Manuscript Number:

Title: Impedimetric label-free sensor for specific bacteria endotoxin detection by surface charge registration

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: impedimetric sensor; surface charge; interdigitated electrode array; bacterial endotoxin; concanavalin A

Corresponding Author: Dr. Andrey Bratov, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: Instituto de Microelectronica de Barcelona, Centro Nacional de Microelectronica, (IBM-CNM) CSIC

First Author: Sergi Brosel-Oliu, MSc

Order of Authors: Sergi Brosel-Oliu, MSc; Dmitry Galyamin; Natalia Abramova, PhD; Francesc-Xavier Muñoz-Pascual, PhD; Andrey Bratov, PhD

Abstract: An impedimetric sensor based on a three dimensional electrode array modified with concanavalin A (Con A) was used for label-free detection of bacterial endotoxin: lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *Escherichia coli*. The transducer permits the detection of the surface charge changes due to interaction of immobilized Con A biorecognition element and LPS of *E. coli* in test solution. The deposition of Con A on the surface was carried out using the layer-by-layer method with polyethyleneimine (PEI) polycation as an initial layer. The sensor surface characterization by means of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique allowed registering variations in superficial resistance provoked by surface charge changes and is demonstrated as an effective method to monitor sensor parameters at each modification step as well as to follow Con A - LPS reaction. In order to prevent non-specific adsorption of LPS on PEI covered surface different blocking strategies were tested to achieve the specific response between Con A and LPS. Results obtained in this work clearly show that blocking with bovine serum albumin (BSA) is not sufficient to prevent non-specific interactions of PEI and to ensure the selective biorecognition of LPS by Con A. To achieve more efficient PEI blocking a new method was proposed based on consecutive deposition of Con A-glycogen-Con A layers. Sensors modified with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayers are shown to be highly sensitive, selective and reproducible. Presented biosensor is able to detect bacterial LPS in a very short detection time (20 min) with 1.5 µg/mL limit of detection, which is much lower than reported for other biosensors with Con A.

MINISTERIO
DE ECONOMÍA, INDUSTRIA
Y COMPETITIVIDAD

CENTRO NACIONAL DE MICROELECTRÓNICA
INSTITUTO DE MICROELECTRÓNICA DE
BARCELONA

Dear editor,

On behalf of the authors I would like to submit a manuscript: "***Impedimetric label-free sensor for specific bacteria endotoxin detection by surface charge registration***" based on the work carried out in Instituto de Microelectronica de Barcelona, Centro Nacional de Microelectronica (IMB-CNM), CSIC, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain for publishing in *Electrochimica Acta*. Corresponding author Andrey Bratov Tel.: +34 935947700. *E-mail address*: andrei.bratov@imb-cnm.csic.es

The paper presents results of an applied research on a new type of impedimetric sensor used to control interfacial phenomena in concanavalin A - endotoxin system of biological origin, having particular relevance to the medical and food fields. The paper presents new endotoxin sensor with a short response time and detection limits much lower than reported in other publications. The article counts in 6160 total number of words, 8 figures and a supplementary material with one table and one additional figure.

The highlights of the article may be summarized as follows:

- A new impedimetric sensor with concanavalin A for bacteria endotoxins is proposed
- The sensing layer is deposited by layer-by-layer method
- The mechanism relies on registration of surface charge changes produced by biochemical reaction
- New method for blocking non-specific interactions of polyethyleneimine is proposed
- The sensor shows short detection time (20 min) and low detection limit (1.5 µg/mL)

The work described has not been published previously and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Its **publication is approved by all authors** and by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out (IMB-CNM, CSIC).

MINISTERIO
DE ECONOMÍA, INDUSTRIA
Y COMPETITIVIDAD

CENTRO NACIONAL DE MICROELECTRÓNICA

INSTITUTO DE MICROELECTRÓNICA DE
BARCELONA

If accepted, it will not be published elsewhere including electronically in the same form, in English or in any other language, without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

All authors have materially participated in the research and/or article preparation and have approved the final version of the article.

All the authors confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

We propose three potential Referees for this article:

Prof. Evgeny Katz, Clarkson University, Potsdam, USA ekatz@clarkson.edu

Prof. Ashok Mulchadani, University of California, Riverside, USA adani@engf.ucr.edu

Dr. Katrina Campbell, Queen's University, Belfast, UK katrina.campbell@qub.ac.uk

Sincerely Yours,

Andrey Bratov

Impedimetric label-free sensor for specific bacteria endotoxin detection by surface charge registration

Sergi Brosel-Oliu¹, Dmitry Galyamin¹, Natalia Abramova^{1,2}, Francesc-Xavier Muñoz-Pascual¹, Andrey Bratov^{1*}

¹ BioMEMS Group, Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona, Centro Nacional de Microelectrónica (CSIC), Campus U.A.B., 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

² Lab. Artificial Sensors Syst., ITMO University, Kronverskiy pr. 49, 197101 St. Petersburg, Russia

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 935947700. *E-mail address*: andrei.bratov@imb-cnm.csic.es

Keywords: impedimetric sensor; surface charge; interdigitated electrode array; bacterial endotoxin; concanavalin A.

Abstract

An impedimetric sensor based on a three dimensional electrode array modified with concanavalin A (Con A) was used for label-free detection of bacterial endotoxin: lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *Escherichia coli*. The transducer permits the detection of the surface charge changes due to interaction of immobilized Con A biorecognition element and LPS of *E. coli* in test solution. The deposition of Con A on the surface was carried out using the layer-by-layer method with polyethyleneimine (PEI) polycation as an initial layer. The sensor surface characterization by means of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique allowed registering variations in superficial resistance provoked by surface charge changes and is demonstrated as an effective method to monitor sensor parameters at each modification step as well as to follow Con A – LPS reaction. In order to prevent non-specific adsorption of LPS on PEI covered surface different blocking strategies were tested to achieve the specific response between Con A and LPS. Results obtained in this work clearly show that blocking with bovine serum albumin (BSA) is not sufficient to prevent non-specific interactions of PEI and to ensure the selective biorecognition of LPS by Con A. To achieve more efficient PEI blocking a new method was proposed based on consecutive deposition of Con A-glycogen-Con A layers. Sensors modified with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayers are shown to be highly sensitive, selective and reproducible. Presented biosensor is able to detect bacterial LPS in a very short

detection time (20 min) with 1.5 µg/mL limit of detection, which is much lower than reported for other biosensors with Con A.

1. Introduction

Endotoxins, also known as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), are ubiquitous markers of gram-negative bacteria considered as contaminants habitually found in food, environment and clinical products [1]. Lipopolysaccharides are the major structural component of external membrane of gram-negative bacteria composed of three distinct regions: O-antigen oligosaccharide that is specific to bacterial serotype, a hydrophilic core polysaccharide chain, and the lipid A - hydrophobic lipid section responsible for the toxic properties of the molecule [2]. Endotoxins can induce immune response on the internalization of mammalian cells, producing fever, multi organism failure or sepsis [3, 4].

Among food-borne pathogens responsible of many gastrointestinal diseases and the most common cause of urinary tract infections are *Escherichia coli*, well-studied gram-negative bacteria. Moreover, LPS of *E. coli* alone can cause an important number of diseases [5]. Taking into account an increasing concern in society for microbiological safety, detection of endotoxins is essential in controlling various biological and food products.

