A Review of Cross-Backed Grasshoppers of the Genus
***Dociostaurus* Fieber (Orthoptera: Acrididae) from the Western**
Mediterranean: Insights from Phylogenetic Analyses and DNA-
Based Species Delimitation

MARÍA JOSÉ GONZÁLEZ-SERNA¹, JOAQUÍN ORTEGO^{2*}, and PEDRO J. CORDERO^{1*}

*Equal senior co-authorship

¹ Grupo de Investigación de la Biodiversidad Genética y Cultural, Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos – IREC – (CSIC, UCLM, JCCM), Ronda de Toledo, 12, E-13071 Ciudad Real, Spain.

² Department of Integrative Ecology, Estación Biológica de Doñana – EBD – (CSIC), Avda. Américo Vespucio, 26, E-41092 Seville, Spain.

Author for correspondence:

María José González-Serna

Grupo de Investigación de la Biodiversidad Genética y Cultural

Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos – IREC – (CSIC, UCLM, JCCM)

Ronda de Toledo, 12, E-13071 Ciudad Real, Spain.

E-mail: mariajose.gonzalez@uclm.es

Tel: +34 926 29 54 50

Fax: +34 926 59 54 51

Running title:

Review of *Dociostaurus* from Western Mediterranean

ABSTRACT

Phylogenetic analyses and species delimitation methods are powerful tools for understanding patterns of species diversity. Given the current biodiversity crisis, it makes urgent the assessment and delimitation of truthful species particularly of endangered and morphologically cryptic taxa from vulnerable areas submitted to strong climate change and progressive human intervention like the Mediterranean region. In this study, we applied two DNA-based species delimitation methods and performed a Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction using three mitochondrial gene fragments (12S, 16S and COI) to solve several taxonomic uncertainties among species of cross-backed grasshoppers (genus *Dociostaurus* Fieber) from the western Mediterranean. Phylogenetic analyses demonstrate the polyphyletic character of subgenera *Dociostaurus*, *Kazakia* Bey-Bienko and *Stauronotulus* Tarbinsky and, thus, the need of revising the currently accepted taxonomic subgenera within the genus *Dociostaurus*. We propose the split of closely related taxa with allopatric distributions such as *D. (S.) kraussi* and *D. (S.) crassiusculus*, considering the later a distinct species limited to the Iberian Peninsula and excluding the name *crassiusculus* from other forms of *D. (S.) kraussi* from East Europe and Asia. Estimates of divergence times indicate that diversification of *Dociostaurus* probably happened during the Miocene-Pliocene (3-7 Ma), and the split of the studied pairs of sister taxa took place during the middle and late Pleistocene (1-2 Ma). This study highlights the need for more molecular studies on the genus and their different species for a better understanding of their evolution, genetic variation and population dynamics in order to prioritize strategies for their adequate conservation and management.

KEYWORDS:

Cryptic species, divergence times, DNA barcoding, genetic divergence, *Gomphocerinae*, mitochondrial DNA, phylogeny, speciation.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the origin and diversity of the living world requires a revision of traditional taxonomic practices and the advent of molecular tools has been a great complement on this respect (Tautz *et al.*, 2003; Pons *et al.*, 2006; Vogler & Monaghan, 2006). Phylogenetic analyses, coupled with molecular-based species delimitation methods, are nowadays considered fundamental to comprehend current patterns of biological diversity (e.g. Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013; Huang *et al.*, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2013b; Solis-Lemus *et al.*, 2015; Yang, 2015). Mitochondrial DNA has been proven to be very useful for phylogenetic inference and species delimitation due to its universally amplifiable loci, small genome size, fast rates of molecular evolution, low or absent sequence recombination, and evolutionary conserved gene products (Pons *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2013a; Amaral *et al.*, 2016). Protein-coding genes are suitable to resolve phylogenetic relationships among related species, whereas the most conserved regions of ribosomal RNA genes are useful to establish deep levels of divergence (Simon *et al.*, 1994). In recent years, the employment of DNA markers in taxonomic delimitation has steadily increased and contributed to unravel cryptic patterns of species diversity that could not be resolved by classical morphological studies (e.g. Allegrucci *et al.*, 2009; Grzywacz *et al.*, 2013; Bocek & Bocak, 2016). This is particularly relevant in groups of species inhabiting geographic regions severely impacted by abrupt climate change and human activities, as these processes may rapidly lead to environmental degradation and the stochastic decline of natural populations (Myers *et al.*, 2000).

Although the Mediterranean region is one of the areas of the world historically most altered by humans (Blondel & Aronson, 1999; Ortego *et al.*, 2015), it constitutes an important biodiversity hotspot (Médail & Quézel, 1999; Myers *et al.*, 2000; Brooks *et al.*, 2006). The main reason for the great species richness and endemism of this region is believed to be associated with its historically high climatic stability in comparison with northern temperate areas (Blondel & Aronson, 1999; Hewitt, 2000). It is also widely accepted that northern Mediterranean Peninsulas have served both as glacial refugia and as important diversification hotspots (Hewitt, 1999; Petit *et al.*, 2003). Accordingly, most European thermophilous taxa present deep patterns of phylogeographic divergence driven by their retraction into five main refugia during the Pleistocene glacial cycles: the Iberian Peninsula, the Apennine Peninsula, the Balkans, Anatolia and North Africa (Hewitt, 1999). The Mediterranean region is predicted to experience the highest proportion of biodiversity loss among all terrestrial biomes due to its particular sensitivity to a wide range of threats, including land use alterations, global climate change, and their negative interactions (Giorgi & Lionello, 2008; Klausmeyer & Shaw, 2009). For these reasons, understanding the biological diversity from the Mediterranean region is

necessary in order to establish priorities for conservation and inform management practices aimed to preserve its unique diversity (Blondel & Aronson, 1999).

In this study, we adopt an integrative taxonomic approach by examining the phylogenetic relationships and taxonomic status of western Mediterranean *Dociostaurini* (Mistshenko, 1974), a tribe of Orthoptera comprising several species of either great conservation concern or important economic interest (e.g. Latchininsky, 1998, 2013; Hochkirch *et al.*, 2016). This tribe comprises eight different genera, with three of them (genera *Dociostaurus*, *Notostaurus* and *Xerohippus*) being represented in the Western Mediterranean region. *Dociostaurus* are grasshoppers with an “X” shaped pattern on their pronotum, three well developed transverse grooves and obliterated lateral ridges in the posterior half of the prozona, convex vertex and closed foveolae with distinct sharp margins visible (Fieber, 1853). Species within this genus are mainly distributed in South Europe, North Africa, Angola, the Canary Islands, Madeira Archipelago, Central Asia, and Hawaii Islands (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017). They constitute one of the most common grasshoppers living in desert and semi-desert landscapes of the Palearctic region (e.g. Sirin & Mol, 2013). The genus comprises 30 described species and three subgenera separated by some morphological traits: sixteen species in the subgenus *Dociostaurus* Fieber; six species in the subgenus *Kazakia* Bey-Bienko; seven species in the subgenus *Stauronotulus* Tarbinsky (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017), and a new controversial species, *Dociostaurus biskrensis* Moussi & Petit, that has not been yet assigned to any subgenus (Moussi *et al.*, 2014). The description of new species in *Dociostaurus* is relatively recent as more than half of the taxa within the genus were described in the 20th century. However, most taxonomic efforts on the group have been focused on the identification of morphological diagnostic traits and bioacoustic signals (e.g. Harz, 1975; Soltani, 1978; Garcia *et al.*, 2005), an approach presenting certain limitations to deal with some closely related and phenotypically similar species (e.g. sibling and/or cryptic species).

