Mapping the crustal structure beneath the eastern Pyrenees.
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Abstract.

Two passive seismic profiles have been acquired in the eastern Pyrenees as part of the Pyrope and Orogen projects to investigate the crustal structure differences between this area and the central and western Pyrenees. Up to 28 broad-band stations were deployed along two orthogonal lines, with an interstation spacing close to 10 km. High frequency receiver functions allowed us to obtain the main lithospheric interfaces along those lines. The NNE-SSW profile shows a well-defined Moho beneath Iberia, slightly deepening northwards. Beneath the Axial zone the Moho appears to be segmented but does not show evidence of crustal imbrication. Further North, the Moho appears again as a continuous interface located around 30 km depth. This image clearly differs from the conspicuous
imbrication between the Iberian and Eurasian crusts observed westward. The E-W profile shows a smooth Moho thinning from a 40 km depth to the west of the profile to 23 km close to the coastline, evidencing the crustal thinning related to the Neogene extensional processes. Additional constraints on the geometry of the crust/mantle boundary in the Eastern Pyrenees are obtained from local moderate magnitude earthquakes recorded along the seismic lines during the experiment. In particular, a fan profile built from an event located near the Mediterranean coast suggests that crustal thickness differences between Iberian and Eurasian crusts can still be recognized 30 km westward of the Mediterranean coast, in an area that seems to mark the limit between regions dominated by compressive and extensive processes. We propose a model in which the observed dissimilar Moho structure beneath the Eastern Pyrenees is interpreted as the result of a different Mesozoic pre-shortening margin configuration. Seismic results are consistent with recently proposed tectonic models including an intermediate continental block separating Iberia and Europe by two basins with extremely thin crust or exhumed mantle.
1 Introduction

Starting in 2011 and following the TopoIberia-Iberarray and Pyrope broad-band deployments, a set of high density seismic profiles crossing the Pyrenees orthogonally to its main structural orientation have been acquired in a collaborative effort involving the Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees in Toulouse and the Institute of Earth Sciences Jaume Almera-CSIC in Barcelona (Figure 1). These profiles have allowed us to explore seismically the global architecture of the orogen with unprecedented resolution. The presence of a crustal imbrication of the Iberian and European crusts beneath central and western Pyrenees, already documented by previous studies based on gravity data and active seismic profiles (see section 3), has been imaged in detail by the western and central Pyrope profiles using receiver function (RF) stacked sections (Chevrot et al., 2015) and full-waveform tomographic inversions (Wang et al., 2016), providing relevant clues to the geodynamic evolution of the Pyrenean orogeny. Following the same approach, the eastern Pyrenees have been explored recently by means of two high-density profiles, oriented orthogonally. Previous studies have shown that this area shows a marked crustal thinning, with crustal thickness changing from 40-45 km 80 km to the west of the Mediterranean coastline to less than 25 km offshore. However, the details of the transition between the central and eastern Pyrenees domains are still poorly understood, in particular regarding the location of the eastern limit of the crustal imbrication. The first profile, oriented NNE-SSW, extends from the Ebro Basin to the South to the limit of the Massif Central to the North and is oriented orthogonally to the main Pyrenean structures. The second profile has been designed to provide further details on the transition between the
thickened crust in the central part of the Pyrenees and the thinned crust near the Gulf of Lion. (Figure 1).

In this contribution we investigate the structure of the crust beneath the eastern Pyrenees from the analysis of the natural seismicity data recorded along those profiles. We base our work on the calculation of the so-called P-wave receiver functions (RF), a widely used methodology allowing to infer first-order velocity discontinuities within the lithosphere and upper mantle (Vinnik, 1977; Langston, 1979). Additional information on the crustal structure beneath the zone of interest is derived from local events recorded along the profiles and interpreted using the wide-angle reflection/refraction modeling approach used in active source experiments. Although the data do not allow to derive a full velocity-depth model, the identification of PcP (reflection on the top of the lower crust) and PmP (reflection on the Moho discontinuity) phases offers additional constraints on the crustal geometry based on completely independent data and methodology.

The resulting crustal structure determined in our work has been combined with the existing geologic cross-sections to provide an integrated model of the Eastern Pyrenees built-up by Late Cretaceous-Early Miocene compression followed by crustal and lithospheric thinning during the opening of the Western Mediterranean.

2 **Tectonic Setting**

The Pyrenean orogen built up by the closure and inversion tectonics of a deep basin, which developed during the transtensional–extensional motions between Iberia and
Europe since the Early Jurassic. This long-term tectonic evolution, which is still controversial for some of the periods, produced the exhumation of large ribbons of lithospheric mantle along the entire length of the Pyrenean plate boundary from Albian- Cenomanian times, synchronously to the opening of the Bay of Biscay. There is a general agreement about the duration of the Pyrenean convergence from late Santonian to early Miocene. Present-day deformation is dominated by a scarce extension in the central and western Pyrenees, as shown by GPS and focal mechanisms results (Asensio et al., 2012; Chevrot et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2016) while some indications for compression have been reported in the eastern part of the chain (Rigo et al., 2015).

The partial north-dipping continental underthrusting of the Iberian lithosphere underneath European upper plate in the late Santonian stage shaped the Pyrenean fold belt as observed in the ECORS-Pyrenees deep seismic profile (Choukroune and ECORS Team, 1989; Muñoz, 1992; Roure et al., 1989). The Pyrenean orogen evolved as a roughly E-W-trending rectilinear and asymmetric doubly-vergent fold belt mostly detached above Upper Triassic evaporites and separated by a central antiformal stack of middle-upper crustal rocks with the most significant thrust system developed towards the south, on top of the Iberian lower plate (e.g., Puigdefàbregas and Souquet, 1986; Mattauer, 1990; Muñoz, 1992; Teixell, 1998; Capote et al., 2002; Vergés et al., 2002; among others). The Pyrenean orogen is about 450 km long and 80 km wide, although if one considers its continuation to the west towards the Cantabrian Mountains, its total length increases to reach 1000 km.

From north to south the Pyrenean orogen includes (Figure 1): a) the Aquitaine retro-foreland Basin, related to the northern Pyrenean wedge; b) the North
Pyrenean Thrust System (NPTS), with a mean width of 30 km and north verging thrusts formed by Paleozoic, Mesozoic and Tertiary rocks.; c) the Axial Zone formed by an antiformal stack of mainly Paleozoic basement rock units and affected by intrusion of granites and granodiorites; d) the South Pyrenean Thrust System (SPTS), showing south oriented Alpine thrusts developed in the Mesozoic and Tertiary materials but also involving Paleozoic basement; and e) the Ebro Foreland Basin associated with the southern Pyrenean wedge (e.g., Muñoz, 1992; Vergés et al., 1995). The boundary between the Axial Zone and the North Pyrenean Thrust System is the North Pyrenean Fault (NPF), active since the Late Paleozoic Variscan cycle and interpreted as the trace of the Iberian-European plates boundary (Arthaud and Matte, 1975; Choukroune and Mattauer, 1978; Choukroune and ECORS Team, 1989). The E-W-trending Eastern Pyrenees interacted with the NE-SW-directed Catalan Coastal Ranges formed along the NW Iberian margin bounding the Ligurian-Tethys oceanic domains. The Catalan Coastal Ranges, involving basement blocks, resulted from the tectonic inversion of these basins mainly during the Middle Eocene stage (e.g., Guimerà, 1984; Anadón et al., 1985).