Although there are well established techniques for determination and quantification of endotoxins, like the standard limulus amoebocyte lysate (LAL) method [6], they are relatively complex assays of multiple stages that require skilled operators [7]. Hence, the development of new detection strategies and techniques as well as rapid, compact, simple, highly sensitive, selective and high-throughput devices are required [8]. In this regard biosensors, and particularly electrochemical biosensors, have been demonstrated as a promising alternative to classical techniques and the number of publications related to biosensors for endotoxin detection increased considerably during last years [1, 8, 9].

In biosensors to achieve selectivity against endotoxins a specific biorecognition element should be immobilized on a sensor surface. The biological recognition elements that affect the selectivity of biosensors should possess a number of essential features: high specificity to the target, invariability under storage and detection conditions. More importantly, the reactions of recognition elements and targets should be accurate, rapid, reliable and reproducible. A large variety of different biorecognition elements

1 were tested for LPS biosensor applications [1, 8-10] including natural and synthetic proteins [11] and
2 peptides, antibodies [12, 13] and aptamers [14]. However, the most widely used biorecognition element
3 in LPS biosensors is concanavalin A (Con A) [15-21]. It is lectin-type carbohydrate-binding protein obtained
4 from jack beans (*Canavalia ensiformis*) with well-characterized structure that recognizes specifically α -D-
5 glucose and α -D-mannose groups [9]. It may be noted that lectins are more stable and smaller than
6 antibodies and their size allows obtaining higher densities of carbohydrate sensing elements on a sensor
7 surface [22]. Con A may be used in different biosensing applications as biosensing moiety basically for
8 glucose and other carbohydrate detection [23]. Furthermore, Con A and some other lectins have also
9 been employed in various biorecognition processes due to its affinity for some bacteria [19, 20, 24],
10 viruses [25], cells [26, 27] and for endotoxin [8, 9, 28] detection .
11
12

13 Interactions of Con A with analytes may be registered in a label-free mode using different experimental
14 techniques, like surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [24, 29, 30], quartz crystal microbalance [15, 19-21, 31]
15 or electrochemical impedance on a Con A modified metal electrodes [12, 32-35]. Biosensors based on the
16 last two techniques are the most widely reported.
17
18

19 In this regard, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a powerful technique permitting to control
20 changes at the solid/liquid interface of surface-modified electrodes produced by chemical, physical and
21 biological interactions during the recognition events [36]. Typically impedance measurements are
22 performed in a Faradaic mode [17, 33, 34, 37] in the presence of the redox probe $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]/K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$
23 registering changes in a charge transfer resistance associated with biorecognition processes at the
24 modified metal electrode surface. However, capacitance changes produced by surface biochemical
25 reactions may also give additional information [38].
26
27

28 In the case when a redox pair is absent in the electrolyte solution, the impedance is termed nonfaradic
29 and depends on the conductivity of the supporting electrolyte and impedimetric electrode interfacial
30 properties (interfacial capacitance [39] or surface conductivity [40]). For interfacial capacitance
31 measurements just simple planar macro-electrodes may be used [39], while the surface conductivity plays
32 important role in the case of interdigitated electrode array (IDEA), in which a pair of comb-like metal
33 electrodes are formed on a planar insulating substrate [41]. IDEA present promising advantages compared
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

to other impedimetric sensors such as small size, rapid detection kinetics, increase of signal-to-noise-ratio and fast establishment of a steady state response [42].

To enhance the sensitivity of IDEA sensors a three-dimensional (3D-IDEA) device, in which the electrodes digits are separated by insulating barriers, was proposed [43]. In this case under applied potential the main portion of the current goes close to the surface of barrier and this permits to enhance the sensitivity compared with standard planar structures [44]. The principles of 3D-IDEA sensor operations are based on registration of the surface conductivity changes produced by variation in the surface charge and were discussed in detail earlier [40, 45]. This design has been demonstrated to be highly sensitive to changes in the electrical charge distribution at the solid/liquid interface produced by chemical and biochemical reactions [46]. Thus, it is a promising sensitive transducer for label-free biosensor development.

The functionalization of the IDEA surface to achieve selectivity against endotoxins is a crucial step in the optimization of the sensor. Efficient immobilization and stability of biorecognition elements without decreasing their binding affinity in the performance process are essential.

Immobilization of Con A may be performed using different assembling techniques like absorption [25, 27, 32], or chemical grafting via self-assembled monolayers (SAM) on gold [15, 21, 38]. However, Con A directly adsorbed on a solid surface show low stability [47] and readily desorbs [31]. Layer-by-layer (LBL) technique is another well-known method for the assembling of oppositely charged polyions that has been demonstrated useful for immobilization of biomolecules like proteins, antibodies or lectins [48, 49]. For this purpose polyethyleneimine (PEI), a positively charged polycation, is widely used in layer-by-layer (LBL) assembly of oppositely charged polyions for the formation of thin multilayer coatings [50]. As many large biomolecules are negatively charged at neutral pH, PEI also has been demonstrated effective for the immobilization of proteins layers [51, 52], enzymes [46], lectins [53] and bacteria [54].

Immobilization of Con A biorecognition element on a 3D-IDEA sensor surface using LBL method may be advantageous to establish robust methodologies for endotoxin detection and new biosensing strategies. However, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method thorough surface characterization of each surface modification step and interaction of PEI and Con A is necessary. Additionally, Con A lectin

1 stability after the immobilization is crucial to maintain its specific recognition ability for carbohydrates
2 and consequently, bacterial LPS.
3

4
5 Another important aspect to take into consideration regarding performance of biosensors based on their
6
7 surface reactions with analyte is possible non-specific binding of the corresponding target or other
8
9 molecules present in the sample with the sensor surface layer. To prevent non-specific binding and
10
11 enhance the selectivity in many cases it is required to use inert blocking reagents that cover the sensor
12
13 surface without altering the specificity of a biorecognition element. As PEI polycation was shown effective
14
15 in binding endotoxins [55, 56] that possess net negative charge due to phosphorylated groups of
16
17 carbohydrates, it is necessary to block the effect of positive charges of PEI in order to enhance the
18
19 selectivity of Con A to endotoxins.
20
21

22
23 The aim of this work is the study of sensitivity and response of impedimetric sensors based on 3D-IDEA
24
25 transducers to detect bacteria endotoxins, more concretely lipopolysaccharides (LPS) extracted from
26
27 bacteria *E. coli*, using the impedance technique. The biorecognition element for bacterial endotoxin
28
29 detection used in this study is the lectin concanavalin A, immobilized on the sensors surface by its
30
31 interactions with positively charged polyethyleneimine polycation. To increase specificity of the bacteria
32
33 recognition event it is proposed to study different strategies of using blocking reagents to eliminate
34
35 possible non-specific interactions between underlying PEI layer and the analyte.
36
37

38
39 The sensor modification strategies are schematically presented in Figure 1.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1. Sensor design and schematic representation of the sequential steps of the sensor surface biofunctionalization.

