**BTH and BABA induce resistance in pea against rust (*Uromyces pisi*)
involving differential phytoalexin accumulation**

Eleonora Barilli^{a,*}, Diego Rubiales^a, Carmine Amalfitano^b, Antonio Evidente^c and Elena Prats^a

^aInstitute for Sustainable Agriculture, CSIC, Córdoba, Spain

^bAgrarian Department, University of Naples Federico II, Portici, Italy

^cDepartment of Chemical Science, University of Naples Federico II, Napoli, Italy

*Corresponding author, e-mail: ebarilli@ias.csic.es Tel.: +34 957 499211 Fax: +34 957 499252

Abstract

Main Conclusion:

Systemic acquired resistance elicitors, BTH and BABA, reduce rust penetration in pea through phytoalexins pathway but differing in their mode of action.

It has been previously shown that rust (*Uromyces pisi*) infection can be reduced in pea (*Pisum sativum*) by exogenous applications of systemic acquired resistance elicitors such as BTH and BABA. This protection is known to be related with the induction of the phenolic pathway but the particular metabolites involved have not been determined yet. In this work, we tackled the changes induced in phytoalexin content by BTH and BABA treatments in the context of the resistance responses to pea rust. Detailed analysis through high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) showed qualitative and quantitative differences in the content, as well as in the distribution of phytoalexins. Thus, following BTH treatment we observed an increase in scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin contents in all, excreted, soluble and cell wall-bound fraction. This suggests fungal growth impairment by both direct toxic effect as well as plant cell wall reinforcement. The response mediated by BTH was genotype-dependent, since coumarin accumulation was observed only in the resistant genotype whereas treatment by BABA primed phytoalexin accumulation in both genotypes equally. Exogenous application to the leaves of scopoletin, medicarpin and pisatin lead to a reduction of the different fungal growth stages, confirming a role for these phytoalexins in BTH and BABA induced resistance against *U. pisi* hampering pre and post penetration fungal stages.

Abbreviations:

ANOVA, analysis of variance; BABA, (D,L)--3-amino-*n*-butanoic ((D,L)- β -aminobutyric) acid; BTH, benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothionic acid-*S*-methyl ester (Bion[®]); HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; phytoalexins; SAR, systemic acquired resistance

1 Introduction

2
3 Pea rust incited by *Uromyces pisi* (Pers.) Wint. is a widespread disease of pea (*Pisum*
4 *sativum* L.), causing considerable losses in Europe, North and South America, Asia, Australia
5 and New Zealand (Agrios 1998; EPPO 2012). During its cycle, *U. pisi* has five morphological
6 stages, each one represented by a different type of spore. The uredial stage is the one causing
7 the main agronomic losses in pea, being urediospores responsible for disease development
8 under field conditions causing a multi-cycling infection (Barilli et al. 2009a). When
9 urediospores germinate, a germ tube is formed in order to recognize the leaf stomata. When it
10 occurs, the germ tube develops an appressorium that penetrates through the stomata. Then a
11 substomatal vesicle is formed and from them, haustoria mother cells elongate and attempt to
12 invaginate into mesophyll cells. In the case of successful penetration, a nutrient-absorbing
13 haustorium develops inside the mesophyll cell and fungal growth of subsequent hyphae is
14 allowed. Rust infection can be hampered at early stages before stomatal penetration, although
15 resistance based in reduced spore germination or stomata recognition is a rare event described
16 only in few instances (Niks and Rubiales 2002). When the fungus enter through stomata and
17 develop haustoria mother cells, penetration of mesophyll cells may be hampered by limiting
18 intercellular growth of primary infection hyphae or by mesophyll cell penetration resistance
19 based on reinforcement of cell walls through papilla formation and/or protein crosslinking (Niks
20 and Rubiales 2002; Iglesias-García et al. 2015). When penetration resistance fails and haustoria
21 develop within the mesophyll host cells, the hypersensitive cell death response can be activated
22 limiting fungal development (Niks and Rubiales 2002; Sillero et al. 2006; Rubiales et al. 2011).
23 At present, complete resistance to *U. pisi* has not yet been found in peas, although partial
24 resistance based on the activation of pre-haustorial mechanisms without host cell death has been
25 described under field and controlled conditions (Barilli et al. 2009a, b).

26
27 One of the potential control methods for *U. pisi* consists of reducing disease severity
28 through the induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) in pea plants (Barilli et al. 2010a),
29 as previously found for other crops against pathogenic fungi, parasitic plants, oomycetes,
30 viruses, and bacteria (Prats et al. 2002; Pérez-de-Luque et al. 2004; Amzalek and Cohen 2007;
31 Sillero et al. 2012; Fu and Dong 2013; Gozzo and Faoro 2013). Enhancement of resistance can
32 be accomplished by application of both abiotic and biotic elicitors on the plant before it is
33 infected by a pathogen. Benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothionic acid (BTH) and D,L- β -
34 aminobutyric acid (BABA) are known by their general ability to induce resistance in treated
35 plants (Gozzo and Faoro 2013), but knowledge of their underlying mechanisms of action for the
36 same pathosystem are often missed or limited. We have recently proven that exogenous
37 applications of BTH and BABA on pea leaves few days before inoculation with *U. pisi* reduced

rust incidence reducing the percentage of appressorium and haustorium formation (Barilli et al. 2010a,b; 2012). This phenomenon was related to a significant increase in the activity of several defense-related enzymes such as peroxidases, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase, as well as the activation of the phenylpropanoid pathway increasing accumulation of phenolic compounds (Barilli et al. 2010b; 2012). These, were more abundant following both BTH and BABA application, but the methodology used did not allow identifying, quantifying and fully describing the particular phenolic compounds involved.

