**Assessing different barley growth habits under Egyptian conditions for enhancing
resilience to climate change**

Elsayed Mansour^{a,*}, Ehab S.A. Moustafa^b, Naglaa Qabil^a, Asmaa Abdelsalam^a, Hany A.
Wafa^c, Ahmed El Kenawy^{d,f}, Ana M. Casas^e, Ernesto Igartua^e

^a Crop Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, 44519, Zagazig, Egypt.

^b Genetic Resources Department, Desert Research Center, Cairo, 11753, Egypt.

^c Genetics Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, 44519, Zagazig, Egypt.

^d Department of Geography, Mansoura University, 35516, Mansoura, Egypt.

^e Aula Dei Experimental Station, EEAD-CSIC, Avda Montañana, 1005, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain.

^f Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología, CSIC, Avda Montañana, 1005, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain.

* Corresponding author, E-mail address: sayed_mansour_84@yahoo.es

Abstract

Climate change poses challenges to agricultural production in general and to plant breeders in particular. Adaptation of cereals to the new conditions and increasingly variable situations arising from this process is essential to reduce risks and limit potential threats associated with climate hazards. This study presents the first attempt to assess the response and resilience of barley genotypes, with different growth habits across Egypt. For this purpose, eight field trials were conducted from 2013 to 2016 at three experimental sites with different winter climate configurations. The trials were sown at the end of November, following recommendations for the region. Fourteen barley genotypes were evaluated, comprising seven commercial Egyptian cultivars and seven European genotypes. The European genotypes were selected from successful cultivars from Spain, encompassing a range of growth types: two spring, three intermediate and two winter types. The cultivars were genotyped for six major adaptation genes, *Vrn-H1-2-3*, *Ppd-H1-2* and *HvCEN*. One interesting finding is that, while the Egyptian cultivars were assumed to be of spring growth type, our results demonstrate that two cultivars, namely Giza123 and Giza126, are actually intermediate types (needing just a short period of vernalization). They contain the winter allele at *Vrn-H2* together with *Vrn-H1-4*, the same as the European genotypes Cierzo and Orria, they also have an active allele at *PpdH2*, such as Hispanic. Overall, these four genotypes showed very good performance in all trials with low genotype-by-environment interaction. Moreover, a foreign late spring genotype (Pewter) was highly productive and a winter genotype (Hispanic) flowered as early as some intermediate and spring genotypes with a yield similar to genotypes currently grown in Egypt. A possible explanation for this surprising occurrence, the influence of an active allele at *PpdH2* in winter cultivars, is discussed. In relation to low temperature, a high frequency of cold nights during wintertime was observed at all experimental sites, which seemed sufficient to promote timely flowering for intermediate genotypes, although this was inadequate for promoting flowering and achieving good productivity in strictly winter genotypes (e.g. Barberousse). Our findings also highlight the potential of exotic germplasm for breeding better and more resilient cultivars for autumn and for achieving good yield levels in regions with warm winters like Egypt. The results also provide insights into the usefulness of genetic variation in growth habit for breeders seeking adaptation to climate change conditions.

Keywords: Climate change; resilient genotypes; barley growth habits; vernalization genes; photoperiod response genes; Mediterranean region.

1. Introduction

Climate change is producing shifts in mean values of features such as temperature and precipitation, but also increased climatic variation which is challenging agricultural production. Plant breeders must respond in creative ways, one of them being the exploration of alternative germplasm outside of the dominant types in each region. Temperature is one of the key environmental factors controlling flowering time of winter cereals (e.g. wheat and barley) (Bratzel and Turck, 2015; Laurie et al., 1995; Sharma et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2015; Trevaskis et al., 2003). Vernalization, a period of cold temperatures, is required in winter varieties to stop vegetative growth and reach flowering in time to attain good yields (Deng et al., 2015). Furthermore, vernalization is considered a critical trait due to its association with winter hardiness, i.e., the capacity for surviving and avoiding damage caused by winter frosts (Cuesta-Marcos et al., 2015; Gorash et al., 2017; Hayes et al., 1997; Saisho et al., 2011).

According to growth habit, barley genotypes are generally classified into three different types: winter, spring and facultative genotypes. Growth habit is controlled mainly by allelic variation at the vernalization genes, *Vrn-H1*, *Vrn-H2* and *Vrn-H3* (von Zitzewitz et al., 2005; Saisho et al., 2011). Numerous studies have described the functional polymorphisms of *Vrn-H1* alleles (Cockram et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2005; Hemming et al., 2009; Szűcs et al., 2007; von Zitzewitz et al., 2005). These studies identified ten alleles. The winter *vrn-H1* allele has a full-length intron 1, whereas the other alleles contain insertions or different deletions in the first intron. The insertion, *Vrn-H1-7*, and deletions, *Vrn-H1-1*, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10, were associated with partial or full reduction in vernalization requirement (Hemming et al., 2009).

Winter barley carries recessive *vrn-H1* and *vrn-H3* alleles and a dominant *Vrn-H2* (presence of the gene). Winter genotypes are tolerant to low temperatures, require a long vernalization period, and are usually sensitive to photoperiod. In general, winter types require low temperatures (0–10 °C) for periods of 12–60 days (Evans et al., 1975; Trione and Metzger, 1970). Nonetheless, the vernalization requirement can be supplemented by the action of additional genes, particularly when vernalization conditions are not

optimum. This has been reported to occur when the photoperiod-responsive allele of gene *Ppd-H2* is present in winter varieties, accelerating the transition to reproductive stage (Casao et al., 2011a; Dubcovsky et al., 2006). Spring barley carries dominant *Vrn-H1* and recessive *vrn-H2* (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971). Spring genotypes usually present minimal tolerance to low temperature, less sensitivity to long photoperiods and no vernalization requirement (Karsai et al., 2001; von Zitzewitz et al., 2005). This type is predominant in North Africa, Ethiopia and Southwest Asia (Saisho et al., 2011). Facultative genotypes could be considered as a subclass of winter types, exhibiting tolerance to low temperature and a very limited vernalization requirement (Karsai et al., 2001; von Zitzewitz et al., 2005), due to the combination of recessive alleles at *vrn-H1* (winter) and *vrn-H2* (absence) (Karsai et al., 2005). Given the large variability existing among winter varieties (Saisho et al., 2011; Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971), some authors (Casao et al., 2011a) proposed further differentiation of types by coining the denomination of “intermediate” for varieties with reduced vernalization need. The varieties with alleles *Vrn-H1-4* and *Vrn-H1-6* require a vernalization period clearly shorter than that needed by other winter types (Casao et al., 2011a), but still longer than required by winter types (Hemming et al., 2009; Szűcs et al., 2007; von Zitzewitz et al., 2005). Intermediate genotypes were highly prevalent among landraces developed in autumn-sowing areas in the Mediterranean basin, such as Southern Spain, regions with warm winters (Casao et al., 2011b; Yahiaoui et al., 2008).

The genes of vernalization, photoperiod-response and earliness per se (*Eam* or *Eps* genes) play very important roles in barley adaptation. Francia et al. (2011) reported that, in the Nure × Tremois (winter × spring) population, adaptation to Mediterranean environments was driven by the allelic constitution at three major genes, *Vrn-H1*, *Ppd-H2* and *Eam6*, whose candidate gene is *HvCEN* (Comadran et al., 2012). They reported that the three genes together explained almost half of the genotypic variation (47.2% sum of squares) and more than a quarter (26.3%) of genotype × environment (GE) interaction.

Egypt extends between 22° N and 31.5° N and 25° E and 35° E, with an overall area of approximately 1×10^6 km². The agricultural area, though not very large (3.7 Mha), is concentrated in the northern part of the country and along the Nile river valley in a long North-South axis (Hereher, 2013). This geographical domain is characterized by mild and rainy winters, as well as hot and dry summers. The annual average minimum and maximum temperatures are 15.8 °C and 24.6 °C, respectively. While summer maximum

temperatures commonly exceed 30 °C, winter minimum temperatures infrequently fall below 10 °C (Fig. 1). Extreme temperatures and high evapotranspiration in spring, together with low and variable precipitations, necessitate the use of irrigation in over 97% of agricultural land (Karajeh et al., 2013). Recently, a range of studies have described climate variability at various spatial and temporal scales across Egypt, reporting a strong intra-decadal variability of winter minimum temperature (Domroes and El-Tantawi, 2005; Donat et al., 2014; El Kenawy et al., 2009; Hasanean, 2004; Hasanean and Basset, 2006). These low winter temperatures may constrain the growth of spring genotypes during wintertime. As such, it is sensible to question whether the spring type is the optimum growth habit for Egypt, in particular, and for other Mediterranean areas with similar climatic conditions, in general. Another relevant question is whether other growth types, such as winter with low vernalization requirements (intermediate type), could also be well suited under current climatic conditions in Egypt.

The main objectives of this study are two-fold. Firstly, to assess the agronomic performance of a range of selected spring, winter and intermediate barley genotypes under different climatic conditions across Egypt. Secondly, to evaluate whether intermediate and winter types could meet their vernalization requirements under current climatic conditions within the Egyptian context. To our knowledge, this study presents the first attempt to tackle these questions in Egypt.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

In this study, we employed fourteen barley genotypes representing three different growth habits: winter, intermediate and spring (Table 1). Among these types, seven are supposedly spring cultivars from the Egyptian list of recommended cultivars. Due to the lack of local germplasm for winter and intermediate types, we included seven genotypes (winter, intermediate and spring) successfully cultivated in Spain.