Crustal-scale models and estimates of N-S shortening for the Pyrenean orogeny are mainly derived from the study of the ECORS-Pyrenees Profile across the Central Pyrenees. Muñoz (1992) proposed 147 km of N-S shortening based on field geology and balanced and restored crustal sections. Using the same methods, Vergés et al. (1995) calculated 125 km through the Eastern Pyrenees and Teixell (1998) determined 75-80 km in the Western Pyrenees. New findings indicate that exhumed mantle separated Iberia from Europe during the Aptian-Cenomanian
periods (e.g., Jammes et al., 2009; Lagabrielle et al., 2010). This hypothesis was
integrated into a new series of geological cross-sections notably decreasing the
amounts of previously calculated shortenings due to the new evaluations of the
pre-shortening configuration of the Pyrenees margins and their crustal thicknesses
(Jammes et al., 2014a, 2014b; Tugend et al., 2014; Ford et al., 2016; Grool et al.,
2017; Mouthereau et al., 2014; Teixell et al., 2016)

The large number of geophysical data obtained along the profiles crossing the
Pyrenees allows interpreting the structure of the north-dipping subducting Iberian
crust beneath the European crust. The deepest crust is localized under the Central
and Western Pyrenees, reaching depths over 40 km and showing an abrupt
thinning approximately beneath the trace at surface of the NPF, passing from 45
km beneath the Axial zone to 28-30 km beneath the Aquitanian Basin. Before
compression, the boundary between Iberia and Europe during the early Late
Cretaceous times was located along the North Pyrenean strike-slip fault system
that could be inherited from the Variscan orogeny (e.g., Arthaud and Matte, 1975;
Choukroune and Mattauer, 1978; Olivet, 1996). The compressive structure of the
easternmost Pyrenees initiated during the Late Cretaceous and possibly ended
during the Oligocene–early Miocene (Vergés et al., 2002). This lack of time record
for the end of compressive deformation is explained, in large part, because the
present configuration of the NE Iberian Peninsula derives from the overprinting of
the extensional opening of the Gulf of Lion and the Valencia Trough during the
Neogene and Quaternary evolution of the Western Mediterranean (e.g., Lewis et al.,
2000).
Two almost orthogonal systems of extensional faults intersect in the study area: a late Oligocene–middle Miocene NE-SW trending system that cuts the Catalan Coastal Ranges and a late Miocene to Present NNW-SSE directed system along the Transverse Ranges. These two fault systems produce a remarkable uplift along their common footwall, raising the Montseny Massif and the entire Eocene-Oligocene foreland basin defining a large wavelength syncline with a W- to WSW-plunge (Lewis et al., 2000). These transverse faults, from which the Amer-Brugent is the most important, are still active and characterized by a low magnitude but regular seismicity (Giménez et al., 1996).

Thin lithospheric mantle underlies onshore eastern Iberia at three main localities characterized by crustal and lithospheric thinning, high topography, and asthenospheric volcanism: in NE Iberia, on the southern flank of the Valencia Trough, and in SE Iberia (Vergés and Fernández, 2006). In NE Iberia thinning was more intense in the lithospheric mantle than in the crust, producing uplift and volcanism (Cabal and Fernández, 1995). The Olot alkaline basaltic volcanic province, in NE Iberia, is associated to the large Transverse fault system with radiometric ages that are progressively younger towards the W and WSW from 14 to 0.011 Ma (Martí et al., 1992; Saula et al., 1996; Bolós et al., 2015). The westward migration of both extension and volcanism into the NE corner of Iberia could be due to thinning of mantle lithosphere and coeval migration of a thermal anomaly starting at least during the late mid-Miocene times and still active (Lewis et al., 2000; Bolós et al., 2015). Although more difficult to quantify, the present-day configuration of the innermost part of the Pyrenees was also affected by the Neogene extensional system triggering uplift of large isolated massifs along the
easternmost termination of the mountain chain (Canigó Massif at 2784 m, Albera Massif at 1256 m and Cap de Creus at around 500 m of elevation). The presence of such elevations in this area where moderate crustal depths range between 30 and 25 km are documented suggests some degree of dynamic and/or thermal topographic support.

3 Previous geophysical results

One of the first and most relevant insights on the seismic structure of the Pyrenees resulted from the two E-W wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles acquired in the late 1970s throughout the northern and southern domains of the chain, providing the first velocity-depth models along the Pyrenees (Gallart et al., 1980, Daignières et al., 1981). Those results showed a crustal root beneath the central and western Pyrenees, with a significant thickness difference of the Iberian and Eurasian crusts. This root was later confirmed by vertical reflection profiles acquired during the ECORS experiment (ECORS-Pyrenees Team, 1988; Choukroune and ECORS Team., 1989) and by studies using receiver functions from teleseismic data recorded at permanent and temporal broad-band stations deployed across the Pyrenees (Díaz et al., 2012; Chevrot et al., 2015; Mancilla and Diaz, 2015). In addition, results based on RFs suggest that near the western termination of the Pyrenees, the Iberian and Eurasian Mohos overlap for few tens of kilometres (Díaz et al., 2012). The full-waveform inversion of data recorded along a profile crossing the western Pyrenees (Wang et al., 2016) has recently evidenced the presence of mantle intrusions reaching the uppermost part of the crust in the western Pyrenees.
Since the early contributions of Gallart et al. (1980) and Daignières et al. (1981) it has been shown that an important crustal thinning does occur beneath the Eastern termination of the chain. Fan profiles across the chain recorded during the same pioneering experiment (Hirn et al., 1980) evidenced the significant difference in Moho depths across the eastern termination of the Pyrenees. Later on, Gallart et al (2001) used onshore/offshore seismic profiles to investigate the deep crustal features at the transition from the Pyrenean range to the Gulf of Lion. The results show that this area is marked by a continued crustal thinning seaward that starts onshore. The authors concluded that the Neogene extensional tectonics associated to the opening of the Provençal basin and the anti-clockwise rotation of the Corsica-Sardinia block (Gueguen et al., 1998) together with the Upper Miocene-Recent active extension (Lewis et al., 2000) dominates the transition from the Pyrenean domain of NE Iberian Peninsula to the adjacent Mediterranean margin. Mancilla and Diaz (2015) obtained a crustal depth map of the westernmost Mediterranean region from the estimation of crustal thickness beneath the stations of the TopoIberia-Iberarray experiment. Diaz et al. (2016) integrated those results with Moho depths estimations from deep seismic sounding profiles to build an integrated crustal thickness map with a lateral resolution around 60 km, showing a step thinning of the crust between 1.8°E and 2.4°E followed by a more gradual thinning till the coastline. Dashed red line in Figure 2 shows the 35 km Moho depth isoline in this map, illustrating the area affected by significant crustal thickening. A recent S-wave tomography model (Palomeras et al., 2017) confirms the Moho depth variation underneath the Eastern Pyrenees. P-wave tomography (Chevrot et al. 2014) consistently shows low seismic velocities at lithospheric levels (50-150 km) beneath the thinned crust area in NE Iberia east of the Têt fault.
The earthquake distribution in the eastern Pyrenees-NE Iberia area (Figure 2b) shows a different pattern north and south of the axial zone. In the southern side, the seismicity is located in a rather continuous band reaching 2.5°E. Further east, the seismicity is scarce and concentrated in a couple of small patches in the Empordà Basin and the Gulf of Roses. A more important cluster is located further South, in the area where the Transverse Ranges and the Catalan Coastal Ranges intersect. In the northern side of the Pyrenees, seismicity is scarce west of 1.5°E and increases eastward along a zone located between 42.6°N and 43°N extending till the Gulf of Lion.

