. Higher CH<sub>4</sub>  
696 production corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub> in the headspace may be explained by an immediate  
697 and greater availability of CO<sub>2</sub> in the inoculum that serves as the electron acceptor for  
698 the primary hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis pathway. An increase in dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>  
699 may also promote growth and activity of methanogens. Even though CH<sub>4</sub> production in  
700 the study of Patra and Yu (2013) was greater when the headspace contained CO<sub>2</sub> rather

701 than N<sub>2</sub>, total or net gas production was lower for the former compared with the latter.  
702 Further investigations are *required to understand the impact of a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>*  
703 *that best mimics rumen gas composition in vivo.*

704

705 *RECOMMENDATION: Owing to the effect of headspace gas composition on gas*  
706 *production, including CH<sub>4</sub> production, it is recommended that all future studies should*  
707 *both consider this as an influencing factor and report headspace gas composition.*  
708 *Rumen fluid should be flushed continuously, and once added into the medium,*  
709 *continued to be flushed for at least 10 min before incubation is initiated. Following the*  
710 *addition of inoculum into the bottle, the headspace should be flushed continuously until*  
711 *the bottle is sealed.*

712

713 **Please insert Table 2 here**

714

### 715 **3.7. *In vitro* versus *in vivo***

716 Numerous studies have examined the influence of antimethanogenic compounds on  
717 CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*, but few have undertaken a simultaneous evaluation *in vivo* and  
718 *in vitro*. Direct comparison of effects *in vitro* and *in vivo* would allow a better  
719 interpretation of IVGP data and inform on the treatments suitable for further evaluation  
720 *in vivo*. When addressing inconsistencies between results from *in vitro* and *in vivo*  
721 studies it is worthwhile considering:

- 722 i) The accuracy of *in vitro* systems to predict the CH<sub>4</sub> production of a given  
723 diet per unit feed intake or digested matter

724 ii) The ability to simulate the direction of changes (not absolute values) in CH<sub>4</sub>  
725 production when anti-methanogenic agents are tested relative to an  
726 appropriate control.

727 i) Bhatta et al. (2007) compared IVGP measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> production with the  
728 SF<sub>6</sub>-technique across a range of diets. Methane production estimated from 48 h *in vitro*  
729 gas production was higher than measurements *in vivo* for all diets. Of particular note is  
730 that the average of CH<sub>4</sub> production at 24 h and 48 h was closely correlated with values  
731 based on SF<sub>6</sub> ( $R^2=0.78$ , 5 diets and 4 animals used) (Table 2).

732 Blümmel et al (2005) conducted a study to compare feed intake, digestibility and CH<sub>4</sub>  
733 production by open-circuit respiration measurements in sheep fed 15 untreated, sodium  
734 hydroxide (NaOH) treated and anhydrous ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) treated wheat, barley and oat  
735 straws also evaluated using IVGP. Total daily CH<sub>4</sub> production, calculated from *in vitro*  
736 fermentation characteristics (i.e., true degradability, SCFA ratio and efficiency of  
737 microbial production) and OM intake were found to be closely correlated with CH<sub>4</sub>  
738 emissions measured in respiration chambers ( $y = 2.5 + 0.86x$ ,  $R^2 = 0.89$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , 15  
739 diets and 4 animals used). Intake of OM measured *in vivo* OM intake was also used to  
740 calculate CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*. As such OM intake was common to both the  
741 independent and the dependent variable, which could explain the close association  
742 between *in vitro* and *in vivo* measurements.

743 More recently, Hatew et al. (2015) reported the first study to simultaneously compare  
744 measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Animals adapted to the  
745 experimental diet were used as a source of rumen inocula for 24 h *in vitro* incubations.  
746 Measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro* (expressed per unit of OM incubated) were  
747 found to be moderately correlated ( $R^2 = 0.54$ ;  $P = 0.04$ , 10 diets and 16 animals used)  
748 with *in vivo* CH<sub>4</sub> production (when expressed per unit of estimated rumen-fermentable

749 OM) across a range of diets differing in source and amount of starch in dietary  
750 concentrates. However, no association was found when *in vivo* CH<sub>4</sub> production was  
751 expressed per unit of ingested OM ( $R^2 = 0.05$ ;  $P = 0.87$ ).

752 ii) Few direct comparisons of antimethanogenic compounds *in vitro* and *in vivo* are  
753 available (Table 2). Martínez-Fernández et al. (2013) compared the effectiveness of  
754 bromchloromethane and propyl propane thiosulfinate to inhibit CH<sub>4</sub> production *in vitro*  
755 and *in vivo*. Even though both compounds were found to decrease CH<sub>4</sub> production *in*  
756 *vitro* by as much as 90% per unit of DM intake responses in goats were much lower (-  
757 33%), although measurements *in vivo* and *in vitro* were not made simultaneously. Two  
758 newly developed molecules (ethyl-3-nitrooxy propionate, ENP, and 3-nitrooxypropanol,  
759 3NOP) have also been evaluated *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Martinez-Fernandez et al., 2014).  
760 Both compounds were given to sheep at two different doses of 50 and 500 mg/animal  
761 per day, corresponding to around 10 and 100 mg/L of rumen content or concentrations  
762 of 68 and 681  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. Administration of 500 mg/d of h 3NOP at decreased  
763 CH<sub>4</sub> production by -29% on d 14, which was much lower than a value of -95%,  
764 determined *in vitro* (Martínez-Fernández et al., 2014). Similar differences in responses  
765 to Japanese horseradish oil have been reported between *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies  
766 (Mohammed et al., 2004). Much larger decreases in CH<sub>4</sub> production were observed *in*  
767 *vitro* (-89%) than *in vivo* (-18.7%, Table 2), findings that are in agreement a recent  
768 meta-analysis (Hristov et al. 2012). Such discrepancies in the effectiveness of test  
769 compounds when given in similar doses may be explained by a combination of several  
770 factors: (1) test compounds used are typically administered in 1-2 pulses via the ruminal  
771 cannula that often coincide with feeding times, and as a consequence not be rapidly and  
772 well mixed with rumen contents; (2) differences in the degradation rate of the active  
773 compounds *in vitro* and *in vivo*; (3) decrease in microbial density and changes in