Phenolic compounds are a group of important plant secondary metabolites that have been suggested to play a variety of roles in defense mechanisms against pathogens. They were reported as phytoanticipins, phytoalexins, structural barriers, modulators of pathogenicity, and/or activators of plant defense genes (Arfaoui et al. 2007). In particular, phytoalexins are low molecular weight antimicrobial compounds synthesized *de novo* and accumulated in plants following pathogen challenge or other stresses (Ding et al. 2000; Prats et al. 2000; 2006; Serghini et al. 1996; 2001). Their accumulation is described also as one of the mechanisms induced during SAR responses (Gozzo and Faoro 2013). The phytoalexins pisatin and maackiain (Fig. 1a, b) are the major isoflavonoids found in pea (Ingham 1982), although others such as medicarpin (Fig. 1c), more abundant in *Medicago* spp., can also be found. All these three phytoalexins belong to the same pterocarpan subgroup of isoflavonoids and are well known for their antifungal activity (Dewick 2009). Recently pisatin was found as principal metabolite in pea root exudates together with already known and new stilbene polyphenols which stimulates *Orobanch* seed germination (Evidente et al. 2010). Medicarpin was also previously isolated from heavy naturally *Ascochyta rabiei* infected chickpea seeds (Evidente et al. 1996). Scopoletin has been isolated from many plants including leguminous (reviewed by Gnolonfin et al. 2012) and belong, together with some analogues also incorporating an isoprene unit, to the coumarin subgroup of phenylpropanoids (Dewick 2009) (Fig. 1d).

The aim of the present work was to shed light on the underlying mechanisms operating during BTH and BABA-induced defence response in the pea-*U. pisi* interaction. For this purpose, we compared the effect of pea pre-treated with both elicitors on the content of phytoalexins in different leaf phenolic fractions of two pea genotypes in response to fungal infection. In addition, histological bioassays were performed testing the fungitoxic activity of phytoalexins on particular stages of *Uromyces pisi* fungal infection progress.

Materials and methods

Plants and fungal material, growth conditions and inoculation

U. pisi monosporic isolate UpCo-01 from the fungal collection belonging to the Institute for Sustainable Agriculture-CSIC (Córdoba, Spain) was used for the experiment. Spores were preserved in liquid nitrogen and multiplied on susceptible pea cv. Messire before use: urediospores were removed from storage, heat shocked at 40°C for 5 min and then used for plant inoculation as described below. Eight days after infection, when pustules sporulate profusely, urediospores were recollected by means of a vacuum spore collection device, dried during 24 h in silica gel supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and used for the experiment. Pea cv. Messire and accession PI347321 were used in the experiment. Messire is highly susceptible to *U. pisi* while genotype PI347321 shows partial resistance based on pre-penetration mechanisms, that is the highest level of resistance available so far in pea (Barilli et al. 2009b). Plants were grown in pots (6 x 6 x 10 cm) filled with a 1:1 mixture of sand and peat in a growth chamber at 20°C under a photoperiod at 14 h light and 10 h dark with light intensity of 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ photon flux density supplied by high-output white fluorescent tubes (Lumilux Cool White FQ 80 W//840 HO; OSRAM, Germany).

When the third leaves were fully expanded, plants were treated with the SAR elicitors benzo[1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carbothionic acid-*S*-methyl ester (BTH, also named acibenzolar-*S*-methyl (ASM)) and the nonprotein amino acid D,L-3-amino-*n*-butanoic acid (D,L- β -aminobutyric acid [BABA]). BTH was purchased in the form of Bion 50 (50% active ingredient) from Syngenta (Basel, Switzerland), and BABA was purchased from Sigma (Missouri, USA). Concentrations used were 10 mM and 50 mM for BTH and BABA respectively, previously proven effective in this pathosystem (Barilli et al. 2010a). Solutions were prepared in sterile water to which Tween 20 (0.03%, v/v) was added as a wetting agent. Then, 3 droplets (15 μl per drop) of each solution were applied on each leaflet at first node from the base. Control plants were treated with sterile water plus Tween 20. Three replications, consisting in four plants each, per treatment and genotypes were analyzed and experiments were repeated three times. Five days after treatment with SAR elicitors, plants were inoculated by dusting the plants with rust urediospores (2mg spores per plant) mixed with pure talc (1:10, w:w) using a spore settling tower, resulting in a spore deposition of about 30-35 spores/mm². After inoculation plants were incubated at 20 $\pm$ 2°C in complete darkness and high humidity during the first 24h. High humidity was provided by ultrasonic humidifiers operating for 15min every 2h. After that period the humidifiers were turned off and plants returned to normal growth conditions. Controls, noninoculated plants were maintained in the same environmental conditions as inoculated plants. Two leaves at the 3rd stages from noninoculated, inoculated with and without SAR induction were harvested 48hours after inoculation (hai), weighted and used for biochemical analysis.