2.2. Field trials

Eight field trials were conducted at three locations during the growing seasons in the period 2013–2016. Two sites, namely Elkhatar (Kh) and Ghazala (Gh), were located at experimental farms belonging to the Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University. The third

site (Ras-Sudr) was located in Southern Sinai and was managed by the Desert Research Center (Table 2). These three sites represent different environmental conditions, particularly in terms of climate and soil types (Tables S1, S2). At Ghazala, soil is roughly clay (48% clay), while it is mostly sandy at Ras-Sudr (86% sand) and Elkhatarah (94% sand). Moreover, Ras-Sudr is largely affected by salinity (Table S1), originating from both irrigation (4500 ppm) and the soil (5535 ppm). The experimental design with all environments was randomized complete blocks, with three replications. Individual plots consisted of six rows, 4 m long, and 20 cm spacing between rows. The plots were irrigated according to the standard practice for each location, using surface irrigation at Ghazala (250 mm in total for the entire season) and Ras-Sudr (450 mm), while Elkhatarah (400 mm) was sprinkler irrigated. Application of nitrogen, potassium and phosphate fertilizers and pest, disease and weed control were carried out following the recommended agronomic practices for each region.

2.3. Climatic data

Daily minimum and maximum temperatures of three meteorological observatories, maintained by the Egyptian Meteorological Authority (EMA), were recorded for the last 34 years (1983–2016). These observatories were chosen based on their proximity to the experimental sites (Table 3), all being located within a maximum distance of 10 km. The selected observatories had complete records, with almost no missing values or gaps covering the whole period. To ensure the quality of the climatic data, the original dataset was tested for quality and homogeneity. This procedure aimed at identifying anomalous and suspicious data in the observatories and accordingly minimizing uncertainty related to the dataset itself (Eischeid et al., 2000; Reek et al., 1992). A detailed description of this procedure was reported by El Kenawy et al. (2013).

Here, we employed daily minimum temperature to assess changes in the frequency and magnitude of cold nights for the three meteorological observatories. For each month during an extended winter (December, January, February and March), cold nights, defined by a minimum temperature below 10 °C, were counted (Table S2). We believe that this figure is a good proxy for vernalization potential at each site, given that vernalization of barley reaches its peak at around 9 °C and loses effectiveness at up to 12 °C (Trione and Metzger, 1970).

2.4. Traits

The traits recorded were days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, number of spikes per square meter, number of grains per spike, thousand-grain weight, grain yield and above-ground dry matter. Days to heading represented the number of days between sowing and the date when approximately 2 cm of awns were visible on 50% of stems in the plot. Days to maturity represented the number of days between sowing and the day when approximately 50% of the spikes had ripened (turned to yellow). The grain filling period was computed as the difference between days to heading and days to maturity. Plant height was the distance in centimetres from soil surface to the top of the spike, excluding awns. The number of spikes in 0.5 m² was counted at maturity at two representative spots in each plot. The number of grains per spike was recorded from ten randomly chosen spikes at each plot. Both grain yield and above-ground dry matter were measured by harvesting four central rows from each plot and converting the weight to kilograms per hectare, based on the plot area that was harvested. Thousand-grain weight was determined as the weight of 1000 grains sampled from the harvest of four central rows.

2.5. Genotyping

The genetic constitution for the vernalization and photoperiod genes of the European genotypes used in this study was known in some cases, such as Orria and Cierzo (Mansour et al., 2014) and Hispanic (Casao et al., 2011b), but it was unknown for the remaining cultivars, including all Egyptian ones. Accordingly, genomic DNA was extracted from individual leaf samples of the seven Egyptian cultivars, Barberousse, Graphic, Tardana and Pewter using the NucleoSpin Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). Gene-specific marker assays were performed for the different genes. *VrnH1* was scored according to the size of the first intron of its candidate *HvBM5A* (von Zitzewitz et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2003) and the alleles coded as in Hemming et al. (2009). *VrnH2* was evaluated as the presence of *HvZCCT-Ha* and *HvZCCT-Hb* (Karsai et al., 2005). *Vrn-H3* was assayed for the two polymorphisms described by Yan et al. (2006) in the first intron, then codified as in Casas et al. (2011). *PpdH1* was genotyped using SNP22 in the CCT (Constans, Constans-like, TOC1) domain of its candidate gene *HvPRR7* gene after digestion with BstU I (Turner et al., 2005). *Ppd-H2* was tested, analysing the presence/absence of the gene as previously reported (Faure et al., 2007; Casao et al., 2011a). Two SNPs in *HvCEN* were genotyped using specific KASPar markers (LGC Genomics LLC, UK). SNP positions refer to the Morex HvCEN JX648191 nucleotide sequence; intron2_T853C

Primer_AlleleFAM GAGCAATCAAAAGCCTAACGACC, Primer_AlleleHEX
 CGAGCAATCAAAAGCCTAACGACT, Primer_Common
 YCATGTTGTGCTGAGCTTATTGGTCTT; exon4_C1320G Primer_AlleleFAM
 AGTCCCTGGTGGAGGGAGG, Primer_AlleleHEX AGTCCCTGGTGGAGGGAGC,
 Primer_Common CAGAAGAAGCGGCAGGCCATGAA. The resulting haplotypes
 were coded according to Comadran et al. (2012).

2.6. Statistical analysis

A combined analysis of variance for each trait was performed, considering genotype, location and year as fixed factors. The analysis was performed using the unbalanced analysis of variance procedure provided in GenStat (version 18). In addition, the least significant difference between genotypes was estimated at the 5% significance level. The additive main effect and multiplicative interaction (AMMI) model (Gauch, 2006) was performed to assess patterns of stability for grain yield and days to heading. Other stability measures, ecovalence (Wricke, 1962) and the index of cultivar superiority of Lin and Binns (1988), were calculated as indicators of genotype-by-environment interaction.

3. Results

3.1. Climate conditions and barley vernalization

The potential for vernalization was evaluated at the three experimental sites by assessing changes in the number of cold nights (i.e. nights with a minimum temperature below 10 °C). This index was computed independently for each winter month (December, January, February and March) from 2013 to 2016. Results suggested a high number of cold nights in all months and at all meteorological sites (Fig. 2). However, the occurrence of cold nights was slightly higher during January compared to other months. Ras-Sudr showed a relatively higher number of cold nights relative to Ghazala and Elkhatar. In the majority of winter months and sites, minimum temperature during cold nights was in the range 6.5–9.4 °C, as depicted in Fig. S1. Taken together, our findings demonstrate a markedly high number of cold nights in all sites during wintertime and early spring, suggesting favourable climatic conditions for barley vernalization in Egyptian environments.

3.2. Genotypic differences with respect to growth habit genes

We detected unexpected genotypic differences in the vernalization genes in some local cultivars. In particular, two Egyptian cultivars, Giza 123 and Giza 126, were found to contain *Vrn-H1-4* and a dominant *Vrn-H2* allele, meaning that they are intermediate types

similar to European genotypes Orria and Cierzo. In addition, the two strict winter genotypes, Barberousse and Hispanic, were verified as having a recessive *vrn-H1* and dominant *Vrn-H2*. Therefore, it was expected that they would require a considerable vernalization time to achieve timely induction of flowering, namely 6–8 weeks of cold temperatures. The remaining genotypes were classified as spring types, with dominant *Vrn-H1* alleles (-2, -3) and no vernalization requirements (Table 1). Regarding *HvCEN*, all local cultivars but one presented haplotype II (the fast one, according to Comadran et al., 2012), the same as European winter cultivars, and only one (Giza 127) had the haplotype III (the slow one), typical of European spring cultivars (Table 1). It is also noteworthy that almost all Egyptian cultivars contained the dominant allele at *Vrn-H2*, and the sensitive alleles at *Ppd-H1* and *Ppd-H2*, and the TC SNPs (the fast haplotype, according to Casas et al., 2011) at *Vrn-H3*. Therefore, this small set of Egyptian genotypes presented a genetic constitution at these major genes clearly veered towards earliness.

Another interesting finding is that, although the Egyptian cultivars are assumed to be of spring growth type, our results demonstrate that they belong to two distinct types, intermediate (Giza 123 and Giza 126) and spring (the remaining five cultivars). With regard to *HvCEN*, six Egyptian genotypes presented haplotype II, typical of European winter cultivars, whereas only one had the typical allele of spring cultivars. Therefore, there were spring and intermediate genotypes from both Egyptian and European origins in this study.

3.3. Genotypic performance in different environments

The analysis of variance revealed strong statistically significant differences among genotypes, locations and years for all studied traits (Table 4), albeit with a smaller effect of years compared to locations and genotypes. The location effect was larger than the genotypic effect for all traits, apart from days to heading, number of spikes per square meter and number of grains/spike. Significant interactions between genotypes, locations and years were detected for all traits. Interestingly, genotype-by-environment interaction for grain yield was dominated by genotype-by-location effects rather than genotype-by-year.

Mean yields were highest at Ghazala, intermediate at Elkhataara, and lowest at Ras-Sudr (Table S3). Causes of these differences could be the favorable soil conditions at Ghazala, which has higher water holding capacity than soils of other sites, and possibly more

nutrients (Table S1). In particular, this type of soil has a slower drainage capacity and experiences less warming during springtime compared to sandy soils at Elkhatar and Ras-Sudr. These favourable conditions resulted in a better performance of cultivars throughout the growth cycle in Ghazala, as reflected in the higher grain yield and biomass, increased number of spikes per square-meter and larger thousand grain weight attained at this location compared to the other two locations (Tables S3, S5, S6, S7). On the other hand, the poor performance at Ras-Sudr is likely to be associated with salinity, which is prevalent in the region. All winter and intermediate genotypes, except for Barberousse, showed good performance in terms of grain yield under different environmental conditions (Tables 5, S3). Pewter and Giza 126 produced the highest grain yield in all trials, ranging from 3538 (Giza 126 at RAS15) to 7966 (Pewter at Gh14) kg ha⁻¹. Similarly, Orria and Cierzo exhibited high values in all trials, with values ranging from 2508 (Orria at Sudr 16) to 7383 (Cierzo at Gh14) kg ha⁻¹.

