Crustal seismic structure beneath Portugal and Southern Galicia (Western Iberia) and the role of Variscan inheritance
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1. Introduction

Mainland Portugal comprises most of the Western portion of the Iberian Peninsula, in a complex geodynamic setting associated with the Africa-Eurasia plate boundary. The crust in this area is the result of a complex assemblage history of continental collision and extension: in the Lower Paleozoic, the collision of an unconstrained number of continental blocks resulted in the Variscan Orogeny, the main event of formation of the Iberian lithosphere (e.g. Arenas et al., 2016; Matte, 2001, 1986; Ribeiro et al., 2007a); the subsequent Mesozoic rifting and breakup of the Pangea had a profound effect on the continental crust of the western border of Iberia (Pereira et al., 2016; Ribeiro et al., 1990).

Since the Miocene, the southern interaction between Africa and Iberia is characterized by a diffuse convergent margin that originates a vast area of deformation along southern Iberia. The oblique convergence between the two plates occurs at a slow rate of 3–6 mmyr\(^{-1}\) (Fernandes, 2003; Nocquet, 2012; Serpelloni et al., 2007), resulting in a slow deformation regime whose manifestation is a seismicity rate that increases in number and magnitude from north to south (Custódio et al., 2015; Ferrão et al., 2016). While the concentration of the seismicity in the areas closer to the plate boundary was to be expected, the concentration of seismicity along the western Iberian margin and its clustering in some specific places reveals an anomalous pattern not yet fully understood.

The impact and extension of this complex tectonics in the structure of the Iberian lithosphere is still a matter of discussion, especially in its western part beneath Portugal. The existing knowledge relating the observed surface geology and lithospheric deep structures is sparse and sometimes incoherent, the relation between shallow and deep structures and their lateral extension still widely undetermined.

Some questions still pertinent are the role and influence of the several tectonic units, and their contacts, in the present tectonic regime and the stress field observed today and the relation between the anomalous seismicity and associated crustal deformation rates with the inherited structure from past orogenies.
To address these questions, and taking advantage of an accumulated dataset of the seismicity recorded over the last 15 years (cf. Fig.1), we have conducted a local earthquake tomographic study of the crust beneath Portugal.

2. Geological and tectonic framework

The majority of lithological units outcropping in Western Iberia are of Paleozoic age (480-290 Ma) and compose the Iberian Massif or Iberian Autochthonous Terrane, the major outcrop of the SW European Variscides (Fernández et al., 2016; Matte, 2001, 1986; Ribeiro et al., 2007). In Portugal, the Iberian Massif can be divided in four main tectonic units, from north to south (cf. Fig.2): the Galicia-Trás-os-Montes Zone (GTMZ), which consists of a pile of allochthonous thrust sheets, overlying the autochthonous Central Iberian Zone (CIZ), the para-autochthonous Ossa-Morena Zone (OMZ) and the allochthonous South Portuguese Zone (SPZ) (Arenas et al., 2016b; Dias and Ribeiro, 1995; Ribeiro et al., 2007; Simancas et al., 2001).

The actual tectono-structural outline of the W edge of Iberian Peninsula (Portugal) is the result of three main orogenic events: Cadomian orogeny (660-540 My) (Linnemann et al., 2008; Ribeiro et al., 2009), Variscan Orogeny (380-280 My) (Arenas et al., 2016a; Simancas et al., 2013) and Alpine orogeny (125- 37My) (Jeanniot et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2016; Pereira and Alves, 2013). The main and most important orogenic event that delineates all the major faults of the territory and tectonic shape is the Variscan event. Characteristically, the Paleozoic collision episode began during the upper Devonian and ended at the Pennsylvanian period (Upper Carboniferous). Since then almost all the reactivation of fault zones takes into account the previous scenario of the three main Variscan tectonic and deformation phases and the last tardi-Variscan fracturation. The Alpine orogeny is controlled by previous orogenic heritage (Miranda et al., 2009; Nance et al., 2010; Pais et al., 2012; Pereira et al., 2016; Wilson, 1966).

The impact of this complex assemblage in the structure of the lithosphere and the topography of some of its inner discontinuities, namely the Moho, has mostly been
addressed through controlled-source experiments (e.g., Banda, 1988; Díaz et al., 1993a; Mueller et al., 1973; Prodehl et al., 1975; Sousa Moreira et al., 1983, 1978; Victor et al., 1980), conducted in the 1970's and 1980's and mainly concentrated in the southern part of the country. Matias (1996) made a synthesis of all deep seismic sounding (DSS) results available in Portugal Mainland, revising all previous data with a common methodology. P-wave velocity models were derived from travel-time inversion (Zelt and Smith, 1992) and amplitudes were controlled by synthetic seismograms (Zelt and Ellis, 1988). That study evidenced the limited spatial coverage of these data and showed features that raised some questions: i) the discrepancy between the velocity model and its gravity anomaly in Vila do Bispo (SW tip of Portugal); ii) the upper crust (down to 10 km depth) is strongly anisotropic in the SPZ; iii) the deep structure and Moho depth in the SPZ show conflicting results between crossing profiles; iv) the OMZ was interpreted as an upper-crust block on top of lower crust blocks from the SPZ and CIZ. In mainland Portugal, there are no S-wave velocity estimates derived from DSS studies. Téllez and Córdoba (1998) estimated S-wave models for the GTMZ (Spanish side) but the seismic character (reflectivity and amplitude of the Moho reflection) is very different from the ones obtained on the Portuguese side, and its generalization to the whole area is uncertain. DSS analysis in Portugal mainland (Matias, 1996) also suggest that the Moho is nearly everywhere a second order discontinuity due to the absence of precritical PMP reflections, a result that is at odds with what was observed in the Spanish GTMZ (Téllez et al., 1993).

The DSS results pointed to a Moho discontinuity topography relatively smooth with a progressive thinning from the interior towards the margins, but with some lateral variations. The greater heterogeneity is located in the OMZ, where there is an indication of a crustal thinning relatively to the CIZ and particularly to the SPZ. The models obtained from the majority of the profiles indicate a three-layer crust, the middle-lower crustal levels showing fairly stable results, the main differences being located at the upper crust; where Vp values range from 6.0-6.3 km/s, whereas in the middle and lower crust the typical values are around 6.4-6.5 km/s and 6.6-7.0 km/s respectively. Only in areas of thick sedimentary basins, like the LTV are observed Vp values smaller than 5.0 km/s.
Additional information on the crustal structure has been provided from teleseismic P-Receiver Functions (PRFs) studies. Julia and Mejia (2004) estimated Moho depths and Vp/Vs ratio values at five locations in the Iberian Massif, the Paleozoic core of Iberian Peninsula, obtaining an average crustal thickness of 30 ± 2 km, with an average Vp/Vs ratio of 1.74 ± 0.05. Beneath the Lower Tagus Valley, Salah et al. (2011) estimated Moho depths between 25.5 and 30 km and Vp/Vs ratio variations between 1.65 and 1.81, with an average of 1.75. More recently, in the framework of project WILAS (Dündar et al., 2016), the values of Moho depth and Vp/Vs average crustal ratio were derived covering the entire country; the image provided points to a relatively smooth Moho overall consistent with the DSS values, although with some misfits, whereas the major variations in Vp/Vs appear associated with the structure of the OMZ or beneath the basins at the continental margin.

Discrepancies between DSS velocity models (Matias, 1996) and PRF results (Dündar et al., 2016; Salah et al., 2011), mainly for the Lower Tagus Valley and the SE region of Portugal, also raise the question of how these two datasets can be compared. PRFs are most sensitive to the S-wave velocities and use lower frequencies than controlled source active seismic surveys.

More recently several studies have been performed at smaller scales, mainly in the area of the Lower Tagus Valley (Borges et al., 2016; Carvalho et al., 2016, 2014, 2008; Ghose et al., 2013), due to this region being a potential seismogenic area for Lisbon. These studies show the presence of an uplifted area to the NW of the Tagus Valley and a thick sedimentary basin to the SE.

