

1 **HYPERBARIC COLD STORAGE VERSUS CONVENTIONAL REFRIGERATION FOR**
2 **EXTENDING THE SHELF-LIFE OF HAKE LOINS**

3
4 L. Otero¹, M. Pérez-Mateos, and M. E. López-Caballero

5
6 Institute of Food Science, Technology and Nutrition (ICTAN-CSIC). c/ José Antonio Novais, 10,
7 28040 Madrid, Spain

8
9 **ABSTRACT**

10 Today, extending the shelf-life of fish, while retaining the organoleptic properties of the product,
11 is still a challenge. To compare the effectiveness of conventional and hyperbaric cold storage in
12 preserving fish quality, we stored Cape hake loins at 5 °C, both at atmospheric pressure and at
13 50 MPa. After 7 days of storage, microbial counts and total volatile basic-nitrogen content in
14 conventionally refrigerated samples exceeded the limits recommended for consumption. By
15 contrast, hyperbaric cold storage maintained these parameters unaltered, although it produced
16 drip losses close to 5% and increased the shear resistance and whiteness of the raw samples
17 by 44% and 9%, respectively. Nevertheless, after cooking, weight losses were less than half of
18 those of the control loins and whiteness differences disappeared. Consequently, the sensorial
19 analysis could only find moderate differences between the samples before and after hyperbaric
20 storage. These results clearly prove that hyperbaric cold storage was more efficient than
21 conventional refrigeration for the preservation of hake loins.

22
23
24 **Keywords:** hyperbaric storage; cold storage; fish preservation; quality; hake

25
26 **1. INTRODUCTION**

27 Fish is a very perishable product. Spoilage starts immediately after the caught and it is mainly
28 produced by biochemical reactions and the activity of microorganisms (Ashie, Smith, &
29 Simpson, 1996). To maintain high quality and safety in fish, preservation techniques must be

¹ Corresponding author: Tel.: +34 91 549 23 00; fax: +34 91 549 36 27.
E-mail address: l.otero@ictan.csic.es (L. Otero).

30 applied continuously, from the point of harvest through storage, processing, and distribution,
31 until the point of consumption.

32 Unfortunately, most preservation methods fail to simultaneously extend the shelf-life of marine
33 products and keep their organoleptic properties intact. Thus, some traditional techniques, such
34 as salting, smoking, or canning, among others, are able to prolong the shelf-life of fish
35 considerably, but they produce substantial changes in the original characteristics of the product.
36 Refrigeration is the method that better retains the sensorial properties of fish but, in return, it
37 can only be used to store fish for a few days because biochemical and microbial reactions, even
38 though slowed down, still occur at a significant rate (Rahman, 1999). For this reason, in the last
39 years, many efforts have been made by the fish-processing industry to look for new
40 preservation methods (Ashie et al., 1996; Sampels, 2015; Wilhelm, 1982).

41 Hyperbaric storage could be an innovative solution. It consists in storing food under relatively
42 low pressure, usually lower than 200 MPa, for time periods of some days, weeks, or even
43 months. The efficacy of hyperbaric storage in prolonging the shelf-life of food has been proved
44 in several products, both at room and at low temperature, either above or below 0 °C
45 (Fernandes et al., 2014). Hyperbaric storage at room temperature has been found to be more
46 efficient than conventional refrigeration for the preservation of fruit juices (Pinto et al., 2016;
47 Segovia-Bravo, Guignon, Bermejo-Prada, Sanz, & Otero, 2012), raw bovine meat (Freitas et al.,
48 2016), and ready-to-eat pre-cooked foods (Moreira et al., 2015b), among others. Depending on
49 the level employed, pressure can not only inhibit microbial growth as refrigeration does but also
50 produce some damage in the microorganisms, resulting in microbial inactivation (Bermejo-
51 Prada, López-Caballero, & Otero, 2016; Freitas et al., 2016). Thus, Ko and Hsu (2001)
52 observed that hyperbaric storage (50-300 MPa/25 °C) not only inhibited microbial growth in
53 tilapia fillets but, at pressures between 200 and 300 MPa, it also produced certain microbial
54 inactivation. Lamentably, these authors proved that fish freshness, even though better retained
55 under pressure, was gradually lost over time (1-12 h), especially at pressures below 200 MPa.
56 Furthermore, they observed considerable protein denaturation at pressures beyond 100 MPa
57 (Hsu & Ko, 2001; Ko, Jao, Hwang, & Hsu, 2006; Ko & Hsu, 2002; Ko, Jao, & Hsu, 2003). This is
58 a well-known effect of pressure in myosystems and, thus, many authors in the literature have
59 shown that pressure beyond 100 MPa can affect some quality properties of fish, such as
60 texture, color, or water-holding capacity, among others (Chéret, Chapleau, Delbarre-Ladrat,
61 Verrez-Bagnis, & De Lamballerie, 2005; Chevalier, Le Bail, & Ghoul, 2001; Ko et al., 2006; Ko &
62 Hsu, 2002; Matser, Stegeman, Kals, & Bartels, 2000; Montero & Gómez-Guillén, 2004). It
63 follows from the above that hyperbaric storage alone is a strategy not enough powerful to
64 effectively preserve the fish freshness. Therefore, if a significant extension of the shelf-life is
65 aimed, pressure should be combined with some other hurdles to limit fish degradation.

66 Combining hyperbaric storage with low temperature seems to be particularly promising. Thus,
67 Charm, Longmaid, and Carver (1977) stored cod and pollock, at pressures close to 25 MPa and
68 temperatures between 1 °C and -3 °C, for periods of up to 36 days. They proved that, unlike in

69 conventional refrigeration, total bacterial counts in cod fillets did not increase during storage
70 under pressure. Moreover, the organoleptic studies on raw and cooked samples showed that
71 hyperbaric storage retained fish freshness better than conventional refrigeration. Thus, dressed
72 whole cod and pollock were acceptable for consumption after 12 and 21 days of storage at 24
73 MPa and 1 °C, respectively. By contrast, they were considered unacceptable when stored at
74 atmospheric pressure for the same period. Unfortunately, after these encouraging results, no
75 more investigations on hyperbaric cold storage were performed in fish.

