Evolution of photoperiod sensing in plants and algae

**Gloria Serrano-Bueno¹, Francisco J Romero-Campero¹, Eva I Lucas-Reina^{1†}, Jose M Romero
and Federico Valverde***

Plant Development Unit. Institute for Plant Biochemistry and Photosynthesis, CSIC-Universidad de Sevilla.
49th, Americo Vespucio Av. 41092-Sevilla. SPAIN. + Present address: IHSM-UMA-CSIC, Departamento de
Biología Molecular y Bioquímica, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain.

Abstract

Measuring day length confers a strong fitness improvement to photosynthetic organisms as it allows them to anticipate light phases and take the best decisions preceding diurnal transitions. In close association with signals from the circadian clock and the photoreceptors, photoperiodic sensing constitutes also a precise way to determine the passing of the seasons and to take annual decisions such as the best time to flower or the beginning of dormancy. Photoperiodic sensing in photosynthetic organisms is ancient and two major stages in its evolution could be identified, the cyanobacterial time sensing and the evolutionary tool kit that arose in green algae and developed into the photoperiodic system of modern plants. The most recent discoveries about the evolution of the perception of light, measurement of day length and relationship with the circadian clock along the evolution of the eukaryotic green lineage will be discussed in this review.

*Corresponding author: federico.valverde@ibvf.csic.es

¹ Contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Earth rotation around its axis and around the sun produces predictable day length (photoperiod) changes through the seasons that plants use, via sophisticated mechanisms, to measure time and take crucial physiological decisions [1]. Photoperiodism, or the ability to detect day length, is present in early photosynthetic eukaryotes so that algae can produce several photoperiod responses [2]. This way, during the green lineage evolution, photoperiodism pervaded into the major physiological systems, allowing them to predict the passing of the seasons and prepare plants for year-round predictable changing conditions.

The photoperiod sensing system involves a way to detect light (photoreceptors) and an internal system to measure time (circadian clock). In time they became so important for unicellular free living algae that for some marine picoeukaryotes 90% of its transcriptome is controlled by the clock [3]. However, more evolved and flexible species like modern plants, which developed the capacity to adapt to different environments, have reduced this number to less than 50% [4]. Paradoxically, more intertwined, complex systems allowed for a more independent response to external cues, thus permitting the colonization of ever demanding new niches and the acquisition of novel and complex physiological functions [5].

Photoreceptors evolution

Living organisms use a cluster of photoreceptors to measure the quality, quantity and direction of light to modulate physiological responses to changing lights [6]. This is particularly important for photosynthetic organisms that require light energy for photosynthesis and consequently to grow and develop. Photoreceptors can be divided into three groups according to the light quality they detect. Red and far-red lights are absorbed by phytochromes (PHYs) while three types of photoreceptors perceive the blue/UV-A: Cryptochromes (CRYs), Phototropins (PHOTs) and three plant-specific LOV/F-box/Kelch-repeat proteins ZEITLUPE (ZTL), FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX (FKF), and LOV KELCH REPEAT PROTEIN 2 (LKP2). Finally, UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) was recently shown to be a UV-B photoreceptor [7]. Excellent reviews on plant and algae photoreceptor structure and function have recently been published [8-13].

The specific photoreceptor set has evolved across photosynthetic eukaryotes (Figure 1). In the chlorophyte model alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, UV-B light is detected by UVR8 while blue/UV-A is detected by one PHOT (pho1), two DASH (*Drosophila*, *Arabidopsis*, *Synechocystis* and Human) CRYs, one plant-like CRY (pCRY) and one animal-like CRY (aCRY). The latter can respond both to blue and red light [12,14-15]. In the fern *Adiantum capillus-veneris* four canonical plant PHYs have been identified, two PHOTs and five CRYs [16]. Ferns include a specific neochrome, a chimeric photoreceptor consisting of an N-terminus PHY domain and several C-terminus PHOT domains that can sense both blue and red/far-red light to regulate

chloroplast movement and phototropism [6]. However, UV-B photoreceptors have not been described in ferns, their absence justified by their growth habits under low-light angiosperm canopies. In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, red/far-red lights are detected by five PHYs (A-E), blue/UV-A by two PHOTs, three CRYs, and ZTL/FKF1/LKP2 proteins [6,11,13], while UV-B by a canonical UVR8 (Figure 1A). Increase in photoreceptors number and function during plant evolution has been related to fitness improvement [9].