The employment of DNA markers can help to define species boundaries and resolve several taxonomic ambiguities within *Dociostaurus*, which is of particular interest given that different species of this genus are of great conservation concern or constitute important agricultural pests. According to the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), there are several species of *Dociostaurus* included in the European Red List of Orthoptera (Hochkirch *et al.*, 2016). One of them is *Dociostaurus (Dociostaurus) minutus* La Greca, a brachypterous narrow endemic species restricted to some coastal dunes in the south-east of Sicily (Massa, 2011; Massa *et al.*, 2012). The extremely small distribution range of this species, together with the considerable degradation of coastal habitats, has motivated its inclusion in the European Red List of Orthoptera with the category “endangered” (Bushell, 2013; Hochkirch

et al., 2016). Very different is the situation for the Moroccan locust *Dociostaurus* (*Dociostaurus*) *maroccanus* (Thunberg), a pest species of many crops with considerable economic impacts, although currently scarce in many areas (reviewed in Latchininsky, 1998, 2013). Among Mediterranean species, there is also a couple of interesting cases of sibling/cryptic-species of conservation concern that present disjunctive-distributions in vast areas between the Western Mediterranean, on the one side, and Eastern Europe and Central Asia, on the other side: *D. (Stauronotulus) crassiusculus crassiusculus* (Pantel) for *D. (S.) kraussi* (Ingenitskii), and *D. (D.) hispanicus* Bolívar for *D. (K.) brevicollis* (Eversmann). *Dociostaurus* (*S.*) *c. crassiusculus* and *D. (D.) hispanicus* are Iberian endemics that have been recently assigned to the categories “endangered” and “near threatened”, respectively, due to the high fragmentation of their small populations (Cordero et al., 2010; Hochkirch et al., 2016). The taxonomic relationship of *D. (S.) crassiusculus* and *D. (S.) kraussi* is controversial and has been modified by different authors according to morphological criteria (e.g. Harz, 1975; Soltani, 1978; Hodjat, 2016). Resolving the taxonomic status of these two putative species is particularly interesting because they involve one endangered Iberian endemic and a relatively abundant species presenting a disjunctive distribution in Eastern Europe and Asia. The same controversial case occurs with the pair *D. (D.) hispanicus* and *D. (K.) brevicollis*, also with disjunctive distributions and the last one being a widely distributed common species in Eastern Europe (Cigliano et al., 2017). Taxonomic problems also involve common and widely distributed species like *Dociostaurus (Kazakia) jagoi* Soltani, with populations showing subtle morphological differences at both sides of Mediterranean Sea: *D. (K.) j. occidentalis* in South Europe and *D. (K.) j. jagoi* in North Africa.

The systematics of *Dociostaurus* from the West arc of the Mediterranean region is reviewed. In particular, this study: (i) analyzes the phylogenetic relationships for most taxa of the genus; (ii) evaluates the validity of current supra-specific classification (i.e. genera/subgenera); and (iii) employs species-delimitation methods to resolve the taxonomic status of controversial sibling species with a disjunctive Palearctic distribution.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Taxon sampling

Between 2007 and 2015, samples from different species belonging to the *Dociostaurini* tribe (Mistshenko, 1974) were collected: seven species of the genus *Dociostaurus* and one species of

the genus *Notostaurus* (Table S1; Fig. 1). For each specimen, collection date, locality, geographical coordinates and elevation were recorded. Fresh whole adult specimens were stored in 2000 µL ethanol 96% at -20°C until needed for DNA extraction. The identification of doubtful specimens was checked against the entomological collection of the National Museum of Natural History (MNCN) in Madrid. Nine specimens of *D. (K.) brevicollis* collected in different localities from Turkey and Russia and sequences of *D. (S.) kraussi* and *D. (S.) crassiusculus nigrogeniculatus* deposited in the GenBank (accession numbers KR005944, KR014937 and KM816675) were used for genetic comparison with *D. (D.) hispanicus* and *D. (S.) c. crassiusculus*, their respective putative sibling species from the Western Mediterranean region.

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Nucleo Spin Tissue kits (Macherey-Nagel, Dürren, Germany) were used to extract and purify total DNA (mitochondrial DNA + genomic DNA) from a hind leg of each specimen. Segments of three mitochondrial genes: 12S rRNA (12S), 16S rRNA (16S) and cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) were amplified for each sample by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Table S2). Previous studies in Orthoptera have demonstrated that these molecular markers are informative and useful for comparison within and among species (e.g. Ortego *et al.*, 2009; Vedenina & Mugue, 2011; Çiplak *et al.*, 2014). A nuclear gene fragment, the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2), was also amplified but it could not be used for subsequent analyses due to the high frequency of indels and unambiguous peaks in most sequences.

PCR amplifications were performed in 15 µL reaction volumes including 1x reaction buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM of each dNTPs, 10 µM of each primer and 5 U/µL of Immolase DNA Polymerase (Bioline Reagents, UK). Amplifications were carried out on a Mastercycler EppgradientS (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) thermal cycler under the following program: 9 minutes denaturing at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 45 seconds at the annealing temperature (12S: 50°C; 16S: 60°C; COI: 48°C) and 45 seconds at 72°C, ending with a 10 minutes final elongation stage at 72°C. PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels stained with Orange G (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 0.15% Orange G, 60% glycerol, 60mM EDTA). Amplified products were commercially purified and sequenced (Macrogen, South Korea).

Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction

All sequences were visually inspected, edited and trimmed to the same length to remove ambiguous ends using the software SEQUENCHER v.5.2.4 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Sequences were submitted to GenBank with accession numbers KX954639-KX954810 (Table S1). The genetic dataset was complemented with three sequences of COI from GenBank: two of *D. (S.) kraussi* (accession numbers KR005944 and KR014937) and one of *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* (accession number KM816675), all of them obtained from specimens collected in Xinjiang (China). Sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW on the Web Server of Kyoto University Bioinformatics Center with opening gap = 10 and extension gap penalty = 0.10 (e.g. Allegrucci *et al.*, 2014). The number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity and the number of polymorphic sites were calculated in DNASP v.5 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Neutrality tests (Fu and Li's *D* statistic tests and Tajima's *D* tests) were performed as implemented in DNASP v.5. Genetic differentiation among sequences was estimated using Kimura 2-parameter genetic distances in MEGA v.5.0 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011).