2. Experimental

2.1. Electrode design and fabrication

The three-dimensional interdigitated electrode array (3D-IDEA) was fabricated using conventional microelectronic techniques. A silicon wafer oxidized by “wet” oxidation at 950 °C was employed as substrate to give a good quality of silicon dioxide layer of 2500 nm. As electrodes material a 230 nm layer of a highly conductive tantalum silicide (TaSi_2) was deposited using magnetron sputtering. The first photolithographic step defines collector bars and digits of the two electrodes. The patterning is done by reactive etching technique resulting in an interdigitated electrode array with 216 digits of 3 μm width and 3 μm gap between the adjacent electrodes. The aperture between the electrodes is 1.4 mm and the total length between them is 301 mm. To form contact pads 1 μm of aluminum is deposited and patterned by standard photolithographic and etching steps leaving metal only at extremes of the two collector bars.

The final step is the barrier formation. To do this the wafer is covered with a 4 μm thick silicon oxide (SiO_2) layer deposited by a low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD). Photolithography is also used to define the openings in the oxide layer over the electrode digits and the contact pads. The aperture of these zones is carried out by deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) to obtain barriers with nearly vertical walls. The barrier separating the adjacent electrodes are 3 μm , 4 μm wide and 162 mm long and are opened at the top.

Finally, the electrodes cut from the wafer were glued to a PCB substrate and wire bonded for electrical connections. Contact pads and wires were encapsulated using epoxy resin. Complete technology is described elsewhere [43, 44, 46] and schematic design of device is also presented in *Fig. 1*.

2.2. Chemicals, solutions and reagents

Polyethyleneimine (PEI, branched, average M_w 25000, water-free) polycation and anionic poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS, average M_w 70000, water-free) were both dissolved in deionized water at 1.5 mg/mL and 2 mg/mL, respectively. These concentrations have been chosen in accord with previously published data [52]. Concanavalin A (Con A, from *Canavalia ensiformis*) lectin used as a biorecognition

1 element was prepared at 25 µg/mL in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH=7.4). Compounds employed as blocking
2 reagents were: bovine serum albumin (BSA) (from Sigma-Aldrich)), Western Blocking Reagent (WBR)
3 (from Roche Life Science) and glycogen (Gly), a polyglucose-type, all prepared in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer
4 (pH= 7.4). The optimal concentration of Con A and the blocking reagents (BSA, WBR and Gly) were studied
5 in additional assays. LPS from *Escherichia coli* 055:B5 were prepared using Tris-HCl buffer solution at the
6 required concentrations. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Spain.
7

8 All the solutions were prepared with deionized MilliQ water (18 MOhm·cm) which was also used for the
9 cleaning and rinsing processes. All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received without
10 further purification.
11

12 *2.3. Preparation and modification of electrodes for LPS detection*

13 Prior to use, 3D-IDEA sensors were first cleaned with isopropanol for 10 minutes, rinsed with distilled
14 water and dried under nitrogen flow.
15

16 *2.3.1. Immobilization of Con A by LBL method*

17 To perform the deposition of corresponding layers on the sensor surface, PEI polycation was employed as
18 the initial assembling layer. First of all, the sensors were immersed into the PEI solution for 20 minutes to
19 form a homogeneous self-assembled monolayer on SiO₂. The immobilization method of Con A as a
20 biorecognition moiety on the 3D-IDEA surface was carried out over the initial layer of PEI. As at pH 7.4
21 Con A behaves as a polyanion, it was deposited by LBL method over PEI layer by immersing sensors in Con
22 A solution during 60 minutes. After each modification step the sensors were thoroughly rinsed with water
23 to remove non-bound molecules.
24

25 *2.3.2 Immobilization of blocking reagents*

26 To avoid unspecific binding of LPS to the sensor surface with PEI, different compounds were used to block
27 the surface not occupied by Con A. Protein-based blocking reagents (BSA and WBR) and Gly multiple layers
28 were employed to ensure the specific biorecognition interaction between Con A and LPS. For BSA
29 treatment electrodes with PEI-Con A were immersed for 20 minutes into a BSA in Tris-HCl buffer solution
30 with the BSA concentration in the range of 10 to 100 µg/mL . In the same way, the treatment with WBR
31

1 was carried out in Tris-HCl buffer solutions with WBA concentrations in the range of 5 to 100 µg/mL and
2 the treatment lasted up to 60 minutes.
3

4 In the experiments with glycogen as blocking-reagent, the immobilization was done taking advantage of
5 the specific concanavalin-glucose interaction. Gly solutions were also prepared in Tris-HCl buffer at the
6 concentration of 100 µg/mL according to procedure reported by Lvov et al. [52]. In this case Con A and
7 Gly layers were alternatively deposited by immersing sensors into corresponding solutions during 60
8 minutes until the desired number of layers was obtained.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 *2.3.3 LPS detection assays*

17 For LPS detection functionalized 3D-IDEA were incubated at room temperature in solutions of *E. coli* LPS
18 with various concentrations ranging from 0 to 50 µg/mL. After this treatment electrodes were thoroughly
19 rinsed with water to remove unreacted LPS and to reduce possible influence of adsorbed ions from Tris-
20 HCl buffer with high salt concentration on the impedance measurements.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *2.4. Impedance Measurements*

29 For impedance measurements a QuadTech 7600 Plus highly precision LCR Meter analyzer was employed.
30 Measurements were performed in a 10^2 Hz – 10^6 Hz frequency range with 100 mV (amplitude) voltage
31 excitation. Impedance data treatment and equivalent circuit fitting was performed using the Z-Plot/Z-
32 View software package (Scribner Associates, Southern Pines, NC, USA). All experiments were done in
33 duplicates at least on three electrodes under the same conditions.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Impedance measurements were carried out at controlled room temperature in KCl 10^{-5} M solutions. To
44 guarantee the reproducibility of the bulk solution conductivity for each single measurement a fresh
45 portion of solution was used and its conductivity of 2.50 µS/cm was controlled with a commercial
46 conductimeter (EC-Meter GLP 31+, Crison).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Sensor characterization by impedance measurements

The impedance response of a 3D-IDEA in low conductivity solutions in the absence of faradaic processes can be emulated by an equivalent circuit [45] presented in Fig.2A formed by the following components: R_C is the contact resistance introduced by wires and collector bars of thin film electrodes; C_G is the geometrical capacitance between two interdigitated electrodes in a aqueous solution; R_s is the resistance between two electrodes of the array; and CPE is a constant phase element associated with the capacitance of the electrical double layer at the electrode – water solution interface. The impedance of the CPE can be expressed as:

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{(j\omega)^{-\alpha} C_{DL}} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $j = -1$ (imaginary unit), ω is angular frequency ($\text{rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$), C_{DL} (F) is the capacitance of the double layer and α is an empirical constant representing the behavior of the CPE. When the exponent α is equal to 1 the CPE behaves similarly to a capacitor. If the value of α becomes 0 the CPE will behave as a resistor. Typical values for 3D-IDEA with TaSi_2 electrodes of CPE α parameter in low conductivity solutions are between 0.85 and 0.9 [44].

Figure 2. (A) Electrical equivalent circuit used for impedance spectra fitting. (B) The Nyquist plot of the 3D-IDEA measured in KCl 10^{-5}M solution with bare electrodes (SiO_2 native surface), after PEI deposition and with PEI-ConA layer.