Bouguer anomaly maps (e.g. Ayala et al., 2016) show a negative anomaly reaching -200 mGals beneath the Axial Zone at longitudes of 1.5°E to 2°E. Further East, Bouguer anomaly increases to reach values of 50-70 mGals near the shoreline (Figure 2c). It is worthy to note that the large positive anomalies identified in the western and central part of the Pyrenees (Mauléon, Saint-Gaudens, Lourdes) are not observed in the eastern zone. Gravity data was first studied by Casas et al. (1997), already stating that the easternmost Pyrenees have a crustal structure clearly different from its central sector. Rivero et al (2002) derived a crustal thickness map of the eastern Pyrenees from gravity data using empirical relationships and spectral analysis. This map shows a nearly monotone decrease of the Moho depth, from 44 km in Andorra to 23 in the Cap de Creus. Later on, Gunnell et al. (2008) analyzed gravity data altogether with geoid anomalies, topography and heat flow to derive a similar map. More recently, Torne et al (2015) obtained a crustal thickness map at the scale of the Iberian Peninsula from
the joint inversion of geoid and elevation, also depicting the crustal thinning in the Eastern Pyrenees.

4 Data acquisition and processing

4.1 Receiver Function data

The objective of the RF methodology is to isolate the P-S conversions generated at first order velocity discontinuities located beneath the station by deconvolving the vertical component from the horizontal components in the time window including the first P arrival of teleseismic events and its coda. It is assumed that vertical component deconvolution removes the signature of the source time function and the possible source-side and deep-earth propagation effects. Although this hypothesis is only true in the case of a laterally homogenous and isotropic media, it has been proved that RF can also be useful to investigate the crustal structure in zones with dipping layers and/or anisotropy (Lombardi et al., 2008).

The Eastern Pyrenees PYROPE deployment involved 28 broad-band stations spaced by 7-10 km and acquiring data during a 15 months period (4/2015 – 6/2016). Data from permanent stations from the IGN (Instituto Geográfico Nacional, 1999), ICGC (Institut Cartogràfic i Geològic de Catalunya, 2000) and RESIF (RESIF, 1995) networks at convenient locations have been gathered to complete the profiles. For these stations, 2 complete years of data have been analyzed (2015-2016). The NNE-SSW profile was recorded by 18 portable stations and 3 permanent sites. The remaining 10 stations were deployed along the E-W profile, extended eastwards by three permanent stations (Figures 1 and 2). The first step in data processing was to select teleseismic events with magnitude
higher than 5.5, epicentral distances between 35° and 95° and clear P arrivals. We then calculated the corresponding RF by frequency domain deconvolution (Langston, 1979) of the vertical component from the horizontal components in the time window corresponding to the P arrival and its coda. The deconvolution was performed using the classical “pwaveq” software (Ammon, 1997). As we are interested in the crustal convertors, we use a high value of the low-pass gaussian filter parameters to preserve the high frequency content of the signals and hence allow a better delineation of the crustal discontinuities. After several trials, we selected a value of 10 for this parameter, equivalent to pulse width around 0.5 s and cut-off frequency around 5 Hz. A standard value of 0.05 is used as water level parameter to avoid numerical problems during deconvolution. An automatic workflow has been implemented to calculate RFs, retaining only those signals with large signal-to-noise ratio. In a second step, the selected events have been visually inspected in order to discard unclear records. The number of retained events ranges between 15 and 30 for the temporary stations and reaches a mean value close to 50 for the permanent stations included in the profiles. In order to provide a better depth resolution in the crustal structures and to get insights on possible intracrustal converters, we have tested if the application of high-pass filters to the data prior to deconvolution provides or not satisfactory results. This approach appears to be effective but requires to discard events with dominant low frequency content. After testing different values, a high-pass filter with a corner frequency of 1 Hz has been used to calculate the RFs used to construct the stacks.

The depth to the Moho discontinuity has been first analyzed using the H-κ method (Chevrot and Van Der Hilst, 2000; Zhu and Kanamori, 2000) (Figure 3). This
approach, widely used nowadays, considers a mean crustal velocity and estimates the arrival time of the Ps, PpPs and PsPs phases for each given combination of H and \( \kappa \) (Vp/Vs). The amplitude of the real RFs at those times is then evaluated in the \((H, \kappa)\) parameter space, and the presence of a well-defined maximum in the stacking surface defines the preferred solution for each station. In cases of weak Moho conversion or low energy of the multiples, this method can produce undefined results. To check their consistency, the crustal depth has also been estimated by picking the P-to-S arrival time in the summation trace obtained after applying a Ps-moveout correction to the reference ray parameter of 0.065 s/km and converting to depth using the average Vp/Vs ratio from the application of H-\( \kappa \) stacking method to the rest of the stations and a mean crustal velocity fixed at 6.3 km/s. It has been shown that variations of +/- 0.1 km/s in Vp result in changes of +/- 0.6 km in the Moho depth, making the choice of the reference Vp value not a critical issue (Mohsen et al., 2005; Nair et al., 2006). Table 1 summarizes the results derived from this approach.

In a second approach, the RFs are stacked using a simple form of migration (Jones and Phinney, 1998) to obtain images of the lithosphere in depth domain (Figures 4 and 5). RFs are traced back along their raypaths using a 1D velocity model, the amplitudes contributing to each cell of the model are stacked and the data is projected along a profile. Therefore, the amplitude contrasts in the final images depict the zones where incoming waves suffer P-to-S conversions. In the pseudo-migrated sections red colors represent conversions on interfaces with an increase of seismic velocity with depth (e.g. Moho). Accordingly, blue colors are for conversions generated at interfaces above low velocity zones. Applying a high-pass
filter to the data prior to deconvolution allows us to obtain thinner pulse widths and hence better delineated crustal interfaces. On the other hand, excessively high-pass filtered data results in inconsistent and patchy images which do not provide useful information. The vertical resolution of the RFs is controlled by the wavelength of the P-to-S converted phase, which depends on the spectral content of the recorded signal and propagation velocity (e.g. Sheriff and Geldart 1982). As the RFs have been calculated at frequencies above 1 Hz, assuming a typical S-wave velocity close to 3.5 km/s means that the vertical resolution will not be better than 3-4 km. We have checked the effect of the velocity model in the building of the stacked section by calculating the same section using the ak135 model for continental structure (Kennett et al., 1995), including a 2-layered, 35 km thick crust. The aspect of the image is very similar, although the convertors appear 2-3 km deeper. This variation is smaller than the estimated resolution of our data and hence we must conclude that the use of different realistic crustal velocity models do not affect the interpretation of the results. The interpretation of these sections will be discussed in section 5.