The three mitochondrial gene fragments (12S, 16S and COI) were treated as separate data partitions in phylogenetic analyses. JMODELTEST v.2.1.7 was used to find the best-fitting-model of nucleotide evolution for each gene fragment in a hierarchical hypothesis testing framework based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Darriba *et al.*, 2012). For phylogenetic analyses, the three mitochondrial gene fragments were concatenated in a data matrix of 1507 bp using MEGA v.5.0 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011).

We inferred an ultrametric tree and estimated divergence times for mtDNA sequences using BEAST 1.8.3 (Drummond *et al.*, 2012). Analyses on BEAST were performed using concatenated data from the three mitochondrial gene fragments and only using COI gene. For both, different clocks and demographic models were considered. Each analysis was run with two independent Markov chains for 100 million generations sampled every 10 000 generations (i.e. 10 000 retained genealogies). Posterior probabilities were calculated from post-burn trees. Fossil evidence or adequate events of geological variance to calibrate the molecular clock are not available. Thus, to approximate absolute ages of divergence among *Dociostaurus* species, molecular clocks were calibrated using mutation rates reported in the literature for insects (mean $\pm$ S.D.; 16S = 0.0049 $\pm$ 0.0008 substitutions/site/MY; COI = 0.0169 $\pm$ 0.0019 substitutions/site/MY; Papadopoulou *et al.*, 2010) and used default values from BEAST for 12S gene. Each run was inspected in TRACER v.1.6 (Rambaut *et al.*, 2014) in order to check the convergence to stationary of model parameters and that Effective Sample Sizes (ESS) were always much higher than 200. Afterwards, the two replicate independent runs for each analysis were combined using LOGCOMBINER v.1.8.3 (Drummond *et al.*, 2012). The first 10 million generations were discarded as burn-in period (burn-in of 10% of MCMC). The best-

fitting clock and demographic model were determined using the Akaike's information criterion through Markov chain Monte Carlo (AICM; Baele *et al.*, 2012) with 100 bootstraps as implemented in TRACER 1.6. Finally, TREEANNOTATOR v.1.8.3 (Drummond *et al.*, 2012) and FIGTREE v.1.4.2 (Rambaut, 2014) were used to draw Bayesian consensus trees and obtain 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals.

DNA-based species delimitation

We used two independent species delimitation methods that do not require *a priori* taxonomic information: the General Mixed Yule Coalescent (GMYC) model (Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013) and the Bayesian Poisson Tree Processes model (bPTP) (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). The GMYC model requires an ultrametric tree as input, so the Bayesian tree generated by BEAST as described above was used, with and after outgroup removal to provide the most robust diversity estimates (Montagna *et al.*, 2016). The Bayesian tree generated by BEAST was converted into a Newick file with FIGTREE and used to run GMYC with a single-threshold method in the species delimitation web server (<http://species.h-its.org/gmyc/>).

In the bPTP species delimitation model, branch lengths represent the number of substitutions, not time, eliminating the problems associated with requiring a calibrated tree when *a priori* information on divergence time is not available (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). bPTP tends to overestimate the number of species when using multiple sequences per population (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). Thus, the number of sequences in the data matrix was reduced to one individual per population ($n = 50$) for this species delimitation analysis. RAXML v.8.2.9 (Stamatakis, 2014) was used to build a non-ultrametric phylogenetic tree in the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller *et al.*, 2010). The output from RAXML with RAXML-HPC BLACK BOX model was used as input for bPTP analyses in the species delimitation web server (<http://species.h-its.org/ptp/>), specifying outgroup, considering 100 000 MCMC generations, a thinning value of 100, and a burn-in of 10%.

RESULTS

mtDNA sequence data and polymorphism

Fifty-eight individual sequences of COI, 16S and 12S gene fragments were obtained, and three more COI sequences were retrieved from GenBank (KR005944, KR014937 and KM816675). No sequence presented nucleotide double peaks that could prompt the existence of nuclear mitochondrial DNA sequences (NUMTs). In the case of the COI, internal stop codons that could suggest the amplification of pseudo-genes were also absent. Results of the genetic variability analyses obtained from the three different mtDNA genes used (12S, 16S and COI) and calculated without considering gaps and missing data are shown in Table 1. Particularly, COI revealed the highest nucleotide and haplotype diversity values (Table 1). For the concatenated data set of mitochondrial DNA fragments, Fu and Li's *D* statistic was 2.21 and significantly higher than zero ($p < 0.02$). However, Tajima's test of selective neutrality was not significant ($p > 0.1$). The average number of nucleotide differences for the concatenated dataset was 23.33 between sequences of *D. (S.) crassiusculus* and *D. (S.) kraussi* and 49.67 for the comparison involving *D. (D.) hispanicus* and *D. (K.) brevicollis*. Kimura 2-parameter genetic distances among species for COI spanned between 4.0-16.0 %. Interspecific genetic distances for COI between pairs of closely related species ranged between 4.0 % for *D. (S.) crassiusculus* and *D. (S.) kraussi*, and 7.6% for *D. (D.) hispanicus* and *D. (K.) brevicollis*. Between the two putative subspecies of *D. (S.) crassiusculus* (*D. (S.) c. crassiusculus* and *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus*) pairwise genetic distance was 2.8 %.

Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction

For phylogenetic analyses in BEAST, the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model with invariable sites (HKY+I) was used for 12S and 16S, and the General Time-Reversible nucleotide substitution model with gamma-distributed rate heterogeneity (GTR+G) for COI. The clock and demographic model best fitting (i.e. with the lowest AICM values) the concatenated dataset (12S, 16S and COI) was a "strict" molecular clock model with coalescent exponential growth. All BEAST runs converged and ESS values obtained were always above 200. Bayesian and maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses produced a similar consensus topology and, in general, most clades were well supported by both Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values (Fig. 2). The obtained phylogenetic tree grouped most species/subspecies in good agreement with traditional classification based on phenotypic data, but the analyses also revealed that the current taxonomic nomenclature within the genus *Dociostaurus* present certain incongruences that require to be revised (Fig. 2): (i) phylogenetic analyses indicated that species within the genus *Dociostaurus* from the Western Mediterranean constitute a

polyphyletic group; **(ii)** *D. (S.) dantini* Bolívar, 1914 from Morocco resulted the most distant taxon and grouped with the outgroup (genus *Notostaurus*); **(iii)** the two species from the subgenus *Stauronotulus*, the Iberian *D. (S.) c. crassiusculus* and the Asian *D. (S.) kraussi*, grouped together as sister species in a monophyletic clade that was quite distant from the rest of species; **(iv)** in this clade, *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* grouped with *D. (S.) kraussi* (sequences from GenBank) but not with *D. (S.) c. crassiusculus* contrary to expectations from current taxonomic classification; **(v)** the Iberian *D. (D.) hispanicus* clustered as sister taxon of *D. (K.) brevicollis* specimens from Russia and Turkey; **(vi)** the two subspecies of *D. (K.) j. jagoi*, *D. (K.) j. occidentalis* corresponding to specimens from South of Europe and *D. (K.) j. jagoi* from North of Africa, grouped as sister taxa; **(vii)** finally, the analyses showed that the subgenera *Kazakia*, *Dociostaurus* and *Stauronotulus* are polyphyletic, indicating that current hypotheses of subgeneric delimitation require to be revised (Table 2; Fig. 2).