3. Data selection

The seismicity in Portugal is interpreted nowadays as the result of the convergence regime between the Eurasia and African plates, its rate, depth distribution and magnitude increasing from north to south, the biggest and deeper events being located in the offshore. Inland, the seismicity rarely exceeds magnitude 4 or depths greater than 20 km (cf. Fig.3 left).
Since 2007 the permanent seismic network operating in Portugal has increased in number and quality, currently comprising over 30 stations, the majority being broadband and operated by IPMA-Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera (network code PM), the Portuguese official entity responsible for seismological surveillance, with additional stations belonging to the universities of Lisbon, Évora and Coimbra (network codes LX, IP, SS and WM). Between 2010 and 2012 a temporary network of 20 BB stations operated within project WILAS, an experiment designed to complement the Spanish TOPOIBERIA initiative (cf. Fig. 3 right; see details in Custódio et al., 2014; Custódio et al., 2015; Diaz et al., 2010).

The data used in this study correspond to the seismic catalogue of IPMA for the period 2000-2014 (cf. Fig. 3 left), which was formed using all permanent seismic stations that operated in Portugal in that period coupled with the permanent Spanish stations closer to the border. In the period 2010-2013 the dataset was complemented with readings from the temporary stations of the WILAS project and some of the TOPOIBERIA project (cf. Fig. 3 right).

There is some discussion on the usage of off-side network events, i.e., earthquakes with an azimuthal GAP>180º; while a GAP<180º increases the hypocentral solution confidence (e.g. Hunsen, 1999; Kissling et al., 1994), it may exclude valuable information that could be used in the 3D modeling (Koulakov, 2009).

For the data selection criteria we opted for a compromise approach, i.e., increase the GAP limit to 200º but limiting the events to distances of 50 km from the modeled volume (cf. Fig. 3 right). The total number of additional events added this way is small, less than 2% of the total, the main advantage being the inclusion of some events at the 180º threshold.

Since the seismicity rate is not uniform, the minimum number of stations/phase readings used in the selection was variable: for events located south of 39ºN that number was set to a minimum of 8 stations and 10 readings of either P- or S-phases, whereas for events located north these numbers were reduced to 6 stations and 8 readings. All events with an RMS>0.7 were checked, eventually with correction or rejection of the phase-picks. This resulted on the selection of 1381 events in the south, and 1282 in the north, reaching a total of 2663 events; since some of these events presented numerical instabilities during the inversion, they were
also rejected, with the final dataset being reduced to 2640 events comprising 28062 P- and 21165 S-phase readings.

The ray-density distribution provided by the combined selected dataset shows a relatively uniform distribution in the south and an irregular distribution in the north, with a hiatus in central Portugal (cf. Figures S1 and S2 in supplementary material). Therefore the 3D grid built for the tomographic inversion has an irregular spacing: south of 39°N being uniform with an horizontal distance between nodes of 20 km in both directions, whereas to the north it varies between 20 km in the W-E direction and 40-80 km in the N-S direction (cf. Fig.3 right).

The standard tomographic methods assume that picked phases correspond to refracted direct first arrivals which, coupled with the criteria stated above and the expected relation between increasing magnitude and number of events, would imply that all P and S phases of the selected events correspond indeed to first arrivals. However, as the epicentral distance increases, the amplitude of the seismic waves and the signal-to-noise ratio decreases, and the harder it gets to pick the onset of the first arrivals of both the P and S phases. Since the majority of the selected events correspond to microseismicity, rarely exceeding the magnitude of 3.5, the amplitude of the first arrivals is often of the same amplitude of the seismic noise, in particular for distances greater than 150 km where the first arrivals correspond to Pn or Sn phases (cf. Fig.4). Also, the number of stations recording an event depend not only on the magnitude of the event but also on the operational status of the network, which strongly varied along the evaluated period. As a result, some of the available picks do not correspond to first arrivals (Pg, Pn) but actually to secondary arrivals, either refracted or reflected (like the PmP); since the LET method assumes that the P and S picks correspond to first arrivals, the usage of a secondary arrivals will result on unrealistic slower velocity areas in order to accommodate the longer time traveling periods (cf. Fig. 4).

Using the arrivals of the strongest events as guide, all picks corresponding to the more distant events marked as first arrivals but consistent with secondary arrivals (probably Pg or PmP phases) were removed; since these correspond to the deeper travel-paths, penetrating in the lower crust and upper mantle, the consequence was to severely limit the depth
imaging capability. For this reason in the final model the lower crust and upper mantle are outside of the resolved areas.

4. Methodology

The tomographic method used correspond to the widely used and tested code simulps/simul2000 (Thurber and Eberhart-Phillips, 1999; Thurber, 1983). We followed the standard procedure for this method, and for details the reader is referenced to several works published and references therein (e.g. Braeuer et al., 2012; Chiarabba et al., 2009; Dias et al., 2007; Haslinger et al., 1999; Husen et al., 2002; Kissling et al., 2001; Kohler and Eberhart-Phillips, 2002).

4.1. 1D models

The first step in the inversion was to derive a new 1D model to be used as initial input model for the 3D inversion, using the VELEST code (Kissling et al., 1994). Considering the geological heterogeneity in mainland Portugal and the seismicity distribution, the two north and south selected datasets were used to first derive a specific 1D model for each of the regions, followed by a final inversion using the complete dataset to derive the final “best” or minimum 1D model (cf. Fig.5).

All information regarding 1D or 2D models available for Portugal were used for input, namely those used in routine earthquake location at IPMA’s (Custódio et al., 2016) or derived on studies previously conducted in Portugal, either from earthquake based analysis (Carrilho et al., 2004; Díaz et al., 2009; Dündar et al., 2016) or deep-seismic soundings experiments (Díaz et al., 1993b; Díaz and Gallart, 2009; Gonzalez et al., 1996; Matias, 1996).

The initial Vp/Vs ratio value, used both during the 1D inversion and as the initial reference value in the 3D inversion, was 1.74 and was determined by a Wadatti diagram (cf. Fig.S3 in supplementary material).
The main differences during the modeling between the two datasets were due to the shallower portion of the models, the southern requiring a slower upper crust. The lower crust present also some sharp differences but these can partially be attributed to instabilities in the solutions due to the exclusion of the more distant arrivals.

The initial RMS of the whole dataset hypocentral parameters was 0.539 s, and following the picks corrections and with the final 1D model, the RMS dropped to 0.251 s, a reduction of 47%. This final RMS value is dominated by the more numerous southern dataset, the northern events having generally slightly higher RMS values (cf. Fig.S4 and S5 in supplementary material).

4.2. 3D model parameterization

The crustal volume under study was parameterized with the grid of Fig.3, the position of the nodes conditioned by the distribution of ray-density and other indicators, like the DWS and RDE (Kissling et al., 2001).

In the south and extreme north of Portugal, ray-density is relatively uniform, but between 39.5° and 41.5°N there is a gap due to low seismicity rate and small number of seismic stations; part of the difficulties faced during the 1D and 3D inversion were due to this hiatus in ray coverage and the connection between the two northern and southern blocks. The final grid-nodes disposition, though far from ideal and inducing a north-south stretching in images in the mid-sectors of the model, was the only parameterization that allowed a continuous model with satisfactory resolution.

Besides the displayed horizontal positions, similar planes of grid-nodes were positioned at depths of -1, 1, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 and 30 km; the external boundary planes placed 500 km for each side of the center of the grid (not shown it the following figures). The already referred figures S1 and S2 in the supplementary material present the ray-density distribution that controlled the grid-nodes set-up.
The total number of nodes is 2640, corresponding to a total of 5280 Vp and Vp/Vs model parameters which, together with the four hypocenter parameters of the 2640 events, result in a total of 10560 unknowns. For the total of 49227 phase readings this leads to an overall over-determination factor of 4.04.

The damping factors for the Vp and Vp/Vs inversions were determined by trade-off curves (Eberhart-Phillips, 1986). The selected values were 300 for the Vp and 150 for the Vp/Vs ratio inversions (Fig.S6 in supplementary material). Other critical parameters selected on a trial-and-error basis were the overall phase weights, with a linear RMS reduction from 0.2 to 0.7 s and cut-off distances, with a linear reduction between 150 and 300 km (according to Fig.4 and Fig. S4).

The final run simultaneously inverting for Vp, Vp/Vs and earthquake location required 4 iterations. The final model, presented in §5, allowed an overall RMS reduction of 58% relatively to the final 1D for the hypocentral parameters, dropping to 0.146 s (cf. Fig.S5 in supplementary material).