Extraction of phytoalexins

In order to quantify phytoalexins (both coumarins and isoflavanoids), leaves collected as mentioned above were washed with 5 mL of methanol by dripping the volume along the leaf surface. The methanol was recovered with a pipette and dripped again along the leaf. Then the same procedure was repeated using 5 mL of chloroform instead of methanol. The methanol and chloroform washes were combined, concentrated under vacuum, and then dissolved in 0.1 ml of methanol as described by Prats et al. (2007) for quantification of excreted coumarins. Then, the washed leaf was homogenized in 10 ml pre-chilled (-20 °C) acetone by using a mortar. After filtering off the solvent extract, the residue was further sequentially extracted with a similar volume of acetone and acetone methanol (1:1, v/v). The combined solvent extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in 1 ml of methanol and cleared by centrifugation (Prats et al. 2002) for quantification of soluble phytoalexin within the cell. For quantification of cell wall-bound coumarins, 0.2 g of the pellet was resuspended in 0.4 ml of 2M NaOH and then incubated at 70 °C during 16 h. The suspension was chilled and neutralised adding 0.4 ml of 2M HCl and then centrifuged at 14000 rpm during 15 min. The supernatant was collected, evaporated and resuspended in 0.25 ml of methanol. Prior to HPCL analysis, photosynthetic pigments were removed by adding to the methanolic solution 1:5 volumes of *n*-hexane ethyl ether (60:40, v/v). As a result, most pigments partitioned into the *n*-hexane ether phase. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate, evaporated and resuspended in 0.1 ml of methanol. Total phytoalexin values were considered as sum of resulted excreted, soluble and cell-wall bound phytoalexins per genotype and treatment.

HPLC analysis of phytoalexins

Phytoalexins were identified and quantified by HPLC using an HPLC (Perkin Elmer, Series 200 System, Monza, Italy) equipped with a diode array detector set to 210 and 220 nm. Analyses were carried out in duplicate injecting 20 µL of all samples for each analysis. Standards (scopoletin, pisatin, medicarpin, maackiain (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Luis, MO, USA)) were prepared at concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹ in methanol and diluted in a 1:10 ratio in a C₁₈ column 250×4 mm internal diam., containing 5 mm diam. beads (LiChrospher 100 RP-18), using a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ and elution with CH₃CN/H₂O along a linear gradient of CH₃CN from 20 to 33% over a period of 90 min, and again from 33 to 20% for 5 min. The column was re-equilibrated under isocratic conditions for 5 min before the next run. The data obtained were expressed in µg g⁻¹ FW.

Fungal growth bioassay

Two leaves at the 2nd node per plant and genotype were used, with a total of six plants per treatment. Plants were treated with phytoalexins at concentrations previously found in the leaves (i.e 8.0, 7.8, and 1.2 µg per leaf of scopoletin, pisatin, and medicarpin respectively), by applying the solution with a pipette on the leaf surface and ensuring their complete absorption. As a control, BTH and BABA solution were also applied to both genotypes (as reported above). Twenty-four hours after the treatments, plants were inoculated with *U. pisi* as reported above. Two days after inoculation (dai), leaves were harvested and stained according to Sillero and Rubiales (2002). The different stages of the infection process were assessed using a phase contrast Leica DM LS microscope at X400 magnification (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Necrosis was identified by uptake of Trypan blue by the plant cells.

Statistical analyses

For statistical analysis, percentage data were transformed to arcsine square roots (transformed value = $180/\pi \times \arcsin[\sqrt{(\%/100)}]$) to normalize data and stabilize variances throughout the data range. Data were combined from the three experiments to determine average ratings. Transformed data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistix 8 (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, USA), after which residual plots were inspected to confirm data conformity to normality. Significance of differences between means was determined by calculating least significant difference (LSD).

Results

Total phytoalexin content

Overall, 13 different compounds were detected distributed in the different fractions analysed (Supplemental Table 1). Out of these, scopoletin, medicarpin and pisatin were identified by HPLC analysis carried out in comparison of commercial standard also by co-injection. Identification of the remaining compounds is currently being carried out, so in the present work we will focus in these three important phytoalexins belong to two different groups of phenylpropanoids as coumarins and isoflavanoids. Scopoletin was by far the most abundant coumarin in both genotypes, followed by pisatin and medicarpin (Fig. 2). Whatever the genotype and treatment, the presence of maackiain was not detected. Scopoletin was constitutively most abundant in resistant PI347321, whereas similar levels of pisatin and

1 medicarpin, were found in both genotypes. Total content of scopoletin and medicarpin did not
2 changed significantly following rust inoculation. However, the total content in pisatin
3 significantly increased in both genotypes following rust infection ($P = 0.0026$ and $P = 0.049$ in
4 Messire and PI347321, respectively) (Fig. 2).

5
6 Interestingly, both, BTH and BABA induced changes in the total content of coumarins
7 although not in the same manner. BTH treatment increased the level of pisatin and medicarpin
8 ($P = 0.0096$ and $P = 0.0214$, respectively) (Fig. 2) in the resistant genotype PI347321 prior to
9 pathogen challenge. After pathogen challenge, there was a marked accumulation of scopoletin,
10 pisatin and medicarpin in PI347321 (more than fourfold respect to inoculated non-treated plants
11 (Fig. 2). In contrast, BTH treatment did not affect the content of any of the coumarins in the
12 susceptible Messire before or after rust challenge. Unlike BTH, BABA induced accumulation
13 both, in the susceptible and resistant genotypes (Fig. 2). However, in absence of pathogen
14 challenge, BABA treatment did not affect coumarin content and following attack led to an
15 increase only in the content of scopoletin (Fig. 2).