76 All the facts set out above suggest that hyperbaric cold storage, at pressures below 100 MPa,
77 could be effective in both extending the shelf-life of fish and preserving the organoleptic
78 properties of the product. Obviously, this novel technology would be more expensive than
79 conventional refrigeration. Thus, the total cost of hyperbaric cold storage not only includes the
80 costs of high-pressure equipment, maintenance, and energy but also the costs associated to
81 refrigeration. Even though the energy cost for compression is almost negligible, the price of
82 high-pressure equipment is high. Consequently, the amortization can increase the cost of
83 hyperbaric storage substantially (Bermejo-Prada, Colmant, Otero, & Guignon, 2017).
84 Nevertheless, it is important to note that this barrier has not stopped the implantation of other
85 high-pressure technologies in the food industry when real advantages over the conventional
86 techniques have been identified.

87 Therefore, the main objective of this paper was to assess whether hyperbaric cold storage could
88 offer any advantage over conventional refrigeration for fish preservation. To test this hypothesis,
89 we stored hake loins at 5 °C for 7 days, both at atmospheric pressure (conventional
90 refrigeration) and at 50 MPa (hyperbaric cold storage). After storage, we compared the quality
91 of the hake loins, both before and after cooking, by using microbial, chemical, and physical
92 quality indicators. Moreover, we also evaluated the effect of hyperbaric storage on the sensorial
93 quality of the product. To do so, we compared hake loins before and after 7 days of hyperbaric
94 cold storage to check if storage under pressure caused an overall difference in the product.

95 The current study provides valuable new data for evaluating the effectivity of hyperbaric storage
96 in extending the shelf-life of fish and, thus, it increases the knowledge on this innovative
97 technology for food preservation.

98

99 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

100

101 **2.1. Sample**

102 Three frozen batches of Cape hake loins (*Merluccius spp.*: *M. capensis*, Cast/ *M. paradoxus*,
103 Franca), commercialized by three different Spanish manufacturers, were acquired in a local
104 market and stored at -20 °C until utilization. According to the product label, hakes were
105 captured at the Southeast Atlantic Ocean, cut in portions, packed, and frozen on board. Loin

106 portions were 11.2 ± 0.8 cm in length, 4.3 ± 0.3 cm in width, and 2.5 ± 0.3 cm in height and they
107 weighed 84.8 ± 10.6 g. Before each experiment, a batch of frozen loins was thawed at $5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for
108 24 h.

109

110 **2.2. Experimental design and storage experiments**

111 Two sets of experiments were performed to evaluate the efficacy of hyperbaric cold storage in
112 preserving hake loins. In the first group of experiments, we compared the effect of conventional
113 and hyperbaric cold storage on the quality of the hake loins by using microbial, chemical, and
114 physical quality indicators. In each storage experiment, 3 control loins (C samples) were
115 analyzed at day 0 to assess the hake quality before storage. Moreover, 6 loins were individually
116 packed in plastic bags and cold stored for 7 days: 3 of them conventionally, that is, at
117 atmospheric pressure (C_CS samples) and the other 3 loins at high pressure (HP_CS
118 samples). These storage experiments were performed in triplicate and, therefore, we employed
119 a total of 27 hake loins (9 C_samples, 9 C_CS samples, and 9 HP_CS samples). After storage,
120 quality indicators were analyzed in all the samples.

121 In the second group of experiments, a sensorial analysis was performed to detect possible
122 differences between the hake loins before (C samples) and after hyperbaric cold storage
123 (HP_CS samples). Before the storage experiments, the hake loins were divided into thirds to
124 have portions, adequate in number and size, for the sensorial test. The portions obtained were
125 packed in plastic bags and stored under pressure. Immediately after storage, HP_CS portions
126 were compared with C portions. These storage experiments were performed in duplicate.

127 In all the experiments, C_CS samples were stored in a thermostatic chamber, at $5 \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and in
128 the dark, for 7 days. HP_CS samples were kept at 50 ± 2 MPa and $5 \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the same
129 period. Storage experiments under pressure were carried out in a pilot-plant high-pressure
130 storage system (model SV1, Institute of High Pressure Physics, Unipress Equipment Division,
131 Poland). It was composed of two high-pressure stainless-steel vessels with independent
132 pressure control, two control terminals, and a high-pressure pump. Both vessels had 100 mm
133 internal diameter, 130 mm height, and a working volume of 1 L and they were located in
134 individual thermostatic chambers. A mixture of propylene glycol and water (44% v/v) was used
135 as compressing fluid. Pressure and temperature were recorded every 30 s by a data acquisition
136 system (MW100 Data Acquisition Unit, Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). In all the
137 experiments, the come-up time to reach the working pressure was less than 90 s.

138

139 **2.3. Microbial indicators of the hake quality**

140 Samples were analyzed, before and immediately after storage, as described by Salgado,
141 López-Caballero, Gómez-Guillén, Mauri, and Montero (2013). Briefly, 10 g of hake muscle was
142 collected in a vertical laminar-flow cabinet (mod. AV 30/70 Telstar, Madrid, Spain) and
143 introduced in a sterile plastic bag (Sterilin, Stone, Staffordshire, UK) with 90 mL of buffered

144 0.1% peptone water (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). After 1-minute processing in a Stomacher
145 blender (model Colworth 400, Seward, London, UK), ten-fold serial dilutions were prepared in
146 buffered peptone water and duplicates of the dilutions were plated on the appropriate medium,
147 according to the procedures that follow.

148 Total aerobic mesophiles (TAM) were determined by the pour plate method on Plate Count
149 Agar (PCA, Oxoid). Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 72 h and the colonies formed were
150 counted. Enterobacteriaceae (ENT) were quantified on double-layered plates of Violet Red Bile
151 Glucose agar (VRBG, Oxoid) after incubation at 30 °C for 48 h. Plate counts were expressed as
152 the decimal logarithm of colony-forming units (CFU) per gram of hake loin (\log_{10} CFU·g⁻¹). The
153 detection limit was 1 \log_{10} CFU·g⁻¹ in both cases.

154

155 **2.4. Chemical indicators of the hake quality**

156

157 **2.4.1. pH**

158 Fish flesh (5 g) was minced and homogenized in 50 mL of distilled water with a homogenizer
159 (Ultra-Turrax T 25 basic, IKA Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). Then, the pH value was
160 measured with a pH meter (pH-Burette 24 1S equipped with a pH 50 21 electrode and a C.A.T.
161 55 31 temperature sensor, Crison Instruments, Barcelona, Spain). Measurements were
162 performed in each sample in duplicate and then averaged.