Considering the strict criteria applied in the selection of the events, the epicentral distribution obtained from the 3D modeling does not change significantly the sketch resulting from the 1D modeling. The major observable differences being on the depth distribution. While the 1D model tends to have a more homogeneous depth distribution of hypocenters, with more events located at deeper crustal depths, the 3D model has a tendency to cluster the hypocenters in two main ranges: a shallow upper crustal “layer”, with events having depths smaller than 4 km, and an intermediate crustal “layer” where the majority of the hypocenters tend to occur between 7 and 20 km depths, very few events being located at the lower crustal levels (cf. Fig. S7 in supplementary material).

4.3. Model Resolution assessment

The evaluation of the quality of the final 3D model was made both by the usage of synthetic tests, like checkerboard anomalies, and by the analysis of several numerical variables. As
several authors pointed out (Husen et al., 2003; Kissling et al., 2001; Rawlinson and Spakman, 2016), the resolution assessment should not be based on a single test or indicator.

Figure 6 shows the result of the checkerboard sensitivity test output for both the Vp and Vp/Vs models. A synthetic spike-sensitivity test was also performed, not shown since it gave roughly the same indications but with lesser quality. Figures S8 and S9 in supplementary material show the output of the numerical resolution variables calculated from the inversion (Foulger et al., 1995; Toomey and Foulger, 1989), the diagonal of the resolution matrix (RDE) and the Spread Function (SF) distribution. These should be analyzed together with the KHIT and DWS distribution of figures S1 and S2.

Both Fig. 6 and figures S1-S2 and S8-S9 show that the resolution in the upper crustal layers is good, with a few unresolved nodes at the borders of the models, particularly those located at the western edges. Excluding the stretching of the features between latitudes 39º to 41ºN, no significant smearing is observed for layers Z = 1 to 12 km; between 12 and 16 km the resolution starts to decrease with sectors presenting significant smearing or failure to retrieve the initial anomalies.

To prevent ill-resolved areas to affect the geological interpretation, it is prudent to find a way of removing unresolved nodes from the graphical representation of the model. The several figures previously presented, with the numerical resolution parameters and the synthetic tests, do not allow to clearly define the limit between well and poorly-resolved areas.

In order to find a cut-off value for the representation of the resolved nodes of the final 3D model, we followed the approach of Dias et al. (2007): plots of the DWS and RDE values versus the SF value of all nodes and for both the Vp and Vp/Vs models, to define a threshold SF value for resolved nodes (cf. Fig. 7). The higher the SF for a given node, the greater the contribution from nearby nodes and the smaller the reliability of the solution obtained for that node. The graphics in Fig.7 show that the resolution for both models can be considered satisfactory for SF<1.5, and for SF>2.5-3.0 the resolution is null, the SF cut-off value being located in between. The comparison between the output of the several synthetic tests
performed and the distribution of the DWS, RDE, and SF planes, points to a cut-off value of 2.8 for the Vp and 3.0 for the Vp/Vs models.

5. Results

The final Vp and Vp/Vs models are presented in figures 8 and 9. In both cases all node volumes for which their SF is above the respective selected threshold are masked. Each represented horizontal or vertical plane include all relocated earthquakes localized in a slice volume centered in the plane, the thickness of the slice determined by the closest nodes interspacing, usually 20 km for vertical planes (10 km for each side) and 4 km for horizontal planes (2 km for each up-down side). Figure 8 presents both models plotted on horizontal planes, coincident with the horizontal grid XY planes, whereas Figure 9 represents them along selected vertical profiles, either coincident with the XZ or YZ planes (Fig.9a) or along oblique SW-NE or NW-SE profiles (Fig.9b). The horizontal planes are useful mainly to evaluate the lateral horizontal extension of the features, and in particular their correlation with surface geology, whereas the vertical planes are more important to define the vertical extension of the main features and their contrasts. The resolution of the nodes decrease rapidly for depths greater than 20km, with very few of the nodes of the horizontal plane Z=30 km having good resolution; therefore conditioning the depth representation of the models to the upper-middle crust.

As the 1D models already hinted, the analysis of the horizontal maps of Fig. 8 show that the seismic velocities of the upper crust are on average higher in the north than in the south, the south showing much more heterogeneity. Previous work using ambient-noise tomography (Silveira et al., 2013) already showed a tendency of higher group-velocities associated to the north of Portugal and the strong effect associated with the Iberian margin. The vertical distribution of Vp values of the final 3D model fluctuates between 5.7-6.1 km/s in the upper 5km, between 5.8-6.2 km/s in the range 5-10 km, 6.0-6.3 km/s in the range 10-15 km, 6.2-6.5 km/s in the range 15-20 km and 6.4-6.9 km/s in the range 20-25 km, values which are consistent with previous studies carried in the Iberian Massif in particular DSS campaigns (Afilhado et al., 2008; Díaz et al., 1993b; Díaz and Gallart, 2009; Ehsan et al., 2015; Flecha et
For depths greater than 25 km the model resolution drops dramatically, with only a couple of isolated nodes having a minimum SF value above the defined threshold; therefore, no unequivocal discussion related with Moho depths is possible.

Considering the grid design, namely the uneven spacing between nodes in N-S/Y-direction, coupled with the surface geology, two distinct areas emerge from the obtained tomograms: a northern sector coincident with center-north Portugal and southern Galicia, and a southern sector stretching from the Lower Tagus-Valley until the Algarve, the limit between the two sectors being located around 39.5°N.

5.1. Northern Portugal and Southern Galicia

This sector, encompassing the region roughly north of 39.5°N, Y>60 km in Fig.3b, includes the Galicia-Trás-os-Montes Zones (GTMZ), the largest part of the Centro-Iberia Zone (CIZ) and the northern part of the Lusitanian Basin (LB) (cf. Fig.2).

Both figures 8 and 9 show that the seismic structure beneath the CIZ is relatively smooth and homogeneous, the velocities and Vp/Vs ratio varying smoothly when compared with the structure visible to the south beneath the OMZ and SPZ. Such smoothness maybe partially the result of the wider grid spacing, but is nonetheless consistent with previous studies in particular DSS campaigns carried in the area (Díaz et al., 1993b; Díaz and Gallart, 2009; Matias, 1996; Téllez et al., 1993).

In the northern sector, the greatest model heterogeneities are observed in the area around the Spanish-Portuguese border above 41°N, corresponding to the regions of Minho and Trás-os-Montes in Portugal and southern Galicia in Spain, roughly coincident with the GTMZ positioning (cf.Fig. 2). The Vp values tend to be relatively low (<6.0 km/s) when compared with those from areas slightly to south, ~0.2 km/s smaller, with exception of two high Vp anomalies (~6.2 km/s) roughly at the same latitude of ~42.2°N (Y=380). The seismicity
distribution in the area seems to be controlled by the position of these two high Vp spots, and confined by the relatively lower Vp areas. The general lower Vp area seems to be confined to the uppermost levels of the crust, vanishing at depths of ~8 km (cf. Fig.8 and profiles A-A’, G-G’ in Fig.9a and H-H’ and I-I’ in Fig.9b). One of the high Vp anomalies is located near the coast, south of the “Rias Bajas” (~8.8ºW), whereas the other is located to the east roughly coincident with the mountain range of the Ourense Central Massif (~7.6ºW). The western high Vp anomaly seems to be detached in depth, with a reduction in Vp that can be perceived at ~8km depth (cf. profile B-B’ in Fig.9) whereas the eastern anomaly seems to extend to the deeper crust (cf. profile A-A’ in Fig.9). At the shallow layers, the Vp/Vs ratio across the area show some fluctuations around 1.72, but it is at mid-crustal levels that greater variations can be observed and mainly beneath the areas with more seismicity (cf. levels 12 to 20 km depth in Fig.8, and northern profiles in Fig.9). A localized very low Vp anomaly, ~5.7 km/s, is observable at 41.5ºN and 7.7ºW in the plane Z=1km in Fig.8, and apparently extending up to 8 km depth where its shape seems to slightly increase and assume a SSW-NNE orientation.

The general horizontal outline of the referred anomalies disposition in this area (cf. Fig.8), mainly at the shallow layers Z=1km and 4km, suggest a NE-SW alignment that can be correlated with the orientation of the GTMZ and of the Ibero-Armorican Arc (cf. Fig.2a).