16
17 In order to correlate the changes of the particular phytoalexins with their possible role
18 hampering specific stages of the rust infection process we carried out an analysis of the different
19 fractions: i.e. soluble phytoalexins excreted to the leaf surface, soluble phytoalexins within the
20 cell, and cell-wall bound phytoalexins.

21 22 23 Changes on soluble phytoalexins excreted to leaf surface

24
25 As for the total content, in this fraction, scopoletin was the most abundant phytoalexin,
26 followed by pisatin and medicarpin (Fig. 3). Similar constitutive levels of scopoletin and
27 medicarpin were found in the susceptible and resistant genotypes, whereas pisatin was present
28 constitutively only in the latter. The content of scopoletin and medicarpin excreted to the leaf
29 surface did not change following rust inoculation. However, the total content in pisatin
30 significantly increased in both genotypes following pathogen attack ($P = 0.0001$ and $P = 0.0208$
31 in Messire and PI347321, respectively) (Fig. 3).

32
33 BTH did not modify the content of any of the phytoalexins in the susceptible genotype
34 Messire, either constitutively or after pathogen attack. However, in resistant PI347321, it
35 significantly increased the pisatin and medicarpin contents prior to fungal inoculation ($P =$
36 0.0358 and $P = 0.03$ respectively) (Fig. 3). In addition, in genotype PI347321, BTH also
37 induced accumulation of all phytoalexins following pathogen attack in more than five-fold ($P =$

0.0002, 0.0002 and 0.0066 for scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin respectively) (Fig. 3). BABA treatment did not affect significantly the constitutive content of any of the phytoalexins in both genotypes. However, excreted scopoletin increased significantly in both BABA-treated genotypes following *U. pisi* inoculation (Fig. 3).

Changes on soluble phytoalexins within the cell

Scopoletin and pisatin were the most abundant phytoalexins of this fraction, followed by medicarpin (Fig. 4) as observed in the excreted fraction. Similar constitutive level of pisatin was found in the susceptible and resistant genotypes, while constitutive levels of scopoletin and medicarpin were higher in resistant PI347321 ($P = 0.05$ and $P = 0.034$ respectively). The content of any of the three phytoalexins did not change significantly in the susceptible genotype following rust inoculation. However, the pisatin content significantly increased in resistant PI347321 following pathogen attack ($P = 0.0491$) (Fig. 4).

Both BTH and BABA induced changes in the content of the phytoalexins albeit these changes depend on the particular treatment applied. Prior to pathogen attack, elicitors did not affect the content of scopoletin and medicarpin. However, pisatin content was significantly reduced following BABA treatment in the susceptible genotype Messire (Fig. 4). By contrary, BTH significantly increased the constitutive level of pisatin ($P = 0.0018$) (Fig. 4). After *U. pisi* inoculation, both elicitors led to scopoletin accumulation in both genotypes ($P = 0.007$ and 0.0052 for Messire and PI347321 respectively), being values higher in BABA-treated genotypes. As previously found, BTH also induced pisatin in inoculated PI347321 ($P = 0.0017$) and, in minor extent, medicarpin accumulation ($P = 0.0063$) (Fig. 4).

Changes on cell-wall bound phytoalexins

Phytoalexin quantification revealed only the presence of scopoletin in this fraction. Similar constitutive levels of this phytoalexin were found in both susceptible and resistant genotypes, and its content did not significantly increased following rust inoculation (Fig. 5). Treatments with elicitors did not have any significant effect in the susceptible cv. Messire. However, BTH treatment significantly induced the accumulation of scopoletin in the resistant genotype following pathogen attack more than two-fold ($P = 0.0001$) (Fig. 5). By contrary, BABA treatment reduced the content of the cell-wall bound scopoletin of inoculated plants ($P = 0.0009$).

Fungal growth bioassay

Results showed that the different phytoalexins affected fungal growth at different stages of the infection process, in some cases to a similar extent observed for the BTH and/or BABA treatment (Fig. 6). As expected, control plants from the resistant PI347321 showed lower infection rate than the susceptible control Messire.

Urediospore germination was high in both genotypes (Fig. 6). BTH and BABA did not significantly reduce fungal germination compared to the water control. Interestingly scopoletin reduced spore germination in both genotypes ($P = 0.0240$ and $P = 0.0162$ for Messire and PI347321, respectively) (Fig. 6).

When germination succeeded, the germtube grew towards the stoma, over which it formed an appressorium. The percentage of germ tubes that failed to form an appressorium was significantly higher in the resistant genotype PI347321 than in cv. Messire ($P < 0.001$). Treatment with BTH and BABA significantly increased the percentage of germ tubes that failed in forming appressoria in both genotypes (Table 2). Appressoria formation was also reduced in both genotypes after application of any of the phytoalexins tested, being scopoletin the most effective in both Messire and PI347321 ($P = 0.0010$ and $P = 0.0004$) (Fig. 6). In addition, plants treated with scopoletin reduced the number of germ tubes associated with haustoria to a similar level of those treated with BABA although the effect was not as strong as in the plants treated with BTH ($P = 0.0321$ and $P = 0.0283$ for Messire and PI347321 respectively) (Fig. 6).

The resistant genotype PI347321 showed also reduction of the colony size observed as a reduced number of haustoria and hyphal tips per colony ($P = 0.0025$ and $P = 0.0371$ respectively) compared to cv. Messire (Fig. 6). Both parameters were strongly reduced in presence of BTH, whereas results obtained with BABA treatment were not always significant. All phytoalexins tested, reduced the number of haustoria per colony and the number of hyphal tips per colony in the susceptible genotype Messire but their effect was not as strong in the resistant genotype.

