Preferred stabilization of HeI$_2$ van der Waals isomers: the effect of energetics and temperature
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The populations of the two different HeI$_2$ conformers (linear and T-shaped) were calculated as a function of temperature using a simple thermodynamic model and the quantum mechanical partition functions for each conformer. Variational quantum calculations were performed for angular momentum values $J$ up to 15, and by analyzing the rovibrational energies and functions, all states up to dissociation were assigned. On the basis of the vibrational and rotational partition functions calculations, it was found that the relative populations of the isomers have a strong dependence on the temperature. The population of the linear isomer (the most stable one according to the $ab$ initio CCSD(T)/CBS potential used) decreases relative to that of the T-shaped, as the temperature increases, and at temperatures around 1 K the two populations are equal, with the T-shaped isomer being more abundant for higher temperatures. The temperature effect on the relative population was also investigated as a function of the difference in the binding energy values of the two isomers, including those determined from the experimental observations with the T-shaped being energetically most stable. In this case, even though the ratio of the T-shaped/linear populations decreases rapidly for temperatures below 1 K, the T-shaped isomer was the most abundant at all temperatures. The system evolves between both T-shaped and linear arrangements, with no significant changes at temperatures 1.5 K. The disagreement at low temperatures between theoretical predictions and experimental data available indicates that further refinement is still needed for controlling the isomers’ formation, and various possible sources of errors are extensively discussed.

Weakly bound van der Waals (vdW) clusters have proven, by both theoreticians and experimentalists, to be suitable for investigating long-range intermolecular interactions,$^{1-3}$ energy transfer mechanisms, and even microsolvation processes.$^{4-7}$ There has been a significant amount of effort focused on rare gas–dihalogen complexes,$^{1,8-28}$ and as a consequence new aspects of these systems emerge. For example, high-level $ab$ initio electronic structure computations have predicted minima in the ground electronic states of such complexes at both linear and T-shaped orientations,$^{29,30}$ with the final conclusion drawn, later on, from the experimental evidences on the stabilization of two isomers in the supersonic expansions,$^{10,12,18}$ although they have been first observed by Levy and coworkers$^{31}$ in the spectra of the HeI$_4$. In the case of He–dihalogen, such as HeBr$_2$, HeI$_2$ and HeICl, the depths of the potential wells for the linear and T-shaped configurations have been found to be comparable, with the linear being the deepest one.$^{14,29,30}$ By including zero-point-energy (ZPE) effects for $T = 0$ K, the linear isomer has been predicted to be the most stable one, with energy differences between the two conformers estimated at 3.14, 1.14 and just only 0.21 cm$^{-1}$ for the HeICl, HeBr$_2$, and HeI$_3$, respectively.$^{14,29,32}$ For the HeICl and HeBr$_2$ complexes such values has been found in accord with experimental predictions, while in the case of the HeI$_2$ experimental measurements support an inverse ordering in the stability of the two isomers, with the T-shaped conformer being by 0.3 cm$^{-1}$ more stable than the linear one.$^{33}$

Moreover, Loomis and coworkers$^{18}$ have recorded LIF and complimentary action spectra for HeI$_2$ in the $I_2$ B→X, 20, 0 region at two different distances downstream along the expansion, corresponding to rotational temperatures of $<0.09$ and 1.86(2) K. By observing changes in the intensities of the T-shaped and linear features, with the linear spectral feature becoming less intense than the T-shaped peak with cooling, they have presumed$^{18}$ that the linear conformer is less stable than the T-shaped one. This finding brings us back to one of the initial motivations of this series of studies, arising the question of whether there is a simple explanation for the apparent disagreement between theory and experiment on the stability of the two ground state HeI$_2$ isomers. Although the errors in the experimental binding energy values of the two isomers are larger than the energy difference between them, it seems that the linear isomer has been measured to be the most stable one.