With respect to days to heading, the overall mean values for the Egyptian cultivars showed a narrow span of 5 days (between 87 and 92 days after sowing). Overall, the European genotypes were clearly later (96 to 117 days; Table 5). Irrespective of these large differences in days to heading among the Egyptian and European cultivars, some of the foreign genotypes were as productive as the local ones, suggesting a potential of non-conventional germplasm in the Egyptian conditions. The latest genotype, Barberousse (winter), was clearly the least productive among all genotypes. It did reach heading and a moderate yield at all sites, indicating that this cultivar is probably out of its agroecological niche for good performance. In contrast, the performance of the other winter genotype (Hispanic) was very close to some of the Egyptian cultivars. This finding reveals the presence of ample variation for adaptation among winter cultivars, an interesting issue that deserves investigation in the future. In addition, Tardana showed a remarkable delay in flowering compared to other genotypes. The other five European genotypes reached heading between 96 and 99 days after sowing, which is about 7–10 days later than the local genotypes. However, grain yield did not appear to be affected by late flowering, except for Barberousse.

Generally, spring genotypes were earlier in heading and maturity than intermediate and winter ones (Tables 5, S3, S4). The two winter genotypes, Barberousse and Hispanic, showed very different heading patterns. While Barberousse was very late, Hispanic had similar days to heading to highly productive genotypes, such as Pewter (spring) and

Cierzo and Orria (intermediate). Apparently, the two winter genotypes had very different earliness behavior. The earliest heading occurred at Ras-Sudr, mostly affected by the prevailing saline conditions.

Tables 5 and S5 indicate that the greatest above-ground dry matter in all studied environments occurred in some European genotypes, especially Tardana, Graphic and Pewter, compared to the Egyptian commercial cultivars. This feature may be a result of the longer growth cycle in the European cultivars. In contrast to other genotypes, Barberousse exhibited the lowest dry matter production in all environments.

Giza 128 and Pewter showed the highest number of spikes/m² in all trials (Tables 5, S6). In contrast, the lowest values were recorded for the Egyptian cultivars, Giza 2000, Giza 123 and Giza 133, with values ranging between 147 and 294 spikes/m². In comparison, winter and intermediate European genotypes showed intermediate and high values in all trials, varying from 180 (Tardana at Sudr16) to 615 spikes/m² (Pewter at Gh14).

The lower number of spikes in the Egyptian cultivars was compensated for by a large number of grains/spike, at least for the six-rowed ones (Giza 126, Giza 132 and Giza 133). Overall, the European genotypes showed lower values than local genotypes in all trials (Tables 5, S6). Overall, the lowest number of grains/spike was found in Giza 127, Giza 128 and Hispanic (all two-rowed genotypes).

The highest thousand-grain weight occurred in Pewter, Giza 126, Giza 127 and Giza 2000 in all trials, with values ranging from 39.31 (Giza 2000 at Sudr16) to 58.83 g (Giza 127 at GH 16) (Table 5, S7). Other genotypes, Orria, Cierzo and Hispanic, presented intermediate values, ranging from 35.90 g (Orria at Sudr16) to 51.15 g (Orria at Gh16). These results reveal significant differences among locations in terms of thousand-grain weight, as well as duration of the grain filling period. For these two traits, the largest values were obtained at Ghazala, while the lowest values were recorded at Ras-Sudr (Table S4, S7).

3.4. Genotype-by-environment interactions

The genotype-by-environment interaction for grain yield was dominated by the influence of winter cultivars. Barberousse and Hispanic presented the highest ecovalence (Table 6) and were also clearly the most distant from the origin in the AMMI biplot (Fig. 3). The intermediate genotypes, except Tardana, showed ecovalence values not larger and, in

some cases, were even smaller than in spring genotypes, and clustered close to the origin in the AMMI biplot (Fig. 3). This clearly indicates that they are at least as stable as spring cultivars. With regard to days to heading, roughly 90% of the genotype-by-environment interaction was summarized by only two principal components (Fig. 4). These first two axes showed a clear geographic prevalence of the G×E interaction. In particular, it presents some relatively later genotypes at Ghazala (Barberousse and Tardana), Elkhatar (Giza123, Giza126) and Ras-Sudr (Giza133, Giza128). These differences cannot only be seen in the context of vernalization potential, as the differences in the number of nights with low minimum temperatures were lower at Ghazala and Elkhatar, though being slightly higher at Ghazala. This finding clearly suggests that it is important to consider other climatic and environmental factors to explain the late behavior of Barberousse and Tardana at this location.

The correlation coefficients among traits in the eight field trials indicated the presence of slightly different patterns among the locations (Table S8).

4. Discussion

Climate change poses a great challenge to agricultural production all over the world (De la Casa and Ovando, 2014). Egypt is one of the developing countries which is highly exposed to climate change with increasing threats to food security (El Kenawy et al., 2009; El Shaer and Al Dakheel, 2017). Within this context, this study endeavors to evaluate the performance of winter and intermediate barley genotypes under Egyptian field conditions, with the aim of identifying other possible patterns of adaptation beyond the well-known strictly spring cultivars. Indeed, adaptation of cereals to climate change through more resilient crops is in highly demand, particularly in arid environments such as Egypt (Dwivedi et al., 2017; Ingvordsen et al., 2015; Kole et al., 2015; Veenstra et al., 2017). The projected climate changes under different scenarios of greenhouse gas emission could induce changes in the frequency, intensity and duration of extreme weather thermal conditions, with drastic impacts on winter cereal crops (Lv et al., 2013). Currently, there is a trend to go back to landraces in search of adaptation traits to improve yields for stressful conditions (Newton et al., 2010). However, local varieties and landraces per se, at least in Mediterranean conditions, are only competitive below rather low yield thresholds (Pswarayi et al., 2008; Yahiaoui et al., 2014). New genetic combinations with increased adaptation could be developed by hybridization between

foreign and local varieties to obtain genotypes that could perform better under anomalous low winter temperatures specific to the Egyptian continental climate.

It is remarkable that, for most traits, the largest interaction factor involving genotypes was *genotype* $\times$ *location* (G $\times$ L), indicating a dominant geographic factor in the interaction. The distinctiveness of the saline environment of Ras-Sudr has already been pointed out, as well as the superior water holding capacity of Ghazala. In fact, grain yield showed a clear positive correlation with the duration of the grain-filling period only at Ghazala (Table S8), whereas in the other two locations this correlation was weaker or even negative. This indicates better conditions at Ghazala during grain filling, also suggested by the larger correlation coefficients of thousand-grain weight with grain yield at Ghazala.

Our results indicate that the range of optimum flowering dates was larger than the narrow window displayed by the local genotypes. For instance, the UK-bred cultivar Pewter, which is on average one week later than the Egyptian cultivars, showed good adaptation to the Egyptian environment, with the second highest yield average among all genotypes. Also, the intermediate genotypes showed a generally similar performance to the spring ones.

Intermediate genotypes were the most abundant group in barley landraces from southern Spain (Casao et al., 2011b), indicating specific adaptation to the warm Mediterranean winters. They are characterized by having a winter allele at *Vrn-H2*, combined with allele *Vrn-H1-4*, an active allele at *Ppd-H2* and a sensitive allele at *Ppd-H1*. This combination is uncommon in winter cultivars from northern latitudes (Casao et al., 2011b; Hemming et al., 2009; Szűcs et al., 2007). The two Egyptian cultivars found with this haplotype for those four genes were among the best performers in this experiment (ranked first and fourth for grain yield), with low genotype-by-environment interaction and good stability. It could be speculated that these commonalities of adaptation haplotypes and growth habit between the Egyptian cultivars and the Spanish landraces could be traced to common ancestry dating back to the Neolithic expansion of the crop through the Mediterranean basin. This syndrome of adaptation has only recently been documented and has neither been consciously exploited in breeding nor thoroughly tested. This study confirms the potential of the supposedly adapted germplasm, such as the European spring genotypes (e.g. Pewter) and intermediate genotypes (e.g. Cierzo, Orria, Giza123 and Giza 126), for breeding for autumn sowings in Egypt. Importantly, this finding might be applicable to

other regions with warmer winters which are not limited to the Mediterranean basin. Indeed, Rodriguez et al. (2008) reached a similar conclusion, stating that improved genotypes incorporating adaptation from landraces tolerated erratically variable conditions better than other varieties and landraces themselves.

Some intermediate European genotypes (e.g. Cierzo and Orria) presented desirable high values for the number of grains/spike, grain weight and grain and straw yield, compared to local commercial cultivars. Though not specifically tested in this experiment, these genotypes are a potential source of cold tolerance for any unfavorable climate change. In fact, the population from which Cierzo originated showed the most important grain yield QTL at *Vrn-H1* (Igartua et al., 2015; Mansour et al., 2014) in field trials carried out in Spain, with *Vrn-H1-4* as the favourable allele (in contrast with a winter allele). As such, they could be introduced in barley breeding programs for releasing new adapted genotypes which are resilient to climate change. The potential for improving yields in Mediterranean environments by modifying *Vrn-H1* allele with exotic material has been previously demonstrated by Rollins et al. (2013), by using Australian spring cultivar Keel as donor.

It was remarkable that all introduced winter and intermediate genotypes reached flowering, indicating that the accumulation of low temperature under the Egyptian climatic condition meets the vernalization requirements of these genotypes. Nonetheless, the cold period was insufficient to meet the requirements of a more strict winter barley (such as Barberousse) in order to achieve high yield. Recalling that the three selected experimental sites are located in northern Egypt, it is expected that these genotypes will be induced for flowering even more in southern Egypt, because southern Egypt has a more continental climate and, thus, colder winters than in northern Egypt (El Kenawy et al., 2010; 2016).