Discussion

As previously reported (Barilli et al. 2010a,b; 2012), resistance to leaf infection by *U. pisi* in pea was enhanced by prior treatments with BTH and BABA, prompting lower infection frequency. This induced resistance has been previously associated with the phenylpropanoid pathway. In fact, Maffi et al. (2011) showed an accumulation of phenylpropanoids in BTH-treated *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants upon *Uromyces appendiculatus* infection, coupled with increased activities of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, the key enzyme of the phenylpropanoid pathway. In addition, Prats et al. (2002) described phytoalexins accumulation after BTH application in sunflower (*Heliantus annuus*) increasing its resistance against the sunflower rust (*Puccinia heliantii*). On the other hand BABA has been related to an accumulation of stilbene phytoalexins, including resveratrol and viniferins upon *Plasmopara viticola* infection (Slaughter et al. 2008). Our previous work (Barilli et al. 2010b) showed an increase in total phenolic contents in pea following BTH and BABA treatments. Here, our detailed analysis further showed quantitative, qualitative and distribution differences in phytoalexin composition between BTH and BABA treatments in relation with the resistance to the pea rust.

In terms of total content, scopoletin was the most highly induced phytoalexin by both elicitors. Scopoletin accumulation has been correlated with resistance to microbial attack and other stresses (reviewed by Gnonlonfin et al. 2012). Our data are also in agreement with other works that showed this phytoalexin as the most abundant in pathogen challenged plants compared with other phytoalexins or phytoalexin glycosides (Prats et al. 2006, 2007; Gnonlonfin et al. 2012). In the present study pisatin, and to a lesser extent, medicarpin were also promoted in BTH- and BABA-treated plants. Previous studies show that pea inoculated with various plant pathogenic fungi, increase pisatin content and this is related to inhibition of fungal growth (Cruickshank 1961, Daniels and Hadwiger 1976). Medicarpin and other pterocarpanes are also synthesized in response to fungal or bacterial infections (Ingham 1982). As example, *Phoma medicaginis* attack lead to the accumulation of medicarpin in alfalfa leaves (Jasinski et al. 2009) and medicarpin is also described in other legumes such as chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) for its direct antimicrobial activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* (Arfaoui et al. 2007). As above cited, medicarpin was also found in naturally *Ascochyta rabiei* infected chickpea seeds (Evidente et al. 1996). Interestingly, the response induced by BTH, with regard to the quality, quantity and distribution of the phytoalexins differed from that observed for BABA.

BTH and BABA differed in their induction of phytoalexins in a genotype depended manner

Considering total phytoalexin content, BABA induced mainly scopoletin accumulation following pathogen attack compared to non-treated plants in both genotypes, whereas BTH induced the level of all phytoalexins but its effect focussed only in the resistant genotype.

1 Similarly, following BTH treatment and challenge by *Magnaporthe oryzae*, Baldoni et al. (2013)
2 found higher expression of particular resistance-related genes in resistant rice accessions than in
3 the susceptible ones. On the contrary, in a different work, BABA was found to induce resistance
4 against *Plasmopara viticola* to both susceptible and resistant cultivars of grapevine
5 (Hamiduzzaman et al. 2005). These data suggest a genotype-depended mode of action for BTH
6 that probably need a certain threshold of inherent resistance for its action. In this work the
7 application of both elicitors to the same plant-pathogen interaction highlighted these differences
8 between BTH and BABA with respect to their mode of action.

9
10 According to the fungal bioassays, different phytoalexins impaired fungal growth at
11 different stages of the infection process. Thus, the differences in the accumulation of particular
12 phytoalexins following each elicitor treatment might explain the differences observed with
13 respect to the fungal growth following the different elicitor treatments.

14
15 Phytoalexin distribution following BTH and BABA application

16
17 We found that differences in phytoalexins accumulation following BTH and BABA
18 treatment were not only related to the quality or quantity of the specific phytoalexins but
19 interestingly also to where they accumulate within the leaf. Interestingly BTH induced the
20 excretion of the three phytoalexins to the leaf surface whereas BABA induced mainly scopoletin
21 accumulation and only in the fraction extracted from the cells compared with inoculated but
22 non-treated plants. Further, our bioassays showed that exogenous scopoletin application
23 significantly reduced the percentage of *U. pisi* appressoria formation. Similar reduction of
24 appressorium formation by scopoletin has been reported in sunflower against *P. heliantii* (Prats
25 et al. 2002). This suggests an involvement for this excreted phytoalexin in the reduction of spore
26 germination and appressoria formation following BTH treatment. The fact that BABA treatment
27 also reduces appressoria formation, suggest that other compounds (different from phytoalexins)
28 might also contribute to the resistance at that stage. In this sense, it has been reported that
29 polyamines have an effect, impairing appressorium formation in *M. grisea* (Choi et al. 1998).
30 An effect for pisatin or medicarpin reducing appressorium formation had not been previously
31 described so far. However, our results show that both phytoalexins also contributed to the
32 reduction of the rust infection by hampering appressoria formation.