163

164 **2.4.2. Total volatile basic-nitrogen**

165 Total volatile basic-nitrogen (TVB-N) was measured according to the steam distillation method
166 described by the European Commission Regulation 2074/2005 (European Community, 2005)
167 with some slight modifications. In brief, 10 g of fish flesh was weighed and homogenized for
168 1 min with 90 mL of 6% perchloric acid to extract the volatile nitrogenous bases. After that, the
169 blend was filtered through a Whatman no. 1 filter paper and brought to 100 mL. Then, 50 mL of
170 this extract was pipetted into the distillation tube, and after adding 5 drops of phenolphthalein
171 and 9.5 mL of 20% NaOH, the steam distillation began immediately. The distillation outflow tube
172 was submerged in a receiver with 100 mL of 3‰ boric acid and 3 drops of the indicator solution
173 (0.01 g of methyl red + 0.02 g of bromothymol blue + 0.06 g of bromocresol green in 100 mL
174 of ethanol (70%)). After distilling 150 mL of the extract, distillation was considered completed.
175 Finally, the volatile bases contained in both the sample and a blank solution (distilled as
176 previously described, but 50 mL of perchloric acid was used instead of the extract) were
177 determined by titration with 0.05 N HCl. The results were expressed as mg of TVB-N per 100 g
178 of muscle according to:

$$179 \text{ TVB-N } \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{100 \text{ g sample}} \right) = \frac{(V_1 - V_0) \times 14.01 \times N \times 2 \times 100}{M} \quad (1)$$

180 where V_1 and V_0 are the titration volume of HCl (mL) for the sample extract and the blank
181 solution, respectively; N is the normality of the HCl solution; and M is the weight of the sample
182 (g). TVB-N determinations were carried out in each sample in duplicate and then averaged.

183

184 **2.5. Physical indicators of the hake quality**

185 Several physical indicators were used to assess the quality of both raw and cooked hake loins.
186 Thus, drip loss after storage, water content, water-holding capacity, toughness, and whiteness
187 were evaluated in the raw samples, while cooking loss, toughness, and whiteness were
188 determined in the cooked samples. Before cooking, samples were packed in aluminum foil, and
189 then they were cooked in a saturated steam oven (Rational, Combi-Master CM 6,
190 Croßküchentechnik GmbH, Landsberg a. Lech, Germany) at 100 °C for 4 min.

191

192 **2.5.1. Drip loss after storage**

193 Drip loss after storage (DL) was determined by weighting the sample, superficially dried with a
194 soft paper, before and after storage. DL was expressed as the percent of mass loss according
195 to Eq. (2):

$$196 \quad DL(\%) = \frac{(M_{bs} - M_{as})}{M_{bs}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

197 where M_{bs} and M_{as} are the masses (g) of the loins before and after the storage period,
198 respectively.

199

200 **2.5.2. Water content**

201 The water content (WC) was evaluated in the hake loins by determining the mass loss in about
202 5 g of chopped flesh after oven drying at 105 °C until a constant weight was reached. WC was
203 expressed according to equation (3):

$$204 \quad WC(\%) = \frac{(M_{bd} - M_{ad})}{M_{bd}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

205 where M_{bd} and M_{ad} are the masses (g) of the chopped flesh before and after drying,
206 respectively. WC measurements were performed in each sample in duplicate and then
207 averaged.

208

209 **2.5.3. Water-holding capacity**

210 The water-holding capacity (WHC) of the hake loins was measured by using centrifugal force to
211 remove the free and loosely bound water from the samples. For each determination, a portion of
212 about 2 cm x 2 cm was cut from the hake loin, weighed, and put into a centrifuge tube. The tube
213 had a perforated disc, covered with 2 filter papers, and located approximately half way down the

214 tube. The sample was placed on this perforated disc and centrifuged at 2200 × g and 4 °C for
215 10 min (Sorvall Evolution RC centrifuge, model 728311, Thermo Electron Corporation,
216 Asheville, NC, USA). After centrifugation, the sample was superficially dried with a soft paper
217 and weighed again. Water-holding capacity (WHC) was expressed as the percent of water
218 retained per 100 g of water present in the sample prior to centrifuging according to:

219

$$220 \quad \text{WHC (\%)} = \left(1 - \frac{(M_{bc} - M_{ac})}{M_{bc} \times \text{WHC}}\right) \times 100 \quad (4)$$

221

222 where M_{bc} and M_{ac} are the masses (g) of the loin portions before and after centrifugation,
223 respectively. WHC measurements were performed in each sample in duplicate and then
224 averaged.

225

226 **2.5.4. Shear resistance**

227 The shear resistance of the raw and cooked samples was evaluated by a Kramer test. A
228 Texture Analyser (TA-XTPlus, Stable Micro System Ltd., Surrey, UK), equipped with a 10-blade
229 Kramer shear cell and controlled by the Texture Exponent 32 software (v. 6.1.5.0), was
230 employed. Standardized portions (1.5 cm x 1 cm x 3 cm) were cut from each sample, parallel to
231 the muscle fiber orientation, and any skin or fascia residue was removed. For the
232 determinations, the hake portions were sheared (2 mm/s crosshead speed, 25 kg load cell),
233 perpendicular to the muscle fiber orientation, and the shear resistance (N/g) was recorded. In
234 each sample, determinations were performed in triplicate and then averaged.

235

236 **2.5.5. Whiteness**

237 The whiteness of the raw and cooked hake loins was characterized according to the L^* , a^* , and
238 b^* color parameters in the CIELab uniform color space defined by the Commission
239 Internationale de l'Éclairage. To do so, a CM-3500d spectrophotometer managed with the color
240 data software CM-S100w SpectraMagic™ (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) was employed. The
241 illuminating and viewing configurations of the instrument complied with the CIE diffuse/8°
242 geometry. The spectrophotometer operated in the reflectance specular included mode and the
243 measuring aperture was 8 mm in diameter. Measurements were made with the D65 standard
244 illuminant and a ten-degree observer angle. The instrument was calibrated with black and white
245 standards before each series of analysis.

246 Whiteness was calculated, from L^* , a^* , and b^* values, according to Eq. (5):

247

$$248 \quad \text{Whiteness} = 100 - \sqrt{(100 - L^*)^2 + a^{*2} + b^{*2}} \quad (5)$$

249

250 In each sample, whiteness determinations were performed in triplicate and then averaged.

251

252 **2.5.6. Cooking loss**

253 Cooking loss (CL) was determined by weighting the sample, superficially dried with a soft paper,
254 before and after cooking. CL was expressed as the percentage of mass loss according to Eq.
255 (6):

$$256 \quad CL(\%) = \frac{(M_{bck} - M_{ack})}{M_{bck}} \times 100 \quad (6)$$

257 where M_{bck} and M_{ack} are the masses (g) of the loin portions before and after cooking,
258 respectively. In each sample, CL determinations were performed in triplicate and then
259 averaged.