4.2 Record sections obtained from local events

During the recording time of the PYROPE profiles, three events with local magnitudes ranging between 2.5 and 4.3 were located in the zone (Figure 2a). The largest one had its epicenter in the Gulf of Roses, close to the eastern end of our E-W profile. The other two were located in the central part of the East-West profile, close to its intersection with the NNE-SSW profile. The occurrence of these events during the deployment of the stations has provided a unique opportunity to process the data following the approach typically used in controlled source wide-
angle reflection/refraction experiments in order to get information on the velocity/depth structure in the zone.

In a first step, the events have been relocated by manually picking arrival times both in the profiles stations and in the neighbor permanent ones. The best results were achieved using Hypo71 to invert the arrival times of P and S phases using only the closest stations. Final locations and origin times are in good agreement with the catalogue provided by the different agencies, with differences below 1.8 km. (Table 2). In a second step, the signals from each event were extracted from our database and the traces arranged to build record sections with a reduction velocity of 6 km/s. Following the classical procedure, the arrival times on those record section were picked and identified as Pg, PcP and PmP phases in order to obtain a velocity/depth model using Rayinvr (Zelt, 1992), a classical ray-path based modeling method.

The NNE-SSW profile has a length of 180 km and is imaged using waves from events C1 and C2, both located in its central sector. These two events are not fully aligned along the profile but lay 17 km west and 12 km east respectively. Event C2 shows direct waves at offsets up to 100 km, both in the south and north directions (Figure 6a). These phases, interpreted as being refracted within the basement and labeled Pg, propagate with apparent velocities of 5.5-6.0 km/s and 6.0 km/s to the south and north respectively. A weak secondary phase arriving later has been identified at offsets of 55-90 km to the north. This phase can be interpreted as the wide-angle reflection at the top of the lower crust (PcP) or, alternatively, as a reflection at the base of the crust (PmP). Event C1 (Supplementary Figure S1)
provide similar features, with PcP/PmP arrivals in the 75-90 km range to the north (Figure 6a) which are not observed along the southern sector of the profile.

The EW profile, approximately 150 km long, has been sampled by waves from the three investigated events, which are almost exactly aligned with the profile. Events C1 and C2 are located near the center of the profile, while event C3 lies at the western end of the line, allowing to record signals at large offsets sampling the base of the crust. The record section built from event C1 (Supplementary Figure S2) allows us to identify the Pg phase at offsets up to 60 km, both in the W and E sectors, with apparent velocity close to 6 km/s. To the East, a second arrival can be identified in the 50-100 km offset range and has been tentatively identified as a PcP. Event C2, with lower magnitude, only allows us to identify Pg arrivals at shorter distances (Supplementary Figure S2). The record section built from event C3 provides evidences of three distinct phase arrivals (Figure 6b). Pg waves with apparent velocity close to 6 km/s are identified at ranges -10/-80 km. Later on, a second arrival with higher apparent velocity is identified between -90 and -140 km and interpreted as a PcP phase. Finally, a high energetic later phase has been identified between -60 and -140 km, interpreted as a wide-angle reflection at the base of the crust, PmP.

The records along the NNE-SSW profile of the magnitude 4.3 event labeled C3 and located in the Mediterranean coast allowed us to construct a fan profile sampling the crust structure eastwards of the profile (Figure 6c). Most of the stations (FP05-FP13) with clear arrivals are located at distances ranging between 86 and 74 km and backazimuths between N260°E and N320°E (N100°W, N40°W). As discussed
later, the phases show large differences in their arrival times which results from complex Moho geometry.

5 Interpretation of the results

5.1 RFs migrated profiles

a) NNE-SSW profile

The results from the H-κ method show a clear Moho in the southern segment of the profile, with a depth close to 35 km at station FP01 and gently dipping north reaching a depth of 38 km beneath station FP07 (Figure 3a). To the north of this station, the central segment of the profile, sampling the Axial Zone and the NPTS, the results are less clear, as H-κ stacking does not show clear correlation maximum to be attributed to the Moho conversion (see FP09 in Figure 3a). North of the NPTS (station FP18 in Figure 3b), the H-κ are again well defined, showing a subhorizontal Moho, with a depth close to 28 km.

The stacked record section along this profile (Figure 4) shows a Moho differentiated in up to 4 segments. For most of the stations, the crustal depth estimations arising from the H-κ analysis (white circles in Figure 4) agree nicely with the stacked record section, with differences within the estimated vertical resolution. The southern edge of the RF stacked section shows a well-defined Moho located at 35 km depth and gently dipping to the north to reach 37 km beneath station FP07. The central segment of the transect corresponds at surface to the southern-vergent Axial Zone and the NPTS and shows a higher degree of complexity. The Moho appears here as a segmented reflector; beneath stations CBRU and FP08, the Moho is identified at around 40 km depth, following the gentle
north-dipping observed beneath the southern sector. Further north, the pseudo-migrated image built using high frequency RFs suggests that the Moho is formed by two different segments gently dipping north (stations FP09-FP12 and FP13-FP15 in Figure 4). These segments, separated by sharp steps, stand 5-7 km higher than the adjacent segment to the south and are interpreted as smaller continental blocks located between the Iberian and Eurasian plates. As we are using high frequency RFs, we need to confirm that the segmented image of the Moho beneath the Axial Zone do not result from spurious effects of small-scale lateral variations in the shallower velocity structure. In order to do so, we have recalculated RFs using a more conventional 2 Hz Gaussian filter, as used previously for other PYROPE profiles (Chevrot et al., 2018). The corresponding NNE-SSW stacked profile (Supplementary Figure S3) provides a more blurred image, but the presence of a couple of discontinuous Moho segments is still clearly visible. Several convertors are identified within the uppermost 20 km of crust. Their interpretation is problematical due to the lack of consistency between neighboring stations and the possible contribution of reverberations. The northern segment of the profile, from FP15 to FP18, shows the base of the European crust as a subhorizontal convertor located at 30 km depth, although both the H-K method and the stacked section suggest that its southern end (station FP15) is slightly more superficial. Above and subparallel to the European Moho there is a consistent convertor at about 20 km depth that, according to previous models from active source seismic profiles, can be interpreted as the top boundary of the lower crust.

Therefore, this transect across the eastern Pyrenees is clearly different from those across the western and central Pyrenees presented in Chevrot et al. (2015), where
the Iberian crust appears to be imbricated with the Eurasian one and only two
Moho can be differentiated. The Iberian segment on those sections shows a typical
lower plate geometry reaching depths of 50-55 km and developing the most
important flexural foreland basin. Above it, the position and subhorizontal dip of
the European Moho displays an upper plate position. The new results in the
eastern Pyrenees show a different Moho configuration, with 4 different segments
and no indications of a large flexure of the Iberian crust.

b) E-W profile

The E-W profile is obliquely crossing the main compressive tectonic units in the
southern Pyrenees. The profile is located on the Axial Zone basement from its
western end (permanent station ARBS) to station FP26, located near the contact
between the Axial Zone and the Cadí thrust sheet (Vergés, 1993; Vergés et al.,
1995). From FP26 to permanent station CBEU, the profile is subparallel to the Cadí
thrust sheet carrying early-middle Eocene foreland basin sequences above the
Vallfogona thrust that is cropping out, together with the Albanyà Fault, near the
permanent station CBEU. From this station to the east, the profile crosses the
Neogene Empordà Basin masking the SW-vergent Figueres-Montgrí thrust sheets
overprinted by the NW-SE trending sets of Neogene normal faults (Figure 1)
(Lewis et al., 2000; Pujadas et al., 1989).