In plants and algae, photoperiod regulates a number of processes including photomorphogenesis, growth, flowering, stress tolerance and circadian rhythms [16-18]. In darkness, some of these pathways are inhibited by CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1), a RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase. During the day, COP1 is inhibited by the photoreceptors allowing the activation of photoperiodic-dependent processes (Figure 1A). At night, COP1 interaction with SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME A (SPA1) targets the transcription factors ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) and CONSTANS (CO) for ubiquitination and degradation, suppressing photomorphogenesis and flowering respectively [20,21]. Photoactivated CRY1, CRY2 and PHYA directly bind SPA1 and inhibit the formation of COP1-SPA1 complex [19]. PHYB also promotes COP1-SPA1 dissociation and photomorphogenic development [22]. COP1 interaction with EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3) induces degradation of GIGANTEA (GI), a circadian clock associated protein, process inhibited by CRY1/CRY2 in blue light [23]. Upon UV-B irradiation, UVR8 monomerizes and interacts with COP1, promoting the expression of *HY5*, which is responsible for activation of UV-B responsive genes [24]. In *Chlamydomonas* cells grown under blue light, *CrCO* (*Chlamydomonas* CO homolog [18]) transcript levels are lowered in the *crcrya* mutant [15], suggesting a possible *CrCO* activation by *CrCRYa*. Besides, UV-B perception and signalling in *Chlamydomonas* is mediated by *CrUVR8* that interacts with *CrCOP1*, although *CrHY5* implication has not been demonstrated [14]. RING-finger E3 ligases homologues to COP1 can be also found in other microalgae. Therefore, it seems that a central role for COP1-like signalling mechanisms was already established in chlorophytes and evolved to the complexity found in modern plants.

Circadian clocks in algae and plants

Circadian clocks are molecular mechanisms that generate rhythmic or oscillating signals with a period of approximately 24 hours. They are ubiquitous systems present in almost all eukaryotic organisms, but in spite of the long evolutionary distance among them, they are composed of strikingly similar gene networks comprising intertwined positive and negative feedback loops [25]. These genes are not always orthologues, suggesting a convergent and independent evolutionary history. Recently, high throughput sequencing and genome-wide phylogenetics are starting to unveil an

interesting evolution of the gene network underpinning the circadian clock composition in the green lineage.

Three interlocked feedback loops have been identified in the model species *Arabidopsis*; morning, central and evening loops (Figure 2A) [26]. The key gene in the morning loop is *CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1* (CCA1) that codes for a MYB transcription factor [27] with a conserved N-terminal SHAQKYF motif (Figure 2B). MYB family has gone through an intense process of amplification and functional diversification in the green lineage [28] and CCA1 homologues are present in every plant taxa, from the single copy gene *OtCCA1* in *Ostreococcus* [29] to the large gene family in *Arabidopsis* including CCA1, *LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL* (LHY) and *REVEILLE* (RVE) 4, 6 and 8 [30]. All these homologues, with the exception of *Chlamydomonas*, exhibit the same expression pattern as *Arabidopsis* CCA1: A peak at dawn and a trough at dusk (Figure 2C).

ChIP-seq data has shown that CCA1 binds directly to the promoters of the other key genes in the morning loop, the *PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 9, 7 and 5* (PRR9/7/5) recognizing a specific DNA sequence called *evening element* [27] (Figure 2A). PRRs are repressors that contain in their N-terminus a receiver-like domain (RLD) similar to the one in RESPONSE REGULATORS involved in the His-Asp phosphorelay system, while in the C-terminus they present a CO, COL1 and TIME OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1) domain (CCT). In *Arabidopsis* PRR9, PRR7 and PRR5 present a series of successive expression peaks at early morning, mid-day and afternoon respectively (Figure 2C) and their protein products bind to the same positions at the CCA1 promoter where a G-box can be found, repressing its expression during the entire day, and completing the positive/negative feedback morning loop [31].