$^a$Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Calle 26, Cra 39, Edificio 404, Bogotá, Colombia
$^b$Instituto de Física Fundamental (IFF-CSIC), CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: rita@ifc.csic.es; Tel: +34 915616800

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017
So far, a number of spectroscopic studies have detected different isomers for such vdW clusters formed in supersonic expansions, with the mechanisms for their formation and the subsequent cooling via collisions remain uncertain, and probably system depended. Experimental results have shown\textsuperscript{13} that the relative populations of the isomers may change within a supersonic expansion even under conditions of temperature below 1 K. Further, investigations in similar rare gas–dihalogen complexes\textsuperscript{15–17} have also shown that the formation of isomers is controlled by thermodynamic factors, so the complexes are not kinetically trapped in different potential minima, and thus kinetic effects do not influence the relative population with respect to the equilibrium ones.

As such, an important issue to be checked is the temperature dependence of the isomers’ populations in the case of the HeI\textsubscript{2}. Thus, calculations of the rovibrational states of the HeI\textsubscript{2}(X) were performed using the ab initio CCSD(T)/CBS potential surface,\textsuperscript{12} vibrational and rotational partition functions were computed, and then the populations of the linear and T-shaped conformers were obtained via a simple thermodynamic model as a function of temperature. Apart of the temperature effect on the stability of the isomers, we also investigate the role of the difference in the binding energies of the isomers, as it has been predicted by both theory and experiment. Hence, we present the stability of the isomers, we also investigate the role of the dependence of the isomers’ populations in the case of the HeI\textsubscript{2}, the relative population of the two isomers, and discussion of the angular distributions are also depicted. On the basis of the results and their sensitivity to various parameters. Finally, Section 2 summarizes some conclusions of this work.

1 Computational details, results and discussion

1.1 Rovibrational states from variational calculations

The rovibrational Hamiltonian in the Jacobi \((r,R,\theta)\) coordinate system has the form\textsuperscript{36}

\[
\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + \frac{j^2}{2\mu_1 r^2} + \frac{j^2}{2\mu_2 R^2} + V_{\text{vib}}(r, R, \theta),
\]

where \(\frac{1}{\mu_1} = \frac{1}{m_{\text{He}}} + \frac{1}{2m_i}\) and \(\frac{1}{\mu_2} = \frac{1}{m_{\text{I}}} + \frac{1}{2m_i}\) are the reduced masses, \(m_{\text{He}} = 4.00260\) and \(m_{\text{I}} = 126.904473\) u are the atomic masses of \(\text{He}\) and \(^{12}\text{I}\) isotopes, \(l\) and \(j\) are the angular momentum operators associated with the vectors \(R\) and \(r\), respectively, leading to a total angular momentum \(J = l + j\), while \(V_{\text{vib}}(r,R,\theta) = V(r,R,\theta) + V_1(r)\), with \(V(r,R,\theta)\) and \(V_1(r)\) being the ab initio CCSD(T)/CBS HeI\textsubscript{2} and I\textsubscript{2} ground state potentials from ref. 32. The CCSD(T)/CBS energies and structures have been further compared with experimental data for both HeI\textsubscript{2} and I\textsubscript{2} molecules, as well as with values from multi-reference calculations.\textsuperscript{19,32,37,38} In Fig. 1 we display the minimum energy path (MEP) of the vibrationally averaged \(V_{\nu,0}(R,\theta) = \langle \chi_{\nu,0}(r) | V(R,\theta) | \chi_{\nu,0}(r) \rangle\) intermolecular vdW potential of HeI\textsubscript{2} over the \(1\) \(\chi_{\nu,0}\) vibrational eigen functions, as a function of \(\theta\). This surface presents minima for both linear and T-shaped configurations at \(-44.48\) and \(-38.93\) cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are very close in energy within 5.5 cm\(^{-1}\), while they are separated by a isomerization barrier lying at energy of \(-18.43\) cm\(^{-1}\).