Divergence time estimates based on the three mitochondrial gene fragments (12S, 16S and COI) indicated that the split into major clades occurred during the Miocene (~6.36 Ma; HPD: 4.82-8.16). Sister taxa as *D. (S.) c. crassiusculus* - *D. (S.) kraussi* and *D. (D.) hispanicus* - *D. (K.) brevicollis* split around 1.01 Ma (HPD: 0.6-1.52) and 1.88 Ma (HPD: 1.30-2.56), respectively. Subspecies of *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* diverged from *D. (S.) kraussi* 0.35 Ma (HPD: 0.13-0.63), more recently than the well-established subspecies of *D. (K.) j. jagoi* and *D. (K.) j. occidentalis* (0.71 Ma; HPD: 0.46-1.03) (Fig. 3).

DNA-based species delimitation

The GMYC model of species delimitation yielded 10 maximum likelihood (ML) entities, including outgroup, with a confidence interval of 8-12. In agreement with the current number of recognized species, the results of GMYC showed that each entity corresponded to a described morphological taxon. Accordingly, sequences corresponding to different subspecies clustered together in a unique species (Fig. 2). The bPTP model retrieved 12 species, including outgroup, with a confidence interval of 10-21 estimated species. The most conservative number of species (10) yielded by bPTP model matched exactly with the taxa established by current taxonomic classification. The bPTP model set boundaries of species delimitation at a lower taxonomic level than GMYC, identifying subspecies as species. However, the lowest posterior delimitation probabilities corresponded to those assigned to the two subspecies of *D. (K.) jagoi* and to the separation of *D. (S.) kraussi* and *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

The GMYC and bPTP models yielded 10-12 taxonomic entities that correspond well with the current number of accepted species (Fig. 2). However, the general agreement between molecular and classical taxonomy at the species/subspecies level contrasts with a remarkable number of incongruences at a higher taxonomic level (genus/subgenus) that require to be thoroughly revised (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017). These analyses point out that increasing the number of molecular markers or adding different data to attempt higher success in species delimitation does not necessarily improve the performance of the analysis, although it could improve its statistical power (Blaimer, 2012). Phylogenetic analyses revealed that, at the subgeneric level, *Dociostaurus*, *Kazakia* and *Stauronotulus* do not cluster according to the expectations of current proposed taxonomic classification (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017). Soltani (1978) completely reordered the genus *Dociostaurus*, synonymizing the subgenera *Dociostaurus* and *Stauronotulus* (see Table 2) and including the subgenus *Notostaurus* within the genus *Dociostaurus*. He also included the species *D. (D.) minutus* into the subgenus *Kazakia*, with *D. (K.) brevicollis*, *D. (K.) jagoi* and *D. (K.) genei*, instead of in its current subgenus *Dociostaurus*. This author also proposed to include *D. (D.) hispanicus* into the subgenus *Kazakia* by its proximity with *D. (K.) brevicollis*, which is congruent with the results of this study (Figs. 2 and 3). The phylogenetic analyses placed *D. (S.) dantini* together with *Notostaurus anatolicus* (Krauss) (outgroup) (Figs. 2 and 3). This result is in agreement with the study by Soltani (1978) suggesting that the genus *Notostaurus* should be considered a subgenus within the genus *Dociostaurus*, a nomenclature also followed by other more recent studies (e.g. Sirin & Mol, 2013; Mol *et al.*, 2014). Considering different ways of assigning species, the results of the present study suggest a classification more akin to Soltani's proposal (Table 2). Given that taxonomic changes have occurred very often within genus *Dociostaurus* on the basis of phenotypic traits that may vary among populations (e.g. Mistshenko, 1974; Soltani, 1978; Hodjat, 2016), we conclude that the status of subgenera within *Dociostaurus* is not satisfactory. A possible alternative is using the results of the present phylogenetic study, which are in good agreement with the particular morphological traits previously described to separate the subgenus *Dociostaurus* (Bey-Bienko, 1933; Bey-Bienko & Mistshenko, 1951; Mistshenko, 1974). Thus, both morphological and genetic data support that *D. (K.) brevicollis*, *D. (K.) genei* and *D. (K.) jagoi* should be assigned to subgenus *Dociostaurus*. Further phylogenetic analyses including a wider range of species from the tribe *Dociostaurini* would be

of great help to re-evaluate these incongruences and determine the taxonomic value of currently accepted supra-specific classification (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017).

Intraspecific polymorphism, different kinds of morphological crypsis and hybridization are the main problems to establish species boundaries (Evangelista *et al.*, 2014). The analyses presented here resolve some taxonomic ambiguities involving closely related taxa with allopatric distributions. This is the case of the controversial taxonomic classification of *D. (S.) kraussi*, *D. (S.) crassiusculus* and their respective subspecies. A summary of historical nomenclatural changes of these two species and our own proposal is shown in Table 2. The results from the present study indicate that the first historical taxonomic classification is probably more accurate than the present one. Based on our results, we propose that: **(i)** *D. crassiusculus* is a young species endemic to the Iberian Peninsula. So, this taxon should be considered a conservation priority given the high fragmentation of its few and declining populations (Cordero *et al.*, 2010); **(ii)** phylogenetic analyses indicate that *D. c. nigrogeniculatus* is much more closely related to *D. kraussi* than to *D. crassiusculus*. Thus, *D. c. nigrogeniculatus* should be considered a subspecies of *D. kraussi* (e.g. Tarbinsky, 1928; Bey-Bienko, 1933; Bey-Bienko & Mistshenko, 1951; Mistshenko, 1974); **(iii)** similarly, *D. c. aurantipes* from Republic of Tajikistan in Asia should probably be considered as a subspecies of *D. kraussi* (e.g. Bey-Bienko & Mistshenko, 1951; Mistshenko, 1974) instead of a subspecies of *D. crassiusculus* until more analyses can be performed (Soltani, 1978; Hodjat, 2016; Cigliano *et al.*, 2017) (Figs. 2 and 3; Table 2). The fact that *D. kraussi* has a wide distribution in East Europe and Central and South Asia could explain the description of many different subspecies that may simply reflect phenotypic plasticity among populations (Mal'kotskii, 1963). Accordingly, most subspecies of *D. kraussi*, like *D. k. claripes* and *D. k. ornatus* (Mistshenko, 1951), have been recently suggested as synonyms of *D. kraussi* (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017). Information on acoustic signals obtained in previous studies agrees with the obtained phylogenetic relationships here (Blondheim, 1990; Ragge & Reynolds, 1998; Garcia *et al.*, 1994, 2005; Savitsky, 2000; Vedenina & Mugue, 2011; Sirin & Mol, 2013). The calling song of *D. c. crassiusculus* (Garcia *et al.*, 2005) is almost identical to *D. kraussi* (Savitsky, 2000), which supports the close relationship between these species (Fig. 2). By contrast, the songs of *D. brevicollis* (Savitsky, 2000; Vedenina & Mugue, 2011; Sirin & Mol, 2013) and *D. hispanicus* (Ragge & Reynolds, 1998; Garcia *et al.*, 2005) are more different, which is concordant with the estimation of an earlier split between these two sister taxa (Fig. 2).