The area between 39.5ºN and 41ºN presents more homogenous Vp values, in particular in the area corresponding to the CIZ, but between depths of 8 to 20 km there is evidence of a central region with high Vp values (~6.4 km/s) that seem to be limited between two major tectonic faults, the PTFA and the MVB (cf. Fig.2b), and which has not been previously reported. The ILLIHA DSS profiles (Díaz et al., 1993b; Matias, 1996) reported an homogenous crustal structure with no significant lateral variations on the Vp structure with a basically flat Moho; on the other hand, recent PRF studies (Dündar et al., 2016; Mancilla and Diaz, 2015) point to some variation in the Moho topography in the area of the Spanish-Portuguese border, which may be a consequence of this Vp variations.

The Penacova-Régua-Verin (PRV) and the Manteigas-Vilariça-Bragança (MVB) fault systems are some of the major structures of the northern Portugal, in this case extending also into
southern Galicia, their signature being clear in the model, the last in spite being located on its eastern border. Both the horizontal planes of Fig. 8 and profile A-A’ and H-H’ in Fig.9, show a transition between a high Vp area in the west to a low Vp area, the limit roughly coincident with the position of this fault system; the Vp/Vs also presents a similar pattern, though less marked, with a tendency of higher Vp/Vs values east of the fault.

Along the western part of the model, the transition between the CIZ and the Lusitanian Basin LB is well marked, both on the horizontal planes of the model (Fig.8) and in particular on the vertical W-E profile B-B’ and SW-NE profile I-I’ of Fig.9ab. This transition is marked by a sharp lateral transition to the western direction in Vp and Vp/Vs values, with a reduction ~0.2 km/s of Vp and strong alternation of Vp/Vs differences >0.4, the anomalies distribution suggesting a contact boundary roughly with a N-S direction. This boundary is consistent with the position of the Porto-Tomar-Ferreira do Alentejo shear zone, PTFA in Fig.2b, the contact between the Variscan structures of the CIZ and the Cenomesozoic structures of the Lusitanian Basin LB (Chaminé et al., 2003; Pais et al., 2012). The shallow layers of profile B-B’ seem to define three distinct low Vp anomalies, whose separation appear to be roughly located at longitudes 8.5ºW and 8.0ºW and coincident with the position of some of the fault systems observed in Fig.2b, the NCA and the crossing of the Arr-PTFA faults. The vertical disposition in depth of the anomalies suggest a fault system extending into the lower crust, dipping ~70º to the W.

Regarding the Vp/Vs ratio, the map present some heterogeneity especially in the upper crust, with values varying between 1.62 and 1.76; for the deeper layers, the heterogeneity reduces somewhat but with a tendency of increasing the average values for values above 1.72. This is in contrast with the results of the study by Dündar et al. (2016), where the average crustal Vp/Vs ratio is usually below 1.75 with the exception of some small anomalies located near the coast. Unlike the Vp anomalies horizontal pattern on Fig.8, the Vp/Vs tends to present an image of N-S alignment of the anomalies, even on the northernmost area where it should be less conditioned by the grid design; the analysis of the Vp/Vs pattern is less obvious than the corresponding Vp, with exception of the clear definition of the margin transition between the LB and the CIZ well defined in Fig.8. Since the major fault systems in this area have an orientation between N-S and NNE-SSW, and considering that the Vp/Vs ratio is more sensible...
to lithological variations and in particular fault creep effects (e.g. Eberhart-Phillips and Michael, 1998), this orientation is probably a result of the fault systems signature.

5.2. Southern Portugal (Lower Tagus Valley, Alentejo and Algarve regions)

The region south of 39.5°N (Y≤60 km in Fig.3b), includes the southernmost part of the Lusitanian Basin LB, the Cenozoic Lower-Tagus-Sado Basin LTSB which includes the Lower-Tagus Valley LTV, the Ossa-Morena OMZ and South-Portuguese Zones SPZ, the contact region CIZ-OMZ and the Algarve Basin AB (cf. Fig.2b).

Due to higher density of information, this region corresponds to the area better sampled by seismic rays, a consequence of the higher seismicity rate and denser seismic permanent network, the closer node disposition allowing a better definition of the model features in terms of spatial size. It is the most geologically heterogeneous region of the study area, which is well marked on the horizontal planes of Fig.8, in particular the shallower ones. To some extent, such heterogeneity was already expected considering that this region comprises the OMZ, the most heterogeneous unit of the Iberian Massif in Portugal, well-marked on the upper layers of the model on Fig.8.

The contrast with the fairly smooth area of the CIZ is evident, although the transition position suggested by the model is not completely coincident with the defined CIZ-OMZ boundary, the Tomar-Badajoz-Córdoba shear zone whose position lies slightly to the NE (TBC in Fig.2b), its expression in the model much weaker. In both the Vp and Vp/Vs models there is a clear contrast roughly coincident with the direction of the seismic alignment visible at ~38.2°N, located in the Ciborro-Arраiолos region (Cib in Fig.2b), with the TBC lying ~60km to NE being marked by a smaller contrast. East of the Cib alignment and possessing approximately the same orientation, the main structure visible on the field is the Serra da Ossa OA fault, and the couple Cib-SO orientation apparently correspond to an important Vp contrast in the Vp model. On the vertical profiles of Fig.9, a clear sub-vertical Vp contrast associated with the Cib-S0Is observed in the upper 10km at longitude ~7.5°W in C-C’, at latitude ~38.9°N in G-G’ and ~200km in K-K’. The area between the Cib-SO alignment and
OMZ-CIZ boundary is marked by a low Vp volume observable roughly at latitude ~39.3ºN in G-G’ and ~240km in K-K’ marked by a gradually increase in Vp values. The TBC is much clearer in the Vp/Vs signature, with the area confined by the Cib and the TBC being marked by high Vp/Vs>1.7. The absence of the TBC on the upper level of the model (Z=1km) may be due to an effect associated with the decrease in the sampling of the crustal volume, since to the NE of the Cib alignment the seismicity rate drops dramatically and thus the inter-node distance increase (Poyatos et al., 2012).

To the west, the transition between the LB and the OMZ is clear, the separation being well marked by a very low Vp (<5.8 km/s) area roughly coincident with the LTV (cf. Fig.8). Both Fig.8 and profiles C-C’ and J-J’ show that this low Vp anomaly is limited to the crust’s upper 10 km, more probably to the upper 5km an effect of the thick sedimentary coverage of the LTSB basin in this area. For depths greater than 10km, no significant lateral transition is observed either on the Vp or Vp/Vs structure nor any significant seismicity alignment is observed in the area.

Due to the sediment cover of the LTSB, there is some discussion on whether the OMZ or the SPZ stretches until the margin, a suggestion made by ambient noise studies (Silveira et al., 2013). The area between the coast line and the OMZ, roughly between latitudes 38.º-38.5ºN is characterized by low Vp values on the upper crust, overlying a fast middle-lower crust (cf. profiles D-D’ and F-F’ in Fig.9a), but it is not clear with which zone are these values akin. In Fig.8 the position, shape and values of the low Vp anomalies seem to suggest an horizontal extension of the low Vp associated with the SPZ-OMZ boundary observed eastward.

To the south of the Cib-SO alignment, a globular diffuse seismic cluster can be observed with no clear alignments. The Vp and Vp/Vs anomalies in this area seem to elongate on a WNW-ESE direction, probably an effect of the Ibero-Armorican Arc (cf. Fig.2a), with some of the stronger anomalies coincident with the globular cluster of earthquakes (cf. Fig.8). This cluster seems to extend in the SW-NE direction, apparently confined to the SE by the Odemira-Avila OA Fault, which itself doesn’t seem to correspond to a specific seismic alignment. Profile J-J’ (Fig.9b) shows that the seismicity in this area is coincident with high Vp and high Vp/Vs areas,
confined by areas of both low Vp and Vp/Vs and are probably associated with several granitic intrusions in the area.

The position of the OA fault and corresponding contact between Paleozoic and Ante-Ordovician rocks is well marked on the model: in Fig. 8, and within the OMZ, by a high-low Vp transition with the velocity iso-contours roughly parallel to the fault, whereas in Fig.9 it can be perceived at 37.9ºN in profile G-G’ and at ~170km in profile J-J’.