The bound vdW levels, and the corresponding wave functions are calculated variationally by diagonalizing the vibrationally averaged Hamiltonian, using up to four vibrational basis functions, \(\chi_{\nu=0-3}(r)\). For a given total angular momentum \(J\) and a parity of total nuclear coordinates inversion \(p\), the corresponding Hamiltonian is represented as a product of radial, \(|\nu(R)\rangle\), and angular, \(\{\phi_{\nu,mp}(\theta)\}\), basis functions. For the \(R\) coordinate a discrete variable representation (DVR) basis set was used based on the particle in a box eigen functions, while the angular \(\{\phi_{\nu,mp}(\theta)\}\) basis functions are eigen functions of the parity, with \(M\) being the projection of \(J\) on the space-fixed \(z\)-axis, \(\Omega\) its projection on the body-fixed \(z\)-axis, which is chosen along the \(R\) vector.\textsuperscript{29} The Hamiltonian is represented on a finite three-dimensional basis set. The \(V_{\nu,0}\) potential matrix elements were evaluated for \(v = 0–3\) values at 61 Gaussian quadrature points in \(r\) range between 2.2 to 3.5 Å. For the angular coordinate we used ortho normalized Legendre polynomials \(\{P_l(\cos \theta)\}\) as basis functions, with up to 48 and 49 values of the diatomic rotation \(j\) for even and odd symmetry, respectively, while for the \(R\) coordinate, a basis set of 140 DVR functions over the range from 2.85 to 15.0 Å were used, achieving a convergence of 0.0005 cm\(^{-1}\) in the bound state calculations.

In Fig. 1 the bound vibrational \((J = 0)\) states together with their angular distributions are also depicted. On the basis of the localization of their radial and angular distributions, the lowest 3 levels for \(n = 0, 1\) and 2, were assigned to linear and T-shaped

![Fig. 1 Minimum energy path of the vibrationally averaged \(V_{0,0}\) potential for the HeI\textsubscript{2} as a function of angle \(\theta\), together with the bound vibrational levels and the angular probability distributions of the \(n = 0\) (linear) and \(2\) (T-shaped) states for \(J = 0\) (\(p = +1\), \(j = \text{even}\)). The zero probability for each state has shifted to its energy value.](image-url)
1.2 Thermodynamic model and partition functions

The energies of all bound levels for each $J \leq 15$ are displayed in Fig. 2. As we are interested at low temperatures $T \leq 3$ K, only states with $J \leq 15$ are significantly populated, so only these states were evaluated. As we mentioned above for $J = 0$, the $n = 0$ and 1 states have linear He⋯I–I geometries, the $n = 2$ state corresponds to T-shaped ones, while the higher vibrational states present a wide spread over the $\theta$ angle. As $J$ value increases, we observe two smooth progressions for the linear states (denoted by $\bullet$ symbols) corresponding initially to the two lowest levels ($n = 0, 1$) for $J = 0$ at energy of $-15.72$ cm$^{-1}$, and to $n = 1, 2$ levels for $J = 1$ at $-9.70$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In turn, for each $J$ value the T-shaped states (denoted by $\bigcirc$ symbols) split into $2J + 1$ different $K$ rotor levels, although due to near degeneracies between states with same $K$ value and different parities only $J + 1$ levels are distinguishable in Fig. 2. The energies of these levels increase and their pattern becomes congested as $J$ increases with the $K$ states spreading at higher energies and overlapping other rovibrational states. By comparing with the rigid rotor model energy values (denoted by $\ast$ and $\times$ symbols for linear and T-shaped levels, respectively), one can observe a very similar behavior, especially at low energies.

The small difference in the binding energies of the two ground state conformers together with the larger density of states for the slightly more energetic T-shaped configuration shown above, indicates the possibility of a temperature dependence populations for the linear and T-shaped isomers, respectively. Their binding energies were calculated to be $D_0^L = 15.72$ and $D_0^T = 15.51$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively, with the linear conformer being more stable by just 0.21 cm$^{-1}$ than the T-shaped one.

The energies of all bound levels for each $J \leq 15$ are displayed in Fig. 2. As we are interested at low temperatures $T \leq 3$ K, only states with $J \leq 15$ are significantly populated, so only these states were evaluated. As we mentioned above for $J = 0$, the $n = 0$ and 1 states have linear He⋯I–I geometries, the $n = 2$ state corresponds to T-shaped ones, while the higher vibrational states present a wide spread over the $\theta$ angle. As $J$ value increases, we observe two smooth progressions for the linear states (denoted by $\bullet$ symbols) corresponding initially to the two lowest levels ($n = 0, 1$) for $J = 0$ at energy of $-15.72$ cm$^{-1}$, and to $n = 1, 2$ levels for $J = 1$ at $-9.70$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In turn, for each $J$ value the T-shaped states (denoted by $\bigcirc$ symbols) split into $2J + 1$ different $K$ rotor levels, although due to near degeneracies between states with same $K$ value and different parities only $J + 1$ levels are distinguishable in Fig. 2. The energies of these levels increase and their pattern becomes congested as $J$ increases with the $K$ states spreading at higher energies and overlapping other rovibrational states. By comparing with the rigid rotor model energy values (denoted by $\ast$ and $\times$ symbols for linear and T-shaped levels, respectively), one can observe a very similar behavior, especially at low energies.