TOC1 (PRR1) is directly repressed by CCA1 using the *evening element* located in its promoter. TOC1 in turn also acts as a repressor of CCA1 forming the central negative feedback loop [31]. PRRs homologues have been identified in all plant taxa. A single PRR gene was identified in the *O. tauri* genome (*OtTOC1*) presenting a similar expression profile as TOC1 and PRR5 (Figure 2C) and symmetric to the one in *OtCCA1*, suggesting that the central loop was already established in algae and is conserved across the entire eukaryotic green lineage [29]. Additionally, an *evening element* has been found in the promoter of *OtTOC1* providing supporting evidence. None of the two PRRs identified in *Chlamydomonas* follow similar expression profiles to any of the PRRs in *Arabidopsis*, which suggests a divergent evolution in *Chlamydomonas* in the regulation of circadian rhythms [32]. Four different PRRs were found in the *Physcomitrella* (a moss that seems to have derived from the direct evolutionary line of modern plants) genome. All these genes exhibit the same expression pattern, peaking at dusk with their troughs at dawn. No diversification in their peaking time points like the *Arabidopsis* PRR9/7/5 is observed, possibly because these genes are the result of very recent duplication events [33].

The third feedback loop is called the *evening loop* where *TOC1* also plays a key role together with *GI* and *ZTL* (Figure 2). Both genes have been shown to be transcriptionally co-regulated directly by *CCA1*, *PRRs* and *TOC1* [27,31,34]. In turn, *GI* and *ZTL* are known to form a complex involved in blue light and temperature sensing that induces *TOC1* degradation by the 26S proteasome [35]. It is relevant to note that *Arabidopsis* *ZTL* homologue, *FKF1*, together with *GI*, mediates *CYCLING DOF FACTORS* (*CDFs*) degradation and the subsequent *CO* activation [36], a connection between the circadian clock and photoperiodic flowering. No potential *GI* homologue has been identified in microalgae and *Physcomitrella*, but it is present in other bryophytes such as *Marchantia* or *Selaginella* and the seed plants *Picea* and *Oryza*, suggesting that this gene is exclusive of land plants [33]. *GI* plays also a crucial role in the temperature compensation of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock [37] and the defect in temperature compensation observed in *Physcomitrella* clock has been ascribed to the absence of *GI* [33]. *ZTL* presents three different protein domains: N-terminal *LOV* involved in blue light sensing, *F-box* that mediates protein ubiquitination, and multiple C-terminal *Kelch* domains involved in protein interactions. Similar to *GI*, *ZTL* homologs have only been identified in land plants, although the three domains of *ZTL* have been separately identified in different proteins in *Physcomitrella*, so that these proteins could form a complex functionally equivalent to *ZTL* [33]. Alternatively, a closer inspection of the *RLD* domains in the *PRR* genes in *Physcomitrella* and *Ostreococcus* has revealed a potential phosphoacceptor *DDK* motif that is not present in the *PRRs* of land plants. This could indicate that these *PRRs* form part of a *Hist-Asp* phosphorelay system. In fact, *Histidine* kinases containing an N-terminal *LOV* domain has been identified in *Ostreococcus* where it has been shown to respond to blue light, bind flavin and have a circadian function [38]. Therefore, these *LOV-HKs* could possibly take the role of *ZTL* in *Physcomitrella*, *Chlamydomonas* and *Ostreococcus*.

The evening loop includes also *LUX ARRHYTHMO*, *ELF3* and *ELF4* that repress circadian genes night expression [39]. *LUX* homologs have been found in all plant taxa examined but no functional characterization is described. Potential *ELF3/4* orthologues have been identified in *Physcomitrella* [33] and other land plants [40] but there seem to be no orthologues in *Chlamydomonas* [41] or *Ostreococcus*. Therefore, it seems that the central *CCA1/LHY* and *TOC1* loop was established very early in microalgae and the subsequent loops and additional control levels took place during the course of the evolution of land plants. This way, the more complex the clock, the more responses can be extracted from it to control new physiological processes, such as the above mentioned temperature compensation exerted by *GI* [33]. This could explain how land plants acquired a much more versatile control of the external conditions, enhancing their plasticity and capacity to colonize new aerial niches.

Evolution of the photoperiod pathway

The long day (LD) *A. thaliana* plant and its photoperiodic control of flowering time has been the model for day length sensing studies. In *Arabidopsis* CO protein represents a central hub that controls flowering in the proper season (Figure 3, right). Briefly, CO expression is regulated by circadian clock and photoperiodic inputs through GI-FKF1, the CDFs and FLOWERING BHLHs (FBHs). CO protein stability is controlled by photoreceptors, E3 ubiquitin ligases [42] and through the interaction with other proteins [43,44]. These complex regulatory layers allow the correct expression of the florigen *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) gene that promotes flowering.