At southern OMZ the Vp values are generally lower than at the northern part, enhancing the transition to the SPZ where the velocity values are higher. The SPZ-OMZ transition is marked in Fig.8 mainly at planes above 12 km, with the Vp anomalies contours roughly aligned on a WNW-SSE direction; in profiles F-F’ and G-G’ of Fig.9a this contact is clear at latitudes of 38.1ºN and 37.8ºN respectively. On profile K-K’ of Fig.9b, roughly perpendicular to the contact, this contact is located at ~100km and show also that the low Vp area associated with southern OMZ “bends” to NE suggesting that the SPZ extends beneath the OMZ, as already pointed by the IBERSEIS results (Simancas, 2003). As for the Vp/Vs anomalies the SPZ-OMZ do not have a clear signature, except for the fact that the general WNW-ESE shape of the anomalies observed in Fig.8 inside the OMZ seems to be replaced by less defined orientation is the SPZ. The overall signature of the SPZ-OMZ in Fig.8 is thus perturbed by the superposition of several features, the contact itself, the OA fault and the southern branch of the Lower-Tagus-Sado basin (LTSB).

The South-Portuguese Zone (ZOM) as long been assumed as relatively homogenous (cf. Fig.2), with the eventual exception of the area close to the SPZ-OMZ contact to the northeast, along the Iberian Pyrite Belt, and the southwestern most tip near the Cape of Saint Vicente. The obtained Vp and Vp/Vs models points to a more complex structure instead.

The most striking signature in the models is the apparent W-E segmentation of the SPZ, with a general increase in Vp values from west to east visible in practically all planes of Fig.8 over the resolved areas, and in profile E-E’ of Fig.9a. The Vp/Vs also present the same tendency, albeit less clear. The iso-contours of the model suggest a roughly N-S limit not previously
reported, but the fact that there is a seismicity alignment roughly coincident with the W-E variation position in the model suggest that it reflects the presence of a major rheological contact between two distinct blocks.

While the “fast bloc” occupies all the SPZ area east of this “contact”, the “slow bloc” seems to be also limited by the OA fault, since the SPZ that outcrops near the coast north of the OA fault presents higher Vp values akin with the eastern ones.

The Monchique Massif (M), corresponding to a Mesozoic essentially syenitic massif intrusion, presents a strong signature in the models and also a high seismicity rate cluster, centered on the Massif. Profiles F-F’ and K-K’ in Fig.9 both have a cross-section of the Massif: in the upper 5km, a high Vp anomaly with scarce seismicity is observed, which corresponds probably to the main sienitic body of the Massif, lying above a low Vp anomaly where the majority of the earthquakes are located. Although both vertical profiles seem to suggest high/low Vp/Vs coincident with the referred Vp anomalies, the Vp/Vs planes of Fig.8 point to a greater variability of the Vp/Vs values.

To the SW of the Massif, there is evidence of significant anomalies in the Vp and Vp/Vs ratios. Although this sector is located on the border of the model, in an area with poor ray-coverage, the suggestion of great heterogeneity is supported by several studies (Dündar et al., 2016; El Moudnib et al., 2015; Gonzalez-Fernandez et al., 2001; González et al., 1996; Matias, 1996; Rocha et al., 2010; Salah, 2013).

The Monchique Massif, together with the Sintra (S) and Sines (Si) massifs (cf. Fig.2b), composes an alignment of three similar intrusive granitic/syenitic massifs. Unlike Monchique, the ray-coverage of this study do not allow a good sampling of Sintra and Sines, with Sintra located outside the covered area; as for Sines, it lies in the western limit of the model and the shallow high Vp anomaly located in the westernmost side of profile D-D’ in Fig.9a, at 9ºW, is probably the signature of the Si massif.

Finally, there is the low Vp and high Vp/vs signal at the southernmost part of the model, roughly around 8ºW, that is probably the signal of the Mesocenozoic rocks of the Algarve
Basin (AB). On average, the Vp values on the AB tend to be slightly than those of the LB but being located on the limit of the model, with only a few nodes sampling the area, do not allow any further conclusion.

5.3. Seismicity distribution and active faults

To better access the seismicity distribution and eventual relation between alignments and seismic active faults, we decided to add additional events from the original dataset and relocated them with the new 3D model. To keep some confidence in the hypocentral solution, only events recorded at least by four stations and with good azimuthal coverage (GAP<180º) were selected. As result 3735 additional events were obtained and relocated, their epicenters plotted in Figure 10, together with the 2640 used in the inversion process. To discriminate between the two datasets, the events used in the inversion have a symbol slightly bigger and are superimposed over the additional events. The events are plotted over three different depth ranges, defined according to the logic used in Fig. 8: shallow events located in the top of the crust above 2.5 km (the upper plane Z=1 km), mid-crustal events located 2.5-14 km depths (planes Z = 4, 8, 12 km) and deep crustal events for all deeper than 14 km (planes Z = 16, 20, 24 km).

The analysis of Fig.10 shows that the shallower seismicity (Z≤2.5km, Fig.10a) does not define clear alignments with the exception of the one associated with the Cib fault. The clusters around Lugo, in the north in Galicia (~42.8ºN), in most of the Alentejo area between 38ºN and 38.8ºN and around the Monchique Massif (~37.8ºN), are already defined.

The intermediate depths (Fig.10b), corresponding to mid-crustal seismicity is the one that defines more clearly the majority of the identified anomalies, namely those associated with the PTFA shear zone, PRF and MVB fault systems and the other several faults/alignments (CBo, Po, NCA, CPM, Arr, Cib, MST). In the northern sector the seismicity is distributed, on a first analysis, along some of the well-defined fault systems of Fig.2b: the Porto-Tomar-Ferreira do Alentejo (PTFA) shear zone, the Penacova-Régua-Verin fault zone (PRV) and the
Manteigas-Vilariça-Bragança Fault Zone (MVB), whereas a diffuse seismicity is disseminated in the Minho, and Galicia mainly included in the Galicia-Trás-os-Montes Zone (GTMZ).

On the deeper crustal level (Fig. 10c), there is still some seismicity, with some alignments still clear whereas others fade. Seismicity alignments still apparent are those along the PTFA shear zone, the MVB fault system and the NCA, CPM and MST faults. The seismicity over the Cib no longer defines a clear alignment, with the activity reduced to a cluster on the eastern segment of the alignment. The seismicity cluster around the Monchique Massif is still very active.

The association of all this seismicity with the referred fault systems can be seen in Fig. 10d. The maps of Fig. 10 show clearly that the majority of the hypocenters is confined to the upper-middle crust, with only a few structures extending into the base of the crust.

A note should be added to a cluster that now appears in Fig. 10 in SE Alentejo, around 37.6ºN and 7.9ºW and previously hardly distinguishable in figures 8 and 9. This cluster position is roughly coincident with the area of the Neves-Corvo mine, a massive sulphide deposit with significant amounts of copper and zinc. The majority of the events located at deeper crustal layers correspond to the additional less-constrained ones, most of the well-constrained events used in the inversion having shallow locations; thus, this cluster may reflect the mining activity with explosion, having low magnitudes (M<2.0) being mistaken by small earthquakes although the deeper ones (>15km) can hardly be attributed to that.

6. Discussion

In the area of the Galicia-Tras-os-Montes GTMZ region, the fact that low Vp values are observed in the shallow layers of the model is consistent with hypothesis of being composed by a pile of allochthonous thrust sheets, overlying the autochthonous Central Iberian Zone CIZ. The diffuse seismicity of the GTMZ region is not yet completed clarified (Martín-González, 2009; Martínez-Díaz et al., 2006; Vázquez et al., 2008), with some authors suggesting that it could be triggered by some magmatic diapirs (Boillot et al., 1980) in depth associated with an
intense hydrothermal and magmatic pneumatolytic activity. The activity could also be intensified by the heritage of some of the active faults that controlled the subsidence of the “Rias Bajas” and endorse the indented shape of the littoral border. The existence of several medium temperature hydrothermal springs, some of them with a low to medium geothermal values and mineralizations (Lourenço and Cruz, 2006; Lourenço, 1998), also corroborate these considerations and can explain the observed low Vp associated with the seismicity and the variations in the Vp/Vs ratio in depth.