260

261 **2.6. Sensorial analysis**

262 A triangle test was used to investigate possible sensory differences between the hake loins
263 before (C samples) and after 7 days of hyperbaric storage at 5 °C and 50 MPa (HP_CS
264 samples). The test was performed in two sessions with 30 semi-trained judges belonging to the
265 staff of the Institute of Food Science, Technology, and Nutrition (ICTAN-CSIC).

266 Before the analysis, 45 C and 45 HP_CS hake portions were individually packed in aluminum
267 foil and cooked 'en papillote' in an electric griddle for 9 min. Three hake portions, from a similar
268 part of the loin, were presented to the panelists to assure that possible differences were not due
269 to loin portion effects. The samples, encoded by a three-digit random code, were served hot
270 and at once on a white plate. Judges were informed that two samples were identical and one
271 sample was different, and they were forced to identify the odd sample, even if they were not
272 able to distinguish the difference between them. Judges were asked to record their answer in an
273 evaluation worksheet, where they optionally could comment on the characteristics of the
274 difference. No information about the aim of the study or about the samples was provided to the
275 judges prior to the test.

276

277 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

278 Statistical analysis of the quality indicators was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v. 22.0.0.1
279 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). After a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA),
280 significant differences among means were determined by a Tukey-b multiple range test in those
281 cases in which the prerequisite of homogeneity of variances was fulfilled. Otherwise, a
282 Tamhane's *post hoc* test was employed. The significance level was set at 5%.

283 The results of the triangle tests were analyzed by comparing the sum of correct responses
284 obtained in the tests with the minimum number of correct replies that are necessary for a
285 significant result, according to the binomial distribution, given a particular number of panelists.
286 When the number of correct responses was greater than or equal to this minimum value, the
287 null hypothesis ('difference between samples does not exist') was rejected.

288

289 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

290

291 **3.1. Effects of cold storage on the hake quality: Conventional versus hyperbaric cold** 292 **storage**

293 Hake loins were stored at 5 °C, either at atmospheric pressure or at 50 MPa, for 7 days. Before
294 storage, the samples presented a pinky-white flesh and a wet and bright appearance. The skin
295 had a metallic grey color and it was firmly attached to the flesh. After 7 days of storage, some
296 modifications could be easily detected by the naked eye in all the samples. C_CS loins become
297 sticky and off odors were detected in these samples. By contrast, HP_CS samples did not show
298 perceptible changes to the touch and odor, but the color appeared a little more opaque.

299

300 **3.1.1. Microbial indicators of the hake quality**

301 After 7 days of storage at 5 °C, the microbial load was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) in C_CS
302 and HP_CS samples (Table 1). TAM and ENT counts increased during storage by almost 3 and
303 5 \log_{10} CFU/g, respectively, in the hake loins kept at atmospheric pressure. Thus, TAM counts in
304 C_CS samples were over 7 \log_{10} CFU/g, that is, the typical value reached in fish products at the
305 time of sensory rejection (Olafsdóttir et al., 1997). By contrast, TAM and ENT growth was
306 completely inhibited in the samples stored under pressure and, therefore, microbial counts did
307 not significantly differ before and after hyperbaric storage (C and HP_CS samples).

308 These results agree well with those obtained by Ko and Hsu (2001) and Charm et al. (1977)
309 who also reported no microbial growth in tilapia and cod fillets stored at 25-50 MPa for 12 h and
310 30 days, respectively. Thus, it is commonly accepted that pressure of several tens of MPa,
311 although nonlethal for mesophilic microorganisms, can alter their structural organization and
312 metabolic processes. Some cellular processes, such as motility, substrate transport, nutrient
313 uptake, cell division, and DNA replication, translation, and transcription are adversely affected
314 (Abe, 2007; Aoyama, Shigeta, Okazaki, Hagura, & Suzuki, 2004; Bartlett, 2002) and,
315 consequently, microbial growth is inhibited. However, it is important to note that, once high
316 pressure is released, microorganisms can recover from these adverse effects and proliferate.
317 Thus, several authors in the literature have shown that, after hyperbaric storage at 50-100 MPa
318 for up to 15 days, microorganisms can recover their cell-proliferating ability in different foods

319 (Bermejo-Prada et al., 2016; Fidalgo et al., 2014; Freitas et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2015a).
320 Therefore, if the hake loins are not going to be immediately consumed or processed after
321 hyperbaric cold storage at 50 MPa, they should be subsequently cold stored at atmospheric
322 pressure until use to prevent, to a certain extent, that microorganisms resume their metabolic
323 activity.

324

325 **3.1.2. Chemical indicators of the hake quality**

326 After 7 days of storage at 5 °C, no variations were detected in the pH values of both C_CS and
327 HP_CS samples compared with C loins (Table 1). Other authors have reported that pH values
328 in fish increase during storage (Angsupanich & Ledward, 1998; Baixas-Nogueras, Bover-Cid,
329 Veciana-Nogués, Nunes, & Vidal-Carou, 2003; Pastoriza, Sampedro, Herrera, & Cabo, 1998;
330 Simeonidou, Govaris, & Vareltzis, 1997), mainly due to the basic compounds produced when
331 the fish muscle is degraded by enzymatic reactions and microbial activity (Huss, 1995). Thus,
332 Baixas-Nogueras et al. (2003) observed pH increases of 0.06, 0.22, and 0.44 in hake fillets after
333 8, 10, and 14 days of storage in ice, respectively. In our study, mean pH increases after 7 days
334 of storage were 0.05 and 0.02 in C_CS and HP_CS samples, respectively, but this storage time
335 seems to be too short to observe significant differences among the samples.

336 The total volatile basic-nitrogen content in the hake loins at day 0 was 11.27 ± 0.67 mg/100 g
337 (Table 1). After 7 days of storage at 5 °C, TVB-N values were triplicated in the samples stored
338 at atmospheric pressure. Thus, TVB-N content exceeded the limit value established by the
339 Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2074/2005 (European Community, 2005); namely,
340 35 mg/100 g for *Merlucciidae* species. By contrast, TVB-N content in the hake loins stored at
341 50 MPa remained constant.