Previously it has been reported that in low conducting solutions surface conductivity plays an important role in this kind of sensors [45]. Therefore, R_s is a parallel combination of bulk solution resistance (R_{BULK}) and the surface resistance (R_{SURF}) (Figure 2A). It is important to note that under experimental conditions used it is not possible to distinguish these two elements in the impedance spectra. However, if we fix the bulk solution resistance, R_{BULK} , then all changes in R_s may be attributed to surface resistance, R_{SURF} , variations associated with the surface charge changes at the barriers surface due to surface (bio)chemical reactions [45, 54].

The impedance spectra presented in the Nyquist plot (Z' vs Z'') in Figure 2 allow to observe the formation of a semicircle at high frequencies corresponding to resistance R_s in parallel with the sensor geometrical capacitance. The intercept with the Z' axes at high frequencies on the left side of the plot gives the R_c value, while the intercept on the right side gives the value of R_s (the parallel combination of R_{BULK} and R_{SURF}). As all the experiments were performed in KCl solutions with controlled conductivity, the solution resistivity was kept constant and the observed changes in R_s are attributed to surface resistance variations. The linear response at low frequencies in the Nyquist plot (Fig. 2B) is due to the CPE element of the interfacial capacitance.

As follows from the spectra in Figure 2B, modification of native SiO_2 of the barriers surface with highly positively charged PEI causes decrease in the surface resistance producing the attraction of mobile ions from the water solution bulk to the charged surface. Accordingly, when Con A and other corresponding modification components are deposited on the surface the positive charge introduced by PEI is progressively compensated, producing significant increases in R_s .

To characterize the sensitivity of sensors to *E. coli* LPS their response was defined as changes in R_s of the modified sensor before (R_s^0) and after (R_s^{LPS}) reaction with LPS:

$$\Delta R_s = R_s^0 \times R_s^{LPS} \quad (2)$$

It has to be taken in consideration that in the low frequency region of the Nyquist plots (Figure 2B), the surface modification not only provokes changes in R_s but also alters the interfacial capacitance and the CPE α parameter. This is due to the formation of an additional layer over the electrodes, which results in an increase in C_{DL} and decrease in α parameter as a result of the non-ideality of the interfacial capacitor.

Subsequent experimental values (R_s , C_{DL} , α) obtained by fitting the spectra to the equivalent circuit in Figure 2 are presented in Table S1 (see supplementary information). All these parameters depend on the LPS concentration, however, ΔR_s was chosen as the main parameter due to its large scale changes and higher reproducibility.

3.2. Evaluation of the nonspecific binding on the electrode surface

The first step on the assembling process of different layers on the 3D-IDEA surface is immobilization of PEI, which is very fast and nearly irreversible. Colloid chemistry experiments show that PEI which bears positive charge adsorbs strongly on silicon dioxide surface due to the presence of hydroxyl groups [57] and increases the surface conductivity. In our experiments performed in low conductivity KCl 10^{-5} M solutions this provokes the surface resistance, R_s , decrease [45] as shows Figure 2B. This effect is used to control changes in surface charging at liquid/solid interface due to adsorption process or surface chemical reactions. Employment of 3D-IDEA device allows to improve significantly the sensitivity to surface charge changes in comparison with traditional flat devices [58].

Multiple publications confirm that branched PEI used as the first layer over silicon dioxide in the LBL process acts as a uniform anchoring network for the formation of consecutive layers, resulting in homogeneous films [50]. PEI was also employed previously [52] to assemble multilayers of Con A and branched polyglucose by LBL method. In the present work the LBL method was used for immobilization on the sensor surface of Con A as a biorecognition element for the detection of bacterial endotoxins.

In addition, an important aspect to be considered is possible non-specific interactions of positively charged PEI on the IDEA surface with negatively charged molecules in test solution that may result in non-selective sensor response.

In order to study the effect of PEI in the nonspecific binding of LPS on 3D-IDEA sensors, a preliminary comparative experiment with electrodes modified with PEI and PEI-Con A was carried out. For this, both types of sensors were immersed in 25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ solutions of *E. coli* LPS and, subsequently, the impedance response was studied at different incubation times. Obtained results are presented as ΔR_s changes in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Resistance variations for sensors modified with PEI (squares) and PEI-Con A (circles) in the presence of *E. coli* LPS at 25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Response of a PEI modified sensor in the absence of LPS is also presented (triangles). Error bars show standard deviation ($n=3$).

It can be observed that the impedance response in the presence of the LPS changes rapidly in time in both cases, provoking significant increase in R_s parameter. Although in the case of PEI-Con A the response of modified sensor showed slightly higher sensitivity, the differences with PEI modified electrodes are practically imperceptible. The saturation of the signal for both types of sensors occurs within 2 hours. Thus, obtained results indicate that PEI and PEI-Con A modified 3D-IDEA have similar binding affinity for LPS. In contrast, in the control experiments without LPS in solution the signal of PEI modified sensor remained stable in time.

As previously described, the bacterial LPS are formed by carbohydrates with phosphorylated groups that contribute to the negative charge of this molecule. Hence, its interaction with polyethyleneimine is produced by electrostatic attraction. Therefore, obtained results are in accordance with other publications [55] confirming the ability of PEI to react strongly with endotoxins. On the other hand, the biorecognition process of Con A is based on the specific reaction with the glycosyl residues of LPS.

Obtained results clearly show the necessity of effective blocking of the sensor surface modified with PEI to prevent its non-specific interactions and to ensure the selective biorecognition of LPS by Con A. The following experiments based on the study of superficial properties were performed to test different blocking strategies aimed on reduction of the effect of the highly positive charges of PEI to ensure selective endotoxin detection by Con A.

3.3. Bacterial endotoxin detection

In order to establish a robust and reproducible methodology to avoid the direct reaction of PEI with LPS and, simultaneously, to guarantee the specific binding of LPS with Con A, two modification approaches using different surface blocking reagents were tested. Firstly, two protein-based blocking reagents, BSA and WBR (PEI-Con A-BSA and PEI-Con A-WBR) were utilized and, secondly, the application of glycogen as intermediate layer for Con A immobilization (PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A) was employed.

3.3.1. PEI-Con A and protein blocking reagents

Protein-based blocking reagents, especially bovine serum albumin (BSA), are widely used in different applications [59] and here BSA was employed due to its ability to interact with PEI [60]. It is well known that the isoelectric point of BSA lies between 4.7 and 5.6 [61], so in solutions close to neutral pH as in our case (pH=7.4), the net charge of BSA is negative. Thus, we suggest that BSA will strongly adsorb on PEI surface not covered by Con A lectin. To study the effectiveness of BSA as a blocking agent to isolate the electrostatic attraction of LPS produced by PEI, 3D-IDEA sensors modified by PEI and PEI-ConA were subjected to BSA blocking as presented in experimental section. The effect of the BSA blocking was studied by incubation of modified sensors in 10 µg/mL LPS solution during 1 hour. Subsequent results are presented in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Variations in R_s of sensors functionalized with PEI and PEI-Con A after blocking with BSA. Response is measured in the presence of 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ LPS in time. Error bars show standard deviation ($n=3$).

Figure 4 demonstrates that BSA acts as an effective blocking reagent in the case of PEI modified 3D-IDEA sensors. When the surface of PEI modified sensors is blocked with BSA the increase in R_s parameter in the presence of the LPS is rather small, especially in a short time, demonstrating low absorption of LPS. On the other hand, PEI-Con A sensors blocked with BSA show high sensitivity of the impedance response in presence of LPS which is stable after 1 hour of incubation.