The subvertical PTFA shear zone (Chaminé et al., 2003), with N15E to N30W strike, concentrates the large majority of events south of GTMZ. It is a major accident of the Iberian Variscan chain that some authors relate with a Cadomian mega structure – a transform fault, reactivated during Variscan orogeny (Ribeiro et al., 2009) and dated to have acted at ca. 208 Ma ago (Gutiérrez-Alonso et al., 2015; Linnemann et al., 2008). Despite the seismic activity along its trace and associated with its northern termination, it does not present significant signatures in the Vp map, only the Vp/Vs ratio showing a hint of its presence, and will thus be discussed in the following section.

The PRV fault zone is essentially a strike-slip sinistral fault trending N15 to N30E. Its presence is marked by a low-velocity anomaly at the upper crustal levels, roughly at 41.8ºN and 7.6ºW, clearly visible at the Z<8km surfaces (cf. Fig.8) and in profiles A-A' and E-E' (cf. Fig.9), and Vp/Vs values slightly lower than 1.7. Displays left kinematic movement during the Cenozoic (locally with a thrust components to W) of over 250 km length, and is an important Variscan inherited structure affecting more recent Quaternary sediments (Cabral, 1989; De Vicente et al., 2011). It has a strong instrumental seismicity record and is associated with various sources of hydrothermal features of hot water (Lourenço and Cruz, 2006; Lourenço, 1998), locally with mantle signature, i.e., Chaves high enthalpy spring, thus explaining the low Vp and Vp/Vs present in the model.

As described in §5.1, the Centro-Iberian Zone is marked by relatively uniform high Vp values, with the highest values being observed at mid-crustal layers and roughly limited between the PTFA and MVB faults, whereas the Vp/Vs has smooth variations usually above 1.72 and mainly in the shallower crust. The apparent N-S orientation of this high Vp region do not
appear to be completely coincident with that of the faults, which may be due to an effect
induced by the model grid, or with the main orientation of the Variscan structures;
nonetheless, this anomaly may be reflecting different rheologic areas within the CIZ crust
e.g. between Granites and Schist-Greywacke complexes) that somehow influenced the tardy-
variscan development of these set of faults.

The MVB fault system corresponds to a discrete left lateral shear zone, with an NNE-SSW
(N10E to N35E) direction, with an estimated length of 230 km and affecting the Variscan
crystalline basement. In the central segment of the Vilarica basin reaches the maximum
value of 9 km on left lateral component(Cabral, 1989), with some authors suggesting to be
the final result of several handling stages from Variscan orogeny to the present (late
Quaternary)(Cabral, 1995; Neves et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 1990; Rockwell et al., 2009).
From them at least 1 km is ascribed as Quaternary Pliocene tectonic events. The Plio-
Quaternary activity of the fault is evident with regional geomorphologic expression and the
presence of sediments affected (locally with left lateral and thrust components, Cabral, 1995;
Neves et al., 2015). The geomorphological criteria shows several indicators of Quaternary
activity in this fault/shear zone that attest the left lateral component: scarps well outlined
and rectilinear steps, compressional structures, the significant deflection to the left of Douro
river and some small steps of river terraces (Cabral, 1995; Rockwell et al., 2009).

As stated above, the transition defined by the MVB fault zone of two volumes within the
crust with different Vp values may explain the thinner crustal thickness values obtained from
receiver functions studies for stations located in the area (Dündar et al., 2016; Mancilla and
Diaz, 2015).

The central area of Portugal, between latitudes of 39ºN and 41ºN, corresponds to the
volume less well sampled, due to the reduced number of events and stations and
consequently with the lowest ray-density. The relatively smoothness is hence probably
partially due to the grid geometry, resulting in N-S elongated anomalies both in the Vp and
Vp/Vs ratio.
As previously referred, the PTFA shear zone is one of the main tectonic features of Portugal. It's a roughly N-S oriented contact marking a subvertical tectonic border with uplift of the eastern bloc in a dextral regime, having a sharp signature on the northern part of the model (cf. Fig.8 and profiles A-A’ and J-J’ in Fig.9). It is a complex and deep shear zone, in some ranges strongly branched (see position of profile B-B’ in Fig.9a) and materialized an ancient suture with a long history but it is recognized that is still very active as shown by the current seismicity.

From the juncture with the Tomar-Badajoz-Córdoba shear zone, this fault system extends south although there is some discussion regarding its extension because it is partially covered by the Cenozoic sediments of the Tagus and Sado Basins, the LTSB in Fig2.b (Pais et al., 2012). Some authors suggest an extension to the SW very close to the coast, roughly defining a thin coastal range (Arenas et al., 2016a; Shelley and Bossière, 2000), a suggestion which does not appear to be supported by our results. The lateral Vp contrasts observed in the area between the OMZ and the coast line seems to suggest that the PTFA is defining the western limit of the OMZ and terminating somewhere in the SPZ-OMZ boundary.

The low Vp area associated with the Mesozoic rocks of the Lusitanian Basin show also important seismicity, diverting this activity from the PTFA shear zone and distributed along a system of concurrent faults (cf. Figs.2b and 8): the Nazaré-Condeixa-Alvaiázere(NCA), the Candeeiros-Porto de Mós fault (CPM)and the Arrife Fault – Aires-Candeeiros-Montejunto fault system (Arr).These three faults seem to border the low Vp anomaly block, although the grid does not allow a clear image. While these faults are connected with the rifting stages associated with the creation of the Mesocenozoic domain of the LB(Miranda et al., 2009; Pereira et al., 2016; Ribeiro et al., 1990), they are also inherited from the previous Variscan orogeny geometry, the opening of the Atlantic occurring along the Thetys-Rheic suture zone (Linnemann et al., 2008; Nance et al., 2010; Wilson, 1966).

Within the NCA fault system we can consider two branches, to the west the Nazaré Fault and to the east the Condeixa-a-Nova – Alvaiázere fault system, the separation being marked by the link to the CPM fault as can be seen by the seismicity distribution (cf. Fig.10d).The Sicó-Alvaiázere structure is strongly conditioned by Tardi-Variscan accidents that affected the
crystalline basement and whose reactivation influenced the Mesozoic deposition and sedimentary cover (Ribeiro et al., 1979; Rosset et al., 1971). In the eastern edge, some tectonic accidents correspond to roll-overs produced on normal listric fault systems corresponding to an initial extensional regime – the LB (Crispim, 1986; Crispim and Ribeiro, 1986). The main massif consists of a set of blocks bordered by faults which sometimes develop syncline and anticline structures. Among these folded structures, some with N70E axial line, there are strike-slip combined structures N30E to N40W (Crispim, 1986; Crispim and Ribeiro, 1986), these orientations consistent with the seismicity alignments and the focal mechanisms observed in the area (cf. Fig.1 and Custódio et al., 2016).

The CPM fault system, composed by the W Candeeiros and the Porto de Mós-Rio Maior faults, presents a clear geomorphological expression coincident with the observed seismicity alignment in the LB (cf. Fig.10). With a general orientation N40E to N15E show a variable trend reactivation with horizontal and vertical components according to the stress field direction (Ribeiro et al., 1996). They range from essentially left strike-slip faults to normal and/or reverse faults, as shown by the focal mechanisms in Fig.1, with some of them corresponding to transfer faults between sections with different geometric alignments. The varying rheology contrast in the region, due to the presence of saline diapirs intruded in compact limestones, may help explaining the seismicity in this region (Jeanniot et al., 2016).

The Arr fault system is the largest and one of the most typical thrust fault scarps of Portugal (cf. Fig.2b and Fig.10). Located at the east to southeast area of the Estremadura Limestone Massif, the Mezo-Cenozoic Occidental Border, corresponds to a 35km fault scarp individualized from an at least 150-180 km fault border Estrela-Seia-Lousã SL (basement Variscan lithologies) and Aires-Candeeiros-Montejunto (Mesozoic cover- essentially limestones and mudstones). The Arrife fault scarp (40-100m high) is a thrust with a variscan heritage that imbricates, generally with a high angle, the Cretaceous and Jurassic limestones on top of Tagus Cenozoic sediments (Pais et al., 2012).