774 bacterial community structure of rumen contents during processing as inoculum for *in*  
775 *vitro* studies associated with the exposure of microorganisms to oxygen and the removal  
776 of solids during filtration (Soto et al., 2012); (4) potential washout of these compounds  
777 from the rumen or absorption through the rumen wall and (5) adaptation of the rumen  
778 microbial ecosystem to the tested compound *in vivo* that is not emulated by inoculated  
779 microbiota *in vitro*.

780 A different scenario as described above has been recently reported by Castro-Montoya  
781 et al. (2015): a blend of essential oil was effective reducing daily emissions of methane  
782 in dairy cattle and emissions relative to body weight in beef cattle, interestingly, these  
783 effects were not observed *in vitro* regardless of the technique used to replicate *in vivo*  
784 results (IVGP or consecutive batch culture). This might be due to differences in the  
785 mode of action of the essential oils *in vitro* and *in vivo*, which merits attention for future  
786 research.

787

788 **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

789 *In vitro* CH<sub>4</sub> production is more closely correlated with *in vivo* CH<sub>4</sub> production across a  
790 range of feeding regimes when *in vivo* CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are expressed per unit of  
791 degraded material rather than per unit of material ingested. The ability of IVGP to  
792 reliably predict effects *in vivo* is affected by adaptation of the rumen inoculum to the  
793 substrate tested *in vitro*. IVGP offers a valuable tool for the study and screening of anti-  
794 methanogenic additives before testing *in vivo*. It is recommended that *in vitro* data are  
795 confirmed *in vivo* before conclusions on the effectiveness of feed ingredients or  
796 additives for lowering CH<sub>4</sub> production are drawn given that inhibition potential is often  
797 overestimated *in vitro*.

798

799 **Please insert Table 3 here**

800

#### 801 **4. Conclusion**

802 There is no standard protocol for assessing enteric methane mitigation in ruminants  
803 using *in vitro* gas production technique, as conditions need to be adjusted according to  
804 the research question. However, numerous technical issues relating to donor animals,  
805 microbial inoculum and general procedures need to be considered (Table 3) to ensure  
806 the objectives of experiments can be properly fulfilled. This would allow harmonization  
807 of laboratory methods, better interpretation of results and facilitate inter-studies  
808 comparisons. Results from *in vitro* gas production technique studies need to be carefully  
809 interpreted before assessing mitigation strategies *in vivo*.

810

#### 811 **Acknowledgements**

812 This review is part of the FACCE-JPI ‘Global Network’ project. Authors acknowledge  
813 national funding from INIA (Spain), the Ministry of Economic Affairs (The  
814 Netherlands; project Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouses Gases, BO-  
815 20-007-006), USDA-NIFA (USA), French National Research Agency through the  
816 program FACCE-JPI program, Agricultural GHG Research Initiative for Ireland  
817 (AGRI-I), Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (UK), BLW  
818 (Switzerland) and Academy of Finland, Helsinki, Finland (Project 281337).

819

#### 820 **References**

821 Alford, J. S., Jr., 1976. Measurement of dissolved carbon dioxide. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 22,  
822 52–56.

823 Amanzougarene, Z., Schauf, S., Fondevila, M., 2015. Control of pH in vitro for the  
824 simulation of fermentation in high concentrate feeding conditions. AIDA, XVI  
825 Jornadas sobre producción Animal, I, 215-217 (ISBN 978-84-606-7969-1).

826 Ammar, H., S. López, S. Andrés, M.J. Ranilla, R. Bodas, J.S. González., 2008. In vitro  
827 digestibility and fermentation kinetics of some browse plants using sheep or goat  
828 ruminal fluid as the source of inoculum. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 147, 90-104.

829 Anderson, R. C., T. R. Callaway, J. A. S. Van Kessel, Y. S. Jung, T. S., Edrington, D. J.  
830 Nisbet., 2003. Effect of select nitrocompounds on ruminal fermentation; an initial  
831 look at their potential to reduce economic and environmental costs associated with  
832 ruminal methanogenesis. Bioresour. Technol. 90, 59–63.

833 Argyle, JL, Baldwin, RL., 1988., Modeling of rumen water kinetics and effects of  
834 rumen pH changes. J. Dairy Sci. 71, 1178-1188.

835 Bannink, A., Kogut, J., Dijkstra, J., Kebreab, E., France, J., Tamminga, A., Van  
836 Vuuren, A.M., 2006. Estimation of the stoichiometry of volatile fatty acid  
837 production in the rumen of lactating cows. J. Theor. Biol. 238, 36–51.