Obtained results also demonstrate that Con A in PEI-Con A-BSA structures preserves its biological activity to recognize endotoxins. As previously reported [62] Con A can bind sugars, glycoproteins and glycolipids containing reduced terminal α -D-mannosyl and α -D-glucosyl groups and at pH above 6.9 exists in a tetrameric form, while below 5.9 as a dimer [63]. Thus, the solution at pH = 7.4 employed for Con A immobilization allowed to maintain the tetrameric structure with four binding sites for carbohydrates and, consequently, can stimulate the binding affinity to bacterial endotoxins.

Sensor response of PEI-Con A-BSA 3D-IDEA was measured in *E. coli* LPS solutions in 0 – 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ concentration range during 1 hour. Subsequent results are presented in Figure 5. The R_s response increases proportionally with the LPS concentration with the sensitivity of 1.7 kOhm per mg/mL in the 5-20 mg/mL range. It may also be noted that the saturation phase is reached within 40 minutes at all concentrations. Significant differences may be noted between control tests without *E. coli* LPS in the solution and sensors response at low LPS concentration of 1-2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. These results confirm that BSA blocking method may be used as an effective strategy to ensure specific response of PEI-Con A layer in LPS biorecognition process.

Figure 5. R_s variation in time of sensors modified with PEI-Con A-BSA at different LPS concentrations (0 –control—, 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). In the inset the sensor response versus LPS concentration is shown.

Similar tests were carried out with WBR as a protein-based blocking reagent. 3D-IDEA sensors functionalized with PEI and PEI-Con A were subjected to blocking using solutions with different WBR concentrations (5, 10, 20, 40 and 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Afterwards, sensors were immersed in a solution of 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of LPS for 1 hour to study the blocking effect of WBR. However, in the case of PEI-WBR modified

sensors the blocking of PEI was not complete, especially at low concentrations of WBR (from 5 to 40 µg/mL). These test results are presented in Figure S1.

In the case of WBR similar to BSA blocking effect was achieved only at WBR concentration of 100 µg/mL. However, when this concentration of blocking reagent was employed for PEI-Con A sensors, no response to LPS was observed, demonstrating that WBA also blocks the activity of Con A making it impossible to detect bacterial endotoxins by means of Con A interaction.

3.3.2. Sensors with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayers

Though the BSA blocking methodology of PEI-Con A modified sensors described previously provides a good response and sensitivity to detect bacterial endotoxins, still a part of the R_s signal is produced by direct interaction of LPS with PEI. This may affect the selectivity in the presence of some other negatively charged molecules present in a test sample. Thus, an alternative approach of a robust methodology to immobilize Con A by means of PEI is required to prevent non-specific binding of bacterial LPS.

The second strategy to assemble Con A as a biorecognition element on the IDEA sensor surface is based on the use of glycogen to immobilize Con A and also to block undesirable activity of the underlying PEI layer. Glycogen (Gly) is a highly branched polysaccharide which consists of α -D-(1→4) linked D-glucose residues with α -D-(1→6) branch points and reacts easily with Con A at physiological pH [64]. The deposition of multiple layers of Con A and glycogen was performed by layer-by-layer method. As in the previous case the first two layers immobilized on the sensor surface were PEI and Con A. After this the sensor was treated alternately with glycogen and Con A to assemble the desired number of Con A-Gly layers on the device surface. Formation of Con A-Gly layers compensates the surface charges introduced by PEI and produces increase in the surface resistance as shown in Figure 6.

In order to test that thus constructed multilayer structure blocks efficiently the PEI layer positive charge, reaction with polystyrene sulfonate (PSS) polyanion was used. Being able to react with PEI by electrostatic interactions and to reverse the surface charge [50, 52], PSS is widely applied in the layer-by-layer method for deposition of polyelectrolyte multilayers. To demonstrate that the effect of PEI is completely blocked

PEI-Con A-Gly-Con A and PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A structures were formed on the 3D-IDEA surface and were immersed in a solution of PSS for 20 minutes.

As follows from Figure 6, where R_s values determined after each modification step are presented, different response was observed in each of the cases. PEI-Con A-Gly-Con A modified electrodes showed a significant increase in R_s on addition of PSS (Fig. 6A) indicating that PEI positive charges were not totally compensated by Con A-Gly-Con A layers and maintain ability to react with LPS. On the other hand, for sensors modified with additional Con A-Gly double layer (PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A, fig. 6B) no changes in impedance were observed after the PSS addition which confirms the complete isolation of PEI in this case.

Figure 6. R_s values of the IDEA devices after the successive deposition of surface layers and final treatment with PSS. (A) PEI-ConA-Gly-Con A and (B) PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A.

Therefore, obtained results give the evidence that PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayer structure completely blocks the PEI positive charges. This alternative approach of Con A immobilization may be regarded as a useful robust methodology for a selective bacterial LPS sensor development as presented below.

3D-IDEA sensors with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayered structure were used for detection of *E. coli* LPS. Figure 7 shows the sensors response at various concentrations of LPS (0-50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) in time. It should be noted that kinetics of Con A – LPS interaction is quite fast and the saturation phase is reached in 20 minutes regardless of the LPS concentration. Developed sensors show high sensitivity and even at the lowest tested concentration of 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ give a significant response. Modified sensors employed as control in the absence of LPS showed no changes in time, demonstrating the stability of the used multilayer structure.

Figure 7. Variation of PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A 3D-IDEA sensors R_s values in time during incubation in solutions with different LPS concentrations (0 (control), 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$).

Presented results confirm that the blocking is a crucial step in the development of functionalized surfaces for a biorecognition process in order to avoid non-specific interactions and enhance the selectivity and performance of biosensors based on label-free detection.

3.3. Sensor sensitivity and reproducibility

To evaluate the performance of developed devices 3D-IDEA sensors with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A layers were used to test sensitivity, reproducibility and the limit of detection (LoD) of bacterial endotoxin from *E. coli*. The interaction between Con A and *E. coli* LPS were monitored during 20 minutes of incubation to reach the saturation phase at which the maximum response is obtained. The resulting response curve is presented in Fig. 8 showing significant sensitivity of the sensor to different LPS concentrations. The sensors response obeys the Langmuir adsorption isotherm typical for irreversible adsorption of analyte species on a solid surface that is usually presented as:

$$n = n_m \frac{bC}{1 + bC} \quad (3)$$

where C is the concentration of the adsorbate in the solution, n is the amount adsorbed species, n_m is the amount of n in saturation and b is a coefficient. However, Langmuir equation is based on simplified assumptions that do not take into account the possible interaction of the adsorbed molecules and the possible dependence of the chemical activity of the surface active sites with the number of adsorbed molecules. Often adsorption experimental results are fitted with an empirical Hill function [65] which is expressed as:

$$y = R_{s_{\max}} \frac{x^n}{k^n + x^n} \quad (4)$$

where:

- x is the concentration of the adsorbate in the solution
- n is the Hill coefficient that describes the cooperativity of the ligand binding. If it is more than 1 it means that once one ligand molecule is bound to the surface site, its affinity for other ligand molecules increases.
- $R_{s_{\max}}$: The maximum R_s value at which saturation occurs and maximum surface concentration is achieved.
- k : Ligand concentration producing half occupation.