Phylogenetic analyses and molecular dating in BEAST revealed that the main diversification of the genus *Dociostaurus* probably took place during the late Miocene and the

Pliocene (Fig. 3). This may be explained by the progressively drier and cooler climate during these epochs, which is known to have promoted the expansion of typical habitats for *Dociostaurus* such as steppes, savannahs and grasslands (Dowsett *et al.*, 1994; Thompson & Fleming, 1996). Thus, the opening of a new niche space in many areas and founder events after the colonization of suitable habitats might have favoured the diversification of the genus since the late Miocene (Song *et al.*, 2015). The split of sister taxa (1.88 Ma: *D. hispanicus* – *D. brevicollis*; 1.01 Ma: *D. c. crassiusculus* – *D. kraussi*) and subspecies (0.71 Ma: *D. j. jagoi* – *D. j. occidentalis*; 0.35 Ma: *D. kraussi* – *D. c. nigrogeniculatus*) took place more recently, during the middle and late Pleistocene (Fig. 3). These findings are in agreement with previous studies suggesting that speciation in Orthoptera can happen in as little as 1-2 million years (e.g. Hemp *et al.*, 2015). As shown in many other Mediterranean taxa, these recent or incipient speciation events have probably resulted from long-term population isolation mediated by geographical barriers (e.g. *D. j. jagoi* – *D. j. occidentalis*) or as consequence of distributional shifts driven by Pleistocene climatic oscillations (e.g. *D. hispanicus* – *D. brevicollis*) (Knowles & Richards, 2005; Nogueras *et al.*, 2016).

The phylogenetic relationships and timing of divergence of *D. minutus* and *D. jagoi* provide some insights about the biogeographical origin of the former. The Sicilian *D. minutus* is a species of great conservation concern that has been included in the European Red List of Orthoptera with the category “endangered” (Bushell, 2013; Hochkirch *et al.*, 2016). The distribution of this brachypterous species is limited to a very restricted area in south Sicily and our results indicate that it diverged from *D. jagoi* around the early Pleistocene (~2.19 Ma; Fig. 3). The colonization of Sicily by the ancestor of the current *D. minutus* may have occurred through the siculo-tunisian strait, an area that has been hypothesized to facilitate the exchange of terrestrial fauna between European and African continents when the lower sea level characterizing Pleistocene glacial periods resulted in the emergence of “stepping stone islands” and reduced the distance between north African paleo-coast and the Sicilian landmass (Stöck *et al.*, 2008). This is in accordance with the presence in Sicily of other grasshopper taxa also distributed in north Africa such as *Euchorthippus albolineatus* (Lucas, 1849), *Acinipe calabra* (Costa, 1836), and *Ocneridia nigropunctata* (Lucas, 1849) (Massa, 2011; Massa *et al.*, 2012). The ancestor of *D. minutus* would have evolved to a short-winged form due to isolation and genetic drift in Sicily where *D. jagoi* is absent (Massa *et al.*, 2012).

Overall, this study reveals the importance of considering genetic information to disentangle the intricate taxonomy of *Dociostaurus* and establishes background knowledge for future studies aimed to reconsider the value of the currently accepted supra-specific classification into genera and subgenera (Cigliano *et al.*, 2017). Future studies should consider

employing nuclear markers for phylogenetic reconstructions (e.g., Song *et al.*, 2015), a wider number of taxa, and sequencing more specimens from more localities for taxa with large distribution ranges (e.g. *D. brevicollis*, *D. kraussi*, and *D. maroccanus*). Detailed phylogeographic and population genetic analyses of some species of particular interest would also greatly contribute to increase the knowledge on their biogeography and evolutionary history, establish evolutionary significant units for conservation (Vogler & Dessalle, 1994), identify corridors for gene flow (e.g. Ortego *et al.*, 2009, 2015) and, in the case of pest species (i.e. *D. maroccanus*), get a better understanding of their demographic dynamics (e.g. Chapuis *et al.*, 2008, 2009).

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. List of species names according to Cigliano *et al.* (2017) included in this study with their individual reference (ID), number of samples per locality (*n*), geographical location and GenBank accession numbers (corresponding to 12S ribosomal RNA gene, 16S ribosomal RNA gene, and cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene, in this order respectively).

Table S2. mtDNA genes, PCR amplicon sizes, primers used for amplification and their respective sequences (Simon *et al.* 1994).

Acknowledgements

D. Chobanov, Shaun Winterton and three anonymous referees provided valuable comments to improve an earlier version of this manuscript. Michael G. Sergeev kindly provided us samples of *Dociostaurus (K.) brevicollis* from Russia. We thank Milagros Coca-Abia and José Ramón Correas for providing us information about the location of two populations of *D. (S.) c. crassiusculus*. We also acknowledge the unconditional support of Vicenta Llorente and Mercedes Paris during our visits to the entomological collections from the MNCN, and Víctor Nogueras and Pilar Aguirre for their help during sampling. The administrative authorities from each study area provided us the corresponding permits for sampling. MJG was supported by a pre-doctoral scholarship from Junta de Comunidades de Castilla–La Mancha and European Social Fund. JO was supported by a Ramón y Cajal fellowship (RYC-2013-12501) and a research contract funded by Severo Ochoa Program (SEV-2012-0262). This work received financial support from research grants CGL2011-25053, CGL2014-54671-P, and CGL2016-80742-R (co-funded by the Dirección General de Investigación y Gestión del Plan Nacional I+D+i and

European Social Fund); POII10-0197-0167 and PEII-2014-023-P (co-funded by Junta de Comunidades de Castilla–La Mancha and European Social Fund).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Allegrucci, G., Massa, B., Trasatti, A. & Sbordoni, V. (2014) A taxonomic revision of western Eupholidoptera bush crickets (*Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae*): testing the discrimination power of DNA barcode. *Systematic Entomology*, **39**, 7–23.

Allegrucci, G., Rampini, M., Gratton, P. *et al.* (2009) Testing phylogenetic hypotheses for reconstructing the evolutionary history of *Dolichopoda* cave crickets in the eastern Mediterranean. *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**, 1785–1797.

Amaral, D.T., Mitani, Y., Ohmiya, Y. & Viviani, V. (2016) Organization and comparative analysis of the mitochondrial genomes of bioluminescent Elateroidea (Coleoptera: Polyphaga). *Gene*, **586**, 254–262.

Baele, G., Lemey, P., Bedford, T. *et al.* (2012) Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model comparison while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **29**, 2157–2167.

Bey-Bienko, G. (1933) Records and descriptions of some Orthoptera from U.S.S.R. *Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Historia Natural*, **33**, 317–341.

Bey-Bienko, G.Y. & Mistshenko, L.L. (1951) Keys to the Fauna of the U.S.S.R. [1964 English translation no. 40]. *Locusts and Grasshoppers of the U.S.S.R. and Adjacent Countries* (ed. by Bey-Bienko & Mistshenko; original Russian edition edited by E.N. Povlovsky), 444 pp. Academy of Sciences, Moscow/Leningrad.