To the east, some seismicity alignments can be observed (cf. Fig.10) coincident with the termination of the MVB fault zone and extending to the SW, confined between the SL and the Cebola-Bogas Cbo fault systems (Ribeiro and Gonçalves, 2013). The signature of
these fault systems, coupled with superposition with the PTFA fault, clear in profile B-B’ of Fig.9b show that this seismicity develops deeply into the Variscan basement. The SL fault is considered an active fault (Custódio et al., 2016, 2015) but our results show that one should consider instead the block confined by the SL and the Cbo fault systems.

To the SE, the Ponsul (Po) fault does not present any evident signature in the tomograms, in spite of a few events being present, which may be partially due to the grid design.

Regarding the historic seismicity affecting mainland Portugal, the area of the Lower Tagus Valley (northern part of the LTSB in Fig.2) is one of the more critical due to its high population density. It is associated with several significant historical earthquakes (Cabral et al., 2013, 2004; Carvalho et al., 2008; Custódio et al., 2015), from which a major tectonic fault is deduced, the LTV fault system in Fig.2b. The sediment thickness can reach several km’s (Carvalho et al., 2016), making difficult a proper study of the crustal structure. To the NW the LTV is bounded by the uplifted Mesozoic Estremadura region, whereas to NE and SE is bounded by the CIz and OMZ. In our model, the LTV fault area is coincident with a low-velocity area in the upper crust cf. fig.8 and profiles C-C’ and J-J’ in fig.9), consistent with a ~4 km thick basin.

The Ciborro fault (Cib) seismicity is aligned along an N70W direction parallel to the Serra de Ossa (SO) fault system (Almeida et al., 2005, 2001). This seismicity presents an unusual diffused seismic activity in the regional context, characterized by not only shallow earthquakes but also deeper events, usually with reduced magnitude (M<4) although some events have been recorded with higher magnitudes (M>4). The seismicity can occur in apparently isolated events, main shock-aftershocks sequences or grouped in temporal and/or spatial swarms (Wachilala, 2015). This kind of seismicity stands out from the typical intraplate standard, like two other regions described in this work, the Galiza-Minho and Monchique regions. The focal mechanisms present some homogeneity of strike-slip dextral type (Wachilala, 2015), with the epicenters bordering to the north the Évora Massif, this accident having a geomorphological expression.
Magnetoteluric soundings carried in the area showed that these Vp anomalies are coincident with strong resistivity anomalies, in both cases the transition coincident either with the Cib fault or the major alignment of Serra de Ossa SO (Almeida et al., 2005, 2001). However, unlike the Cib alignment, the SO alignment do not present significant seismic activity: this could be related to very different geotectonic domains, to the south related to a high-pressure crustal signature and to the north a deep sedimentary low-grade Palaeozoic basin.

The core of the OMZ, with the associated geological and structural complexity is well represented in observed heterogeneity on obtained tomograms. Such heterogeneity is also observed in the studies conducted in the Spanish side of the OMZ (Ayarza et al., 2010; Carbonell et al., 2004; Palomeras et al., 2011; Simancas et al., 2001, 2003). A strong tectonic imbrication related with obduction phenomena and high-pressure tectonic events associated with several granitic intrusions in the area (Fonseca et al., 1999) may explain the observed complexity, whereas the concentration of the seismicity may be related with a complex interaction with the Odemira-Ávila OA fault.

The OA fault is one of the major tectonic faults recognized in Western Iberia (cf. Fig.2). In spite of being considered active by some authors (Villamor et al., 2012), it does not present any significant events in this study nor instrumental well-constrained seismic activity recorded (Custódio et al., 2015). The OA major fault cuts with a sinistral shear the Alvito-Viana do Alentejo high-pressure structures located inside the OMZ, an area which shows also some instrumental seismicity related with different rheological properties between blue schists and eclogites and the “Série Negra” black-shales (Fonseca et al., 1999).

The low-velocity anomaly observed in Fig.8 (Z<16km), around 7.5ºW and 38ºN, is coincident with the area immediately south of the SPZ-OMZ contact, and extends up to the Albernoa-Aljustrel-Messejana alignment (AAM in Fig.2b). It is located beneath the “Pulo do Lobo” exotic terrane (Fonseca and Ribeiro, 1993; Vieira Da Silva et al., 2007). and is probably the signal of the mafic rocks associated with the green schists/amphibolitic fácies and some scattered ultramafic outcrops of the Beja-Acebuches ophiolite and the Phyllites and Quartzites outcropping south of the contact.

The seismicity within the SPZ present a diffuse pattern to the east, whereas to the west is concentrated either along a roughly NNE-SSW alignment or a cluster around the Monchique-Massif. The NNE-SSW alignment may be the expression of a branch of the Monchique-Santa
Clara de Sabóia fault (MST in Fig. 2b), in spite not being coincident with the position of this fault, and is clearly defined up to ~37.8°N where it contacts with OA fault. North of the OA fault there is a suggestion of the continuation of an alignment until Torrão ~38.3°N, but some caution must be taken to assume to be the same alignment. In any case, this Monchique-Santa Clara de Sabóia-Torrão alignment has no expression in the existing geological maps; with an N5E to N10E trend, it can only be referred in the field near S. Martinho da Amoreiras – Sabóia area, which corresponds to a sinistral strike-slip discrete shear fault. To the north is covered by the Sado Basin, part of the LTSB in Fig. 2b, but should correspond to an active fault system with a clear geomorphologic expression, bordering to the west the Iberian Pyrite Belt region.

As always the attempt of schematization and geographic systematization difficult some correlation that could be made. Several authors (Shelley and Bossière, 2000 and references therein) mention a possible link between the OMZ and even the southern part of SPZ and the GTMZ zones through the Porto-Tomar-Ferreira do Alentejo fault (PTFA). The attempt to justify the Monchique-Sta Clara de Sabóia alignment with a southward prolongation of the PTFA shear zone has been also recently suggested by other authors (Arenas et al., 2016a; Fernández et al., 2017, 2016; Fernández and Arenas, 2015); however, neither the proposed location, which diverge to the west, nor its signature is evident in our tomograms. In our view, the possible extension GTMZ-OMZ in the form suggested by these authors is not supported by our model.

The low Vp anomaly in western SPZ is limited N-NW by the OA fault, to the south by the strong signal associated with the Monchique Massif and its eastward limit eventually being marked by the MST lineament. This area corresponds essentially to the Brejeira formation (“Grupo de Flysch do Baixo Alentejo”, in Oliveira et al., 1979) which is essentially composed by greywacke lithologies and black mud shales, defining a characteristic basin in the southwest SPZ border.

The Monchique Massif (M) is characterized by a still active pneumatolytical hydrothermal intrusion, with water with anomalous mineralization and some low temperature (bellow 60°C) geothermal springs (Bastos, 2011; Lourenço and Cruz, 2006; Lourenço, 1998), the
mineralization indicating very deep circulation – with deep crustal values (González Clavijo and Valadares, 2003; Miranda et al., 2009; Rock, 1978; Valadares, 2004). At the surface the observed seismic cluster is not evidently associated with any kind of alignments or long fault systems. Geomorphological criteria clearly displays some uplift of 300-350m from the Pliocene to the present day, including 100m uplift in the current Quaternary, paleoseismites justifying intense seismic vibration and sedimentary liquefaction and fluidized material in the Quaternary (Dias and Cabral 2002).

7. Conclusions

In this work, we present the first Local Earthquake Tomography study covering mainland Portugal and part of southern Galicia in Spain. This is one of the studies contributing to the knowledge of the seismic structure of the lithosphere, following the massive deployments of broadband seismic stations by projects WILAS in Portugal (2010-2012) and TOPOIBERIA in Spain (2007-2013).

Due to the irregular seismic activity rate in Portugal, especially in the north, the observation period of the WILAS project was expanded to include additional data. Thus, the analyzed period spans a period of 15 years, from 2000 to 2014, allowing a good and dense ray coverage of the study area. The data selection criteria were set to increase the maximum confidence in the results as possible: each selected event had to be registered by a minimum of 6-8 stations, depending if it was located in the north or the south, and a minimum of 8-10 P or S readings. Also, since for certain epicentral distances several incongruences were detected in the picking, additional criteria was applied to clean the dataset and reduce has much as possible the uncertainty regarding the seismic phases arrival times. The main price paid for such cautious approach was the lack of very long seismic travel-paths, in effect not allowing the imaging of the lower crust.