As shows Fig. 8 the obtained experimental points can be perfectly fitted by the Hill function. The fitting of experimental data with the Hill's equation gives the following parameters: $R_{s_{\max}} = 21.2 \pm 2 \text{ k}\Omega$ $n = 1.2 \pm 0.2$; $k = 7.4 \pm 1.6 \text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$. Langmuir type adsorption isotherms may be presented in a semilogarithmic plot thus giving a linear calibration regression of the sensor response. Results presented in the inset of Fig. 8 show that in the studied concentration range of 1 – 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ the sensors response is proportional to the logarithmic value of LPS concentration ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) with a correlation coefficient of 0.975 and with the sensitivity of 11.3 $\text{k}\Omega$ per LPS concentration decade.

The LoD may be defined as $\text{LoD} = 3 S_a / b$, where S_a is the standard deviation of the response and b is the slope of the calibration line [66]. The limit of detection of the studied sensors calculated in this way was as low as 1.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, practically the same as the lowest experimental concentration employed. It should be noted that this value is substantially lower than previously published for Con A impedimetric sensors

[16] which showed sensitivity starting from 50-100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of LPS or QCM piezoelectric sensor (>50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) [21] .

Figure 8. Response of sensors modified with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A in solutions with different concentration of LPS (squares) and fitted by Hill's equation (line). In the inset - linear calibration regression of electrodes modified with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A in LPS solutions. Error bars show standard deviation ($n=3$).

Finally, the reproducibility of the biofunctionalization strategy of the sensor with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A was evaluated. In each experiment at least three sensors were used. Taking into consideration that the standard deviation of signals of different sensors was $\pm 3.3 \text{ k}\Omega$ we may speak about high reproducibility of the developed methodology of sensor preparation.

4. Conclusions

Presented study is focused on the development of new sensing strategies of endotoxins detection using impedimetric transducers with special consideration of the selective response between the biorecognition element and the target analyte.

In this work an impedimetric transducer based on three dimensional interdigitated electrode array was employed as a tool for the detection of the surface charge changes due to interaction of immobilized concanavalin A lectin biorecognition element and bacterial endotoxins (lipopolysaccharides, LPS) of *E. coli*

in test solution. The deposition of Con A on the surface was carried out using the layer-by-layer method with polyethyleneimine polycation as an initial layer. The sensor surface characterization by means of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique allowed registering variations in superficial resistance provoked by surface charge changes and is demonstrated as an effective method to monitor sensor parameters at each sensor modification step as well as to follow Con A – LPS reaction. In order to prevent non-specific adsorption of LPS on PEI covered surface different blocking strategies were tested to achieve the specific response between Con A and LPS. The typical blocking compound in many biochemical applications is BSA. However, its effectiveness is not always thoroughly studied. Results obtained in this work clearly show that blocking with BSA is not sufficient to prevent non-specific interactions of PEI and to ensure the selective biorecognition of LPS by Con A. To achieve more efficient PEI blocking a new method was proposed based on consecutive deposition of Con A-glycogen-Con A layers. Sensors modified with PEI-(Con A-Gly)₂-Con A multilayers are shown to be highly sensitive, selective and reproducible. The response of thus functionalized impedimetric sensors follows the Langmuir adsorption curve that is perfectly fitted by Hill's equation. The developed sensor permits to detect bacterial LPS in a very short detection time (20 min) with the limit of detection as low as 1.5 µg/mL.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (project CTQ2014-54553-C3-1-R and S.B. fellowship BES-2015-071250) co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (Feder) and from the Government of Russian Federation (Grant 074-U01).

References

- [1] A.P. Das, P.S. Kumar, S. Swain, Recent advances in biosensor based endotoxin detection, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 51 (2014) 62-75.
- [2] E.T. Rietschel, T. Kirikae, F.U. Schade, U. Mamat, G. Schmidt, H. Loppnow, A.J. Ulmer, U. Zähringer, U. Seydel, F. Di Padova, Bacterial endotoxin: molecular relationships of structure to activity and function, *The FASEB Journal*, 8 (1994) 217-225.
- [3] S.-E. Kim, W. Su, M. Cho, Y. Lee, W.-S. Choe, Harnessing aptamers for electrochemical detection of endotoxin, *Analytical Biochemistry*, 424 (2012) 12-20.
- [4] A. Preston, R.E. Mandrell, B.W. Gibson, M.A. Apicella, The lipooligosaccharides of pathogenic gram-negative bacteria, *Critical reviews in microbiology*, (2008).
- [5] C. Erridge, E. Bennett-Guerrero, I.R. Poxton, Structure and function of lipopolysaccharides, *Microbes and Infection*, 4 (2002) 837-851.
- [6] J.L. Ding, B. Ho, Endotoxin Detection – from Limulus Amebocyte Lysate to Recombinant Factor C, in: X. Wang, P.J. Quinn (Eds.) *Endotoxins: Structure, Function and Recognition*, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2010, pp. 187-208.
- [7] M.J. Barnett, J.L. Wadham, M. Jackson, D.C. Cullen, In-field implementation of a recombinant factor C assay for the detection of lipopolysaccharide as a biomarker of extant life within glacial environments, *Biosensors*, 2 (2012) 83-100.
- [8] P. Miao, Electrochemical sensing strategies for the detection of endotoxin: a review, *Rsc Advances*, 3 (2013) 9606-9617.
- [9] W. Su, X. Ding, *Methods of Endotoxin Detection*, Jala, 20 (2015) 354-364.
- [10] L. Yang, R. Bashir, Electrical/electrochemical impedance for rapid detection of foodborne pathogenic bacteria, *Biotechnology Advances*, 26 (2008) 135-150.
- [11] J.Y. Heras, D. Pallarola, F. Battaglini, Electronic tongue for simultaneous detection of endotoxins and other contaminants of microbiological origin, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 25 (2010) 2470-2476.
- [12] L. Lu, G. Chee, K. Yamada, S. Jun, Electrochemical impedance spectroscopic technique with a functionalized microwire sensor for rapid detection of foodborne pathogens, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 42 (2013) 492-495.
- [13] Y. Wan, D. Zhang, B. Hou, Monitoring microbial populations of sulfate-reducing bacteria using an impedimetric immunosensor based on agglutination assay, *Talanta*, 80 (2009) 218-223.
- [14] W. Su, M. Lin, H. Lee, M. Cho, W.-S. Choe, Y. Lee, Determination of endotoxin through an aptamer-based impedance biosensor, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 32 (2012) 32-36.
- [15] T. Bertok, L. Klukova, A. Sediva, P. Kasak, V. Semak, M. Micusik, M. Omastova, L. Chovanova, M. Vlcek, R. Imrich, A. Vikartovska, J. Tkac, Ultrasensitive Impedimetric Lectin Biosensors with Efficient Antifouling Properties Applied in Glycoprofiling of Human Serum Samples, *Analytical Chemistry*, 85 (2013) 7324-7332.
- [16] J.S.L. da Silva, M.D.L. Oliveira, C.P. de Melo, C.A.S. Andrade, Impedimetric sensor of bacterial toxins based on mixed (Concanavalin A)/polyaniline films, *Colloids and Surfaces B-Biointerfaces*, 117 (2014) 549-554.
- [17] M. Gamella, S. Campuzano, C. Parrado, A.J. Reviejo, J.M. Pingarron, Microorganisms recognition and quantification by lectin adsorptive affinity impedance, *Talanta*, 78 (2009) 1303-1309.
- [18] M.M. Pedroso, A.M. Watanabe, M.C. Roque-Barreira, P.R. Bueno, R.C. Faria, Quartz Crystal Microbalance monitoring the real-time binding of lectin with carbohydrate with high and low molecular mass, *Microchemical Journal*, 89 (2008) 153-158.