838 Blümmel, M., Givens, D.I., Mossb, A.R., 2005. Comparison of methane produced by  
839 straw fed sheep in open-circuit respiration with methane predicted by  
840 fermentation characteristics measured by an in vitro gas procedure. Anim. Feed  
841 Sci. Technol. 123–124, 379–390.

842 Blümmel, M., Ørskov, E.R., 1993. Comparison of in vitro gas production and nylon bag  
843 degradability of roughages in predicting feed intake in cattle. Anim. Feed Sci.  
844 Technol. 40, 109–119.

845 Bodas, R., Lopez, S., Fernandez, M., García-González, R., Rodríguez, A.B., Wallace,  
846 R.J., Gonzalez, J.S., 2008. In vitro screening of the potential of numerous plant

847 species as antimethanogenic feed additives for ruminants. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
848 *Technol.* 145, 245–258.

849 Bodas, R., Lopez, S., Fernandez, M., García-González, R., Rodríguez, A.B., Wallace,  
850 R.J., Gonzalez, J.S., 2008. In vitro screening of the potential of numerous plant  
851 species as antimethanogenic feed additives for ruminants. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
852 *Technol.* 145, 245–258.

853 Broudiscou, L.P., Papon, Y., Broudiscou, A.F., 1999. Optimal mineral composition of  
854 artificial saliva for fermentation and methanogenesis in continuous culture of  
855 rumen microorganisms. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 79, 43–55.

856 Bryant, A. M., 1964. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* 7, 695

857 Bueno, I.C.H., Brandi, R.B., Franzolin, Benetel, G., Adibe G., Abdalla, L., Muir, H.L.  
858 2015. In vitro methane production and tolerance to condensed tannins in five  
859 ruminant species. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 205, 1-19.

860 Busquet, M., Calsamiglia, S., Ferret, A., Cardozo, P., Kamel, C., 2005. Effects of  
861 cinnamaldehyde and garlic oil on rumen microbial fermentation in a dual flow  
862 continuous culture. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88, 2508–2516.

863 Calabrò, S., Lopez, S., Piccolo, V., Dijkstra, J., Dhanoa, M.S., France, J., 2005.  
864 Comparative analysis of gas production profiles obtained with buffalo and sheep  
865 ruminal fluid as the source of inoculum. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 123, 51-65.

866 Cardozo, P., Calsamiglia, S., Ferret, A., Kamel, C., 2004. Effects of natural plant  
867 extracts on ruminal protein degradation and fermentation profiles in continuous  
868 culture. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82, 3230–3236.

869 Cardozo, P., Calsamiglia, S., Ferret, A., Kamel, C., 2005. Screening for the effects of  
870 natural plant extracts at different pH on in vitro rumen microbial fermentation of a  
871 high-concentrate diet for beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83, 2572–2579.

872 Castro-Montoya, J., De Campeneere, S., Van Ransta, G., Fievez, V., 2012. Interactions  
873 between methane mitigation additives and basal substrates on in vitro methane  
874 and VFA production. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 176, 47– 60.

875 Castro-Montoya, J., Peiren, N., Cone, J.W., Zweifel, B., Fievez, V., De Campeneere, S.,  
876 2015. In vivo and in vitro effects of a blend of essential oils on rumen methane  
877 mitigation. *Livest. Sci.* 180, 134–142.

878 Cheng, K.J., McAllister, T.A., Mathiesen, S.D., Blix, A.S., Orpin, C.G., Costerton,  
879 J.W., 1993. Seasonal changes in the adherent microflora of the rumen in high-  
880 arctic Svalbard reindeer. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 39, 101-8.

881 Clauss, M., Schwarm, A., Ortmannb, S., Streichb, W.J., Hummel, J., 2007. A case of  
882 non-scaling in mammalian physiology? Body size, digestive capacity, food intake,  
883 and ingesta passage in mammalian herbivores. *Comp. Bioch. Physiol.* 148, 249–  
884 265.

885 Cone, J.W., Van Gelder, A.H., Bachmann, H., 2000. Influence of inoculum source,  
886 dilution and storage of rumen fluid on gas production profiles. In: *Gas Production:  
887 Fermentation Kinetics for Feed Evaluation and to Assess Microbial Activity.*  
888 *Proceedings of the EAAP Satellite Symposium on Gas Production, Wageningen,*  
889 *The Netherlands. Proc. Br. Soc. Anim. Sci., 15–16.*

890 Cone, J.W., Van Gelder, A.H., Bachmann, H., 2002. Influence of inoculum source on  
891 gas production profiles. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 99, 221–231.

892 Cone, J.W., Van Gelder, A.H., Visscher, G.J.W., Oudshoorn, L., 1996. Influence of  
893 rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with a  
894 fully automated time related gas production apparatus. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*  
895 61, 113–128.

896 Cone, J.W., Van Gelder, A.H., Visscher, G.J.W., Oudshoorn, L., 1996. Influence of  
897 rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with a  
898 fully automated time related gas production apparatus. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*  
899 61, 113–128.

900 Cornou, C., Storm, I.D., Hindrichsen, I., Worgan, H., Bakewell, E., Yáñez-Ruiz, D.,  
901 Abecia, L., 2013. A ring test of a wireless in vitro gas production system. *Anim.*  
902 *Prod. Sci.* 53, 585–592.