The rotational constant for the linear HeI$_2$ isomer, $B_0^{\text{rot}}$, is obtained as the one half of the difference between the energies of the $J = 1$ and $J = 0$ calculated states. The values of 0.0301 and 0.0309 cm$^{-1}$ indicate that the criterion, $T \gg \theta_0^{\text{rot}} = B_0^{\text{rot}}/k_B = 0.043$ K, is fulfilled by a factor of only 2 for the lowest temperature values reported at the experiment.$^{48}$ For the T-shaped conformer, the theoretical approximation of the rotational partition function based on the asymmetric top rigid rotor is,$^{44,41}$

$$Z_{\text{rot}}^T(T) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{\Delta E}{k_B T} \right)}$$

where $\Delta E$ represents the difference in the binding energy of the isomers, with the terms $E_0^L$ and $E_0^T$ being the vibrational energies of the lowest linear and T-shaped states. In turn, the rotational partition functions are expressed as:

$$Z_{\text{rot}}^L(T) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{E_0^L}{k_B T} \right)}$$

and $Z_{\text{rot}}^T(T) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{E_0^T}{k_B T} \right)}$ with $Z_{\text{vib}}$ and $Z_{\text{rot}}$ denoting the vibrational and rotational partition functions, respectively. The ratio of the vibrational partition functions can be written as:

$$Z_{\text{vib}}^L(T) Z_{\text{vib}}^T(T) = \exp \left( \frac{-\delta E}{k_B T} \right)$$

where $\delta E = E_0^T - E_0^L = 0.21$ cm$^{-1}$ represents the difference in the binding energy of the isomers, with the terms $E_0^L$ and $E_0^T$ being the vibrational energies of the lowest linear and T-shaped states. In turn, the rotational partition functions are expressed as:

$$Z_{\text{rot}}^L(T) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{E_0^L}{k_B T} \right)}$$

and $Z_{\text{rot}}^T(T) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{E_0^T}{k_B T} \right)}$ with the assumption that $T \gg \sqrt{A_0^L B_0^L C_0^L}/k_B$. The constants for the T-shaped rotor, $A_0^T = 0.2404$ cm$^{-1}$, $B_0^T = 0.0376$ cm$^{-1}$, and $C_0^T = 0.0322$ cm$^{-1}$, are obtained again by energy difference between the $J = 1$ and $J = 0$ T-shaped levels, indicating temperatures of $T \geq 0.095$ K. In this case, one can see that the characteristic temperature is just at the lower limit of the cluster's temperature, and thus, the rotational partition functions for both linear and T-shaped states were also determined using eqn (4) by the sum over the calculated energies of the linear or T-shaped conformers for temperatures up to 5 K.

The small difference in the binding energies of the two ground state conformers together with the larger density of states for the slightly more energetic T-shaped configuration shown above, indicates the possibility of a temperature dependence populations for the linear and T-shaped
conformers. Thus, the relative populations of the linear and T-shaped \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) features, \( \mu^0/\mu^L \), are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of temperature. It is noticeable their strong dependence on the temperature, with the population of the linear being almost 100% at low temperature, while it decreases rapidly at 66% at \( T = 0.5 \) K compared to that of 34% for the T-shaped feature by increasing temperature. The two populations become equal at \( T_C = 1.037 \) K, while above this temperature there is an inversion of the population ratio of the \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) isomers. The T-shaped isomer is more abundant than the linear one, counting 61 and 65% at \( T = 2 \) and 3 K, respectively. Also in Fig. 3 the corresponding partition functions obtained from the rigid rotor (RR) model is shown for both linear and T-shaped rotors. Different type of lines correspond in the case that the second progression of linear rotor states (see Fig. 2) appears at \( J \approx 1 \) has been included (solid line) or not (dashed line) in the calculation. One can see that no differences are obtained in the populations of the \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) isomers for temperatures below 2 K, while above this temperature the RR model diverge, slightly favoring the T-shaped abundance, and with the inclusion of the linear states’ progression in the upper energy band to quantitatively affect the partition functions’ ratio. We should point out that as temperature increases \( (T > 3 \) K), the rotational partition functions start to become subject to the finite number of calculated \( J \) states.