It is noticeable that a winter genotype, such as Hispanic, flowered as early as some intermediate and spring genotypes. Even its yield level, though low, was not dissimilar to the spring genotypes. Although it cannot be inferred that this genotype was adapted to the conditions of these experiments, it performed unexpectedly well for a winter genotype. Hispanic has a similar vernalization requirement to Barberousse (E. Igartua, unpublished), but the effect of *Ppd-H2* on hastening development under short days seems to be fully exploited in the Egyptian conditions. Hispanic has been one of the most

successful cultivars in Spain for the last 20 years. According to the official data of the Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Environment (MAPAMA, 2017), it has consistently been among the top three cultivars for sales of certified seed since 2009. It presents the active allele at *Ppd-H2*, providing extra earliness and a complement for vernalization when this is not complete (Casao et al., 2011b). Taken together, it can be seen that the genetic features of this cultivar facilitate its adaptation to a wide spectrum of environmental conditions, being a resilient cultivar. This message should be of interest to breeders seeking adaptations to climate change conditions in Egypt and other countries with similar climatic conditions.

5. Conclusions

This study presents the first attempt to assess the agronomic value of different growth habits of barley in the Egyptian environment. A particular focus is the evaluation of whether vernalization requirements in barley can be impacted significantly by recent climate change and variability over Egypt. Results demonstrate that, under the current climate conditions, both winter and intermediate barley genotypes revealed their potential in the exploration of alternative patterns of adaptation. In addition, this study offers the possibility to widen the available genetic base for breeding by displaying the potential of yet unexploited genotypes with good adaptation for increased yield and stability. Consequently, genetic variability could be exploited by crossing these genotypes with commercial cultivars, with the aim of realizing genotypes that could perform better under limited vernalization requirements, which can be fulfilled in the Egyptian environment.

It was assumed that all commercial barley cultivars in Egypt have a strict spring growth habit. Nonetheless, this study suggests that there are, at least, two currently used cultivars (Giza 123 and Giza 126) which are intermediate genotypes with likely limited vernalization requirements. These genotypes could be advantageous in breeding in regions with warmer winters, which are common in the Mediterranean basin.

This study indicates that the range of optimum heading date is larger than the narrow range exhibited by the commercial cultivars. This finding was evident for cultivar Pewter, which was later than the latest Egyptian cultivars and exhibited higher grain yield and above-ground dry matter production, representing good adaptation. Overall, these findings demonstrate the potential of non-conventional germplasm to face the future challenges of climate change in Egypt and possibly in other Mediterranean countries.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Egyptian Meteorological Society for providing the climatic data used in this study. AMC and EI acknowledge funding from Spanish MINECO, grants AGL2013-48756-R and AGL2016-80967-R.

References

- Bratzel, F., Turck, F., 2015. Molecular memories in the regulation of seasonal flowering: from competence to cessation. *Genome Biol.* 16, 192.
- Casao, M.C., Igartua, E., Karsai, I., Lasa, J.M., Gracia, M.P., Casas, A.M. 2011a. Expression analysis of vernalization and day-length response genes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) indicates that *VRNH2* is a repressor of *PPDH2* (*HvFT3*) under long days. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 62, 1939–1949.
- Casao, M.C., Karsai, I., Igartua, E., Gracia, M.P., Veisz, O., Casas, A.M., 2011b. Adaptation of barley to mild winters: a role for *PPDH2*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11, 164.
- Casas, A.M., Djemel, A., Yahiaoui, S., Ponce, L.J., Contreras-Moreira, B., Gracia, M.P., Lasa, J.M., Igartua, E., 2011. *HvFT1* (*VrnH3*) drives latitudinal adaptation in Spanish barleys. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122, 1293–1304.
- Cockram, J., Chiapparino, E., Taylor, S.A., Stamati, K., Donini, P., Laurie, D.A., O’Sullivan, D.M., 2007. Haplotype analysis of vernalization loci in European barley germplasm reveals novel *VRN-H1* alleles and a predominant winter *VRN-H1/VRN-H2* multi-locus haplotype. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 115, 993–1001.
- Comadran, J., Kilian, B., Russell, J., Ramsay, L., Stein, N., Ganai, M., Shaw, P., Bayer, M., Thomas, W., Marshall, D., Hedley, P., Tondelli, A., Pecchioni, N., Francia, E., Korzun, V., Walther, A., Waugh, R., 2012. Natural variation in a homolog of *Antirrhinum CENTRORADIALIS* contributed to spring growth habit and environmental adaptation in cultivated barley. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 1388-1392.
- Cuesta-Marcos, A., Muñoz-Amatriaín, M., Filichkin, T., Karsai, I., Trevaskis, B., Yasuda, S., Hayes, P., Sato, K., 2015. The relationships between development and low temperature tolerance in barley near isogenic lines differing for flowering behavior. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 2312–2324.

- De la Casa, A., Ovando, G., 2014. Climate change and its impact on agricultural potential in the central region of Argentina between 1941 and 2010. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* 195, 1–11.
- Deng, W., Casao, M.C., Wang, P., Sato, K., Hayes, P.M., Finnegan, E.J., Trevaskis, B., 2015. Direct links between the vernalization response and other key traits of cereal crops. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 5882.
- Domroes, M., El Tantawi, A., 2005. Recent temporal and spatial temperature changes in Egypt. *Int. J. Climatol.* 25, 51–63.
- Donat, M., Peterson, T., Brunet, M., King, A., Almazroui, M., Kolli, R., Boucherf, D., Al Mulla, A.Y., Nour, A.Y., Aly, A.A., 2014. Changes in extreme temperature and precipitation in the Arab region: long-term trends and variability related to ENSO and NAO. *Int. J. Climatol.* 34, 581–592.
- Dubcovsky, J., Loukoianov, A., Fu, D., Valarik, M., Sanchez, A., Yan, L., 2006. Effect of photoperiod on the regulation of wheat vernalization genes *VRN1* and *VRN2*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 60, 469–480.
- Dwivedi, S.L., Scheben, A., Edwards, D., Spillane, C., Ortiz, R., 2017. Assessing and exploiting functional diversity in germplasm pools to enhance abiotic stress adaptation and yield in cereals and food legumes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1461.
- Eischeid, J.K., Pasteris, P.A., Diaz, H.F., Plantico, M.S., Lott, N.J., 2000. Creating a serially complete, national daily time series of temperature and precipitation for the western United States. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 39, 1580–1591.
- El Kenawy, A., López-Moreno, J.I., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Morsi, F., 2010. Climatological modeling of monthly air temperature and precipitation in Egypt through GIS techniques. *Climate Res.* 42, 161–176.
- El Kenawy, A., López Moreno, J., Stepanek, P., Vicente-Serrano, S., 2013. An assessment of the role of homogenization protocol in the performance of daily temperature series and trends: application to northeastern Spain. *Int. J. Climatol.* 33, 87–108.
- El Kenawy, A.M., McCabe, M., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Robaa, S.M., Lopez-Moreno, J.I., 2016. Recent changes in continentality and aridity conditions over the Middle East and North Africa region, and their association with circulation patterns. *Climate Res.* 69, 25–43.

- 528 El Kenawy, A., López-Moreno, J.I., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Abd-Elaal, M., 2009.
 529 Temperature variability along the Mediterranean Coast and its links to Large Scale
 530 Atmospheric Circulations (1957-2006). *Bul. Soc. Geograph. Égypte* 83, 12–26.
- 531 El Shaer, H.M., Al Dakheel, A., 2017. Adaptation to climate change in Egyptian marginal
 532 environments through sustainable crop and livestock diversification: A case study.
 533 In: Leal Filho, W., Simane, B., Kalangu, J., Wuta, M., Munishi, P., & Musiyiwa,
 534 K., (Eds.), *Climate Change Adaptation in Africa*. Springer International
 535 Publishing, pp. 141–152.
- 536 Evans, L., Wardlaw, I., Fischer, R., 1975. Wheat, in Evans, L.T. (Ed.), *Crop physiology*,
 537 Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, pp. 101–149.
- 538 Faure, S., Higgins, J., Turner, A., Laurie, D. A. 2007. The *FLOWERING LOCUS T*-like
 539 gene family in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Genetics* 176, 599–609.
- 540 Francia, E., Tondelli, A., Rizza, F., Badeck, F.W., Nicosia, O.L.D., Akar, T., Grando, S.,
 541 Al-Yassin, A., Benbelkacem, A., Thomas, W.T.B., 2011. Determinants of barley
 542 grain yield in a wide range of Mediterranean environments. *Field Crops Res.* 120,
 543 169–178.
- 544 Fu, D., Szűcs, P., Yan, L., Helguera, M., Skinner, J.S., Von Zitzewitz, J., Hayes, P.M.,
 545 Dubcovsky, J., 2005. Large deletions within the first intron in *VRN-1* are
 546 associated with spring growth habit in barley and wheat. *Mol. Genet. Genomics*
 547 273, 54–65.
- 548 Gauch, H.G., 2006. Statistical analysis of yield trials by AMMI and GGE. *Crop Sci.* 46,
 549 1488–1500.
- 550 Gorash, A., Armonienė, R., Liatukas, Ž., Brazauskas, G., 2017. The relationship among
 551 freezing tolerance, vernalization requirement, *Ppd* alleles and winter hardiness in
 552 European wheat cultivars. *J. Agr. Sci-Cambridge* 155, 1353–1370.
- 553 Hasanean, H., 2004. Wintertime surface temperature in Egypt in relation to the associated
 554 atmospheric circulation. *Int. J. Climatol.* 24, 985–999.
- 555 Hasanean, H., Basset, H.A., 2006. Variability of summer temperature over Egypt. *Int. J.*
 556 *Climatol.* 26, 1619–1634.
- 557 Hayes, P., Chen, F.Q., Corey, A., Pan, A., Chen, T.H., Baird, E., Powell, W., Thomas,
 558 W., Waugh, R., Bedo, Z., 1997. The Dicktoo × Morex population. In Li, P.H. and
 559 Chen, T.H.H. /Eds.), *Plant Cold Hardiness*. Springer Science+Business Media,
 560 LLC, New York, pp. 77–87.