903 Czerkawski, J.W., Breckenridge, G., 1975. New inhibitors of methane production by  
904 rumen micro-organisms.

905 Davies, Z.S., Mason, D., Brooks, A.E., Griffith, G.W., Merry, R.J., Theodorou, M.K.,  
906 2000. An automated system for measuring gas production from forages inoculated  
907 with rumen fluid and its use in determining the effect of enzymes on grass silage.  
908 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 83, 205–221.

909 Martínez, M.E., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L, Ramos, S., Carro, M.D., 2010. Comparison  
910 of fermentation of diets of variable composition and microbial populations in the  
911 rumen of sheep and Rusitec fermenters. I. Digestibility, fermentation parameters,  
912 and microbial growth. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93, 3684–3698.

913 De Visser, H., Huisert, H., Klop, A., Ketelaar, R.S. 1993. Autumn-cut silage as  
914 roughage component in dairy cow rations. 2. Rumen degradation, fermentation  
915 and kinetics. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.* 41, 221–234

916 Dehority, B.A., 2003. *Rumen Microbiology*. Nottingham: Nottingham University Press.

917 Demeyer, D., Fievez, V. 2000. Ruminants and environment: methanogenesis. *Ann.*  
918 *Zootech.* 49, 95–112.

919 Development and testing of inhibitors in vitro. *Br. J. Nutr.* 34, 429–444. Menke, K.,  
920 Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., Schneider, W., 1979. The

921 estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant  
922 feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor  
923 in vitro. *J. Agric. Sci. (Camb.)* 93, 217–222.

924 Dhanoa, M.S., France, J., LCrompton, L.A., Mauricio, R.M., Kebreab, E., Mills, J.A.N.,  
925 Sanderson, R., Dijkstra, J., López, S., 2005. Technical note: A proposed method  
926 to determine the extent of degradation of a feed in the rumen from the degradation  
927 profile obtained with the in vitro gas production technique using feces as the  
928 inoculum. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82, 733-746.

929 Dijkstra, J., Kebreab, E., Bannink, A., France, J., Lopez, S., 2005. Application of the  
930 gas production technique to feed evaluation systems for ruminants. *Anim. Feed*  
931 *Sci. Technol.* 123, 561–578.

932 Duffield, T., Plaizier, J.C., Fairfield, A., Bagg, R., Vessie, G., Dick, P., Wilson, J.,  
933 Aramini, J., McBride, B., 2004. Comparison of techniques for measurement  
934 of rumen pH in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 59–66.

935 Durmic, Z., Hutton, P., Revell, D.K., Emms, J., Hughes, S., Vercoe, P.E., 2010. In vitro  
936 fermentative traits of Australian woody perennial plant species that may be  
937 considered as potential sources of feed for grazing ruminants. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
938 *Technol.* 160, 98–109.

939 El Shaera, H.M., Omeda, H.M., Chamberlain, A.G., Axforda, R.F.E., 1987. Use of  
940 faecal organisms from sheep for the in vitro determination of digestibility. *J.*  
941 *Agric. Sci.* 109, 257-259

942 El-Meadaway, A., Z. Mir, P. S. Mir, M. S. Zaman, L. J. Yanke., 1998. Relative efficacy  
943 of inocula from rumen liquor or fecal solution for determining in vitro  
944 digestibility and gas production. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 78, 673–679.

- 945 García-González, R., Lopez, S., Fernandez, M., Bodas, R., Gonzalez, J.S., 2008a.  
946 Screening the activity of plants and spices for decreasing ruminal methane  
947 production in vitro. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 147, 36–52.
- 948 Geishauser, T., Gitzel, A., 1996. A comparison of rumen fluid sampled by oro-ruminal  
949 probe versus rumen fistula. *Small Rumin. Res.* 21, 63–69.
- 950 Groot, J.C.J., Cone, J.W., Williams, B.A., Debersaques, F.M.A., Lantinga, E.A., 1996.  
951 Multiphasic analysis of gas production kinetics for in vitro fermentation of  
952 ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 64, 77–89.
- 953 Haddi, M.L., Filacorda, S., Meniai, K., Rollin, F., Susmel, P., 2003. In vitro  
954 fermentation kinetics of some halophyte shrubs sampled at three stages of  
955 maturity. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 104, 215-225.
- 956 Hatew, B., Conea, J.W., Pellikaana, W.F., Podesta, S.C., Bannink, A., Hendrik, W.H.,  
957 Dijkstra, J., 2015. Relationship between in vitro and in vivo methane production  
958 measured simultaneously with different dietary starch sources and starch levels in  
959 dairy cattle. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 202, 20–31
- 960 Hervás, G., Frutos, P., Giráldez, F.J., Mora, M.J., Fernández, B., Mantecón, A.R., 2005.  
961 Effect of preservation on fermentative activity of rumen fluid inoculum for in  
962 vitro gas production techniques. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 123–124, 107–118.
- 963 Hoover, W. H., B. A. Crooker, and C. J. Sniffen., 1976. Effects of differential solid-  
964 liquid removal rates on protozoa numbers in continuous cultures of rumen  
965 contents. *J. Anim. Sci.* 43, 528–534.
- 966 Hristov A.N., Lee, C., Hristov, R., Firkins, J.L. 2012. A meta-analysis of variability in  
967 continuous-culture ruminal fermentation and digestibility data. *Journal of Dairy*  
968 *Science* 95, 5299-307 .