342 TVB-N quantifies a wide range of basic volatile compounds, such as ammonia, methylamine,
343 dimethylamine, and trimethylamine, among others. These compounds are produced through
344 different metabolic paths during fish degradation by both autolytic processes and microbial
345 activity. Therefore, the TVB-N values shown in Table 1 are consistent with the microbial counts
346 reported in section 3.1.1. Thus, during storage, TAM and ENT counts increased significantly in
347 C_CS samples and, consequently, also the TVB-N content. By contrast, no changes were
348 observed in the microbial counts or the TVB-N values of HP_CS samples. This result is
349 particularly interesting because it not only confirms that hyperbaric storage inhibits microbial
350 growth, but it also shows that other autolytic processes are not pressure enhanced.

351

352 **3.1.3. Physical indicators of the hake quality**

353 Cold storage for 7 days, either at atmospheric pressure or at 50 MPa, produced significant
354 changes in some physical indicators of the quality of the raw samples. Thus, drip losses, close

355 to 5%, were detected in both C_CS and HP_CS samples that significantly reduced ($p < 0.05$)
356 the water content of the hake loins (Table 1). Moreover, the water-holding capacity was larger in
357 C_CS and HP_CS samples, probably because part of the free water in these samples had been
358 previously released as drip loss and the water still present was more strongly retained by the
359 tissue. Furthermore, hyperbaric cold storage, unlike conventional refrigeration, increased the
360 shear resistance and whiteness of the hake loins significantly.

361 It is well-known that, during cold storage, myofibrillar proteins, the main responsible for the
362 physical characteristics of myosystems, can be modified by enzymatic and non-enzymatic
363 reactions (Chéret et al., 2005). As a result, significant drip losses, dehydration, and apparent
364 WHC, texture, and color changes are frequently reported in fish after conventional cold storage
365 (Cao et al., 2016; Chéret et al., 2005; Hurtado, Montero, & Borderias, 2000; Olsson, Ofstad,
366 Lødemel, & Olsen, 2003; Pastoriza et al., 1998).

367 When cold storage is performed under pressure, additional pressure-induced effects must be
368 considered. It is commonly accepted that pressure can provoke changes in hydrogen,
369 hydrophobic, and disulfide bonds which are responsible for maintaining the tertiary structure of
370 proteins (Mozhaev, Heremans, Frank, Masson, & Balny, 1996). These conformational changes
371 can produce protein denaturation, and depending on the pressure level and the holding time,
372 protein can simply unfold, aggregate, or even precipitate and gel (Balny & Masson, 1993; Hsu &
373 Ko, 2001). In this sense, Hsu and Ko (2001) showed that, at 50 MPa/0 °C, tilapia myosin
374 unfolded due to intramolecular interactions that reduced the molecular volume, but it did not
375 aggregate or gel. At this pressure level, hydrophobic interactions increased, probably due to the
376 emergence of amino acid residues to the molecular surface. Moreover, the total sulfhydryl
377 content was reduced because intramolecular disulfide bonds were formed (Hsu, Hwang, Yu, &
378 Jao, 2007; Ko et al., 2003). All these changes did not substantially affect the gel forming
379 capacity of tilapia meat and the Ca-ATPase activity and; thus, the processing quality of tilapia
380 fillets stored at 50 MPa and 25 °C for 12 h was well preserved (Ko et al., 2006). However, in this
381 paper, we found that this pressure level, applied for 7 days, significantly increased the shear
382 resistance and whiteness of the hake loins.

383 Significant changes in the shear resistance and hardness of high-pressure treated fish muscle
384 have been previously reported in the literature, although there are some differences from one
385 fish species to another (Angsupanich & Ledward, 1998; Ashie, Simpson, & Ramaswamy, 1997;
386 Gómez-Estaca, López-Caballero, Gómez-Guillén, López de Lacey, & Montero, 2009). In
387 general, the effect of pressure processing depends on the pressure level applied and, thus,
388 muscle hardness usually increases up to a maximum pressure level after which hardness
389 decreases as a result of the muscle disintegration. In hake, Vidacek, de las Heras, Solas,
390 Rodriguez Mahillo, and Tejada (2009) reported that the shear resistance increased by twice
391 after cold pressurizing the product at 200 MPa for only 1 minute. In this paper, after 7 days at 50
392 MPa, we observed a much lower increase; namely, the shear resistance increased by 44%.

393 Previous papers in the literature also reported pressure effects on the color of fish flesh, both in
394 species with red-orange flesh (Erkan, Üretener, & Alpas, 2010; Matser et al., 2000) and in those
395 with white flesh (Chéret et al., 2005; Hurtado et al., 2000; Matser et al., 2000; Vidacek et al.,
396 2009). The mechanism involved in this color change is still unclear, but pressure effects on
397 protein denaturation and the physical structure of the muscle could be implied. Moreover,
398 pressure effects on heme compounds and on the amount of unbound water, that influences light
399 scattering, should not be discarded (Chéret et al., 2005; Matser et al., 2000; Montero & Gómez-
400 Guillén, 2004). In general, pressure processing makes fish to acquire a cooked, opaque
401 appearance and the higher the pressure and the longer the pressure holding time, the more
402 apparent these changes (Chéret et al., 2005; Chevalier et al., 2001; Matser et al., 2000;
403 Vidacek et al., 2009). Thus, for example, according to the chromatic parameters (L^* , a^* , and b^*)
404 recorded by Chevalier et al. (2001), the whiteness of turbot fillets increased by 10.4% when they
405 were pressurized at 100 MPa/4 °C for 30 min, but by 39.2% after the same holding time at 200
406 MPa. In hake, Hurtado et al. (2000) observed a whiteness increase of 36.4% after 3 pressure
407 cycles (5+5+5 min) at 200 MPa/7 °C, while Vidacek et al. (2009) detected whiteness increases
408 of only 0.6-2.6% after short pressure treatments (1-5 min) at 200 MPa. In this paper, the
409 whiteness of hake loins increased by only 8.9% after 7 days at 50 MPa, probably because this
410 pressure level is too low to substantially affect the mechanisms implied in pressure induced
411 color changes.

412 The effect of cooking was also evaluated in some physical indicators of the hake quality.
413 Heating causes denaturation and aggregation of proteins and this produces the shrinkage and
414 disintegration of myofibrils and the subsequent release of water, soluble proteins, and fats from
415 the tissue (Kong, Tang, Rasco, & Crapo, 2007; Ofstad, Kidman, Myklebust, & Hermansson,
416 1993; Skipnes, Johnsen, Skåra, Sivertsvik, & Lekang, 2011). Thus, after cooking, weight losses
417 were observed in all the hake loins (Table 1). Moreover, the shear resistance and the whiteness
418 increased in all the samples.