- [19] G. Safina, M. van Lier, B. Danielsson, Flow-injection assay of the pathogenic bacteria using lectin-based quartz crystal microbalance biosensor, *Talanta*, 77 (2008) 468-472.
- [20] B. Serra, M. Gamella, A.J. Reviejo, J.M. Pingarron, Lectin-modified piezoelectric biosensors for bacteria recognition and quantification, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 391 (2008) 1853-1860.
- [21] M.E. Yakovleva, G.R. Safina, B. Danielsson, A study of glycoprotein-lectin interactions using quartz crystal microbalance, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 668 (2010) 80-85.
- [22] S. Brosel-Oliu, N. Uria, N. ABRAMOVA, A. BRATOV, Impedimetric sensors for bacteria detection, In *Biosensors - Micro and Nanoscale Applications*, edited Toonika Rinken, InTech, 2015, ISBN: 978-953-51-4250-8, InTech2015.
- [23] R. Ballerstadt, C. Evans, R. McNichols, A. Gowda, Concanavalin A for in vivo glucose sensing: a biotoxicity review, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 22 (2006) 275-284.
- [24] Y. Wang, Z. Ye, C. Si, Y. Ying, Monitoring of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in food samples using lectin based surface plasmon resonance biosensor, *Food Chemistry*, 136 (2013) 1303-1308.
- [25] S.A. Hong, J. Kwon, D. Kim, S. Yang, A rapid, sensitive and selective electrochemical biosensor with concanavalin A for the preemptive detection of norovirus, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 64 (2015) 338-344.
- [26] Y. Hu, P. Zuo, B.-C. Ye, Label-free electrochemical impedance spectroscopy biosensor for direct detection of cancer cells based on the interaction between carbohydrate and lectin, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 43 (2013) 79-83.
- [27] J.H.T. Luong, M. Habibi-Rezaei, Insect cell-based impedance biosensors: a novel technique to monitor the toxicity of environmental pollutants, *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 1 (2003) 2-7.
- [28] M.D.L. Oliveira, C.A.S. Andrade, M.T.S. Correia, L.C.B.B. Coelho, P.R. Singh, X. Zeng, Impedimetric biosensor based on self-assembled hybrid cystein-gold nanoparticles and CramoLL lectin for bacterial lipopolysaccharide recognition, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 362 (2011) 194-201.
- [29] J.K. Bhattarai, A. Sharma, K. Fujikawa, A.V. Demchenko, K.J. Stine, Electrochemical synthesis of nanostructured gold film for the study of carbohydrate-lectin interactions using localized surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy, *Carbohydrate Research*, 405 (2015) 55-65.
- [30] M.P. Christie, I. Toth, P. Simerska, Biophysical characterization of lectin-glycan interactions for therapeutics, vaccines and targeted drug delivery, *Future Medicinal Chemistry*, 6 (2014) 2113-2129.
- [31] K. Lebed, A.J. Kulik, L. Forro, M. Lekka, Lectin-carbohydrate affinity measured using a quartz crystal microbalance, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 299 (2006) 41-48.
- [32] D.J.R. da Silva, F.B. Diniz, Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy Study of Concanavalin A Adsorption on Glassy Carbon Electrode: An Analysis of Capacitance Dispersion, *Electrochimica Acta*, 119 (2014) 99-105.
- [33] M.D.L. Oliveira, M.T.S. Correia, L.C.B.B. Coelho, F.B. Diniz, Electrochemical evaluation of lectin-sugar interaction on gold electrode modified with colloidal gold and polyvinyl butyral, *Colloids and Surfaces B-Biointerfaces*, 66 (2008) 13-19.
- [34] D. Pihikova, P. Kasak, J. Tkac, Glycoprofiling of cancer biomarkers: Label-free electrochemical lectin-based biosensors, *Open Chemistry*, 13 (2015) 636-655.
- [35] R.R. Ueta, F.B. Diniz, Adsorption of concanavalin A and lentil lectin on platinum electrodes followed by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy: Effect of protein state, *Colloids and Surfaces B-Biointerfaces*, 61 (2008) 244-249.
- [36] E. Katz, I. Willner, Probing biomolecular interactions at conductive and semiconductive surfaces by impedance spectroscopy: Routes to impedimetric immunosensors, DNA-Sensors, and enzyme biosensors, *Electroanalysis*, 15 (2003) 913-947.
- [37] K.Y.P.S. Avelino, C.A.S. Andrade, C.P. de Melo, M.L. Nogueira, M.T.S. Correia, L.C.B.B. Coelho, M.D.L. Oliveira, Biosensor based on hybrid nanocomposite and CramoLL lectin for detection of dengue glycoproteins in real samples, *Synthetic Metals*, 194 (2014) 102-108.