33
34 In addition, BTH induced high levels of cell wall bound scopoletin, not observed in
35 BABA treated plants. Scopoletin can be incorporated to the cell wall by specific scopoletin
36 peroxidases contributing to the cell wall strengthening by cross linking with polysaccharides
37 and extensin monomers (Jorrín and Prats 1999). We have previously observed that BTH-treated

1 plants induced total and scopoletin peroxidase activity (Barilli et al. 2010b), which might
2 explain the increased level of scopoletin accumulated in the cell wall in the BTH treated plants.
3 This cell wall strengthening might contribute to the higher penetration resistance observed and
4 would explain the lower number of germlings associated with haustoria that we found following
5 BTH treatment compared with BABA. Peroxidase activity has been also reported in pea-*U.*
6 *viciae-fabae* pathosystems, after BTH treatment (Dann and Deverall 2000) suggesting that the
7 coupled induction of peroxidases and their corresponding substrates might be a common mode
8 of action of BTH.

9
10 On the other hand BABA highly induced scopoletin content in the soluble fraction
11 compared with a lower induction observed for BTH. In a recent proteomic profile of BABA
12 induced peas in response to *U. pisi* inoculation (Castillejo et al. 2009) we have observed that the
13 chalcone–flavonone isomerase was significantly increased in BABA-induced plants. This
14 protein is the second enzyme of the flavonoid–isoflavonoid biosynthesis and might be
15 responsible of the high levels of scopoletin observed. Since BABA treatment did not elicit total
16 peroxidase nor scopoletin peroxidase activities in peas (Barilli et al. 2010b), most of the
17 scopoletin produced would not be incorporated within the cell wall, as our results showed,
18 increasing its content in the soluble fraction extracted from the cells. It is not surprising that a
19 high fraction of the phytoalexins were found in this latter fraction. In fact, many
20 phenylpropanoids have been shown to accumulate in the vacuole, or in vesicles formed from the
21 vacuole that eventually coalesce into one large membrane (Dixon and Paiva 1995). The
22 vacuolar sequestration is a well-known method of detoxification in plant cells which further use
23 transporters localized in the plasma membrane to ensure metabolites cell extrusion (Jasinski et
24 al. 2001). It has been demonstrated that in *Nicotiana* spp., high level of terpenoids induces the
25 ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, which pumps the metabolites out of the cells when its
26 concentration within the cell solution reaches a certain level and before it becomes toxic
27 (Jasinski et al. 2001).

28
29 Overall, our data showed that both elicitors induced defence in pea against the rust
30 fungus through induction of different phytoalexins. Interestingly, BTH constitutively induced
31 the accumulation of phytoalexins of specific fractions albeit the higher phytoalexin changes in
32 BTH treated plants were observed following pathogen attack, highlighting the priming of the
33 defensive response. Interestingly the mode of action of BABA was more related to the priming
34 of defences since elicitation of phytoalexins by the elicitor in absence of the pathogen was not
35 observed. This priming that accelerates and increases the plant's ability to activate defences
36 under disease pressure was known for BTH and BABA (Fu and Dong, 2013; Gozzo and Faoro
37 2013). However, the priming response depends on a largely unknown defence pathway. Our

work integrated the role of phytoalexins, particularly scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin in this pathway although it does not exclude the possibility that other metabolites may operate in BTH and BABA induced peas in conjunction with phytoalexins. In this respect, as previously mentioned, HPLC analyses have revealed the existence of other compounds, which were present at higher concentration in BTH-treated plants after fungal inoculation. These compounds have been purified and their chemical structure and antifungal activity is now being studied.

Acknowledgements

The authors are greatly indebted to the Spanish AGL2011-22524 and Spanish-Italian HI2007-0233 projects for financial support. Dr. E. Barilli was granted by JAE-Doc programme, co-financed by the European Social Foundation.

References

- Agrios GN (1998) Royas. In UTHEA Noriega (eds.) Fitopatología. Limusa, México, pp 469-492
- Amzalek E, Cohen Y (2007) Comparative efficacy of systemic acquired resistance-inducing compounds against rust infection in sunflower plants. *Phytopathology* 97: 179-186
- Arfaoui A, El Hadrami A, Mabrouk Y, Sifi B, Boudabous A, El Hadrami I, Daayf F, Chérif M (2007) Treatment of chickpea with *Rhizobium* isolates enhances the expression of phenylpropanoid defense-related genes in response to infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*. *Plant Physiol Bioch* 45: 470-479
- Baldoni E, Mattana M, Locatelli F, Consonni R, Cagliani LR, Picchi V, Abbruscato P, Genga A (2013) Analysis of transcript and metabolite levels in Italian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars subjected to osmotic stress or benzothiadiazole treatment. *Plant Physiol Bioch* 70: 492-503
- Barilli E, Serrano A, Sillero JC, Rubiales D (2009a) Differential response of pea (*Pisum sativum*) to rusts incited by *Uromyces viciae-fabae* and *U. pisi*. *Crop Prot* 28: 980-986
- Barilli E, Sillero JC, Moral A, Rubiales D (2009b) Characterization of resistance response of pea (*Pisum* spp.) against rust (*Uromyces pisi* (Pers.) Wint.). *Plant Breed* 128: 665-670
- Barilli E, Sillero JC, Rubiales D (2010a) Induction of systemic acquired resistance in pea against rust (*Uromyces pisi*) by exogenous application of biotic and abiotic inducers. *J Phytopathol* 158: 30-34
- Barilli E, Prats E, Rubiales D (2010b) Benzothiadiazole and BABA improve resistance to *Uromyces pisi* (Pers.) Wint. in *Pisum sativum* L. with an enhancement of enzymatic activities and total phenolic content. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 128: 483-493