419 Table 1 reveals that the cooking losses in the hake loins stored under pressure were
420 significantly lower than in all the other samples and this should contribute to minimize the effect
421 of the drip losses observed after storage. However, the texture differences detected among the
422 raw hake loins remained after cooking and, thus, the shear resistance was significantly larger in
423 the samples stored under pressure. By contrast, the whiteness differences disappeared after
424 cooking and, therefore, the pressure-induced changes in the color of the hake loins should not
425 be appreciated as a drawback when consuming the product.

426

427 **3.2. Effect of hyperbaric storage on the sensorial quality of cooked hake loins:** 428 **Differences before and after storage**

429 After cooking the hake loins, a triangle difference test was performed to check if the panelists
430 could differentiate between the control samples and those stored at 5 °C and 50 MPa for

431 7 days. Conventionally cold stored loins could not be incorporated at this phase of the study
432 because the samples, after 7 days of storage, were not acceptable for consumption.

433 Among the 30 judges involved in the test, only 16 of them could correctly identify the odd
434 sample. From these results, Table 2 concludes that C and HP_CS samples are significantly
435 different if an α -risk (risk of concluding that a difference exists when it does not) of 5% is
436 assumed. For lower α -risks, no significant differences were detected. Therefore, the effects of
437 hyperbaric cold storage on the sensory properties of the hake loins, even though perceptible,
438 seem not to be large because only moderate evidence of apparent differences between the
439 samples could be found (Meilgaard, Civille, & Carr, 2007).

440 The panelists who correctly identified the samples mainly referred differences in the texture of
441 the samples. Thus, 9 judges reported a harder texture in HP_CS samples than in C loins. These
442 comments agree with the results of the instrumental measurements that showed significantly
443 lower ($p < 0.05$) shear resistance in C samples.

444

445 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

446 The results obtained in this paper clearly show that hyperbaric storage, at 50 MPa and 5 °C, is a
447 method more effective than conventional refrigeration for limiting hake degradation. Thus,
448 conventional refrigeration failed to extend the shelf-life of the samples for 7 days, both if
449 $6 \log_{10}$ CFU/g or if 35 mg/100 g are considered as TAM and TVB-N limits of acceptability. By
450 contrast, hyperbaric cold storage allowed to maintain microbial counts and TVB-N content
451 unaltered for, at least, 7 days. Storage under pressure increased the shear resistance and
452 whiteness of the raw hake loins but, after cooking, sensorial differences between C and HP_CS
453 samples, even though perceptible as an increased hardness, were only moderate.

454 Our results show that hyperbaric cold storage could be an interesting technology for fish
455 preservation. The increased cost resulting from hyperbaric storage should be overcome by an
456 extended shelf-life of a high-quality product. Hyperbaric cold storage might allow fish to be
457 delivered to long-distance markets, would increase their commercial value, and reduce
458 economic losses. All these advantages should be considered when calculating the real benefit
459 of this novel technology. Moreover, it is important to note that the main cost of hyperbaric
460 storage corresponds to the equipment acquisition. The innovations performed during the last
461 years in equipment design have made possible a decreasing trend in the cost of high-pressure
462 equipment from 1996 to now. More cost reductions must be expected if the demand follows its
463 climbing tendency and new high-pressure applications, such as hyperbaric storage, are
464 implemented in the food industry.

465 Future research works should be focused on determining for how long hyperbaric cold storage
466 can extend the shelf-life of different fish species (both fatty and lean fish). Moreover, more

467 studies are needed to assess the effect of pressure on different mechanisms, other than
468 microbial activity, implied in fish degradation, such as enzymatic activity, lipid oxidation and so
469 on, both during and after hyperbaric storage.

470 **Acknowledgments**

471 This work was supported by the State Plan for Scientific and Technical Research and
472 Innovation 2013-2016 of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO)
473 through the CONSOLIDER-Network MAT2015-71070-REDC and the project AGL2014-52825.
474 The authors thank Laura Barrios, head of the Statistical and Operational Research Service of
475 CSIC (Spain), for her advice in the statistical analysis of the data; María José Jiménez, head of
476 the Sensory Analysis Unit of ICTAN-CSIC, for her help in the sensorial analysis of the samples;
477 and Ignacio Rodríguez and Ignacio Escudero, both technicians at ICTAN-CSIC, for their
478 assistance in the lab work. The authors also thank Marie Caroline Legland, Master student, for
479 her help in obtaining and processing part of the data.

480

481 **REFERENCES**

- 482 Abe, F. (2007). Exploration of the effects of high hydrostatic pressure on microbial growth,
483 physiology and survival: Perspectives from piezophysiology. *Bioscience, Biotechnology,*
484 *and Biochemistry*, 71(10), 2347-2357.
- 485 Angsupanich, K., & Ledward, D. A. (1998). High pressure treatment effects on cod (*Gadus*
486 *morhua*) muscle. *Food Chemistry*, 63(1), 39-50.
- 487 Aoyama, Y., Shigeta, Y., Okazaki, T., Hagura, Y., & Suzuki, K. (2004). Growth inhibition of
488 microorganisms by hydrostatic pressure. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 10(3),
489 268-272.
- 490 Ashie, I. N., Simpson, B. K., & Ramaswamy, H. S. (1997). Changes in texture and microstructure
491 of pressure-treated fish muscle tissue during chilled storage. *Journal of Muscle Foods*,
492 8(1), 13-32.
- 493 Ashie, I. N., Smith, J. P., & Simpson, B. K. (1996). Spoilage and shelf-life extension of fresh fish
494 and shellfish. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 36(1-2), 87-121.
- 495 Baixas-Nogueras, S., Bover-Cid, S., Veciana-Nogués, T., Nunes, M. L., & Vidal-Carou, M. C.
496 (2003). Development of a quality index method to evaluate freshness in
497 Mediterranean hake (*Merluccius merluccius*). *Journal of Food Science*, 68(3), 1067-
498 1071.
- 499 Balny, C., & Masson, P. (1993). Effects of high pressure on proteins. *Food Reviews*
500 *International*, 9(4), 611-628.
- 501 Bartlett, D. H. (2002). Pressure effects on in vivo microbial processes. *Biochimica et Biophysica*
502 *Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, 1595(1-2), 367-381.
- 503 Bermejo-Prada, A., Colmant, A., Otero, L., & Guignon, B. (2017). Industrial viability of the
504 hyperbaric method to store perishable foods at room temperature. *Journal of Food*
505 *Engineering*, 193, 76-85.
- 506 Bermejo-Prada, A., López-Caballero, M. E., & Otero, L. (2016). Hyperbaric storage at room
507 temperature: Effect of pressure level and storage time on the natural microbiota of
508 strawberry juice. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 33, 154-161.
- 509 Cao, L., Rasco, B. A., Tang, J., Liu, L., Lai, K., Fan, Y., & Huang, Y. (2016). Effects of freshness on
510 the cook loss and shrinkage of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) fillets following
511 pasteurization. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 19(10), 2297-2306.