969 Hristov, A. N., T. A. McAllister, F. H. Van Herk, K. -J. Cheng, C. J. Newbold and P. R.  
970 Cheeke. 1999. Effect of *Yucca schidigera* on ruminal fermentation and nutrient  
971 digestion in heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77, 2554-2563.

972 Huntington, J.A., Givens, D.I. 1998. The effects of host diet on the cumulative gas  
973 production profile of grass hay and high temperature dried grass. In vitro  
974 Techniques for Measuring Nutrient Supply to Ruminants. In: E.R., Deaville, E.,  
975 Owen, A.T., Adesogan, C., Rymer, J.A., Huntington, T.L.J., Lawrence (Eds.),  
976 Occ. Publication no. 22. *Br. Soc. Anim. Sci.* 224–226.

977 Huntington, J.A., Lawrence, T.L.J. (Eds.), In Vitro Techniques for Measuring Nutrient  
978 Supply to Ruminants. BSAS, Edinburgh, UK, pp. 282–284, BSAS Occ. Publ. No.  
979 22.

980 Janssen, P.H. 2010. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and  
981 fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation  
982 thermodynamics. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 160, 1–22

983 Joblin, K.N., 2005. Classical methods for isolation, enumeration, cultivation and  
984 functional assays of rumen microbes. Methanogenic archaea. In *Methods in Gut  
985 Microbial Ecology for Ruminants*. Springer, Edited by H.P.S. Makkar and C.S.  
986 McSweeney. ISBN-10 1-4020-3790-2 (HB).

987 Jouany, J.P., Lassalas, B. (2002). Gas pressure inside a rumen in vitro systems  
988 stimulates the use of hydrogen. Presented at 3. Joint RRI-INRA Gastrointestinal  
989 Tract Microbiology Symposium. Beyond Antimicrobials. The Future of Gut  
990 Microbiology, Aberdeen, GBR (2002-06-12 - 2002-06-15).

991 Kamel, C., Greathead, H.M.R., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L., Carro, M.D., 2008). Effects  
992 of allicin and diallyl disulfide on in vitro fermentation of a mixed diet. *Anim.  
993 Feed Sci. Technol.* 145, 351–363.

994 Kim, C. H., J. N. Kim, J. K. Ha, S. G. Yun, S. S. Lee., 2004. Effects of dietary addition  
995 of surfactant Tween 80 on ruminal fermentation and nutrient digestibility of  
996 Hanwoo steers. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 17:337-342.

997 Kittelmann, S., Pinares-Patiño, C.S., Seedorf, H., Kirk, M.R., Ganesh, S.V., McEwan,  
998 J.C., Janssen, P.H., 2014. Two Different Bacterial Community Types Are Linked  
999 with the Low-Methane Emission Trait in Sheep. *PLoS ONE* 9(7): e103171.

1000 Klevenhusen, F., A. Muro-Reyes, R. Khiaosa-ard, B. U. Metzler-Zebeli, and Q.  
1001 Zebeli. 2012. A meta-analysis of effects of chemical composition of incubated  
1002 diet and bioactive compounds on in vitro ruminal fermentation. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
1003 *Technol.* 176:61–69.

1004 Kohn, RA., Dunlap, TF., 1998. Calculation of the buffering capacity of bicarbonate in  
1005 the rumen and in vitro. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76, 1702-1709.

1006 Komarek, R.J., 1981. Rumen and abomasal cannulation of sheep with specially  
1007 designed cannulas and a cannula insertion instrument. *J. Anim. Sci.* 53,790–795.

1008 Kristensen, N.B., Engbæk, M., Vestergaard, M., Harmon, D.L., 2010. Technical note:  
1009 ruminal cannulation technique in young Holstein calves: effects ofcannulation on  
1010 feed intake, body weight gain, and ruminal development at six weeks of age. *J.*  
1011 *Dairy Sci.* 93, 737–742.

1012 Lana, R.P., Russell, J.B., Van Amburgh, M.E., 1998. The role of pH in regulating  
1013 ruminal methane and ammonia production. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76, 2190-2196.

1014 Le Liboux, S., Peyraud, J.L., 1999. Effect of forage particle size and feeding frequency  
1015 on fermentation patterns and sites and extent of digestion in dairy cows fed mixed  
1016 diets. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 76, 297-319.

- 1017 Leedle, M.P. Bryant, R.B. Hespell., 1982. Diurnal variations in bacterial numbers and  
1018 fluid parameters in ruminal contents of animals fed low- or high-forage diets.  
1019 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44, 402–412
- 1020 Lodge-Ivey, S.L., Browne-Silva, J., Horvath, M.B., 2009. Technical note: bacterial  
1021 diversity and fermentation end products in rumen fluid samples collected via oral  
1022 lavage or rumen cannula. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87, 2333–2337.
- 1023 Machmüller, A., Dohme, F., Soliva, C.R., Wanner, M., Kreuzer, M., 2001. Diet  
1024 composition affects the level of ruminal methane suppression by medium-chain  
1025 fatty acids. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 52, 713–722.
- 1026 Martínez-Fernández, G., Abecia, L., Martín-García, A.I., Ramos-Morales, E., Hervas,  
1027 G., Molina-Alcaide, E., Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., 2013. In vitro–in vivo study on the  
1028 effects of plant compounds on rumen fermentation, microbial abundances and  
1029 methane emissions in goats. *Animal* 7, 1925–1934.
- 1030 Martínez-Fernández, G., L. Abecia , A. Arco , G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar , A. I. Martín-  
1031 García, E. Molina-Alcaide ,M. Kindermann , S. Duval , Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., 2014.  
1032 Effects of ethyl-3-nitrooxy propionate and 3-nitrooxypropanol on ruminal  
1033 fermentation, microbial abundance, and methane emissions in sheep. *J. Dairy Sci.*  
1034 97, 3790–3799.
- 1035 Martínez, M.E., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L., Ramos, S., Carro, M.D., 2010. The effect  
1036 of the diet fed to donor sheep on in vitro methane production and ruminal  
1037 fermentation of diets of variable composition. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 158,  
1038 126–135.
- 1039 Mateos, I., Ranilla, M.J., Tejido, M.L., Saro, C., Kamel, C. and Carro, M.D., 2012. The  
1040 influence of diet type (dairy versus intensive fattening) on the effectiveness of