1.3 Results’ discussion

A theoretical approach based on a simple thermodynamic model including data from quantum rovibrational \( J \approx 0 \) bound state calculations was employed to evaluate the isomers’ populations as a function of temperature and to provide results on the populations’ inversion of the \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) system. We should note that the relative proportion of the linear and T-shaped isomers was obtained from the corresponding vibrational and rotational partition functions. On the other hand, as we mentioned above, LIF and action spectra have been recorded at two different downstream distances along the expansion. The \( \text{I}_2 \) \( (X, v = 0) \) rotational temperature of these spectra was estimated at 1.86(2) K and <0.09 K, respectively. They observed that the intensity of the linear spectral feature becomes less intense with cooling, than the T-shaped one, so the linear \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) \( (X, v = 0) \) isomer should be less stable than the T-shaped. The binding energy of the linear species has been measured at 16.3(6) cm\(^{-1}\) by the average energies of the onsets of the continuum signals of action spectra in the \( \text{I}_2 \) \( B-X, \nu^0 \) regions with \( \nu^0 = 19, 20 \) and 23, while the relative binding energy of the T-shaped conformers has been measured at 0.3(2) cm\(^{-1}\) by recording multiple, two-photon spectra, setting the T-shaped binding energy to 16.6(6) cm\(^{-1}\). We should note that the errors reported in the experimental binding energy values for each isomer are higher than the energy difference between them, and their stability is in an inverse ordering compared to the theoretical estimates from \textit{ab initio} quantum mechanical calculations. Thus, in Fig. 4 we present the relative populations of the isomers obtained from the RR model using the rotational constants from the rovibrational calculations, and the values of the experimental binding energies \( \Delta E_L = 16.6 \) and \( \Delta E_T = 16.3 \) cm\(^{-1}\). As it was expected, there is no inversion from the T-shaped to linear species. One can now see that the population of the T-shaped conformers drops down to 67% at temperatures up to 1 K, and then increases slightly to 72%. The reversed behavior occurs in the population of the linear species, with population around to 3 and 30% at the experimental temperatures of 0.09 and 1.86 K, respectively. Similar estimates for the population of each \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) \( (X, v = 0) \) isomer can be, in principle, tracked by measuring the integrated intensity of the corresponding \( \text{He-} \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{I}_2 \) spectral feature in the recorder action spectra at the same temperatures. Moreover, the binding energy difference value, \( \Delta E \), between the two isomers is also expected to influence the value of the population’s crossing temperature (see eqn (3)). Thus, on the basis of the present theoretical results on the \( \text{HeI}_2 \) partition functions with the linear isomer being more stable than the T-shaped one, the lowest \( T_C \) value for...
the conversion is $T_{\text{min}} = 0.58$ K (see Fig. 4), corresponding to the zero energy difference between the two isomers.