- Barilli E, Rubiales D, Castillejo MA (2012) Comparative proteomic analysis of BTH and BABA-induced resistance in pea (*Pisum sativum*) toward infection with pea rust (*Uromyces pisi*). *J Proteomics* 75: 5189-5205
- Castillejo MA, Maldonado AM, Dumas-Gaudot E, Fernández-Aparicio M, Susín R, Rubiales D (2009) Differential expression proteomics to investigate responses and resistance to *Orobanche crenata* in *Medicago truncatula*. *BMC Genomics*, 10: 1–17
- Choi WB, Kang SH, Lee YW, Lee YH (1998) Cyclic AMP restores appressorium formation inhibited by polyamines in *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Phytopathology* 88: 58-62
- Cruickshank IAM (1962) Studies on phytoalexins IV. The antimicrobial spectrum of pisatin. *Aust J Biol Sci* 15: 147-159
- Daniels DL, Hadwiger LA (1976) Pisatin-inducing components in filtrates of virulent and avirulent *Fusarium solani* cultures. *Physiol Plant Pathol* 8: 9-19
- Dann EK, Deverall BJ (2000) Activation of systemic disease resistance in pea by an avirulent bacterium or a benzothiadiazole, but not by a fungal leaf spot pathogen. *Plant Pathol* 49: 324-332
- Dewick PM (2009) Medicinal Natural Products – A Biosynthetic Approach. John Wiley & Sons Ltd Chichester, UK
- Ding H, Lamb RJ, Ames N (2000) Inducible production of phenolic acids in wheat and antibiotic resistance to *Sitodiplosis mosellana*. *J Chem Ecol* 26: 969–985
- Dixon RA, Achnine L, Kota P, Liu CJ, Reddy MSS, Wang LJ (2002) The phenylpropanoid pathway and plant defence: a genomics perspective. *Mol Plant Pathol* 3: 371–390
- Dixon RA, Paiva NL (1995) Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. *Plant Cell* 7: 1085-1097
- EPPO (2013) Data sheet for Standards Pea. Available at http://archives.eppo.org/EPPOStandards/PP2_GPP/pp2-14-e.doc (accessed 10 August 2013)
- Evidente A, Capasso R, Motta A, Andolfi A, Vurro M, Zonno MC, Bottalico A (1996) Toxic metabolites from phytopatogenic *Ascochyta* species. *Boll Chim Farm* 135: 552-555
- Evidente A, Cimmino A, Fernández-Aparicio M, Andolfi A, Rubiales D, Motta A (2010) Polyphenols, including the new peaployphenols A-C, from pea root exudates stimulate *Orobanche foetida* seed germination. *J. Agric. Food Chem* 58: 2902-2907
- Fu ZQ, Dong X (2013) Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 64: 839-863
- Gozzo F, Faoro F (2013) Systemic acquired resistance (50 years after discovery): moving from the lab to the field. *J Agr Food Chem* 61: 12473-12491
- Gnonlonfin GJB, Sanni A, Brimer L (2012) Review scopoletin: A coumarin phytoalexin with medicinal properties. *Crit rev Plant Sci* 31: 47-56

- Hamiduzzaman MM, Jakab G, Barnavon L, Neuhaus J, Mauch-Mani B (2005) β -Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance against downy mildew in grapevine acts through the potentiation of callose formation and jasmonic acid signalling. *MPMI* 18: 819–829
- Higgins CF (1992) ABC transporters: From microorganisms to man. *Annu Rev Cell Biol* 8: 67-113
- Iglesias-García R, Rubiales D, Fondevilla S (2015) Penetration resistance to *Erysiphe pisi* in pea mediated by *erl* gene is associated with protein cross-linking but not with callose apposition or hypersensitive response. *Euphytica* 201: 381-387
- Ingham JL (1982) Phytoalexins from the Leguminosae. In: Bailey JA, Mansfield JW (eds) *Phytoalexins*. Glasgow, London, pp 21–80
- Jasiński M, Kachlicki P, Rodziejewicz P, Figlerowicz M, Stobiecki M (2009) Changes in the profile of flavonoid accumulation in *Medicago truncatula* leaves during infection with fungal pathogen *Phoma medicaginis*. *Plant Physiol Bioch* 47: 847-853
- Jasiński M, Stukkens Y, Degand H, Purnelle B, Marchand-Brynaert J, Boutry M (2001) A plant plasma membrane ATP binding cassette-type transporter is involved in antifungal terpenoid secretion. *Plant Cell* 13: 1095-1107
- Jorrín JV, Prats E (1999) Allelochemicals, phytoalexins and insect-feeding deterrents: different definitions for 7-hydroxylated coumarins. In: Macías FA, Galindo JCG, Molinillo JMG, Cutler HG (eds) *Recent advances in allelopathy Vol. I. A science for the future*. Universidad de Cádiz, pp 179-191
- Maffi D, Iriti M, Pigni M, Vannini C, Faoro F (2011) *Uromyces appendiculatus* infection in BTH-treated bean plants: ultrastructural details of a lost fight. *Mycopathologia* 171: 209-221
- Niks RE, Rubiales D (2002) Potentially durable resistance mechanisms in plant to specialized fungal pathogens. *Euphytica* 124: 201-216
- Pérez-de-Luque A, Jorrín JV, Rubiales D (2004) Crenate broomrape control in pea by foliar application of benzothiadiazole (BTH). *Phytoparasitica* 32: 21-29
- Prats E, Bazzalo ME, León A, Jorrín J (2000) Agronomic aspects of the sunflower 7-hydroxylated simple phytoalexins. *Helia* 23: 105-111
- Prats E, Rubiales D, Jorrín J (2002) Acibenzolar-*S*-methyl-induced resistance to sunflower rust (*Puccinia helianthi*) is associated with an enhancement of phytoalexins on foliar surface. *Physiol Mol Plant P* 60: 155-162
- Prats E, Bazzalo ME, León A, Jorrín JV (2006) Fungitoxic effect of scopolin and related phytoalexins on *Sclerotinia sclerotium*. A way to overcome sunflower head rot. *Euphytica* 147: 451-460