However, there are diverse and specific photoperiodic flowering regulatory strategies. In the short day (SD) plant rice, CO homologue functions as an inhibitor in LD and activator in SD [45], while another *COL* suppresses flowering regardless of day length [46]. In *Medicago* and pea, CO seems to have no significant effect in flowering time and specifically in the latter, *FT* expression is controlled solely by a CDF gene [47,48]. Nonetheless, evolution goes even further, altering flowering time at the species level by natural variation in the *cis*-regulatory elements of the CO promoter [49]. Moreover, a local adaptation of ecotypes to different flowering strategies depending on their geographic location has been described [50]. These examples and others [51-54] show how plants have adapted to optimize their reproductive timing.

Although the belief that CO is a widespread central element in the angiosperms flowering pathway is generating controversy [47,55], the GI-CDFs-CO-FT core is highly conserved among distantly related flowering plants. In fact, it has been shown that COLs and DOFs regulators have coevolved from single common algal ancestors, following the innovation, amplification and divergence model of gene evolution by duplication [56]. *C. reinhardtii* is considered to be the representative species of this common ancestor, in which, a single copy gene of CO (*CrCO*) that controls the expression of a single copy DOF (*CrDOF*) has been characterized [18,57]. *CrCO* is a central hub involved in key physiological processes such as carbon metabolism and cell cycle, so that its expression is controlled by photoperiod and its mutation severely affects the capacity of the algae to synthesize starch and to synchronize cell division and growth [18,56]. Furthermore, *CrDOF* induces, unlike *Arabidopsis*, *CrCO* expression in SD by direct binding to its promoter. However, in LD, it represses cell cycle progression in a *CrCO*-independent way [57] (Figure 3). Surprisingly, both genes phenocopied its homologue functions in *Arabidopsis* when ectopically expressed; *CrCO* inducing flowering time while *CrDOF* delays it [18,57]. Curiously, *COL1* (which shares 80% amino acid similarity with CO) overexpression produces no change in flowering time and this might reveal another evolutionary aspect: It must be the tertiary structure of the algal proteins what is conserved and recognized by the plant regulatory mechanisms to emulate its plant homologue function [56]. This does not seem to be an isolated event because a similar case was observed when tomato CDFs were expressed in *Arabidopsis* [58].

In vascular plants, a great numbers of DOFs and COLs functions have been inherited from their common ancestor. For example, CO triggers starch biosynthesis and the DOF transcription factor OBP1 controls cell cycle [59,60]. So, not only DOF-CO module has coevolved, but also a set of genes or key regulatory networks associated to these genes. Additionally, COLs and DOFs have acquired, throughout evolution, a wide repertoire of plant specific light-dependent functions [5,61,62] leading to more complex organism with a higher photoperiod plasticity.

Discussion

Over evolutionary time, photosynthetic organisms have learnt to live and extract information from periodic changes in sunlight [1,2]. As the complexity of organisms increased, so did their capacity to respond to the environment and paradoxically, to become more independent from its rigours and more precise at taking crucial life decisions, such as the best time of the year to flower or the best time of the day to grow. The massive amount of information arising from comparative genomics projects is allowing us to understand photoperiodic sensing with an evolutionary perspective.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank funding from projects BIO2011-28847-C02-00 and BIO2014-52425-P (Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, MINECO) partially supported by FEDER funding.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Light signal transduction and photoperiodic regulation by COP1 in *A. thaliana* (A) and *C. reinhardtii* (B). In *A. thaliana*, photoactivated CRY1, CRY2, PHYA and PHYB inhibit COP1 allowing accumulation of effectors and resulting in the specific light responses. Under UV-B, COP1 acts as positive regulator activating, among others, UVR8, HY5 and consequently upregulating UV-B tolerance genes. UV-B signal transduction is conserved in *C. reinhardtii*, although CrHY5 implication has not been investigated (dash lines). Arrows indicate positive regulation, while bars represent negative regulation. Low levels of CrCO expression observed in *crcrya* mutant suggest a conserved CRY-COP1-CO pathway, although CrCOP1 implication has not been described (dash lines). Photoreceptors not involved in COP1 regulation are shown in grey.