Blaimer, B.B. (2012) Untangling complex morphological variation: taxonomic revision of the subgenus *Crematogaster* (*Oxygyne*) in Madagascar, with insight into the evolution and biogeography of this enigmatic ant clade (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Systematic Entomology*, **37**, 240–260.

Blondel, J. & Aronson, J. (1999) Biology and wildlife of the Mediterranean region. 328 pp. Oxford University Press, USA.

Blondheim, S.A. (1990) Patterns of reproductive isolation between the sibling grasshopper species *Dociostaurus curvircus* and *D. jagoi jagoi* (Orthoptera: Acrididae: Gomphocerinae). *Transactions of the American Entomological Society*, **116**, 1–64.

- 523 Bocek, M. & Bocak, L. (2016) Species limits in polymorphic mimetic *Eniclases* net-winged
524 beetles from New Guinean mountains (Coleoptera, Lycidae). *ZooKeys*, **593**, 15–35.
- 525 Brooks, T.M., Mittermeier, R.A., da Fonseca, G.A. *et al.* (2006) Global biodiversity conservation
526 priorities. *Science*, **313**, 58–61.
- 527 Bushell, M. (2013) *Dociostaurus minutus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2013:
528 e.T16084624A44828840 [WWW document]. URL <http://www.iucnredlist.org> [accessed on 16
529 July 2016].
- 530 Chapuis, M.P., Lecoq, M., Michalakis, Y. *et al.* (2008) Do outbreaks affect genetic population
531 structure? A worldwide survey in *Locusta migratoria*, a pest plagued by microsatellite null
532 alleles. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 3640–3653.
- 533 Chapuis, M.P., Loiseau, A., Michalakis, Y. *et al.* (2009) Outbreaks, gene flow and effective
534 population size in the migratory locust, *Locusta migratoria*: a regional-scale comparative
535 survey. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 792–800.
- 536 Cigliano, M.M., Braun, H., Eades, D.C. & Otte, D. (2017) Orthoptera Species File. Version
537 5.0/5.0. [WWW document]. URL <http://orthoptera.speciesfile.org> [accessed on 19 February
538 2017].
- 539 Çiplak, B., Kaya, S., Boztepe, Z. & Gündüz, I. (2014) Mountainous genus *Anterastes*
540 (Orthoptera, Tettigoniidae): autochthonous survival across several glacial ages via vertical
541 range shifts. *Zoologica Scripta*, **44**, 534–549.
- 542 Cordero, P.J., Llorente, V., Aguirre, M.P. & Ortego, J. (2010) *Dociostaurus crassiusculus* (Pantel,
543 1886), especie (Orthoptera: Acrididae) rara en la Península Ibérica con poblaciones locales en
544 espacios singulares de Castilla-La Mancha (España). *Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica*
545 *Aragonesa*, **46**, 461–465.
- 546 Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. (2012) JMODELTEST2: more models, new
547 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*, **9**, 772.
- 548 Dowsett, H., Thompson, R., Barron, J. *et al.* (1994) Joint investigations of the middle Pliocene
549 climate I: PRISM paleoenvironmental reconstructions. *Global and Planetary Change*, **9**, 169–
550 195.
- 551 Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D. & Rambaut, A. (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with
552 BEUTI and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **29**, 1969–1973.
- 553 Evangelista, D.A., Bourne, G. & Ware, J.L. (2014) Species richness estimates of Blattodea s.s.
554 (Insecta: Dictyoptera) from northern Guyana vary depending upon methods of species
555 delimitation. *Systematic Entomology*, **39**, 150–158.
- 556 Fieber, F.X. (1853) Synopsis der europäischen Orthoptera mit besonderer Rücksicht auf die in
557 Böhmen vorkommenden Arten als Auszug aus dem zum Drucke vorliegenden Werke “Die
558 europäischen Orthoptera”. *Lotos*, 118–119.

- 559 Fujisawa, T. & Barraclough, T.G. (2013) Delimiting species using single-locus data and the
560 Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent approach: a revised method and evaluation on simulated
561 data sets. *Systematic Biology*, **62**, 707–724.
- 562 García, M.D., Clemente, M.E. & Presa, J.J. (1994) The acoustic behaviour of *Dociostaurus jagoi*
563 *occidentalis* Soltani, 1978 (Orthoptera, Acrididae). *Zoologica Baetica*, **5**, 79–87.
- 564 García, M.D., Larrosa, E., Clemente, M.E. & Presa, J.J. (2005) Contribution to the knowledge of
565 genus *Dociostaurus* Fieber, 1853 in the Iberian Peninsula, with special reference to its sound
566 production (Orthoptera: Acridoidea). *Anales de Biología*, **27**, 155–189.
- 567 Giorgi, F. & Lionello, P. (2008) Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region. *Global*
568 *and Planetary Change*, **63**, 90–104.
- 569 Grzywacz, B., Heller, K.G., Lehmann, A.W. *et al.* (2013) Chromosomal diversification in the
570 flightless Western Mediterranean bushcricket genus *Odontura* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae:
571 Phaneropterinae) inferred from molecular data. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and*
572 *Evolutionary Research*, **52**, 109–118.
- 573 Harz, K. (1975) Die Orthopteren Europas II. The Orthoptera of Europe II. *Series Entomologica*,
574 **11**. (ed. Dr.W. Junk B. V. Publishers), 939 pp. The Hague, NL.
- 575 Hemp, C., Kehl, S., Schultz, O. *et al.* (2015) Climatic fluctuations and orogenesis as motors for
576 speciation in East Africa: case study on *Parepistaurus* Karsch, 1896 (Orthoptera). *Systematic*
577 *Entomology*, **40**, 17–34.
- 578 Hewitt G. (2000) The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, **405**, 907–913.
- 579 Hewitt, G.M. (1999) Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biological. *Journal of the*
580 *Linnean Society*, **68**, 87–112.
- 581 Hochkirch, A., Nieto, A., García-Criado, M., *et al.* (2016) European Red List of Grasshoppers,
582 Crickets and Bush-crickets. 94 pp. Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union, EU.
- 583 Hodjat, S.H. (2016) A review of Iranian *Dociostaurini* (Orthoptera: Gomphocerinae) with keys
584 to their species. *Entomologia Generalis*, **35**, 253–268.
- 585 Huang, J.H., Zhang, A.B., Mao, S.L. & Huang, Y. (2013) DNA barcoding and species boundary
586 delimitation of selected species of Chinese *Acridoidea* (Orthoptera: Caelifera). *PLoS One*, **8**,
587 e82400.
- 588 Ingenitskii (1897) Ueber eine neue Acridiiden-Art. Trudy Russkago Entomologicheskago
589 Obshchestva. *Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae*, **31**, 63–71.
- 590 Klausmeyer, K.R. & Shaw, M.R. (2009) Climate Change, habitat loss, protected areas and the
591 climate adaptation potential of species in Mediterranean ecosystems worldwide. *PLoS One*, **4**,
592 e6392.
- 593 Knowles, L.L. & Richards, C.L. (2005) Importance of genetic drift during Pleistocene divergence
594 as revealed by analyses of genomic variation. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 4023–4032.