The remaining selected dataset, comprising 2640 events recorded over a variable seismic network of over 100 stations both in Portugal and Spain, allowed the calculation of a 3D model with the distribution of Vp and Vp/Vs on a grid with an irregular design. With
exception of the mid-lower crustal levels, the model presents a good resolution although
with some N-S stretching of the anomalies in the center part of the country.

The complex history of the assemblage of the crust beneath Western Iberia is well-marked in
the final models. The arcuate shape of the Ibero-Armorican Arc can be perceived over the
general pattern of the Vp and Vp/Vs anomalies, albeit local perturbations some of which
induced by the inversion grid setup. The Vp values are on average higher in the north,
decreasing southward and westward into the Iberian margin.

As should be expected and according to several previous studies, the heterogeneity observed
on the surface geology of the Galicia-Tras-os-Montes Zone is well marked in the tomograms,
a relatively thin layer over the smoother structure of the Centro Iberia Zone CIZ. The CIZ
block confined between the Porto-Tomar-Ferreira do Alentejo shear zone and the Manteigas-
Bragança fault have generally higher Vp values to the rest of the CIZ, enhancing the contrast
with the Lusitanian Basin to the west.

The Ossa Morena Zone is the more complex area of our model, its heterogeneity signature
increasing to the SW, with a relatively smooth CIZ-OMZ transition as compared with the
highly complex SPZ-OMZ transition. Within the OMZ the increase in complexity is marked by
the alignment composed by the Ciborro and Serra de Ossa faults, marked by the observed
seismic alignment.

The South Portuguese Zone presents bigger heterogeneity than the surface geology
suggested, in particular, a W-E segmentation of its upper crust with a corresponding increase
in the Vp values. The SW tip of the model presents significant heterogeneity, besides high
seismicity rate around the Monchique Massif, whereas to the SE a diffuse observed
seismicity is hardly correlatable with any known surface structure.

Other significant features are the low Vp values associated with the Mesocenozoic rocks
outcropping in the Lusitanian and Algarve basins, and the low Vp and high Vp/Vs values of
the sedimentary cover of the Lower-Tagus and Sado Basin.
The seismicity distribution also displays a complex pattern, mainly reflecting the interaction between inherited Variscan or tardi-Variscan structures, that were reactivated, with more recent fault systems created during the rifting stages of the Atlantic and diapir magmatic intrusions.
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**Figure 1** – Recorded seismicity for the period 2000-2014 in the area of Western Iberia, including mainland Portugal, from IPMA’s catalogue and focal mechanisms from Custódio et al. (2016). Inset: location of the study area and the relation with the main plate limits.
Figure 2 – a) Tectonostratigraphic zonation of the Variscan orogen (after Weil et al., 2010) in southwestern Europe including Iberian Peninsula (original modified from Franke, 1989; Martinez-Catalan et al., 2007).

Location of Centro Iberian (CIZ), Ossa Morena (OMZ) and South Portuguese Zones (SPZ); b) Simplified tectonostratigraphic terrane map of Portugal. It is mainly covered by variscan outcrops, belonging to the Iberian Massif, is tectonically divided into several units: GTMZ Galicia-Tras-os-Montes Zone, CIZ Central Iberian Zone, OMZ Ossa-Morena Zone, SPZ South Portuguese Zone. The western and southern limits of the Massif are defined by Mesocenozoic basins, LB Lusitanian Basin and AB Algarve Basin, with several cenozoic basins partially covering, LTSB Lower Tagus and Sado Rivers Basin, GB Gudalquivir Basin, and DB Douro Basin. The main faults/lineaments/alignments affecting western Iberia are: PTFA Porto-Tomar -Ferreira do Alentejo shear zone; TBC Tomar-Badajoz-Córdoba shear zone; PRV Penacova-Réguia-Verin Fault system; MVB Manteigas-Vilariça-Bragança fault system; SL Seia-Lousã fault; CBo Cebola-Bogas fault; Po Ponsul fault; NCA Nazaré-Condeixa-Alvaiázere; CPM Candeieiros-Porto de Mós fault; Arr Arrife fault; LTV Lower Tagus Valley fault system; Cib Ciborro fault; SO Serra de Ossa fault; OA Odemira-Ávila fault; AAM Albornoa-Aljustrel-Messejana Alignment; MST Monchique-Santa Clara fault. The sienitic intrusions of Sintra, Sines and Monchique are marked by S, Si and M (adapted from “Carta Geológica de Portugal”, Serviços Geológicos de Portugal, 1992).
Figure 3 – **Left:** Seismicity epicentral depth distribution in Portugal from IPMA’s catalogue 2000-2014; **Right:** seismic networks evolution since 2000 with current permanent active stations (green and light blue triangles) and deactivated stations (grey triangles) in Portugal and Spain; the stations from the WILAS and TOPOIBEIRA temporary deployments 2010-2013 are marked with yellow inverted triangles. Also represented are the 2640 selected events for this study and the position of the grid nodes and corresponding X and Y coordinates.
Figure 4 – Histograms of the number of events in terms of distance and depth, bins of 20 and 2 km range respectively, and distance-time graphic of all phases picked as first P and S arrivals in the selected dataset. The labelling corresponds to the existing identification done by the operator in the catalogue (Pg, Pn, P; Sg, Sn, S). In the inversion they are all used as P or S.
Figure 5 – Graphic Vp-Z with the several tested input models of the 1D inversion with the VELEST code (grey lines). The output models for the two north and south datasets are represented by blue and dark red lines and the final minimum 1D model by a black line, respectively. The adaptation for the input model of the 3D grid is represented by red dots (nodes values) and dashed line (interpolation).
Figure 6 – Checkerboard synthetic tests output for the $V_p$ (top) and $V_p/V_s$ (bottom) models. The represented layers correspond to grid horizontal planes and coincident with the planes of figure 8.
Figure 7 – Plots of the derivative weight sum (DWS) and diagonal element of the resolution matrix (RDE) versus the spread function (SF) values for the Vp (left) and Vp/Vs (right) models. The arrows point to the selected threshold values of SF.
Figure 8 – Final 3D Vp and Vp/Vs models for mainland Portugal and surrounding areas. All nodes with SF higher than the cut-off value (2.8 for Vp, 3.0 for Vp/Vs) are masked. Models represented on seven horizontal XY planes coincident with the grid nodes position, excluding planes Z=1 and Z=30 km. The relocated earthquakes projected in each layer correspond to events located in a volume +/- 2km around the layer, with exception of the upper 1 and 4 km layers, whose separation is located at 2.5km depth, and the deeper layer which contain all events deeper than 22 km.
**Figure 9a** – Vertical profiles of the final 3D Vp and Vp/Vs model. The upper right map presents the relocated epicenters together with the stations and grid, and the position of seven vertical profiles, five W-E along constant latitude (A-A' to E-E') and two N-S along constant longitude (F-F' and G-G'). All profiles are coincident either with XZ or YZ vertical node-planes. Nodes with SF higher than the cut-off value (2.8 for Vp, 3.0 for Vp/Vs) are masked. The relocated earthquakes projected in each profile correspond to events located in a volume +/- 10km around the slice, with exception of the northern profiles A-A' and B-B' for which the volume is expanded to +/- 20km due to the greater internode distance. Vertical exaggeration of 5:1.
Figure 9b – Vertical profiles of the final 3D Vp and Vp/Vs model. The bottom left right map presents the relocated epicenters together with the stations and grid, and the position of four vertical profiles oblique to the grid, of either SW-NE or NW-SE directions. Nodes with SF higher than the cut-off value (2.8 for Vp, 3.0 for Vp/Vs) are masked. The relocated earthquakes projected in each profile correspond to events located in a volume +/- 10km. Vertical exaggeration of 5:1.
Figure 10 – Map of seismicity distribution of 6375 events, over three depth ranges: a) shallow (≤2.5km); b) upper-mid crust (2.5–14 km); c) lower crust (>14km); d) superposition of the entire dataset with the lineaments and faults of figure 2. The additional events relocated with the 3D model are represented with a smaller circles size (see text for details.)