The H-κ examples along this profile, shown at Figure 3b, show a great variability of
the Moho depth. To the West, station FP21 shows a thick crust, with a well-defined
Moho located close to 50 km. Moving East, station FP28 shows again a clear Moho,
but with a depth close to 32 km, hence evidencing a significant crustal thinning.

Results for the permanent stations at the eastern edge of the profile (EJON and
CPAL) confirm this eastward thinning, with Moho depths located at only 26 km near the Mediterranean coast.

The corresponding RF stacking image (Figure 5) allows a continuous visualization of this lateral variation in crustal thickness. As in the previous case, there is a good agreement between the crustal depth estimations from the H-κ analysis and the stacked section. The western termination of this transect, sampled by the ARBS permanent station, shows a rather complex image, with multiple positive convertors at crustal levels. Although the identification of the conversions is unclear, for consistency with the results compiled in Diaz et al. (2016) and those obtained along the eastern profile in Chevrot et al. (2015), we favor the interpretation relating the Iberian Moho to the deeper of the observed convertors, located at 43 km depth.

Further East, the image beneath sites FP20-FP23, is still rather complex, as two convertors located around 30-35 km and 40-45 km depth can be related to the Moho. However, we favor a model with a Moho located around 40 km depth, as it provides a better continuity along the profile and is more consistent with previous models (Diaz et al., 2016). At upper crustal levels, roughly between 5 and 10 km depth, a strong negative convertor is imaged beneath sites FP22 and FP23, with an offset of a couple of kilometers between them. These two sites are located on each side of the Têt fault, that delimits the eastern boundary of the Cerdanya basin. As in the case of the NS transect, this feature can be interpreted as evidence for a low seismic velocity zone that might be associated to the Têt fault, although, as in the previous case, denser acquisition will be needed to settle this point. Below this feature, a positive convertor can be followed east and west of FP23 at different depths (12 vs. 8-10 km). Models derived from the analysis of wide-angle
reflection/refraction models (Gallart et al., 1980) have shown a velocity change at this level, interpreted as the bottom of the crystalline basement. Therefore, the high frequency RFs suggest that a change in the basement depth does occur beneath the approximate trace of the Têt fault beneath the Axial Zone of the Pyrenees.

To the East of station FP23, the transect has a clearly different character. The Moho discontinuity shows a rather smooth crustal thinning, with a depth varying from around 40 km beneath station CBRU to values slightly above 20 km beneath the Mediterranean coastline. Two prominent convertors can be observed at station CBEU, the upper one located at 22 km depth and the lower one, interpreted as the Moho, located around 30 km depth. Station EJON seems to show a complex Moho, but this could be related to out-of-line effects when projecting the results of this station, located some tens of km northward of the main profile (see Figure 1).

Within the crust, a convertor deepening to the east can be identified rather consistently, going from 18 km beneath FP24, to 20 km beneath stations CBRU and FP25, 25 km beneath stations FP26 and FP27 and connecting with the strong convertor at 22 km beneath CBEU. Although the image has to be taken with caution, it can be interpreted as evidencing a strong thinning of the lower crust, that would hence accommodate a large part of the total crustal thinning.

5.2 Wide angle reflection/refraction profiles

Active seismic profiles are typically interpreted using forward modeling or inversion approaches allowing to infer a velocity-depth model accounting for the phase arrivals observed at all the available shots records (record sections). Usual experiments using controlled sources are designed to obtain record sections with
optimized data density and distance range. The approach here presented, using natural seismic events, does not allow us to infer detailed velocity-depth models. However, the interpretation of natural seismicity data in terms of wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles is still useful, as it provides an independent criterion to validate or not the large-scale features derived from the RFs profiles.

a) NNE-SSW profile

As described in section 3.2, two phases have been identified in the NNE-SSW record section, tentatively ascribed to a refracted wave within the basement (Pg) and to a reflected wave at the top of the lower crust (PcP) or at the base of the crust (PmP). In order to model those phases, the proposed velocity-depth model (Figure 7a) includes two discontinuities, one at mid-crust level and the second one corresponding to the Moho and fixed to fit the regional Moho depth maps (Diaz et al., 2016; Mancilla and Diaz, 2015). The velocity in the upper part of the crust is controlled by the Pg arrivals and has been modeled by a gradient from 5.7 km/s in the uppermost part to 6.4 km/s in the deeper part, with a mean value close to 6.1 km/s. The second phase must be modeled as a reflection at an intracrustal discontinuity (PcP), located at about 20 km depth and well-constrained in two segments of the profile (black lines in Figure 7a). Although the control on the velocity of the lower layer is scarce, values typically corresponding to lower crust (6.7 - 6.9 km/s) are consistent with the observations. Regarding the Moho topography, no constraints are provided by this record section. As shown in Figure 7a (bottom panel), the location of the proposed mid-crust reflector is coincident with the convertors observed in the central section of the RF transect at depths ranging between 15 and 20 km. The RF-derived Moho is consistent with the
geometry inferred from the late 70s wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles
running west-to-east along the northern and southern sides of the chain (Gallart et
al., 1981; Daignières et al., 1981), shown as blue segments at Figure 7a (lower
panel). It is worthy to note that using data from the same experiment, Hirn et al
(1980) recorded a controlled source fan profile sampling a zone close to the
Pyrope N-S transect. Their results show clear north-south variations in crustal
thickness, with a Moho around 40 km beneath the Axial Zone, a 10 km shift
beneath the NPF and a northward deepening along the NPTS, from 28 km near the
NPF to 34 km northwards and raising again to reach 30 km at the northern end of
the line. Although not fully consistent with the new data, both datasets coherently
show a patched geometry for the Moho discontinuity beneath the eastern
termination of the Pyrenees.

b) E-W profile

Event C1 is located near station CPAL, at the eastern edge of the profile. This has
allowed to record the signal at offsets up to 140 km, better sampling the whole
crust. Three different phases, tentatively interpreted as Pg, PcP and PmP, have
been identified in the record sections (Figure 6b). In order to account for these
observations, we have used a three-layered model, with upper and lower crusts
separated by a velocity discontinuity and overlying an homogeneous mantle
(Figure 7b). The geometry of the Moho in the initial model has been derived from
RFs results and hence include a gentle shallowing to the east. The Pg phases
refracted within the upper crust have been modeled with a velocity gradient
increasing from 5.8 km/s near the surface to 6.4 km/s at the base of the layer. In
order to match the arrivals from the three events in a consistent model, the mid-
crustal discontinuity must include some lateral depth variations; beneath the eastern part of the area effectively sampled by the data (black lines in the lower panel of Figure 7b), the discontinuity is located at 17 km, while beneath the central segment of the transect, its depth reaches 20 km. The velocity of the lower crust is here better constrained than in the previous case, as PcP and PmP phases are available. A value of 6.7-6.8 km/s is retained in the final model (Figure 7b). The observed PmP time arrivals are consistent with the theoretical ones calculated using the Moho topography derived from RFs, with a crustal thickness of 26-27 km near the east of the line to 30-32 km beneath station FP27.