- Hemming, M.N., Fieg, S., Peacock, W.J., Dennis, E.S., Trevaskis, B., 2009. Regions associated with repression of the barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) *VERNALIZATION1* gene are not required for cold induction. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 282, 107–117.
- Hereher, M.E., 2013. The status of Egypt's agricultural lands using MODIS Aqua data. *Egypt. J. Remote Sens. Space Sci.*, 16, 83–89.
- Igartua E., Mansour, E., Cantalapiedra, C.P., Contreras-Moreira, B., Gracia, M.P., López-Fuster, P., Escribano, J., Molina-Cano, J.L., Moralejo, M., Ciudad, F.J., Thomas, W.T.B., Karsai, I., Casas, A-M., 2015. Selection footprints in barley breeding lines detected by combining genotyping-by-sequencing with reference genome information. *Mol. Breeding*, 35, 11.
- Ingvorsen, C.H., Backes, G., Lyngkjær, M.F., Peltonen-Sainio, P., Jahoor, A., Mikkelsen, T.N., Jørgensen, R.B., 2015. Genome-wide association study of production and stability traits in barley cultivated under future climate scenarios. *Mol. Breeding* 35, 84.
- Karajeh, F., Oweis, T., Swelam, A., El-Gindy, A., Shams, A., El-Quosy, D.E.D., Khalifa, H., El-Kholy, M., El-Hafez, S.A.A., 2013. Water and Agriculture in Egypt. Technical paper based on the Egypt-Australia-ICARDA Workshop on On-farm Water-use Efficiency. July 2011, Cairo-Egypt. ICARDA working paper. Available at https://apps.icarda.org/wsInternet/wsInternet.aspx/DownloadFileToLocal?filePath=Working_Paper_Series/Water_Agriculture.pdf&fileName=Water_Agriculture.pdf [Accessed 02 Feb 2018].
- Karsai, I., Mészáros, K., Lang, L., Hayes, P., Bedő, Z., 2001. Multivariate analysis of traits determining adaptation in cultivated barley. *Plant Breeding* 120, 217–222.
- Karsai, I., Szűcs, P., Mészáros, K., Filichkina, T., Hayes, P., Skinner, J., Láng, L., Bedő, Z., 2005. The *Vrn-H2* locus is a major determinant of flowering time in a facultative × winter growth habit barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) mapping population. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110, 1458–1466.
- Kole, C., Muthamilarasan, M., Henry, R., Edwards, D., Sharma, R., Abberton, M., Batley, J., Bentley, A., Blakeney, M., Bryant, J., 2015. Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: progress and prospects. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 6, 563.

- 593 Laurie, D., Pratchett, N., Snape, J., Bezant, J., 1995. RFLP mapping of five major genes
594 and eight quantitative trait loci controlling flowering time in a winter × spring
595 barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cross. *Genome* 38, 575–585.
- 596 Lin, C.-S., Binns, M.R., 1988. A superiority measure of cultivar performance for cultivar
597 × location data. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 68, 193–198.
- 598 Lv, Z., Liu, X., Cao, W., Zhu, Y., 2013. Climate change impacts on regional winter wheat
599 production in main wheat production regions of China. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* 171,
600 234–248.
- 601 Mansour E., Casas, A.M., Gracia, M.P., Molina-Cano, J.L., Moralejo, M., Cattivelli, L.,
602 Thomas, W.T.B., Igartua, E., 2014. Quantitative trait loci for agronomic traits in
603 an elite barley population for Mediterranean conditions. *Mol. Breeding* 33, 249–
604 265
- 605 MAPAMA, 2017. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Environment of Spain.
606 Available at [http://www.mapama.gob.es/es/agricultura/estadisticas/estadisticas-](http://www.mapama.gob.es/es/agricultura/estadisticas/estadisticas-semillas.aspx)
607 [semillas.aspx](http://www.mapama.gob.es/es/agricultura/estadisticas/estadisticas-semillas.aspx). [Accessed 05 Oct-2017].
- 608 Newton, A.C., Akar, T., Baresel, J.P., Bebeli, P.J., Bettencourt, E., Bladenopoulos, K.V.,
609 Czembor, J.H. Fasoula, D.A. Katsiotis, A., Koutis, K., Koutsika-Sotiriou, M.,
610 Kovacs, G., Larsson, H., Pinheiro de Carvalho, M.A.A., Rubiales, D., Russell, J.
611 Dos Santos, T.M.M., Vaz Patto, M.C., 2010. Cereal landraces for sustainable
612 agriculture. A review. *Agron. Sust. Develop.* 30, 237–269.
- 613 Pswarayi, A., Van Eeuwijk, F.A., Ceccarelli, S., Grando, S., Comadran, J., Russell, J.R.,
614 Francia, E., Pecchioni, N., Li Destri, O., Akar, T. Al-Yassin, A., Benbelkacem,
615 A., Choumane, W., Karrou, M., Ouabbou, H., Bort, J., Araus, J.L., Molina-Cano,
616 J.L., Thomas, W.T.B., Romagosa, I., 2008. Barley adaptation and improvement
617 in the Mediterranean basin. *Plant Breeding* 127, 554–560.
- 618 Reek, T., Doty, S.R., Owen, T.W., 1992. A deterministic approach to the validation of
619 historical daily temperature and precipitation data from the cooperative network.
620 *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 73, 753–762.
- 621 Rodriguez, M., Rau, D., Papa, R., Attene, G., 2008. Genotype by environment
622 interactions in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.): different responses of landraces,
623 recombinant inbred lines and varieties to Mediterranean environment. *Euphytica*
624 163, 231–247.
- 625 Rollins, J.A., Drosse, B., Mulki, M.A., Grando, S., Baum, M., Singh, M. Ceccarelli, S.,
626 von Korff, M., 2013. Variation at the vernalisation genes *Vrn-H1* and *Vrn-H2*

- determines growth and yield stability in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) grown under dryland conditions in Syria. Theor. Appl. Genet. 126, 2803–2824.
- Saisho, D., Ishii, M., Hori, K., Sato, K., 2011. Natural variation of barley vernalization requirements: implication of quantitative variation of winter growth habit as an adaptive trait in East Asia. Plant Cell Physiol. 52, 775-784.
- Sharma, N., Ruelens, P., D'hauw, M., Maggen, T., Dochy, N., Torfs, S., Kaufmann, K., Rohde, A., Geuten, K., 2017. A Flowering Locus C homolog is a vernalization-regulated repressor in *Brachypodium* and is cold regulated in wheat. Plant Physiol. 173, 1301–1315.
- Szücs, P., Skinner, J.S., Karsai, I., Cuesta-Marcos, A., Haggard, K.G., Corey, A.E., Chen, T.H., Hayes, P.M., 2007. Validation of the *VRN-H2/VRN-H1* epistatic model in barley reveals that intron length variation in *VRN-H1* may account for a continuum of vernalization sensitivity. Mol. Genet. Genomics 277, 249–261.
- Takahashi, R., Yasuda, S., 1971. Genetics of earliness and growth habit in barley, in Nilan R.A. (Ed.) Barley Genetics II (Proceedings of the Second International Barley Genetics Symposium). Washington State University press, Pullman, WA, pp. 388–408.
- Tian, D., Asseng, S., Martinez, C.J., Misra, V., Cammarano, D., Ortiz, B.V., 2015. Does decadal climate variation influence wheat and maize production in the southeast USA? Agr. Forest Meteorol. 204, 1–9.
- Trevaskis, B., Bagnall, D.J., Ellis, M.H., Peacock, W.J., Dennis, E.S., 2003. MADS box genes control vernalization-induced flowering in cereals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 13099–13104.
- Trione, E., Metzger, R., 1970. Wheat and barley vernalization in a precise temperature gradient. Crop Sci. 10, 390–392.
- Turner, A., Beales, J., Faure, S., Dunford, R.P., Laurie, D.A., 2005. The pseudo-response regulator *Ppd-H1* provides adaptation to photoperiod in barley. Science 310, 1031–1034.
- Veenstra, L.D., Jannink, J.-L., Sorrells, M.E., 2017. Wheat fructans: A potential breeding target for nutritionally improved, climate-resilient varieties. Crop Sci. 57, 1624–1640.
- von Zitzewitz, J., Szücs, P., Dubcovsky, J., Yan, L., Francia, E., Pecchioni, N., Casas, A., Chen, T.H., Hayes, P.M., Skinner, J.S., 2005. Molecular and structural characterization of barley vernalization genes. Plant Mol. Biol. 59, 449–467.