- 595 Latchininsky, A.V. (1998) Moroccan locust *Dociostaurus maroccanus* (Thunberg, 1815): a
596 faunistic rarity or an important economic pest? *Journal of Insect Conservation*, **2**, 167–178.
- 597 Latchininsky, A.V. (2013) Locusts and remote sensing: a review. *Journal of Applied Remote*
598 *Sensing*, **7**, 1–32.
- 599 Librado, P. & Rozas, J. (2009) DNASP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
600 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1451–1452.
- 601 Mal'kotskii, M. P. (1963) On the polymorphism and phase variability of the *Dociostaurus*
602 *kraussi* Ingen. (Orthoptera, Acrididae) in Mangyshlak and Ust-Urt, West Kazakhstan.
603 *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie Leningrad*, **42**, 778–781.
- 604 Massa, B. (2011) Gli Ortoteri di Sicilia: check-list commentata. *La Biogeografia della Sicilia*.
605 Biogeographia. Vol. **30**, 567–626. Palermo, IT.
- 606 Massa, B., Fontana, P., Buzzetti, F.M., Kleukers, R. & Odé, B. (2012) Fauna d'Italia: Orthoptera.
607 Vol. **48**, 563 pp + DVD. Calderini, IT.
- 608 Médail, F. & Quézel, P. (1999) Biodiversity hotspots in the Mediterranean Basin: setting global
609 conservation priorities. *Conservation Biology*, **13**, 1510–1513.
- 610 Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
611 Inference of Large Phylogenetic Trees. *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments*
612 *Workshop (GCE)*, 1–8. [WWW document]. URL <http://www.phylo.org/> [accessed on 21
613 September 2016]
- 614 Mistshenko, L.L. (1974) To the knowledge of the grasshoppers belonging to the genus
615 *Dociostaurus* Fieb. (Orthoptera, Acrididae). *Revue d'Entomologie de l'U.R.S.S.*
616 *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie*, **53**, 334–342.
- 617 Mol, A., Sirin, D. & Taylan, M.S. (2014) Türkiye'de dağılım gösteren bazı Caelifera (Insecta:
618 Orthoptera) türlerinin yeni lokalite kayıtları, endemizm, yaygınlık ve tarımsal zarar oluşturma
619 açısından değerlendirilmesi. *Bitki Koruma Bülteni*, **54**, 133–170.
- 620 Montagna, M., Kubisz, D., Mazur, M.A. *et al.* (2016) Exploring species-level taxonomy in the
621 *Cryptocephalus flavipes* species complex (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Zoological Journal of*
622 *the Linnean Society* [doi: 10.1111/zoj.12445]
- 623 Moussi, A., Abba, A., Harrat, A. & Petit, D. (2014) Description of *Dociostaurus biskrensis* sp.
624 nov. and male allotypes of four species: *Pamphagulus bodenheimeri dumonti*, *P. uvarovi*,
625 *Sphingonotus ebneri* and *Notopleura pygmaea* (Orthoptera: Acridoidea) in the region of Biskra,
626 Algeria. *Zootaxa*, **3755**, 379–390.
- 627 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G. *et al.* (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for
628 conservation priorities. *Nature*, **403**, 853–858.
- 629 Noguerales, V., Cordero, P.J. & Ortego, J. (2016) Hierarchical genetic structure shaped by
630 topography in a narrow-endemic montane grasshopper. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **16**, 96.

- 631 Ortego, J., Aguirre, M.P., Noguerales, V. & Cordero, P.J. (2015) Consequences of extensive
632 habitat fragmentation in landscape-level patterns of genetic diversity and structure in the
633 Mediterranean esparto grasshopper. *Evolutionary Applications*, **8**, 621–632.
- 634 Ortego, J., Bonal, R., Cordero, P.J. & Aparicio J.M. (2009) Phylogeography of the Iberian
635 populations of *Mioscirtus wagneri* (Orthoptera: Acrididae), a specialized grasshopper
636 inhabiting highly fragmented hypersaline environments. *Biological Journal of the Linnean
637 Society*, **97**, 623–633.
- 638 Pantel, S.J. (1886) Contribution a L'Orthoptérologie de L'Espagne Centrale. *Anales de la
639 Sociedad Española de Historia Natural*, **15**, 237–241.
- 640 Papadopoulou, A., Anastasiou, I. & Vogler, A.P. (2010) Revisiting the insect mitochondrial
641 molecular clock: the mid-aegean trench calibration. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **27**,
642 1659–1672.
- 643 Petit, R.J., Aguinalalde, I., Beaulieu, J.L. *et al.* (2003) Glacial refugia: Hotspots but not melting
644 pots of genetic diversity. *Science*, **300**, 1563–1565.
- 645 Pons, J., Barraclough, T.G., Gomez-Zurita, J. *et al.* (2006) Sequence-based species delimitation
646 for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. *Systematic Biology*, **55**, 595–609.
- 647 Ragge, D.R. & Reynolds, W.J. (1998) The Songs of the Grasshoppers and Crickets of Western
648 Europe. Harley Books, Colchester.
- 649 Rambaut, A. (2014) FIGTREE v1.4.2. Computer Program [WWW document]. URL
650 <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/> [accessed on 10 October 2016].
- 651 Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D. & Drummond, A.J. (2014) TRACER v1.6. Computer Program
652 [WWW document]. URL <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer/> [accessed on 7 October 2016].
- 653 Savitsky, V.Y. (2000) Acoustic signals, ecological features and reproductive isolation of
654 grasshoppers of the genus *Dociostaurus* (Orthoptera, Acrididae) in semi-desert. *Entomological
655 Review*, **80**, 950–967.
- 656 Simon, C., Frati, F., Beckenbach, A. *et al.* (1994) Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility
657 of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction
658 primers. *Annals of the entomological Society of America*, **87**, 651–701.
- 659 Sirin, D. & Mol, A. (2013) New species and new song record of the genus *Dociostaurus* Fieber,
660 1853 (Orthoptera, Acrididae, Gomphocerinae) from Southern Anatolia, Turkey. *Zootaxa*, **3683**,
661 486–500.
- 662 Solis-Lemus, C., Knowles, L.L. & Ané, C. (2015) Bayesian species delimitation combining
663 multiple genes and traits in a unified framework. *Evolution*, **69**, 492–507.
- 664 Soltani, A.A. (1978) Preliminary synonymy and description of new species in the genus
665 *Dociostaurus* Fieber, 1853 (Orthoptera: Acridoidea: Acrididae, Gomphocerinae) with a key to
666 the species in the genus. *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran*, 1–93.