- [38] W. Limbut, M. Hedstrom, P. Thavarungkul, P. Kanatharana, B. Mattiasson, Capacitive biosensor for detection of endotoxin, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 389 (2007) 517-525.
- [39] C. Berggren, B. Bjarnason, G. Johansson, Capacitive biosensors, *Electroanalysis*, 13 (2001) 173-180.
- [40] A. Guimera, G. Gabriel, E. Prats-Alfonso, N. Abramova, A. Bratov, R. Villa, Effect of surface conductivity on the sensitivity of interdigitated impedimetric sensors and their design considerations, *Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical*, 207 (2015) 1010-1018.
- [41] W. Olthuis, A.J. Sprenkels, J.G. Bomer, P. Bergveld, Planar interdigitated electrolyte-conductivity sensors on an insulating substrate covered with Ta₂O₅, *Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical*, 43 (1997) 211-216.
- [42] M. Varshney, Y. Li, Interdigitated array microelectrodes based impedance biosensors for detection of bacterial cells, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 24 (2009) 2951-2960.
- [43] A. Bratov, J. Ramon-Azcon, N. Abramova, A. Merlos, J. Adrian, F. Sanchez-Baeza, M.P. Marco, C. Dominguez, Three-dimensional interdigitated electrode array as a transducer for label-free biosensors, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 24 (2008) 729-735.
- [44] A. Ipatov, N. Abramova, A. Bratov, Integrated multi-sensor chip with sequential injection technique as a base for "electronic tongue" devices, *Sensors and Actuators B*, 131 (2008) 48-52.
- [45] A. Bratov, N. Abramova, Response of a microcapillary impedimetric transducer to changes in surface conductance at liquid/solid interface, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 403 (2013) 151-156.
- [46] A. Bratov, N. Abramova, M. Pilar Marco, F. Sanchez-Baeza, Three-Dimensional Interdigitated Electrode Array as a Tool for Surface Reactions Registration, *Electroanalysis*, 24 (2012) 69-75.
- [47] F.J.B. Kremer, J.F.J. Engbersen, J. Kruise, P. Bergveld, D.A.J. Starmans, J. Feijen, D.N. Reinhoudt, IMMOBILIZATION AND ACTIVITY OF CONCANAVALIN-A ON TANTALUM PENTOXIDE AND SILICON DIOXIDE SURFACES, *Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical*, 13 (1993) 176-179.
- [48] M. Matsusaki, H. Ajiro, T. Kida, T. Serizawa, M. Akashi, Layer-by-Layer Assembly Through Weak Interactions and Their Biomedical Applications, *Advanced Materials*, 24 (2012) 454-474.
- [49] Q. Cong, H. Bian, Z. Yu, J. Liu, F. Xi, A reagentless electrochemical immunosensor based on probe immobilization and the layer-by-layer assembly technique for sensitive detection of tumor markers, *Analytical Methods*, 7 (2015) 9655-9662.
- [50] M. Kolasińska, R. Krastev, P. Warszyński, Characteristics of polyelectrolyte multilayers: Effect of PEI anchoring layer and posttreatment after deposition, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 305 (2007) 46-56.
- [51] H. Ai, S.A. Jones, Y.M. Lvov, Biomedical applications of electrostatic layer-by-layer nano-assembly of polymers, enzymes, and nanoparticles, *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 39 23-43.
- [52] Y. Lvov, K. Ariga, I. Ichinose, T. Kunitake, Molecular film assembly via layer-by-layer adsorption of oppositely charged macromolecules (linear polymer, protein and clay) and concanavalin A and glycogen, *Thin Solid Films*, 284-285 (1996) 797-801.
- [53] Y. Weng, B. Jiang, K. Yang, Z. Sui, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Polyethyleneimine-modified graphene oxide nanocomposites for effective protein functionalization, *Nanoscale*, 7 (2015) 14284-14291.
- [54] S. Brosel-Oliu, N. Abramova, A. Bratov, N. Vignes, J. Mas, F.-X. Munoz, Sensitivity and Response Time of Polyethyleneimine Modified Impedimetric Transducer for Bacteria Detection, *Electroanalysis*, 27 (2015) 656-662.
- [55] D. Petsch, F.B. Anspach, Endotoxin removal from protein solutions, *Journal of Biotechnology*, 76 (2000) 97-119.
- [56] D. Petsch, E. Rantze, F.B. Anspach, Selective adsorption of endotoxin inside a polycationic network of flat-sheet microfiltration membranes, *Journal of Molecular Recognition*, 11 (1998) 222-230.
- [57] R. Mészáros, L. Thompson, M. Bos, P. de Groot, Adsorption and Electrokinetic Properties of Polyethyleneimine on Silica Surfaces, *Langmuir*, 18 (2002) 6164-6169.

- [58] A. Guimerà, G. Gabriel, E. Prats-Alfonso, N. Abramova, A. Bratov, R. Villa, Effect of surface conductivity on the sensitivity of interdigitated impedimetric sensors and their design considerations, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 207, Part B (2015) 1010-1018.
- [59] Y.L. Jeyachandran, J.A. Mielczarski, E. Mielczarski, B. Rai, Efficiency of blocking of non-specific interaction of different proteins by BSA adsorbed on hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 341 (2010) 136-142.
- [60] S. Zhang, G. Wang, X. Lin, M. Chatzinikolaïdou, H.P. Jennissen, M. Laub, H. Uludağ, Polyethylenimine-coated albumin nanoparticles for BMP-2 delivery, *Biotechnology progress*, 24 (2008) 945-956.
- [61] S. He, M. Huang, W. Ye, D. Chen, S. He, L. Ding, Y. Yao, L. Wan, J. Xu, S. Miao, Conformational change of bovine serum albumin molecules at neutral pH in ultra-diluted aqueous solutions, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 118 (2014) 12207-12214.
- [62] F.S. Coulibaly, B.-B.C. Youan, Concanavalin A–Polysaccharides binding affinity analysis using a quartz crystal microbalance, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 59 (2014) 404-411.
- [63] X. Zeng, C.A.S. Andrade, M.D.L. Oliveira, X.-L. Sun, Carbohydrate–protein interactions and their biosensing applications, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 402 (2012) 3161-3176.
- [64] S.Z. Zhang, F.L. Zhao, K.A. Li, S.Y. Tong, A study on the interaction between concanavalin A and glycogen by light scattering technique and its analytical application, *Talanta*, 54 (2001) 333-342.
- [65] J.C. Foreman, T. Johansen, A.J. Gibb, *Textbook of Receptor Pharmacology*, Third Edition, CRC Press 2010.
- [66] A. Shrivastava, V.B. Gupta, Methods for the determination of limit of detection and limit of quantitation of the analytical methods, *Chronicles of Young Scientists*, 2 (2011) 21.

Supplementary Information

Impedimetric label-free sensor for specific bacteria endotoxin detection by surface charge registration

Sergi Brosel-Oliu¹, Dmitry Galyamin¹, Natalia Abramova^{1,2}, Francesc-Xavier Muñoz¹, Andrey Bratov^{1*}

¹ BioMEMS Group, Instituto de Microelectrónica de Barcelona, Centro Nacional de Microelectrónica (CSIC), Campus U.A.B., 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

² Lab. Artificial Sensors Syst., ITMO University, Kronverskiy pr. 49, 197101 St. Petersburg, Russia

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 935947700. E-mail address: andrei.bratov@imb-cnm.csic.es

Table S1. Values of the equivalent circuit parameters obtained from the fitting of impedance spectra. Data are shown as means $\pm$ SD (n=3).

	[LPS] ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	R_s (k Ω)	CPE-T (nF)	CPE-P (α)
Native SiO ₂	-	53.6 $\pm$ 1.9	3.7 $\pm$ 0.9	0.91 $\pm$ 0.02
PEI	-	13.6 $\pm$ 1.3	3.8 $\pm$ 0.9	0.94 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA	-	20.4 $\pm$ 2.9	3.9 $\pm$ 1.1	0.94 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	-	31.3 $\pm$ 4.2	4.2 $\pm$ 1.1	0.93 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	1	31.5 $\pm$ 1.1	3.8 $\pm$ 1.5	0.92 $\pm$ 0.03
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	2	33.3 $\pm$ 0.9	4.9 $\pm$ 0.9	0.92 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	5	50.7 $\pm$ 4.8	5.3 $\pm$ 0.2	0.90 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	10	59.8 $\pm$ 3.3	5.9 $\pm$ 1.2	0.90 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-ConA-BSA- LPS	20	77.8 $\pm$ 0.4	5.7 $\pm$ 0.9	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	-	64.6 $\pm$ 0.4	5.1 $\pm$ 0.3	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	1	66.2 $\pm$ 0.2	5.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	2,5	68.1 $\pm$ 0.6	5.4 $\pm$ 0.1	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	5	74.1 $\pm$ 0.3	5.3 $\pm$ 0.3	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	10	77.1 $\pm$ 1.7	5.6 $\pm$ 0.1	0.89 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	20	80.5 $\pm$ 2.6	5.7 $\pm$ 0.1	0.88 $\pm$ 0.01
PEI-(ConA-Gly) ₂ -ConA	50	84.3 $\pm$ 1.4	6.8 $\pm$ 0.4	0.85 $\pm$ 0.01

Figure S1. Response of PEI-WBR modified 3D-IDEA with different WBR concentration after 1-hour incubation in a 10 µg/mL *E. coli* LPS solution. Error bars show standard deviation (n=3).