Figure 2. Evolution of circadian clocks from algae to plants. (A) Transcriptional network underpinning the circadian clock in *Arabidopsis thaliana* based on occupancy profiling by high throughput sequencing or ChIP-seq of CCA1, TOC1, PRR5, PRR7 and PRR9. Three different loops are identified, the morning loop constituted by CCA1 and PRR9/7/5, the central loop with TOC1 and CCA1 and finally, the evening loop formed by TOC1, GI, ZTL and LUX. **(B)** Identification of orthologues circadian clock proteins in different plant taxa including gene ID from specific databases. Notice how proteins in the morning and central loops are conserved across the entire green lineage, while the key proteins in the evening loop, ZTL and GI, are only present from *Selaginella* on. Protein domains (RLD, CCT, Myb, LOV, Fbox and Kelch) are color-

coded identified on the table on the left. **(C)** Conservation of the expression profiles of CCA1, PRR9/7/5 and TOC1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (left) and OtCCA1 and OtTOC1 from *Ostreococcus tauri* (right) in 24 h experiments at 12h light/12h dark photoperiod. CCA1 and OtCCA1 present similar expression profiles peaking at dawn with a trough at dusk. OtTOC1 and TOC1 exhibit similar expression patterns peaking at dusk with a trough at dawn.

Figure 3. Evolution of the photoperiod pathway elements from a common ancestor. In *Arabidopsis* (right) the main elements of flowering photoperiod pathway are shown. Grey and purple proteins represent phytochromes and E3 ubiquitine ligase proteins, respectively. Straight and dash arrows show transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation, respectively. Colored circles represent light quality. In *Chlamydomonas* (left) CrCO and CrDOF are the first known elements involved in photoperiodic signaling (there is no evidence of GI and FT proteins presence in green algae). Although *HOS1*, *COP1* and *FBHs* orthologues are present in the *Chlamydomonas* genome, no functional characterization has been done. The big family of COLs and DOFs in angiosperms has plant specific functions. However, other functions are shared with the *Chlamydomonas* proteins (dash lines).

References

1. Lagercrantz U: **At the end of the day: a common molecular mechanism for photoperiod responses in plants?** *J Exp Bot* 2009, **60**: 2501-2515.
2. Dring, MJ: **Photocontrol of development in algae.** *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 1988, **39**: 157-174.
3. Monnier A, Liverani S, Bouvet R *et al.*: **Orchestrated transcription of biological processes in the marine picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus* exposed to Light/dark Cycles.** *BMC Genomics* 2010, **11**: 92.
4. Michael TP, Mockler TC, Breton G *et al.*: **Network discovery pipeline elucidates conserved time-of-day-specific cis-regulatory modules.** *PloS Genet* 2008, **4**: e14.
5. Lucas-reina E, Ortiz-Marchena MI, Romero-Campero FJ *et al.*: **Evolution of the flowering pathways.** *Prog Bot* 2016, **77**:291-331.
6. Li FW, Mathews S: **Evolutionary aspects of plant photoreceptors.** *J Plant Res* 2016, **129**: 115-122.
7. Rizzini L, Favory JJ, Cloix C *et al.*: **Perception of UV-B by the *Arabidopsis* UVR8 protein.** *Science* 2011, **332**: 103-106.
8. Li FW, Melkonian M, Rothfels CJ *et al.*: **Phytochrome diversity in green plants and the origin of canonical plant phytochromes.** *Nat Commun* 2015, **6**: 7852.
9. Rensing SA, Sheerin DJ, Hiltbrunner A: **Phytochromes: More Than Meets the Eye.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2016, **21**: 543-546.
10. Petroutsos D, Tokutsu R, Maruyama S, *et al.*: **A blue-light photoreceptor mediates the feedback regulation of photosynthesis.** *Nature* 2016, **537**: 563-566.