c) Fan profile

The recording geometry of the 29/10/2015 Gulf of Roses event provides a large number of records at very similar distances (74-86 km) sampling different azimuths. The E-W record section discussed above shows that at distances around 80 km, the first arrival is a PcP phase, followed 0.5 s later by the more energetic PmP arrivals. Assuming that reflections do occur at the mid-point between source and receiver, this fan profile is expected to investigate a limited area located south of station CBEU, close to the limit between the Axial and southern Pyrenees zones (Figure 6c).

This fan profile evidences a large time jump of 0.4 s between the PmP arrivals at stations FP08 and FP09, reaching 0.8 s for stations located further north. Assuming an homogeneous crust with a 6.3 km/s mean velocity, a difference around 3 km in crustal depth at the location where rays are reflected (assumed to be the geometric midpoint) is required to explain this 0.4 s lag. Hereof, between the reflection zone,
located near station CBEU, the limit between Iberian and Eurasian crust is still marked by a crustal thickness variation of close to 3 km, clearly smaller than those observed further west by Hirn et al. (1980) and consistent with the image of a segmented Moho deduced from the NNE-SSW RF stacked section. Therefore, this fan profile allows us to extend eastwards the area imaged seismically and prove that at longitudes around 2.8ºE, close to the location of the Canigó Massif, the transition between the Iberian and European crusts still shows a certain degree of complexity, including minor, but not negligible changes in crustal depth.

6 Discussion

The eastern Pyrenees compressive structure along the NNE-SSW profile is well documented in several balanced and restored cross-sections that show a complete preservation of the main tectonic domains (Grool et al., 2018; Vergés et al., 1995). These are, from north to south: the Aquitaine basin, the North Pyrenean thrust system, the Axial Zone, the South Pyrenean thrust system and the Ebro foreland basin. The NNE-SSW profile is located close to the west of the area dominated by extensional faults where the crust begins to thin eastwards. This is corroborated by the results of the E-W profile, which shows a gentle thinning towards the east that becomes stronger east of FP25 station (Figure 5), and by the tectonic map, which shows a large normal fault system involving the NE corner of Iberia and the adjacent regions of SE France (e.g., Lewis et al., 2000; Vergés and Fernàndez, 2006) (Figure 1). The relatively thick crust along the entire profile, the north-dipping geometry of the Iberian Moho and the location of its two short northern segments underneath the European Moho suggest a preserved compressive geometry. However, we can not be conclusive on this point as the profile crosses obliquely
through the main regional Oligocene-Miocene extensive structure, the Têt Fault limiting the La Seu, the La Cerdanya, the Conflent and the Perpinyà basins. Yet, if the observed structure of the crust still represents the preserved compressive structure as in the central and western profiles (Chevrot et al, 2015, 2018), the discontinuity of the Moho segments, their apparent imbrication and the lack of a large underthrusting of Iberia below Europe suppose a challenging problem to solve. In the following, the existing geological information available for the eastern Pyrenees is integrated with the observed geometry of the Moho to propose a model to interpret this conundrum.

The eastern Pyrenees, according to plate tectonic models, show the greatest separation of about 135 km between Iberia and Europe decreasing progressively towards the west just before the onset of the convergence at 83.5 Ma (Macchiavelli et al., 2017). The maximum crustal shortening amounts have been estimated at 75-80 km for the western segment of the orogen (Teixell et al., 1998) and 95-150 km in the central Pyrenees (Mouthereau et al., 2014, Muñoz, 1992). To the East, where a larger shortening should be expected, the available geological information has allowed to justify only 111-125 km of shortening (Vergés et al., 1995, Grool et al., 2018). These apparent mismatches between the values of continental separation and shortening, as well as the partitioned Moho structure along the eastern profile, could be resolved by the existence of strips of Aptian-Albian exhumed upper mantle. Numerous multidisciplinary studies integrating tectonics, thermal metamorphism, sedimentation, thermochronology, and numerical modeling have documented the existence of an hyperextended domain in the central and western part of the Pyrenees (e.g., Brune et al., 2017; Pérez-Gussinyé, 2013; Jammes et al. 2009; Clerc and Lagabrielle, 2014; Lagabrielle et al. 2010) likewise along the
western margin of Iberia (e.g., Pérez-Gussinyé, 2013). These strips of exhumed mantle are constrained by an almost continuous belt of thermal metamorphism affecting Cretaceous sedimentary successions and by the abundant outcrops of lherzolites. However, the discontinuous and small size outcrops of these upper mantle rocks make difficult to reconstruct the original width of the mantle exhumed domains. Recent balanced and restored cross-sections across the Pyrenees include mantle exhumed domains between Iberia and Europe before the onset of convergence (e.g., de Saint Blanquat et al., 2016; Grool et al., 2018; Masini et al., 2014; Moutereau et al., 2014; Teixell et al., 2018; Tugend et al., 2014).

Comparing the eastern part of the Pyrenees with the central and western parts of the range, we can note that the distance between margins at the onset of the convergence was larger in the east, the estimated values of shortening are similar or even lower in the east and that the present-day structure of the Moho in the Eastern Pyrenees is segmented, slightly dipping to the north, and does not show evidences of significant overthrusting of the European crust above the Iberia, unlike the central and western Pyrenees. The new concepts on highly extended margins including exhumed mantle domains provide a good explanation for the short and multiple Mohos segments observed beneath the Eastern Pyrenees. Although the tectonic behavior of exhumed mantle during compression is difficult to preserve in the fossil record, it may have worked as in the southern margin of the Bay of Biscay, where the Iberian hyperextended crust overthrusted to the north the highly serpentinized exhumed mantle tectonic domain (e.g., Tugend et al., 2014).
The pre-shortening configuration proposed to match the dissimilar crustal scale structure observed in the NNE-SSW profile for the eastern Pyrenees is based on the work by Clerc et al. (2015). Their geological model includes two deep basins basins separating the Iberian and European margins from a central continental block corresponding to the North Pyrenean massif. The two basins show HT/LP metamorphism and would be floored by either a thin crust or directly by upper mantle rocks. The collision between the Iberian plate, the North Pyrenean massif block and the European plate, each with a different Moho, could reproduce the segmented Mohos observed in the NNE-SSW profile, assuming that the thin crust and the upper mantle would have been mostly consumed by underthrusting during the convergence. It is important, however, to be aware that there is a significant decoupling between the outcropping structures defined by Clerc et al. (2015), that were tectonically transported to the north in the hangingwall of the north Pyrenean thrust system, and the position of the different segments of the Moho as imaged in the NNE-SSW seismic profile. Despite these shortcomings, we propose a configuration of the margins of the eastern Pyrenees characterized by one or two large and thick continental blocks separating narrow and deep basins floored by very thin continental crust or exhumed mantle rocks. The different basement massifs outcropping along the northern side of the eastern Pyrenees would be consistent with this model.