- 512 Charm, S. E., Longmaid, H. E., & Carver, J. (1977). Simple system for extending refrigerated,
513 nonfrozen preservation of biological-material using pressure. *Cryobiology*, 14(5), 625-
514 636.
- 515 Chéret, R., Chapleau, N., Delbarre-Ladrat, C., Verrez-Bagnis, V., & De Lamballerie, M. (2005).
516 Effects of high pressure on texture and microstructure of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus*
517 *labrax* L.) fillets. *Journal of Food Science*, 70(8), E477-E483.
- 518 Chevalier, D., Le Bail, A., & Ghoul, M. (2001). Effects of high pressure treatment (100–200 MPa)
519 at low temperature on turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) muscle. *Food Research*
520 *International*, 34(5), 425-429.
- 521 Erkan, N., Üretener, G., & Alpas, H. (2010). Effect of high pressure (HP) on the quality and shelf
522 life of red mullet (*Mullus surmelutus*). *Innovative Food Science & Emerging*
523 *Technologies*, 11(2), 259-264.
- 524 European Community. (2005). EC Commission Regulation No 2074/2005 of 5 December 2005.
525 *Official Journal of the European Union L*, 338, 27:59.
- 526 Fernandes, P. R., Moreira, S., Fidalgo, L., Santos, M., Queirós, R., Delgadillo, I., & Saraiva, J.
527 (2014). Food preservation under pressure (hyperbaric storage) as a possible
528 improvement/alternative to refrigeration. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 1-10.
- 529 Fidalgo, L., Santos, M., Queirós, R., Inácio, R., Mota, M., Lopes, R., Gonçalves, M., Neto, R., &
530 Saraiva, J. (2014). Hyperbaric storage at and above room temperature of a highly
531 perishable food. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7(7), 2028-2037.
- 532 Freitas, P., Pereira, S. A., Santos, M. D., Alves, S. P., Bessa, R. J. B., Delgadillo, I., & Saraiva, J. A.
533 (2016). Performance of raw bovine meat preservation by hyperbaric storage (quasi
534 energetically costless) compared to refrigeration. *Meat Science*, 121, 64-72.
- 535 Gómez-Estaca, J., López-Caballero, M. E., Gómez-Guillén, M. C., López de Lacey, A., & Montero,
536 P. (2009). High pressure technology as a tool to obtain high quality carpaccio and
537 carpaccio-like products from fish. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*,
538 10(2), 148-154.
- 539 Hsu, K. C., Hwang, J. S., Yu, C. C., & Jao, C. L. (2007). Changes in conformation and in sulfhydryl
540 groups of actomyosin of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) on hydrostatic pressure
541 treatment. *Food Chemistry*, 103(2), 560-564.
- 542 Hsu, K. C., & Ko, W. C. (2001). Effect of hydrostatic pressure on aggregation and viscoelastic
543 properties of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) myosin. *Journal of Food Science*, 66(8),
544 1158-1162.
- 545 Hurtado, J. L., Montero, P., & Borderias, A. J. (2000). Extension of shelf life of chilled hake
546 (*Merluccius capensis*) by high pressure. *Food Science and Technology International*,
547 6(3), 243-249.
- 548 Huss, H. H. (1995). Quality and quality changes in fresh fish. In: Rome: Food and Agriculture
549 Organization of the United Nations
- 550 Ko, W. C., & Hsu, K. C. (2001). Changes in K value and microorganisms of tilapia fillet during
551 storage at high-pressure, normal temperature. *Journal of Food Protection*, 64(1), 94-
552 98.
- 553 Ko, W. C., & Hsu, K. C. (2002). Effect of high-pressure storage on the processing quality of
554 tilapia meat. In H. Rikimaru (Ed.), *Progress in Biotechnology* (Vol. 19, pp. 411-416):
555 Elsevier.
- 556 Ko, W. C., Jao, C. L., & Hsu, K. C. (2003). Effect of hydrostatic pressure on molecular
557 conformation of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) myosin. *Journal of Food Science*, 68(4),
558 1192-1195.
- 559 Ko, W. C., Jao, C. L., Hwang, J. S., & Hsu, K. C. (2006). Effect of high-pressure treatment on
560 processing quality of tilapia meat fillets. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 77(4), 1007-1011.
- 561 Kong, F., Tang, J., Rasco, B., & Crapo, C. (2007). Kinetics of salmon quality changes during
562 thermal processing. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 83(4), 510-520.