11. Chaves I, Pokorný R, Byrdin M *et al.*: **The cryptochromes: blue light photoreceptors in plants and animals.** *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2011, **62**: 335-364.
12. Kianianmomeni A, Hallmann, A: **Algal photoreceptors: in vivo functions and potential applications.** *Planta* 2014, **239**: 1-26.
13. Christie JM, Blackwood L, Petersen J, Sullivan S: **Plant flavoprotein photoreceptors.** *Plant Cell Physiol* 2014, **56**: 401-413.
14. Tilbrook K, Dubois M, Crocco CD *et al.*: **UV-B Perception and Acclimation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.** *Plant Cell* 2016, **28**: 966-983.
15. Beel B, Prager k, Spexard M *et al.*: **A flavin binding cryptochrome photoreceptor responds to both blue and red light in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.** *Plant Cell* 2012, **24**: 2992-3008.
16. Kagawa T, Kasahara M, Abe T, Yoshida S, Wada M: **Functional analysis of phototropin2 using fern mutants deficient in blue light-induced chloroplast avoidance movement.** *Plant Cell Physiol* 2004, **45**: 416-426.
17. Valverde F, Mouradov A, Soppe W *et al.*: **Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering.** *Science* 2004, **303**: 1003-1006.
18. Serrano G, Herrera-Palau R, Romero JM *et al.*: ***Chlamydomonas* CONSTANS and the evolution of plant photoperiodic signaling.** *Curr Biol* 2009, **19**: 359-368.
19. Huang H, Yoo CY, Bindbeutel R *et al.*: **PCH1 integrates circadian and light-signaling pathways to control photoperiod-responsive growth in *Arabidopsis*.** *Elife* 2016, **5**: e13292.
20. Lau OS, Deng XW: **The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2012, **17**: 584-593.
21. Jang S, Marchal V, Panihagri KCS *et al.*: ***Arabidopsis* COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring a photoperiodic flowering response.** *EMBO J* 2012, **27**: 1277-1288.
22. Lu XD, Zhou CM, Xu PB *et al.*: **Red-light-dependent interaction of phyB with SPA1 promotes COP1-SPA1 dissociation and photomorphogenic development in *Arabidopsis*.** *Mol Plant* 2015, **8**: 467-478.
23. Yu JW, Rubio V, Lee NY *et al.*: **COP1 and ELF3 control circadian function and photoperiodic flowering by regulating GI stability.** *Mol Cell* 2008, **32**: 617-630.
24. Yin R, Skvortsova MY, Loubéry S, Ulm R: **COP1 is required for UV-B-induced nuclear accumulation of the UVR8 photoreceptor.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016, **113**: 4415-4422.
25. Nohales MA, Kay SA: **Molecular mechanisms at the core of the plant circadian oscillator.** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2016, **23**: 1061-1069.
26. McClung CR: **Wheels within wheels: new transcriptional feedback loops in the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock.** *F1000Prime Rep* 2014, **6**: 2.
27. Kamioka M, Takao S, Suzuki T *et al.*: **Direct repression of evening genes by CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 in the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock.** *Plant Cell* 2016, **28**: 696-711.
28. Du H, Wang YB, Xie Y *et al.*: **Genome-wide identification and evolutionary and expression analyses of MYB-related genes in land plants.** *DNA Res* 2013, **20**: 437-448.

29. Corellou F, Schwartz C, Motta JP et al.: **Clocks in the green lineage: comparative functional analysis of the circadian architecture of the picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus***. *Plant Cell* 2009, **21**: 3436-3449.
30. Hsu PY, Devisetty UK, Harmer SL: **Accurate timekeeping is controlled by a cycling activator in *Arabidopsis***. *Elife* 2013, **2**: e00473.
31. Liu TL, Newton L, Liu MJ et al.: **A G-Box-like motif is necessary for transcriptional regulation by circadian Pseudo-Response Regulators in *Arabidopsis***. *Plant Physiol* 2016, **170**: 528-539.
32. Mittag M, Kiaulehn S, Johnson CH: **The circadian clock in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. What is it for? What is it similar to?** *Plant Physiol* 2015, **137**: 399-409.
33. Holm K, Källman T, Gyllenstrand N et al.: **Does the core circadian clock in the moss *Physcomitrella patens* (Bryophyta) comprise a single loop?** *BMC Plant Biol* 2010, **10**: 109.
34. Huang W, Pérez-García P, Pokhilko A et al.: **Mapping the core of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock defines the network structure of the oscillator**. *Science* 2012, **336**: 75-79.
35. Miyazaki Y, Takase T, Kiyosue T: **ZEITLUPE positively regulates hypocotyl elongation at warm temperature under light in *Arabidopsis thaliana***. *Plant Signal Behav* 2015, **10**: e998540.
36. Song YH, Estrada DA, Johnson RS et al.: **Distinct roles of FKF1, GIGANTEA, and ZEITLUPE proteins in the regulation of CONSTANS stability in *Arabidopsis* photoperiodic flowering**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014, **111**: 17672-17677.
37. Gould PD, Locke JC, Laure C et al.: **The molecular basis of temperature compensation in the *Arabidopsis* clock**. *Plant Cell* 2006, **18**: 1177-1187.
38. Djouani-Tahri E, Christie JM, Sanchez-Ferandin S et al.: **A eukaryotic LOV-histidine kinase with circadian clock function in the picoalga *Ostreococcus***. *Plant J* 2011, **65**: 578-588.
39. Mizuno T, Kitayama M, Takayama C, Yamashino T: **Insight into a Physiological Role for the EC Night-Time Repressor in the *Arabidopsis* Circadian Clock**. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2015, **56**: 1738-1747.
40. Murakami M, Tago Y, Yamashino T, Mizuno T: **Comparative overviews of clock-associated genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa***. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2007, **48**: 110-121.
41. Ryo M, Matsuo T, Yamashino T et al.: **Diversity of plant circadian clocks: Insights from studies of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Physcomitrella patens***. *Plant Signal Behav* 2016, **11**: e1116661.
42. Shim JS, Kubota A, Imaizumi T: **Circadian clock and photoperiodic flowering in *Arabidopsis*: CONSTANS is a hub for signal integration**. *Plant Physiol* 2016, doi:10.1104/pp.16.01327.
43. Wang C, Guthrie C, Sarmast MK, Dehesh K: **BBX19 Interacts with CONSTANS to Repress FLOWERING LOCUS T Transcription, Defining a Flowering Time Checkpoint in *Arabidopsis***. *Plant Cell* 2014, **24**: 3589-3602.
44. Graeff M, Straub D, Eguen T, Dolde U: **MicroProtein-mediated recruitment of CONSTANS into a TOPLESS trimeric complex represses flowering in *Arabidopsis***. *PLoS Genet* 2016, **12**: 1-22.