- 661 VSN International, 2015. GenStat for Windows 18th Edition. UK: VSN International,
 662 Hemel Hempstead. Web page: Genstat.co.uk.
- 663 Wricke, G., 1962. Über eine Methode zur Erfassung der ökologischen Streubreite in
 664 Feldversuchen. Z. Pflanzenzuchtung-Plant Breeding 47, 92–96.
- 665 Yahiaoui, S., Igartua, E., Moralejo, M., Ramsay, L., Molina-Cano, J., Lasa, J., Gracia,
 666 M., Casas, A., 2008. Patterns of genetic and eco-geographical diversity in Spanish
 667 barleys. Theor. Appl. Genet, 116, 271–282.
- 668 Yahiaoui, S., Cuesta-Marcos, A., Gracia, M.P., Medina, B., Lasa, J.M., Casas, A.M.,
 669 Ciudad, F.J., Montoya, J.L., Moralejo, M., Molina-Cano, J.L., Igartua, E., 2014.
 670 Spanish barley landraces outperform modern cultivars at low-productivity sites.
 671 Plant Breeding, 133, 218–226.
- 672 Yan, L., Loukoianov, A., Tranquilli, G., Helguera, M., Fahima, T., Dubcovsky, J., 2003.
 673 Positional cloning of the wheat vernalization gene *VRN1*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 674 USA, 100, 6263–6268.
- 675 Yan, L., Fu, D., Li, C., Blechl, A., Tranquilli, G., Bonafede, M., Sanchez, A., Valarik,
 676 M., Yasuda, S., Dubkovsky, J. 2006. The wheat and barley vernalization gene
 677 *VRN3* is an orthologue of *FT*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 103, 19581–19586.

Table 1. Characteristics of the barley genotypes tested in this study (recessive alleles highlighted to enhance visibility). Allelic information is provided according to codes described in the references listed in the footnote.

Genotype	Spike type	Origin	Year of release	Growth habit	<i>Vrn-H1</i> ¹	<i>Vrn-H2</i> ²	<i>Vrn-H3</i> ³	<i>Ppd-H1</i> ⁴	<i>PPD-H2</i> ⁵	<i>HvCEN</i> ⁶
Giza 123	6-rowed	Egypt	1988	Intermediate	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Giza 126	6-rowed	Egypt	1995	Intermediate	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Giza 127	2-rowed	Egypt	1995	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	III
Giza 128	2-rowed	Egypt	1995	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-3</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TG</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Giza 2000	6-rowed	Egypt	2000	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-2</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TG</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Giza 132	6-rowed	ICARDA	2006	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-?</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Giza 133	6-rowed	ICARDA	2011	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-?</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Barberousse	6-rowed	France	1977	Winter	<i>vrn-H1</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>ppd-H2</i>	II
Cierzo	6-rowed	Spain	2004	Intermediate	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>ppd-H2</i>	I
Graphic	2-rowed	UK	1992	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-1</i>	<i>vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	III
Hispanic	2-rowed	France	1993	Winter	<i>vrn-H1</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>AG</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	II
Orria	6-rowed	Spain	1993	Intermediate	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>ppd-H1</i>	<i>ppd-H2</i>	I
Tardana	6-rowed	Spain	2004	Intermediate	<i>Vrn-H1-4</i>	<i>Vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>Ppd-H1</i>	<i>ppd-H2</i>	I
Pewter	2-rowed	UK	2001	Spring	<i>Vrn-H1-2</i>	<i>vrn-H2</i>	<i>TC</i>	<i>ppd-H1</i>	<i>Ppd-H2</i>	III

¹ following the denominations of Hemming et al., (2009); allele coded as *Vrn-H1-?* indicates that it was a spring allele, different from the ones described Hemming et al. (2009), although the results did not allow a definitive allele call.

² presence (dominant)/absence (recessive), as in Karsai et al. (2005).

³ according to polymorphisms in the first intron; coded as in Casas et al. (2011), *TC* “early”, *AG* “late”, *TG* intragenic recombination.

⁴ alleles identified following Turner et al. (2005), dominant = G, recessive = T.

⁵ presence (dominant)/absence (recessive), as in Faure et al. (2007).

⁶ haplotypes following Comadran et al. (2012), I = CG, II = TC, III = TG.

Table 2. Locations, names, codes and main characteristics of the field trials.

Location	Growing season	Code	Sowing date	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)
Ghazala	2013–2014	Gh14	27/11/2013	30.6	31.6
Ghazala	2014–2015	Gh15	12/11/2014	30.6	31.6
Ghazala	2015–2016	Gh16	19/11/2015	30.6	31.6
Elkhatara	2013–2014	Kh14	22/11/2013	30.6	32.3
Elkhatara	2014–2015	Kh15	15/11/2014	30.6	32.3
Elkhatara	2015–2016	Kh16	15/11/2015	30.6	32.3
Ras-Sudr	2014–2015	Sudr15	28/11/2014	29.6	32.7
Ras-Sudr	2015–2016	Sudr16	11/11/2015	29.6	32.7

Table 3. Locations, geographic characteristics and distance to field trials of the meteorological observatories used to characterize the climate of the testing locations.

ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Altitude (m)	Tmin (C) *	Tmax (C) *	Tmean (C) *	Distance (km) †
Zagazig	30.6 °	31.5 °	17.0	14.7	28.3	21.5	10 (Ghazala)
Ismailia	30.6 °	32.2 °	11.0	15.3	29.0	22.1	7 (Elkhatara)
Ras-Sudr	29.6 °	32.7 °	16.0	14.3	27.9	21.1	3 (Ras-Sudr)

* Year average calculated using daily values for the period 1983–2016.

† Distance in km to the experimental site

Table 4. Sums of squares of the joint ANOVA analyses for each trait recorded. The statistical significance was tested at a confidence level of 95% ($p < 0.05$).

Source of variance	df	PH [†]		DH		DM		GFP		NS/m2		NGS		TGW		GY		AGDM	
Genotype(G)	13	550.6	**	1961.4	**	1159.7	**	179.1	**	239530.8	**	2133.9	**	260.3	**	10.39	**	46.26	**
Location (L)	2	4960.8	**	9709.0	**	42220.3	**	12276.0	**	860553.3	**	1007.8	**	2903.1	**	343.63	**	708.86	**
Year (Y)	2	1522.1	**	93.1	**	11.7	*	91.5	**	17385.2	**	177.3	**	183.3	**	5.97	**	245.87	**
GxL	26	56.0	**	363.4	**	110.6	**	223.1	**	15695.9	**	27.5	**	25.8	**	1.79	**	6.82	**
GxY	26	107.4	**	13.3	**	18.4	**	16.6	**	4766.3	**	25.7	**	34.2	**	0.84	**	4.08	**
LxY	3	168.7	**	413.1	**	365.5	**	274.5	**	3847.6	**	51.2	**	214.5	**	4.00	**	16.61	**
GxLxY	39	33.4	**	23.4	**	27.6	**	28.7	**	4768.2	**	13.0	**	13.5	**	0.68	**	5.69	**
Residual	222	43.6		4.9		3.3		7.8		43.6		1.1		2.1		0.06		0.37	
Total	335	16743.6		173.6		315.9		110.4		16743.6		96.7		38.1		2.85		9.40	

* p-value < 0.05 , ** p-value < 0.01 , df (degrees of freedom)

[†] PH: plant height (cm), DH: days to heading, DM: days to maturity, GFP: grain filling period, NS/m²: number of spikes per square meter, NGS: number of grains per spike, TGW: thousand-grain weight (g), GY: grain yield (ton/ha) and AGDM: above-ground dry matter (ton/ha).

Table 5. Overall genotypic mean values for each measured trait, across all field trials.

Genotypes	PH[†]	DH	DM	GFP	NS/m²	GNS	TGW	GY	AGDM
Giza 123	80.0	87.0	122.5	35.6	303	42.5	45.16	5316	16793
Giza 126	81.6	87.1	124.2	37.2	296	47.2	47.69	5864	16419
Giza 127	77.1	90.4	124.9	34.5	503	24.2	47.71	5232	15514
Giza 128	75.2	89.3	126.1	36.8	501	22.0	44.55	4702	14976
Giza 2000	79.8	88.2	126.3	38.2	272	40.3	46.76	4587	15419
Giza 132	78.0	86.8	125.0	38.2	256	44.7	44.87	4381	14544
Giza 133	79.0	91.9	125.1	33.2	260	43.5	47.1	4867	16599
Barberousse	71.8	117.0	145.0	28.1	296	37.3	36.12	3424	14081
Cierzo	74.4	96.9	131.5	34.6	330	43.5	43.63	5527	14617
Graphic	70.1	96.1	129.7	33.7	474	26.2	41.79	4924	18316
Hispanic	66.3	98.6	132.0	33.4	442	23.6	43.98	4150	16587
Orria	71.6	97.1	129.0	31.9	311	42.6	43.53	5302	16695
Pewter	67.5	98.6	131.1	32.5	518	24.3	47.35	5692	17322
Tardana	75.5	110.5	144.2	33.7	319	39.9	39.91	4611	18661
Average	74.9	95.4	129.8	34.4	363	35.8	44.30	4898	16182

[†] PH: plant height (cm), DH: days to heading, DM: days to maturity, GFP: grain filling period, NS/m²: number of spikes per square meter, NGS: number of grains per spike, TGW: thousand-grain weight (g), GY: grain yield (kg/ha) and AGDM: above-ground dry matter (kg/ha).

Table 6. Genotypic averages and stability indices.

Genotypes	Growth type	Yield (kg.ha ⁻¹)	Cultivar superiority (CS)	CS ranking	Ecovalence	Ecovalence ranking
Giza 123	Intermediate	5316	468645	5	1922949	9
Giza 126	Intermediate	5864	49981	1	1595788	8
Giza 127	Spring	5232	512742	6	2032988	10
Giza 128	Spring	4702	1236536	10	3935490	12
Giza 2000	Spring	4587	1221885	9	1486214	4
Giza 132	Spring	4381	1496050	12	1546351	6
Giza 133	Spring	4867	878804	8	1542560	5
Barberousse	Winter	3424	3866662	14	6661224	14
Cierzo	Intermediate	5527	225252	3	474327	1
Graphic	Spring	4924	844115	7	2478475	11
Hispanic	Winter	4150	2086422	13	4993739	13
Orria	Intermediate	5302	389163	4	558965	2
Pewter	Spring	5692	143443	2	894744	3
Tardana	Intermediate	4611	1254416	11	1550412	7

Figure 1. Monthly averages of minimum and maximum temperatures in the three selected observatories, averaged over the period 1983–2016.