A key point could also be that the present theoretical results check whether only thermodynamic factors control the inversion of the populations, without taking into account kinetic effects that may change them compared to the equilibrium ones. In this vein, simulations in similar vdW systems\(^{13}\) have been provide insights into different collision mechanisms that could affect the inversion between the isomers even at the very low temperatures of the experimental setups. The dominant mechanism for such conversion under temperature has not been, so far, precisely determined, although for He complexes there is no evidence for kinetically trapped species in the low temperatures of the experimental setups. The dominant mechanisms on the complex dynamics could be then determined. On the other hand, it is clear that the energy differences observed between the HeI\(_2\) isomers, we now consider to check the performance of the different methods and basis sets at a number of intermolecular configurations. Obviously, the most relevant for the sampling should be those in the regions along the minimum energy path between the two HeI\(_2\) potential minima. In this way, we created a set of 19 benchmark interaction energies for the HeI\(_2\) along the minimum values of the 2D $V(R,\theta, r_e)$ potential, and another 13 configurations corresponding to the minimum energies of the full $V(R,\theta, r_e)$ surface. In Fig. 5 we display the results from the CCSD(T), CCSD(T)/CBS and CCSD(T)-F12 calculations along these two minimum energy paths (see top and bottom panel, respectively). As it can be seen, the CCSD(T)/CBS data predicts deeper potential wells at both linear and T-shaped configurations, and lower isomerization barrier than the CCSD(T) results, while the CCSD(T)-F12 estimates present an opposite behavior, showing shallower wells and higher isomerization barrier compared to the CCSD(T) ones. The F12a and F12b energy values are quite similar, with the F12a closer to the CCSD(T) reference values corresponding to the calculations with the AV6Z/AV5Z-PP basis sets. We should note that the convergence of the CCSD(T)-F12 energies is quite different in the regions around the barrier and minima, showing a better performance, especially the F12a, in the configurations around the potential minima. Even though the potential energies nearby the two minima are described pretty consistently by the CCSD(T)/AV5Z/AV5Z-PP, CCSD(T)/AV6Z/AV5Z-PP and CCSD(T)-F12a calculations, the performance of the CCSD(T)-F12 around the barrier seems inconclusive, and thus no additional support for its reliability has been gained.

Fig. 5 Interaction energies, $\Delta E$, along the minimum energy paths for the HeI\(_2\) of the full $V(R,\theta, r_e)$ (top panel) and $V(R,\theta, r_e) = 2.666$ (bottom panel), as a function of angle $\theta$ obtained from CCSD(T) using AV6Z and AV5Z basis sets and their extrapolation to CBS limit, as well as from CCSD(T)-F12a/b calculations (see text) and from CCSD(T) using AV6Z basis sets for He and AV5Z-PP for I atoms. Solid lines are drawn only to guide the eye.
2 Summary and conclusions

The present work addressed the role played by temperature and energetics on the stabilization of different HeI₂ isomers. Such conformers have been stabilized in supersonic expansions at varying the distance from the nozzle, and have been identified within spectral features in LIF and action spectra recorded in the I₂ B-X, 20–0 region. By analyzing the intensities of the linear and T-shaped features in these spectra with cooling Loomis and coworkers have presumed that the linear He···I₂ [X, v = 0] isomer should be less stable than the T-shaped. This was somewhat surprisingly when for similar He–dihalo complex linear species have been found, by both theory and experiment, to be most stable than the T-shaped ones. So, we calculated the population of each He···I₂ X, v = 0 ground state isomer as a function of temperature using a thermodynamic model and the quantum partition functions from rovibrational variational computations employing the ab initio CCSD(T)/CBS surface with J values up to 15. We chose to monitor the change in the relative populations of the linear and T-shaped isomers as temperature changes, and conversion of the linear into T-shaped He···I₂ isomers is observed even at low temperatures, with their populations being equal at T = 1 K. We showed that above this temperature the T-shaped isomer is the more abundant. We also found that linear and T-shaped rigid rotor models offer a good description of the system especially at low energies. So, the results from the quantum partition functions were then compared with those obtained from the rigid rotor model and the experimental binding energy values of the two isomers. Such comparisons demonstrate the high sensitivity of isomers’ populations on the energetics and temperature, indicating that a higher level of refinement is still needed in theoretical approaches for this system, and possible in experimental measurements and their interpretations, to reach an agreement at low temperature regime for this very weakly bound complex. In particular, even though high level ab initio calculations were performed to determine the He–I₂ intermolecular interactions, several approximations, e.g. the CBS extrapolation procedure, should be still reconsidered. Given the difficulties associated with such calculations for a very weak system containing heavy atoms (such as iodine), we aimed to bracket the uncertainties of recent ab initio methods at various representative configurations. We found that the previous CBS schemes overestimate the depths for both linear and T-shaped wells, while no clear clues were came out for the energies of the isomerization barrier between them. It seems that the approximations should be improved and modifications should be introduced, while complementary information is still needed for ascertaining the importance of energetics, thermodynamic or even kinetic effects for this system. Thus, it would be extremely interesting to record HeI₂ spectra in varying distances to the nozzle and temperature regimes within the expansion, that could provide additional insights in order to fine-tune errors and deficiencies for controlling the stabilization of its isomers.
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