45. Yano M, Katayose Y, Ashikari M et al.: **Hd1, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related to the *Arabidopsis* flowering time gene CONSTANS.** *Plant Cell* 2000, **12**:2473–2484.
46. Sheng P, Wu F, Tan J, Zhang H, Ma W: **A CONSTANS-like transcriptional activator, OsCOL13, functions as a negative regulator of flowering downstream of OsphyB and upstream of Ehd1 in rice.** *Plant Mo. Bio.* 2016, doi:10.1007/s11103-016-0506-3.
47. Ridge S, Sussmilch FC, Hecht V et al.: **Identification of LATE BLOOMER2 as a CYCLING DOF FACTOR Homolog Reveals Conserved and Divergent Features of the Flowering Response to Photoperiod in Pea.** *Plant Cell* 2016, **28**:2545–2559.
48. Wong A, Hecht V, Picard K et al.: **Isolation and functional analysis of CONSTANS-LIKE genes suggests that a central role for CONSTANS in flowering time control is not evolutionarily conserved in *Medicago truncatula*.** *Front Plant Sci* 2014, **1**:1–10.
49. Rosas U, Mei Y, Xie Q et al.: **Variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time associated with cis - regulatory variation in CONSTANS.** *Nat Commun* 2014, **5**:1–8.
50. Vanhala T, Normann KR, Lundström M et al.: **Flowering time adaption in Swedish landrace pea (*Pisum sativum* L.).** *BMC Genet* 2016, doi:10.1186/s12863-016-0424-z.
51. Li D, Yang C, Li X et al.: **Functional characterization of rice OsDof12.** *Planta* 2009, **229**:1159–69.
52. Lee Y, An G: **OsGI Controls Flowering Time by Modulating Rhythmic Flowering Time Regulators Preferentially under Short Day in Rice.** *J Plant Biol* 2015, **1**:137–145.
53. Kloosterman B, Abelenda JA, Gomez MDMC et al.: **Naturally occurring allele diversity allows potato cultivation in northern latitudes.** *Nature* 2013, **495**:246–250.
54. Cao D, Li Y, Lu S et al.: **GmCOL1a and GmCOL1b Function as Flowering Repressors in Soybean Under Long-Day Conditions.** *Plant Cell Physiol* 2015, **56**:2409–2422.
55. Simon S, Röl M, Montaigu A De, Wötzel S, Coupland G: **Evolution of CONSTANS Regulation and Function after Gene Duplication produced a Photoperiodic Flowering Switch in the *Brassicaceae*.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2015, **32**:2284–2301.
56. Romero-Campero FJ, Lucas-Reina E, Said FE, Romero JM, Valverde F: **A contribution to the study of plant development evolution based on gene co-expression networks.** *Front Plant Sci* 2013, **4**:1–17.
57. Lucas-Reina E, Romero-Campero FJ, Romero JM, Valverde F: **An Evolutionarily Conserved DOF-CONSTANS Module Controls Plant Photoperiodic Signalling.** *Plant Physiol* 2015, **168**:561–574.
58. Corrales A-R, Nebauer SG, Carrillo L et al.: **Characterization of tomato Cycling Dof Factors reveals conserved and new functions in the control of flowering time and abiotic stress responses.** *J Exp Bot* 2014, **65**:995–1012.
59. Ortiz-Marchena MI, Albi T, Lucas-Reina E et al.: **Photoperiodic Control of Carbon Distribution during the Floral Transition in *Arabidopsis*.** *Plant Cell* 2014, **26**:565–584.
60. Skirycz A, Radziejewski A, Busch W et al.: **The DOF transcription factor OBP1 is involved in cell cycle regulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.** *Plant J* 2008, **56**:779–92.