Figure 2. Monthly frequency of cold nights, defined as days with minimum temperature lower than 10 °C during the extended winter (December–March) in three selected years.

Figure 3. Biplot summarizing the first two principal components resulting from the AMMI analysis of grain yield.

32

Supplementary Table 1. Main soil characteristics at the three experimental sites, Elkhatar, Ghazala and Ras-Sudr.

	Ghazala (Soil)	Khatara (Soil)	Ras-Sudr (Soil)	Ras-Sudr (Irrigation water)
Sand (%)	20.61	94.18	86.08	-
Silt (%)	31.82	4.35	8.05	-
Clay (%)	47.57	1.47	10.67	-
Textural class	Clay	Sandy	Sandy loam	-
CaCO ₃ (g kg ⁻¹)	6.14	6.80	56.99	-
Organic matter (g kg ⁻¹)	10.34	6.30	2.20	-
pH	8.02	8.07	7.78	8.62
EC(dSm ⁻¹)	1.94	0.64	8.65	7.03
Ca ⁺⁺	5.22	1.67	38.22	
Mg ⁺⁺	4.37	0.95	27.44	
Na ⁺	4.52	2.43	58.83	40.05
K ⁺	5.39	1.37	2.01	0.12
HCO ₃ ⁻	6.08	2.17	3.43	4.54
Cl ⁻	6.58	2.68	64.14	48.94
SO ₄ ⁼	6.84	1.54	58.93	29.23
N	57.32	30.52	20.20	
P	8.15	5.49	4.10	
K	149.3	79.34	50.80	

Supplementary Table 2. Monthly total precipitation (mm) and average of minimum and maximum temperatures during the three growing seasons and averaged for the period 1983-2016 for the experimental sites.

Growing seasons and locations												
Meteorological variable	Months	2013-2014		2014-2015			2015-2016			Average for 1983-2016		
		Gh	Kh	Gh	Kh	Sudr	Gh	Kh	Sudr	Gh	Kh	Sudr
Precipitation (mm)	December	10.1	12.1	11.0	9.1	4.9	12.2	13.2	7.5	9.0	8.8	9.1
	January	9.8	9.8	12.7	12.8	10.1	11.3	12.3	11.5	13.9	9.3	12.7
	February	17.4	11.4	13.2	13.4	16.4	15.5	16.5	15.1	15.8	13.4	16.5
	March	13.3	7.3	8.6	7.6	11.8	10.7	8.6	11.5	8.2	5.4	9.4
	April	5.7	0.0	6.1	0.0	4.9	3.6	0.0	3.5	6.8	3.5	3.3
Minimum temperature (C)	December	8.5	9.0	10.3	10.1	7.9	9.6	9.9	8.1	9.4	9.8	9.2
	January	8.5	9.1	7.1	7.9	6.8	6.8	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.9	6.9
	February	8.2	9.0	7.7	8.6	7.9	9.2	9.7	7.0	7.3	7.8	7.0
	March	10.1	11.3	10.2	11.5	8.5	10.3	11.4	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.3
	April	13.6	14.0	11.7	12.2	12.0	13.1	13.6	12.5	12.7	13.3	12.4
Maximum temperature (C)	December	20.1	19.5	22.7	22.0	21.7	20.6	19.7	18.9	21.0	21.4	20.4
	January	20.9	20.3	18.9	18.4	18.1	18.2	17.6	17.1	19.4	19.9	18.8
	February	22.5	21.3	20.3	19.9	19.6	24.0	23.1	22.1	20.6	21.1	20.1
	March	25.6	24.3	25.5	24.5	23.4	26.2	25.2	23.9	23.7	24.3	23.4
	April	30.7	29.0	28.5	27.1	25.8	33.1	31.5	29.9	28.4	29.1	28.3

Supplementary Table 3. Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) and days to heading averages for the 14 genotypes in each field trial.

Genotypes	Environments							
	Gh14	Gh15	Gh16	Kh14	Kh15	Kh16	Sudr15	Sudr16
Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)								
Giza 123	6067	5721	7440	5265	5557	6437	2514	3523
Giza 126	7860	7165	7307	5728	5444	5643	3538	4223
Giza 127	6160	5800	7327	5170	5574	4927	3036	3864
Giza 128	7207	5202	6707	5712	4280	5220	2035	1253
Giza 2000	5393	5595	6520	4356	4442	5890	2230	2269
Giza 132	5767	5287	5340	4733	3873	5240	2444	2363
Giza 133	6800	5860	6320	4647	5654	5624	2161	1868
Barberousse	4160	4175	3707	3364	3780	3330	2243	2634
Cierzo	7383	6317	7520	5702	5658	5926	2805	2907
Graphic	6727	5913	6467	4007	5644	6240	2283	2110
Hispanic	6253	5186	7027	4304	3605	2907	1726	2193
Orria	7153	6075	7320	5218	5629	5780	2736	2508
Pewter	7967	6589	7633	5582	5671	6090	3029	2973
Tardana	5407	5357	6227	4376	5026	5347	3077	2072
LSD	396.2							
Days to heading								
Giza 123	88.0	92.3	94.0	97.0	94.3	98.0	68.0	64.0
Giza 126	86.7	92.7	93.3	97.7	95.8	98.0	63.3	69.0
Giza 127	86.7	92.7	94.3	98.3	91.0	100.0	81.7	78.3
Giza 128	86.7	92.7	90.3	98.7	87.0	93.3	88.7	77.3
Giza 2000	86.3	91.0	95.7	96.0	93.2	91.0	79.3	72.7
Giza 132	87.0	92.3	97.7	98.0	89.3	94.7	71.0	64.0
Giza 133	88.0	94.0	95.7	96.7	88.7	97.7	90.3	83.7
Barberousse	137.3	135.7	140.3	110.0	109.0	112.0	96.0	95.3
Cierzo	100.0	98.7	99.7	101.0	103.0	99.3	85.3	88.0
Graphic	89.3	93.0	97.3	104.7	97.7	106.7	91.7	88.0
Hispanic	98.0	101.0	103.3	106.0	101.3	107.7	88.3	83.3
Orria	96.7	97.7	99.0	103.0	105.5	103.0	88.7	83.3
Pewter	94.7	97.7	103.0	106.0	102.3	108.0	86.3	90.7
Tardana	121.3	124.3	127.7	107.3	106.8	111.0	94.7	91.0
LSD	3.56							

Supplementary Table 4. Days to maturity and grain filling period averages for the 14 genotypes in each field trial.

Genotypes	Environments							
	Gh14	Gh15	Gh16	Kh14	Kh15	Kh16	Sudr15	Sudr16
Days to maturity								
Giza 123	134.0	137.3	134.3	130.4	127.6	130.3	93.0	93.3
Giza 126	131.0	139.3	134.3	136.3	129.0	136.3	93.3	94.3
Giza 127	133.0	139.0	137.3	129.3	120.9	129.7	103.0	106.7
Giza 128	130.8	138.7	134.0	137.4	125.2	131.0	106.0	106.0
Giza 2000	135.7	141.3	136.7	137.3	134.4	131.0	97.3	97.0
Giza 132	135.7	142.0	138.3	134.9	127.0	131.3	95.0	95.7
Giza 133	131.3	142.0	136.3	130.4	121.1	130.3	105.3	104.0
Barberousse	159.7	159.3	161.0	152.3	155.0	145.0	113.0	115.0
Cierzo	141.1	147.0	140.0	139.7	141.0	142.0	103.3	97.7
Graphic	132.0	143.3	138.0	137.4	133.7	138.3	106.0	109.0
Hispanic	138.4	147.7	142.7	139.4	134.6	140.0	108.7	104.3
Orria	140.1	144.3	142.7	134.7	137.2	134.0	102.0	97.3
Pewter	143.0	145.0	140.3	140.9	133.1	141.7	99.0	106.0
Tardana	159.7	160.7	164.3	151.0	151.0	143.7	114.0	109.3
LSD	2.95							
Grain filling period								
Giza 123	46.00	45.00	40.33	33.44	33.22	32.33	25.00	29.33
Giza 126	44.33	46.67	41.00	38.67	33.17	38.33	30.00	25.33
Giza 127	46.33	46.33	43.00	31.00	29.89	29.67	21.33	28.33
Giza 128	44.11	46.00	43.67	38.78	38.22	37.67	17.33	28.67
Giza 2000	49.33	50.33	41.00	41.33	41.22	40.00	18.00	24.33
Giza 132	48.67	49.67	40.67	36.89	37.67	36.67	24.00	31.67
Giza 133	43.33	48.00	40.67	33.78	32.44	32.67	15.00	20.33
Barberousse	22.33	23.67	20.67	42.33	46.00	33.00	17.00	19.67
Cierzo	41.11	48.33	40.33	38.67	38.00	42.67	18.00	9.67
Graphic	42.67	50.33	40.67	32.78	36.00	31.67	14.33	21.00
Hispanic	40.44	46.67	39.33	33.44	33.22	32.33	20.33	21.00
Orria	43.44	46.67	43.67	31.67	31.67	31.00	13.33	14.00
Pewter	48.33	47.33	37.33	34.89	30.72	33.67	12.67	15.33
Tardana	38.33	36.33	36.67	43.67	44.17	32.67	19.33	18.33
LSD	4.49							

Supplementary Table 5. Plant height (cm) and above-ground dry matter (kg ha⁻¹) averages for the 14 genotypes in each field trial.