1041 garlic oil and cinnamaldehyde to manipulate in vitro ruminal fermentation and  
1042 methane production. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 53, 299–307

1043 Mauricio, R.M., Owen, E., Mould, F.L., Givens, I., Theodorou, M.K., France, J.,  
1044 Davies, D.R. and Dhanoa, M.S., 2001. Comparison of bovine rumen liquor and  
1045 bovine faeces as inoculum for an in vitro gas production technique for evaluating  
1046 forages. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 89, 33-48.

1047 McDougall, E.J., 1948. Studies on ruminant saliva, 1. The composition and output of  
1048 sheep's saliva. *Biochem. J.* 43, 99–109.

1049 Menke, K.H., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., Schneider, W., 1979. The  
1050 estimation of the digestibility and metabolisable energy content of ruminant  
1051 feeding stuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor.  
1052 *J. Agric. Sci.*, 93, 217–222

1053 Menke, K.H., Steingass, H., 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from  
1054 chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. Dev.*  
1055 28, 7–55.

1056 Miron, J., Ben Ghedalia, D., Morrison, M.. 2001. Invited Review: Adhesion  
1057 mechanisms of rumen cellulolytic bacteria. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84, 1294-1309.

1058 Mohammed, N., N. Ajisaka, Z. Lila, K. Hara, K. Mikuni, K. Hara, S. Kanda, and H.  
1059 Itabashi., 2004. Effect of Japanese horseradish oil on methane production and  
1060 ruminal fermentation in vitro and in steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 82, 1839–1846.

1061 Moharrery, A., Das, M., 2001. Correlation between microbial enzyme activities in the  
1062 rumen fluid of sheep under different treatments. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* 41, 513-29.

1063 Mould, F.L., Kliem, K.E., Morgan, R., Mauricio, R.M. 2005. In vitro microbial  
1064 inoculum: A review of its function and properties. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 123–  
1065 124, 31–50.

1066 Mould, F.L., Morgan, R., Kliem, K.E., Krystallidou, E., 2005. A review and  
1067 simplification of the in vitro incubation medium. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*  
1068 123,155–172.

1069 Mueller, R.E., Asplund, J.M., Lannotti, E.L., 1984. Successive changes in the epimural  
1070 bacterial community of young lambs as revealed by scanning electron  
1071 microscopy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47, 715–723.

1072 Muetzel, S., Hunt, C., Tavendale, M.H., 2014. A fully automated incubation system for  
1073 the measurement of gas production and gas composition. *Anim. Feed Sci*  
1074 *Technol.* 196, 1-11.

1075 Navarro-Villa, A., O'Brien, M., López, S., Boland, T.M., O'Kiely, P., 2011.  
1076 Modifications of a gas production technique for assessing in vitro rumen methane  
1077 production from feedstuffs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 166, 163–174.

1078 O'Brien, M., Navarro-Villa, A., Purcell, P. J., Boland, T. M., O'Kiely P., 2013.  
1079 Reducing in vitro rumen methanogenesis for two contrasting diets using a series  
1080 of inclusion rates of different additives. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 54, 141–157.

1081 Patra, A. K., J. Stiverson, Z. Yu., 2012. Effects of Quillaja and yucca saponins on  
1082 communities and select populations of rumen bacteria and archaea, and  
1083 fermentation in vitro. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 113, 1329–1340.

1084 Patra, A.K., Yu, Z., 2013. Effects of gas composition in headspace and bicarbonate  
1085 concentrations in media on gas and methane production, degradability, and rumen  
1086 fermentation using in vitro gas production techniques. *J. Dairy Sci.* 96, 4592–  
1087 4600.

1088 Patra, A.M., Yu, Z., 2013. Effects of gas composition in headspace and bicarbonate  
1089 concentrations in media on gas and methane production, degradability, and rumen

1090 fermentation using in vitro gas production techniques. *J. Dairy Sci.* 96, 4592–  
1091 4600

1092 Payne, J.S., Hamersley, A.R., Milligan, J.C., Huntington, J.A., 2002. The affect of  
1093 rumen fluid collection time on its fermentative capacity and the stability of rumen  
1094 conditions in sheep fed a constant diet. *Proc. Br. Soc. Anim. Sci.* 165.

1095 Pei, C.X., Mao, S.Y., Chenga, Y.F., Zhua, W.Y., 2010. Diversity, abundance and novel  
1096 16S rRNA gene sequences of methanogens in rumen liquid, solid and epithelium  
1097 fractions of Jinnan cattle. *Animal.* 4, 20-29.