61. Valverde F: **CONSTANS and the evolutionary origin of photoperiodic timing of flowering.** *J Exp Bot* 2011, **62**:2453–63.

62. Noguero M, Atif RM, Ochatt S, Thompson RD: **The role of the DNA-binding One Zinc Finger (DOF) transcription factor family in plants.** *Plant Sci* 2013, **209**:32–45.

Commented references

- 14. Tilbrook K, Dubois M, Crocco CD *et al.*: **UV-B Perception and Acclimation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.** *Plant Cell* 2016, **28**: 966-983.

In this paper, a clear demonstration of evolutionary conserved UVR8 UV-B perception and signalling from *C. reinhardtii* to *A. thaliana* is shown.

- 18. Serrano G, Herrera-Palau R, Romero JM *et al.*: ***Chlamydomonas* CONSTANS and the evolution of plant photoperiodic signaling.** *Curr Biol* 2009, **19**: 359-368.

Authors demonstrate that there is a conserved role of CO in photoperiodic signalling from *C. reinhardtii* to *A. thaliana* and that it was involved in cell cycle progression and starch synthesis in algae.

- 27. Kamioka M, Takao S, Suzuki T *et al.*: **Direct repression of evening genes by CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 in the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock.** *Plant Cell* 2016, **28**: 696-711.

In this paper high throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) were performed in order to determine CCA1 regulome and elucidate part of the transcriptional network corresponding to the morning loop, finding that CCA1 binding sites are significantly enriched in evening elements and G-boxes.

- 29. Corellou F, Schwartz C, Motta JP *et al.*: **Clocks in the green lineage: comparative functional analysis of the circadian architecture of the picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus*.** *Plant Cell* 2009, **21**: 3436-3449.

In this paper OtCCA1 and OtTOC1 were identified and functionally characterized in *Ostreococcus tauri*, supporting a common evolutionary origin of circadian clock in the green lineage that is already established in *Chlorophyta*.

- 34. Huang W, Pérez-García P, Pokhilko A *et al.*: **Mapping the core of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock defines the network structure of the oscillator.** *Science* 2012, **336**: 75-79.

In this paper the TOC1 regulome was described, elucidating part of the transcriptional network corresponding to the evening loop. They showed that TOC1 acts as a repressor.

- 49. Rosas U, Mei Y, Xie Q *et al.*: **Variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time associated with cis-regulatory variation in CONSTANS.** *Nat Commun* 2014, **5**:1–8.

Demonstration of the recent origin of CO promoter polymorphism and how its natural variation regulates flowering time in different *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions.

- 55. Simon S, Röl M, Montaigu A De, Wötzel S, Coupland G: **Evolution of CONSTANS Regulation and Function after Gene Duplication produced a Photoperiodic Flowering Switch in the *Brassicaceae*.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2015, **32**:2284–2301.

An elegant demonstration of how the duplication of a core photoperiodic gene has a deep effect on the adaptation and flowering behaviour of a whole family of plants and the possible convergence of this function in different plant lineages.

- 57. Lucas-Reina E, Romero-Campero FJ, Romero JM, Valverde F: **An Evolutionarily Conserved DOF-CONSTANS Module Controls Plant Photoperiodic Signalling.** *Plant Physiol* 2015, **168**:561–574.

By functional characterization of CrDOF in algae and plants, CO-DOF module is shown to be conserved throughout the green lineage evolution.

Highlights

- The mechanisms to detect day length are present in almost all eukaryotic photosynthetic organisms known to date.
- The photoperiodic gene toolkit present in modern plants first appeared in microalgae.
- COP1-like proteins seem to play a central role during plant photoperiodic sensing evolution.
- The circadian clock core appeared in algae and developed complex secondary loops during plant evolution.