Genotypes	Environments							
	Gh14	Gh15	Gh16	Kh14	Kh15	Kh16	Sudr15	Sudr16
Plant height (cm)								
Giza 123	82.4	81.5	88.7	77.0	79.3	79.7	76.7	74.9
Giza 126	85.4	81.6	91.0	78.4	80.5	82.3	76.3	77.6
Giza 127	81.3	81.2	81.9	72.1	75.6	81.2	69.7	73.9
Giza 128	84.8	75.4	87.7	72.8	71.8	82.7	49.7	77.1
Giza 2000	84.2	83.3	89.3	75.6	81.3	81.7	66.3	76.5
Giza 132	81.8	82.4	85.4	74.5	73.0	79.3	72.7	74.4
Giza 133	86.5	83.0	89.2	77.0	81.3	81.3	54.7	78.7
Barberousse	79.0	76.0	76.7	67.7	74.6	75.0	58.0	67.7
Cierzo	74.5	80.0	85.3	67.9	74.4	73.5	67.7	72.3
Graphic	65.7	84.5	82.0	61.6	76.8	72.3	54.3	63.2
Hispanic	69.5	77.7	74.8	60.2	66.3	66.7	58.3	57.1
Orria	65.1	82.7	87.1	64.7	80.3	76.7	56.7	59.7
Pewter	62.8	79.0	76.1	61.3	75.2	74.7	54.0	57.1
Tardana	79.5	80.6	81.7	66.5	78.0	76.0	65.7	75.7
LSD	3.51							
Above-ground dry matter (kg ha⁻¹)								
Giza 123	18698	18010	21392	14699	13677	17806	13080	16983
Giza 126	18909	17587	18739	14395	13523	17628	13017	17550
Giza 127	19418	18857	21114	11113	12596	15100	11134	14783
Giza 128	19480	15589	19011	12247	11970	17394	11161	12959
Giza 2000	17795	17999	20041	11016	12751	16500	11056	16195
Giza 132	17127	16415	16788	13186	8544	16200	12051	16043
Giza 133	21185	19419	20302	12610	12155	18467	12059	16597
Barberousse	17617	16889	15908	10992	11000	14683	11047	14512
Cierzo	16358	15897	16186	12999	12050	16100	11945	15401
Graphic	18691	19742	20094	16986	15817	20108	15751	19340
Hispanic	17804	17423	19611	16500	15878	15356	15150	14974
Orria	16585	19588	23211	15494	14433	15200	14241	14810
Pewter	19152	19261	20389	15993	15200	18283	15006	15293
Tardana	20126	22248	24226	17408	15783	17333	15346	16815
LSD	979.9							

Supplementary Table 6. Number of spikes per square meter and number of grains per spike averages for the 14 genotypes in each field trial.

Genotypes	Environments							
	Gh14	Gh15	Gh16	Kh14	Kh15	Kh16	Sudr15	Sudr16
Number of spikes per m ²								
Giza 123	352.3	321.7	346.7	310.6	319.3	345.0	165.8	265.6
Giza 126	345.0	330.2	334.7	289.3	300.1	278.7	215.3	277.0
Giza 127	565.0	564.0	582.0	565.0	558.3	439.4	328.6	421.0
Giza 128	633.3	600.0	608.3	591.7	544.3	498.3	379.4	151.0
Giza 2000	294.5	321.8	308.8	290.1	317.7	281.9	186.3	175.1
Giza 132	300.7	297.5	283.5	248.5	269.3	280.9	179.9	184.4
Giza 133	321.3	289.1	281.9	301.4	267.7	272.0	197.8	147.4
Barberousse	339.9	329.3	316.7	315.0	324.0	300.7	223.0	221.0
Cierzo	419.9	391.7	356.5	357.4	339.4	355.7	216.9	204.4
Graphic	570.0	526.7	511.7	543.1	525.0	505.0	251.2	360.8
Hispanic	590.0	550.5	604.3	555.3	393.9	273.0	310.7	260.6
Orria	357.8	359.6	369.9	343.0	330.1	311.0	205.7	210.2
Pewter	615.0	567.7	609.0	579.3	565.4	586.0	304.0	314.8
Tardana	365.0	368.8	338.2	361.7	361.0	331.0	249.6	180.1
LSD	10.6							
Number of grains per spike								
Giza 123	42.87	42.35	47.92	42.31	42.22	41.81	41.60	38.74
Giza 126	55.01	51.90	47.69	47.97	46.18	46.86	44.78	37.25
Giza 127	27.49	24.19	25.22	20.29	23.50	24.95	22.90	24.88
Giza 128	26.94	21.22	24.48	21.09	19.40	24.33	14.48	24.38
Giza 2000	43.50	39.06	46.65	39.52	37.69	46.20	32.18	37.31
Giza 132	47.20	45.56	47.10	45.00	44.54	43.68	43.07	41.62
Giza 133	49.05	47.83	49.87	39.40	45.56	44.45	32.03	40.18
Barberousse	39.97	39.79	40.47	32.45	35.47	38.87	34.18	37.18
Cierzo	47.75	46.42	49.50	45.75	41.36	43.63	35.65	37.78
Graphic	27.59	26.17	30.58	23.04	26.09	29.76	25.51	20.69
Hispanic	23.88	24.09	26.31	19.80	24.11	26.25	18.33	25.98
Orria	46.33	45.64	47.82	41.12	44.94	43.46	34.56	36.98
Pewter	25.24	25.11	26.36	21.03	25.01	25.65	22.68	23.60
Tardana	45.57	37.64	43.99	36.67	36.57	43.78	35.98	38.92
LSD	1.68							

Supplementary Table 7. Thousand-grain weight (g) measured and grains per square meter (calculated) averages for the 14 genotypes in each field trial.

Genotypes	Environments							
	Gh14	Gh15	Gh16	Kh14	Kh15	Kh16	Sudr15	Sudr16
Thousand-grain weight								
Giza 123	47.22	45.87	49.99	46.85	44.98	46.88	41.51	38.01
Giza 126	49.27	50.88	56.38	46.89	45.67	51.76	40.02	40.68
Giza 127	48.20	53.73	58.83	45.74	44.05	50.90	43.87	36.32
Giza 128	48.84	43.91	55.00	45.54	42.66	43.63	40.52	36.27
Giza 2000	49.42	50.95	54.62	44.87	41.28	52.52	41.14	39.31
Giza 132	50.19	48.87	49.12	46.60	39.58	48.58	38.28	37.75
Giza 133	53.23	52.69	52.55	44.44	45.21	50.69	40.89	37.06
Barberousse	37.25	36.31	37.14	35.54	36.21	35.81	35.67	35.06
Cierzo	47.52	48.24	48.62	42.51	43.44	42.87	39.34	36.49
Graphic	45.30	47.81	50.33	38.11	42.78	41.16	37.87	30.94
Hispanic	49.13	47.96	47.68	43.68	43.99	44.69	37.64	37.03
Orria	45.06	47.47	51.15	40.65	41.44	47.67	38.88	35.90
Pewter	55.92	50.36	58.23	46.14	42.27	45.40	40.81	39.65
Tardana	33.63	46.30	51.57	32.92	37.86	44.56	38.44	33.99
LSD	2.31							
Grains per m ²								
Giza 123	15103	13624	16614	13141	13481	14424	6897	10289
Giza 126	18978	17137	15962	13878	13859	13060	9641	10318
Giza 127	15532	13643	14678	11464	13120	10963	7525	10474
Giza 128	17061	12732	14891	12479	10559	12124	5494	3681
Giza 2000	12811	12570	14406	11465	11974	13024	5995	6533
Giza 132	14193	13554	13353	11183	11995	12270	7748	7675
Giza 133	15760	13828	14058	11875	12196	12090	6336	5923
Barberousse	13586	13103	12817	10222	11492	11688	7622	8217
Cierzo	20050	18183	17647	16351	14038	15519	7732	7722
Graphic	15726	13784	15648	12513	13697	15029	6408	7465
Hispanic	14089	13262	15899	10995	9497	7166	5695	6770
Orria	16577	16412	17689	14104	14835	13516	7109	7773
Pewter	15523	14255	16053	12183	14141	15031	6895	7429
Tardana	16633	13882	14877	13264	13202	14491	8981	7009
LSD	1226							

Supplementary Table 8. Heat map of Pearson correlation coefficients among traits measured or calculated in each field trial.

Environment	AGDM	PH	DH	DM	GFP	HI	TGW	NS/m ²	GNS	G/m ²
Gh14	0.09	-0.30	-0.58	-0.55	0.53	0.90	0.63	0.40	-0.06	0.68
Gh15	0.17	0.46	-0.59	-0.49	0.62	0.77	0.68	0.03	0.25	0.68
Gh16	0.43	0.24	-0.69	-0.58	0.76	0.74	0.73	0.40	-0.14	0.81
Kh14	0.01	0.27	-0.49	-0.48	-0.24	0.68	0.64	0.15	0.16	0.63
Kh15	0.35	0.62	0.00	-0.26	-0.54	0.53	0.50	0.18	0.21	0.85
Kh16	0.63	0.43	-0.41	-0.34	0.22	0.89	0.38	0.33	0.30	0.84
Sudr15	0.12	0.50	-0.38	-0.32	0.34	0.80	0.31	-0.11	0.45	0.84
Sudr16	0.21	0.06	-0.30	-0.37	0.10	0.96	0.45	0.61	0.11	0.94
Mean	0.25	0.28	-0.43	-0.42	0.22	0.78	0.54	0.25	0.16	0.78

Supplementary Figure 1: Mean temperature for cold nights with Tmin lower than 10 °C during the four selected months of the three growing seasons.