1098 Pell, A.N., Schofield, P., 1993. Computerised monitoring of gas production to measure  
1099 forage digestion in vitro. *J. Dairy Sci.* 76, 1063–1073.

1100 Pell, A.N., Schofield, P., 1993. Computerized Monitoring of Gas Production to Measure  
1101 Forage Digestion In Vitro. *J. Dairy Sci.* 76, 1063-1073.

1102 Pellikaan, W., Hendriks, W., Uwimana, G., Bongers, L., Becker, P., Cone, J., 2011. A  
1103 novel method to determine simultaneously methane production during in vitro gas  
1104 production using fully automated equipment. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 168, 196–  
1105 205.

1106 Pellikaan, W.F., Hendriks, W.H., Uwimana, C., Bongers, L.J.G.M., Becker, P.M.,  
1107 Cone, J.W., 2011a. A novel method to determine simultaneously methane  
1108 production during in vitro gas production using fully automated equipment. *Anim.*  
1109 *Feed Sci. Technol.* 168, 196–205.

1110 Pinares-Patiño, C.S., Ulyatt, M.J., Lassey, K.R., Barry, T.N., Holmes, C.W. 2003.  
1111 Rumen function and digestion parameters associated with differences between  
1112 sheep in methane emissions when fed chaffed lucerne hay. *J. Agric. Sci.* 140,  
1113 205–214.

- 1114 Prates, A., de Oliveira, J.A., Abecia, L., Fondevila, M., 2010. Effects of preservation  
1115 procedures of rumen inoculum on in vitro microbial diversity and fermentation.  
1116 Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 155, 186–193.
- 1117 Ramos-Morales, E., Arco-Pérez, A., Martín-García, A.I., Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., Frutos, P.,  
1118 Hervás, G. 2014. Use of stomach tubing as an alternative to rumen cannulation to  
1119 study ruminal fermentation and microbiota in sheep and goats. Anim. Feed Sci.  
1120 Technol. 198, 57–66
- 1121 Ranilla M.J., Tejido, M.L., Carro M.D., 2001. Comparación de diversos métodos de  
1122 desligamiento de bacterias ruminales asociadas a la fase sólida de la digesta en un  
1123 sistema in vitro (Rusitec). ITEA (Vol. extra), 22, pp. 382-384.
- 1124 Robinson, P., Campbell, M., Fadel, J., 1999. Influence of storage time and temperature  
1125 on in vitro digestion on neutral detergent fibre at 48h, and comparison to 48h in  
1126 sacco neutral detergent fiber digestion. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 80, 257-266.
- 1127 Roger, V.R., G. Fonty, S. Komisarczuk-Bondy, Gouet, P., 1990. Effects of  
1128 physicochemical factors on the adhesion to cellulose avicel of the rumen bacteria  
1129 Ruminococcus flavefaciens and Fibrobactor succinogenes subsp. succinogenes.  
1130 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:3081-3087.
- 1131 Russell, J.B., Strobel, H.J., 1988. Effects of additives on in vitro ruminal fermentation: a  
1132 comparison of monensin and bacitracin. Another Gram-positive antibiotic. J.  
1133 Anim. Sci. 66, 552–558.
- 1134 Rymer, C., Huntington, J.A., Givens, D.I., 1999. Effects of inoculum preparation  
1135 method and concentration, method of inoculation and pre-soaking the substrate on  
1136 the gas production profile of high temperature dried grass. Anim. Feed Sci.  
1137 Technol. 78, 199–213.

- 1138 Rymer, C., Huntington, J.A., Williams B.A., Givens, D.I., 2005. In vitro cumulative gas  
1139 production techniques: History, methodological considerations and challenges.  
1140 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 123–124, 9–30
- 1141 Sadet, S., Martin, C., Meunier, B., Morgavi, D.P., 2007. PCR-DGGE analysis reveals a  
1142 distinct diversity in the bacterial population attached to the rumen epithelium.  
1143 *Animal*. 1, 939-944.
- 1144 Salem, A.F.Z.M., 2005. Impact of season of harvest on in vitro gas production and dry  
1145 matter degradability of *Acacia saligna* leaves with inoculum from three ruminant  
1146 species. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 123, 67-79.
- 1147 Schwarm, A., Ortmann, S., Wolf, C., Streich, W.J., Clauss, M., 2008. Excretion patterns  
1148 of fluid and different sized particle passage markers in banteng (*Bos javanicus*)  
1149 and pygmy hippopotamus (*Hexaprotodon liberiensis*): two functionally different  
1150 foregut fermenters. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A*, 150: 32-39
- 1151 Schwarm, A., Schweigel-Röntgen, M., Kreuzer, M., Ortmann, S., Gill, F., Kuhla, B.,  
1152 Meyer, U., Lohölter, M., Derno, M., 2015. Methane emission, digestive  
1153 characteristics and faecal archaeol in heifers fed diets based on silage from brown  
1154 midrib maize as compared to conventional maize. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 69, 159-176.
- 1155 Shen, J.S., Chai, Z., Song, L.J., Liu, J.X., Wu, Y.M., 2012. Insertion depth of oral  
1156 stomach tubes may affect the fermentation parameters of ruminal fluid collected  
1157 in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 95, 5978–5984.
- 1158 Shin, E.C., Choi, B.R., Lim, W.J., Hong, S.Y., An, C.L., Cho, K.M., Kim, Y.K., An,  
1159 J.M., Kang, J.M., Lee, S.S., Kim, H., Yun, H.D., 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of  
1160 archaea in three fractions of cow rumen based on the 16S rDNA sequence.  
1161 *Anaerobe*. 10, 313-319.