Crustal thinning observed in E-W Profile clearly shows the overprint of the Neogene extension in the NE corner of Iberia (Figure 1). This crustal thinning occurred by the combination of surficial erosion linked to the uplift of the footwall of the Transverse Fault system and by stretching of the crust at depth. Two large
areas of NE Iberia show 1.2-2.0 km of footwall related uplift linked to the Oligocene–early Miocene activity of the Catalan Coastal Fault system and to the late Miocene–Quaternary extension of the Transverse Fault system as determined by Lewis et al. (2000). The Amer-Brugent fault has a tectonic displacement of 1.0-1.4 km and its footwall shows a preserved flexural topography that extends about 15 km to the west of the fault scarp (Saula et al., 1994). The geological map of this region also shows westward bedding dips of Eocene foreland basin deposits for tens of km away from the Transverse Fault system indicating the large-scale uplift and exhumation of its footwall (ICC, 1989). In addition to the surficial erosion, the E-W Profile shows a seemingly progressive thinning of the crust in depth and does not seem visibly affected by the Transverse Fault system (Figure 5). We propose that observed crustal thinning could result from the progressive thinning and pinch out of the lower crust under the Transverse Fault system east of FP28 station.

7 Conclusions

The new data and methodological approaches used in this contribution have allowed to show the complex crustal structure along the NNE-SSW profile crossing the eastern part of the Pyrenees, including a gently north-dipping Moho beneath the Iberia foreland and a subhorizontal and slightly thinner Eurasian crust. Beneath the Axial Zone, the Moho is divided into segments dipping northwards and showing a stepwise geometry. This configuration is clearly different from the image obtained previously further west, where the Iberian Moho shows a continuous north-dipping attitude and its northern edge is clearly located beneath the European Moho. The integration of surficial geology and Moho structure at
depth seems to indicate that the compressive structures are still preserved along the NNE-SSW profile, which is not or only slightly affected by Neogene extension. The Têt Fault cuts the upper crust but there are no evidence suggesting that this fault affects the deeper crustal regions.

The E-W profile shows a significant crustal thinning from 45 km in the west, beneath the Axial Zone, to 22 km in the east, already in the Neogene Empordà Basin. The observed crustal thinning is related to the Oligocene-Miocene western Mediterranean evolution and occurred by the combination of Neogene crustal thinning, at the level of the lower crust, and >2.5 km of regional westwards tilt and exhumation in the footwall of the Transverse Fault system.

Wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles built using natural seismicity events are consistent with the results derived from RFs analysis, hence providing an independent confirmation of those results. The most prominent phase along the NNE-SSW profile correspond to a mid-crust reflector located near 20 km depth. The E-W profile allow to identify a mid-crust reflector near 20 km and also reflections at the base of the crust, consistent with the crustal thickness estimations from RFs results. The interpretation of the results from a fan profile shows that at longitudes around 2.8ºE, close to the Canigó Massif, a significant jump in the Moho depth can still be observed. This area, showing significant changes in topography, gravity and seismic tomography, can hence be considered as a major limit between a western domain, dominated by crustal imbrication and an eastern domain, where the Neogene extensional overprint is greater.
In order to explain the observed crustal geometry variations, we propose a model in which the observed segmented Moho structure beneath the eastern Pyrenees is interpreted as the result of a different Mesozoic pre-shortening margin architecture, configured by two small continental blocks, located between Iberia and Europe, and bounded by two basins with extremely thin crust or floored by exhumed mantle. Under this hypothesis, the convergence would have been accommodated by the consumption of the previously thinned crust or exhumed mantle and stopped when the continental blocks collided directly.
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Figure captions

Figure 1: Geotectonic map of the Eastern Pyrenees, including the main thrusts and faults. Permanent and temporary seismic stations here investigated are shown by white diamonds and squares respectively. Previous geological and geophysical transects over the region are depicted with red lines. The inset shows a geological sketch map of the Pyrenees chain and the location of the PYROPE high density profiles deployed since 2011.

Figure 2: a) PYROPE temporary broad band stations (white squares) and contributing permanent stations (orange diamonds) overlying a topographic map build using SRTM3 dataset. Blue stars denote the epicenters of the local events used in this study. Red dashed line shows the 35 km contour line of the Moho depth map in Diaz et al. (2016), hence limiting the area of thickened crust. b) Idem overlying a simplified geological map and the epicentral locations in the IGN catalogue for the period 2000-2017. Dot size is proportional to local magnitude (0.1 - 4.5) c) Idem overlying a Bouguer anomaly map built using the data compiled by Ayala et al. (2016)

Figure 3: H-κ analysis for representative stations along the NNE-SSW profile (a) and the EW profile (b). The areas with stacking function coefficient values exceeding 95% of the maximum are marked using bold ellipses. The geographical location of each site is shown in the corresponding map inset.
**Figure 4:** Pseudo-migrated section along the NNE-SSW profile. Contributing stations are indicated by grey triangles and labels. Dotted black line shows the proposed Moho geometry. White circles mark the crustal depths derived from the H-κ analysis.

**Figure 5:** Pseudo-migrated section of the EW profile. Contributing stations are indicated by grey triangles and labels. Dotted black line shows the proposed Moho geometry. White circles mark the crustal depth derived from the H-κ analysis.

**Figure 6:** a) Record section for event C2 recorded along the N-S profile. Red dots show the travel-times calculated using the theoretical model. b) idem for event C3 recorded along the E-W profile. c) Fan profile showing the C3 event recorded along stations located along the NNE-SSW profile. Green dashed lines show the arrivals corresponding to PmP, while orange dots show first arrivals. The grey circle in the inset map shows the area sampled by PmP reflections. All the record sections have been built using a 6 km/s reduction velocity and are band-pass filtered between 3 and 15 Hz. The epicenter of the event recorded in each case is shown by a blue star in the inset map.

**Figure 7:** Comparison between the RFs stacked sections and the models derived from wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles. Green lines are for the adjusted raypaths and provide information on the area sampled by data. Black lines show the reflectors segments sampled. Blue lines in a) show previously published Moho depths (Gallart et al., 1981, Daignières et al., 1981, Hirn et al., 1980) Those
segments are shown overprinting the RFs stacked section in the lower panels. a) NNE-SSW profile; b) EW profile.

**Table 1**: Crustal parameter estimations using the H-κ approach. Columns are for:

- Station name,
- Latitude,
- Longitude,
- Elevation,
- Number of retained RFs,
- Crustal thickness (km), and
- Vp/Vs.

**Table 2**: Hypocentral determinations from the ICGC and IGN agencies and relocations using portable instruments.
**Supplementary Materials**

**Suppl. Figure S1:** a) Record section for event C1 along the NNE-SSW profile. Red dots show the travel-times resulting from the theoretical model. b) idem for event C2 recorded along the same profile. All the record sections have been built using a 6 km/s reduction velocity and are band-pass filtered between 3 and 15 Hz. The epicenter of the event recorded in each case is shown by a blue star in the inset map.