- 1 Prats E, Llamas MJ, Jorrín JV, Rubiales D (2007) Constitutive phytoalexin accumulation on
2 sunflower leaf surface prevents rust germ tube growth and appressorium differentiation.
3 Crop Sci 47: 1119-1124
- 4 Rubiales D, Castillejo MA, Madrid E, Barilli E, Risipail N (2011) Legume breeding for rust
5 resistance: lessons to learn from the model *Medicago truncatula*. Euphytica 180: 89-98
- 6 Serghini K, Gutierrez-Mellado MC, Prats E, Werck-Reicchart D, Cabello-Hurtado F, Jorrin J
7 (1996) Induction of symple 7-hydroxylated phytoalexins: a universal response to biotic
8 and abiotic stresses in cultivated sunflower. Colloq INRA 96 :365–366.
- 9 Serghini K, Pérez de Luque A, Castejón-Muñoz M, García-Torres L, Jorrín JV (2001)
10 Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) response to broomrape (*Orobancha cernua* Loeffl.)
11 parasitism: induced synthesis and excretion of 7-hydroxylated simple phytoalexins. J
12 Exp Bot 52: 2227-2234
- 13 Sillero JC, Rubiales D (2002) Histological characterization of resistance to *Uromyces viciae-*
14 *fabae* in faba bean. Phytopathology 92: 294-299
- 15 Sillero JC, Fondevilla S, Davidson J, Vaz Patto MC, Warkentin TD, Thomas J, Rubiales D
16 (2006) Screening techniques and sources of resistance to rusts and mildews in grain
17 legumes. Euphytica 147: 255-272
- 18 Sillero JC, Rojas-Molina MM, Avila CM, Rubiales D (2012) Induction of systemic acquired
19 resistance against rust, ascochyta blight and broomrape in faba bean by exogenous
20 application of salicylic acid and benzothiadiazole. Crop Prot 34: 55-69
- 21 Slaughter AR, Hamiduzzaman MM, Gindro K, Neuhaus JM, Mauch-Mani B (2008) Beta-
22 aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in grapevine against downy mildew: involvement
23 of pterostilbene. Eur J Plant Pathol 122: 185-195
- 24 Skalamera D, Heath MC (1996) Cellular mechanisms of callose deposition in response to fungal
25 infection or chemical damage. Can J Bot 74: 1236-1242
- 26 Zeyen RJ, Carver TLW, Lyngkyaer MF (2002) Epidermal cell papillae. In: Belanger RR,
27 Bushnell WR, Dik AJ, Carver TLW (eds) Powdery Mildews: A Comprehensive
28 Treatise. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, pp 107-125

Fig. 1 Structural formula of (a) pisatin, (b) maakiain, (c) medicarpin and (d) scopoletin.

Fig. 2 Total content of scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin in phenolic fractions from pea accession Messire and PI347321. Values expressed as $\mu\text{g coumarin g}^{-1}$ fresh weight are mean of 3 replications $\pm$ S.E. White bars represent healthy genotypes, while black bars represents *U. pisi* inoculated genotypes. Different roman letters within an open bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the healthy plants. Different Greek letters within a solid bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the inoculated plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$) between healthy and inoculated plants for each treatment.

Fig. 3 Scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin content in excreted phenolic fraction from pea accession Messire and PI347321. Values expressed as $\mu\text{g coumarin g}^{-1}$ fresh weight are mean of 3 replications $\pm$ S.E. White bars represent healthy genotypes, while black bars represents *U. pisi* inoculated genotypes. Different roman letters within an open bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the healthy plants. Different Greek letters within a solid bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the inoculated plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$) between healthy and inoculated plants for each treatment.

Fig. 4 Scopoletin, pisatin and medicarpin content in soluble mesophyll fraction from pea accession Messire and PI347321. Values expressed as $\mu\text{g coumarin g}^{-1}$ fresh weight are mean of 3 replications $\pm$ S.E. White bars represent healthy genotypes, while black bars represents *U. pisi* inoculated genotypes. Different roman letters within an open bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the healthy plants. Different Greek letters within a solid bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the inoculated plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$) between healthy and inoculated plants for each treatment.

Fig. 5 Scopoletin content in wall cell bound phenolic fraction from pea accession Messire and PI347321. Values expressed as $\mu\text{g coumarin g}^{-1}$ fresh weight are mean of 3 replications $\pm$ S.E. White bars represent healthy genotypes, while black bars represents *U. pisi* inoculated genotypes. Different roman letters within an open bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the healthy plants. Different Greek letters within a solid bar indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$) within the inoculated plants. Asterisks indicate significant differences ($*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$) between healthy and inoculated plants for each treatment.

Fig. 6 Histological components of pre- and post-penetration resistance measured against *Uromyces pisi* in pea accessions, at 48 hours after infection (hai) under controlled conditions. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$) between treatments and their respective control for each genotype studied.