- 563 Matser, A. M., Stegeman, D., Kals, J., & Bartels, P. V. (2000). Effects of high pressure on colour
564 and texture of fish. *High Pressure Research*, 19(1-6), 109-115.
- 565 Meilgaard, M., Civille, G. V., & Carr, B. T. (2007). Overall difference tests: Does a sensory
566 difference exist between samples? In *Sensory Evaluation Techniques* (Fourth Edition
567 ed., pp. 63:104). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. Taylor & Francis Group.
- 568 Montero, P., & Gómez-Guillén, M. C. (2004). High-pressure applications on myosystems. In G.
569 V. Barbosa-Canovas, M. S. Tapia & M. P. Cano (Eds.), *Novel food processing
570 technologies* (pp. 311-342). Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press. Taylor & Francis Group.
- 571 Moreira, S. A., Duarte, R. V., Fernandes, P. A. R., Alves, S. P., Bessa, R. J., Delgadillo, I., &
572 Saraiva, J. A. (2015a). Hyperbaric storage preservation at room temperature using an
573 industrial-scale equipment: Case of two commercial ready-to-eat pre-cooked foods.
574 *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 32, 29-36.
- 575 Moreira, S. A., Fernandes, P. A., Duarte, R., Santos, D. I., Fidalgo, L. G., Santos, M. D., Queiros,
576 R. P., Delgadillo, I., & Saraiva, J. A. (2015b). A first study comparing preservation of a
577 ready-to-eat soup under pressure (hyperbaric storage) at 25 °C and 30 °C with
578 refrigeration. *Food Sci Nutr*, 3(6), 467-474.
- 579 Mozhaev, V. V., Heremans, K., Frank, J., Masson, P., & Balny, C. (1996). High pressure effects
580 on protein structure and function. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*,
581 24(1), 81-91.
- 582 Ofstad, R., Kidman, S., Myklebust, R., & Hermansson, A. M. (1993). Liquid holding capacity and
583 structural changes during heating of fish muscle: cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) and salmon
584 (*Salmo salar*). *Food structure.*, 12(2), 163-174.
- 585 Olafsdóttir, G., Martinsdóttir, E., Oehlenschläger, J., Dalgaard, P., Jensen, B., Undeland, I.,
586 Mackie, I. M., Henehan, G., Nielsen, J., & Nilsen, H. (1997). Methods to evaluate fish
587 freshness in research and industry. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 8(8), 258-
588 265.
- 589 Olsson, G. B., Ofstad, R., Lødemel, J. B., & Olsen, R. L. (2003). Changes in water-holding
590 capacity of halibut muscle during cold storage. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*,
591 36(8), 771-778.
- 592 Pastoriza, L., Sampedro, G., Herrera, J. J., & Cabo, M. L. (1998). Influence of sodium chloride
593 and modified atmosphere packaging on microbiological, chemical and sensorial
594 properties in ice storage of slices of hake (*Merluccius merluccius*). *Food Chemistry*,
595 61(1-2), 23-28.
- 596 Pinto, C., Moreira, S. A., Fidalgo, L. G., Santos, M. D., Delgadillo, I., & Saraiva, J. A. (2016). Shelf-
597 life extension of watermelon juice preserved by hyperbaric storage at room
598 temperature compared to refrigeration. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 72, 78-
599 80.
- 600 Rahman, M. S. (1999). Postharvest handling of foods of animal origin. In M. S. Rahman (Ed.),
601 *Handbook of food preservation* (pp. 47-73). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- 602 Salgado, P. R., López-Caballero, M. E., Gómez-Guillén, M. C., Mauri, A. N., & Montero, M. P.
603 (2013). Sunflower protein films incorporated with clove essential oil have potential
604 application for the preservation of fish patties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 33(1), 74-84.
- 605 Sampels, S. (2015). The effects of storage and preservation technologies on the quality of fish
606 products: A review. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 39(6), 1206-1215.
- 607 Segovia-Bravo, K. A., Guignon, B., Bermejo-Prada, A., Sanz, P. D., & Otero, L. (2012). Hyperbaric
608 storage at room temperature for food preservation: A study in strawberry juice.
609 *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 15(0), 14-22.
- 610 Simeonidou, S., Govaris, A., & Vareltzis, K. (1997). Effect of frozen storage on the quality of
611 whole fish and fillets of horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) and mediterranean hake
612 (*Merluccius mediterraneus*). *Zeitschrift für Lebensmitteluntersuchung und -Forschung
613 A*, 204(6), 405-410.

614 Skipnes, D., Johnsen, S. O., Skåra, T., Sivertsvik, M., & Lekang, O. (2011). Optimization of heat
 615 processing of farmed Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) muscle with respect to cook loss,
 616 water holding capacity, color, and texture. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product*
 617 *Technology*, 20(3), 331-340.

618 Vidacek, S., de las Heras, C., Solas, M. T., Rodriguez Mahillo, A. I., & Tejada, M. (2009). Effect of
 619 high hydrostatic pressure on mortality and allergenicity of *Anisakis simplex* L3 and on
 620 muscle properties of infested hake. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*,
 621 89(13), 2228-2235.

622 Wilhelm, K. A. (1982). Extended fresh storage of fishery products with modified atmospheres:
 623 A survey. *Marine Fisheries Review*, 44(2), 17-20.

624

625

626 **TABLE 1** Microbial, chemical, and physical indicators of the hake quality before
 627 (C samples, n = 9) and after 7 days of either conventional (C_CS samples:
 628 0.1 MPa/5 °C, n = 9) or hyperbaric (HP_CS samples: 50 MPa/5 °C, n = 9) cold
 629 storage.

630

Sample	Day 0		Day 7	
	C	C_CS	HP_CS	
Microbial indicators of the hake quality				
Total aerobic mesophiles (log ₁₀ CFU/g)	4.76 ± 0.43 a	7.70 ± 0.21 b	4.51 ± 0.34 a	
Enterobacteriaceae (log ₁₀ CFU/g)	1.87 ± 0.34 a	6.48 ± 0.24 b	< 1	a
Chemical indicators of the hake quality				
pH	6.89 ± 0.05 a	7.03 ± 0.08 a	6.91 ± 0.04 a	
Total volatile basic nitrogen (mg/100 g)	11.08 ± 1.02 a	38.65 ± 4.52 b	9.96 ± 1.12 a	
Physical indicators of the hake quality				
<u>Before cooking</u>				
Drip loss (%)	-	4.94 ± 0.79 a	5.24 ± 0.54 a	
Water content (%)	81.43 ± 0.40 a	79.46 ± 0.36 b	80.23 ± 0.44 b	
Water holding capacity (%)	67.45 ± 0.79 a	77.79 ± 1.00 b	78.70 ± 0.98 b	
Shear resistance (N/g)	4.11 ± 0.44 a	3.80 ± 0.28 a	5.92 ± 0.48 b	
Whiteness	53.11 ± 0.79 a	55.23 ± 0.51 a	57.83 ± 0.86 b	
<u>After cooking</u>				
Cooking loss (%)	13.40 ± 1.48 a	11.97 ± 1.73 a	5.75 ± 0.39 b	
Shear resistance (N/g)	6.42 ± 0.51 a	5.58 ± 0.34 a	8.71 ± 0.84 b	
Whiteness	65.93 ± 0.94 a	67.08 ± 0.35 a	66.02 ± 0.65 a	

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641 **TABLE 2** Triangle test to detect any possible difference between hake loins before
642 (C samples) and after 7 days of storage at 5 °C and 50 MPa (HP_CS samples).
643 Sensorial analysis was performed after cooking the samples. MN_CR: Minimum
644 number of correct responses required for significance at different significance
645 levels (α) according to the binomial distribution.

646

647

Triangle test	Total responses	Correct responses	MN_CR	Evidence that a difference is apparent ¹
			16 for $\alpha < 0.05$	Moderate
C vs. HP_CS	30	16	17 for $\alpha < 0.01$	Strong
			19 for $\alpha < 0.001$	Very strong

648 ¹ Meilgaard et al. (2007)

649

650

651