**Suppl. Figure S2:** Record sections corresponding to events C1, C2 and C3 recorded along the E-W profile. Red dots show the travel-times resulting from the theoretical model. All the record sections have been built using a 6 km/s reduction velocity and are band-pass filtered between 3 and 15 Hz. The epicenter of the event recorded in each case is shown by a blue star in the inset map.

**Suppl. Figure S3:** a) Pseudo-migrated section along the NNE-SSW profile. Contributing stations are indicated by grey triangles and labels. Dotted black line shows the proposed Moho geometry. b) same section using RFs built using a classical 2 Hz Gaussian filter. Dotted lines in a) are included for comparison.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat</th>
<th>Long</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Elev</th>
<th>#RFs</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Vp/Vs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FP01</td>
<td>1.9772</td>
<td>41.7378</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP02</td>
<td>2.0979</td>
<td>41.8046</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP03</td>
<td>2.1118</td>
<td>41.8720</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORI</td>
<td>2.0487</td>
<td>41.9724</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP04</td>
<td>2.1085</td>
<td>42.0419</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP05</td>
<td>2.1311</td>
<td>42.0937</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP06</td>
<td>2.1198</td>
<td>42.1508</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP07</td>
<td>2.1641</td>
<td>42.2523</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBRU</td>
<td>2.1790</td>
<td>42.2844</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP08</td>
<td>2.1851</td>
<td>42.3419</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP09</td>
<td>2.2698</td>
<td>42.4205</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP10</td>
<td>2.3096</td>
<td>42.4779</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP11</td>
<td>2.3679</td>
<td>42.5329</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PILF</td>
<td>2.4182</td>
<td>42.5604</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP12</td>
<td>2.4538</td>
<td>42.6396</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP13</td>
<td>2.4616</td>
<td>42.7104</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP14</td>
<td>2.4692</td>
<td>42.7806</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP15</td>
<td>2.5175</td>
<td>42.8585</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP16</td>
<td>2.5338</td>
<td>42.9360</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP17</td>
<td>2.5484</td>
<td>43.0182</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP18</td>
<td>2.5903</td>
<td>43.1037</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARBS</td>
<td>1.5341</td>
<td>42.4342</td>
<td>2166</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP20</td>
<td>1.6654</td>
<td>42.4112</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP21</td>
<td>1.7575</td>
<td>42.3892</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP22</td>
<td>1.8326</td>
<td>42.3824</td>
<td>1091</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP23</td>
<td>1.9500</td>
<td>42.3406</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP24</td>
<td>2.0987</td>
<td>42.3194</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBRU</td>
<td>2.1790</td>
<td>42.2844</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP25</td>
<td>2.2209</td>
<td>42.3128</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP26</td>
<td>2.3797</td>
<td>42.3148</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP27</td>
<td>2.4508</td>
<td>42.2578</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP28</td>
<td>2.5656</td>
<td>42.2436</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBEU</td>
<td>2.6757</td>
<td>42.2556</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJON</td>
<td>2.8886</td>
<td>42.4487</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAL</td>
<td>3.1624</td>
<td>42.3105</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Crustal parameter estimations using the H-κ approach. Columns are for: Station name, Latitude, Longitude, Elevation, Number of retained RFs, crustal thickness (km) and Vp/Vs.
Table 2: Hypocentral determinations from the ICGC and IGN agencies and relocations using portable instruments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Lon</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Mag</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>18/11/15</td>
<td>11:10:01</td>
<td>42.3274</td>
<td>1.9623</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>IGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>18/11/15</td>
<td>11:10:00.6</td>
<td>42.33</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>ICGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>18/11/15</td>
<td>11:10:00.6</td>
<td>42.3213</td>
<td>1.9533</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>20/07/15</td>
<td>11:06:05</td>
<td>42.3024</td>
<td>2.3712</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>IGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>20/07/15</td>
<td>11:06:05.4</td>
<td>42.30</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ICGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>20/07/15</td>
<td>11:06:05.5</td>
<td>42.2955</td>
<td>2.3750</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>29/10/15</td>
<td>00:37:47</td>
<td>42.1954</td>
<td>3.1942</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>IGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>29/10/15</td>
<td>00:37:47.6</td>
<td>42.18</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>ICGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>29/10/15</td>
<td>00:37:47.6</td>
<td>42.1798</td>
<td>3.1643</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Geotectonic map of the Eastern Pyrenees, including the main thrusts and faults. Permanent and temporary seismic stations here investigated are shown by white diamonds and squares respectively. Previous geological and geophysical transects over the region are depicted with red lines. The inset shows a geological sketch map of the Pyrenees chain and the location of the PYROPE high-density profiles deployed since 2011. TrFS: Tranverse fault System, NPF: North Pyrenean Fault.
Figure 2: a) PYROPE temporary broad band stations (white squares) and contributing permanent stations (orange diamonds) overlying a topographic map build using SRTM3 dataset. Blue stars denote the epicenters of the local events used in this study. Red dashed line shows the 35 km contour line of the Moho depth map in Diaz et al. (2016), hence limiting the area of thickened crust. b) Idem overlying a simplified geological map and the epicentral locations in the IGN catalogue for the period 2000-2017. Dot size is proportional to local magnitude (0.1 - 4.5). c) Idem overlying a Bouguer anomaly map built using the data compiled by Ayala et al. (2016).
Figure 3: H-κ analysis for representative stations along the NNE-SSW profile (a) and the EW profile (b). The areas with stacking function coefficient values exceeding 95% of the maximum are marked using bold ellipses. The geographical location of each site is shown in the corresponding map inset.
Figure 4: Pseudo-migrated section along the NNE-SSW profile. Contributing stations are indicated by grey triangles and labels. Dotted black line shows the proposed Moho geometry. White circles mark the crustal depths derived from H-κ analysis.
Figure 5: Pseudo-migrated section of the EW profile. Contributing stations are indicated by grey triangles and labels. Dotted black line shows the proposed Moho geometry. White circles mark the crustal depth derived from H-κ analysis.
Fig. 6: a) Record section for event C2 recorded along the N-S profile. Red dots show the travel-times calculated using the theoretical model. b) idem for event C3 recorded along the E-W profile. c) Fan profile showing the C3 event recorded along stations located along the NNE-SSW profile. Green dashed lines show the arrivals corresponding to PmP, while orange dots show first arrivals. The grey circle in the inset map shows the area sampled by PmP reflections. All the record sections have been built using a 6 km/s reduction velocity and are band-pass filtered between 3 and 15 Hz. The epicenter of the event recorded in each case is shown by a blue star in the inset map.
**Figure 7:** Comparison between the RFs stacked sections and the models derived from wide-angle reflection/refraction profiles. Green lines are for the adjusted raypaths and provide information on the area sampled by data. Black lines show the reflectors segments sampled. Blue lines in a) show previously published Moho depths (Gallart et al., 1980, Daignières et al., 1981, Hirn et al., 1980) Those segments are shown overprinting the RFs stacked section in the lower panels. a) NNE-SSW profile; b) EW profile.
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