- 667 Song, H., Amédégnato, C., Cigliano, M.M. *et al.* (2015) 300 million years of diversification:
668 elucidating the patterns of orthopteran evolution based on comprehensive taxon and gene
669 sampling. *Cladistics*, **31**, 621–651.
- 670 Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAXML Version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
671 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 1312–1313.
- 672 Stöck, M., Sicilia, A., Belfiore, N.M. *et al.* (2008). Post-Messinian evolutionary relationships
673 across the Sicilian channel: Mitochondrial and nuclear markers link a new green toad from
674 Sicily to African relatives. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **8**, 56.
- 675 Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N. *et al.* (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
676 Analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods.
677 *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **28**, 2731–2739.
- 678 Tarbinsky (1928) On some new and little known Orthoptera from Palaearctic Asia (in Russian
679 with English description). *Bulletin of the Institute of applied Zoology and Phytopathology of*
680 *Leningrad*, **4**, 58.
- 681 Tautz, D., Arctander, P., Minelli, A., *et al.* (2003) A plea for DNA taxonomy. *Trends in Ecology &*
682 *Evolution*, **18**, 70–74.
- 683 Thompson, R.S. & Fleming, R.F. (1996) Middle Pliocene vegetation: reconstructions,
684 paleoclimatic inferences, and boundary conditions for climate modeling. *Marine*
685 *Micropaleontology*, **27**, 27–49.
- 686 Vedenina, V. & Mugue N. (2011) Speciation in gomphocerine grasshoppers: molecular
687 phylogeny versus bioacoustics and courtship behavior. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, **20**,
688 109–125.
- 689 Vogler, A.P. & Desalle, R. (1994) Diagnosing units of conservation management. *Conservation*
690 *Biology*, **8**, 354–363
- 691 Vogler, A.P. & Monaghan, M.T. (2006) Recent advances in DNA taxonomy. *Journal of Zoological*
692 *Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, **45**, 1–10.
- 693 Yang, Z.H. (2015) The BPP program for species tree estimation and species delimitation.
694 *Current Zoology*, **61**, 854–865.
- 695 Zhang, H.L., Huang, Y., Lin, L.L. *et al.* (2013a) The phylogeny of the Orthoptera (Insecta) as
696 deduced from mitogenomic gene sequences. *Zoological Studies*, **52**, 37.
- 697 Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P. & Stamatakis, A. (2013b) A general species delimitation method
698 with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 2869–2876.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the three mtDNA genes used in this study (12S, 16S and COI): number of analyzed individuals (*N*); number of haplotypes (*H*); number of polymorphic sites (*S*); haplotype diversity (*Hd*); nucleotide diversity (π); and Theta per sequence from S (*Theta-W*).

	12S	16S	COI
<i>N</i>	58	56	61
<i>H</i>	21	22	39
<i>S</i>	51	57	177
<i>Hd</i>	0.940	0.949	0.978
π	0.032	0.024	0.100
<i>Theta-W</i>	11.017	12.409	37.822

713 **Table 2.** Summary of the different taxonomic classifications and synonyms for *D. crassiusculus* and *D. kraussi*. (S.) for abbreviation for subgenus
714 *Stauronotulus* and (D.) for abbreviation for subgenus *Dociostaurus*. Each row corresponds to a single taxon and its synonymous names are indicated in
715 different columns. References: **1**, Pantel (1886); **2**, Ingenitskii (1897); **3**, Tarbinsky (1928); **4**, Bey-Bienko (1933); **5**, Bey-Bienko & Mistshenko (1951); **6**,
716 Mistshenko (1974); **7**, Soltani (1978); **8**, Hodjat (2016); **9**, Cigliano *et al.* (2017); **10**, this study.
717

Past taxonomic classification (1-6)		Soltani taxonomic classification (7)		Current taxonomic classification (8-9)		Proposed taxonomic classification (10)	
Species	Subspecies	Species	Subspecies	Species	Subspecies	Species	Subspecies
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) crassiusculus (1) (2) <i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) crassiusculus (6)	-----	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. crassiusculus</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (S.) c. crassiusculus</i> (8, 9)	<i>Dociostaurus crassiusculus</i>	-----
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) kraussi (2)	<i>D. k. kraussi</i> (3, 4) <i>D. (S.) k. kraussi</i> (5, 6)	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. kraussi</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) kraussi (8, 9)	-----	<i>Dociostaurus kraussi</i>	<i>D. k. kraussi</i>
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) kraussi (2)	<i>D. k. nigrogeniculatus</i> (3, 4) <i>D. (S.) k. nigrogeniculatus</i> (5, 6)	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. nigrogeniculatus</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus</i> (8, 9)	<i>Dociostaurus kraussi</i>	<i>D. k. nigrogeniculatus</i>
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) kraussi (2)	<i>D. k. aurantipes</i> (4) <i>D. (S.) k. aurantipes</i> (5, 6)	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. kraussi</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (S.) c. aurantipes</i> (9)	<i>Dociostaurus kraussi</i>	<i>D. k. aurantipes</i>
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) kraussi (2)	<i>D. (S.) k. claripes</i> (5, 6)	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. kraussi</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) kraussi (8, 9)	-----	<i>Dociostaurus kraussi</i>	<i>D. k. kraussi</i>
<i>Dociostaurus</i> (=Stauronotus) kraussi (2)	<i>D. (S.) k. ornatus</i> (5, 6)	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (D.) crassiusculus	<i>D. (D.) c. kraussi</i>	<i>Dociostaurus</i> (S.) kraussi (8, 9)	-----	<i>Dociostuarus kraussi</i>	<i>D. k. kraussi</i>

Fig. 1. Geographical location of samples of different species/subspecies of the genus *Dociostaurus* used for phylogenetic analyses. *Dociostaurus* (*S.*) *kraussi* and *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* were retrieved from GenBank and their location is approximate. *Notostaurus anatolicus* was used as outgroup.

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the genus *Dociostaurus* using Bayesian analyses in BEAST 1.8.3 and maximum likelihood analyses in RAXML. Numbers in nodes indicate posterior probabilities from BEAST analyses performed on concatenated data for three mtDNA genes (12S, 16S and COI), posterior probabilities from BEAST analyses based only on COI gene, and bootstrap support values (over 50%) from RAXML analyses based on the three mtDNA genes. Species delimited using the GYMC model are indicated with red little boxes and posterior delimitation probabilities from bPTP models are shown with red numbers. *Notostaurus anatolicus* was used as outgroup (shaded in grey). Sequences of *Dociostaurus* (*S.*) *kraussi* and *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* (only available for COI gene) were retrieved from GenBank (striped in grey). Species names follow Cigliano *et al.* (2017). *: 1.00 / 1.00 / 85

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship between species of the genus *Dociostaurus* from the Western Mediterranean. Analyses were performed in BEAST 1.8.3 using sequence information from three mtDNA genes (12S, 16S and COI) and considering a strict clock and a coalescent exponential growth model. Mean ages in million years (Ma) of each node are given and horizontal shadow bars indicate the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals. *Notostaurus anatolicus* was used as outgroup (shaded in grey). Sequences of *Dociostaurus* (*S.*) *kraussi* and *D. (S.) c. nigrogeniculatus* (only available for COI gene) were retrieved from GenBank (striped in grey). Species names follow Cigliano *et al.* (2017).