- 1162 Soto, E.C., Molina-Alcaide, E., Khelil, H., Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., 2013 Ruminant microbiota  
1163 developing in different in vitro simulation systems inoculated with goats' rumen  
1164 liquor. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 185, 9-18.
- 1165 Soto, E.C., Yáñez-Ruiz, D.R., Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., Vivas, A., Molina-Alcaide, E.,  
1166 2012. Changes in ruminal microbiota due to rumen content processing and  
1167 incubation in single-flow continuous culture fermenters. *Anim. Prod. Sci.* 52, 813–  
1168 822.
- 1169 Storm, A.C., Kristensen, N.B., 2010. Effects of particle size and dry matter content of a  
1170 total mixed ration on intraruminal equilibration and net portal flux of volatile fatty  
1171 acids in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93, 4223–4238
- 1172 Tagliapietra, F., Cattani, M., Bailoni, L., Schiavon, S. 2010. In vitro rumen  
1173 fermentation: Effect of headspace pressure on the gas production kinetics of corn  
1174 meal and meadow hay. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 158, 197–201.
- 1175 Tan, H.Y., Sieo, C.C., Abdullah, N., Liang, J.B., Huang, X.D., Ho, Y.W., 2011. Effects  
1176 of condensed tannins from *Leucaena* on methane production, rumen fermentation  
1177 and populations of methanogens and protozoa in vitro. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*  
1178 169, 185–193.
- 1179 Tekippe, J.A., Tacoma, R., Hristov, A.N., Lee, C., Oh, J., Heyler, K.S., Cassidy, T.W.,  
1180 Varga, G.A., Bravo, D., 2013. Effect of essential oils on ruminal fermentation and  
1181 lactation performance of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 96, 7892-7903.
- 1182 Terré, M., Castells, L., Fàbregas, F., Bach, A., 2013. Comparison of pH, volatile fatty  
1183 acids, and microbiome of rumen samples from preweaned calves obtained via  
1184 cannula or stomach tube. *J. Dairy Sci.* 96, 5290–5294.

- 1185 Theodorou, M.K., Williams, B.A., Dhanoa, M.S., McAllan, A.B., France, J., 1994. A  
1186 simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the  
1187 fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 48, 185–197.
- 1188 Tilley, J.M.A., Terry, R.A., 1963. A two-stage technique for the in vitro digestion of  
1189 forage crops. *J. Br. Grass Soc.* 18, 104–111.
- 1190 Trabalza-Marinucci, M., Poncet, C., Delval, E., Fonty, G., 2006. Evaluation of  
1191 techniques to detach particle-associated microorganisms from rumen contents.  
1192 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 125, 1–16.
- 1193 Van Kessel J.A.S., Russell, J.B., 1996. The effect of pH on ruminal methanogenesis.  
1194 *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 20, 205–210.
- 1195 Váradyová, Z., M. Baran and I. Zeleňák., 2005. Comparison of two in vitro  
1196 fermentation gas production methods using both rumen fluid and faecal inoculum  
1197 from sheep. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 123-124:81-94.
- 1198 Waghorn, G.C., Woodward, S.L., Tavendale, M., Clark, D.A. Inconsistencies in rumen  
1199 methane production—effects of forage composition and animal genotype.  
1200 *International Congress Series* 1293, 115– 118.
- 1201 Wang, X.Z., Sunb, P.H. Janssenb, S.X. Tanga, Z.L. Tana., 2014. Responses of methane  
1202 production and fermentation pathways to the increased dissolved hydrogen  
1203 concentration generated by eight substrates in in vitro ruminal cultures. 2014.  
1204 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 194, 1–11.
- 1205 Weimer, P. J., B. S. Dien, T. L. Springer, and K. P. Vogel., 2005. In vitro gas  
1206 production as a surrogate measure of the fermentability of cellulosic biomass to  
1207 ethanol. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 67, 52–58.
- 1208 Wilkins, J., 1974. Pressure transducer method for measuring gas production by  
1209 microorganisms. *Appl. Microbiol.* 27, 135–140.

1210 Williams, A.G., Coleman, G.S., 1992. The Rumen Protozoa. New York, NY: Springer-  
1211 Verlag.

1212 Williams, B.A., 1998. Poster discussion session report. In: Deaville, E.R., Owen, E.,  
1213 Adesogen, A.T., Rymer, C.,

1214 Williams, Y.J., Popovski, S., Rea, S.M., Skillman, L.C., Toovey, A.F., Northwood,  
1215 K.S., Wright, A.D.G., 2009. A vaccine against rumen methanogens can alter  
1216 the composition of archaeal populations. *Appl. Environm. Microbiol.* 75, 1860–  
1217 1866.

1218 Zhou X, Zeitz JO, Meile L, Kreuzer M, Schwarm A (2015) Influence of pH and the  
1219 degree of protonation on the inhibitory effect of fatty acids in the ruminal  
1220 methanogen *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* strain M1, *J Appl Microbiol*, in  
1221 press, doi: 10.1111/jam.12955.

1222 Zhou, Z., Q. Meng, Z. Yu., 2011. Effects of methanogenic inhibitors on methane  
1223 production and abundance of methanogen and cellulolytic bacteria in in vitro  
1224 ruminal cultures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2634–2639.

1225

1226

1227